## **ARGUESIAN LATTICES WHICH ARE NOT LINEAR**

## MARK D. HAIMAN

ABSTRACT. A linear lattice is one representable by commuting equivalence relations. We construct a sequence of finite lattices  $A_n$   $(n \ge 3)$  with the properties: (i)  $A_n$  is not linear, (ii) every proper sublattice of  $A_n$  is linear, and (iii) any set of generators for  $A_n$  has at least n elements. In particular,  $A_n$  is then Arguesian for  $n \ge 7$ . This settles a question raised in 1953 by Jónsson.

1. Introduction. A lattice L is *linear* if it is representable by commuting equivalence relations. Jónsson [6] showed that any such lattice is Arguesian. Numerous equivalent forms of the Arguesian law are now known; it is a strong condition with important applications in coordinatization theory [1, 2]. Nevertheless, the question raised by Jónsson, whether every Arguesian lattice is linear, has remained open until now.

Here we describe an infinite family  $\{A_n\}$   $(n \ge 3)$  of nonlinear lattices, Arguesian for  $n \ge 7$  (and possibly for  $n \ge 4$ ), settling Jónsson's question in the negative. Actually, we obtain more: a specific infinite sequence of identities strictly between Arguesian and linear, and a proof that the universal Horn theory of linear lattices is not finitely based.

**2.** The lattices  $A_n$ . Let  $n \ge 3$ . In what follows, all indices are modulo n, i.e.,  $x_{i+1}$  means  $x_0$  when i = n-1, etc. Let  $L_n$  be the lattice of all subspaces of a vector space v (dim v = 2n) over a prime field **K** with at least 3 elements. Let  $\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\}$  be a basis of v. Let

(1) 
$$m = \langle \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \rangle, \quad q_i = \langle \{\alpha_j | j \neq i \} \rangle, \quad p_i = q_i \wedge q_{i+1},$$
  
 $r_i = m \lor \langle \beta_i \rangle, \quad s_i = r_{i-1} \lor r_i,$ 

where  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  denotes linear span. Let

where  $[x, y] = \{z | x \le z \le y\}.$ 

 $A_n \subset L_n$  is a sublattice; the intervals in the union (2) are its maximal complemented intervals, or *blocks*; they are the blocks of a tolerance relation on  $A_n$  [5]; as such, the set S of blocks acquires a lattice structure; specifically,  $0_S = [0, m], 1_S = [m, v], a_i = [p_i, r_i]$  are atoms,  $b_i = [q_i, s_i]$  are coatoms, and  $a_i < b_i, b_{i+1}$  defines the order relation.

Let  $\overline{m}$  (dim  $\overline{m} = n$ ) be another vector space, with basis { $\overline{\alpha}_0, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{n-1}$ }. Define  $\overline{p}_i, \overline{q}_i$  by analogy with (1). Let  $F = \bigcup_i [p_i, v]$ ;  $F \subset \tilde{A}_n$  is an order filter. Within  $F, \bigcup_i [p_i, m]$  is an order ideal. Set up a "twisting" isomorphism

Received by the editors March 25, 1986.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 06C05.

<sup>©1987</sup> American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

 $\tau$  of  $\bigcup_i [p_i, m]$  with the order filter  $\bigcup_i [\overline{p}_i, \overline{m}] \subset [0, \overline{m}]$  as follows: for each i, the atoms of  $[\overline{p}_i, \overline{m}]$  are of the form  $\langle r\overline{\alpha}_i + s\overline{\alpha}_{i+1}, \overline{\alpha}_{i+2}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{i-1} \rangle$  where (r:s) is a ratio of elements of **K**. Put  $\tau(\overline{p}_i) = p_i, \tau(\overline{m}) = m$ , and  $\tau(\langle r\overline{\alpha}_i + s\overline{\alpha}_{i+1}, \overline{\alpha}_{i+2}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{i-1} \rangle) = \langle r\alpha_i + s\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1} \rangle$  except, when i = 0, put

$$\tau(\langle r\overline{\alpha}_0 + s\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_{n-1}\rangle) = \langle -r\alpha_0 + s\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\rangle.$$

This definition is consistent on  $\overline{q}_i$  and makes  $\tau(\overline{q}_i) = q_i$ .

Let

$$A_n = F \cup [0,\overline{m}]/(x = \tau(x))_{x \in \bigcup_i [\overline{p}_i,\overline{m}]}.$$

 $A_n$  is a modular lattice and has the same block decomposition (2) as  $A_n$ , hence the same skeleton lattice S. Composing  $\tau$  with the automorphism of  $[0,\overline{m}]$  induced by the linear transformation  $\overline{\alpha}_1 \mapsto -\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_k \mapsto -\overline{\alpha}_k$ , other  $\overline{\alpha}_i$  fixed, shows that the exceptional interval  $[\overline{p}_0, \overline{m}]$  in the definition of  $\tau$  could as well have been  $[\overline{p}_k, \overline{m}]$ , up to an isomorphism of  $A_n$  respecting the  $p_i, q_i$ ,  $r_i, s_i$ .

## 3. Properties of $A_n$ .

THEOREM.  $A_n$  is not a linear lattice.

**PROOF.** In [3], the author introduced "higher Arguesian identities"

$$egin{aligned} D_n\colon & a_0\wedge\Big(\ a_0'\lor\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1}[a_i\lor a_i']\ \Big)\ &\leq a_1\lor\Big(\ (a_0'\lor a_1')\wedge\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1}[(a_i\lor a_{i+1})\wedge(a_i'\lor a_{i+1}')]\ \Big) \end{aligned}$$

which hold in all linear lattices.  $D_3$  is the Arguesian law [4]. If we take  $a_i = p_i + \langle \beta_i \rangle$  for all  $i, a'_i = p_i + \langle \beta_i + \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} \rangle$  for  $i \neq 0$ , and  $a'_0 = p_0 + \langle \beta_0 - \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \rangle$ ,  $D_n$  fails in  $A_n$ . In particular,  $A_3$  is not Arguesian. This minimally non-Arguesian lattice was discovered by Pickering [8].

THEOREM. Every proper sublattice of  $A_n$  is linear.

PROOF.  $\bigcup_i [p_i, r_i]$  generates  $A_n$ , so a proper sublattice  $N \subset A_n$  will have  $N \cap [p_i, r_i] \subset [p_i, r_i]$  strictly for some *i*. We can assume  $[p_i, m]$  is the exceptional interval in the definition of  $\tau$ . We show  $[p_i, r_i]$  (which is a projective plane over **K**) possesses an automorphism fixing  $N \cap [q_i, r_i]$  and  $N \cap [q_{i+1}, r_i]$  and acting as  $\tau$  on  $N \cap [p_i, m]$ . This is proved by classifying maximal proper sublattices of  $[p_i, r_i]$  and their possible orientations relative to  $m, q_i, q_{i+1}$ , which leads to 13 cases to check, some trivial, none difficult.

It follows that  $A_n$  has a sublattice isomorphic to N, so N is linear.

THEOREM. If  $X \subseteq A_n$  generates  $A_n$ , then  $|X| \ge n$ .

PROOF. For each j,  $0_S \cup 1_S \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} a_i \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} b_i$  is a sublattice of  $A_n$  because  $\{0_S, 1_S\} \cup \{a_i, b_i | i \neq j\}$  is a sublattice of S. For each j, therefore, some  $x_j \in X$  is an element of  $a_j \cup b_j$  and not an element of any other block. This requires n distinct elements of X.

4. Conclusions. The results of §3 imply that no finite set of identities, or even universal Horn sentences, can completely characterize linearity; in particular, the Arguesian law is insufficient, since it holds in  $A_n$  for  $n \ge 7$ . It is known, however, how to characterize linear lattices by an *infinite* set of universal Horn sentences [3, 7].

If, as appears likely, the identity  $D_{n-1}$  holds in  $A_n$   $(n \ge 4)$ , we would have that  $D_{n-1}$  does not imply  $D_n$ , showing that  $\{D_n\}$  forms a hierarchy of progressively strictly stronger linear lattice identities. We remark that generator-counting will not suffice for this, since  $A_n$  has a set of generators X with |X| = n + 3. We conjecture n + 3 is minimal, which would imply  $A_4$ is Arguesian.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Day, Geometrical applications in modular lattices, Universal Algebra and Lattice Theory: Proceedings, Puebla 1982. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1004, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1983, pp. 111-141.

2. A. Day and D. Pickering, The coordinatization of Arguesian lattices. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 507-522.

3. M. Haiman, Proof theory for linear lattices, Advances in Math. 58 (1985), 209-242.

4. \_\_\_\_, Two notes on the Arguesian identity, Algebra Universalis 21 (1985), 167-171.

5. Ch. Herrman, S-Verklebte Summen von Verbänden, Math. Z. 130 (1973), 255-274.

6. B. Jónsson, On the representation of lattices, Math. Scand. 1 (1953), 193-206.

7. \_\_\_\_, Representation of modular lattices and of relation algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1959), 449-464.

8. D. Pickering, On minimal non-Arguesian lattice varieties, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Hawaii, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOL-OGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use