Assessment of a Forest-fire Danger Index for Russia Using NOAA Information

Anatoly I. Sukhinin¹, Douglas J. McRae², and Eugene I. Ponomarev¹

presented by

Ivan Csiszar³



¹ Sukhachev Institute of Forest SB RAS, Laboratory Of Forest Fire Remote Sensing, Krasnoyarsk, Russia, E-mail: boss@ksc.krasn.ru



 ² Canadian Forest Service, Great Lakes Forest Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada



³ University of Maryland Department of Geography College Park, MD USA



MODIS active fire detections:

• 2001 (Terra)



MODIS active fire detections:

• 2002 (Terra)



MODIS active fire detections:



MODIS active fire detections:

• 2004 (Terra and Aqua)



MODIS active fire detections:

2005 (Terra and Aqua)



MODIS active fire detections: • 2001 • 2002 • 2003 • 2004 • 2005

Introduction

- Russia encompasses large remote forested regions
- No dense network of local weather stations needed to calculate fire danger
- Remote sensing using satellite data can provide reasonable estimates of fire danger across Russia
- An algorithm has been developed that can assess current fire danger
- Ambient weather conditions derived from remote sensing data obtained from NOAA satellites (AVHRR and TOVS)
- Surface temperature, dew point and precipitation

Satellite data and preprocessing

• NOAA/AVHRR: imager

- spatial resolution of 1.1 km with a wide swath of about 2700 km
- data is acquired for the same area twice a day by the same satellite
- radiative surface temperatures, vegetation indices, etc.
- NOAA/TOVS: vertical sounding system
 - collects data on characteristics for the near-surface atmospheric layer
 - e.g., dew point temperature, wind parameters, pressure distribution, etc.
- Preprocessing: three stages
 - acquisition and recording of the satellite signal
 - radiometric data calibration, sectorization (i.e., selection of a specific scene and AVHRR channel combination)
 - geographical correction of imagery and cartographic projection
- Fire-danger index involves three AVHRR channels
 - channels 1 and 2 surface albedo
 - also cloud screening and detection of water surfaces
 - channel 5 surface temperature
 - correction based on precipitation information obtained from local weather stations

Processing scheme



A – Preprocessing of satellite data

B – Software development

C – GIS mapping

NOAA-satellite data processing for determining the forest Fire Danger Index



Estimation of fire danger index

Nesterov's equation (slightly modified to improve its performance)

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \sum_{i} a_i \xi_i \sum_{j} t_{ij} (t_{ij} - \tau_{ij})$$

$$a = \left(\frac{A_3 - A_1}{A_3 + A_1} \cdot \frac{A_2 + A_1}{A_2 - A_1}\right)_{NOAA - 16}$$
 i: scene j: day

- Γ_{ij} :fire danger index
- τ : dew point temperature (°C) from TOVS data
- *t* : radiative surface temperature (°C) from AVHRR data
- ξ : precipitation coefficient obtained from TOVS/GIS weather data
- A_n: albedos for AVHRR Channels 1, 2 and 3 from NOAA-16

Processing software

- Projection of pixel data onto a given cartographic projection
 - daily updated fire-danger index maps
- Radiometric parameters for the underlying surface from remote-sensing instead of actual meteorological parameters to estimate atmospheric nearsurface layer parameters
 - high correlation between substantiates the development of a fire-danger index using remote sensing
- NOAA-16/AVHRR channel 3 data
 - correction factor for vegetation index (AVHRR Channels 1 and 2 data)
 - quantitative estimation of surface moisture
- Cloud obscuration: NOAA/TOVS instrument data

 microwave TOVS data allow for the restoration of atmospheric moisture and temperature parameters for overcast regions

Processing software (cont)

- Interpolation: piecewise-linear approximation method
 - consists of representing the surface defined by a function with a piecewise-linear surface consisting of triangle elements
 - net of non-intersecting triangles is created over a plane (x, y), where the projection of each point of space in the plane belongs to a particular triangle
 - the value of any function f(x, y) is interpolated using the piecewise-linear function given Delaunay's triangulation node values
- Linear extrapolation using the three nearest data points (i.e., the vertices of a triangle containing the given point) enables to restore a parameter value at any point using the TOVS data
- High correlation between NOAA measurements and temperature data recorded at the on-ground weather stations (r = 0.7)
 - mean bias 4-6°C
- Corrected TOVS data were used in the estimation of the fire-danger index

GIS mapping

- ARC/INFO 3.4.2 and ArcView 3.2 software packages
- Classes of fire danger are selected according to the range of actual fire-danger index values
- Further processing using GIS technology could combine the firedanger maps produced here with forest fuel information
- Maps of potential levels of fire behavior and fuel consumption.



Visible and near-infrared channels (1, 2) of AVHRR: detection of clouds and water body surfaces. Channel 5: the temperature field (thermal range). TOVS data: humidity, wind speed and direction.

Map of pressure, temperature, and cloudiness



Pattern of data layout:



Cloudiness: CL – lower layer Cm – medium layer Ch – higher layer hs - Lower layer cloudiness height TTT – air temperature TdTdTd – dew point temperature ww - weather phenomena PPP – pressure at the sea level

Map of precipitation



Fire Danger classes as estimated by NOAA-14 data for August 8, 2007



Fire danger classes are based on those used by the Russian Fire Service

Method of fire weather danger prediction on the basis of satellite data and GIS "Meteo" database



Fire danger forecasts

- Predict the occurrences and fire behavior of any future wildfires
- Short-term meteorological forecasts

•short-term prognoses of air temperature and pressure over the periods of 12 to 168 hours are available as a part of the world database GIS "Meteo"

- Prediction map showing the upper limits of fire danger for Russia (i.e. the maximum values of fire weather danger in the absence of precipitation) over the next 1 to 7 days
- On a daily basis, the prediction maps are updated using actual precipitation recorded at on-ground weather stations.



"B": high; "H": low

Actual state during the forecasted period





Red boxes indicate zones of liquid precipitation during the forecasting period

"B": high; "H": low

Schematic map of Fire danger distribution corrected for the actual precipitation



In the regions with registered precipitation fire danger classes were lowered to the 3 and 2 class in accordance with the amount of recorded precipitation. The correction is applied daily throughout the forecasting period.

Validation

- Data from 15 on-ground weather stations in the Krasnoyarsk Region during 1996-2000
- High correlation between our firedanger index created using remotesensing data with the Russian Nesterov's index ($r \approx 0.9$)

	Weather stations	Correlation
	Strelka	0.86
	Motygino	0.74
	Boguchany	0.97
	Aban	0.88
	Vorogovo	0.92
	Alexandrovski shluz	0.97
	Severo-Yeniseysk	0.96
	Poligus	0.98
	Baykit	0.95
	Vanavara	0.93
	B. Uluy	0.88
	Kacha	0.89
	Artemovsk	0.86
	Nizhneusinskoye	0.89





References

Fire maps (daily updates and archive) of the Forest Fire Research Laboratory, Remote Sensing Unit, V.N. Sukachev Institute of Forest, may be obtained:

ftp://friend:get_data@195.161.57.194/DailyData/

http://www.fire.uni-freiburg.de/current/globalfire.htm

http://www.fire.uni-freiburg.de/current/archive/archive.htm#RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Contact:

Anatoly I. Sukhinin Forest Fire Research Laboratory, Remote Sensing Unit V.N. Sukachev Institute of Forest, SB RAS Krasnoyarsk, 660036 RUSSIA

E-mail: boss@ksc.krasn.ru



Some other related NERIN-Fire activities

T. Loboda¹, I. Csiszar¹, A. Terekhov², D. Ershov³, E. Loupian⁴, O. Ravsal⁵

1 – University of Maryland, USA; 2 – Institute of Space Research, Kazakhstan;
 3 – Center for Forest Ecology and Productivity, Russia; 4 – Space Research institute, Russia; 5 – JEMR, Mongolia.

NERIN-Fire



RFFDS: status and perspectives

RussianForestFireDangerSystem(RFFDS)aspartofInformationSystemforWildfiresRemoteMonitoring

is based on the complex meteorological index developed by
V. Nesterov (FWI).

 characterizes a readiness of ignition of forest fuels as a conductor of ground forest fires.

Observation (George N. Korovin, CFEP RAS 2006) Forest Fuel man Satellite data Meteorological data Storm data Input data Greenness Current Archive Hotspots index Ground vegetation Litter moisture index Needle / leaf Fuel moisture cover moisture index (LMI) moisture index Drought Index component (GVCMI) or Russian PV-2 (NLMI) or Russian, PV-1 Anthropogenic Fuel inflammation Complex inflammation Storm inflammation inflammation index component index (CII) index (SII) (AII) Fire spreading Fire spreading index component (FSI) Fire loading Fire loading index Inflammation intensity Fire season severity component (heat generation) (FLI)

Methodology of Fire Risk Index Estimation from Satellite and Ground

The **RFFDS** incorporates evaluation of fuel susceptibility to fire as well as anthropogenic and natural drivers of fire ignition risk, fire spread rate, amount of released energy, fire danger, fire suppression difficulty, etc. for different forest conditions of Russia.

Predictive Early warning systems in Kazakhstan

Low precipitation amount

Statistical estimation of fire risk

The risk of fire occurrence is not driven by current weather condition (temperature and humidity)

Fire occurrence is unlikely because of low fuel availability

High precipitation amount



Fire risk is driven by biomass productivity of steppe ecosystem

Fuel build up sustains large fires: a single burn can reach the size of nearly 1 million hectares

Frequency of steppe fire in Shetsky rayon, Karaganda oblast, KAZAKHSTAN during 2001-2004 years

Fire during 2001-2004

two time three time

Predictive Early warning systems in Mongolia



Frequency of disaster occurrence in Ulaanbaatar during 1990-2000

Integration of **information** and **communication technology** with the **indigenous knowledge** and **wisdom** and **the best practices of the developed countries** are considered as key factors towards developing an in-depth understanding, assessment and successful management to reduce disaster risks and vulnerability in Mongolia.

Fuzzy-logic driven Fire Danger Model: example from the Russian Far East



Fire danger levels

MODIS fire detections

very low low moderate high very high

April 14, 2006