

SOFTWARE

Open Access



ATLAS: a Snakemake workflow for assembly, annotation, and genomic binning of metagenome sequence data

Silas Kieser^{1,2†}, Joseph Brown^{3,4†}, Evgeny M. Zdobnov^{2,5,6}, Mirko Trajkovski^{1,5,7} and Lee Ann McCue^{3*} 

* Correspondence: leeann.mccue@pnnl.gov

[†]Silas Kieser and Joseph Brown contributed equally to this work.

³Earth and Biological Sciences Directorate, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Background: Metagenomics studies provide valuable insight into the composition and function of microbial populations from diverse environments; however, the data processing pipelines that rely on mapping reads to gene catalogs or genome databases for cultured strains yield results that underrepresent the genes and functional potential of uncultured microbes. Recent improvements in sequence assembly methods have eased the reliance on genome databases, thereby allowing the recovery of genomes from uncultured microbes. However, configuring these tools, linking them with advanced binning and annotation tools, and maintaining provenance of the processing continues to be challenging for researchers.

Results: Here we present ATLAS, a software package for customizable data processing from raw sequence reads to functional and taxonomic annotations using state-of-the-art tools to assemble, annotate, quantify, and bin metagenome data. Abundance estimates at genome resolution are provided for each sample in a dataset. ATLAS is written in Python and the workflow implemented in Snakemake; it operates in a Linux environment, and is compatible with Python 3.5+ and Anaconda 3+ versions. The source code for ATLAS is freely available, distributed under a BSD-3 license.

Conclusions: ATLAS provides a user-friendly, modular and customizable Snakemake workflow for metagenome data processing; it is easily installable with conda and maintained as open-source on GitHub at <https://github.com/metagenome-atlas/atlas>.

Keywords: Metagenomics, Analysis workflow, Annotation, Metagenome-assembled genomes

Background

Metagenomics has transformed microbial ecology studies with the ability to generate genome sequence information from environmental samples, yielding valuable insight into the composition and functional potential of natural microbial populations from diverse environments [1, 2]. Despite the prevalence of metagenome data, there are few broadly accepted standard methods, either for the generation of that data [3–5] or for its processing [6, 7]. In particular, processing metagenome data in an efficient and



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

reproducible manner is challenging because it requires implementation of several distinct tools, each designed for a specific task.

The most direct and frequently used way to analyze metagenome data is to map the sequence reads to reference genomes, when a suitable genome database from cultivated microbes is available (e.g. Humann2 [8]). However, these methods do not capture uncultivated species; studies using single-copy phylogenetic marker genes have improved estimates of species richness in metagenome data by expanding the representation of uncultivated species [9]. To truly characterize a natural microbial community and examine its functional potential, assembly-based metagenome analyses are needed. This has been demonstrated by recent studies that have recovered thousands of new genomes using co-abundance patterns among samples to bin contigs into clusters [10–13].

A number of assembly-based metagenome pipelines have been developed, each providing a subset of the required tools needed to carry out a complete analysis process from raw data to annotated genomes [14–17]. For example, MOCAT2 [16] relies on gene catalogs to evaluate the functional potential of the metagenome as a whole, but without directly relating functions to individual microbes. Metagenome processing pipelines commonly default to co-assembly of the samples rather than assembly of individual samples, resulting in more fragmented assemblies [18]. Only some applications (e.g., IMP [17]) permit the co-assembly of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes for individual samples. Furthermore, the configuration and technical constraints to user control often limit the adoption of these tools in the research community.


Here we present an entirely new version of ATLAS [19], an assembly-based pipeline for the recovery of genes and genomes from metagenomes, that produces annotated and quantified genomes from multiple samples in one run with as little as three commands. The pipeline integrates state-of-the-art tools for quality control, assembly and binning. The installation of ATLAS is automated: it depends only on the availability of Anaconda and installs all dependencies and databases on the fly. The internal use of Snakemake [20] allows efficient and automated deployment on a computing cluster.

Implementation

The ATLAS framework organizes sequence data processing tools into four distinct analysis modules: [1] quality control, [2] assembly, [3] genome binning and [4] annotation (Fig. 1); each module can be run independently, or all four modules combined in a complete analysis workflow. ATLAS is implemented in Python and uses the Snakemake [20] workflow manager for extensive control of external tools, including versioning of configurations and environments, provenance capabilities, and scalability on high-performance computing clusters. ATLAS uses Anaconda [21] to simplify initial deployment and environment set-up, and dependencies are handled by Bioconda [22] at runtime. Complete usage and user options are outlined in the ATLAS documentation (<https://metagenome-atlas.rtfd.io>).

Quality control

Quality control of raw sequence data, in the form of single- or paired-end FASTQ files, is performed using utilities in the BBTools suite [23]. Specifically, *clumpify* is used to remove PCR duplicates and compress the raw data files, followed by *BBduk* to remove



known adapters, trim and filter reads based on their quality and length (respectively), and error-correct overlapping paired-end reads where applicable. *BBSplit* is used to remove contaminating reads using reference sequences: PhiX is provided as a default or can be replaced by user-specified fasta-format sequences. To optimize data use, reads that lose their mate during these steps are seamlessly integrated into the later steps of the pipeline.

Assembly

Prior to metagenome assembly, ATLAS uses additional BBTools utilities [23] to perform an efficient error correction based on k-mer coverage (*Tadpole*) and paired-end read merging (*bbmerge*). If paired-end reads do not overlap, *bbmerge* can extend them using read-derived overlapping k-mers. ATLAS uses metaSPAdes [24] or MEGAHIT

[25, 26] for de novo assembly, with the ability to control parameters such as k-mer lengths and k-mer step size for each assembler, as well as hybrid-assembly of paired short- and long-read libraries. The quality-controlled reads are mapped to the assembled contigs, and bam files are generated to facilitate downstream calculations that may be of interest (e.g., calculating contig coverage). The assembled contigs shorter than a minimal length, or without mapped reads, are filtered out to yield high-quality contigs.

Genome binning

The prediction of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) allows organism-specific analyses of metagenome datasets. In ATLAS, two binning methods are implemented (Fig. 1): metabat2 [27] and maxbin2 [28]. These methods use tetra-nucleotide frequencies, differential abundance, and/or the presence of marker genes as criteria. ATLAS supports assembly and binning for each sample individually, which produces more continuous genomes than co-assembly [29]. Definition of which samples are likely to contain the same bacterial species, via a group attribute in the Snakemake configuration file, supports binning based on co-abundance patterns across samples. Reads from all of the samples defined in a group are then aligned to the individual sample assemblies, to obtain the co-abundance patterns needed for efficient binning. The bins produced by the different binning tools can be combined using the dereplicate, aggregate and score tool (DAS Tool, [30]), to yield MAGs for each sample. Finally, the completeness and contamination of each MAG are assessed using CheckM [31].

Because the same genome may be identified in multiple samples, dRep [29] is used to obtain a non-redundant set of MAGs for the combined dataset by clustering genomes to a defined average nucleotide identity (ANI, default 0.95) and returning the representative with the highest dRep score in each cluster. dRep first filters genomes based on genome size (default > 5000 bp) and quality (default > 50% completeness, < 10% contamination), then clusters the genomes using Mash [32], followed by MUMmer [33], thereby benefiting from their combined speed (Mash) and accuracy (MUMmer). The abundance of each genome can then be quantified across samples by mapping the reads to the non-redundant MAGs and determining the median coverage across each the genome.

Taxonomic and functional annotation

For annotation, ATLAS supports the prediction of open reading frames (ORFs) using Prodigal [34]. The translated gene products are then clustered using linclust [35] or mmseqs [36] to generate non-redundant gene and protein catalogs, which are mapped to the eggNOG catalogue v5 [37, 38]. Robust taxonomic annotation is performed using the genome taxonomy database tool kit (GTDB-tk, [39]). In addition, phylogenetic trees are built based on the markers from GTDB and CheckM.

Output


The ATLAS output for each sample includes the quality-controlled reads, assembled contigs, bam files (reads mapped to contigs), and predicted genome bins, together with summary statistics in an HTML report. The final output includes results from all samples, including the raw and normalized counts for the set of non-redundant, high-quality MAGs, with a quality report and their inferred

taxonomy. From the annotation stage, two fasta files are produced containing the nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the representative genes in the non-redundant gene catalog, together with a table containing the gene annotations summarized at the genome level.

Figure 2 shows examples of ATLAS output in which we analyzed the metagenome data from paired feces and cecum samples of 8 mice fed ad libitum (PRJNA480387 [40]). On average, the sample data contained 3.5 Gbp, and produced assemblies of 108 Mbp per sample. There were 374 MAGS predicted (completeness > 50% and contamination < 10%), that formed 69 non-redundant clusters (ANI > 99%; Fig. 2A). These genomes account for 75% of the reads (Fig. 2B). In general, *Bacteroides* were more abundant than *Firmicutes*, in both cecum and feces (Fig. 2C,D). A principal coordinates analysis based on the functional annotation revealed two functionally distinct clusters of Firmicutes (Fig. 2E). Details of these results are provided on GitHub (https://github.com/metagenome-atlas/supp_data_atlas).

Conclusions

ATLAS is easy to install and provides documented and modular workflows for the analysis of metagenome data. The internal codes utilized by the workflow are highly



configurable using either a configuration file or via the command line. ATLAS provides a robust bioinformatics framework for high-throughput sequence data, where raw FASTQ files can be fully processed into annotated tabular files for downstream analysis and visualization. ATLAS fills a major analysis gap, namely the integration of tools for quality control, assembly, binning and annotation, in a manner that supports robust and reproducible analyses. ATLAS provides these analysis tools in a command-line interface amenable to high-performance computing clusters.

The source code for ATLAS is distributed under a BSD-3 license and is freely available at <https://github.com/metagenome-atlas/atlas>, with example data provided for testing. Software documentation is available at <https://metagenome-atlas.rtd.io>, which describes the installation and use of ATLAS including a Docker container (<https://hub.docker.com/r/metagenomeatlas/atlas>).

Availability Project name: ATLAS.

Project home page: <https://github.com/metagenome-atlas/atlas>

Archived version: <https://doi.org/10.1101/737528>

Operating system(s): Linux.

Programming language: Snakemake/Python.

Other requirements: Miniconda.

License: BSD-3.

Any restrictions to use by non-academics: None.

Acknowledgements

A portion of the ATLAS framework was developed using PNNL Research Computing resources.

Authors' contributions

JB and SK developed the software and documentation; EZ, MT and LAM supervised the project; and JB, SK and LAM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Microbiomes in Transition Initiative at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) and conducted under the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program at PNNL, a multi-program national laboratory operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830. This work was also supported by funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC-COG-2018), and the Swiss National Science Foundation Professorship to MT.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Cell Physiology and Metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, Centre Medical Universitaire, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland. ²Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Geneva, Switzerland. ³Earth and Biological Sciences Directorate, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA. ⁴Current address: Department of Human Genetics, University of Utah, 15 S 2030 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. ⁵Institute of Genetics and Genomics in Geneva (iGEG), University of Geneva, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland. ⁶Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland. ⁷Diabetes Center, Faculty of Medicine, Centre Medical Universitaire, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland.

Received: 23 May 2019 Accepted: 8 June 2020

Published online: 22 June 2020

References

1. Nayfach S, Pollard KS. Toward accurate and quantitative comparative metagenomics. *Cell*. 2016;166(5):1103–16.

2. Prosser JI. Dispersing misconceptions and identifying opportunities for the use of 'omics' in soil microbial ecology. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2015;13(7):439–46.
3. Costea PI, Zeller G, Sunagawa S, Pelletier E, Alberti A, Levenez F, et al. Towards standards for human fecal sample processing in metagenomic studies. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2017;35(11):1069–76.
4. Song SJ, Amir A, Metcalf JL, Amato KR, Xu ZZ, Humphrey G, et al. Preservation methods differ in fecal microbiome stability, affecting suitability for field studies. *mSystems.* 2016;1(3).
5. Wu WK, Chen CC, Panyod S, Chen RA, Wu MS, Sheen LY, et al. Optimization of fecal sample processing for microbiome study - the journey from bathroom to bench. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 2019;118(2):545–55.
6. Sczyrba A, Hofmann P, Belmann P, Koslicki D, Janssen S, Droge J, et al. Critical assessment of Metagenome interpretation—a benchmark of metagenomics software. *Nat Methods.* 2017;14(11):1063–71.
7. CAMI 2019 [Available from: <https://data.cami-challenge.org/>].
8. Franzosa EA, McIver LJ, Rahnavard G, Thompson LR, Schirmer M, Weingart G, et al. Species-level functional profiling of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes. *Nat Methods.* 2018;15(11):962–8.
9. Sunagawa S, Mende DR, Zeller G, Izquierdo-Carrasco F, Berger SA, Kultima JR, et al. Metagenomic species profiling using universal phylogenetic marker genes. *Nat Methods.* 2013;10(12):1196–9.
10. Almeida A, Mitchell AL, Boland M, Forster SC, Gloor GB, Tarkowska A, et al. A new genomic blueprint of the human gut microbiota. *Nature.* 2019;568(7753):499–504.
11. Nissen JN, Sonderby CK, Armenteros JJA, Groenbech CH, Nielsen HB, Petersen TN, et al. Binning microbial genomes using deep learning. *bioRxiv.* 2018:490078.
12. Parks DH, Rinke C, Chuvpochina M, Chaumeil PA, Woodcroft BJ, Evans PN, et al. Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. *Nat Microbiol.* 2017;2(11):1533–42.
13. Stewart RD, Auffret MD, Warr A, Wiser AH, Press MO, Langford KW, et al. Assembly of 913 microbial genomes from metagenomic sequencing of the cow rumen. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):870.
14. Chen IA, Markowitz VM, Chu K, Palaniappan K, Szeto E, Pillay M, et al. IMG/M: integrated genome and metagenome comparative data analysis system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45(D1):D507–D16.
15. Eren AM, Esen OC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, et al. Anvi'o: an advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. *PeerJ.* 2015;3:e1319.
16. Kultima JR, Coelho LP, Forslund K, Huerta-Cepas J, Li SS, Driessen M, et al. MOCAT2: a metagenomic assembly, annotation and profiling framework. *Bioinformatics.* 2016;32(16):2520–3.
17. Narayanasamy S, Jarosz Y, Muller EE, Heintz-Buschart A, Herold M, Kaysen A, et al. IMP: a pipeline for reproducible reference-independent integrated metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses. *Genome Biol.* 2016;17(1):260.
18. Mirebrahim H, Close TJ, Lonardi S. De novo meta-assembly of ultra-deep sequencing data. *Bioinformatics.* 2015; 31(12):i9–16.
19. White RA, Brown J, Colby S, Overall CC, Lee J-Y, Zucker J, et al. ATLAS (Automatic Tool for Local Assembly Structures) - a comprehensive infrastructure for assembly, annotation, and genomic binning of metagenomic and metatranscriptomic data. *PeerJ.* 2017;5:e2843v1.
20. Koster J, Rahmann S. Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine. *Bioinformatics.* 2012;28(19):2520–2.
21. Anaconda 2019 [Available from: <https://www.continuum.io/>].
22. Gruning B, Dale R, Sjödin A, Chapman BA, Rowe J, Tomkins-Tinch CH, et al. Bioconda: sustainable and comprehensive software distribution for the life sciences. *Nat Methods.* 2018;15(7):475–6.
23. Bushnell B. BBTools 2019 [Available from: <https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/>].
24. Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res.* 2017;27(5):824–34.
25. Li D, Liu CM, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam TW. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics.* 2015;31(10):1674–6.
26. Li D, Luo R, Liu CM, Leung CM, Ting HF, Sadakane K, et al. MEGAHIT v1.0: A fast and scalable metagenome assembler driven by advanced methodologies and community practices. *Methods.* 2016;102:3–11.
27. Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, et al. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ.* 2019;7:e7359.
28. Wu YW, Simmons BA, Singer SW. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics.* 2016;32(4):605–7.
29. Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. *ISME J.* 2017;11(12):2864–8.
30. Sieber CMK, Probst AJ, Sharrar A, Thomas BC, Hess M, Tringe SG, et al. Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication, aggregation and scoring strategy. *Nat Microbiol.* 2018;3(7):836–43.
31. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* 2015;25(7):1043–55.
32. Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, et al. Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. *Genome Biol.* 2016;17(1):132.
33. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol.* 2004;5(2):R12.
34. Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2010;11:119.
35. Steinegger M, Soding J. Clustering huge protein sequence sets in linear time. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):2542.
36. Steinegger M, Soding J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the analysis of massive data sets. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2017;35(11):1026–8.
37. Huerta-Cepas J, Forslund K, Coelho LP, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, et al. Fast genome-wide functional annotation through Orthology assignment by eggNOG-mapper. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2017;34(8):2115–22.
38. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernandez-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H, et al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019;47(D1):D309–D14.

39. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil PA, et al. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018;36(10):996–1004.
40. Fabbiano S, Suarez-Zamorano N, Chevalier C, Lazarevic V, Kieser S, Rigo D, et al. Functional gut microbiota remodeling contributes to the caloric restriction-induced metabolic improvements. *Cell Metab.* 2018;28(6):907–21 e7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

