

**View Article Online** 

View Journal | View Issue

## **TUTORIAL REVIEW**

Check for updates

Cite this: Analyst, 2020, 145, 364

Received 26th October 2019, Accepted 26th November 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9an02149c

rsc.li/analyst

## 1. Introduction

Biosensors show great potential in many areas such as medical diagnosis, food safety, and environmental monitoring.<sup>1-3</sup> When it comes to the detection of analytes such as disease biomarkers or contaminants, it is important to develop accurate biosensors that have high sensitivity and specificity. In general, biosensors utilize a bioreceptor as recognition element (a molecule capable of specifically binding to the analyte) and a transducer (an interface such as electrodes or nanoparticles) to convert analyte binding events into a readable signal. Analytical biosensors can provide accurate concentrations of the analyte due their linear relationship between the concentration and intensity of the detected signal. As a result, by means of a figure of merit, they provide an intuitive way to compare the sensitivity between different biosensors.<sup>4</sup> Based on the detected signal, biosensors can be classified as optical (such as plasmonic biosensors), electrochemical, piezoelectric, or magnetic biosensors.<sup>5</sup> Among these biosensors, optical biosensors are one of the most commonly used techniques for analytical biosensing due to their high sensitivity and specificity, potential for multiplexing, low noise background, and small volume sample.<sup>4</sup>

There are several review articles discussing the plasmonic biosensors which emphasize on different aspects of this field

# Are plasmonic optical biosensors ready for use in point-of-need applications?

Juanjuan Liu, Mahsa Jalali, Sara Mahshid and Sebastian Wachsmann-Hogiu 回 \*

Plasmonics has drawn significant attention in the area of biosensors for decades due to the unique optical properties of plasmonic resonant nanostructures. While the sensitivity and specificity of molecular detection relies significantly on the resonance conditions, significant attention has been dedicated to the design, fabrication, and optimization of plasmonic substrates. The adequate choice of materials, structures, and functionality goes hand in hand with a fundamental understanding of plasmonics to enable the development of practical biosensors that can be deployed in real life situations. Here we provide a brief review of plasmonic biosensors detailing most recent developments and applications. Besides metals, novel plasmonic materials such as graphene are highlighted. Sensors based on Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR), Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR), and Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) are presented and classified based on their materials and structure. In addition, most recent applications to environment monitoring, health diagnosis, and food safety are presented. Potential problems related to the implementation in such applications are discussed and an outlook is presented.

including the materials, fabrication method, and functionalization. For example, J. R. Mejía-Salazar et al. reviews the advances in plasmonic biosensing using new materials with unique properties focused on the manufacturing of portable devices.<sup>6</sup> Longhua Tang *et al.*, on the other hand, specifically reviews the advances colorimetric biosensors for molecular diagnosis.<sup>7</sup> Other aspects such as chemical functionalization,<sup>8</sup> and operating principles9 of plasmonics-based biosensors have also been reviewed in recent years. More recently, review articles on the topics of comprehensive understanding and discussion on expectations for the near future development of SERS,<sup>10</sup> plasmonic biosensors for point-of-care diagnosis applications,<sup>11</sup> and carbon-based materials for SPR biosensors,12 were published. Here, we highlighted the potential of plasmonic biosensors in point-of-need applications with respect to the following aspects: (a) the fundamental principles and mechanisms that allow development of plasmonic biosensors, with emphasis on metallic structures and graphene (b) the materials and structures used to transduce the analyte binding events into an optical signal, (c) the applications to real life situations, and (d) their future potential and limitations.

## 2. Fundamentals of plasmonic materials

Plasmonics studies the interaction of light with metals or metallic nanostructures. Other materials such as graphene also exhibit plasmonic resonance due to the availability of con-

Department of Bioengineering, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. E-mail: Sebastian.wachsmannhogiu@mcgill.ca

ductive electrons. Plasmonics combines techniques from photonics and electronics at the nanoscale to perform optical measurements of spectra and refractive index changes (*via* reflection angles) that are related to the chemical structure, or binding events, and can help extract valuable information about the presence, concentration, or identity of molecules of interest.<sup>13,14</sup> The study of plasmonics relies on localized or propagating surface plasmons (SPs), which are collective (coherent) oscillations of electrons in the metal, and are generated by the coupling of the incident oscillating electric field of the electromagnetic wave with the electrons at the metal-dielectric interface.<sup>15</sup>

The optical response of plasmonic materials can be described via the dielectric function (or complex permittivity),  $\varepsilon(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency of the light. For plasmonic materials, the real part of the dielectric function is negative. Several phenomena can be observed when light interacts with plasmonic materials, such as the generation of surface plasmons (SPs) and surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs). SPs are collective oscillations of electrons generated at the interface between two materials where the sign of the dielectric function changes, such as in the case of metal-dielectric interfaces, where the dielectric is the positive-permittivity material and the metal is a negative-permittivity material. This charge oscillations generate an electromagnetic wave inside and outside the plasmonic material which is either localized (in the cased of closed structures such as very small metallic particles) or delocalized (in the case of planar interfaces such as thin metallic films). The collective charge oscillation and the associated electromagnetic fields are called localized surface plasmons (in the first case) or surface plasmon polaritons (in the second case). The dispersion relation of different plasmonic materials including gold and silver can be investigated via Maxwell's equations. At visible wavelengths, the electromagnetic energy concentrates into subwavelength volumes at the surface of the metal and overcomes the diffraction limit constraint of classical optics.16 The fundamentals of SPs and SPPs and their ability to guide and confine light into the ultra-small subwavelength scale has been extensively reviewed over the past two decades in the context of nanophotonics research.<sup>17-19</sup> In addition, these phenomena play a significant role in the design and function of plasmonic sensors, leading to two main techniques of plasmonic sensing: Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)-based and Localized surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR)-based biosensing. Both SPR and LSPR are sensitive to the change in the dielectric environment.<sup>20</sup> The specific detection methods will be discussed in later section.

For the metallic materials, the Drude model is commonly used to characterize the dielectric function:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm Drude} = 1 - \frac{\omega_{\rm p}^2}{\omega^2 + i\gamma\omega}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the electron collision frequency in the bulk, *i* is the imaginary unit, and  $\omega_{\rm p}$  is the bulk plasma frequency of the free electrons.<sup>21</sup> It indicates that the optical properties of the material will be affected by the incident light.

The interaction between a metallic nanoparticle and the incident light can lead to an increased photonic LDOS in the vicinity of the structures, leading to changes in the optical properties of nearby molecules such as the spontaneous emission rate.<sup>22</sup> Thus, these interactions can be effectively used in the enhancement and manipulation of light interaction with emitters, opening a variety of applications in biosensing.<sup>18,23</sup> In addition, the resonant frequency of the surface plasmons is adjustable based on the size, geometry, dielectric environment, material composition (see Fig. 1a and b) and separation distance of the metallic structures and will lead to opportunities in designing specific structures for specific applications.<sup>24</sup>

Transition from single plasmonic particle to multiple particles arranged in complex geometries leads to strong interactions of plasmons in metallic nanostructures assemblies that can help with the optimization of plasmon resonance frequencies for applications in the field of plasmonic sensors. For example, in the case of pillars, as illustrated in Fig. 1c, the optical response of the pillars is determined by the near-field interaction between neighboring elements. In the gold monomer, common dipolar plasmon resonances are observed, while in the heptamers with small enough interparticle gap distance, the transition from isolated to collective modes is observed. In addition, a pronounced Fano resonance dip is observed that can be characterized by destructive interference of oscillating plasmons of the central metallic structure and the ring-like hexamer surrounding it. The existence of the Fano resonance in metallic nanopillars aids tuning the plasmon resonances for enhanced biosensing.25

In order to understand the interaction of the incident electromagnetic field with the plasmonic nanostructure pillars in close proximity, first, we demonstrate the strong electric field induced by the field of the light in the confined surface of the nanoparticle/disc. Consider an incident laser beam with specific electric field  $E_0$  shining on the surface of a roundshaped metallic nanoparticle/disc in an array. The metallic nanostructure first concentrates the light to an extremely small (subwavelength) volume, which gives rise to a strong electric field confinement at the surface of the single metallic disc,  $E_{\text{surface}}$ , which is greatly enhanced compared to the initial electric field of the beam,  $E_0$ .<sup>18</sup> The electric field at the surface of single metallic nanoparticle/disc is derived using the following eqn (1), and strongly depends on damping by absorptive processes within the metal nanostructure, such as electronphonon oscillations and electron surface scattering, which can be described by the imaginary part of the dielectric function.

$$E_{\text{Surface}} = \frac{(1+\kappa)\varepsilon_{\text{m}}}{(\varepsilon+\kappa\varepsilon_{\text{m}})} E_0 \tag{1}$$

where,  $\kappa$  stands for the shape factor and  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_{\rm m}$  are dielectric function and medium dielectric constant, respectively. The real part of dielectric function is responsible for the frequency position of electron oscillation resonance, and the imaginary part controls absorptive dissipation of the resonance and broadening.<sup>21</sup> The nearfield electric field  $E_{\rm nf}$  at distance *r* from the disc



**Fig. 1** The fundamental optoelectrical properties of metallic nanostructures and graphene. Schematic illustration of a localized surface plasmon resonance (a). Plasmon tuning ranges of the common plasmonic metallic materials, Au, Ag, and Al (b). Extinction spectra of a gold monomer, and gold hepatmers with different interparticle gap separations (c). Schematic of an ion-gel-gated graphene monolayer on a fused silica substrate covered by ion-gel and voltage biased by the top gate (d). Fermi level variation of single-layer graphene modulated with electrical field (e). (a) is adapted from ref. 46 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry, Copyright (2016). (b) is adapted from ref. 47 with permission from American Chemical Society, Copyright (2014). (c) is adapted from ref. 25 with permission from American Chemical Society, Copyright (2010). (d) and (e) are adapted from ref. 40 and 39 with permission from Springer Nature, Nature Photonics, Copyright (2018) and American Chemical Society, Copyright (2011), respectively.

center can be approximated to be dipolar and quadrupolar decaying with the distance *r* according to the following equation:

$$E_{\rm nf} = \frac{2\alpha E_0}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3} + \frac{3\beta \dot{E}_0}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^4} + \dots \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are polarizability tensors of dipole and quadrupole, respectively. The plasmonic nearfield directly affects the electronic transitions and consequently the emission properties of the optical emitters in close proximity.<sup>21</sup>

By bringing two metal nanoparticle/disc in proximity of each other, the nearfield of one can interact with the other one. In a way that the electric field felt by each nanoparticle/ disc is equal to  $E_0 + E_{nf}$  instead of  $E_0$ . As a result of this interaction the two, neighboring nanoparticle/disc will be coupled. This coupling of oscillations is called plasmon coupling and is polarization-dependent which can be manifested in shifts of wavelength in absorption spectra.<sup>26,27</sup> Consider a polarized incident light with direction parallel to the inter-particle/disc axis shine on

nanoparticle/disc pillar, the plasmon resonance will be redshifted relative to the resonance of individual nanoparticle/disc. Lowering the gap-size between the particles/discs will results in larger red-shift. This polarization dependence of the plasmon coupling in nanostructure pillars is analogues to the absorption spectra shifts in organic molecules upon oligomerization.<sup>25</sup>

While metals such as Au and Ag have been extensively studied as plasmonic materials and have been reviewed elsewhere,<sup>28–30</sup> novel materials that exhibit two-dimensional plasmons such as graphene are currently explored as potential plasmonic materials in biosensors.<sup>31</sup> Graphene addresses issues such as limited tunability and large ohmic losses in coupling of electromagnetic waves to the charge excitation at the surface of sub-wavelength metallic nanostructures and offer tighter confinement and higher tunability *via* electrostatic gating compared to common metallic nanostructures along with longer propagation distances.<sup>32,33</sup> Graphene plasmons have vastly attracted investigations both in the area of fundamental properties<sup>31,34,35</sup> and their potential applications in biosensing.<sup>36</sup>

An undoped graphene monolayer has a constant broadband absorption equal to 2.3%.<sup>37,38</sup> The optical absorption of graphene is tunable via electrical gating by applying a voltage to shift the electronic Fermi level. Fig. 1d shows a representative schematic demonstration of a fabricated ion-gel-gated monolayer graphene transistor covered by ion-gel and voltage biased by a top gate. In this example, gold was used as electrode material and fused silica was used as substrate. Fig. 1e represents a schematic diagram demonstrating the modulation of the Fermi level of single-layer graphene via an applied electrical field. The positions of the Fermi level and Dirac point are equal in undoped monolayer graphene. When a negative/positive gate voltage is applied, the Fermi level of graphene will shift lower/upper vs. the Dirac point, which results in negative/ positive doping of the graphene monolayer. This further opens up the possibility of controlling of its optical properties in selected spectral regimes.<sup>39,40</sup> Highly doped graphene has been recently investigated as a potential plasmonic material candidate because of its unique, tunable electrical properties.<sup>41</sup> It has shown promising plasmonic properties in the mid-infrared and terahertz spectral regions.41-43 Graphene plasmon polaritons features stronger light-matter interaction, slow light propagation, high Purcell factor, and single photon non-linearities that have been fundamentally reviewed previously.<sup>31,34,44</sup> Tunable optical properties of graphene that enable tunable plasmonic properties of this material via the applied gate voltage leads to tunable light-matter interaction which can be used in ON/OFF switch sensors.45

## 3. Potential for improvement of plasmonic biosensors for applications at the point of need

Over the past decades, plasmonic biosensors have been studied and their performance characterized for numerous

applications in health, food safety, and environment monitoring. One particular area of interest is for point of need (PON) applications. PON applications, which include point of care, are applications where diagnosis or monitoring is needed, with recommendations for potential treatment, remediation, or follow up to a more specialized institution. Low cost, high sensitivity and specificity, fast response, possibility for multiplexed detection,<sup>48</sup> and relative simplicity in operation make plasmonic biosensors more attractive for these applications over traditional biosensors.

The development and use of plasmonic biosensors for PON applications involves multiple steps, such as design and fabrication of substrates (Fig. 2a), functionalization of substrates and preparation of samples, and detection of a signal indicating the presence and concentration of the analytes (Fig. 2b). The working principles of these biosensors at each of these steps are described below, together with opportunities to improve their performance.

#### 3.1. Basic concepts of biosensing

To evaluate the performance of biosensors, basic concepts are commonly used such as sensitivity, selectivity, receiver operating characteristic curve, limit of detection, figure of merit, and reproducibility. Here we provide a table summarizing their general definitions and the specific meaning in the context of plasmonic biosensing. The method of quantification (if applicable) is then provided in each specific case. In addition to these factors, specific factors related to plasmonic biosensors can be defined, such as the SERS enhancement factor, which is also discussed in the table below.

#### 3.2. Materials

The selection of plasmonic materials as a medium for generating SPR or LSPR plays an important role in biosensing. As mentioned above, plasmonic phenomena emerge when light interacts at the interface between metals and dielectric materials. In order to choose the optimum plasmonic material, several factors need to be considered: the loss, the reactivity, the resonant region (plasmon tuning range), and the tunability of optical properties.

3.2.1. Losses. There are two types of losses in metals based on the specific mechanisms of interaction: ohmic loss indicating the resistance for flowing electrons (described by the carrier mobility, which needs to be high for good plasmonic materials), and optical loss rising from electronic transit (intra- and inter-band losses, which needs to be low). In addition, the carrier concentration is another material property that is important in the optimization of the material, as it determines the wavelength range the material is active. High carrier concentrations in metals leads to response in the visible and UV range, while low carrier concentration in semiconductor materials and graphene leads to a response in the infrared to THz range. Ohmic losses are the dominant loss at high frequencies.<sup>50</sup> At optical frequencies, the metals suffer from the optical losses caused by the interband and intraband transition. Optical losses in metals occur when free electrons



Fig. 2 Schematics of biosensing based on plasmonic materials. The preparation of measurements: functionalized substrates (based on antibody/ antigen, aptamers, and molecular imprinting) of different materials and structures (a) and various detection methods (b).

transit from an occupied state to an unoccupied state.<sup>51</sup> For metals with high electrical conductivity such as silver, copper and gold, their ohmic losses are relatively low. Although most metals have low ohmic losses and high electrical conductivities, the optical loss is still a challenge. For example, silver and gold have the best electrical conductivity, but the optical losses are still an important obstacle for their development in plasmonic device.<sup>52</sup> An ideal plasmonic material that would exhibit low ohmic and optical losses are not available yet, and therefore more research is dedicated to identify alternate materials (besides metals), such as graphene.<sup>53</sup> However, graphene has low carrier concentrations and therefore is typically active in the THz spectral range.

3.2.2. Chemical activity. This is also an important factor that affects the plasmonic materials. In terms of activity, these materials are divided into two groups based on their reactivity compared with hydrogen. Gold, silver, mercury, and copper are considered less reactive metals while other metals such as aluminum, iron and zinc are more reactive. The most relevant examples that highlight the significance of chemical activity are alkali metals such as sodium and potassium. They exhibit low losses that are comparable with silver and gold. However, their extreme reactivity makes it difficult to store or fabricate these materials.<sup>54</sup> Au is, on the other hand, very stable in air. In contrast, Ag and Cu are less stable when exposed to air as they oxidize easily in the presence of air.55 Another important property of plasmonic materials is their potential catalytic activity that may be useful in certain applications. For example, platinum and palladium have been used as plasmonic materials in systems where the catalytic activity of the plasmonic material is important to the overall device functionality.54

**3.2.3. Plasmon tuning range.** The plasmon tuning range is the optical spectral region where the plasmons generated at

the metal interface can be resonant with the incident light. In addition to the carrier concentration of the material, tunability can be realized by adjusting the size, shape, and spatial distribution of the structures within the substrates.<sup>56</sup> The resonance can significantly enhance the desired signal when incident light interacts with the plasmonic materials in the resonance range. Once beyond the resonance range, the losses increase rapidly, making the materials lose their ability to enhance the signal. For practical purposes, with wider tuning range, more applications can be explored. Compared to gold, silver has larger plasmonic tunable range throughout the visible range and extends in the UV range down to 350 nm.<sup>13,47</sup> The range can be further extended in the UV by using aluminum, which allows plasmonic resonances down to approximately 200 nm.47

Considering the drawbacks of metals, researchers are also searching for other materials as the substitute of metals. Due to low carrier concentration, it is difficult to observe plasmons in NIR or visible range for semiconductors.55 However, graphene can potentially address this limitation due to their unique plasmon dispersion properties that describe the relation between carrier concentration and Fermi energy,<sup>55</sup> which can be tuned by doping. Graphene has a very high absorption capability with linear dispersion of Dirac fermions enabling changing optical properties using electric gating.41,57 Koppens et al. showed that in doped graphene the electrical and mechanical properties entail partly from its Dirac fermions charge (charge carriers at zero effective mass), which allow micrometer-range travelling of charges without scattering. The surface plasmons bound to the surface of doped graphene facilitate strong light-matter interactions due to very small plasmon confinements in relation to the diffraction limit. In addition, extremely strong light-matter interaction is

attainable at the quantum level.<sup>31</sup> Thus, an advantageous tunable surface plasmon spectra with hundreds of optical cycles can be achieved. With sufficient large doping, it is possible to achieve low losses at NIR frequencies.<sup>58</sup>

Low *et al.* reviewed approaches to chemically, electrically and solid electrolyte gating of graphene to achieve higher concentration of free carriers per atom and using plasmonic graphene as substitute for plasmonic noble metals in various applications such as modulators, notch filters and mid-infrared photodetectors.<sup>43</sup>

#### 3.3. Structures

The plasmonic properties of the substrates in terms of the resonance frequency and strength of the electric field are affected not only by the materials but also by the structures of substrates such as size, shape and geometry. As mentioned in eqn (2), the electric field near the surface is related to polarizability, which can be calculated using the dielectric function of medium and the size of the substrate.<sup>59</sup> Recent research has been devoted to the study of substrate structures, from 0D to 3D (Fig. 2a and 3), from nanoparticles with different shapes (spheres, semi-spheres, pyramids, nano-stars, *etc.*) to the deposition of plasmonic materials on a series of substrates with different nanostructures.

**3.3.1. 0D and 1D materials.** Among the simplest structures widely used as plasmonic substrate are metallic nanoparticles

(NPs), which are 0D and 1D materials that exhibit significant enhancement of electromagnetic field due to localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) generated on the surface of NPs by electromagnetic fields.<sup>29</sup>

When working with a nanosphere with a certain radius, the plasmonic properties are easy to understand by calculating the polarizability, which is related to the electric field. Among nanoparticles, noble metals such as silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) are most usually used since they can enhance SERS signals more significantly.<sup>13,60,61</sup>

When nanoparticles are designed in other shapes that are more complex, such as nanostars nanofingers,<sup>62,63</sup> and nanorods,<sup>64–68</sup> the plasmonic properties of the substrate are influenced by the shapes as well. For example, the plasmonic properties of nanorods depend very much on the ratio of the semi axes and head shape,<sup>69</sup> while the characterization of nanostars and nanofingers have to consider the tips and the center parts at the same time.<sup>29</sup> On the other hand, core–shell structures,<sup>65,70–72</sup> can be considered as a single sphere with an equivalent radius.<sup>73</sup>

**3.3.2. 2D** materials. On the other hand, 2D substrates are made in thin 2D films or sheets. They utilize propagating surface plasmons and present very unique properties by means of confining the free electrons in proximity of metal surface.<sup>74</sup> Typically, SPR biosensors are mostly based on gold or silver films. This feature makes them more suitable for bulk analytes compared to molecules.



**Fig. 3** EM images of AuNPs deposited on carbonized paper (a), side view of a SERS sensor consisting of nanoporous PS-*b*-P2VP nanorods functionalized with AuNPs connected to a nanoporous PS-*b*-P2VP membrane (b), Au nanorods (c), MoS<sub>2</sub>/silver nanodisk hybrid structure on a Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate (d), and Au-deposited quasi-3D plasmonic crystal (e). (a) is adapted from ref. 60 with permission from Elsevier Copyright (2017). (b) is adapted from ref. 99 with permission from John Wiley and Sons, Copyright (2017). (c) is adapted from ref. 69 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry, Copyright (2013). (d) is adapted from ref. 100 with permission from American Chemical Society, Copyright (2016). (e) is adapted by from ref. 81 with permission from Springer Nature, Nature Communications, Copyright (2011).

#### **Tutorial Review**

Other 2D structures include graphene and graphene oxide (GO), which show promising potential for SERS technology due to the high ratio of surface to volume, good electrical performance, and high affinity for some molecules.<sup>75,76</sup> Graphene grown on Cu foils was used as the deposition substrate for Au nanoislands, which increases the enhancement factor.<sup>77</sup>

In addition to graphene, silicon is also considered as a potential substrate for plasmonic materials. For example, silicon nanowires (SiNWs) exhibit a number of unique properties such as the biocompatibility, the fast response and the reproducibility that make it ideal alternative for the biosensors.<sup>78</sup>

3.3.3. 3D materials. Metals at nanoscale such as nanoparticles or nanorods are usually designed to generate so called 'hot spots', which create intense localization of surface plasmons and the enhancement is significantly increased. To generate more hot spots, high dimensional nanostructures are designed and fabricated recently, such as 3D structures. Wei et al. reviewed different types of nanostructures that give rise to hot spots.79,80 3D nanostructure-based plasmonic biosensors show increased sensitivity due increased availability of hot spots.<sup>81</sup> Based on a series of different structures as substrate or template such as latex particles array,<sup>82,83</sup> polystyrene nanospheres,<sup>84</sup> polymer nanofingers<sup>63</sup> beads,<sup>84,85</sup> and compact disks<sup>74</sup> to form various structures such as 3D nanocups<sup>86,87</sup> or 3D nanobowls (exosome detection),<sup>88</sup> metallic films are shaped to get high density of hot spots. With an etching mask providing quasi-periodic nanostructures, Au deposition generated hot spots of high density.<sup>89</sup>

Alternatively, 3D structures can be designed and fabricated by combining nanoparticles with 3D substrates and templates. These materials can be made by deposition or other methods. For example, AgNPs or AuNPs can be deposited on paper/ paper fiber,<sup>90,91</sup> silicon wafer,<sup>89</sup> glass,<sup>92</sup> or biological materials with unique structures such as cicada wing segment with patterned protrusion,<sup>93</sup> dragonfly wing with disordered morpha,<sup>94</sup> and Mytilus coruscus shell offering several types of micro/ nano-structures,<sup>93</sup> crystal biosilica diatoms<sup>95–97</sup> and even on modified Nafion leafs.<sup>95</sup> In addition to deposition, metallic nanoparticles can also be encapsulated into mesoporous silica structure.<sup>98</sup> In these case, the plasmonic modes rise from the electromagnetic coupling of nanoparticles oriented on the nanostructured substrates.<sup>73</sup>

**3.3.4.** Fabrication of plasmonic nanostructures. A variety of methods has been used for the fabrication of plasmonic nanostructures depending on the dimensions of the plasmonic features. In general, there are two classes of fabrication techniques: bottom up and top down approaches. In the bottom up approach the plasmonic features are made *via* chemical/electrochemical methods from their precursors. For example, the metal nanoparticles (0D) can be produced through a chemical reaction, where the particle is reduced from metal ions by a reducing agent.<sup>101</sup> The shape, size and surface chemistry of the synthesized nanoparticles can be adjusted accordingly. On the other hand, the top down approach involves the breakdown of the materials from large-scale into nano-scale

structures.<sup>102</sup> For instance, a variety of lithography techniques including soft lithography, nanosphere lithography,<sup>103</sup> and electron beam lithography<sup>104</sup> have been used widely to fabricate different plasmonic features such as nanoholes, nanoposts, nano-cones, and nano-bowties.<sup>18</sup> In addition, fabrication of 3D plasmonic nanostructured materials usually involves a deposition step which can be performed using multiple techniques such as magnetic sputtering<sup>94</sup> and oblique angle deposition<sup>64</sup> for metallic plasmonic structures and chemical vapor deposition for graphene.<sup>36</sup>

#### 3.4. Functionalization of substrates

Due to the nature of the signal enhancement, which depends on the electric field near the plasmonic structure, the signal intensity is very sensitive to the distance between samples and substrates. Therefore, careful design of the surface chemistry is very important in the detection of analytes of interest. Substrates can be classified into two broad types according to whether or not they are utilizing a biorecognition element: functionalized and non-functionalized. Functionalized substrates use molecular interactions for specific detection (such as antibodies, aptamers, or other small molecules designed to specifically attach to the targeted analyte), and the analyte binding to the biorecognition element will cause a change in the detected signal.<sup>105</sup> There are mainly three main types of functionalization based respectively on antibody, aptamer, and molecular template. Antibody/antigen-based functionalization is based on the specific noncovalent binding of the antibody to the molecule of interest. To further improve the sensitivity, a secondary antibody construct can be applied to amplify the detection signal.<sup>106</sup> The drawback of this approach, though, is the fact that the analyte may be localized, after binding, too far from the plasmonic substrate to be detected. Aptamers, on the other hand, are oligonucleotides that have the ability to fold into smaller 3D structures that enable them to specifically bind to the analyte of interest via electrostatic interactions and localize the analyte closer to the substrate. However, these two methods mentioned above are usually not suitable for detecting small biological molecules.<sup>107</sup> One reason for this is the fact that the recognition site in the biorecognition element may be at a distance from the surface of the plasmonic structure. In this case, the signal generated by the small target molecule decays rapidly with the distance, as described by eqn (2), and the signal may be too weak to be detected. An alternative approach for small molecule detection is by using molecular imprinting technologies. The template molecule (the analyte to be detected) is used to generate a specific shape hole in the template polymer leading to specific detection of the analyte.107

Another method to address the limitation of sensitivity is the use of non-functionalized substrates. In this approach, the molecules of interest may bind directly to the plasmonic structure and generate a measurable signal. Multiple binding analytes may pose a problem in this case that limits the specificity of detection. The advantage though is the fact that higher enhancement factors can be achieved due to closer proximity of the analyte to the plasmonic substrate.<sup>108</sup>

#### 3.5. Detection methods

Analyst

Based on the detection method, plasmonic biosensors can be divided into two types: SPR-based on flat thin film and LSPR-based including scattering detection such as SERS, and absorption, transmission, reflectance detection. In addition, fluorescence based detection also draws a lot of attention.<sup>109</sup>

3.5.1. SPR. SPR is a label-free method that uses SPPs to quantify molecular interactions. When light interacts with thin metallic films (usually below 200 nm), SPPs are generated as described earlier.9 When incident light at a certain angle (resonance angle) is absorbed by conduction electrons in thin metal film or other conducting materials, causing them to resonate. When resonance occurs, the light is absorbed at this SPR angle. This results in the significant reduction of reflectivity.<sup>110</sup> SPR angle is dependent on the refractive index of the medium. Consequently, when the refractive index of the substrate, typically thin metallic films, changes, reflected light that are not absorbed will be detected, indicating the change of refractive index, which can be caused by the absorption of target molecules to the probes functionalized on the metallic films<sup>111</sup> (Fig. 4(a-c)). Therefore, SPR biosensors are very sensitive to the change of refractive index of dielectric due to the absorption event of analytes in the vicinity of the metal surface.<sup>104</sup> SPR biosensing is one of the mostly used techniques as label-free detection method that avoids using specific tags or dyes.112,113

Biosensing methods based on SPR use total internal reflection of incident light at the metal-dielectric interface and the generation of SPPs at certain angles. While several configurations are possible, for an SPR system based on a prism coupler, the wavevector of the evanescent field in response to an electromagnetic wave of wavelength  $\lambda$  incident at an angle  $\theta$  propagating along (parallel to) the interface of prism-metal is related to the refractive index of the prism and incident angle.<sup>114</sup>

$$k_{\rm evan} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} n_{\rm p} \sin(\theta) \tag{3}$$

where  $n_{\rm p}$  is the refractive index of the prism.

Surface plasma wave (SPW) is an electromagnetic wave that propagates along the interface between metal and dielectric. The wavevector can be described as eqn (4):<sup>115</sup>

$$k_{\rm SP} = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm M} \varepsilon_{\rm D}}{\varepsilon_{\rm M} + \varepsilon_{\rm D}}} \tag{4}$$

where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency of the wave, c is the light speed under vacuum,  $\varepsilon_{\rm M}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\rm D}$  indicate the dielectric functions of metal and dielectric around metal, respectively. The dielectric function is related to the refractive index n by expression:  $n^2 = \varepsilon \mu$ , where  $\mu$  indicates the relative permeability and the value is close to 1 at optical frequencies. Therefore, the dielectric function can be described as  $\varepsilon = n^2$ .

Resonance occurs when  $k_{SP} = k_{evan}$ , thus, the incident angle, or the SPR angle necessary for resonance occurrence can be calculated by the equations mentioned above:

$$\theta_{\rm SPR} = \arcsin\left(\frac{1}{n_{\rm p}}\sqrt{\frac{n_{\rm D}^2\varepsilon_{\rm M}}{n_{\rm D}^2 + \varepsilon_{\rm M}}}\right) \tag{5}$$

where  $n_{\rm D}$  is the refractive index of dielectric.

To improve the sensitivity of SPR biosensors, *i.e.*, to increase the change in SPR angle or wavelength results from the change of refractive index due to the absorption of analyte on the interface of metal and dielectric,<sup>116</sup> substrates as the sensing platform play an important role, as indicated in eqn (5), where the dielectric functions of the metal is involved. Gold and silver thin films are most commonly used metals due to their low loss compared to other metals. Graphene also



Fig. 4 The schematic of the mechanism of SPR (a-c) and LSPR (d-f). (a), (b), (e) and (f) are adapted from ref. 120 with permission from Elsevier, Copyright (2016).

shows enhancement on SPR sensing.<sup>117</sup> Another way to improve the performance is to coat the substrates with dielectric layer.<sup>118</sup> On the other hand, SPR signal can also be amplified by utilizing plasmonic nanoparticles. By binding nanoparticles, such as Au, the detected SPR signal can be enhanced due to the localized and propagating surface plasmon.<sup>119</sup>

LSPR. Different from traditional SPR sensors that are based on metallic films, LSPR is generated usually on metallic nanoparticles or structures that generate localized electromagnetic fields where the decay length is much shorter (Fig. 4(d–f)). This confinement enhances the electric field at nanoscale around the metal nanoparticles, and such LSPR is sensitive to molecular binding especially for some small biological molecules.<sup>121</sup>

**3.5.2. Absorption/transmission.** The way light interacts with matter is related to the medium it passes. Not only the reflectance and scattering are useful for the determination of samples on plasmonic substrates, but other types of interactions of light with the material, such as absorption and transmission, also provide information about the samples, therefore raising interest from the research community as cheaper and simpler methods for real life applications.<sup>122</sup>

3.5.3. Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS). Another way for LSPR analyte detection is by using Raman spectroscopy, which is based on inelastic scattering of light when it interacts with vibrations of chemical bonds. The frequency of scattered photons is different from the frequency of the incident photons, and it can be detected via a spectrometer and a CCD detector. Normal Raman spectroscopy suffers from weak signal since the Raman scattering cross section is very low compared with fluorescence. One way to solve this problem is by using the phenomenon of enhancement or amplification of the Raman signal by strong electric fields, which leads to the technique of SERS. SERS utilizes plasmonic substrates such as metallic nanoparticles and nanostructures to enhance Raman scattering. Since the discovery of this amplification phenomenon,<sup>123</sup> it has been used as a tool for sensing in a variety of applications. There are two primary mechanisms responsible for SERS enhancement: electromagnetic and chemical.<sup>124</sup> Electromagnetic enhancement is generally considered as the dominant mechanism. Electromagnetic enhancement occurs due to the enhanced local electromagnetic field on the substrate surface. When incident electric field (light) is applied on the molecule of interest, a dipole is induced in the molecule. Meanwhile, the plasmonic substrate (e.g., particles) nearby the molecule also exhibits a dipole upon the interaction with light. The dipole moment of the molecule can then be calculated by the polarizability of both dipoles and the incident electric field, which then in turn contribute to the electric field leading to the increase in the effective Raman polarizability. Raman enhancement is determined by the derivatives of the dipole moment of the molecule and the dipole moment of the particle. It becomes stronger when resonant oscillations are generated on the surface of substrate. The scattering cross section positively related to the Raman polarizability is then improved,<sup>125</sup> which then leads to

stronger scattering intensity.<sup>126</sup> On the other hand, chemical enhancement is mainly dependent on the adsorption of chemical molecules to the proximity of substrate surface so that the interactions between electrons from the substrate and the molecule are allowed to happen.<sup>127</sup>

Similar to SPR, for LSPR and SERS, the localized electric field relies on the dielectric and plasmonic nanostructures.<sup>20,128</sup> The extinction spectrum for a sphere (with diameter a) can be described as below:<sup>20</sup>

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{24\pi^2 N a^3 \varepsilon_{\rm D}^{3/2}}{\lambda \ln(10)} \frac{\mathrm{Im}[\varepsilon_{\rm M}(\lambda)]}{(\mathrm{Re}[\varepsilon_{\rm M}(\lambda)] + \chi \varepsilon_{\rm D})^2 + \mathrm{Im}[\varepsilon_{\rm M}(\lambda)]^2} \qquad (6)$$

where  $\chi$  is the shape factor and *N* is the electron density. As shown here, the geometry, such as the shape and size, and the dielectric function of the nanostructures should be taken into consideration when developing a LSPR biosensor. As discussed before in section 3.2 (structures), various nanostructures including nanoparticles and nanostructures have been demonstrated.<sup>129</sup> In addition to the structures, bringing analytes as close as possible to the substrates is also important for both SPR and LSPR. Thus, the functionalization (as discussed before) is also explored by researchers.

Both SPR- and LSPR-based techniques show potential to be used towards PON applications due to their high sensitivity and specificity. From a practical perspective, more advances in fabrication methods are needed to reduce the fabrication complexity, achieve better defined and controlled nanostructures. Moreover, the use of portable spectrometers that can now be on the size of a cell phone also makes these techniques better candidates for PON applications.

3.5.4. Photoluminescence. Photoluminescence occurs when electrons are excited by the incident photons at a certain moment and then undergo radiative relaxation. During this process, photons are re-emitted.<sup>130</sup> The luminescence is from either the intrinsic properties of the analytes or the luminescent labels tagged to specific analytes. The intrinsic (endogenous) luminescence is mostly weak, thus it has low sensitivity. For this reason, there are not many biosensors that are developed based on intrinsic luminescence. Another option to avoid this issue is to use fluorophore tags (exogenous fluorophores) that have high fluorescence quantum yield. When the exogenous fluorophore is utilized, luminescence-based optical biosensors need to capture the analytes close to the fluorophore tags.130 Fluorescence-based biosensors, as a type of luminescent biosensors (with shorter lifetimes in the ns or sub-ns range), have been widely used over the past decades.<sup>131</sup> The fluorescence signal of the analyte or label can also be enhanced by coupling with the confined surface plasmons generated on plasmonics active substrates.<sup>132</sup> The quantum yield of fluorescence is determined by the radiative decay rate (when an electron goes back to ground state from excitation with photon emission) and non-radiative decay rate (when an electron goes back to ground state without photon emission). When a fluorophore is near the surface of the plasmonic materials that supports surface plasmons, both radiative and

non-radiative decay rate would be changed due to the change of LDOS, which hence changes the quantum yield.<sup>131</sup> The interaction between the fluorophore and localized/propagating SPs can increase the intensity of the fluorescence by increasing the excitation rate and fluorescence quantum yield. However, when the fluorophore is too close to the plasmonic material surface, Förster energy transfer between them will lead to strong quenching and thus leads to lower fluorescence quantum yield. Therefore, plasmonic substrates providing optimal field enhancement are of interest when designing fluorescence biosensors. Plasmon-enhanced fluorescence biosensors can be divided into two types, based on SPs and LSPs enhancement. Metallic films such as Ag and Au are commonly used for SP enhanced fluorescence biosensor, while metallic nanoparticles, nanoholes, and other nanostructures are generally used for LSP enhanced fluorescence biosensors.<sup>71,131</sup>

Fluorescence biosensors utilize exogenous fluorophores to record and measure analyte binding events. They provide high sensitivity and specificity in the presence of interfering substances, but require additional preparation steps (labeling with the fluorophore), which may in certain situations increase the complexity of the biosensor, which may decrease its possibility to be used in PON applications.

**3.5.5.** Other techniques. In addition to these techniques, other related optical techniques such as Surface Enhanced Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy (SEIRAS) and Tip Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (TERS) are presented below. Furthermore, techniques aimed for ultrasensitive detection such as single molecule detection and chiral plasmonics are described as well.

**SEIRAS** utilizes the enhancement of the absorption of infrared light by the molecule when the molecule is adsorbed on nanostructured metal films.<sup>133</sup> The enhancement is attributed to the excitation of the localized surface plasmon resonance, the orientation of the vibrational dipole of the analyte, and the change of the polarizability of the analyte. But the main mechanism is usually considered as the electromagnetic enhancement from the plasmon resonance excitation of the metallic film.<sup>134</sup>

The most commonly used sensor configuration for SEIRAS measurement is known as metal underlayer configuration, where the metallic layer is under the sample but on the supporting substrate. With this arrangement, the analytes can be measured either in transmission configuration or attenuated total reflection (ATR) configuration. For transmission measurements,<sup>135</sup> the thickness of the metal film is less than 10 nm, allowing for a large portion of the light to be transmitted, while for ATR configuration the thickness can be up to a few hundred nanometers.

As a surface sensitive technique, SEIRAS is commonly used for near-field monolayer analysis, especially for the functional and structural study of membrane proteins.<sup>134</sup> However, considering the rapid decrease of signal with the distance from the surface, the analyte has to be tethered extremely close to metal surface. Therefore, to improve the enhancement, molecules can be modified with high affinitive groups to metal surface such as thiol group or carboxyl group.<sup>134</sup>

However, applications to the measurement of analytes in solutions are usually challenging due to the low infrared absorption of the analyte and the interference of the strong absorption band of water. Recently, plasmonic nanoantennas were designed and fabricated to address these problems by enhancing the absorption. For example, Naomi J. Halas et al. designed antennas consisting of bowtie-shaped nanostructure with a sub-3 nm gap, which confine the mid-IR radiation and yield a high enhancement factor theoretically up to  $10^7$  for the SEIRA signal.<sup>136</sup> Moreover, Hatice Altug's group has recently reviewed the concepts and the engineering of the plasmonic nanoantennas.<sup>137</sup> This group has also reported a platform for molecular barcoding with pixelated dielectric metasurfaces<sup>138</sup> and a sensor utilizing Au nanoantennas with near-field enhancement up to 1000 fold for the amide and methylene infrared bands, which allows for resolving the interaction of lipid membranes with different polypeptides in real time and distinguishing multiple analytes with high sensitivity.<sup>139</sup> These developments provide unique opportunities for fingerprinting of various molecules complementary to Raman spectroscopy.

SEIRAS offers lower enhancement factor compared to SERS, which makes it less competitive towards PON applications. It still offers high sensitivity, thus for some applications with moderate demand for sensitivity, it is still applicable.

TERS. TERS is a special approach in SERS where the enhancement happens only at the tip of plasmonic cantilever. The mechanism of TERS enhancement is similar to that observed in SERS and is due to localized electromagnetic filed and chemical enhancement. The metallic nanotip is responsible for generating the electromagnetic field that is needed in Raman enhancement and allows simultaneously for high spatial resolution, while in other approaches such as SERS the plasmonic substrates with rough surface allows for field enhancement but no increased spatial resolution. The optical setup for TERS detection combines a typical micro-Raman system with a high-NA objective and an AFM controller to align the metallic nanotip on the sample surface within the laser focus.<sup>140</sup> As a sensitive spectroscopy technique at the nanometer scale, TERS allows for the identification and characterization of analytes such as biomolecules or bioparticles. For example, Deckert's group exploited this technique for the discrimination of two viruses at single particle level by collecting TERS spectra and then classifying them with chemometric methods.<sup>141</sup> Meanwhile, they also performed the identification of DNA,<sup>142</sup> where TERS signal obtained with a silver tip and gold substrate can reach sub-nanometer resolution that enables the demonstration of the sequencing of singlestranded DNA molecules. This further indicates that TERS has the potential for high resolution Raman imaging of nanostructures and for the sequencing of other biopolymers of interest such as RNA and polypeptides. Lagugné-Labarthet et al. also utilized TERS to investigate DNA in different environments (such as free or in plasmid) and achieved a spatial resolution down to 8 nm.<sup>143</sup> In addition to the application to bioanalytes, they also explored the characterization of

biominerals *via* TERS, such as the interactions of the phosphoprotein osteopontin (OPN) with calcium oxalate monohydrate (COM) crystals.<sup>144</sup> In this last application, TERS was uniquely able to help understand the competitive processes of COM growth and inhibition by OPN. Furthermore, TERS shows promising potential for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease by distinguishing the natural  $Ab_{1-42}$  fibrils (wild type) that are related to Alzheimer's disease from two other toxic mutants, *i.e.*, L34T and oG37C.<sup>145</sup> Since the proportion of amino acids in these three peptides is similar, it is very critical to examine characteristic Raman amide I and amide III bands of which the intensities are different in these peptides.

Compared to SERS, the enhancement factor of TERS comparable but with a higher spatial resolution since it addresses the issue of optical diffraction limit of spatial resolution observed in SERS.<sup>146</sup> While TERS provides the above-mentioned advantages *vs.* SERS, it is complex, expansive, and complicated to use, and therefore not suitable for PON applications.

Single molecule detection has been achieved for SERS detection, enabling a more profound and fundamental understanding the interaction between the plasmonic surface and the analyte. Moreover, it allows for high sensitivity (low LOD), which is essential for the development of biosensors. Single molecule detection is possible when individual molecules are captured and detected in a confined volume, or when sparsely distributed molecules are detected in imaging mode. Fluorescence based techniques are often used due to the availability of strong signals (large quantum yield) in the presence of a dark background.<sup>147</sup> Single molecule SERS detection has also drawn a lot of attention during the past decades due to the high enhancement factor within the hot spots. Compared to single molecule fluorescence detection, single molecule SERS avoids the labeling with fluorophores or dyes. Meikun Fan et al. optimized the distribution of AgNPs aggregates evenly on glass surface, used as SERS substrate, and achieved nearly single molecule detection.<sup>148</sup> Another way to achieve (near) single molecule detection is to generate hot spots that capture a single molecule such that the signal from this molecule dominates the signal from neighboring molecules due to much stronger enhancement.<sup>149</sup> In addition, research has shown that SPR-based techniques show potential for single molecule detection by reducing the collection area using a smaller aperture.<sup>150</sup> The ability to image single molecules by SERS has been recently exploited for the development of biosensors. The main advantage comes from the fact that the LOD can theoretically become as low as one single molecule, as long as a calibration curve can be generated for quantification of the signal. In this case, plots of number of pixels that exhibit SERS signal (or alternatively the total intensity of those pixels) versus the concentration may provide the means for the quantification. However, elaborate sample preparation methods need to be used, as well as expensive and complicated detection schemes need to be applied to record the signal, which makes this technique unsuitable to PON applications.

*Chiral plasmonics.* Chirality refers to the asymmetry property of some biomolecules, such as some amino acids and carbohydrates, which are not superimposable with their mirror images and only exist in one handedness. This property can induce a phenomenon called circular dichroism (CD) that refers to different optical responses of the chiral structures to right- or left-handed circularly polarized light (RCP and LCP).<sup>151</sup> Techniques based on this phenomenon have emerged in recent years, such as CD spectroscopy, which measures the difference of the absorbance between left- and right-circularly polarized light. CD spectroscopy can be used for structural identification, chiral sensing, and medical diagnosis of biomarkers of interest.<sup>152</sup> However, the chiral optical response of natural molecules is typically weak, which makes it challenging for CD spectroscopy to become mainstream.

It has been shown that the CD spectroscopy signal can be enhanced when the chiral molecule of interest is in the vicinity with metallic nanoparticles due to near field enhancement and it is known as plasma induced chirality.<sup>152</sup> In addition, when the plasmonic nanoparticles are arranged in chiral geometries, strong plasmonic chirality is generated. There are already review articles discussing the fundamental and progress in chiral plasmonics.<sup>153,154</sup> We would like to comment here that chiral plasmonic biosensing is now still in a development stage. Theoretical and experimental studies showing a more comprehensive understanding of this phenomenon are needed for designing chiral plasmonic biosensing systems with better reproducibility. Thus, while possible, it is likely too early to apply chiral plasmonics in PON applications.

## 4. Applications

Plasmonic materials are applied in many different biosensing areas. Table 1 shows a brief summary based on reported applications within the past 5 years. We review publications aimed at the characterization of substrates and (bio)materials, as well as applications to environment monitoring, diagnosis, and food safety. We highlight the analyte of interest, whether or not they were functionalized, detection methods that were used, the substrates used in these measurements, as well as the sensitivity, specificity, ease of fabrication, response and cost (if applicable).

#### 4.1. Characterization of substrates and (bio)materials

There are numerous studies dedicated to the characterization of plasmonic substrates and materials before these can be applied to any area of application. These studies provide a good indication about the performance for potential application and allows for further optimization for targeted real applications. Based on the specific area of application, the analytes include proteins, DNA, bacteria, viruses, and other biological particles such as exosomes.<sup>13,155</sup>

For different detection methods, certain test molecules are generally used for the characterization of plasmonic substrates. For example, 4-ATP and Rhodamine 6G are normally

Table 1	Basic concepts	for the characteriz	ation of plasmonic	biosensors
---------	----------------	---------------------	--------------------	------------

Concept	General definition	Plasmonic biosensor	Calculation
Sensitivity	For medical diagnosis: the probability of detecting the analyte (TPR) The term is also used as the minimum concentration of the analyte that can be detected	LOD, or How the measured signal changes in responding to varying concentration of the analyte	TPR = TP/P for medical diagnosis, or the slope of the calibration plot
Specificity	For medical diagnosis: true negative rate The ability to distinguish the analyte in presence of other components	The biosensor is able to detect and differentiate the analyte of interest in the presence of other interfering substances	TNR = TN/N for medical diagnosis
ROC	A tool to evaluate the classification performance	The performance balance between sensitivity and false positive rate	ROC curve is true positive rate <i>versus</i> false positive rate
LOD	The lowest quantity of the analyte that can be detected reliably	The minimum quantity/concentration of the analyte that can be detected reliably	The ratio of 3 times SD of blank to the slope of calibration plot <sup>49</sup>
FoM	A factor used to characterize the performance of a device, or materials, <i>etc.</i>	The parameter that can be used to characterize the biosensing performance such as sensitivity, LOD <i>etc</i> .	LSPR: The sensitivity of the refractive index in terms of change in resonance divided by resonance linewidth SPR: Sensitivity (slope) divided by FWHM
Reproducibility	The consistency of measurements with same methodology	The ability to obtain identical measurements from sample to sample, time to time, spot to spot	RSD or CV
EF	The enhancement of SERS signal compared to Raman signal	The parameter to evaluate the enhancement of the Raman signal in the presence of plasmonic structures	The ratio between the signal detected in the presence of the plasmonic materials to the signal detected in the absence of it

Abbreviation: LOD: limit of detection; P: positive; N: negative; TP: true positive; TPR: true positive rate; TN: true negative; TNR: true negative; reference rate; FP: false positive; FN: false negative; ROC: receiver operation characteristic; FoM: figure of merit; FWHM: full width at half maximum; SD: standard deviation; RSD: relative standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation; EF: enhancement factor.

used as probe molecules for substrate characterization for SERS.<sup>61,91</sup> With these test molecules, the performance of the substrates can be characterized either by sensitivity (limit of detection), or by the enhancement factor. The performance of the substrates will be compared to normal Raman measurements to show the magnitude of surface enhancement due to the plasmonic nanostructures designed. On the other hand, to characterize an SPR system, which is highly sensitive to molecular interactions on the surface of the sensing substrate,<sup>111</sup> researchers focus on the characterization of binding events such as antigen–antibody interactions, IgG examinations, or other types of specific molecular interaction.<sup>116</sup>

The development of substrates should consider both the analytes as well as the detection methods. For larger molecules, such as proteins, the development of biosensors needs to take into account the ability to capture the analytes into the vicinity of the active part of substrates for higher sensitivity.<sup>156</sup> For SPR-based methods, by selecting better materials, such as Au or Ag, adjusting the thickness of sensing film, coating with other materials or incorporating nanoparticles to combine LSPR, higher sensitivity can be obtained.<sup>104,157</sup> As for LSPR-based detection, such as SERS, as discussed before, in addition to the materials used, the fabrication of different shapes of nanoparticles, or nanostructures should be focused to achieve higher EF or higher sensitivity by generating hot spots.<sup>80</sup>

#### 4.2. Environment monitoring

The importance of monitoring the environment is evident with the increasingly serious problems related to the pollution of water and air. The development of biosensors can facilitate the detection of pollutants in the environment in a direct and reliable manner. The biosensors used for environmental monitoring are mostly focused on pesticides that are used for crops or fruits, heavy metals in water that cannot be degraded, pathogens such as bacteria, and other chemicals that are potentially toxic or dangerous such as explosives.<sup>64,92,158</sup> For the detection of these pollutants in real life, it is crucial to develop biosensors that can achieve rapid measurement of samples, and easy accessibility for some situation such as low resources environments.<sup>5,89,115</sup>

To improve the performance of the substrates for plasmonic biosensing, it is necessary to bring pollutants as close as possible to the surface of the plasmonic active parts. One way to achieve this is by functionalizing the substrates with specific recognition elements or by designing structures to allow for the easy binding of analytes.<sup>159</sup> On the other way, considering the chemical structures of analytes of interest, plasmonic materials with higher affinity to analytes should be considered. For example, AuNPs show high affinity to dithiolcarbamate pesticides and SERS biosensors based on AuNPs have been reported for their detection.<sup>160</sup>

#### 4.3. Diagnosis for human health

Monitoring health at the point of need is one of the most significant problem in our world, as it can lead to early diagnosis, help understand disease progression, and provide data that can be used to develop an optimal treatment scenario. Plasmonic biosensors are good candidates for these appli-

#### **Tutorial Review**

cations as they have the ability to detect analytes of interest such as biomarkers related to diseases.<sup>11</sup> By quantifying the biomarkers, specific health-related information can be obtained. Many biomarkers (antibodies, proteins, nucleic acids, and enzymes *etc.*) are the potential analytes to be monitored for the diagnosis of diseases, such as Alzheimer's, diabetes, and cancer.<sup>60,86,161,162</sup> In addition, they can also be used for drug screening.<sup>163</sup>

To capture these analytes from biofluids, specific receptors such as antibodies, or ligands are immobilized on the sensing substrates to bring the analytes closer to the sensing surface.<sup>62</sup> In addition, tags or probes are also introduced for the purpose of improved detection. Therefore, the substrates should be designed to allow functionalization and tuning of these receptor elements.<sup>132</sup> Consequently, the surface of the substrates designed for plasmonic biosensors need to consider the surface chemistry as well as the surface area to allow the immobilization of the receptors and stable binding of the analytes.164,165 Moreover, since the concentration of biomarkers is important for clinical determination, plasmonic biosensors used for diagnosis require high accuracy over the desired concentration range.<sup>166</sup> Meanwhile, the need of diagnosis and disease progression monitoring in low resource environments, at home, in remote locations, in disaster areas, or for astronauts in space, drives the development of portable substrates or devices based on plasmonic biosensors.

#### 4.4. Food safety

Monitoring the food quality is of global concern since food safety plays an important role in our daily life. The addition of high level of contaminants or adulterants in food could pose severe problems for our health, especially for infants. For example, high levels of heavy metals, plastic, or food additives can lead to severe health problems.<sup>167</sup> In the application to food safety, plasmonic biosensors are generally used to detect chemical and microbial contaminants, such as the food colorants, food additives, or bacteria.<sup>168,169</sup> Similar to environmental monitoring, plasmonic biosensors designed for food safety also require high sensitivity, simple preparation, and rapid detection.<sup>115,170</sup>

For the detection of chemical components such as small analytes, the substrates can be engineered for specific binding of these analytes.<sup>65</sup> However, for large analytes, such as proteins, or bacteria, the sensitivity of detection is typically lower<sup>171</sup> and functionalization of substrates with specific antibodies or aptamers may be needed.<sup>172</sup>

#### 4.5. Pon applications

To summarize, there are many factors to consider for the development and evaluation of plasmonic biosensors towards point-of-need applications. We mainly reviewed the potential improvement for plasmonic biosensors from the point of view of materials, the design of nanostructures, the functionalization of the substrates, and finally the detection methods. For these sections, the emphasis is focused on how the performance of the plasmonic biosensors are affected by the properties of substrates.

When designing PON biosensors, it is important to address not only parameters related to cost, size, and stability, but also sensitivity, specificity and low limit of detection towards the analyte of interest for that particular applications. For this, significant effort is needed towards the design and preparation of



**Fig. 5** Representative examples of utilizing natural materials as supporting substrate: (a) AgNPs deposited on Mytilus coruscus (M.c) shell; (b) AgNPs sputtered on dragonfly wing; (c) Graphene oxide (GO) and AuNPs deposited on cicada wing. (a) and (b) are adapted from ref. 93 and 94 with permission under Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., Copyright (2019). (c) is adapted from ref. 178 with permission from Elsevier B.V., Copyright (2018).

Open Access Article. Published on 12 December 2019. Downloaded on 8/27/2022 7:21:01 PM.
---

Table 2 Summary of applications of plasmonic biosensors. Applications that are close to PON situations are highlighted in bold

Application	Analyte	Functionalization	Detection	Substrate/material	Sensitivity	Specificity	Response time	Ease of fabrication	Cost	Ref.
Characterization of substrates and (bio)materials	R6G and graphene for test	None	SERS	AgNPs arrays prepared by magnetic sputtering and thermal annealing process on silicon wafers		Non- applicable	Not reported	High temperature (500 °C) required for thermal annealing process	Low	179
	R6G	None	SERS	Paper with different porosities decorated with Ag nanostars	$11.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ pg}$	Non- applicable	Min	Simple (chemical reduction + wax ink printing on paper)	Low	91
	Bscherichia coli 0157:H7	Au nanorods functionalized with 4-ATP and ATT and antibodies	SERS	Nano-DEP microfluidic device. Bio-conjugated AuNPs as SERS probes. DEP force to trap cells.	10 colony-forming unit per mL	High specificity from non target strains	Sec	Long time (19 h stirring during synthesis of AuNRs)	Low	155
	Low molecular weight molecule (glycerol)	None	SPR	Hyperbolic metamaterials: 16 alternating thin films of gold and aluminium dioxide (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	Ultra low molecular mass of 266 Da	Non- applicable	Not reported	e-beam lithography; photoresist coating	Low	104
	Recombinant protein A/G and goat anti-mouse IgG	None	Mid-IR spectroscopy	Graphene nanoribbon arrays		Chemical bond specificity	Not reported	Chemical vapor deposition to grow Graphene	Medium	36
	Thrombin	Thiol-modified thrombin aptamer was attached onto AuNPs/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	LSPR/ absorption	AuNPs loaded on magnetic Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	200 pM	High selectivity of thrombin aptamer	Not reported	Simple	Low	156
	The proposed <i>meta</i> surface architecture provides a unique platform to selectively detect aromatic ring structure biomolecules	None	SPR	Graphene-coated Au film	$1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ M}; 1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ M}; 10^{-18} \text{ M}$	Non- applicable	Not reported	High temperature (1000 °C); chemical vapor deposition.	Medium	157
Environment monitoring	Explosives: such as PA and NTO	None	SERS	3D biomimetic superhydrophobic Ag micro/nano-pillar array surface, which is deposited on different types of Si arrays	R6G $10^{-15}$ mol $L^{-1}$ ; PA $10^{-12}$ mol $L^{-1}$ ; NTO $10^{-13}$ mol $L^{-1}$ .	Non- applicable	Not reported	Electrodeposition of Ag sheets may require long time (7 h)	Low	158
	Heavy metal ions	Diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid- grafting to bind with heavy metal ions	SERS	Ğold structure with DTPA to bind to heavy metal ions	10 <sup>-14</sup> M	Selective metal ions detection	Tens of seconds	Long time drying (24 h); laser irradiation for patterning	Low	159
	TNT explosive	PABŤ (Raman signal) modification	SERS	AgNPs modified by PABT decorating silicon wafer	$\sim 1 \ \mathrm{pM}$	High selectivity for TNT	Tens of seconds	Simple	Low	89

378 | Analyst, 2020, 145, 364-384

Table 2 (Contc	J.)								
Application	Analyte	Functionalization	Detection	Substrate/material	Sensitivity	Specificity	Response time	Ease of fabrication	Cost
	Mercury ion Hg <sup>2+</sup> in water	Assembling oligonucleotide probes	SERS	Silver nanorod array by OAD technique. Oligonucleotide probes are assembled through Ag-S covalent bond.	0.16 pM	High selectivity	Second	Simple	Low
	Bromate in water	R6G used as a reference sample with or without bromate	SERS	Ag film made by electrostatic immobilization of AgNPs on glass slide	$0.01 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	Non- applicable	Tens of seconds	Simple (but long time 24h dipping)	Low
Diagnosis for human health	Neuron-Specific Enolase (NSE, protein)	Detection antibody-SERS probe conjugation	SERS-LFA	Au nanostar @Raman reporter@ silica (coating with 4-MBA (Raman reporter) and TEOS, then conjugated with detection antibody)		NSE detected in clinical blood plasma	Not reported	Simple	Low

	92	62	162	166	86	60	163	164	132
	Low	Low			Low	Low	Low	Medium (promission for low cost)	Low
	Simple (but long time 24h dipping)	Simple	Simple but long time preparation	Medium (multiple processes)	Simple	Simple (but heating needs 5h)	Auto clave long time (19 h)	Medium (long time stirring 10h, multiple processes)	Simple but long time preparation (10 h during AuNR systhesis and 24 h for silica coating)
	Tens of seconds	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Tens of seconds	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	Non- applicable	NSE detected in clinical blood plasma	High selectivity		Specific for antigen- antibody binding	High selectivity	High selectivity	High selectivity	High selectivity
	0.01 µg L <sup>-1</sup>		$\sim 10^{-13}  \mathrm{M}$	$5 \text{ pg mL}^{-1}$	10 <sup>-14</sup> M of 4-MBA (4-mercaptobenzoic acid)	$50 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$	8 µg mL <sup>-1</sup>	91 fM, 94 fM and 10 fM for AFP, CEA and PSA	$820 \times 10^{-9}$ M of PPi
are assembled through Ag–S covalent bond.	Ag film made by electrostatic immobilization of AgNPs on glass slide	Au nanostar @Raman reporter@ silica (coating with 4-MBA (Raman reporter) and TEOS, then conjugated with detection antibody)	Gold nanoprisms attached to silanized glass	Au nanorods microarray	PDMS as substrate coated with Au film in nanocup shape (nanoplatform)	AuNPs on paper fibers	Magnetic Fe2Ni@Au nanoparticles on graphene oxide functionalized with double-stranded DNA (dsDNA)	Immune-AuNPs immobilized on glass slide	AuNRs as core with a silica shell
	SERS	SERS-LFA	LSPR	LSPR/ microfluidics	LSPR-SERS	SERS	SERS/EC	LSPR (scattering shift)	Fluorescence
	R6G used as a reference sample with or without bromate	Detection antibody-SERS probe conjugation	Hybridization between complementary probes	Čytokine antibodies conjugation	Human IgG as a recognition element for anti- human IgG	Hybridized aptamer	None	None	Substrate immobilized with <i>meso</i> -tetra (4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrin
	Bromate in water	Neuron-Specific Enolase (NSE, protein)	MicroRNA	Cytokines	Human IgG- anti-human IgG recognition	Cancer marker, Mucin-1	Anticancer drug, DOX, assessment of DOX-dsDNA interaction (DNA damage)	Multiple label-free detection: cancer biomarkers, including AFP, CEA, and PSA	PPi, related to DNA replication
		sis for health							

Ref. 64

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

pplication	Analyte	Functionalization	Detection	Substrate/material	Sensitivity	Specificity	time	Ease of fabrication	Cost	Ref.
ood safety	Melamine in milk	None	SERS	Silver dendrite	$7.9 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$	Specific for	Tens of seconds	Simple	Low	169
	Melamine detection in	None	SERS	Ag nanorod array	0.9 $\mu g g^{-1}$ feed	<b>melamine</b> High selectivity	Tens of seconds	Simple (OAD)	Low	65
	entitient recu Pesticide residues such as parathionmethyl, thirsm and	None	SERS	Colloidal AuNPs decorated commercial tapes	$2.60 \text{ ng cm}^{-2} 0.24 \text{ ng cm}^{-2} 3.51 \text{ ng cm}^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	Non- applicable	Tens of seconds	Simple	Low	170
	chlorpyrifos Methylene-blue in fish	None	SERS	Ag nanoflowers sandwiched between PMMA and monolayer	10 <sup>-13</sup> M	Change with analyte in real	Not reported	Slow displacement reaction and etching process	Low	168

can be detected with LSPR-based techniques. In food safety or medical diagnosis, however, bacteria, proteins,<sup>36</sup> nucleic acids,<sup>162</sup> or vesicles are often targeted as biomarkers of interest besides small biological molecules. The detection of analytes with larger size or mass makes it more difficult for plasmonic biosensing since it demands immediate vicinity with substrate surface. Moreover, it is also more challenging to develop a biosensor for medical diagnosis due to the increased complexity of the sample and high variability of biomarkers for a specific disease. As a result, more careful functionalization of the substrate to immobilize target analytes needs to be taken into consideration for higher specificity in PON application. In addition, the reproducibility of detection with SERS is still relatively low, yielding to poor reliability for these applications. Better substrates are required in this case, and new developments in various labs indicate improved spot-to-spot reproducibility for certain substrates such as nanobowl arrays<sup>82</sup> gold nanofingers,<sup>63</sup> or nanoposts,<sup>175</sup> among others. It is also worth noticing that when it comes to PON appli-

cations, the development of plasmonic biosensors should consider other factors beyond sensitivity and specificity, such as the complexity of substrate fabrication and the preparation of analytes, the cost, and the response time.<sup>176</sup> For PON applications, it is critical to balance the high cost, and/or the complexity of fabrication or preparation of the substrates. Since the sensitivity/LOD criteria varies in different areas, it is possible to compromise their quality when it is not highly necessary for either lower fabrication cost or less tedious preparation work.

For example, the synthesis of nanoparticles, as one of the simplest plasmonic structure, has been explored and improved for more than a hundred years,<sup>177</sup> can be easily performed by a variety of methods. On the other hand, it is believed that the combination of the plasmonic materials such as the noble metal with hybrid nanostructures can enhance the SERS signal since more hot spots can be generated. However, the complexity of the fabrication of such nanostructures is also increased, thus it is important to balance the trade-off between the ease-of-fabrication and the design optimization of the nano-structured substrate. Making use of natural substances with unique structures is a promising approach to address this problem. For instance, Zhengyi Lu *et al.* developed an inexpensive SERS substrate by depositing AgNPs and graphene oxide (GO) on (a) Mytilus coruscus (M.c) shell offering several

#### This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 12 December 2019. Downloaded on 8/27/2022 7:21:01 PM.

the substrates in terms of the materials, composition, the shape, the size, and the structure.<sup>173,174</sup> Particularly when there are other molecules that shares similar molecular properties as the test molecule (analyte), it is necessary to optimize the system accordingly, thus, the biosensing system can be specific towards the desired analytes.

The requirements regarding the sensitivity, specificity, and LOD are different when dealing with environment monitoring and food safety samples compared to PON applications in health diagnosis. The analytes of interest in environment

monitoring or food safety are typically small molecules such as toxic chemicals,<sup>169</sup> pesticides<sup>170</sup> and heavy metals,<sup>64</sup> which

#### **Tutorial Review**

types of micro/nano-structures.<sup>93</sup> Other natural materials, such as (b) the dragonfly wing<sup>94</sup> and (c) cicada wing,<sup>178</sup> combined with different metals and structures, are more explored. The utilization of the natural materials that can be readily obtained not only improves the ease-of-fabrication but also reduces the cost (Fig. 5).

Another important factor for PON applications is the duration of the measurement, which is mainly determined by the detection methods. In general, the measurement of the plasmonic biosensors based on SPR/LSPR is time effective, which is determined by the incident exposure and collection time. The recording time of the SERS spectra ranges from seconds to minutes, which fulfills the demand of rapid measurement for PON applications.

The ultimate goal in studying the plasmonic biosensors that can be used for PON applications is for the development of portable devices/platforms that can be easily operated with high sensitivity and specificity, and rapid readout. Currently, there are already several SERS substrates commercially available. Most of them use gold or silver as SERS active materials, mostly AgNPs, AuNPs (RAM-SERS-AU/RAM-SERS-AG (Ocean Optics), Q-SERS<sup>TM</sup> G1 (Nanova Inc.)), or the combination of both (SERSitive). They are engineered on a supporting substrate such as glass or nanostructured Si wafer by various methods such as electrodeposition (SERSitive). The required volume for the analyte is typically very small (micro liter level). These substrates have been used for the identification of various molecules of interest such as melamine and TNT, with a low LOD.

However, several of the biosensor parameters described in Table 1, such as the sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility, need to be further improved for successful applications in medical diagnosis.

Overall, the development of plasmonic biosensors fulfills basic requirement towards some specific PON applications regarding the sensitivity, fabrication cost, response time, *etc*. Even though there are still many challenges to be overcome for wider implementation, they show promising potential for future applications towards PON applications (Table 2).

## 5. Conclusions and outlook

The field of plasmonic biosensors has made great progress in recent years, which prompted many research groups to explore their potential in various fields and applications, such as environment monitoring, food safety, and diagnosis. However, taking these devices out of the lab into the field has been a difficult task, with only very few examples of use in real life applications, and in particular at the point of need. Due to their ability to absorb visible light and convert it into a heat signature, gold nanoparticles are currently used to improve the sensitivity of lateral flow assays. In order for plasmonic biosensors to expand their range of applications and become mainstream it is critical to improve their sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility, as well as design and implement specifications that allow for their use in conditions typically found at the point of need. These include robustness, form factor, user interface, response time, and cost. The ability to connect to mobile devices for data transmission and analysis is also important.

There are many factors playing an important role in designing and fabricating plasmonic substrates. In the paper, we reviewed the fundamentals of plasmonics, the optical properties of substrates, and the detection methods that are used in the measurements of molecules of interest. Specifically, we describe material properties that allow optimization towards improved detection, the structures that allow for additional tuning of material properties, the functionalization and sample preparation, and the detection methods that can be used. Other factors include the limit of detection and the incorporation of LSPR devices into multiplexed platforms that are still challenging.<sup>180</sup> Improving the sample-to-sample reproducibility as well as reducing the sampling error is still difficult because of the limit in controlling the size, shape, and surface of the plasmonic nanostructures, especially for the Ag or Au nanoparticles.<sup>181</sup>

We also reviewed in this article practical applications. Significant progress has been made, as highlighted in this review. However, most examples currently found in the literature include tests performed in the lab under controlled experimental conditions. They describe substrate manufacturing and functionalization, report improvements in detection schemes, and demonstrate their applicability to the detection of certain analytes. One drawback is the fact that in many of the examples shown, the samples are simulated and measured in the lab, and may not necessarily provide an accurate representation of the complex situations in the field. This makes, in general, the evaluation of the potential of these sensors difficult. In conclusion, while plasmonic biosensors are not currently found in many PON applications, the increased quality of the data and exponential growth of the field demonstrates that such applications are not far away.

## Author contributions

All authors made substantial intellectual contributions to the manuscript and approved it for publication.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), Discovery Grant RGPIN-2018-05675 (to S.W.-H.), and G247765

and G248584 (to S.M.). The authors also thank the Faculty of Engineering at McGill University. J.L. and M.J. acknowledge the McGill Engineering Doctoral Award (MEDA).

## References

- 1 J. Chao, W. Cao, S. Su, L. Weng, S. Song, C. Fan and L. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, 4, 1757–1769.
- 2 J. Zheng and L. He, *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 2014, 13, 317–328.
- 3 P. Mehrotra, J. Oral Biol. Craniofac. Res., 2016, 6, 153-159.
- 4 C. I. Justino, T. A. Rocha-Santos and A. C. Duarte, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **29**, 1172–1183.
- 5 C. I. Justino, A. C. Duarte and T. A. Rocha-Santos, *Sensors*, 2017, **17**, 2918.
- 6 J. R. Mejía-Salazar and O. N. Oliveira, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, 118, 10617–10625.
- 7 L. Tang and J. Li, ACS Sens., 2017, 2, 857-875.
- 8 M. Oliverio, S. Perotto, G. C. Messina, L. Lovato and F. De Angelis, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 29394–29411.
- 9 R. T. Hill, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol., 2015, 7, 152–168.
- J. Langer, D. Jimenez de Aberasturi, J. Aizpurua, R. A. Alvarez-Puebla, B. Auguié, J. J. Baumberg, G. C. Bazan, S. E. J. Bell, A. Boisen, A. G. Brolo, J. Choo, D. Cialla-May, V. Deckert, L. Fabris, K. Faulds, F. J. García de Abajo, R. Goodacre, D. Graham, A. J. Haes, C. L. Haynes, C. Huck, T. Itoh, M. Käll, J. Kneipp, N. A. Kotov, H. Kuang, E. C. Le Ru, H. K. Lee, J.-F. Li, X. Y. Ling, S. A. Maier, T. Mayerhöfer, M. Moskovits, K. Murakoshi, J.-M. Nam, S. Nie, Y. Ozaki, I. Pastoriza-Santos, J. Perez-Juste, J. Popp, A. Pucci, S. Reich, B. Ren, G. C. Schatz, T. Shegai, S. Schlücker, L.-L. Tay, K. G. Thomas, Z.-Q. Tian, R. P. Van Duyne, T. Vo-Dinh, Y. Wang, K. A. Willets, C. Xu, H. Xu, Y. Xu, Y. S. Yamamoto, B. Zhao and L. M. Liz-Marzán, *ACS Nano*, 2019, DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.9b04224.
- 11 M. Soler, C. S. Huertas and L. M. Lechuga, *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.*, 2019, **19**, 71–81.
- 12 D. B. Gupta, A. Pathak and V. Semwal, *Sensors*, 2019, **19**, 3536.
- 13 M. Kahraman, E. R. Mullen, A. Korkmaz and S. Wachsmann-Hogiu, *Nanophotonics*, 2017, 831.
- 14 E. Ozbay, Science, 2006, 311, 189-193.
- 15 M. E. Stewart, C. R. Anderton, L. B. Thompson, J. Maria, S. K. Gray, J. A. Rogers and R. G. Nuzzo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 108, 494–521.
- 16 M. Born and E. Wolf, Principles of Optics, 6th edn, 1999.
- 17 S. A. Maier, *Plasmonics: fundamentals and applications*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- 18 V. Giannini, A. I. Fernández-Domínguez, S. C. Heck and S. A. Maier, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3888–3912.
- 19 W. L. Barnes, A. Dereux and T. W. Ebbesen, *Nature*, 2003, 424, 824–830.

- 20 K. A. Willets and R. P. Van Duyne, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2007, **58**, 267–297.
- 21 P. K. Jain and M. A. El-Sayed, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2010, 487, 153-164.
- 22 M. Cuevas, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2018, 206, 157–162.
- 23 A. G. Brolo, Nat. Photonics, 2012, 6, 709.
- 24 E. Petryayeva and U. J. Krull, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **706**, 8–24.
- 25 M. Hentschel, M. Saliba, R. Vogelgesang, H. Giessen, A. P. Alivisatos and N. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 2721– 2726.
- 26 W. Rechberger, A. Hohenau, A. Leitner, J. R. Krenn, B. Lamprecht and F. R. Aussenegg, *Opt. Commun.*, 2003, 220, 137–141.
- 27 K. H. Su, Q. H. Wei, X. Zhang, J. J. Mock, D. R. Smith and S. Schultz, *Nano Lett.*, 2003, 3, 1087–1090.
- 28 W. A. Murray and W. L. Barnes, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 3771–3782.
- 29 M. Pelton, J. Aizpurua and G. Bryant, *Laser Photonics Rev.*, 2008, 2, 136–159.
- 30 S. Lal, S. Link and N. J. Halas, *Nat. Photonics*, 2007, 1, 641.
- 31 F. H. Koppens, D. E. Chang and F. J. García de Abajo, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 3370–3377.
- 32 I. Goykhman, U. Sassi, B. Desiatov, N. Mazurski, S. Milana, D. de Fazio, A. Eiden, J. Khurgin, J. Shappir and U. Levy, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, 16, 3005–3013.
- 33 C. T. Phare, Y.-H. D. Lee, J. Cardenas and M. Lipson, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, 9, 511.
- 34 S. Xiao, X. Zhu, B.-H. Li and N. A. Mortensen, *Front. Phys.*, 2016, **11**, 117801.
- 35 S. Thongrattanasiri, A. Manjavacas and F. J. García de Abajo, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 1766–1775.
- 36 D. Rodrigo, O. Limaj, D. Janner, D. Etezadi, F. J. G. De Abajo, V. Pruneri and H. J. S. Altug, *Science*, 2015, 349, 165–168.
- M. Liu, X. Yin, E. Ulin-Avila, B. Geng, T. Zentgraf, L. Ju,F. Wang and X. J. N. Zhang, *Nature*, 2011, 474, 64.
- 38 R. R. Nair, P. Blake, A. N. Grigorenko, K. S. Novoselov, T. J. Booth, T. Stauber, N. M. Peres and A. K. Geim, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 1308–1308.
- 39 H. Xu, L. Xie, H. Zhang and J. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2011, 5, 5338–5344.
- 40 T. Jiang, D. Huang, J. Cheng, X. Fan, Z. Zhang, Y. Shan, Y. Yi, Y. Dai, L. Shi and K. Liu, *Nat. Photonics*, 2018, 12, 430–436.
- 41 F. J. Garcia de Abajo, ACS Photonics, 2014, 1, 135–152.
- 42 A. Grigorenko, M. Polini and K. Novoselov, *Nat. Photonics*, 2012, **6**, 749.
- 43 T. Low and P. Avouris, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 1086–1101.
- 44 S. Thongrattanasiri, A. Manjavacas and F. J. García de Abajo, ACS Nano, 2012, **6**, 1766–1775.
- 45 D. Jiang, X. Du, D. Chen, L. Zhou, W. Chen, Y. Li, N. Hao, J. Qian, Q. Liu and K. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, 83, 149–155.

- 46 S. Peiris, J. McMurtrie and H.-Y. Zhu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 320–338.
- 47 M. W. Knight, N. S. King, L. Liu, H. O. Everitt, P. Nordlander and N. J. Halas, *ACS Nano*, 2013, 8, 834– 840.
- 48 M.-C. Estevez, M. A. Otte, B. Sepulveda and L. M. Lechuga, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **806**, 55–73.
- 49 B. Špačková, P. Wrobel, M. Bocková and J. Homola, *Proc. IEEE*, 2016, **104**, 2380–2408.
- 50 D. Ö. Güney, T. Koschny and C. M. Soukoulis, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **80**, 125129.
- 51 M. N. Gjerding, M. Pandey and K. S. Thygesen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, 8, 15133.
- 52 A. Boltasseva and H. A. Atwater, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 290–291.
- 53 J. B. Khurgin and G. Sun, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, 96, 181102.
- 54 P. R. West, S. Ishii, G. V. Naik, N. K. Emani, V. M. Shalaev and A. Boltasseva, *Laser Photonics Rev.*, 2010, 4, 795–808.
- 55 G. V. Naik, V. M. Shalaev and A. Boltasseva, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3264–3294.
- 56 K. Okamoto, in *Frontiers of Plasmon Enhanced Spectroscopy*, ACS Publications, 2016, vol. 2, pp. 247–259.
- 57 G. Robb, Nat. Photonics, 2016, 10, 3.
- 58 M. Jablan, H. Buljan and M. Soljačić, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2009, 80, 245435.
- 59 J. Langer, S. M. Novikov and L. M. Liz-Marzán, Nanotechnology, 2015, 26, 322001.
- 60 S.-W. Hu, S. Qiao, J.-B. Pan, B. Kang, J.-J. Xu and H.-Y. Chen, *Talanta*, 2018, **179**, 9–14.
- 61 Y. Bai, L. Yan, J. Wang, L. Su, N. Chen and Z. Tan, *Phot. Nanostruct. Fund. Appl.*, 2017, 23, 58–63.
- 62 X. Gao, P. Zheng, S. Kasani, S. Wu, F. Yang, S. Lewis, S. Nayeem, E. B. Engler-Chiurazzi, J. G. Wigginton and J. W. Simpkins, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 10104–10110.
- 63 A. Kim, S. J. Barcelo and Z. Li, *Nanotechnology*, 2014, 26, 015502.
- 64 C. Song, B. Yang, Y. Zhu, Y. Yang and L. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, 87, 59–65.
- 65 J. Cheng, X.-O. Su, Y. Yao, C. Han, S. Wang and Y. Zhao, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, e0154402.
- 66 C. Song, Y. Yang, B. Yang, Y. Sun, Y. Zhao and L. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, 8, 17365–17373.
- 67 Z. Zhang, Z. Chen, F. Cheng, Y. Zhang and L. Chen, *Analyst*, 2016, 141, 2955–2961.
- 68 Z. Zhang, Z. Chen, S. Wang, F. Cheng and L. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 27639–27645.
- 69 H. Chen, L. Shao, Q. Li and J. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 2679–2724.
- 70 E. E. Bedford, S. Boujday, C.-M. Pradier and F. X. Gu, *Talanta*, 2018, **182**, 259–266.
- 71 X. Cui, K. Tawa, K. Kintaka and J. Nishii, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2010, 20, 945–950.
- 72 D. Joseph, C. H. Kwak, Y. S. Huh and Y.-K. Han, Sens. Actuators, B, 2019, 281, 471–477.
- 73 N. G. Khlebtsov and L. A. Dykman, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2010, 111, 1–35.

- 74 M. Avella-Oliver, R. Puchades, S. Wachsmann-Hogiu and A. Maquieira, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **252**, 657–662.
- 75 S. Kabiri, D. N. Tran, S. Azari and D. Losic, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 11815–11823.
- 76 J. Kim, S.-J. Park and D.-H. Min, Anal. Chem., 2016, 89, 232–248.
- 77 Y. Zhao, G. Chen, Y. Du, J. Xu, S. Wu, Y. Qu and Y. Zhu, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 13754–13760.
- 78 F. Peng, Y. Su, Y. Zhong, C. Fan, S.-T. Lee and Y. He, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 612–623.
- 79 H. Wei and H. Xu, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 10794-10805.
- 80 S. Li, D. Li, Q.-Y. Zhang and X. Tang, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 689, 439–445.
- 81 D. Chanda, K. Shigeta, T. Truong, E. Lui, A. Mihi, M. Schulmerich, P. V. Braun, R. Bhargava and J. A. Rogers, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, 2, 479.
- 82 M. Kahraman, P. Daggumati, O. Kurtulus, E. Seker and S. Wachsmann-Hogiu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 3396.
- 83 M. Kahraman and S. Wachsmann-Hogiu, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **856**, 74–81.
- 84 H. T. Ngo, H.-N. Wang, T. Burke, G. S. Ginsburg and T. Vo-Dinh, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2014, 406, 3335–3344.
- 85 L. Štolcová, V. Peksa, J. Proška and M. Procházka, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2018, 49, 499–505.
- 86 M. Focsan, A. Craciun, M. Potara, C. Leordean, A. Vulpoi, D. Maniu and S. Astilean, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 14240.
- 87 C. Lee, C. S. Robertson, A. H. Nguyen, M. Kahraman and S. Wachsmann-Hogiu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 11644.
- 88 C. Lee, R. P. Carney, S. Hazari, Z. J. Smith, A. Knudson, C. S. Robertson, K. S. Lam and S. Wachsmann-Hogiu, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 9290–9297.
- 89 N. Chen, P. Ding, Y. Shi, T. Jin, Y. Su, H. Wang and Y. He, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, 89, 5072–5078.
- 90 A. G. Berger, S. M. Restaino and I. M. White, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2017, 949, 59–66.
- 91 M. J. Oliveira, P. Quaresma, M. P. De Almeida, A. Araújo, E. Pereira, E. Fortunato, R. Martins, R. Franco and H. Águas, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 2480.
- 92 O. Kulakovich, E. Shabunya-Klyachkovskaya, A. Matsukovich, K. Rasool, K. A. Mahmoud and S. Gaponenko, *Opt. Express*, 2016, 24, A174–A179.
- 93 Z. Lu, Y. Liu, M. Wang, C. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Huo, Z. Li, S. Xu, B. Man and S. Jiang, *Sens. Actuators*, *B*, 2018, 261, 1–10.
- 94 Y. Wang, M. Wang, L. Shen, X. Sun, G. Shi, W. Ma and X. Yan, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **436**, 391–397.
- 95 N. Chamuah, L. Chetia, N. Zahan, S. Dutta, G. A. Ahmed and P. Nath, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2017, 50, 175103.
- 96 X. Kong, Y. Xi, P. Le Duff, X. Chong, E. Li, F. Ren, G. L. Rorrer and A. X. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, 88, 63–70.
- 97 J. Yang, L. Zhen, F. Ren, J. Campbell, G. L. Rorrer and A. X. Wang, *J. Biophotonics*, 2015, 8, 659–667.
- 98 M. Lin, Y. Wang, X. Sun, W. Wang and L. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 7516–7525.

- 99 L. Xue, W. Xie, L. Driessen, K. F. Domke, Y. Wang, S. Schlücker, S. N. Gorb and M. Steinhart, *Small*, 2017, 13, 1603947.
- 100 W. Liu, B. Lee, C. H. Naylor, H.-S. Ee, J. Park, A. T. C. Johnson and R. Agarwal, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, 16, 1262–1269.
- 101 A. Korkmaz, M. Kenton, G. Aksin, M. Kahraman and S. Wachsmann-Hogiu, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2018, 1, 5316–5326.
- 102 A. Biswas, I. S. Bayer, A. S. Biris, T. Wang, E. Dervishi and F. Faupel, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2012, **170**, 2–27.
- 103 T. R. Jensen, M. D. Malinsky, C. L. Haynes and R. P. Van Duyne, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 10549–10556.
- 104 K. V. Sreekanth, Y. Alapan, M. ElKabbash, E. Ilker, M. Hinczewski, U. A. Gurkan, A. De Luca and G. Strangi, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, 15, 621.
- 105 J. Lee, K. Takemura and E. J. S. Park, *Sensors*, 2017, 17, 2332.
- 106 S. D. Soelberg, R. C. Stevens, A. P. Limaye and C. E. Furlong, Anal. Chem., 2009, 81, 2357–2363.
- 107 T. Yaseen, H. Pu and D.-W. Sun, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2018, 162–174.
- 108 S. Pang, T. P. Labuza and L. He, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 1895–1901.
- 109 L. D. Mello and L. T. Kubota, *Food Chem.*, 2002, 77, 237–256.
- 110 P. Damborský, J. Švitel and J. Katrlík, *Essays Biochem.*, 2016, **60**, 91-100.
- 111 H. H. Nguyen, J. Park, S. Kang and M. Kim, Sensors, 2015, 15, 10481–10510.
- 112 C. T. Campbell and G. Kim, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 2380–2392.
- 113 D. Damborska, T. Bertok, E. Dosekova, A. Holazova,
  L. Lorencova, P. Kasak and J. Tkac, *Microchim. Acta*, 2017, 184, 3049–3067.
- 114 Y. Tang, X. Zeng and J. Liang, J. Chem. Educ., 2010, 87, 742–746.
- 115 J. Homola, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2003, 377, 528-539.
- 116 J. Homola, S. S. Yee and G. Gauglitz, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 1999, **54**, 3–15.
- 117 S. Zeng, S. Hu, J. Xia, T. Anderson, X.-Q. Dinh, X.-M. Meng, P. Coquet and K.-T. Yong, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **207**, 801–810.
- 118 Q. Ouyang, S. Zeng, L. Jiang, L. Hong, G. Xu, X.-Q. Dinh, J. Qian, S. He, J. Qu, P. Coquet and K.-T. Yong, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, 6, 28190.
- 119 E. Hutter and J. H. Fendler, *Adv. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 1685–1706.
- 120 J. Jatschka, A. Dathe, A. Csáki, W. Fritzsche and O. Stranik, *Sens. Biosensing Res.*, 2016, 7, 62–70.
- 121 M. Svedendahl, R. Verre and M. Käll, *Light: Sci. Appl.*, 2014, 3, e220.
- 122 S. M. Borisov and O. S. Wolfbeis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 423-461.
- 123 M. Fleischmann, P. J. Hendra and A. J. McQuillan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1974, **26**, 163–166.

- 124 Y. Wang, B. Yan and L. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 1391–1428.
- 125 J. Gersten and A. Nitzan, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 73, 3023-3037.
- 126 P. L. Stiles, J. A. Dieringer, N. C. Shah and R. P. Van Duyne, *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, 2008, 1, 601–626.
- 127 K. C. Bantz, A. F. Meyer, N. J. Wittenberg, H. Im, Ö. Kurtuluş, S. H. Lee, N. C. Lindquist, S.-H. Oh and C. L. Haynes, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, 13, 11551–11567.
- 128 I. García, J. Mosquera, J. Plou and L. M. Liz-Marzán, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2018, **6**, 1800680.
- 129 Z. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Chen, X. Wang, J. Choo and L. Chen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **114**, 52–65.
- 130 N. De Acha, C. Elosua, I. Matias and F. J. S. Arregui, *Sensors*, 2017, **17**, 2826.
- 131 M. Bauch, K. Toma, M. Toma, Q. Zhang and J. Dostalek, *Plasmonics*, 2014, **9**, 781–799.
- 132 L. Wang, Q. Song, Q. Liu, D. He and J. Ouyang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 7017–7027.
- 133 M. Osawa and M. Ikeda, J. Phys. Chem., 1991, 95, 9914-9919.
- 134 K. Ataka, S. T. Stripp and J. Heberle, *Biochim. Biophys.* Acta, Biomembr., 2013, 1828, 2283–2293.
- 135 K. Ataka and J. Heberle, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2007, **388**, 47–54.
- 136 L. Dong, X. Yang, C. Zhang, B. Cerjan, L. Zhou, M. L. Tseng, Y. Zhang, A. Alabastri, P. Nordlander and N. J. Halas, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, 17, 5768–5774.
- 137 R. Adato, S. Aksu and H. Altug, *Mater. Today*, 2015, 18, 436-446.
- 138 A. Tittl, A. Leitis, M. Liu, F. Yesilkoy, D.-Y. Choi,
   D. N. Neshev, Y. S. Kivshar and H. Altug, *Science*, 2018, 360, 1105.
- 139 D. Rodrigo, A. Tittl, N. Ait-Bouziad, A. John-Herpin,
  O. Limaj, C. Kelly, D. Yoo, N. J. Wittenberg, S.-H. Oh,
  H. A. Lashuel and H. Altug, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 2160.
- 140 P. Verma, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 6447-6466.
- 141 K. Olschewski, E. Kämmer, S. Stöckel, T. Bocklitz, T. Deckert-Gaudig, R. Zell, D. Cialla-May, K. Weber, V. Deckert and J. Popp, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 4545–4552.
- 142 Z. He, Z. Han, M. Kizer, R. J. Linhardt, X. Wang, A. M. Sinyukov, J. Wang, V. Deckert, A. V. Sokolov, J. Hu and M. O. Scully, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 753– 757.
- 143 F. Pashaee, M. Tabatabaei, F. A. Caetano, S. S. G. Ferguson and F. Lagugné-Labarthet, *Analyst*, 2016, **141**, 3251–3258.
- 144 N. Kazemi-Zanjani, H. Chen, H. A. Goldberg, G. K. Hunter, B. Grohe and F. Lagugné-Labarthet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17076–17082.
- 145 S. Bonhommeau, D. Talaga, J. Hunel, C. Cullin and S. Lecomte, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 1771–1774.
- 146 F. Shao and R. Zenobi, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2019, 411, 37-61.
- 147 D. R. Walt, Anal. Chem., 2013, 85, 1258-1263.
- 148 M. Fan and A. G. Brolo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 7381–7389.

- 149 J. Kneipp, H. Kneipp and K. Kneipp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1052–1060.
- 150 P. Kvasnička, K. Chadt, M. Vala, M. Bocková and J. Homola, *Opt. Lett.*, 2012, **37**, 163–165.
- 151 M. Hentschel, M. Schäferling, X. Duan, H. Giessen and N. Liu, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, 3, e1602735.
- 152 M. J. Urban, C. Shen, X.-T. Kong, C. Zhu, A. O. Govorov, Q. Wang, M. Hentschel and N. Liu, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2019, **70**, 275–299.
- 153 V. K. Valev, J. J. Baumberg, C. Sibilia and T. Verbiest, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 2517–2534.
- 154 J. T. Collins, C. Kuppe, D. C. Hooper, C. Sibilia, M. Centini and V. K. Valev, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2018, 6, 1701345.
- 155 C. Wang, F. Madiyar, C. Yu and J. Li, *J. Biol. Eng.*, 2017, **11**, 9.
- 156 J. Yan, L. Wang, L. Tang, L. Lin, Y. Liu and J. Li, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **70**, 404–410.
- 157 S. Zeng, K. V. Sreekanth, J. Shang, T. Yu, C. K. Chen, F. Yin, D. Baillargeat, P. Coquet, H. P. Ho and A. V. Kabashin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 6163–6169.
- 158 X. He, Y. Liu, X. Xue, J. Liu, Y. Liu and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 12384–12392.
- 159 O. Guselnikova, P. Postnikov, M. Erzina, Y. Kalachyova,
  V. Švorčík and O. Lyutakov, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 253, 830–838.
- 160 H. Wei, S. M. H. Abtahi and P. J. Vikesland, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2015, **2**, 120–135.
- 161 J.-F. Masson, ACS Sens., 2017, 2, 16-30.
- 162 G. K. Joshi, S. Deitz-McElyea, M. Johnson, S. Mali, M. Korc and R. Sardar, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, 14, 6955– 6963.
- 163 H. Ilkhani, T. Hughes, J. Li, C. J. Zhong and M. Hepel, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **80**, 257–264.
- 164 J. U. Lee, A. H. Nguyen and S. J. Sim, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, 74, 341–346.
- 165 I. H. El-Sayed, X. Huang and M. A. El-Sayed, *Nano Lett.*, 2005, 5, 829–834.

- 166 P. Chen, M. T. Chung, W. McHugh, R. Nidetz, Y. Li, J. Fu, T. T. Cornell, T. P. Shanley and K. Kurabayashi, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 4173-4181.
- 167 E. Y. Y. Chan, S. M. Griffiths and C. W. Chan, *Lancet*, 2008, **372**, 1444–1445.
- 168 H. Qiu, M. Wang, S. Jiang, L. Zhang, Z. Yang, L. Li, J. Li, M. Cao and J. Huang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 249, 439– 450.
- 169 X. Li, S. Feng, Y. Hu, W. Sheng, Y. Zhang, S. Yuan, H. Zeng, S. Wang and X. Lu, *J. Food Sci.*, 2015, 80, C1196– C1201.
- 170 J. Chen, Y. Huang, P. Kannan, L. Zhang, Z. Lin, J. Zhang, T. Chen and L. Guo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, 88, 2149–2155.
- 171 X. Xie, H. Pu and D.-W. Sun, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2018, **58**, 2800–2813.
- 172 R. Najafi, S. Mukherjee, J. Hudson, A. Sharma and P. Banerjee, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2014, **189**, 89–97.
- 173 X. Chen and L. Jensen, J. Opt., 2016, 18, 074009.
- 174 T. A. El-Brolossy, T. Abdallah, M. B. Mohamed, S. Abdallah, K. Easawi, S. Negm and H. Talaat, *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.*, 2008, **153**, 361–364.
- 175 C. S. DeJong, D. I. Wang, A. Polyakov, A. Rogacs, S. J. Simske and V. Shkolnikov, *Bacterial detection and differentiation via direct volatile organic compound sensing with surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy*, 2017.
- 176 R. R. G. Soares, A. Ricelli, C. Fanelli, D. Caputo, G. de Cesare, V. Chu, M. R. Aires-Barros and J. P. Conde, *Analyst*, 2018, 143, 1015–1035.
- 177 J. Boken, P. Khurana, S. Thatai, D. Kumar and S. Prasad, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.*, 2017, **52**, 774–820.
- 178 G. Shi, M. Wang, Y. Zhu, L. Shen, Y. Wang, W. Ma, Y. Chen and R. Li, *Opt. Commun.*, 2018, **412**, 28–36.
- 179 Y. Bai, L. Yan, J. Wang, L. Su, N. Chen and Z. Tan, Phot. Nanostruct. - Fund. Appl., 2017, 23, 58-63.
- 180 S. Unser, I. Bruzas, J. He and L. Sagle, *Sensors*, 2015, 15, 15684–15716.
- 181 J. Sun, Y. Xianyu and X. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 6239–6253.