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We will be concerned with the $2 n$-th order linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L y(t) \equiv \Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right]+q(t) y(t)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(t)>0$ on the discrete interval $[a, \infty) \equiv\{a, a+1, \ldots\}$ and where $q(t)$ is defined on the discrete interval $[a+n, \infty)$. Here $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator, i.e., $\Delta y(t)=y(t+1)-y(t)$. A function $y$ defined on the discrete interval $[a, \infty)$ is a solution of (1), provided (1) holds for $t \geq a+n$.

There has been much recent interest in difference equations. See the recent books $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{7 - 9}]$ and the many references therein. Discrete time linear systems arise in discrete linear optimal control and filtering problems [14]. Cheng [3] studied equation (1) with $p(t) \equiv 1$ and $n=2$. Smith and Taylor [12] studied a variation of equation (1) with $p(t) \equiv 1$, $n=2$, and two additional lower order terms. We are also motivated by $[6]$ and $[13]$.

We now introduce quasi-difference operators so that the Lagrange identity of (1) has a nice form. For $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, define

$$
\Delta_{i} y(t)=\Delta^{i} y(t)
$$

and for $n \leq i \leq 2 n-1$, define

$$
\Delta_{i} y(t)=\Delta^{i-n}\left[p(t-i+n-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-i+n-1)\right] .
$$

One can then prove the Lagrange identity for (1).

Theorem 1. For $y$ and $z$ defined on $[a, \infty)$,

$$
z(t) L y(t)-y(t) L z(t)=\Delta\{z(t) ; y(t)\}
$$
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for $t \geq a+n$, where the Lagrange bracket of $z(t)$ and $y(t)$ is defined by

$$
\{z(t) ; y(t)\}=\sum_{i=0}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{i} \Delta_{i} z(t) \Delta_{2 n-1-i} y(t)
$$

for $t \geq a+n$.

Proof. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\{z(t) ; y(t)\}= & \Delta\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} z(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+i-1} \Delta^{i-1}\left[p(t-i) \Delta^{n} z(t-i)\right] \Delta^{n-i} y(t)\right\} \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} z(t) \Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i+1} z(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}[p(t-n+i+1) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+i-1} \Delta^{i-1}[p(t-i+1) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+i-1} \Delta^{i}\left[p(t-i) \Delta^{n} z(t-i)\right] \Delta^{n-i} y(t) \\
= & z(t) \Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} z(t) \Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} z(t) \Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+i-1} \Delta^{i}\left[p(t-i) \Delta^{n} z(t-i)\right] \Delta^{n-i} y(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+i-1} \Delta^{i}\left[p(t-i) \Delta^{n} z(t-i)\right] \Delta^{n-i} y(t) \\
& -\Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} z(t-n)\right] y(t) \\
= & z(t) \Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right]-(-1)^{n} \Delta^{n} z(t) p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t) \\
& -(-1)^{n-1} p(t) \Delta^{n} z(t) \Delta^{n} y(t) \\
& -\Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} z(t-n)\right] y(t) \\
= & z(t)\left\{\Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right]+q(t) y(t)\right\} \\
& -y(t)\left\{\Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} z(t-n)\right]+q(t) z(t)\right\} \\
= & z(t) L y(t)-y(t) L z(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that there is a unique solution of equation (1) satisfying the conditions

$$
\Delta_{i} y\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha_{i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2 n-1
$$

where the $\alpha_{i}$ are given constants. For each fixed $s \in[a, \infty)$, let $y_{j}(t, s)$, $0 \leq j \leq 2 n-1$ be the solution of (1) satisfying the conditions

$$
\Delta_{i} y_{j}(s, s)=\delta_{i j}
$$

$0 \leq i, j \leq 2 n-1$, where quasi-differences are with respect to the first variable and where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. Note that if $1 \leq j \leq n$, then

$$
y_{j}(s+i, s)=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq j-1
$$

and if $n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1$, then

$$
y_{j}(s+i, s)=0, \quad n-j \leq i \leq n-1
$$

In particular, $y_{j}(t, s)$ has $j$ consecutive zeros starting at $s$ if $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j$ consecutive zeros starting at $s+n-j$ if $n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1$. We now obtain formulas relating the quasi-differences for $y_{j}(t, s)$ and $y_{2 n-1-j}(s, t)$ (for the analogous differential equations case see [11]).

Theorem 2. For $0 \leq i, j \leq 2 n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i} y_{j}(t, s)=(-1)^{i+j} \Delta_{2 n-1-j} y_{2 n-1-i}(s, t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quasi-differences on both sides of the equation are with respect to the first variable.

Proof. Fix integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ in $[a+n, \infty)$. By the Lagrange identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{y_{j}\left(t, t_{1}\right) ; y_{2 n-1-i}\left(t, t_{2}\right)\right\}=\text { constant } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq a+n$. Hence, the left side of (3) is the same when evaluated at $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ which gives us

$$
(-1)^{j} \Delta_{2 n-1-j} y_{2 n-1-i}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=(-1)^{i} \Delta_{i} y_{j}\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right)
$$

This gives us the desired result with $s=t_{1}$ and $t=t_{2}$.

Define the generalized Wronskian (Casoratian) of $y_{2 n-1}(t, s), \ldots$, $y_{2 n-j}(t)$ by
$W\left[y_{2 n-1}(t, s), \ldots, y_{2 n-j}(t, s)\right]$

$$
=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{2 n-1}(t, s) & \cdots & y_{2 n-j}(t, s) \\
\Delta_{1} y_{2 n-1}(t, s) & \cdots & \Delta_{1} y_{2 n-j}(t, s) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \cdots \\
\Delta_{j-1} y_{2 n-1}(t, s) & \cdots & \Delta_{j-1} y_{2 n-j}(t, s)
\end{array}\right|
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 2 n$.
Using (2) we obtain the following result (for a similar result for differential equations, see [5]).

Corollary 1. For $1 \leq j \leq 2 n$,
$W\left[y_{2 n-1}(t, s), \ldots, y_{2 n-j}(t, s)\right]=(-1)^{j} W\left[y_{2 n-1}(s, t), \ldots, y_{2 n-j}(s, t)\right]$.

The following result follows immediately from this result.

Corollary 2. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ there is a nontrivial solution $u$ of (1) satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(s+j) & =0, & & k-n \leq j \leq n-1 \\
u(t+i) & =0, & & 0 \leq i \leq k-1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s+n-1<t$ if and only if there is a nontrivial solution $v$ of (1) satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(s+j) & =0, & & 0 \leq j \leq k-1 \\
v(t+i) & =0, & & k-n \leq i \leq n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

For any function $y$ defined on $[a, \infty)$, we define for $t \geq a+n$ the operators $E$ and $F$ by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E y(t)=\sum_{\tau=a+n-1}^{t-1}\left\{\left[\Delta^{n-1} y(\tau-1)+(n-1) \Delta^{n-1} y(\tau)\right] p(\tau-1)\right. \\
\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y(\tau-1)\right\} \\
-(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i}(i+1) \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-2-i}[p(t-n+i) \\
\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y(t)= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, as is common for the difference calculus, whenever the upper limit of a sum is less than the lower limit of the sum, the sum is understood to be zero.

Lemma 1. If $y$ is defined for $t \geq a$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E y(t)=F y(t), \quad t \geq a+n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, if $y$ is a solution of equation (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta F y(t)=p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2}+(-1)^{n} q(t) y^{2}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} q(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq a+n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F$ is nondecreasing along solutions $y$ of equation (1).

Proof. We first show (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta E y(t)= {\left[\Delta^{n-1} y(t-1)+(n-1) \Delta^{n-1} y(t)\right] p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) } \\
&-(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i}(i+1) \Delta^{i+1} y(t) \Delta^{n-2-i}[p(t-n+i+1) \\
&\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i+1)\right] \\
&-(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i}(i+1) \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}[p(t-n+i) \\
&\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating the first sum at $n-2$ and reindexing, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta E y(t)= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& +(n-1) \Delta^{n-1} y(t) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& -(n-1) \Delta^{n-1} y(t) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(-1)^{i-1}(i) \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}[p(t-n+i) \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i}(i+1) \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}[p(t-n+i) \\
= & \left.\Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
= & F y(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we will show (5).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta F y(t)= \Delta^{n-1} y(t) \Delta\left[p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]+p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2} \\
&-(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i+1} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}[p(t-n+i+1) \\
&\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i+1)\right] \\
&-(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating the first sum at $n-2$ and reindexing, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta F y(t)= & \left.\Delta^{n-1} y(t) \Delta\left[p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]+p(t-1)\right]\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2} \\
& -\Delta^{n-1} y(t) \Delta\left[p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right] \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(-1)^{i-1} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
= & p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2}-(-1)^{n} y(t) \Delta^{n}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right] \\
= & p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2}+(-1)^{n} q(t) y^{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $y$ is a solution of equation (1). Also, if (6) holds then $\Delta F y(t) \geq 0$ on $[a+n, \infty)$. Hence $F$ is nondecreasing along solutions of equation (1) for $t \geq a+n$.

To obtain another expression for $F y(t)$, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y(t)= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& \quad-(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i}\left[\Delta^{i+1} y(t-1)+\Delta^{i} y(t-1)\right] \\
& \cdot \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Separating the sum, then evaluating the first sum at $n-2$ and reindexing, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y(t)= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1)-\Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) \\
& \cdot \Delta\left[p(t-2) \Delta^{n} y(t-2)\right] \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(-1)^{i-1} \Delta^{i} y(t-1) \Delta^{n-i}[p(t-n+i-1) \\
& \left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i-1)\right] \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t-1) \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1)\left\{p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1)-\Delta\left[p(t-2) \Delta^{n} y(t-2)\right]\right\} \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t-1)\left\{\Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\Delta^{n-i}\left[p(t-n+i-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i-1)\right]\right\} \\
& -(-1)^{n} y(t-1) \Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
F y(t)= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-2) \Delta^{n} y(t-2) \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t-1) \Delta^{n-1-i}[p(t-n+i-1)  \tag{7}\\
& -(-1)^{n} y(t-1) \Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t-n) \Delta^{n} y(t-n)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We can form another operator on the set of functions $y$ defined on $[a, \infty)$. We define for $t \geq a+n-1$ the operator $\tilde{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F} y(t)= & \Delta^{n-1} y(t-1) p(t-1) \Delta^{n} y(t-1) \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} y(t) \Delta^{n-1-i}\left[p(t-n+i) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+i)\right] \\
& -(-1)^{n} y(t) \Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t-n+1) \Delta^{n} y(t-n+1)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1, we can show that

$$
\Delta \tilde{F} y(t)=p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2}+(-1)^{n} q(t+1) y^{2}(t+1)
$$

When $n=2$ and $p(t) \equiv 1$ (in this case the middle term is understood to be zero) the form (7) of the operator $F$ is the same as an expression studied by Cheng [3]. The corresponding operator studied by Smith and Taylor $[\mathbf{1 2}]$ for $n=2$ and $p(t) \equiv 1$ is the same as our operator $\tilde{F}$. We will primarily be using the two forms of $F$, and we use $\tilde{F}$ here as an illustration of other possible identities.

If $y$ is a solution of $(1)$ such that $F y(t) \leq 0$ in a neighborhood of infinity, then we say $y$ is a type $I$ solution. Further, if $F y(t)>0$ in a neighborhood of infinity, then we say $y$ is a type $I I$ solution. Smith and Taylor [12] show the existence of two linearly independent type I solutions for the case when $n=2$ and $p(t) \equiv 1$. Note that if (6) holds, then by Lemma 1 all solutions of (1) are type I or type II solutions. We will say $y$ is a strict type $I$ solution provided $F y(t)<0$ in a neighborhood of infinity.

If $y$ is a solution of $(1)$ on the interval $[a, \infty)$, then we say $y$ has a generalized zero at $t_{0}$ provided either $y\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ for $t_{0} \geq a$, or for $t_{0}>a$ there is an integer $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, t_{0}-a\right\}$ such that $(-1)^{k} y\left(t_{0}-k\right) y\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ where if $k>1, y\left(t_{0}-k+1\right)=\cdots=y\left(t_{0}-1\right)=0$.

Theorem 3. Assume (6) holds. Then any nontrivial solution of equation (1) with $n-1$ consecutive zeros followed immediately by a generalized zero is a type II solution. In particular, the difference equation (1) has n linearly independent type II solutions.

Proof. Assume $y$ is a nontrivial solution of (1) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{0}+i\right)=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $y$ has a generalized zero at $t_{0}+n-1$.
Extend the domain of $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ to the set of integers $(-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(t)=p(a), \quad t \leq a \\
& q(t)=q(a+n), \quad t \leq a+n
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show that equation (1) with these new coefficients satisfies the theorem. Note that $F y(t)$ is now defined and nondecreasing on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

We first consider the case where $y\left(t_{0}+n-1\right)=0$. Since $y$ is a nontrivial solution of (1), $y$ can have at most $2 n-1$ consecutive zeros. By possibly increasing $t_{0}$, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
y\left(t_{0}+n\right) \neq 0
$$

Then, using (8), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y\left(t_{0}+2\right)= & \Delta^{n-1} y\left(t_{0}+1\right) p\left(t_{0}+1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}+1\right) \\
& -\Delta^{n-2} y\left(t_{0}+2\right) \Delta\left[p\left(t_{0}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}\right)\right] \\
= & y\left(t_{0}+n\right)\left\{p\left(t_{0}+1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}+1\right)-\Delta\left[p\left(t_{0}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & p\left(t_{0}\right) y\left(t_{0}+n\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}\right) \\
= & p\left(t_{0}\right) y^{2}\left(t_{0}+n\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma $1, F y(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}+2, \infty\right)$ and $y$ is a type II solution of (1).

Now consider the case where (8) holds and $y$ has a generalized zero at $t_{0}+n-1$, but

$$
y\left(t_{0}+n-1\right) \neq 0
$$

In this case

$$
(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{0}-1\right) y\left(t_{0}+n-1\right)>0
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y\left(t_{0}+1\right)= & \Delta^{n-1} y\left(t_{0}\right) p\left(t_{0}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}\right)-\Delta^{n-2} y\left(t_{0}+1\right) \\
& \times \Delta\left[p\left(t_{0}-1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}-1\right)\right] \\
= & y\left(t_{0}+n-1\right)\left\{p\left(t_{0}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}\right)-\Delta\left[p\left(t_{0}-1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}-1\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & p\left(t_{0}\right) y\left(t_{0}+n-1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}-1\right) \\
= & p\left(t_{0}\right)\left[y^{2}\left(t_{0}+n-1\right)+(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{0}-1\right) y\left(t_{0}+n-1\right)\right]>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 1, $F y(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}+1, \infty\right)$ and $y$ is a type II solution of (1).

We now show that there are $n$ linearly independent type II solutions of (1). Let $y_{k}(t), 1 \leq k \leq n$ be the solutions of (1) satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{k}(a+i)=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2 n-1, \quad i \neq n+k-1 \\
y_{k}(a+n-k-1)=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $y_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, are nontrivial solutions with $n$ consecutive zeros starting at $a$, we have by the first part of the proof that $y_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, are type II solutions. Clearly these solutions are linearly independent. -

Theorem 4. If (6) holds, then the difference equation (1) has $n$ linearly independent type I solutions.

Proof. For each fixed $s \geq a+n$, let $v_{k}(t, s), 1 \leq k \leq n$, be a nontrivial solution of equation (1) satisfying the $2 n-1$ boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{k}(a+i, s)=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad i \neq k-1 \\
v_{k}(s+i, s)=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Then define

$$
u_{k}(t, s)=\frac{v_{k}(t, s)}{\sqrt{v_{k}^{2}(a, s)+v_{k}^{2}(a+1, s)+\cdots+v_{k}^{2}(a+2 n-1, s)}}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n, s \geq a+n$. Then $u_{k}(t, s)$ is a solution of equation (1) satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2 n-1} u_{k}^{2}(a+i, s)=1
$$

Hence, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the sequence $\left\{u_{k}(a, s), u_{k}(a+1, s)\right.$, $\left.\ldots, u_{k}(a+2 n-1, s)\right\}_{s=a+n}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence $\left\{u_{k}\left(a, s_{j k}\right)\right.$, $\left.u_{k}\left(a+1, s_{j k}\right), \ldots, u_{k}\left(a+2 n-1, s_{j k}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Let

$$
v_{i k}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}\left(a+i-1, s_{j k}\right)
$$

$1 \leq i \leq 2 n$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} v_{i k}^{2}=1
$$

Let $y_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, be the solutions of equation (1) satisfying

$$
y_{k}(a+i)=v_{i+1, k}
$$

$0 \leq i \leq 2 n-1$.

Since

$$
F u_{k}\left(s_{j k}+1, s_{j k}\right)=0
$$

and $F u_{k}\left(t, s_{j k}\right)$ is nondecreasing,

$$
F u_{k}\left(t, s_{j k}\right) \leq 0, \quad \text { on }\left[a+n, s_{j k}+1\right] .
$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$
F y_{k}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq a+n
$$

Hence, $y_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, are type I solutions of (1).
Note that

$$
y_{k}(a+i)=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad i \neq k-1
$$

If $y_{k}(a+k-1)=0$, then $y_{k}$ would have $n$ consecutive zeros and so by Theorem 3 would be a type II solution. Hence $y_{k}(a+k-1) \neq 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. It easily follows from this that $y_{k}(t), 1 \leq k \leq n$, are linearly independent.

Theorem 5. If (6) holds and $y$ is a type I solution of equation (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=a}^{\infty} p(t)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t)\right]^{2}<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=a+n}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} q(t) y^{2}(t)<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q(t) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of infinity, then every nontrivial type I solution of equation (1) is a strict type I solution.

Let $y$ be a type I solution of (1). Then

$$
F y(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq a+n
$$

Let

$$
M=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F y(t) \leq 0
$$

Summing both sides of (5) from $a+n$ to $\infty$, we get that

$$
M-F y(a+n)=\sum_{t=a+n}^{\infty}\left\{p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} y(t-1)\right]^{2}+(-1)^{n} q(t) y^{2}(t)\right\}
$$

Thus (9) and (10) hold.
Now assume $q(t) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of infinity and $v$ is a nontrivial type I solution of (1). Then $F v(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq a+n$. Assume there is a $t_{0} \in[a+n, \infty)$ such that $F v\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Then $F v(t) \equiv 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. But then $\Delta F v(t) \equiv 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Hence, from (5) we get that

$$
p(t-1)\left[\Delta^{n} v(t-1)\right]^{2}+(-1)^{n} q(t) v^{2}(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Since $q(t) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of infinity, we get that $v$ is the trivial solution which is not possible. Hence, we must have

$$
F v(t)<0, \quad t \geq a+n
$$

which means that $v$ is a strict type I solution of equation (1).

From Theorems 4 and 5, we obtain the following result, which is related to the recessive solutions of Ahlbrandt and Hooker [2].

Corollary 3. If (6) holds and

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}(-1)^{n} q(t)>0
$$

then equation (1) has $n$ linearly independent type I solutions $v_{k}, 1 \leq$ $k \leq n$, satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v_{k}(t)=0
$$

A close look at the proof of Theorems 4 and 5 shows one could prove the following result.

Corollary 4. Assume (6) holds and there is an increasing sequence of integers $\left\{t_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \subset[a+n, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left[t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right]<\infty \\
\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_{j}>0, \quad \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} P_{j}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
Q_{n j+i}=(-1)^{n} q\left(t_{j}+i\right)
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1, j \geq 0$ and

$$
P_{n j+i}=p\left(t_{j}+i-1\right)
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left[t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right], j \geq 0$, then equation (1) has $n$ linearly independent type I solutions $v$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=0
$$

Definition. We say that equation (1) is $(n, n)$-disconjugate on $[a, \infty)$ provided there is no nontrivial solution $y$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y\left(t_{1}+i\right)=0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
y\left(t_{2}+i\right)=0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \tag{11b}
\end{array}
$$

and $y$ has a generalized zero at both $t_{1}+n-1$ and $t_{2}+n-1$ where $a \leq t_{1}<t_{1}+n \leq t_{2}$.

This definition for ( $n, n$ )-disconjugacy is more general than the definition for $(k, m-k)$-disconjugacy given in [10] for the case when $k=n$ and $m=2 n$.

Theorem 6. If (6) holds, then equation (1) is ( $n, n$ )-disconjugate on $[a, \infty)$.

Proof. Assume $y$ is a nontrivial solution of equation (1) which satisfies (11a), (11b) and has a generalized zero at $t_{1}+n-1$. We will consider the three cases: (i) $t_{2}=t_{1}+n$ and $y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)=0$, (ii) $t_{2}>t_{1}+n$
and $y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)=0$, and (iii) $y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right) \neq 0$. We will show that $y$ cannot have a generalized zero at $t_{2}+n-1$.

For case (i) assume $t_{2}=t_{1}+n$ and $y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)=0$. If $t_{1}=a$ here and $y$ has a generalized zero at $t_{2}+n-1$, then $y\left(t_{1}+2 n-1\right)=0$. Thus $y$ is the trivial solution; therefore, we assume $t_{1}>a$. Consider equation (1) evaluated at $t=t_{1}+n-1$; with (11a) and (11b) we obtain

$$
p\left(t_{1}+n-1\right) y\left(t_{1}+2 n-1\right)+(-1)^{2 n} p\left(t_{1}-1\right) y\left(t_{1}-1\right)=0
$$

But this implies that

$$
(-1)^{2 n} y\left(t_{1}-1\right) y\left(t_{1}+2 n-1\right)<0
$$

That is, $y$ does not have a generalized zero at $t=t_{1}+2 n-1=t_{2}+n-1$.
For case (ii) assume $t_{2}>t_{1}+n$ and $y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)=0$. By possibly increasing $t_{1}$, we can assume without loss of generality that $t=t_{1}+n-1$ is the last consecutive zero of $y$ beginning with $t=t_{1}$. So $y\left(t_{1}+n\right) \neq 0$.

Extend the domain of $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ to the set of integers $(-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(t)=p(a), \quad t \leq a \\
& q(t)=q(a+n), \quad t \leq a+n
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show that equation (1) with these new coefficients is $(n, n)$-disconjugate on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Note that $F y(t)$ is now defined and nondecreasing on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Using (11a) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y\left(t_{1}+2\right)= & \Delta^{n-1} y\left(t_{1}+1\right) p\left(t_{1}+1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}+1\right)-\Delta^{n-2} y\left(t_{1}+2\right) \\
& \cdot \Delta\left[p\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}\right)\right] \\
= & y\left(t_{1}+n\right) p\left(t_{1}+1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}+1\right)-y\left(t_{1}+n\right)\left[p\left(t_{1}+1\right)\right. \\
= & p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{2}\left(t_{1}+n\right) \\
> & \left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}+1\right)-p\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
F y(t)>0
$$

for $t \geq t_{1}+2$. In particular, $F y\left(t_{2}\right)>0$. Evaluating $F y\left(t_{2}\right)$, we obtain from (11b)

$$
\Delta^{n-1} y\left(t_{2}-1\right) p\left(t_{2}-1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{2}-1\right)>0
$$

so that

$$
(-1)^{n-1} y\left(t_{2}-1\right) p\left(t_{2}-1\right)\left[y\left(t_{2}+n-1\right)+(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{2}-1\right)\right]>0
$$

Hence

$$
(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{2}-1\right) y\left(t_{2}+n-1\right)<0
$$

which along with (11b) implies $y$ has no generalized zero (and hence no zero) at $t=t_{2}+n-1$.

For case (iii) assume $(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{1}-1\right) y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)>0$. As in case (ii) extend the definitions of $p(t)$ and $q(t)$, then note that $F y(t)$ is defined and nondecreasing on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Using (11a) we get that

$$
\begin{gathered}
F y\left(t_{1}+1\right)=\Delta^{n-1} y\left(t_{1}\right) p\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}\right)-\Delta^{n-2} y\left(t_{1}+1\right) \Delta\left[p\left(t_{1}-1\right)\right. \\
\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}-1\right)\right] \\
=y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right) p\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}\right)-y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)\left[p\left(t_{1}\right)\right. \\
\left.\cdot \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}\right)-p\left(t_{1}-1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{1}-1\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F y\left(t_{1}+1\right) & =y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right) p\left(t_{1}-1\right)\left[y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)+(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{1}-1\right)\right] \\
& =p\left(t_{1}-1\right)\left[y^{2}\left(t_{1}+n-1\right)+(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{1}+n-1\right) y\left(t_{1}-1\right)\right] \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
F y(t)>0
$$

for $t \geq t_{1}+1$. In particular, $F y\left(t_{2}\right)>0$. Evaluating $F y\left(t_{2}\right)$, we obtain using (11b)

$$
\Delta^{n-1} y\left(t_{2}-1\right) p\left(t_{2}-1\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{2}-1\right)>0
$$

so that

$$
(-1)^{n-1} y\left(t_{2}-1\right) p\left(t_{2}-1\right)\left[y\left(t_{2}+n-1\right)+(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{2}-1\right)\right]>0
$$

Hence,

$$
(-1)^{n} y\left(t_{2}-1\right) y\left(t_{2}+n-1\right)<0
$$

which, along with (11b), implies that $y$ has no generalized zero (and hence no zero) at $t=t_{2}+n-1$.

Theorem 7. Every unbounded solution of (1) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)<\infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume $y$ is an unbounded solution of (1) to show that $y$ is oscillatory. Suppose that $y$ is nonoscillatory, then there is a $t_{0} \in[a, \infty)$ such that all values $y(t)$ have the same sign on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. We may assume $y(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Since $y$ is an unbounded positive solution of (1), we have by (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]=-q(t+n) y(t+n)<0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{n}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]=\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}-q(t+n) y(t+n)=-\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]-\Delta^{n-1}\left[p\left(t_{0}\right) \Delta^{n} y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]=\sum_{s=t_{0}}^{t-1} \Delta^{n}\left[p(s) \Delta^{n} y(s)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by expressions (14), (15) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]=-\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by expression (14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t+1) \Delta^{n} y(t+1)\right] & =\Delta^{n}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]+\Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right] \\
& <\Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Thus, by (17), there is a $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\Delta^{n-1}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]<0
$$

on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$.
By continuing in this fashion of summing each expression it is easily shown that

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{i}\left[p(t) \Delta^{n} y(t)\right]=-\infty
$$

for $i=n-2, n-3, \ldots, 0$, and using (13)

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{i} y(t)=-\infty
$$

for $i=n, n-1, \ldots, 0$. Thus

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-\infty
$$

But this contradicts the assumption that $y(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Hence if (12) and (13) hold, then every unbounded solution $y$ of (1) is oscillatory.

The following theorem demonstrates that type II solutions are unbounded for the special case when $n=2$ and $p(t) \equiv 1$. We believe, but have been unable to show, that, for the more general case, type II solutions are unbounded for any $n$ is also true with the added assumption

$$
0<\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)<\infty
$$

For the following theorem, we consider equation (1) with $n=2$ and $p(t) \equiv 1$ that is the fourth order linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{4} y(t-2)+q(t) y(t)=0, \quad t \geq a+2 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(t) \geq 0$ on $[a+2, \infty)$. Let $y$ be defined on $[a, \infty)$, then for $t \geq a+2$ operator $F$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F y(t)=\Delta y(t-1) \Delta^{2} y(t-1)-y(t) \Delta^{3} y(t-2) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and take a different antidifference to redefine the operator $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E y(t)=[\Delta y(t-1)]^{2}-y(t) \Delta^{2} y(t-2) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8. If (6) holds, then type II solutions of (18) are unbounded.

Assume that $y$ is a type II solution of (18), i.e., there is a $t_{0} \in$ $[a+2, \infty)$ such that $F y\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. As in Lemma 1 , by (6) $F$ is nondecreasing along each solution $y$ of (18). Hence, by

$$
\Delta E y(t)=F y(t)>0
$$

and by (5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{2} E y(t) & =\Delta F y(t) \\
& =\left[\Delta^{2} y(t-1)\right]^{2}+q(t) y^{2}(t) \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Hence, we get that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E y(t)=\infty
$$

By the way $E$ is defined in (20) if $y$ is bounded, then so is $E y$. But $E y$ is unbounded, thus $y$ must be unbounded. Hence, all type II solutions of (18) are unbounded.
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