



#### https://helda.helsinki.fi

Atmospheric Fate of Monoethanolamine : Enhancing New Particle Formation of Sulfuric Acid as an Important Removal Process

Xie, Hong-Bin

2017-08-01

Xie , H-B , Elm , J , Halonen , R , Myllys , N , Kurten , T , Kulmala , M & Vehkamäki , H 2017 , 'Atmospheric Fate of Monoethanolamine : Enhancing New Particle Formation of Sulfuric Acid as an Important Removal Process ', Environmental Science & Technology , vol. 51 , no. 15 , pp. 8422-8431 . https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02294

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/299835 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02294

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

# 1 The Atmospheric Fate of Monoethanolamine:

## 2 Enhancing New-particle Formation of Sulfuric Acid as

### 3 an Important Removal Process

4 Hong-Bin Xie<sup>†‡</sup>, Jonas Elm<sup>‡</sup>, Roope Halonen<sup>‡</sup>, Nanna Myllys<sup>‡</sup>, Theo Kurtén<sup>§</sup>, Markku

5 Kulmala<sup>‡</sup> and Hanna Vehkamäki<sup>‡</sup>

6 <sup>†</sup>Key Laboratory of Industrial Ecology and Environmental Engineering (Ministry of

- 7 Education), School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian University of
- 8 Technology, Dalian 116024, China
- 9 <sup>‡</sup>Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, P. O. Box 64, FIN-00014 Helsinki,
- 10 Finland
- <sup>§</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, P. O. Box 55, FIN-00014 Helsinki,
- 12 Finland
- 13

Table of Contents (TOC)



14

ABSTRACT. Monoethanolamine (MEA), a potential atmospheric pollutant from capture unit of a leading CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology, could be removed by participating  $H_2SO_4$ -based new particle formation (NPF) as simple amines. Here we evaluated the enhancing potential of MEA on  $H_2SO_4$ -based NPF by examining the formation of molecular clusters of MEA and  $H_2SO_4$  using a combined quantum chemistry 20 calculations and kinetics modeling. The results indicate that MEA at ppt-level can 21 enhance H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF. The enhancing potential of MEA is < dimethylamine 22 (DMA), one of the strongest enhancing agents, and  $\gg$  methylamine (MA), in contrast 23 to the order suggested solely by their basicity (MEA < MA < DMA). The unexpectedly 24 high enhancing potential is attributed to the role of -OH of MEA in increasing cluster 25 binding free energies by acting as both hydrogen bond donor and acceptor. After the initial formation of one H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and one MEA cluster, the cluster growth mainly 26 27 proceeds by first adding one H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and then one MEA, which differs from growth 28 pathways in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-DMA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-MA systems. Importantly, the effective removal 29 rate of MEA due to participation in NPF is comparable to that of oxidation by hydroxyl 30 radicals at 278.15 K, indicating NPF as an important sink for MEA.

#### 31 INTRODUCTION

Monoethanolamine (MEA, NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH) is a benchmark and widely utilized 32 solvent in amine-based postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture (PCC) technology.<sup>1-9</sup> Given the 33 34 possible large-scale implementation of amine-based PCC, it is likely that there will be 35 relatively significant emissions of MEA or other alkanolamines to the atmosphere from PCC units due to their relatively high vapor pressure.<sup>10</sup> It has been estimated that a CO<sub>2</sub> 36 37 capture plant which removes 1 million tons CO<sub>2</sub> per year from flue gas using MEA as solvent could potentially emit 80 tons MEA into the atmosphere.<sup>11,12</sup> Therefore, in 38 39 recent years concern about the atmospheric fate of the representative amine MEA has been increasing,<sup>6,13-22</sup> as MEA could potentially form an environmental risk.<sup>11,12,17</sup> 40

41	Several studies have addressed the removal of MEA by atmospheric oxidation. <sup>6,13-</sup>
42	$^{22}$ The oxidation by hydroxyl radicals ( $\cdot \rm OH$ ) has been considered to be its main
43	degradation pathway, followed by chlorine radicals ( $\cdot$ Cl) at daytime. <sup>13</sup> The nitrate
44	radical may play a significant role in MEA oxidation at night, though very little is
45	known about this pathway. The reaction rate constants of MEA with $\cdot$ OH and $\cdot$ Cl are
46	in the order of 10 <sup>-11</sup> and 10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> , respectively, translating to 2.6-3.6
47	hours atmospheric lifetime. <sup>6,13,14,18,19</sup> More importantly, atmospheric oxidation of MEA
48	by $\cdot$ OH and $\cdot$ Cl can produce potentially hazardous compounds (such as isocyanic acid,
49	HNCO, nitramine and nitrosamine), <sup>6,13,19</sup> which can increase the environmental risk of
50	MEA emission. Besides oxidation, acid-base reaction could be another important sink
51	for MEA. However, the atmospheric fate related to the basicity of MEA has received
52	little attention until now.
53	Atmospheric aerosol particles, at least 50% of which originates from new-particle
54	formation (NPF), are known to affect human health and remain one of the leading
55	uncertainties in global climate modeling and prediction. <sup>23-27</sup> Many studies have shown

formation (NPF), are known to affect human health and remain one of the leading uncertainties in global climate modeling and prediction.<sup>23-27</sup> Many studies have shown that atmospheric bases such as ammonia and amines stabilize sulfuric acid clusters in the lower troposphere via acid-base reactions, and therefore enhance H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF rates.<sup>25,28-42</sup> Compared to ammonia, amines, including monomethylamine (MA), dimethylamine (DMA) and trimethylamine (TMA), can bind much more strongly to sulfuric acid molecules <sup>29,40-43</sup> and thus can efficiently enhance clustering sulfuric acid.<sup>43</sup> Recent work by Almeida et al. performed at the CLOUD chamber at CERN shows that 5 ppt of dimethylamine can enhance NPF rates more than 10000 times
compared with the case of 5 ppt ammonia, and is sufficient to produce particle
formation rates of the same order of magnitude as observed in the atmosphere.<sup>25</sup>
Besides ammonia, MA, DMA and TMA, atmospheric diamines were recently found to
efficiently enhance NPF.<sup>44,45</sup>

In a similar fashion to simple alkylamines, MEA can potentially influence NPF 67 68 via acid-base reactions and therefore participating in NPF could be another atmospheric 69 sink of MEA. A recent study highlighted the possible role of emitted amines from CO<sub>2</sub> capture unit of PCC in enhancing NPF.<sup>25</sup> The basicity of MEA is higher than that of 70 71 ammonia and lower than that of methylamine and dimethylamine ( $pK_b$  values of MEA 4.50, MA 3.36, DMA 3.29, ammonia 5.7).46 If judged solely by the basicity, MEA 72 73 should have a higher enhancing effect on H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF than NH<sub>3</sub>, and lower effect 74 than MA and DMA when atmospheric concentration of MEA is assumed to be similar 75 to that of NH<sub>3</sub>, MA and DMA. From the point of molecular structure, MEA has 76 additional -OH compared to ammonia, MA and DMA. When forming clusters between 77 MEA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the -OH group in MEA can form additional hydrogen bonds (H-78 bonds), which increase the binding energy of MEA with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The conflicting effects 79 of one favorable (more H-bonds) and one unfavorable factor (decreased basicity 80 compared with methylamine and dimethylamine) could make it difficult to estimate 81 how strong the enhancing effect of MEA will be. No previous studies have considered 82 the potential role of alkanolamines in NPF involving H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. An additional -OH in the

amine may lead to a different NPF pathway and rate compared to the
ammonia/MA/DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> systems. Therefore, to obtain a complete view of the
atmospheric fate of MEA and extend the current knowledge of NPF involving amines
and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, information about the potential of MEA to participate in atmospheric NPF
is crucial.

88 In this study, we investigate the initial step of atmospheric H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF by 89 examining the formation of molecular clusters of MEA and sulfuric acid using a 90 combination of quantum chemistry calculations and kinetics modeling employing the Atmospheric Cluster Dynamics Code<sup>47,48</sup> (ACDC). Via systematic conformational 91 92 searches, we have obtained minimum free energy structures of clusters of composition  $(MEA)_m(SA)_n$  (m=0-4 and n=1-4, "SA" represents H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The corresponding 93 94 thermodynamic data and previously reported results for pure sulfuric acid (SA)1-4 clusters<sup>49</sup> are used in ACDC to obtain cluster formation pathways and kinetics in the 95 96 MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> system. In addition, the effect of hydration on the cluster formation 97 kinetics of MEA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is considered.

#### 98 COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

99 Electronic Structure Calculations. The most critical parameters in identifying cluster 100 formation pathways and kinetics are the cluster formation free energies. Both minimum 101 free energy structures of clusters (MEA) $_m$ (SA) $_n$  (m=0-4 and n=0-4) and computational 102 method will determine the reliability of calculated cluster formation free energies. Here, 103 a global minimum sampling technique (Figure 1), which has previously been applied

104	to study atmospheric cluster formation, <sup>45,50,51</sup> was used to search for the global minima
105	of clusters $(MEA)_m(SA)_n(m=1-4 \text{ and } n=0-4)$ . The pure $(SA)_{1-4}$ clusters were taken from
106	the work of Ortega et al. <sup>49</sup> In Figure 1, all optimizations, frequency or single point
107	energy calculations with density functional theory and semiempirical PM6 level have
108	been performed in GAUSSIAN 09. <sup>52</sup> The $\omega$ B97X-D functional was selected as the core
109	optimization and frequency calculation method in Figure 1, since it has shown good
110	performance for studying the formation of atmospheric molecular clusters. <sup>53,54</sup> Single
111	point energy calculations at DLPNO-CCSD(T) (Domain-based local pair natural orbital
112	coupled cluster <sup>55,56</sup> )/aug-cc-pVTZ level have been performed in ORCA version 3.0.3. <sup>57</sup>
113	Recent studies indicated that the DLPNO-CCSD(T) method can be used to calculate
114	atmospheric acid-base clusters up to 10 molecules <sup>58</sup> and the utilized DLPNO-
115	CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ method has been shown to yield a mean absolute error of 0.3
116	kcal/mol compared to CCSD(T) complete basis set estimates, based on a test set of 11
117	small atmospheric cluster reactions <sup>54</sup> . The MEA monomer has 13 conformations <sup>6,59</sup> and
118	each was used as a starting point for forming the molecular clusters. For the global
119	minimum search, more than 10000 randomly oriented configurations were built for
120	each cluster. We have estimated the Gibbs free energies for all obtained global minima
121	at 298.15 K by combining the single point energies at the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-
122	pVTZ level and Gibbs free energy correction terms at the $\omega$ B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)
123	level. The formation free energies for each cluster were obtained by subtracting Gibbs
124	free energy of the constituent molecules from that of the cluster at 298.15 K. The

125 formation free energies at other temperatures were calculated under the assumption that



126 enthalpy and entropy change remain constant in the tropospheric temperature range.

Figure 1. Flowchart for the multistep global minimum sampling method. "SP"represents a single point energy calculation.

127

130 To consider the effect of hydration, the  $(MEA)_m(SA)_nW_x$  (m=0-2, n=0-2, x=1-3, 131 "W" represents H<sub>2</sub>O) clusters were investigated. For their global minimum search, a 132 similar scheme as for the clusters without water molecules was used. In addition, to 133 directly compare the enhancing effect of MEA to ammonia, MA and DMA, we re-134 evaluated their formation free energies at the same theoretical level, based on reported cluster structures, or new lower energy structures (presented in Figure S1).<sup>48, 49, 60</sup> It 135 136 should be noted that for global minimum of the unhydrated MA-SA clusters, only (MA)<sub>0-3</sub>(SA)<sub>0-3</sub> is available<sup>41,60</sup> and therefore formation free energy data for MA are 137 138 only for (MA)0-3(SA)0-3.

ACDC model. We used ACDC to study the formation pathways, steady-stateconcentrations and formation rates of clusters. The detailed theory behind the ACDC

was present in a study by McGrath et. al.<sup>47</sup> Briefly, the code generates equations for the 141 142 time derivatives of the concentrations of all studied clusters, and uses the Matlab ode15s routine to solve differential equations and simulate the time-dependent cluster 143 144 concentrations. The differential equations, also called birth-death equations, include 145 source terms from collisions of smaller clusters and evaporations from larger clusters, 146 and sink terms from collisions with other clusters and evaporations into smaller clusters. 147 In addition, the cluster formation rate in ACDC is defined as the flux of clusters outside 148 the system. Whether a cluster is allowed to be outside the system or not is judged by 149 the boundary condition. The hydration effect was considered in ACDC by taking H<sub>2</sub>O molecule as an environment to affect the collision or evaporation of base-acid cluster.<sup>61</sup> 150 The simulated system is a " $4 \times 4$  box" for unhydrated system, where 4 is the maximum 151 number of H2SO4 or MEA molecules in the clusters. The (MEA)4(SA)5 and 152 153 (MEA)<sub>5</sub>(SA)<sub>5</sub> were allowed to grow out of the system and all other clusters crossing the 154 box edge are brought back to the simulation box by monomer evaporations (see 155 boundary condition in Supporting Information (SI)). The ACDC simulations were 156 primarily run at 278.15 K, with additional runs performed at 258.15, 268.15, 288.15 157 and 298.15 to study the temperature effect. A constant coagulation sink coefficient of  $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup> was used as sink term. This value corresponds to typical one observed in 158 boreal forest environments.<sup>48</sup> The sulfuric acid concentration was set to be 10<sup>5</sup>, 10<sup>6</sup>, 10<sup>7</sup>, 159 10<sup>8</sup> and 10<sup>9</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, a range relevant to atmospheric NPF.<sup>25,48,62</sup> Atmospheric MEA 160 concentrations were set to be 1, 10, and 100 ppt, a range relevant to atmospheric NPF 161

162	for DMA. <sup>25</sup> It should be mentioned that the acid concentration [H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ] was defined as
163	the total concentration of all neutral clusters containing one acid and any number of
164	base molecules, as in a previous study. <sup>48</sup> When hydration effect was considered, the
165	simulated system is "2 $\times$ 2 box". Average collision and evaporation coefficients over
166	the hydrate distribution for each cluster of $(MEA)_m(SA)_n$ ( <i>m</i> =0-2, <i>n</i> =0-2) were used in
167	the birth-death equations for $[H_2SO_4] = 10^6$ and $[MEA] = 10$ ppt and at 278.15 K. The
168	equilibrium hydrate distribution for each cluster was calculated by the equilibrium
169	constant for the formation of the respective hydrate. <sup>61</sup> Similar to the definition of
170	boundary condition of unhydrated MEA-SA cluster, the (MEA)2(SA)3 and
171	(MEA) <sub>3</sub> (SA) <sub>3</sub> were allowed to grow out of the system. As a comparison, we also
172	preformed ACDC simulation for MA-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> and DMA-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> systems at 278.15 K.
173	The simulated system is a " $3 \times 3$ box" for MA since only (MA) <sub>0-3</sub> (SA) <sub>0-3</sub> is available,
174	and "4 × 4 box," for DMA. The (MA) <sub>3</sub> (SA) <sub>4</sub> and (MA) <sub>4</sub> (SA) <sub>4</sub> , and (DMA) <sub>4</sub> (SA) <sub>5</sub> and
175	(DMA) <sub>5</sub> (SA) <sub>5</sub> were allowed to grow out of the simulation box for MA-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> and
176	DMA-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> system (see SI), respectively. Other ACDC simulation details are similar
177	to those for MEA. In addition, ACDC simulation was performed for MEA-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> with
178	$3 \times 3$ box, to compare with MA-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> system with a similar simulation box size.
179	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

180 **Structures and Thermodynamic Data.** We use  $(MEA)_m(SA)_n$  to represent the cluster 181 formed by *m* MEA molecules and *n* H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> molecules to avoid explicitly specifying the 182 proton transfer status. Since previous studies have discussed the structures of pure

183	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> clusters, <sup>49</sup> here, we mainly focus on the clusters (MEA) $_m$ (SA) $_n$ (m=1-4 and n=0-
184	4). The structures of $(MEA)_m(SA)_n(m=1-4 \text{ and } n=0-4)$ are shown in Figure 2. Generally,
185	in the homo-molecular clusters (MEA) $_m(m=1-4)$ , no proton transfer has occurred and
186	clusters are stabilized mainly by H-bonds. In all hetero-molecular clusters, proton
187	transfer is observed, and clusters are stabilized by both H-bonds and electrostatic
188	interaction between positive and negative species. When $n \ge m$ , the amine (-NH <sub>2</sub> )
189	groups of all MEA molecules are protonated by H2SO4. In this case H2SO4 only
190	transfers a single proton and in no cases a sulphate ion is formed. When $n < m$ , there
191	are two different proton transfer pattern. For (MEA) <sub>2</sub> (SA), (MEA) <sub>3</sub> (SA) clusters, none
192	or one of the protons of H2SO4 are donated, and therefore not all MEA molecules are
193	protonated. For (MEA)4(SA), (MEA)4(SA)2, (MEA)4(SA)3 and (MEA)3(SA)2, H2SO4
194	can donate two protons, and therefore all MEAs are protonated in the case of $m - n = 1$
195	((MEA) <sub>4</sub> (SA) <sub>3</sub> and (MEA) <sub>3</sub> (SA) <sub>2</sub> ), while MEA is not completely protonated in the case
196	of $m - n \ge 1$ ((MEA) <sub>4</sub> (SA) and (MEA) <sub>4</sub> (SA) <sub>2</sub> ). The above proton transfer patterns for
197	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -MEA clusters are similar to those of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -DMA clusters. <sup>48,49</sup>
198	Another structural feature in all clusters except (MEA)(SA) <sub>3</sub> is that -OH
199	groups of all MEAs can form at least one H-bond with H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> as H-bond donors. In

200 many cases such as (MEA)<sub>3</sub>, (MEA)<sub>4</sub>, (MEA)(SA)<sub>4</sub>, (MEA)<sub>2</sub>(SA)<sub>3</sub>, (MEA)<sub>2</sub>(SA)<sub>4</sub>, 201 (MEA)<sub>3</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub>, (MEA)<sub>3</sub>(SA)<sub>2</sub>, (MEA)<sub>3</sub>(SA)<sub>4</sub>, (MEA)<sub>3</sub>(SA)<sub>2</sub>, (MEA)<sub>4</sub>(SA)<sub>2</sub> and 202 (MEA)<sub>4</sub>(SA)<sub>3</sub> clusters, the -OH group of MEA can form another H-bond with the -OH 203 group of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, ammonium cation (-RNH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>) of protonated MEA or -OH of MEA as a 204 H-bond acceptor. The involvement of the -OH group of MEA leads to a preference for 205 a spherical three-dimensional structure, especially for the large studied cluster sizes. As 206 an exception (MEA)(SA)<sub>3</sub>, we also located a low-energy minimum (Figure S2) 207 involving H-bonds where -OH group of MEA acts as both a hydrogen bond donor and 208 acceptor. However, the configuration is not the global minimum for the Gibbs free 209 energy. The binding energy of this minimum is about 1 kcal/mol lower than that of the 210 free energy global minimum shown in Figure 2, and thus unfavorable entropy effects 211 are taking place in this configuration.



213 Figure 2. The Structures of global free energy minima for (MEA)<sub>m</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>n</sub>(m=1-4 and

212

214 n=0-4) at the  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p) level of theory. The red ball represents oxygen 215 atom, blue is nitrogen atom, green is carbon atom and white is hydrogen atom.

216 It is known that DMA is one of the strongest agents for enhancing atmospheric H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF.<sup>25,29,43</sup> Here, we take formation free energies of the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-DMA 217 218 system as a reference to discuss the formation free energies of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-MEA. The free 219 energy data at 298.15 K for the formation of the clusters from their constituent 220 molecules for the MEA/DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> system are presented in Figure 3, and the 221 corresponding thermodynamical quantities  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  are presented in Table S1. For 222 the pure base clusters, formation free energy of all MEA clusters is lower than that of 223 corresponding DMA clusters. This results from the fact that there is one more H-bond 224 bonding agent (-OH) in MEA compared with DMA, which leads to more H-bonds in 225 the pure MEA clusters than that in the corresponding DMA clusters. The formation free 226 energy for most hetero-molecular H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-MEA clusters is 0.2-5.6 kcal/mol higher than 227 that of corresponding H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-DMA clusters. However, the formation free energy for 228 (MEA)<sub>2</sub>SA, (MEA)<sub>3</sub>SA and (MEA)<sub>4</sub>SA and (MEA)<sub>4</sub>(SA)<sub>4</sub> is lower than that of the 229 corresponding clusters from DMA. The difference in formation free energies of MEA 230 clusters, compared with DMA clusters, originates from the competition between the 231 unfavorable (lower basicity of MEA than that of DMA) and favorable factor (the 232 formation of more H-bonds from the -OH group of MEA) for forming clusters. In 233 addition, we noted that formation free energies of MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters are lower than 234 those of the corresponding MA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters (Figure S3) although basicity of MEA

235 is much lower than that of MA, indicating that the -OH group in MEA does indeed play 236 an important role in the cluster formation between MEA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. In recent study, Chen et al. revealed that besides the basicity, the hydrogen-bonding capacity of  $-NH_x$ 237 238 (x = 1-3) group in amine/ammonia can play an important role in enhancing methanesulfonic acid driven NPF.<sup>63</sup> Our findings and Chen et al.'s study<sup>63</sup> together 239 240 show the importance of molecular interactions involving  $-NH_x$  (x = 1-3) group and other 241 functional groups of amines in NPF. In addition, similar to MA and DMA, the 242 formation free energies for MEA are much lower than those of NH<sub>3</sub> (Figure S3) with 243 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.



Figure 3. Calculated formation free energies for  $(MEA)_m(SA)_n$  (left panel) and (DMA)<sub>m</sub>(SA)<sub>n</sub> (right panel) clusters (*m*=0-4 and *n*=0-4) at the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/augcc-pVTZ// $\omega$ B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p) level and 298.15 K and 1 atm (reference pressure of acid and base).

Evaporation Rates. In view of the acid-base cluster growth, the stability of the cluster can be deduced by comparing the evaporation rate with the collision rate, which mainly depends on the collision rate constant and the concentration of the acid and base

251	molecules. However, the collision rate constants for the studied clusters are very close
252	to each other and thus difference in the evaporation rate can be used to represent the
253	stability of clusters at the given acid and base concentration. The evaporation rates for
254	$(MEA)_m(SA)_n(m=0-4 \text{ and } n=0-4) \text{ on the MEA-SA grid at } 278.15 \text{ are presented in Figure}$
255	4. Generally, evaporation rates for clusters (MEA) <sub>2</sub> (SA) <sub>2</sub> , (MEA) <sub>1</sub> (SA) <sub>2</sub> , (MEA) <sub>3</sub> (SA) <sub>3</sub> ,
256	$(MEA)_3(SA)_4$ and $(MEA)_4(SA)_4$ are of the order of $10^{-3}-10^{-5}$ s <sup>-1</sup> , which is much lower
257	than those for other studied cluster sizes. When the concentration of MEA or H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> is
258	around or above ppt level, those clusters with evaporation rate $10^{-3}$ - $10^{-5}$ s <sup>-1</sup> can be
259	considered to be stable and (MEA) <sub>3</sub> (SA) <sub>3</sub> and (MEA) <sub>4</sub> (SA) <sub>4</sub> are the most stable clusters
260	(see discussion on stability of clusters in SI). By checking all evaporation pathways
261	(see Table S2), evaporation of a $H_2SO_4$ or MEA monomer is found to be the main decay
262	route for all clusters studied here. If $m$ and $n$ are unequal, evaporation of species with
263	greater number of molecules is always preferred. For clusters with $m = n > 2$ ,
264	evaporation of MEA is faster than that of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> . In addition, when there is equal
265	number of molecules in two clusters, the evaporation rate of MEA abundant cluster is
266	higher than corresponding H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> abundant cluster, indicating that the bonding ability
267	of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> to the cluster is stronger than that of MEA. A similar phenomena concerning
268	the stronger bonding ability of acid is also found in other acid-base cluster systems,
269	such as DMA-SA, NH <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , and NH <sub>3</sub> -HNO <sub>3</sub> . <sup>49,64</sup>



Figure 4. The evaporation rates for  $(MEA)_m(SA)_n(m=0-4 \text{ and } n=0-4)$  on the MEA-SA grid at 278.15.

270

273 It is also interesting to compare cluster evaporate rates for the different amines 274 (MA, DMA and MEA) at the same simulation condition. For most of the clusters, including hetero-molecular and pure base clusters, the evaporation rates for MEA 275 276 clusters are lower than corresponding ones for MA (Figure S4) and DMA (Figure S4) 277 clusters. However, it is not straightforward to conclude which amine can form the most 278 stable clusters as evaporation rates for a couple of clusters with MA and DMA are lower 279 than those of MEA clusters. If the initially formed one SA and one base cluster (which 280 are crucial for cluster growth at relevant H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and base concentration for MEA, MA 281 and DMA as discussed in the Growth Pathways section) are compared, evaporate rate 282 of (MEA)(SA) is lower than that of (MA)(SA) and higher than that of (DMA)(SA). Therefore, the stability of initially formed clusters for the three types of amine-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 283 284 clusters follows the trend (DMA)(SA) > (MEA)(SA) > (MA)(SA) at the given acid and base concentrations. In addition, in accordance with a previous study,<sup>47</sup> the evaporation 285 286 of small clusters is found to be the main decay route for some of DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters.

This is not the case for MEA-SA and MA-SA clusters, where monomer evaporation is
dominant. This results from the higher stability of the small DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters.

289 Steady-state Cluster Concentrations and Formation Rates. The steady-state sulfuric 290 acid dimer concentration (all clusters including sulfuric acid dimer) and the formation 291 rate of clusters growing out of the simulation box can be taken as two important 292 quantities characterizing the stabilization potential of a given base in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF.<sup>25,43,60</sup> Figure 5 shows the steady-state sulfuric acid dimer concentration and the 293 294 cluster formation rate as a function of monomer concentration (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> concentration in the range  $10^5 - 10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, MEA mixing ratios of 1-100 ppt) at 278.15 K for MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 295 296 clusters, along with DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters as a comparison. Generally, the sulfuric acid dimer concentration and the cluster formation rate increase with 297 298 increasing the concentrations of MEA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at the considered condition. The 299 MEA concentration dependence of the sulfuric acid dimer concentration and the cluster 300 formation rate weakens with increasing H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> concentration, indicating that the system 301 gradually approaches saturation with respect to MEA at a high H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> concentration. 302 Similar behavior is also found in the simulations with MA and DMA as base. More importantly, MEA yields roughly  $10-10^2$ -fold dimer concentration and  $10^2-10^3$ -fold 303 304 formation rate compared to the simulations with MA as a base, and 0.02-0.2-fold dimer 305 concentration and 0.02–1-fold formation rate as compared to the simulations with DMA 306 as a base, indicating the order of the stabilization potential of these three amines 307 follows: DMA > MEA > MA. It deserves mentioning that MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> formation rates





Figure 5. Simulated steady-state H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> dimer concentration  $\Sigma[(H_2SO_4)_2]$  (cm<sup>-3</sup>) (left panel) and the cluster formation rate *J* (cm<sup>-3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) out of the simulation system (right

323

326 panel) as a function of monomer concentration at 278.15 K.

327 Growth Pathways. Figure 6 presents the growth pathway and the actual Gibbs free energy surface<sup>47</sup> for MEA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters at  $[H_2SO_4] = 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, [MEA] = 10 ppt 328 329 and 278.15 K. The actual Gibbs free energy surface was obtained by converting the change of free energy from 1 atm to the actual vapor pressures of the components.<sup>47</sup> As 330 331 can be seen in Figure 6 (left panel), the first step in the growth is the binding of one 332 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> molecule to a MEA molecule. After the initial step, the growth mainly proceeds 333 by firstly adding one H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and then one MEA. The main flux out of the system is the 334 (MEA)<sub>4</sub>(SA)<sub>5</sub> cluster. Combining the growth pathway with the actual Gibbs free energy 335 surface (right panel in Figure 6), two features can be observed. First, clusters do not 336 follow the lowest free energy pathways ((MEA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub> $\rightarrow$ (MEA)<sub>2</sub>(SA)<sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ (MEA)<sub>3</sub>(SA)<sub>3</sub> 337  $\rightarrow$  (MEA)<sub>4</sub>(SA)<sub>4</sub>), which would involve the cluster collision with (MEA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub> cluster. This results from fact that the concentration of the (MEA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub> cluster  $(5.73 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^-)$ 338 <sup>3</sup>) is much lower than that of the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> monomer (9.94  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>). Secondly, the 339 340 addition of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> monomers involves a small free energy barrier, but the addition of 341 MEA does not. Furthermore, combining the growth pathway with the evaporation rate 342 of the clusters, we can conclude that the formation of initial cluster (MEA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub> is the 343 rate-determining step for the cluster growth since the (MEA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub> cluster is much 344 more unstable than other clusters in the cluster growth pathway and readily evaporates 345 back into MEA and SA monomers.



Figure 6. Main clustering pathways (left panel) and actual Gibbs free energy surface for the formation of clusters  $MEA_m(H_2SO_4)_n(m=0-4 \text{ and } n=0-4)$  (right panel) at 278.15 K,  $[H_2SO_4] = 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and [MEA] = 10 ppt. For figure clarity, the pathways contributing less than 5% to the flux of the cluster are not shown.

350 We also compared the growth pathways for MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with MA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 351 DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> system at the same simulation condition. The formation pathways for 352 MA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> are presented in Figure S6. A common feature is that the 353 initially formed cluster mainly consists of one H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and one base molecule for all three amines. However, as a whole, the growth pathway for the MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> system is 354 355 significantly different from that of the MA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> systems. In accordance with a previous study,<sup>47</sup> collisions involving the (DMA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>1</sub> cluster 356 357 contribute significantly to the growth for DMA-SA system, which makes the growth 358 occur mainly along the diagonal on the acid-base grid. In contrast to MEA and DMA, 359 the cluster growth for the MA system does not follow the diagonal direction and the 360 formation of larger clusters (MA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>2</sub> and (MA)<sub>2</sub>(SA)<sub>3</sub> has two pathways either via addition of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or MA. The sulfuric acid dimer has a significant population in the
initial clusters, which results from the low stability of the (MA)<sub>1</sub>(SA)<sub>2</sub> cluster.

Effect of Hydration. As water is many orders of magnitude more abundant than 363 364 sulfuric acid and bases in the atmosphere, hydration might change the cluster formation free energies and therefore cluster formation kinetics<sup>61,65,66</sup> Previous studies have found 365 366 that clusters consisting of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and DMA or ammonia are mainly hydrated by less than three H<sub>2</sub>O molecules.<sup>30,61</sup> We expected that MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters could still be 367 hydrated by less than three H<sub>2</sub>O molecules although the structure of MEA is different 368 369 from DMA and ammonia. Here, 1-3 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules were considered to study the effect 370 of hydration on the formation kinetics of MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters. In addition, to save computational resources, we only selected the smallest clusters  $(MEA)_m(SA)_n$  (m = 0-371 2, n = 0.2) as test system to investigate the hydration. Based on the calculated 372 373 equilibrium hydrate distribution of the clusters at relative humidities (RH) 20%, 50% 374 and 100%, at 278.15 K, converted from calculated Gibbs free energies of stepwise 375 hydration at 278.15 K and 1 atm, we can conclude that sulfuric acid-MEA clusters are 376 only mildly hydrated (0-2 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules depending on RH). Details for the discussion 377 on calculated Gibbs free energies of stepwise hydration, optimized structures and the 378 hydrate distribution of the clusters are presented in SI. Here, we mainly focus on the 379 effect of hydration on the cluster formation kinetics.

In principle, hydration can affect the cluster formation rate both through thecollision and evaporation rates. However, hydration was found to have little effect on

382	the collision rate since the collision diameter, an important factor in collision rate
383	coefficients in kinetic collision theory employed in ACDC, changes very little with
384	hydration. <sup>61</sup> Hence, only the effect of hydration on the evaporation rates and formation
385	rates will be discussed in detail. Figure 7 presents the evaporation rates (left) and
386	formation rates (right) as a function of RH at 278.15 K compared to dry conditions.
387	Clearly, the presence of water has various effects on the evaporation rate depending on
388	the given cluster. Water has a little effect on the evaporation rate of the (SA)2 and
389	(MEA)2(SA)2 and almost no effect on that of the (MEA)2 cluster. However, the
390	evaporation rate of (MEA)(SA) <sub>2</sub> can be increased up to 3 times by hydration, and that
391	of (MEA)2(SA) can be decreased by 13 times compared to the dry case. More
392	importantly, the presence of water decreases the evaporation rate of initially formed
393	(MEA)(SA) clusters, i.e. the rate-determining step for cluster growth in the system, and
394	this trend gradually increases with RH, which explains the increased cluster formation
395	rate with increasing RH (right panel in Figure 7). The formation rate can be increased
396	about 5 fold at $RH = 100$ % compared to the dry case. It should be mentioned that
397	although the absolute formation rate obtained from a small simulation box (2 $\times$ 2) is not
398	reliable, the relative formation rate presented here should cancel out any significant bias
399	introduced by the small simulation box. Generally, from these small cluster hydration
400	simulations, we can conclude that hydration can slightly influence the evaporation rate,
401	but the effect is in all cases relatively low and does not severely influence the results.
402	Although it is not expected that qualitative conclusion from current study could be

403 changed when larger clusters and more water molecules are used, future study with
404 larger clusters and more water molecules is still deserved, to reach a more definitive
405 conclusion about the RH effect on MEA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> cluster formation kinetics.



406 Figure 7. Relative evaporation rate (left panel) and cluster formation rate ( $[H_2SO_4] =$ 407  $10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and [MEA] = 10 ppt) (right panel) as a function of relative humidity at 278.15 408 K.

409 Atmospheric Implications. We found that MEA at ppt-level can enhance the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-410 based NPF. The enhancing potential of MEA for NPF is lower than that of DMA, which is one of the strongest agents for enhancing H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF,<sup>25,43</sup> and much higher 411 412 than that of MA. In addition, we have shown that the -OH group of MEA plays an 413 important role in enhancing H2SO4-based NPF due to the formation of additional H-414 bonds with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to point out the 415 significant effect of one additional functional group in amines and show that the basicity 416 of bases is not necessarily the only determining factor influencing H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> driven NPF. Besides anthropogenic emission,<sup>67</sup> the oxidation of aliphatic amines could introduce -417 OH or keto-, peroxy- and carboxylic acid groups in the atmosphere.<sup>31,68,69</sup> Amines 418 419 including these additional H-bond donor/acceptor functional groups can enhance the NPF via a synergetic role of the basicity and the formation of additional H-bonds,
especially for strongly basic amines. As the enhancing effect is very dependent on the
exact structure of the molecule, the effect of these amines on NPF deserves further
investigation.

424 Obviously, the participation of MEA in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF is a sink of the emitted 425 MEA. It is known that the reaction with ·OH is an important sink for MEA due to a high reaction rate constant ( $k_{OH}$  8.1  $\times$  10<sup>-11</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>molecule<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at 278.15 K) and 426 concentration of  $\cdot$ OH (9.7 × 10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>).<sup>18</sup> At daytime, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and  $\cdot$ OH can coexist in the 427 atmosphere and atmospheric concentration of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> ( $1 \times 10^{6}$  -1.9×10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> depending 428 on the location)<sup>70-72</sup> is usually 1-19 times that of  $\cdot$ OH. We estimated the relative 429 430 contribution of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to  $\cdot$ OH for the removal of MEA by  $k_{\text{H2SO4}}$ [H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>]/ $k_{\text{OH}}$ [ $\cdot$ OH] at 431 278.15 K, where k<sub>H2SO4</sub> is removal rate constants of MEA for the participation in NPF involving H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and its value is estimated to be  $2.16 \times 10^{-11}$  and  $5.6 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-</sup> 432 <sup>1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at dry or 50% RH condition, respectively (computational details in SI), [H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>] 433 434 and [OH] are the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and OH, respectively. The contribution of 435 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to the removal of MEA is calculated to be about 0.27-5.2 and 0.7-13.1 times that 436 of ·OH at dry and 50% RH condition, respectively. This means that reactions with 437 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> will compete with oxidation by ·OH in the atmosphere for the removal of MEA 438 at tropospheric condition. Especially in regions where the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is high, NPF might be the dominant removal process of gas-phase MEA. Therefore, the 439 participation of MEA in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-based NPF should be considered when assessing the 440

441 environmental risk of MEA emissions related to, for example, postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub>

442 capture technology.

#### 443 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

444 Supporting Information. Details for boundary conditions, discussion on the stability
 445 of cluster, hydration free energies, removal rate constants of MEA in NPF of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>,

- 446 thermochemical information for the formation of molecular clusters, evaporation
- 447 coefficients for all evaporation pathways of different clusters, lower energy structures
- 448 for NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and dimethylamine (DMA)-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, low energy structure involving the
- 449 hydrogen bonds between -OH of all MEA and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, Formation free energies for the
- 450 clusters for MA/NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, evaporation rates for MA/DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters, the
- 451 cluster formation rates and steady-state H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> dimer concentrations as a function of
- 452 temperature, the main clustering pathways for MA/DMA-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> clusters, hydrate
- 453 distribution of clusters and coordinates of all optimized clusters. This material is
- 454 available free of charge via the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

#### 455 AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### 456 **Corresponding Author**

457 \*Phone/fax: +86-411-84707844; e-mail: hbxie@dlut.edu.cn.

#### 458 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 459 We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21677028, 21325729)
- 460 and ERC 692891-DAMOCLES. We thank the CSC-IT Center for Science in Espoo,
- 461 Finland, for computational resources, Jonas Elm thanks the Carlsberg Foundation for
- 462 financial support and Hong-Bin Xie thanks the China Scholarship Council.

#### 463 **REFERENCES**

- 464 (1) Veawab, A.; Tontiwachwuthikul, P.; Chakma, A., Corrosion Behavior of Carbon
- 465 Steel in the CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption Process Using Aqueous Amine Solutions. *Ind. Eng. Chem.*466 *Res.* 1999, *38* (10), 3917-3924.
- 467 (2) Liu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Watanasiri, S., Representing Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium for an
- 468 Aqueous MEA-CO<sub>2</sub> System Using the Electrolyte Nonrandom-Two-Liquid Model.
- 469 Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **1999**, 38 (5), 2080-2090.
- 470 (3) Puxty, G.; Rowland, R.; Allport, A.; Yang, Q.; Bown, M.; Burns, R.; Maeder, M.;
- 471 Attalla, M., Carbon Dioxide Postcombustion Capture: A Novel Screening Study of
- 472 the Carbon Dioxide Absorption Performance of 76 Amines. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
- 473 **2009,** *43* (16), 6427-6433.
- 474 (4) Xie, H.-B.; He, N.; Song, Z.; Chen, J.; Li, X., Theoretical Investigation on the
- 475 Different Reaction Mechanisms of Aqueous 2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and
- 476 Monoethanolamine with CO<sub>2</sub>. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *53*, (8), 3363-3372.
- 477 (5) Xie, H.-B.; Johnson, J. K.; Perry, R. J.; Genovese, S.; Wood, B. R., A Computational
- 478 Study of the Heats of Reaction of Substituted Monoethanolamine with CO<sub>2</sub>. J. Phys.
- 479 *Chem. A* **2011,** *115* (3), 342-350.
- 480 (6) Xie, H.-B.; Li, C.; He, N.; Wang, C.; Zhang, S.; Chen, J., Atmospheric Chemical
- 481 Reactions of Monoethanolamine Initiated by OH Radical: Mechanistic and Kinetic
  482 Study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48 (3), 1700-1706.
- 483 (7) Xie, H.-B.; Wei, X.; Wang, P.; He, N.; Chen, J., CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption in an Alcoholic
- 484 Solution of Heavily Hindered Alkanolamine: The Reaction Mechanism of 2-(tert-485 butylamino)- ethanol with CO<sub>2</sub> Revisited. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 6346-6353
- 486 (8) Xie, H.-B.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Johnson, J. K., Reaction Mechanism of
- 487 Monoethanolamine with CO<sub>2</sub> in Aqueous Solution from Molecular Modeling. *J. Phys.*
- 488 *Chem. A* **2010**, *114*, (43), 11844-11852.
- 489 (9) da Silva, E. F.; Booth, A. M., Emissions from Postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plants.
  490 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013, 47 (2), 659-660.
- 491 (10) Kapteina, S.; Slowik, K.; Verevkin, S. P.; Heintz, A., Vapor Pressures and
- 492 Vaporization Enthalpies of a Series of Ethanolamines. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2005, 50 (2),
  493 398-402.
- 494 (11) Karl, M.; Wright, R. F.; Berglen, T. F.; Denby, B., Worst Case Scenario Study to
- 495 Assess the Environmental Impact of Amine Emissions from a CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plant. *Int*.
- 496 *J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2011**, *5* (3), 439-447.
- 497 (12) Veltman, K.; Singh, B.; Hertwich, E. G., Human and Environmental Impact
- 498 Assessment of Postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Focusing on Emissions from Amine-
- 499 Based Scrubbing Solvents to Air. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44 (4), 1496-1502.
- 500 (13) Xie, H.-B.; Ma, F.; Wang, Y.; He, N.; Yu, Q.; Chen, J., Quantum Chemical Study
- 501 on Cl-Initiated Atmospheric Degradation of Monoethanolamine. Environ. Sci.
- 502 Technol. 2015, 49 (22), 13246-13255.
- 503 (14) Karl, M.; Dye, C.; Schmidbauer, N.; Wisthaler, A.; Mikoviny, T.; D'Anna, B.;
- 504 Müller, M.; Borrás, E.; Clemente, E.; Muñoz, A.; Porras, R.; Ródenas, M.; Vázquez,

- 505 M.; Brauers, T., Study of OH-initiated Degradation of 2-aminoethanol. *Atmos. Chem.*506 *Phys.* 2012, *12* (4), 1881-1901.
- 507 (15) Karl, M.; Svendby, T.; Walker, S. E.; Velken, A. S.; Castell, N.; Solberg, S.,
- 508 Modelling atmospheric oxidation of 2-aminoethanol (MEA) emitted from post-
- 509 combustion capture using WRF–Chem. Sci. Total Environ. 2015, 527–528, 185-202.
- 510 (16) Nielsen, C. J.; D'Anna, B.; Dye, C.; Graus, M.; Karl, M.; King, S.; Maguto, M.
- 511 M.; Müller, M.; Schmidbauer, N.; Stenstrøm, Y.; Wisthaler, A.; Pedersen, S., 512 Atmospheric chemistry of 2-aminoethanol (MEA). *Energy Proc.* **2011**, *4*, 2245-2252.
- 513 (17) Nielsen, C. J.; Herrmann, H.; Weller, C., Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 514 Environmental Impact of the Use of Amines in Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS).
- 515 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41* (19), 6684-6704.
- 516 (18) Onel, L.; Blitz, M. A.; Seakins, P. W., Direct Determination of the Rate Coefficient
- 517 for the Reaction of OH Radicals with Monoethanol Amine (MEA) from 296 to 510 K.
- 518 J. Phys. Chem.Lett. 2012, 3 (7), 853-856.
- 519 (19) Borduas, N.; Abbatt, J. P. D.; Murphy, J. G., Gas Phase Oxidation of 520 Monoethanolamine (MEA) with OH Radical and Ozone: Kinetics, Products, and
- 521 Particles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013, 47 (12), 6377-6383.
- 522 (20) da Silva, G., Atmospheric Chemistry of 2-Aminoethanol (MEA): Reaction of the
- 523 NH2•CHCH2OH Radical with O2. J. Phys. Chem. A 2012, 116 (45), 10980-10986.
- 524 (21) Manzoor, S.; Simperler, A.; Korre, A., A Theoretical Study of the Reaction
  525 Kinetics of Amines Released into the Atmosphere from CO<sub>2</sub> Capture. *Int. J.*526 *Greenhouse Gas Control* 2015, *41*, 219-228.
- 527 (22) Onel, L.; Blitz, M. A.; Breen, J.; Rickard, A. R.; Seakins, P. W., Branching Ratios
- 528 for the Reactions of OH with Ethanol Amines Used in Carbon Capture and the Potential
- 529 Impact on Carcinogen Formation in the Emission Plume from a Carbon Capture Plant.
- 530 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17 (38), 25342-25353.
- 531 (23) Zhang, R.; Suh, I.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, D.; Fortner, E. C.; Tie, X.; Molina, L. T.;
- Molina, M. J., Atmospheric New Particle Formation Enhanced by Organic Acids. *Science* 2004, *304* (5676), 1487-1490.
- 534 (24) Winkler, P. M.; Steiner, G.; Vrtala, A.; Vehkamäki, H.; Noppel, M.; Lehtinen, K.
- 535 E. J.; Reischl, G. P.; Wagner, P. E.; Kulmala, M., Heterogeneous Nucleation
- 536 Experiments Bridging the Scale from Molecular Ion Clusters to Nanoparticles. *Science*
- 537 **2008**, *319*, (5868), 1374-1377.
- 538 (25) Almeida, J.; Schobesberger, S.; Kurten, A.; Ortega, I. K.; Kupiainen-Maatta, O.;
- 539 Praplan, A. P.; Adamov, A.; Amorim, A.; Bianchi, F.; Breitenlechner, M.; David, A.;
- 540 Dommen, J.; Donahue, N. M.; Downard, A.; Dunne, E.; Duplissy, J.; Ehrhart, S.;
- 541 Flagan, R. C.; Franchin, A.; Guida, R.; Hakala, J.; Hansel, A.; Heinritzi, M.; Henschel,
- 542 H.; Jokinen, T.; Junninen, H.; Kajos, M.; Kangasluoma, J.; Keskinen, H.; Kupc, A.;
- 543 Kurten, T.; Kvashin, A. N.; Laaksonen, A.; Lehtipalo, K.; Leiminger, M.; Leppa, J.;
- 544 Loukonen, V.; Makhmutov, V.; Mathot, S.; McGrath, M. J.; Nieminen, T.; Olenius, T.;
- 545 Onnela, A.; Petaja, T.; Riccobono, F.; Riipinen, I.; Rissanen, M.; Rondo, L.;
- 546 Ruuskanen, T.; Santos, F. D.; Sarnela, N.; Schallhart, S.; Schnitzhofer, R.; Seinfeld, J.

- 547 H.; Simon, M.; Sipila, M.; Stozhkov, Y.; Stratmann, F.; Tome, A.; Trostl, J.;
- 548 Tsagkogeorgas, G.; Vaattovaara, P.; Viisanen, Y.; Virtanen, A.; Vrtala, A.; Wagner, P.
- 549 E.; Weingartner, E.; Wex, H.; Williamson, C.; Wimmer, D.; Ye, P.; Yli-Juuti, T.;
- 550 Carslaw, K. S.; Kulmala, M.; Curtius, J.; Baltensperger, U.; Worsnop, D. R.;
- Vehkamaki, H.; Kirkby, J., Molecular Understanding of Sulphuric Scid-amine Particle
  Nucleation in the Atmosphere. *Nature* 2013, *502* (7471), 359-363.
- 553 (26) Ehn, M.; Thornton, J. A.; Kleist, E.; Sipila, M.; Junninen, H.; Pullinen, I.; Springer,
- 554 M.; Rubach, F.; Tillmann, R.; Lee, B.; Lopez-Hilfiker, F.; Andres, S.; Acir, I.-H.;
- 555 Rissanen, M.; Jokinen, T.; Schobesberger, S.; Kangasluoma, J.; Kontkanen, J.;
- 556 Nieminen, T.; Kurten, T.; Nielsen, L. B.; Jorgensen, S.; Kjaergaard, H. G.; Canagaratna,
- 557 M.; Maso, M. D.; Berndt, T.; Petaja, T.; Wahner, A.; Kerminen, V.-M.; Kulmala, M.;
- Worsnop, D. R.; Wildt, J.; Mentel, T. F., A Large Source of Low-volatility Secondary
  Organic Aerosol. *Nature* 2014, *506*, (7489), 476-479.
- 560 (27) Wang, Y. H.; Liu, Z. R.; Zhang, J. K.; Hu, B.; Ji, D. S.; Yu, Y. C.; Wang, Y. S.,
- 561 Aerosol Physicochemical Properties and Implications for Visibility During an Intense
- 562 Haze Episode During Winter in Beijing. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2015, 15, (6), 3205-3215.
- 563 (28) Kirkby, J.; Curtius, J.; Almeida, J.; Dunne, E.; Duplissy, J.; Ehrhart, S.; Franchin,
- A.; Gagne, S.; Ickes, L.; Kurten, A.; Kupc, A.; Metzger, A.; Riccobono, F.; Rondo, L.;
- 565 Schobesberger, S.; Tsagkogeorgas, G.; Wimmer, D.; Amorim, A.; Bianchi, F.;
- 566 Breitenlechner, M.; David, A.; Dommen, J.; Downard, A.; Ehn, M.; Flagan, R. C.;
- 567 Haider, S.; Hansel, A.; Hauser, D.; Jud, W.; Junninen, H.; Kreissl, F.; Kvashin, A.;
- 568 Laaksonen, A.; Lehtipalo, K.; Lima, J.; Lovejoy, E. R.; Makhmutov, V.; Mathot, S.;
- 569 Mikkila, J.; Minginette, P.; Mogo, S.; Nieminen, T.; Onnela, A.; Pereira, P.; Petaja, T.;
- 570 Schnitzhofer, R.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Sipila, M.; Stozhkov, Y.; Stratmann, F.; Tome, A.;
- 571 Vanhanen, J.; Viisanen, Y.; Vrtala, A.; Wagner, P. E.; Walther, H.; Weingartner, E.;
- 572 Wex, H.; Winkler, P. M.; Carslaw, K. S.; Worsnop, D. R.; Baltensperger, U.; Kulmala,
- M., Role of Sulphuric Acid, Ammonia and Galactic Cosmic Rays in Atmospheric
  Aerosol Nucleation. *Nature* 2011, 476 (7361), 429-433.
- 575 (29) Kurtén, T.; Loukonen, V.; Vehkamäki, H.; Kulmala, M., Amines Are Likely to
- 576 Enhance Neutral and Ion-induced Sulfuric Acid-water Nucleation in the Atmosphere
- 577 more Effectively than Ammonia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, 8 (14), 4095-4103.
- 578 (30) Loukonen, V.; Kurtén, T.; Ortega, I. K.; Vehkamäki, H.; Pádua, A. A. H.; Sellegri,
- 579 K.; Kulmala, M., Enhancing Effect of Dimethylamine in Sulfuric Acid Nucleation in
- 580 the Presence of Water a Computational Study. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2010, 10 (10),
- 581 4961-4974.
- 582 (31) Murphy, S. M.; Sorooshian, A.; Kroll, J. H.; Ng, N. L.; Chhabra, P.; Tong, C.;
- 583 Surratt, J. D.; Knipping, E.; Flagan, R. C.; Seinfeld, J. H., Secondary Aerosol Formation
- from Atmospheric Reactions of Aliphatic Amines. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *7* (9), 2313-2337.
- 586 (32) Berndt, T.; Stratmann, F.; Sipilä, M.; Vanhanen, J.; Petäjä, T.; Mikkilä, J.; Grüner,
- 587 A.; Spindler, G.; Lee Mauldin Iii, R.; Curtius, J.; Kulmala, M.; Heintzenberg, J.,
- 588 Laboratory Study on New Particle Formation from the Reaction OH + SO<sub>2</sub>: Influence

- 589 of Experimental Conditions, H<sub>2</sub>O Vapour, NH<sub>3</sub> and the Amine Tert-butylamine on the
- 590 Overall Process. Atmos. Chem. Phys. **2010**, *10* (15), 7101-7116.
- 591 (33) Smith, J. N.; Barsanti, K. C.; Friedli, H. R.; Ehn, M.; Kulmala, M.; Collins, D. R.;
- 592 Scheckman, J. H.; Williams, B. J.; McMurry, P. H., Observations of Aminium Salts in
- Atmospheric Nanoparticles and Possible Climatic Implications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*2010, 107 (15), 6634-6639.
- 595 (34) Zhao, J.; Smith, J. N.; Eisele, F. L.; Chen, M.; Kuang, C.; McMurry, P. H.,
- 596 Observation of Neutral Sulfuric Acid-amine Containing Clusters in Laboratory and 597 Ambient Measurements. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11* (21), 10823-10836.
- 598 (35) Erupe, M. E.; Viggiano, A. A.; Lee, S. H., The Effect of Trimethylamine on
  599 Atmospheric Nucleation Involving H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *11* (10), 4767600 4775.
- 601 (36) Lehtipalo, K.; Rondo, L.; Kontkanen, J.; Schobesberger, S.; Jokinen, T.; Sarnela,
- 602 N.; Kürten, A.; Ehrhart, S.; Franchin, A.; Nieminen, T.; Riccobono, F.; Sipilä, M.; Yli-
- Juuti, T.; Duplissy, J.; Adamov, A.; Ahlm, L.; Almeida, J.; Amorim, A.; Bianchi, F.;
- Breitenlechner, M.; Dommen, J.; Downard, A. J.; Dunne, E. M.; Flagan, R. C.; Guida,
- R.; Hakala, J.; Hansel, A.; Jud, W.; Kangasluoma, J.; Kerminen, V.-M.; Keskinen, H.;
- 606 Kim, J.; Kirkby, J.; Kupc, A.; Kupiainen-Määttä, O.; Laaksonen, A.; Lawler, M. J.;
- 607 Leiminger, M.; Mathot, S.; Olenius, T.; Ortega, I. K.; Onnela, A.; Petäjä, T.; Praplan,
- 608 A.; Rissanen, M. P.; Ruuskanen, T.; Santos, F. D.; Schallhart, S.; Schnitzhofer, R.;
- 609 Simon, M.; Smith, J. N.; Tröstl, J.; Tsagkogeorgas, G.; Tomé, A.; Vaattovaara, P.;
- 610 Vehkamäki, H.; Vrtala, A. E.; Wagner, P. E.; Williamson, C.; Wimmer, D.; Winkler,
- 611 P. M.; Virtanen, A.; Donahue, N. M.; Carslaw, K. S.; Baltensperger, U.; Riipinen, I.;
- 612 Curtius, J.; Worsnop, D. R.; Kulmala, M., The Effect of Acid-base Clustering and Ions
- on the Growth of Atmospheric Nano-particles. *Nat. Commun.* **2016,** *7*, 11594.
- 614 (37) Chen, M.; Titcombe, M.; Jiang, J.; Jen, C.; Kuang, C.; Fischer, M. L.; Eisele, F.
- 615 L.; Siepmann, J. I.; Hanson, D. R.; Zhao, J.; McMurry, P. H., Acid-base Chemical
- Reaction Model for Nucleation Rates in the Polluted Atmospheric Boundary Layer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2012, *109* (46), 18713-18718.
- 618 (38) Xu, Z.-Z.; Fan, H.-J., Competition Between H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>N and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O
- 619 Interactions: Theoretical Studies on the Clusters [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>N]·(H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)·(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3–7</sub>. J. Phy.
- 620 *Chem. A* **2015,** *119* (34), 9160-9166.
- 621 (39) Lv, S.-S.; Miao, S.-K.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, M.-M.; Wen, Y.; Wang, C.-Y.; Zhu, Y.-P.;
- 622 Huang, W., Properties and Atmospheric Implication of Methylamine-Sulfuric Acid-
- 623 Water Clusters. J. Phy. Chem. A 2015, 119 (32), 8657-8666.
- 624 (40) Nadykto, A.; Yu, F.; Jakovleva, M.; Herb, J.; Xu, Y., Amines in the Earth's
- 625 Atmosphere: A Density Functional Theory Study of the Thermochemistry of Pre-
- 626 Nucleation Clusters. *Entropy* **2011**, *13* (2), 554-569.
- 627 (41) Nadykto, A.; Herb, J.; Yu, F.; Xu, Y.; Nazarenko, E., Estimating the Lower Limit
- of the Impact of Amines on Nucleation in the Earth's Atmosphere. *Entropy* 2015, *17*(5),
  2764-2780.

- 630 (42) Nadykto, A. B.; Herb, J.; Yu, F.; Xu, Y., Enhancement in the Production of631 Nucleating Clusters due to Dimethylamine and Large Uncertainties in the
- 632 Thermochemistry of Amine-enhanced Nucleation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *609*, 42-49.
- 633 (43) Jen, C. N.; McMurry, P. H.; Hanson, D. R., Stabilization of Sulfuric Acid Dimers
- by Ammonia, Methylamine, Dimethylamine, and Trimethylamine. J. Geophys. Res. *Atmos.* 2014, 119 (12), 7502-7514.
- 636 (44) Jen, C. N.; Bachman, R.; Zhao, J.; McMurry, P. H.; Hanson, D. R., Diamine-
- sulfuric Acid Reactions Are a Potent Source of New Particle Formation. *Geophys. Res. Latt.* 2016, 43 (2), 867–873
- 638 Lett. **2016**, *43* (2), 867-873.
- 639 (45) Elm, J.; Jen, C. N.; Kurtén, T.; Vehkamäki, H., Strong Hydrogen Bonded
  640 Molecular Interactions between Atmospheric Diamines and Sulfuric Acid. J. Phy.
  641 Chem. A 2016, 120 (20), 3693-3700.
- (46) Hall, H. K., Correlation of the Base Strengths of Amines 1. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957,
  79 (20), 5441-5444.
- 644 (47) McGrath, M. J.; Olenius, T.; Ortega, I. K.; Loukonen, V.; Paasonen, P.; Kurtén,
- T.; Kulmala, M.; Vehkamäki, H., Atmospheric Cluster Dynamics Code: a flexible method for solution of the birth-death equations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *12* (5),
- 647 2345-2355.
- (48) Olenius T, K.-M. O. I., Kurtén T, Vehkamäki H., Free Energy Barrier in the
  Growth of Sulfuric Acid–ammonia and Sulfuric Acid–dimethylamine Clusters. J. *Chem. Phys.* 2013, 139 (8), 084312.
- 651 (49) Ortega, I. K.; Kupiainen, O.; Kurtén, T.; Olenius, T.; Wilkman, O.; McGrath, M.
- J.; Loukonen, V.; Vehkamäki, H., From Quantum Chemical Formation Free Energies
  to Evaporation Rates. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2012, *12* (1), 225-235.
- 654 (50) Elm, J.; Fard, M.; Bilde, M.; Mikkelsen, K. V., Interaction of Glycine with
- 655 Common Atmospheric Nucleation Precursors. J. Phy. Chem. A 2013, 117 (48), 12990656 12997.
- 657 (51) Elm, J.; Myllys, N.; Hyttinen, N.; Kurtén, T., Computational Study of the 658 Clustering of a Cyclohexene Autoxidation Product C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>7</sub> with Itself and Sulfuric
- 659 Acid. J. Phy. Chem. A 2015, 119 (30), 8414-8421.
- 660 (52) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; H.B, S.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman,
- 661 J. R., et.al Gaussian 09, 2009
- (53) Elm, J.; Bilde, M.; Mikkelsen, K. V., Assessment of Binding Energies of
  Atmospherically Relevant Clusters. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, *15* (39), 1644216445.
- 665 (54) Elm, J.; Kristensen, K., Basis Set Convergence of the Binding Eenergies of
- 666 Strongly Hydrogen-bonded Atmospheric Clusters. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19* 667 (2), 1122-1133.
- (2), 1122-1133.
- 668 (55) Riplinger, C.; Neese, F., An Efficient and Near Linear Scaling Pair Natural Orbital
- Based Local Coupled Cluster Method. J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 138 (3), 034106.

- 670 (56) Riplinger, C.; Sandhoefer, B.; Hansen, A.; Neese, F., Natural Triple Excitations in
- 671 Local Coupled Cluster Calculations with Pair Natural Orbitals. J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 130 (12) 134101
- 672 *139* (13), 134101.
- (57) Neese, F., The ORCA program system. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, 2 (1), 73-78.
- 675 (58) Myllys, N.; Elm, J.; Halonen, R.; Kurtén, T.; Vehkamäki, H., Coupled Cluster
- 676 Evaluation of the Stability of Atmospheric Acid–Base Clusters with up to 10 677 Molecules. J. Phy. Chem. A **2016**, *120* (4), 621-630.
- (59) Vorobyov, I.; Yappert, M. C.; DuPré, D. B., Hydrogen Bonding in Monomers and
  Dimers of 2-Aminoethanol. J. Phy. Chem. A 2002, 106 (4), 668-679.
- 680 (60) Tinja Olenius, Roope Halonen, Theo Kurtén, Henning Henschel, Oona Kupiainen-
- 681 Määttä, Ismael K. Ortega, Hanna Vehkamäki, and Ilona Riipinen, New Particle
- 682 Formation from Sulfuric Acid and Amines: Comparison of Mono-, Di-, and
- 683 Trimethylamines. *Submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.*
- 684 (61) Henschel, H.; Kurtén, T.; Vehkamäki, H., Computational Study on the Effect of
- Hydration on New Particle Formation in the Sulfuric Acid/Ammonia and Sulfuric
  Acid/Dimethylamine Systems. J. Phy. Chem. A 2016, 120 (11), 1886-1896.
- 687 (62) Kerminen, V. M.; Petäjä, T.; Manninen, H. E.; Paasonen, P.; Nieminen, T.; Sipilä,
- 688 M.; Junninen, H.; Ehn, M.; Gagné, S.; Laakso, L.; Riipinen, I.; Vehkamäki, H.; Kurten,
- 689 T.; Ortega, I. K.; Dal Maso, M.; Brus, D.; Hyvärinen, A.; Lihavainen, H.; Leppä, J.;
- 690 Lehtinen, K. E. J.; Mirme, A.; Mirme, S.; Hõrrak, U.; Berndt, T.; Stratmann, F.; Birmili,
- 691 W.; Wiedensohler, A.; Metzger, A.; Dommen, J.; Baltensperger, U.; Kiendler-Scharr,
- A.; Mentel, T. F.; Wildt, J.; Winkler, P. M.; Wagner, P. E.; Petzold, A.; Minikin, A.;
- 693 Plass-Dülmer, C.; Pöschl, U.; Laaksonen, A.; Kulmala, M., Atmospheric Nucleation:
- Highlights of the EUCAARI Project and Future Directions. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2010,
  10 (22), 10829-10848.
- 696 Chen, H.; Varner, M. E.; Gerber, R. B.; Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Reactions of
- Methanesulfonic Acid with Amines and Ammonia as a Source of New Particles in Air. *J. Phys.Chem. B* 2016, *120*(8), 1526-1536.
- 699 (64) Ling, J.; Ding, X.; Li, Z.; Yang, J., First-Principles Study of Molecular Clusters
- 700 Formed by Nitric Acid and Ammonia. J. Phy. Chem. A 2017, 121 (3), 661-668.
- 701 (65) DePalma, J. W.; Wang, J.; Wexler, A. S.; Johnston, M. V., Growth of Ammonium
- 702 Bisulfate Clusters by Adsorption of Oxygenated Organic Molecules. J. Phy. Chem. A
- 703 **2015**, *119* (45), 11191-11198.
- (66) DePalma, J. W.; Doren, D. J.; Johnston, M. V., Formation and Growth of
   Molecular Clusters Containing Sulfuric Acid, Water, Ammonia, and Dimethylamine.
- 706 J. Phy. Chem. A 2014, 118 (29), 5464-5473.
- 707 (67) Ge, X.; Wexler, A. S.; Clegg, S. L., Atmospheric Amines Part I. A review. Atmos.
- 708 Environ. 2011, 45 (3), 524-546.
- 709 (68) Price, D. J.; Clark, C. H.; Tang, X.; Cocker, D. R.; Purvis-Roberts, K. L.; Silva, P.
- 710 J., Proposed Chemical Mechanisms leading to Secondary Organic Aerosol in the

- Reactions of Aliphatic Amines with Hydroxyl and Nitrate Radicals. *Atmos. Environ.* **2014**, *96*, 135-144.
- 713 (69) Angelino, S.; Suess, D. T.; Prather, K. A., Formation of Aerosol Particles from
- 714 Reactions of Secondary and Tertiary Alkylamines: Characterization by Aerosol Time-
- 715 of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001,** *35* (15), 3130-3138.
- 716 (70) Zheng, J.; Hu, M.; Zhang, R.; Yue, D.; Wang, Z.; Guo, S.; Li, X.; Bohn, B.; Shao,
- 717 M.; He, L.; Huang, X.; Wiedensohler, A.; Zhu, T., Measurements of Gaseous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>
- 718 by AP-ID-CIMS During CAREBeijing 2008 Campaign. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2011, 11
- 719 (15), 7755-7765.
- 720 (71) Berresheim, H.; Elste, T.; Tremmel, H. G.; Allen, A. G.; Hansson, H. C.; Rosman,
- 721 K.; Dal Maso, M.; Mäkelä, J. M.; Kulmala, M.; O'Dowd, C. D., Gas-aerosol
- relationships of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MSA, and OH: Observations in the Coastal Marine Boundary
- 723 Layer at Mace Head, Ireland. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2002, 107 (D19), PAR 5-1-PAR
- 724 5-12.
- 725 (72) Jokinen, T.; Sipilä, M.; Junninen, H.; Ehn, M.; Lönn, G.; Hakala, J.; Petäjä, T.;
- 726 Mauldin Iii, R. L.; Kulmala, M.; Worsnop, D. R., Atmospheric Sulphuric Acid and
- 727 Neutral Cluster Mmeasurements Using CI-APi-TOF. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2012, 12, (9),
- 728 4117**-**4125.