

ASYMPTOTIC THEORY FOR ESTIMATION OF LOCATION IN  
 NON-REGULAR CASES, I: ORDER OF CONVERGENCE  
 OF CONSISTENT ESTIMATORS\*

By Masafumi AKAHIRA  
 University of Electro-Communications

1. Introduction

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  is a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables. We assume that a parameter space  $\Theta$  is an open set in a Euclidean  $p$ -space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . In the textbook discussion of an asymptotic theory, it is usually shown that the asymptotically best (in some sense or other) estimator  $\{T_n^*\}$  has the asymptotic distribution of order  $\sqrt{n}$ , in the sense that the distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(T_n^* - \theta)$  converges to some probability law (in most cases normal). There were the sporadic examples that the distribution of  $n(T_n^* - \theta)$  or  $\sqrt{n \log n}(T_n^* - \theta)$  converges to some law (Woodroffe [7]) when  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. random variables with an uniform distribution or a truncated distribution. The purpose of this paper is to give a systematic treatment for the problem whether for a given sequence  $\{c_n\}$ ,  $c_n(T_n^* - \theta)$  converges to some law, and what is the possible bound for such a sequence. In a location parameter case it will be shown that such a bound is explicitly given, and the above mentioned are too special cases of our result. The asymptotic distribution of  $c_n(T_n^* - \theta)$  and the bound for it will be discussed in the subsequent paper (Akahira [1]). Also some results in terms of the asymptotic distributions of estimators are given in Takeuchi [6].

Suppose that  $\{T_n\}$  is a (sequence of) consistent estimator(s).  $\{T_n\}$  is defined to be consistent with order  $\{c_n\}$ , where  $\{c_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of positive numbers ( $c_n$  tending to infinity) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\vartheta$  of  $\Theta$ , there exist a sufficiently small positive number  $\delta$  and a sufficiently large positive number  $L$  satisfying the following:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta: \|\theta - \vartheta\| < \delta} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}) < \varepsilon$$

A necessary condition for the existence of such an estimator is established, and the bounds of the order of consistency of estimators are obtained. As a special example, a location parameter case is discussed when the density function of  $x - \theta$  satisfies the following:

Assumption (A).  $f(x) > 0$  for  $a < x < b$ ,  
 $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq a, x \geq b$ ,

Assumption (B).  $f(x)$  is twice continuously differentiable in the interval  $(a, b)$  and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} (x-a)^{1-\alpha} f(x) = A',$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow b-0} (b-x)^{1-\beta} f(x) = B',$$

where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive constants satisfying  $\alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ , and  $A'$  and  $B'$  are positive finite numbers.

---

\* Received October 29, 1974.

Assumption (C).  $A'' = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} (x-a)^{2-\alpha} |f'(x)|$  and  $B'' = \lim_{x \rightarrow b-0} (b-x)^{2-\beta} |f'(x)|$  are finite. For  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $f''(x)$  is bounded.

It is shown that the bound of  $\{c_n\}$  is given by  $c_n = n^{1/\alpha}$  if  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $c_n = (n \log n)^{1/2}$  if  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $c_n = n^{1/2}$  if  $\alpha > 2$ , and the existence of estimators with such order of consistency is established.

## 2. Notations and Definitions

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be an abstract sample space whose generic point is denoted by  $x$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a parameter space, which is assumed to be an open set in a Euclidean  $p$ -space  $R^p$  with a norm denoted  $\|\cdot\|$ . We consider a sequence of classes of probability measure  $\{P_{\theta_i} : \theta \in \mathcal{O}\}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ) each defined over  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{B})$ . We shall denote by  $(\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}, \mathfrak{B}^{(n)})$  the  $n$ -fold direct products of  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{B})$  and the corresponding product measures by  $P_{\theta}^{(n)} = P_{\theta_1} X \cdots X P_{\theta_n}$ . For each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , the points of  $\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the corresponding random variable by  $\tilde{X}_n$  with the probability distribution  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}$ . An estimator of  $\theta$  is defined to be a sequence  $\{T_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}^{(n)}$ -measurable function  $T_n$  on  $\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}$  into  $\mathcal{O}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ).

*Definition 2.1.* An estimator  $\{T_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is called (weakly) consistent if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{O}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{\|T_n - \theta\| > \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

*Definition 2.2.* For an increasing sequence of positive numbers  $\{c_n\}$  ( $c_n$  tending to infinity) an estimator  $\{T_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is called consistent with order  $\{c_n\}$  (or  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent for short) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\vartheta$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , there exist a sufficiently small positive number  $\delta$  and a sufficiently large positive number  $L$  satisfying the following:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta : \|\theta - \vartheta\| < \delta} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}) < \varepsilon. \quad (2.1)$$

It is easily seen that if  $\{T_n\}$  is a  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimator, then  $\{T_n\}$  is a consistent estimator. Order  $\{c_n\}$  is called to be greater than order  $\{c'_n\}$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c'_n/c_n = 0$ . For any two points  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ , there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu_n$  such that  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}$  and  $P_{\theta'}^{(n)}$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_n$ . Further for any points  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(\theta, \theta') &= \int_{\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}} \left| \frac{dP_{\theta}^{(n)}}{d\mu_n} - \frac{dP_{\theta'}^{(n)}}{d\mu_n} \right| d\mu_n \\ &= 2 \sup_{B \in \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}} |P_{\theta}^{(n)}(B) - P_{\theta'}^{(n)}(B)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

It is easily seen that for each  $n$ ,  $d_n$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{O}$  independent of  $\mu_n$ .

## 3. Necessary Conditions for Existences of Consistent Estimators

In this section we shall obtain the necessary conditions for the existences of a consistent estimator and a  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimator.

The following is already known. (e.g. Hoeffding and Wolfowitz [4]).

**THEOREM 3.1.** If there exists a consistent estimator, then for any two disjoint points  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 2.$$

The proof is omitted.

The following theorem shows that the necessary condition for the existence of a consistent estimator is that the limit of the Kullback information is infinite.

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $\{\bar{x}_n : dP_{\theta}^{(n)}/d\mu_n > 0\}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . If there exists a consistent estimator, then the following holds: for any two disjoint points  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \infty,$$

where  $I_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \int_{\mathcal{X}^{(n)}} (dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)}/d\mu_n) \log (dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)}/dP_{\theta_2}^{(n)}) d\mu_n$ .

PROOF. We denote a consistent estimator by  $\{T_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$ . Let  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . Putting  $Y_n = dP_{\theta_2}^{(n)}/dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)}$ , we have from Theorem 3.1 for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(|Y_n - 1|) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}^{(n)}} |Y_n - 1| dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)} \\ &= d(P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}, P_{\theta_2}^{(n)}) \\ &\geq 2 - 2\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Putting  $Y_n^+ = \max\{Y_n - 1, 0\}$  and  $Y_n^- = \max\{1 - Y_n, 0\}$ , we have for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^+) - E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^-) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}^{(n)}} \left\{ \frac{dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)}}{d\mu_n} - \frac{dP_{\theta_2}^{(n)}}{d\mu_n} \right\} d\mu_n \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^+) + E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^-) = E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(|Y_n - 1|) \geq 2 - 2\delta.$$

Hence we obtain for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^+) = E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^-) \geq 1 - \delta. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $0 \leq Y_n^- \leq 1$  and (3.2) hold, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \delta \leq E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^-) &= \int_{\{Y_n^- \geq 1 - 2\delta\}} Y_n^- dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(\bar{x}) + \int_{\{Y_n^- < 1 - 2\delta\}} Y_n^- dP_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(\bar{x}_n) \\ &\leq P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(\{Y_n^- \geq 1 - 2\delta\}) + (1 - 2\delta) P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(\{Y_n^- \leq 1 - 2\delta\}) \\ &= 2\delta P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(\{Y_n^- \geq 1 - 2\delta\}) + 1 - 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(\{Y_n \geq 1 - 2\delta\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from (3.3) that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(-\log Y_n) \\ &= E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}[-\log(1 + Y_n^+)] - E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}[\log(1 - Y_n^-)] \\ &\geq -E_{\theta_1}^{(n)}(Y_n^+) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\delta \\ &\geq -1 - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \infty.$$

Thus we complete the proof.

THEOREM 3.3 If there exists a  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimator, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\theta \in \Theta$  there is a positive number  $t$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta, \theta \pm tc_n^{-1} \mathbf{1}) \geq 2 - \varepsilon$$

where  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)'$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $\{T_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimator. It follows from the definition of a  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimator that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\theta$  of  $\Theta$ ,

there exist positive numbers  $\delta$  and  $L$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\vartheta: \|\vartheta - \theta\| < \delta} P_{\vartheta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \vartheta\| \geq L\}) < \varepsilon/4.$$

Let  $t > 2L$  be fixed. Since there exists  $n_0$  such that for any  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &> c_{n_0} > t/\delta, \\ \sup_{\vartheta: \|\vartheta - \theta\| < tc_{n_0}^{-1}} P_{\vartheta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \vartheta\| \geq L\}) &< \varepsilon/4, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}(c_n \|T_n - \theta - tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}\| \geq L) < \varepsilon/4, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}) < \varepsilon/4. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4) we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta - tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}\| \geq t - L\}) < \varepsilon/4. \quad (3.6)$$

Since the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(\theta, \theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}) &= 2 \sup_{B \in \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}} |P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}\mathbf{1}(B) - P_{\theta}^{(n)}(B)| \\ &\geq 2|P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}\mathbf{1}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}) - P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\})| \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $n$ , it is sufficient to show that the inferior limit of the last term of (3.7) is not smaller than  $2 - \varepsilon$ . Because we have

$$\{\bar{x}_n : c_n \|T_n(\bar{x}_n) - \theta - tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}\| < t - L\} \subset \{\bar{x}_n : c_n \|T_n(\bar{x}_n) - \theta\| \geq L\}$$

for all  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta - tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}\| < t - L\}) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.8) that

$$1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}). \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.5) and (3.9) we obtain

$$2 - \varepsilon \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2|P_{\theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\}) - P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{c_n \|T_n - \theta\| \geq L\})|.$$

Therefore we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta, \theta + tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}) \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

Similarly we also obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta, \theta - tc_n^{-1}\mathbf{1}) \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

Thus we complete the proof.

#### 4. Order of Convergence of $\{C_n\}$ -Consistent Estimators for Location Parameter Cases

Before discussing order of convergence of  $\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimators in detail, we shall give a definition and lemmas.

*Definition 4.1.* (Generalized from Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [3]). For each  $\theta \in \Theta$ , the sums

$$Y_n(\theta) = X_1(\theta) + X_2(\theta) + \cdots + X_n(\theta)$$

of positive independent random variables  $X_1(\theta), X_2(\theta), \dots, X_n(\theta), \dots$  are said to be uniformly relatively stable for constants  $B_n(\theta)$  if there exist positive constants  $B_n(\theta)$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(\left|\frac{Y_n(\theta)}{B_n(\theta)} - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ .

In the subsequent lemmas, we use the notation that for each  $k$  and each  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $F_{\theta k}(x)$  is the distribution function of  $X_k(\theta)$ .

LEMMA 4.1. (Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [3]). For each  $\theta \in \Theta$ , let  $X_1(\theta), X_2(\theta), \dots, X_n(\theta), \dots$  be a sequence of positive independent random variables. The sums

$$Y_n(\theta) = X_1(\theta) + X_2(\theta) + \dots + X_n(\theta)$$

are uniformly relatively stable for constants  $B_n(\theta)$ , if there exists a sequence of positive constants  $B_1(\theta), B_2(\theta), \dots, B_n(\theta), \dots$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\varepsilon B_n(\theta)}^{\infty} dF_{\theta k}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.1)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ ,

$$\frac{1}{B_n(\theta)} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{\varepsilon B_n(\theta)} x dF_{\theta k}(x) \rightarrow 1 \quad (4.2)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ .

The following lemma is a generalization of Lindeberg's condition (see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [3]).

LEMMA 4.2. For each  $\theta \in \Theta$ , let  $X_1(\theta), X_2(\theta), \dots, X_n(\theta), \dots$  be a sequence of independent random variables.

The distribution laws of the sums

$$Y_n(\theta) = \frac{X_1(\theta) + X_2(\theta) + \dots + X_n(\theta)}{B_n(\theta)}$$

converges to the normal law

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-y^2/2} dy$$

uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ , if there exists a sequence of constants  $B_n(\theta)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(\theta) = \infty$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{|x| > \varepsilon B_n(\theta)\}} dF_{\theta k}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.3)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ , and

$$\frac{1}{\{B_n(\theta)\}^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \int_{\{|x| < \varepsilon B_n(\theta)\}} x^2 dF_{\theta k}(x) - \left( \int_{\{|x| < \varepsilon B_n(\theta)\}} x dF_{\theta k}(x) \right)^2 \right\} \rightarrow 1 \quad (4.4)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in any compact subsets of  $\Theta$ .

Now we assume that  $X_i$ 's are identically distributed i.e.  $P_{\theta i} = P_{\theta}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

We suppose that every  $P_{\theta}(\cdot)$  ( $\theta \in \Theta$ ) is absolutely continuous with respect to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ . We denote the density  $dP_{\theta}/d\mu$  by  $f(\cdot; \theta)$  and by  $A(\theta) \subset \mathfrak{X}$  the set of points in the space of  $\mathfrak{X}$  for which  $f(x; \theta) > 0$ . Then we may write  $f(x; \theta) = \chi_{A(\theta)}(x) f(x; \theta)$ , where  $\chi_{A(\theta)}(\cdot)$  denotes the indicator of  $A(\theta)$ .

LEMMA 4.3. If

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_{A(\theta_1)} \cap \mathfrak{X}_{A(\theta_2)}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i; \theta_1)}{f(x_i; \theta_2)} - 1 \Bigg|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_2) d\mu^{(n)} < \infty,$$

then for any two points  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  in  $\Theta$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}, P_{\theta_2}^{(n)}) &\leq [1 - \{P_{\theta_1}(A(\theta_2))\}^n] + [1 - \{P_{\theta_2}(A(\theta_1))\}^n] \\
&\quad + \left[ \left\{ \int_{A(\theta_1) \cap A(\theta_2)} f^2(x : \theta_1) / f(x : \theta_2) d\mu \right\}^n \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2\{P_{\theta_1}(A(\theta_2))\}^n + \{P_{\theta_2}(A(\theta_1))\}^n \right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

PROOF. Since for any two points  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  in  $\Theta$  and each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\frac{dP_{\theta_j}^{(n)}}{d\mu^{(n)}} = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_j) = \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{A(\theta_j)} f(x_i : \theta_j) = \chi_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_j)}(\bar{x}_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_j) \quad (j=1, 2)$$

from (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(P_{\theta_1}^{(n)}, P_{\theta_2}^{(n)}) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{E}^{(n)}} \left| \chi_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1)}(\bar{x}_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) - \chi_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)}(\bar{x}_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) \right| d\mu^{(n)} \\
&= \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) - \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) d\mu^{(n)} + \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2) - \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1)} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) d\mu^{(n)} \\
&\quad + \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) \cap \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) - \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) \right| d\mu^{(n)} \\
&= 1 - \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n (A(\theta_1) \cap A(\theta_2))} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) d\mu^{(n)} + 1 - \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n (A(\theta_1) \cap A(\theta_2))} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) d\mu^{(n)} \\
&\quad + \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) \cap \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) - \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) \right| d\mu^{(n)} \\
&= [1 - \{P_{\theta_1}(A(\theta_2))\}^n] + [1 - \{P_{\theta_2}(A(\theta_1))\}^n] \\
&\quad + \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) \cap \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) - \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) \right| d\mu^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further it follows from the assumption and the Schwarz's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) \cap \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_1) - \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) \right| d\mu^{(n)} \\
&= \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) \cap \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i : \theta_1)}{f(x_i : \theta_2)} - 1 \right| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) d\mu^{(n)} \\
&\leq \left[ \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_1) \cap \prod_{i=1}^n A(\theta_2)} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i : \theta_1)}{f(x_i : \theta_2)} - 1 \right\}^2 \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i : \theta_2) d\mu^{(n)} \right]^{1/2} \\
&= \left[ \left\{ \int_{A(\theta_1) \cap A(\theta_2)} f^2(x : \theta_1) / f(x : \theta_2) d\mu \right\}^2 - 2\{P_{\theta_1}(A(\theta_2))\}^n + \{P_{\theta_2}(A(\theta_1))\}^n \right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof.

In order to use afterwards (4.5), we write

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1 - \{P_{\theta_1}(A(\theta_2))\}^n$$

$$R(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1 - \{P_{\theta_2}(A(\theta_1))\}^n$$

$$M(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \left[ \left\{ \int_{A(\theta_1) \cap A(\theta_2)} f^2(x : \theta_1) / f(x : \theta_2) d\mu \right\}^n - 2\{P_{\theta_1}(A(\theta_2))\}^n + \{P_{\theta_2}(A(\theta_1))\}^n \right]^{1/2}$$

and we shall note that

$$M(\theta_1, \theta_2) \geq \int \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{A(\theta_1)} \cap \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{A(\theta_2)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_1) - \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_2) \right| d\mu^{(n)}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = R^1$ . Now we suppose that every  $P_\theta(\cdot)(\theta \in \Theta)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to a Lebesgue measure  $m$ . Then we denote the density  $dP/dm$  by  $f(\cdot; \theta)$  and suppose  $f(x; \theta) = f(x - \theta)$ . For the lemmas and theorems in sections 4 and 5 we make the following assumptions.

Assumption (A).  $f(x) > 0$  for  $a < x < b$ ,  
 $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq a, x \geq b$ .

Assumption (B).  $f(x)$  is twice continuously differentiable in the interval  $(a, b)$  and  
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} (x-a)^{1-\alpha} f(x) = A'$   
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow b-0} (b-x)^{1-\beta} f(x) = B'$

where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive constants satisfying  $\alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ , and  $A'$  and  $B'$  are positive finite numbers.

Assumption (C).  $A'' = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} (x-a)^{2-\alpha} |f'(x)|$  and  $B'' = \lim_{x \rightarrow b-0} (b-x)^{2-\beta} |f'(x)|$  are finite. For  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $f''(x)$  is bounded.

For example we see that the beta distributions  $Be(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 2$  or  $3 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ ) satisfy Assumptions (A), (B) and (C).

LEMMA 4.4. Suppose that a density function  $f$  satisfies Assumptions (A), (B) and (C). If  $\alpha = 2$ , then the following hold: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$n \int_{\{x: \varepsilon c_1(n \log n) < -(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log f(x-\theta)\}} f(x-\theta) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.6)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $\theta$  of  $\Theta$ ,

$$\frac{1}{c_1 n \log n} \int_{\{x: 0 < -(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log f(x-\theta) < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\}} \{- (\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log f(x-\theta)\} f(x-\theta) dx \rightarrow 1 \quad (4.7)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $\theta$  of  $\Theta$ , where  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A''^{1/2}}{A'} + \frac{B''^{1/2}}{B'} \right)$  if  $\beta = 2$ ,  $c_1 = \frac{A''^{1/2}}{2A'}$  if  $\beta > 2$ :

PROOF. It follows from Assumptions (A), (B) and (C) that there exist  $n_0$  and  $\eta_n$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$0 < x - a < \eta_n, \quad 0 < b - x < \eta_n, \quad (4.8)$$

implies

$$A' - \frac{1}{n} < (x-a)^{-1} f(x) < A' + \frac{1}{n}, \quad A'' - \frac{1}{n} < |f'(x)| < A'' + \frac{1}{n}, \\ B' - \frac{1}{n} < (b-x)^{1-\beta} f(x) < B' + \frac{1}{n}, \quad B'' - \frac{1}{n} < (b-x)^{2-\beta} |f'(x)| < B'' + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4.9)$$

Let  $A_{-n} = A' - \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $A_n = A' + \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $B_{-n} = B' - \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $B_n = B' + \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $A_{-n}'' = A'' - \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $A_n'' = A'' + \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $B_{-n}'' = B'' - \frac{1}{n}$  and  $B_n'' = B'' + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Putting

$$I_{1n} = \int_{\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{ \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right\}^2\} \cap (a, a+\eta_{n_0})} f(x) dx, \\ I_{2n} = \int_{\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{ \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right\}^2\} \cap [a+\eta_n, b-\eta_{n_0}]} f(x) dx,$$

$$I_{3n} = \int_{\left\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right\} \cap (b - \eta_{n_0}, b)} f(x) dx$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & n \int_{\left\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x - \theta)\right\}} f(x - \theta) dx \\ &= n \int_{\left\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right\}} f(x) dx \\ &= n(I_{1n} + I_{2n} + I_{3n}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Since  $f'(x)$  and  $f''(x)$  are bounded,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nI_{2n} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Since  $f'''(x)$  is bounded, from (4.8) and (4.9) we have for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} nI_{1n} &= n \int_{\left\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right\} \cap (a, a + \eta_{n_0})} f(x) dx \\ &\leq n \int_{\left\{x: \varepsilon c_1 n \log n < \left\{-\frac{A_n''}{A_n(x-a)}\right\}^2\right\}} f(x) dx + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^{-2}) \\ &= n \int_a^{a + \frac{A_n''}{A_n} (\varepsilon c_1 n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} A_n(x-a) dx + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^{-2}) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} A_n \frac{A_n''}{A_n} (\varepsilon c_1 n \log n)^{-1} + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} nI_{1n} \\ & \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{2} A_n \frac{A_n''}{A_n} (\varepsilon c_1 n \log n)^{-1} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} A'' / (\varepsilon c_1)^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log n)^{-1} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Repeating a similar argument, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nI_{3n} = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

It follows from (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) that (4.6) holds.

Putting

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1n}' &= \int_{\left\{x: 0 < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2 < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\} \cap (a, a + \eta_{n_0})} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx, \\ I_{2n}' &= \int_{\left\{x: 0 < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2 < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\} \cap [a + \eta_{n_0}, b - \eta_{n_0}]} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx, \\ I_{3n}' &= \int_{\left\{x: 0 < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2 < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\} \cap (b - \eta_{n_0}, b)} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{c_1 n \log n} \int_{\left\{x: 0 < -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x - \theta) < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\}} \left\{-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x - \theta)\right\} f(x - \theta) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \int_{\left\{x: 0 < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2 < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\}} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} (I_{1n}' + I_{2n}' + I_{3n}'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$



Since  $f'(x)$  and  $f''(x)$  are bounded,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} I_{2n}' = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Since  $f''(x)$  is bounded, from (4.8) and (4.9) we have for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} I_{1n}' \\ &= \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \int_{\left\{x: 0 < -\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2 < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\} \cap (a, a + \eta_{n_0})} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \int_{\left\{x: \frac{A_{-n}''^2}{A_n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(x-a)^2} < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\} \cap (a, a + \eta_{n_0})} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \int_{\frac{A_{-n}''}{A_n} (\varepsilon c_1 n \log n)^{-1/2}}^{\eta_{n_0}} \frac{A_{-n}''^2}{A_n} \cdot \frac{1}{x} dx + O(n^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \left\{ \frac{A_{-n}''^2}{A_n} \left( \log \eta_{n_0} - \log \frac{A_{-n}''}{A_n} + \frac{1}{2} \log \varepsilon c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \log n + \frac{1}{2} \log \log n \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} I_{1n}' \leq \frac{A''^2}{2c_1 A'}. \quad (4.16)$$

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} I_{1n}' \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \int_{\left\{x: \frac{A_{-n}''^2}{A_n^2 (x-a)^2} < \varepsilon c_1 n \log n\right\} \cap (a, a + \eta_{n_0})} \left[-\frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} + \left\{\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right\}^2\right] f(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \int_{\frac{A_{-n}''}{A_n} (\varepsilon c_1 n \log n)^{1/2}}^{\eta_{n_0}} \frac{A_{-n}''^2}{A_n} \cdot \frac{1}{x} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} \left\{ \frac{A_{-n}''^2}{A_n} \left( \log \eta_{n_0} - \log \frac{A_{-n}''}{A_n} + \frac{1}{2} \log \varepsilon c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \log n \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \log \log n \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{A''^2}{2c_1 A'}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

It follows from (4.16) and (4.17) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} I_{1n}' = \frac{A''^2}{2c_1 A'}. \quad (4.18)$$

Repeating a similar argument, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_1 \log n} I_{3n}' = \begin{cases} \frac{B''^2}{2c_1 B'} & \text{for } \beta=2, \\ 0 & \text{for } \beta>2. \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

Hence it follows from (4.15), (4.18) and  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A''^2}{A'} + \frac{B''^2}{B'} \right)$  if  $\beta=2$ ,  $c_1 = \frac{A''^2}{2A'}$  if  $\beta>2$  that (4.7) holds.

Thus the proof is completed.

LEMMA 4.5. Suppose that a density function  $f$  satisfies Assumptions (A), (B) and (C). If  $\alpha=2$ , then the following hold: for any  $\varepsilon>0$ ,

$$n \int_{\left\{x: \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x-\theta) \right| > \varepsilon c_2 (n \log n)^{1/2} \right\}} f(x-\theta) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $\theta$  of  $\Theta$ , and

$$\frac{1}{c_2^2 \log n} \left[ \int_{\left\{x: \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x-\theta) \right| < \varepsilon c_2 (n \log n)^{1/2}\right\}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x-\theta) \right\}^2 f(x-\theta) dx - \left\{ \int_{\left\{x: \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x-\theta) \right| < \varepsilon c_2 (n \log n)^{1/2}\right\}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x-\theta) \right) f(x-\theta) dx \right\}^2 \right] \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $\theta$  of  $\Theta$  where  $c_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A''/2}{A'} + \frac{B''/2}{B'} \right) \right\}^{1/2}$  if  $\beta=2$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{A''}{\sqrt{2A'}}$  if  $\beta > 2$ .

The proof is omitted because it is given by the same way as that of lemma 4.4.

The following lemma is already given in Takeuchi [5].

LEMMA 4.6. Suppose that a density function  $f$  satisfies Assumptions (A), (B) and (C). If  $\alpha > 2$ , then

$$\int_a^b \frac{\{f'(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx < \infty.$$

PROOF. Since  $f(a)=0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} f(x)=0$ , by the second mean value theorem in a neighborhood of  $a$ , we have

$$\frac{\{f'(x)\}^2}{f(x)} = \frac{2f'(\xi)f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} = 2f''(\xi)$$

for  $a < \xi < x$ . Since  $f''(x)$  is continuous and bounded,  $\{f'(x)\}^2/f(x)$  is bounded in the neighborhood of  $a$ , and also that of  $b$ , and so is the integral. Thus the proof is completed.

In the following theorem we shall show that there exist consistent estimators with different orders according to  $\alpha$  of density functions in a family satisfying Assumptions (A), (B) and (C).

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with a density function satisfying Assumptions (A), (B) and (C). For each  $\alpha$  there exists a consistent estimator with the order given by Table 1 respectively, where M.L.E. is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ , the existence of which is guaranteed since  $f$  is continuous and bounded.

Table 1.

$\alpha$	order $c_n$	$\{c_n\}$ -consistent estimator
$0 < \alpha < 2$	$n^{1/\alpha}$	$\left\{ \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i - (a+b) \right\} / 2$
$\alpha = 2$	$(n \log n)^{1/2}$	M.L.E.
$\alpha > 2$	$n^{1/2}$	M.L.E.

PROOF. 1)  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . Let  $T_n(\bar{X}_n) = \left\{ \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i - (a+b) \right\} / 2$ . It follows from Assumptions (A) and (B) that there are positive constants  $C$  and  $\gamma$  such that

$$C \leq (x-a)^{1-\alpha} f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in (a, a+\gamma)$$

$$C \leq (b-x)^{1-\beta} f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in (b-\gamma, b).$$

Then we shall show that  $\{T_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $\{n^{1/\alpha}\}$ -consistent estimator.

It is sufficient to know that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $L$  satisfying

$$L > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{C} \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/\alpha}, 0 \right\}.$$

Indeed, since the following holds: for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\{\bar{x}_n : T_n(\bar{x}_n) - \theta > Ln^{-1/\alpha} \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \leq b + \theta\}$$

$$\subset \{\bar{x}_n : a + \theta + 2Ln^{-1/\alpha} < x_i \leq b + \theta (i=1, 2, \dots, n)\},$$

we have for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_\theta^{(n)}(\{T_n - \theta > Ln^{-1/\alpha}\}) \\ &= P_\theta^{(n)}(\{T_n - \theta > Ln^{-1/\alpha} \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \leq b + \theta\}) \\ &\leq P_\theta^{(n)}(\{a + \theta + 2Ln^{-1/\alpha} < x_i \leq b + \theta (i=1, 2, \dots)\}) \\ &= \left\{ \int_{a+\theta+2Ln^{-1/\alpha}}^{b+\theta} f(x-\theta) dx \right\}^n \\ &= \left\{ \int_{a+2Ln^{-1/\alpha}}^b f(x) dx \right\}^n \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \int_a^{a+2Ln^{-1/\alpha}} f(x) dx \right\}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Similarly we also obtain for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_\theta^{(n)}(\{T_n - \theta < -Ln^{-1/\alpha}\}) \\ &= \left\{ \int_a^{b-2Ln^{-1/\alpha}} f(x) dx \right\}^n \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \int_{b-2Ln^{-1/\alpha}}^b f(x) dx \right\}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

It follows from (4.20) and (4.21) for that each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_\theta^{(n)}(\{|T_n - \theta| > Ln^{-1/\alpha}\}) \\ &\leq \left\{ 1 - \int_a^{a+2Ln^{-1/\alpha}} f(x) dx \right\}^n + \left\{ 1 - \int_{b-2Ln^{-1/\alpha}}^b f(x) dx \right\}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have uniformly in  $\theta$  of  $\Theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\lim} P_\theta^{(n)}(\{|T_n - \theta| > Ln^{-1/\alpha}\}) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 - \int_a^{a+2Ln^{-1/\alpha}} f(x) dx \right\}^n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 - \int_{b-2Ln^{-1/\alpha}}^b f(x) dx \right\}^n \\ &\leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{C(2L)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right\} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is seen that  $\{T_n\}$  is  $\{n^{1/\alpha}\}$ -consistent.

2)  $\alpha=2$ . Since the M.L.E. is a consistent estimator (Wald [6]) and it is a root of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x_i - \theta) = 0, \quad (4.22)$$

there exist at least a consistent solution of (4.22). We denote it by  $T_n^*$ .

Let  $A_n = (n \log n)^{1/2}$  and put  $L_n(\theta, \bar{x}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i - \theta)$  for  $\theta + a < x_i < \theta + b$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

Using the mean value theorem, we have

$$-\frac{1}{c^2 A_n^2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L_n \right]_{\theta=\theta_n^*} c A_n (T_n^* - \theta) = \frac{1}{c A_n} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L_n \right]_{\theta=\theta}, \quad (4.23)$$

where  $|\theta - \theta_n^*| \leq |\theta - T_n^*|$  and  $c = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A'^{1/2}}{A'} + \frac{B'^{1/2}}{B'} \right) \right\}^{1/2}$  if  $\beta=2$ ,  $c = \frac{A''}{\sqrt{2A'}}$  if  $\beta > 2$ .

$-(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log L_n$  is the sums of positive i.i.d. random variables  $-(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log f(X_1 - \theta)$ ,  $-(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log f(X_2 - \theta)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $-(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log f(X_n - \theta)$ . If  $c^2 A_n^2$  is taken as  $B_n(\theta)$  in lemma 4.1, then it follows from lemma 4.4. that the conditions (4.1) and (4.2) hold. From lemma 4.1 we conclude that  $-(\partial^2/\partial \theta^2) \log L_n$  is uniformly relatively stable for constant  $c^2 A_n^2$ . Since

$T_n^*$  is uniformly consistent in any compact subset of  $\Theta$  (Wald [6]),  $\theta_n^*$  converges in probability to  $\theta$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ . Furthermore since  $(\partial^2/\partial\theta^2) \log L_n(\theta, \bar{x}_n)$  is uniformly continuous in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ , it is seen that  $(-1/c^2 A_n^2)[(\partial^2/\partial\theta^2) \log L_n]_{\theta=\theta_n^*}$  converges in probability to 1 uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ .

$(\partial/\partial\theta) \log L_n$  is the sums of i.i.d. random variables  $f_\theta(X_1-\theta)/f(X_1-\theta)$ ,  $f_\theta(X_2-\theta)/f(X_2-\theta)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $f_\theta(X_n-\theta)/f(X_n-\theta)$ , where  $f_\theta(X-\theta) = (\partial/\partial\theta)f(X-\theta)$ . If  $cA_n$  is taken as  $B_n(\theta)$  in lemma 4.2, then it follows from lemma 4.5 that conditions (4.3) and (4.4) are satisfied. From lemma 4.2 we see that the distribution laws of  $(1/cA_n)\{(\partial/\partial\theta) \log L_n\}$  converges to the normal law  $\mathcal{D}(x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-y^2/2} dy$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ .

Since from (4.23)

$$cA_n(T_n^* - \theta) = \frac{(1/cA_n)[(\partial/\partial\theta) \log L_n]_{\theta=\theta}}{(-1/c^2 A_n^2)[(\partial^2/\partial\theta^2) \log L_n]_{\theta=\theta_n^*}},$$

it follows that the distribution laws of  $cA_n(T_n^* - \theta)$  converges to the normal  $\mathcal{D}(x)$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ .

In order to prove that  $\{T_n^*: n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $\{A_n\}$ -consistent estimators, it is sufficient to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose  $L$  satisfying  $\int_{-cL}^{cL} (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) e^{-x^2/2} dx > 1 - \varepsilon$  and that (2.1) holds.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{A_n|T_n^* - \theta| \geq L\}) \\ &= P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{cA_n|T_n^* - \theta| \geq cL\}) \\ &= 1 - P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{cA_n|T_n^* - \theta| < cL\}) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for every  $\vartheta \in \Theta$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta: |\theta - \vartheta| < \delta} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(\{A_n|T_n^* - \theta| \geq L\}) \\ &= 1 - \int_{-cL}^{cL} (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is shown that  $\{T_n^*\}$  is  $\{(n \log n)^{1/2}\}$ -consistent.

3)  $\alpha > 2$ . It follows from Assumption (C) that  $E_{\theta}(Z_{\theta}) = 0$  and  $E_{\theta}(Z_{\theta\theta}) + E_{\theta}(Z_{\theta}^2) = 0$ , where  $Z_{\theta} = (\partial/\partial\theta) \log f(x-\theta)$  and  $Z_{\theta\theta} = (\partial^2/\partial\theta^2) \log f(x-\theta)$ . Further it is seen from lemma 4.6 that  $E_{\theta}(Z_{\theta}^2) < \infty$ . Hence the distribution law of  $\sqrt{n}I(T_n^* - \theta)$  converges to the normal law  $\mathcal{D}(x)$  uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Theta$ , where  $I = E_{\theta}(Z_{\theta}^2)$  (Cramér [2]). Therefore it is shown in the same way as the case  $\alpha = 2$  that  $\{T_n^*: n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a  $\{n^{1/2}\}$ -consistent estimator. Thus we complete the proof.

## 5. Bounds for the Order of Convergence of Consistent Estimators

In this section we shall show that for each  $\alpha$ , there does not exist a consistent estimator with the order greater than values as given in Table 1 of Theorem 4.1, that is, the order given by Table 1 is bound of the order of convergence of consistent estimators. Before proceeding to the next theorem, we shall prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 5.1. Let  $f$  be a density function satisfying Assumption (A). Suppose that for  $0 < \Delta < b - a$ , there exists a measurable function  $g(\cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $g(x) > 0$  if  $a - \Delta < x < b$ ,  $g(x) = 0$  otherwise and  $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} g(x) dx = 1$ . Then

$$d_n(\theta - \Delta, \theta) \leq \left[ \left\{ \int_{a-\Delta}^b \frac{(f(x+\Delta) - g(x))^2}{g(x)} dx + 1 \right\}^n - 1 \right]^{1/2} \quad (5.1)$$

$$+ \left[ \left\{ \int_{a-d}^b \frac{(f(x) - g(x))^2}{g(x)} dx + 1 \right\}^n - 1 \right]^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_n(\theta - \Delta, \theta) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i - \theta + \Delta) - \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i - \theta) \right| \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i - \theta + \Delta) - \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i - \theta) \right| \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i + \int_{\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i - \theta) - \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i - \theta) \right| \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i + \Delta) - \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \right| \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i + \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \right| \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left| \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i + \Delta)}{g(x_i)} - 1 \right| \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i + \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left| \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)} - 1 \right| \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i + \Delta)}{g(x_i)} - 1 \right\}^2 \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)} - 1 \right\}^2 \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i + \Delta)}{g(x_i)} - 1 \right\}^2 \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{f(x_i + \Delta)}{g(x_i)} \right\}^2 \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i - 2 \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i + \Delta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &\quad + \int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \left\{ \frac{f(x + \Delta)}{g(x)} \right\}^2 g(x) dx \right]^n - 1 \\ &= \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x) + g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx \right]^n - 1 \\ &= \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx + 2 \int_{a-d}^b \{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\} dx + \int_{a-d}^b g(x) dx \right]^n - 1 \\ &= \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx + 1 \right]^n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_{a-d}^b \cdots \int_{a-d}^b \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)} - 1 \right\}^2 \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i = \left[ \int_{a-d}^b \frac{\{f(x) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx + 1 \right]^n - 1. \tag{5.4}$$

It follows from (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) that (5.1) holds. Thus we complete the proof.

If the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 hold, we can define an information  $I$  such that

$$I = \int_{a-d}^b \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx.$$

Henceforth for  $0 < \Delta < b - a$ , we put  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x + \Delta) + f(x)\}$ . Then it is easily seen that  $g(\cdot)$  satisfies the assumption of Lemma 5.1. Since

$$f(x + \Delta) - g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x + \Delta) - f(x)\}$$

and

$$f(x) - g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x) - f(x + \Delta)\},$$

it follows from (5.1) that

$$d_n(\theta - \Delta, \theta) \leq 2 \left[ \left\{ \int_{a-\Delta}^b \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx + 1 \right\}^n - 1 \right]^{1/2} = 2\{(I + 1)^n - 1\}^{1/2}. \quad (5.5)$$

Henceforth we suppose that  $f(x)$  satisfies Assumptions (A), (B) and (C). Then there exist positive numbers  $K_i, K_i'$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$0 < K_1 \leq (x - a)^{1-\alpha} f(x) \leq K_2 \quad \text{for } a < x < a + \varepsilon, \quad (5.6)$$

$$0 < K_1' \leq (b - x)^{1-\beta} f(x) \leq K_2' \quad \text{for } b - \varepsilon < x < b, \quad (5.7)$$

$$(x - a)^{2-\alpha} |f'(x)| \leq K_3 \quad \text{for } a < x < a + \varepsilon, \quad (5.8)$$

$$(b - x)^{2-\beta} |f'(x)| \leq K_3' \quad \text{for } b - \varepsilon < x < b, \quad (5.9)$$

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{b - a}{2} \right\}.$$

Let  $0 < \Delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Now we divide  $I$  into six parts  $I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4$  and  $I_5$ , that is,

$$I = \sum_{i=0}^5 I_i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \int_{a-\Delta}^a \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx, & I_1 &= \int_a^{a+\Delta} \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx, \\ I_2 &= \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx, & I_3 &= \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{b-\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx, \\ I_4 &= \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx, & I_5 &= \int_{b-\Delta}^b \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.2. For each  $\alpha > 0$ , the orders of  $I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5$  and  $I$  are given by Table 2.

Table 2

$\alpha$	$I_0$	$I_1$	$I_2$	$I_3$	$I_4$	$I_5$	$I$
$0 < \alpha < 2$	$O(\Delta^\alpha)$	$O(\Delta^\alpha)$	$O(\Delta^\alpha)$	$O(\Delta^2)$	$\begin{cases} O(\Delta^2) & \text{if } \beta \neq 2 \\ O(\Delta^2  \log \Delta ) & \text{if } \beta = 2 \end{cases}$	$O(\Delta^\beta)$	$O(\Delta^\alpha)$
$\alpha = 2$	$O(\Delta^2)$	$O(\Delta^2)$	$O(\Delta^2  \log \Delta )$				$O(\Delta^2  \log \Delta )$
$\alpha > 2$	$O(\Delta^\alpha)$	$O(\Delta^\alpha)$	$O(\Delta^2)$				$O(\Delta^2)$

PROOF. i)  $I_0$  and  $I_1$ . It follows from (5.6) that

$$I_0 = \int_{a-\Delta}^a \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx = \int_{a-\Delta}^a \frac{f(x + \Delta)}{2} dx = O(\Delta^\alpha). \quad (5.10)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_a^{a+\Delta} \frac{\{f(x + \Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx \\ &= \int_a^{a+\Delta} \frac{\{(f(x + \Delta) - f(x))/2\}^2}{\{(f(x + \Delta) + f(x))/2\}^2} \{(f(x + \Delta) + f(x))/2\} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^{a+\Delta} \{f(x + \Delta) + f(x)\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (5.6) that

$$I_1 = O(\Delta^\alpha). \quad (5.11)$$

ii)  $I_2$ . It follows by the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{\{(f(x+\Delta) - f(x))/2\}^2}{\{(f(x+\Delta) + f(x))/2\}^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{\Delta^2 \{f'(\xi(x, \Delta))\}^2}{f(x)} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$a + \Delta < x < \xi(x, \Delta) < x + \Delta < a + \varepsilon + \Delta.$$

If  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , then it follows from (5.6), (5.8) and (5.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \Delta^2 C_1 \frac{(\xi - a)^{2\alpha-4}}{(x-a)^{\alpha-1}} dx \leq C_1 \Delta^2 \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{(x-a)^{2\alpha-4}}{(x-a)^{\alpha-1}} dx = C_1 \Delta^2 \int_{\Delta}^{\varepsilon} x^{\alpha-3} dx \\ &= \frac{C_1}{\alpha-2} \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \Delta^2 - \frac{C_1}{\alpha-2} \Delta^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where  $C_1$  is some positive constant. If  $\alpha = 2$ , then it follows from (5.8) that  $f'(x)$  is bounded on  $(a, a + \varepsilon)$ . From (5.6) and (5.12) we have

$$I_2 \leq C_2 \Delta^2 \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \{1/(x-a)\} dx = C_2 \Delta^2 (\log \varepsilon - \log \Delta), \quad (5.14)$$

where  $C_2$  is some positive constant. If  $\alpha > 2$ , then it follows from (5.6), (5.8) and (5.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C_3 \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \Delta^2 \frac{(\xi - a)^{2\alpha-4}}{(x-a)^{\alpha-1}} dx \leq C_3 \Delta^2 \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{(x-a+\Delta)^{2\alpha-4}}{(x-a)^{\alpha-1}} dx \\ &= C_3 \Delta^2 \int_{\Delta}^{\varepsilon} x^{\alpha-3} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta}{x}\right)^{2\alpha-4} dx \leq 2^{2\alpha-4} C_3 \Delta^2 \int_{\Delta}^{\varepsilon} x^{\alpha-3} dx \leq 2^{2\alpha-4} C_3 \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \Delta^2 - \frac{2^{2\alpha-4}}{\alpha-2} C_3 \Delta^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where  $C_3$  is some positive constant. Hence it follows from (5.13), (5.14) and (5.15) that

$$I_2 = \begin{cases} O(\Delta^\alpha) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 2, \\ O(\Delta^2 |\log \Delta|) & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \\ O(\Delta^2) & \text{if } \alpha > 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

iii)  $I_3$ . Since  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  are continuous functions on  $(a, b)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{b-\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{b-\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{b-\varepsilon} \frac{\Delta^2 \{f'(\xi(x, \Delta))\}^2}{f(x)} dx \leq C_4' \Delta^2 \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{b-\varepsilon} \{1/f(x)\} dx \\ &= C_4 \Delta^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where

$$a + \varepsilon < x < \xi(x, \Delta) < x + \Delta < b - (\varepsilon/2),$$

and  $C_4'$  and  $C_4$  are certain positive constants. Hence we have

$$I_3 = O(\Delta^2). \quad (5.18)$$

iv)  $I_4$ . It follows by the mean value theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{\Delta^2 \{f'(\xi(x, \Delta))\}^2}{f(x)} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

where

$$b - \varepsilon < x < \xi(x, \Delta) < x + \Delta < b - (\varepsilon/2).$$

If  $0 < \beta < 2$ , then it follows from (5.7), (5.9) and (5.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 &\leq C_5 \Delta^2 \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{(b-\xi)^{2\beta-4}}{(b-x)^{\beta-1}} dx \leq C_5 \Delta^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2\beta-4} \int_{\Delta}^{\varepsilon} x^{1-\beta} dx \\
 &= \frac{C_5}{2-\beta} \frac{\varepsilon^{\beta-2}}{2^{2\beta-4}} \Delta^2 - \frac{C_5}{2-\beta} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2\beta-4} \Delta^{4-\beta},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where  $C_5$  is some positive constant. If  $2 \leq \beta$ , then it follows from (5.7), (5.9) and (5.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 &\leq \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} C_6 \Delta^2 \frac{(b-\xi)^{2\beta-4}}{(b-x)^{\beta-1}} dx \leq C_6 \Delta^2 \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{(b-x)^{2\beta-4}}{(b-x)^{\beta-1}} dx \\
 &= C_6 \Delta^2 \int_{\Delta}^{\varepsilon} x^{\beta-3} dx \\
 &= \begin{cases} C_6 \Delta^2 (\log \varepsilon - \log \Delta) & \text{if } \beta=2, \\ C_6 \Delta^2 \frac{1}{\beta-2} (\varepsilon^{\beta-2} - \Delta^{\beta-2}) & \text{if } \beta>2, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where  $C_6$  is some positive constant. Hence it follows from (5.20) and (5.21) that

$$I_4 = \begin{cases} O(\Delta^2) & \text{if } \beta \neq 2, \\ O(\Delta^2 |\log \Delta|) & \text{if } \beta = 2. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

v)  $I_5$ . It follows from (5.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_5 &= \int_{b-\Delta}^b \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} bx \\
 &= \int_{b-\Delta}^b \frac{f(x)}{2} dx \\
 &= O(\Delta^\beta).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Since  $I = \sum_{i=0}^5 I_i$ , it follows from (5.10), (5.11), (5.16), (5.18), (5.22) and (5.23) that

$$I = \begin{cases} O(\Delta^\alpha) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 2, \\ O(\Delta^2 |\log \Delta|) & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \\ O(\Delta^2) & \text{if } \alpha > 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus we complete the proof.

REMARK. We also define an information  $I^*$  such that

$$I^* = \int_a^b \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx.$$

Since

$$\frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - g(x)\}^2}{g(x)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} \quad \text{for } a < x < b,$$

it follows that  $I_i \leq I_i^*$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ), where  $I^* = \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1^* &= \int_a^{a+\Delta} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx, & I_2^* &= \int_{a+\Delta}^{a+\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx, \\
 I_3^* &= \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{b-\varepsilon} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx, & I_4^* &= \int_{b-\varepsilon}^{b-\Delta} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx, \\
 I_5^* &= \int_{b-\Delta}^b \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 5.2 that for each  $\alpha > 0$ , the orders of  $I_i^*$  ( $i=2, 3, 4, 5$ ) given by Table 2 respectively. Furthermore if  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then it follows from (5.6) that there exists a positive constant  $C_7$  such that

$$0 < \frac{f(x+\Delta)}{f(x)} \leq \frac{K_2(x+\Delta-a)^{\alpha-1}}{K_1(x-a)^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{K_2}{K_1} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta}{x-a}\right)^{\alpha-1} \leq C_7 \quad \text{for } a < x < a+\Delta \tag{5.24}$$

and the following hold:



$$\int_a^{a+\Delta} f(x)dx = O(\Delta^\alpha), \quad (5.25)$$

$$\int_a^{a+\Delta} f(x+\Delta)dx = O(\Delta^\alpha). \quad (5.26)$$

From (5.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^* &= \int_a^{a+\Delta} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta) - f(x)\}^2}{f(x)} dx \\ &= \int_a^{a+\Delta} \frac{\{f(x+\Delta)\}^2}{f(x)} dx - 2 \int_a^{a+\Delta} f(x+\Delta)dx + \int_a^{a+\Delta} f(x)dx \\ &\leq (C_7^2 + 1) \int_a^{a+\Delta} f(x)dx - 2 \int_a^{a+\Delta} f(x+\Delta)dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

It follows from (5.25), (5.26) and (5.27) that  $I_1^* = O(\Delta^\alpha)$ . Hence if  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the order of  $I_1^*$  is equal to the order of  $I_1$ .

From Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and (5.5) we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.3.

$$d_n(\theta - \Delta, \theta) = \begin{cases} 2[1 + O(\Delta^\alpha)]^n - 1]^{1/2} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 2, \\ 2[1 + O(\Delta^2 |\log \Delta|)]^n - 1]^{1/2} & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \\ 2[1 + O(\Delta^2)]^n - 1]^{1/2} & \text{if } \alpha > 2. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 5.1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with a density function satisfying Assumptions (A), (B) and (C). For each  $\alpha$ , the order given by Table 1 of Theorem 4.1 is the bound of the order of convergence of consistent estimators, that is, there does not exist a consistent estimator with the order greater than values as given by Table 1.

PROOF.

1)  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . From Lemma 5.3 we obtain for sufficiently large  $n$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$d_n(\theta - tc_n^{-1}, \theta) \leq 2[1 + O((tc_n^{-1})^\alpha)]^n - 1]^{1/2}.$$

If order  $\{c_n\}$  is greater than order  $\{n^{1/\alpha}\}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tc_n^{-1}, \theta) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 3.3 that there does not exist a consistent estimator with the order greater than order  $\{n^{1/\alpha}\}$ .

2)  $\alpha = 2$ . From Lemma 5.3 we obtain for sufficiently large  $n$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$d_n(\theta - tc_n^{-1}, \theta) \leq 2[1 + O((tc_n^{-1})^2 |\log tc_n^{-1}|)]^n - 1]^{1/2}.$$

If order  $\{c_n\}$  is greater than order  $\{(n \log n)^{1/2}\}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tc_n^{-1}, \theta) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 3.3 that there does not exist a consistent estimator with the order greater than order  $\{(n \log n)^{1/2}\}$ .

3)  $\alpha > 2$ . From Lemma 5.3 we have for sufficiently large  $n$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$d_n(\theta - tc_n^{-1}, \theta) \leq 2[1 - O((tc_n^{-1})^2)]^n - 1]^{1/2}.$$

If order  $\{c_n\}$  is greater than  $\{n^{1/2}\}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tc_n^{-1}, \theta) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 3.3 that there does not exist a consistent estimator with the order greater than order  $\{n^{1/2}\}$ . Thus we complete the proof.

REMARK. Since  $A(\theta) = (a + \theta, b + \theta)$ , it follows from Assumptions (A) and (B) that for every  $t > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n$

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_\theta(A(\theta - tc_n^{-1}))\}^n &= \left\{1 - \int_{b+\theta-tc_n^{-1}}^{b+\theta} f(x-\theta)dx\right\}^n \\ &= \exp \left[ n \log \left\{1 - \int_{b-tc_n^{-1}}^b f(x)dx\right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left[ n \left\{ -\frac{M}{\beta} t^\beta c_n^{-\beta} + O(c_n^{-2\beta}) \right\} \right]; \\
&\quad \{P_{\theta - tc_n^{-1}}(A(\theta))\}^n \\
&= \exp \left[ n \left\{ -\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha c_n^{-\alpha} + O(c_n^{-2\alpha}) \right\} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is some positive constant. From Lemma 4.3 we obtain the following results.

If  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $\alpha < \beta$ , then every  $\theta \in \Theta$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) = 1 - e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) = \begin{cases} (e^{Kt^\alpha} - 2e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha} + 1)^{1/2} & \text{for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha} + (e^{Kt^\alpha} - 2e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha} + 1)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1,$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) \leq 2(e^{ct^\alpha} - 1)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2,$$

where  $c$  is some positive constant and  $K$  is some constant. If  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $\alpha = \beta$ , then for every  $\theta \in \Theta$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) = 1 - e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) = \begin{cases} (e^{Kt^\alpha} - e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha})^{1/2} & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha = 1, \\ \infty & \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) \leq 2(1 - e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha}) + (e^{Kt^\alpha} - e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha} t^\alpha})^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tn^{-1}, \theta) \leq 2(1 - e^{-Mt}) \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1,$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \theta) \leq 2(e^{ct^\alpha} - 1)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2.$$

If  $\alpha = 2$ , then for every  $\theta \in \Theta$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\theta - t(n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\theta - t(n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\theta - t(n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) = \infty,$$

but

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - t(n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) \leq 2(e^c - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $c$  is some positive constant. If  $\alpha > 2$ , then for every  $\theta \in \Theta$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) = \infty,$$

but

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\theta - tn^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta) \leq 2(e^{c'} - 1)$$

where  $c'$  is some positive constant.

### Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Professor Kei Takeuchi of Tokyo University for his encouragement and many valuable suggestions and Professor T. Kusama of Waseda University for suggestive discussions.

### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] M. Akahira: "Asymptotic theory for estimation of location in non-regular cases, II: Bounds of asymptotic distributions of consistent estimators and their asymptotic accuracy," (to appear).
- [ 2 ] H. Cramér (1946): "Mathematical Methods of Statistics," Princeton University Press.
- [ 3 ] B.V. Gnedenko and A.N. Kolmogorov (1954): "Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables." Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, Massachusetts, (Translated from Russian).
- [ 4 ] W. Hoeffding and J. Wolfowitz (1958): "Distinguishability of sets of distributions," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 700-718.
- [ 5 ] K. Takeuchi: "Lower bounds of variance of unbiased estimators of location for the non-regular case," (to appear).
- [ 6 ] K. Takeuchi (1974): "Tokei-teki Suitei no Zenkinriron (Asymptotic Theory of Statistical Estimation)" (In Japanese), Kyoiku-Shuppan, Tokyo.
- [ 7 ] A. Wald (1949): "Note on the consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 20, 595-601.
- [ 8 ] M. Woodroffe (1972): "Maximum likelihood estimation of a translation parameter of a truncated distribution," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 43, 113-122.

[ Department of Mathematics  
University of Electro-Communications  
Chofugaoka, Chofu-shi, Tokyo 182, Japan ]