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#### Abstract

Asymptotic estimates of L. Tóth [5, 6] on the summatory functions of three arithmetical functions involving exponential divisors are improved. For two of them the improvement is on the upper bound of the size of the remainder term ( $O$-estimate), and is reached by appealing to lattice points estimates using exponent pairs due to Krätzel [1], and by having as well a closer look at the first terms of the generating Dirichlet series. For the third one, a lower bound on the size of the remainder term ( $\Omega$-estimate) is replaced by two-sided oscillation ( $\Omega_{ \pm}$-estimate), by appealing to a method of Pétermann and Wu [2].


## 1. Notation and definitions

An exponential divisor (e-divisor) $d=p_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{b_{r}}$ of $n=p_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{a_{r}}$, satisfies by definition $b_{i} \mid a_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, r)$. The integer $n$ is thus called exponentially squarefree ( $e$-squarefree) if all the $a_{i}$ are squarefree. These two notions were introduced by M.V. Subbarao [4]. Other authors further extended the analogies with notions related to usual divisors. For instance, if $n$ and $m$ have the same prime divisors, we call $\kappa(n)(=\kappa(m)):=p_{1} \cdots p_{r}$ their kernel, and then their greatest common exponential divisor (e-gcd) is defined as $(n, m)_{(e)}:=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_{i}^{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)}$. And if $(n, m)_{(e)}=\kappa(n)=\kappa(m)$ we say that $n$ and $m$ are exponentially-coprime (e-coprime).

Several functions related to exponential divisors, as the number $\tau^{(e)}(n)$ and the sum $\sigma^{(e)}(n)$ of $e$-divisors of $n$ to begin with, were studied by Subbarao and then by several other authors: see [5] for references.

In [5] and [6], L. Tóth studied some such functions, three of which are the subjects of this note. These are: (i) the number $t^{(e)}(n)$ of $e$-squarefree $e$-divisors of $n$, (ii) the number $\phi^{(e)}(n)$ of divisors $d$ of $n$ which are $e$-coprime with $n$ (the $e$-analogue of the Euler function $\phi$ ), and (iii) $\tilde{P}(n):=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, \kappa(j)=\kappa(n)}(j, n)_{(e)}$ (the $e$-analogue of the Pillai function $\left.P(n):=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n}(j, n)[3]\right)$.

Let $\zeta$ denote the Riemann zeta function. Let $\phi$ and $\mu$ be the Euler and Möbius functions. For a positive integer $n$ put as usual $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of $n$. For a positive integer $k$ let $\mathbf{1}_{k}$ be the characteristic function of the integers $n$ of the form $n=m^{k}$ (where $m$ is an integer), and similarly let $\mu_{k}(n)=\mu(m)$ if $n=m^{k}$ and $\mu_{k}(n)=0$ otherwise.

## 2. Results

Tóth proved the following estimates for the summatory functions of $t^{(e)}(n), \phi^{(e)}(n)$ and $\tilde{P}(n)$.

Theorem A. We have

$$
E_{t}(x):=\sum_{n \leq x} t^{(e)}(n)-C_{1} x-C_{2} x^{1 / 2}=O\left(x^{1 / 4+\epsilon}\right)
$$

for every $\epsilon>0$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\sum_{a \geq 6} \frac{2^{\omega(a)}-2^{\omega(a-1)}}{p^{a}}\right) \\
C_{2}=\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{p}\left(1+\sum_{a \geq 4} \frac{2^{\omega(a)}-2^{\omega(a-1)}-2^{\omega(a-2)}+2^{\omega(a-3)}}{p^{a / 2}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem B. We have

$$
E_{\phi}(x):=\sum_{n \leq x} \phi^{(e)}(n)-C_{3} x-C_{4} x^{1 / 3}=O\left(x^{1 / 5+\epsilon}\right)
$$

for every $\epsilon>0$, where $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are constants given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{3}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\sum_{a \geq 3} \frac{\phi(a)-\phi(a-1)}{p^{a}}\right) \\
C_{4}=\zeta\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \prod_{p}\left(1+\sum_{a \geq 5} \frac{\phi(a)-\phi(a-1)-\phi(a-3)+\phi(a-4)}{p^{a / 3}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem C. We have

$$
E_{p}(x):=\sum_{n \leq x} \tilde{P}(n)-C_{5} x^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
O\left(x(\log x)^{5 / 3}\right) \\
\Omega(x \log \log x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the constant $C_{5}$ is given by

$$
C_{5}:=\frac{1}{2} \prod_{p}\left(1+\sum_{a \geq 2} \frac{\tilde{P}\left(p^{a}\right)-p \tilde{P}\left(p^{a-1}\right)}{p^{2 a}}\right)
$$

## Notes.

(1) Theorem A is Theorem 4 in [6], which however contains two misprints: the term $1 / p^{2}$ is missing in the factor defining $C_{1}$, and the rightmost exponent in the factors defining $C_{2}$ is incorrect $(\omega(a-4)$ instead of $\omega(a-3)$; the same mistake is repeated in the proof on p.164). Theorem B is Theorem 1 in [5], which also contains misprints: the rightmost term in the factor defining $C_{4}$ is incorrect $(-\phi(a-4)$ instead of $+\phi(a-4)$ ), and the product symbol $\Pi$ is missing. The $O$-estimate in Theorem C is Theorem 3 in [5], and the $\Omega$-estimate is a direct consequence of Theorem 4 in [5], which states that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{P}(n) /(n \log \log n)=6 e^{\gamma} / \pi^{2}$.
(2) The proofs of Theorems A and B make use of estimates due to Krätzel for

$$
\Delta(a, b ; x):=\sum_{n_{1}^{a} n_{2}^{b} \leq x} 1-\zeta(b / a) x^{1 / a}-\zeta(a / b) x^{1 / b}
$$

in the case where $a$ and $b$ are integers with $1 \leq a<b$. The elementary Theorem 5.3 in [1] yields $\Delta(a, b ; x)=O\left(x^{1 /(2 a \overline{+b})}\right)$, and is applied to the case $a=1, b=2$ for the proof of Theorem A, and to the case $a=1, b=3$ for the proof of Theorem B.

But, from more elaborate arguments involving exponent pairs in this same Chapter 5 of [1], we see that $\Delta(1,2 ; x)=O\left(x^{\tau}\right)$ with $\tau<1 / 4$ and $\Delta(1,3 ; x)=$ $=O\left(x^{\varphi}\right)$ with $\varphi<1 / 5$. This will be used in the Proof of Theorem 1 below.
[For the best known values of $\tau$ and $\varphi$ : Theorem 5.11 p .223 yields $\Delta(1,2 ; x)=O\left(x^{37 / 167+\epsilon}\right)(x \rightarrow \infty)$ for every $\epsilon>0$ (see the Note on Section 5.3 on p.230), and Theorem 5.12 p. 227 yields $\Delta(1,3 ; x)=O\left(x^{0.175}(\log x)^{2}\right)(x \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \infty$ ) with the exponent pair $(1 / 14,11 / 14)$ (as indicated in the small table at the bottom of page 227)].

There are two objects to this note. The first one is to refine the argument yielding Theorems A and B, and to prove

Theorem 1. We have $E_{t}(x)=O\left(x^{1 / 4}\right)$ and $E_{\phi}(x)=O\left(x^{1 / 5} \log x\right)$.
The other object is to replace the $\Omega$-estimate in Theorem C by an oscillation estimate.

Theorem 2. We have

$$
E_{P}(x)=\Omega_{ \pm}(x \log \log x)
$$

## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. We begin with $E_{t}$. The proof of Theorem A in [6] exploits the expression

$$
T(s):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{(e)}(n)}{n^{s}}=\zeta(s) \zeta(2 s) V(s) \quad(\sigma>1)
$$

where, for $v\left(p^{a}\right):=2^{\omega(a)}-2^{\omega(a-1)}-2^{\omega(a-2)}+2^{\omega(a-3)}(a \geq 4)$ and $v\left(p^{a}\right)=$ $=0(1 \leq a \leq 3)$, the series

$$
V(s):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{v(n)}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{4 s}}+\sum_{a \geq 5} \frac{v\left(p^{a}\right)}{p^{a s}}\right)
$$

is absolutely convergent for $\sigma>1 / 4$.
A closer look thus easily shows that $V(s)=H(s) / \zeta(4 s)$, with

$$
H(s):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h(n)}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{2}{p^{6 s}}+\sum_{a \geq 7} \frac{h\left(p^{a}\right)}{p^{a s}}\right) .
$$

Since $\left|h\left(p^{a}\right)\right|=\left|\left(\mathbf{1}_{4} * v\right)\left(p^{a}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i \leq a} 2^{\omega(i)}=O\left(a^{2}\right)$, we see that $H(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma>1 / 6$.

Now if $H_{0}(s):=\zeta(s) \zeta(2 s) / \zeta(4 s)=: \sum_{n \geq 1} h_{0}(n) n^{-s}$, we have $h_{0}=\mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1}_{2} * \mu_{4}$, whence by using the fact that $\Delta(1,2 ; x)=O\left(x^{\tau}\right)$ for some $\tau<1 / 4$ (see Note (2) above) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n \leq x} h_{0}(n)=\sum_{n=n_{1} n_{2}^{2} N^{4} \leq x} \mu(N)= \\
=\sum_{N \leq x^{1 / 4}} \mu(N)\left(\zeta(2) \frac{x}{N^{4}}+\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x^{1 / 2}}{N^{2}}+O\left(\frac{x^{\tau}}{N^{4 \tau}}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the prime number theorem under the form $\sum_{n \geq y} \mu(n) / n=o(1)(y \rightarrow \infty)$ it follows that, if $\ell>1, \sum_{n<y} \mu(n) / n^{\ell}=1 / \zeta(\ell)+o\left(y^{1-\ell}\right)$, whence

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} h_{0}(n)=\frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(4)} x+\frac{\zeta(1 / 2)}{\zeta(2)} x^{1 / 2}+O\left(x^{1 / 4}\right)
$$

Finally, with $t^{(e)}=h * h_{0}$, we see that

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} t^{(e)}(n)=\frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(4)} H(1) x+\frac{\zeta(1 / 2)}{\zeta(2)} H(1 / 2) x^{1 / 2}+O\left(x^{1 / 4}\right)
$$

The proof of $E_{\phi}(x)=O\left(x^{1 / 5}\right)$ is similar. Instead of considering as in [5] the expression

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(s):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\phi^{(e)}(n)}{n^{s}}=\zeta(s) \zeta(3 s) U(s)= \\
=\zeta(s) \zeta(3 s) \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{2}{p^{5 s}}+\sum_{a \geq 6} \frac{u\left(p^{a}\right)}{p^{a s}}\right) \quad(\sigma>1),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the Dirichlet series for $U(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma>1 / 5$, we note that

$$
U(s)=(\zeta(5 s))^{2} J(s)=(\zeta(5 s))^{2} \prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{3}{p^{6 s}}+\sum_{a \geq 7} \frac{j\left(p^{a}\right)}{p^{a s}}\right)
$$

where the Dirichlet series for $J(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma>1 / 6$. Indeed $j=\mu_{5} * \mu_{5} * u$ where $u\left(p^{a}\right)=\phi(a)-\phi(a-1)-\phi(a-3)+\phi(a-4)(a \geq 5)$ and $u\left(p^{a}\right)=0(1 \leq a \leq 4)$, whence $j\left(p^{a}\right)=O(a)$ (more precisely, $\left.\left|j\left(p^{a}\right)\right| \leq 8 a\right)$. Thus by using the fact that $\Delta(1,3 ; x)=O\left(x^{\varphi}\right)$ for some $\varphi<1 / 5$ we obtain, similarly as before,

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \phi^{(e)}(n)=\zeta(3)(\zeta(5))^{2} J(1) x+\zeta(1 / 3)(\zeta(5 / 3))^{2} J(1 / 3) x^{1 / 3}+O\left(x^{1 / 5} \log x\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We leave this proof to the reader, whom we refer to the proof of Theorem 3 in [2], since the argument there may be very closely followed with only minor adaptations. Indeed the latter theorem establishes that $\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma^{(e)}(n)=D x^{2}+\Omega_{ \pm}(x \log \log x)$ for some constant $D$ by exploiting the expression $\sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma^{(e)}(n) n^{-s}=\zeta(s-1) \zeta(2 s-1)\left(\zeta(3 s-2)^{-1} K(s)\right.$, where the Dirichlet series for $K(s)$ absolutely converges for $\sigma>3 / 4$; and similarly we have (see Lemma 3 of [5]) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{P}(n) n^{-s}=\zeta(s-1) \zeta(2 s-1)\left(\zeta(3 s-2)^{-1} W(s)\right.$, where the Dirichlet series for $W(s)$ absolutely converges for $\sigma>3 / 4$.
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