Research article

Atomic structures and functional implications of the archaeal RecQ-like helicase Hjm

Takuji Oyama^{1,6}, Hayato Oka^{2,7}, Kouta Mayanagi^{3,6}, Tsuyoshi Shirai^{4,6}, Kyoko Matoba⁵, Ryosuke Fujikane^{2,8}, Yoshizumi Ishino^{2,6} and Kosuke Morikawa^{*1}

Address: ¹The Takara Bio Endowed Division, Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, Open Laboratories of Advanced Bioscience and Biotechnology (OLABB), 6-2-3 Furuedai, Suita, Osaka 565-0874, Japan, ²Department of Genetic Resources Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan, ³Division of Structural Biology, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Kyushu University, Maidashi 3-1-1, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan, ⁴Department of Bioscience, Nagahama Institute of Bioscience and Technology, 1266 Tamura, Nagahama 526-0829, Japan, ⁵Laboratory of Protein Synthesis and Expression, Institute for Protein Research, 3-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0874, Japan, ⁶BIRD, JST, Japan, ⁷Research & Develop center, Terumo Corporation, 1500, Inokuchi, Nakai-machi, Ashigarakami-gun, Kanagawa, 259-0151, Japan and ⁸Univ. Paris-Sud, Institut de Génétique et Microbiologie, CNRS, UMR 8621, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France

Email: Takuji Oyama - chicago@protein.osaka-u.ac.jp; Hayato Oka - Hayato_Oka@terumo.co.jp; Kouta Mayanagi - maya@bioreg.kyushuu.ac.jp; Tsuyoshi Shirai - t_shirai@nagahama-i-bio.ac.jp; Kyoko Matoba - kyokom@protein.osaka-u.ac.jp; Ryosuke Fujikane - ryosuke.fujikane@igmors.u-psud.fr; Yoshizumi Ishino - ishino@agr.kyushu-u.ac.jp; Kosuke Morikawa* - morikako@protein.osaka-u.ac.jp

* Corresponding author

Published: 22 January 2009

BMC Structural Biology 2009, 9:2 doi:10.1186/1472-6807-9-2

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6807/9/2

© 2009 Oyama et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 6 September 2008 Accepted: 22 January 2009

Abstract

Background: *Pyrococcus furiosus* Hjm (*Pfu*Hjm) is a structure-specific DNA helicase that was originally identified by *in vitro* screening for Holliday junction migration activity. It belongs to helicase superfamily 2, and shares homology with the human DNA polymerase Θ (Pol Θ), HEL308, and *Drosophila* Mus308 proteins, which are involved in DNA repair. Previous biochemical and genetic analyses revealed that *Pfu*Hjm preferentially binds to fork-related Y-structured DNAs and unwinds their double-stranded regions, suggesting that this helicase is a functional counterpart of the bacterial RecQ helicase, which is essential for genome maintenance. Elucidation of the DNA unwinding and translocation mechanisms by *Pfu*Hjm will require its three-dimensional structure at atomic resolution.

Results: We determined the crystal structures of *Pfu*Hjm, in two apo-states and two nucleotide bound forms, at resolutions of 2.0–2.7 Å. The overall structures and the local conformations around the nucleotide binding sites are almost the same, including the side-chain conformations, irrespective of the nucleotide-binding states. The architecture of Hjm was similar to that of *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* Hel308 complexed with DNA. An Hjm-DNA complex model, constructed by fitting the five domains of Hjm onto the corresponding Hel308 domains, indicated that the interaction of Hjm with DNA is similar to that of Hel308. Notably, sulphate ions bound to Hjm lie on the putative DNA binding surfaces. Electron microscopic analysis of an Hjm-DNA complex revealed substantial flexibility of the double stranded region of DNA, presumably due to particularly weak protein-DNA interactions. Our present structures allowed reasonable homology

Open Access

model building of the helicase region of human $Pol\Theta$, indicating the strong conformational conservation between archaea and eukarya.

Conclusion: The detailed comparison between our DNA-free *Pfu*Hjm structure and the structure of Hel308 complexed with DNA suggests similar DNA unwinding and translocation mechanisms, which could be generalized to all of the members in the same family. Structural comparison also implied a minor rearrangement of the five domains during DNA unwinding reaction. The unexpected small contact between the DNA duplex region and the enzyme appears to be advantageous for processive helicase activity.

Background

DNA helicases are enzymes that translocate along DNA and unwind double-stranded regions in an ATP-dependent manner [1,2]. They play crucial and universal roles in DNA metabolism, such as DNA replication and recombinational repair. As a consequence of their physiologically important functions, many reports have been published regarding protein characterization and catalytic mechanisms, including the relationships between enzymatic dysfunctions and several human genetic diseases [3,4]. Our on-going structural analysis of the late stage of homologous recombination, such as the RuvABC-Holliday junction (HJ) complex [5], tempted us to investigate the molecular machinery involved in Holliday junction processing in eukaryotes. We also noticed that the archaeal proteins involved in DNA metabolism generally have amino acid sequences and three-dimensional (3D) structures that are highly similar to their eukaryotic homolog. The proteins from the hyperthermophilic archaea, including Pyrococcus furiosus, are more advantageous for structural studies than their eukaryotic counterparts, because of their remarkable thermal stability. In fact, we were the first group to successfully identify the Holliday junction resolvase from archaea, which we designated as Hjc [6], and we also determined its crystal structure by X-ray analysis [7]. A subsequent screening study for a new protein factor that stimulates the HJ resolving activity by Hjc led to the identification of a new protein factor, termed Hef [8]. Biochemical and sequence analyses revealed that this protein should be classified as an XPF/ Rad1/Mus81 nuclease, which bears endonuclease activity specific for flap or fork structures. Interestingly, the fulllength Hef molecule contains a Super family 2 (SF2) helicase at the amino terminus. We determined the crystal structures of each region that individually folds into a distinct, rigid architecture, such as the helicase region, the nuclease domain, and the C-terminal domain containing the two repeated HhH motifs [9-11]. The combined approach of structural and functional analyses of the nuclease regions also revealed the bipartite substrate recognition mode, which is quite likely to be conserved in the XPF/Rad1/Mus81 nuclease family. Intriguingly, the human Hef ortholog was found to be an important component of the FANC core complex, which plays a crucial role in the Fanconi Anemia-related DNA repair process responding to cross-link damage [12-14].

In parallel with these studies, we initiated experiments to identify the branch migration activity of the Holliday junction in archaea. In P. furiosus, we successfully indentified a novel DNA helicase, which we designated as Hjm (pf0677), according to its functional activity, Holliday junction migration [15]. Its primary structure of 720 amino acids indicated that the Him helicase belongs to SF2, and was intriguingly found to share significant similarity to the helicase-like regions of the human DNA polymerase Θ (Pol Θ), HEL308, and Drosophila Mus308 proteins, which are all involved in DNA repair. Him appears to be unique to archaea, because of the lack of sequence similarity to proteins from bacteria and yeast. However, it was recently found that this structure-specific helicase preferentially binds to fork-related Y-structured DNAs and unwinds their double-stranded regions. Additionally, Hjm partially complements the RecQ function in E. coli dnaE486recQ mutant cells in vivo [16]. Similar results were also reported for another archaeal homologous helicase from Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus [17]. These results suggest that Hjm may be a functional counterpart of the RecQ helicases in archaea. The functional interaction of Hjm with PCNA also revealed that this helicase could participate in a reconstituted replisome to restart a stalled replication fork [16]. Most recently, the crystal structure of the archaeal homolog of Hel308, from Archaeoglobus fulgidus, was determined in both the DNA-free and DNA-complexed states [18]. Another structure of Hel308 from Sulfolobus solfataricus was also reported, and a unique role for the small C-terminal domain to regulate its unwinding activity was proposed in combination with biochemical studies [19]. Despite these intriguing findings, many aspects of the Hjm helicase, such as its actual substrates in vivo and its ATP-dependent unwinding mechanism of DNA duplexes, still remain elusive.

In order to obtain more detailed and clearer insights into the 3D structure and the helicase action at the atomic level, we determined the crystal structure of PfuHjm, in two apo-states at 2.0 and 2.4 Å resolution, in the ADP- bound form at 2.4 Å, and in the ATP-analog bound form at 2.7 Å. In combination with single particle electron microscopy of the enzyme complexed with a putative synthetic DNA substrate, the atomic structure revealed clearer views of the functional and structural aspects of each domain, such as DNA substrate recognition and nucleotide binding, in comparison with the structural data of the previously reported Hel308 helicases.

Results and discussion

Overview of the structure

We obtained the two different *Pfu*Hjm crystals (Forms 1 and 2) in the nucleotide free-state, and determined their structures at 2.4 Å (Form 1) and 2.0 Å (Form 2) resolu-

Table I: Data collection, phasing, and refinement

tions, respectively (Table 1). In the Form 1 crystal, the Cterminal 60 residues, about two thirds of the C-terminal domain, are missing in the final model, presumably because of structural disorder. On the other hand, in the Form 2, we could build the model of almost the entire molecule except for the C-terminal twenty residues. Although we could not obtain cocrystals with nucleotides, we soaked ATP analogs into the crystals, and successfully determined the nucleotide complex structures. The structures of the two apo-forms are quite similar, with a rootmean square deviation (rmsd) of 1.05 Å for the corresponding 651 C_{α} atoms. Nucleotide binding to the protein also causes no large structural change; The overall rmsd value between the apo- and ATPγS-soakd states is

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Data Collection Summary								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Form I Native Apo	ATPγS soaked form	Derivative Ta ₆ Br ₁₄	SeMet	K_2 PtCl ₄	Form 2 Apo	AMPPCP soaked form	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Wavelength (Å)	1.0000	1.0000	1.2553	0.9792	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Resolution (Å)	50.0-2.40	50.0-2.40	50.0-3.00	50.0-2.50	50.0-2.60	50.0-2.00	50.0-2.7	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Highest shell)	(2.49-2.40)	(2.49-2.40)	(3.11-3.00)	(2.59-2.50)	(2.69-2.60)	(2.07-2.00)	(2.80-2.70)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Measured	123829	67944	58990	101649	65378	195368	84923 (8506)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	reflections								
$\begin{array}{c} { \mbox{Completeness} & 99.8 (99.7) & 96.2 (98.3) & 99.9 (99.9) & 97.1 (100.0) & 77.9 (98.2) & 97.6 (91.1) & 98.8 (100.0) \\ { \mbox{I'c(1)} & 15.1 (7.7) & 14.9 (5.3) & 21.9 (6.4) & 12.8 (5.8) & 14.1 (5.3) & 9.4 (1.8) & 16.5 (6.9) \\ { \mbox{Redundarcy} & 3.9 (3.9) & 2.3 (2.2) & 3.7 (3.7) & 3.8 (3.8) & 7.4 (45.4) & 7.0 (45.0) & 12.0 (40.2) \\ \hline \\ $	Unique reflections	31237 (3096)	29610 (3006)	16100 (1600)	27042 (2770)	24345 (2421)	51591 (4787)	22378 (2240)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Completeness	99.8 (99.7)	96.2 (98.3)	99.9 (99.9)	97.1 (100.0)	97.9 (98.2)	97.6 (91.1)	98.8 (100.0)	
Redundancy R _{merge} 3.9 (3.9) 2.3 (2.2) 3.7 (3.7) 3.8 (3.8) 2.7 (2.6) 3.8 (3.2) 3.8 (3.8) R _{merge} 7.1 (39.1) 7.0 (44.5) 5.3 (14.1) 7.8 (43.6) 7.4 (45.4) 7.0 (45.0) 12.0 (40.2) MIRAS Phasing Statistics II.1 I8.6 20.6 7.4 (45.4) 7.0 (45.0) 12.0 (40.2) MiRAS Phasing Statistics II.1 I8.6 20.6 5 5 5 7 5 7 7.0 (41.5) 3.8 (3.8) 2.7 (2.6) 3.8 (3.2) 3.8 (3.8) 1.20 (40.2) MIRAS Phasing Statistics II.1 I8.6 20.6 5 5 5 5 7 5 7 7 7 7.0 (45.0) 12.0 (40.2) Mumber of Sites B I 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>l/σ(l)</td> <td>15.1 (7.7)</td> <td>14.9 (5.3)</td> <td>21.9 (6.4)</td> <td>12.8 (5.8)</td> <td>14.1 (5.3)</td> <td>9.4 (1.8)</td> <td>16.5 (6.9)</td>	l/σ(l)	15.1 (7.7)	14.9 (5.3)	21.9 (6.4)	12.8 (5.8)	14.1 (5.3)	9.4 (1.8)	16.5 (6.9)	
Rmerge 7.1 (39.1) 7.0 (44.5) 5.3 (14.1) 7.8 (43.6) 7.4 (45.4) 7.0 (45.0) 12.0 (40.2) MIRAS Phasing Statistics II.1 18.6 20.6 7.1 (39.1) 7.0 (44.5) 5.3 (14.1) 7.8 (43.6) 7.4 (45.4) 7.0 (45.0) 12.0 (40.2) MIRAS Phasing Statistics II.1 18.6 20.6 8 1 5 Resolution (Å) 50.0-3.9 50.0-3.9 50.0-3.9 50.0-3.9 50.0-3.9 Phasing Power (Centric/Acentric) 0.74/0.64 So.0-2.40 So.0-2.00 50.0-2.00 50.0-2.70 Resolution (Å) 50.0-2.40 5.0-2.40 22.3/25.8 23.1/29.4 Number of atoms Protein 5202 5374 300 65 Uigand 25 47 31 300 65 Uigand 25 47 31 32.1 32.1 Protein 5202 5374 56.03 5599 Water 90 113 30.0 65 32.1 32.1 <td>Redundancy</td> <td>3.9 (3.9)</td> <td>2.3 (2.2)</td> <td>3.7 (3.7)</td> <td>3.8 (3.8)</td> <td>2.7 (2.6)</td> <td>3.8 (3.2)</td> <td>3.8 (3.8)</td>	Redundancy	3.9 (3.9)	2.3 (2.2)	3.7 (3.7)	3.8 (3.8)	2.7 (2.6)	3.8 (3.2)	3.8 (3.8)	
MIRAS Phasing Statistics Riso(F) (%) Number of Sites 11.1 18.6 20.6 Number of Sites 8 1 5 Resolution (Å) 50.0-3.9 50.0-3.9 50.0-3.9 Phasing Power 0.814/0.723 1.799/1.894 1.036/0.859 (Centric/Acentric) Figure of merit 0.74/0.64 50.0-2.00 50.0-2.70 Refinement Resolution (Å) 50.0-2.40 5.0-2.40 22.8/25.8 23.1/29.4 Number of atoms Protein 5202 5374 20.300 65 Protein 5202 5374 300 65 Uigand 25 47 31 300 65 Vater 90 113 300 65 31 Average B-factor (Å) 27.8 37.5 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1 32.1	R _{merge}	7.1 (39.1)	7.0 (44.5)	5.3 (14.1)	7.8 (43.6)	7.4 (45.4)	7.0 (45.0)	12.0 (40.2)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MIRAS Phasing Sta	tistics							
Number of Sites815Resolution (Å) $50.0-3.9$ $50.0-3.9$ $50.0-3.9$ $50.0-3.9$ Phasing Power $0.814/0.723$ $1.799/1.894$ $1.036/0.859$ (Centric/Acentric)Figure of merit (Centric/Acen.) $0.74/0.64$ $50.0-2.40$ Refinement Resolution (Å) $50.0-2.40$ $50.0-2.00$ Number of atoms $22.8/29.9$ $21.6/29.0$ $22.3/25.8$ Protein 5202 5374 5603 Protein 5202 5374 300 Average B-factor (Å2) $(Å2)$ 27.8 Protein 41.3 38.9 27.8 Protein 41.3 38.9 $-$ Ntarer 42.2 40.2 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 $-$ Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3	$R_{iso}(F)$ (%)			11.1	18.6	20.6			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number of Sites			8	I	5			
Phasing Power (Centric/Acentric) 0.814/0.723 1.799/1.894 1.036/0.859 Figure of merit (Centric/Acen.) 0.74/0.64 0.74/0.64 50.0-2.00 50.0-2.70 Refinement Resolution (Å) 50.0-2.40 5.0-2.40 50.0-2.00 50.0-2.70 Rwork/Rfree ^a (%) 22.8/29.9 21.6/29.0 22.3/25.8 23.1/29.4 Number of atoms 7 7 300 65 Protein 5202 5374 300 65 Ligand 25 47 - 31 Average B-factor (Å ²) 7.78 37.5 32.1 32.1 Ugand 60.9 58 - 71.2 71.2 r.m.s.d. Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Bond Lengths(Å) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Resolution (Å)			50.0-3.9	50.0-3.9	50.0-3.9			
$\begin{array}{c c} \hline Figure of merit (Centric/Acen.) \\ \hline \\ $	Phasing Power (Centric/Acentric)			0.814/0.723	1.799/1.894	1.036/0.859			
Refinement Resolution (Å)50.0-2.4050.0-2.0050.0-2.70Rwork/Rfree a (%)22.8/29.921.6/29.022.3/25.823.1/29.4Number of atomsVProtein5202537456035599Water9011330065Ligand2547-31Average B-factor(Å ²)77.837.5Water42.240.232.132.1Ligand60.958-71.2r.m.s.d.Bond Lengths(Å)0.0080.0090.0070.008Angles(°)1.31.31.31.31.3	Figure of merit (Centric/Acen.)	0.74/0.64							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Refinement								
Rwork/Rfree ^a (%) 22.8/29.9 21.6/29.0 22.3/25.8 23.1/29.4 Number of atoms Protein 5202 5374 5603 5599 Water 90 113 300 65 Ligand 25 47 - 31 Average B-factor (Å ²) - 31 - 31 Protein 41.3 38.9 27.8 37.5 Water 42.2 40.2 32.1 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Resolution (Å)	50.0-2.40	5.0-2.40				50.0-2.00	50.0-2.70	
Protein 5202 5374 5603 5599 Water 90 113 300 65 Ligand 25 47 - 31 Average B-factor (Å ²) - 31 - 31 Protein 41.3 38.9 27.8 37.5 Water 42.2 40.2 32.1 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. - - 71.2 - Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	R _{work} /R _{free} ^a (%) Number of atoms	22.8/29.9	21.6/29.0				22.3/25.8	23.1/29.4	
Water 90 113 300 65 Ligand 25 47 - 31 Average B-factor (Å ²) - 31 - 31 Protein 41.3 38.9 27.8 37.5 Water 42.2 40.2 32.1 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. - 71.2 32.1 Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Protein	5202	5374				5603	5599	
Ligand 25 47 - 31 Average B-factor (Å ²) Protein 41.3 38.9 27.8 37.5 Water 42.2 40.2 32.1 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3	Water	90	113				300	65	
Average B-factor (Ų) Protein 41.3 Yater 42.2 40.2 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 1.3 1.3 1.3	Ligand	25	47				-	31	
Protein 41.3 38.9 27.8 37.5 Water 42.2 40.2 32.1 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. 0.007 0.008 Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 1.3 1.3	Average B-factor (Å ²)								
Water 42.2 40.2 32.1 32.1 Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. - 71.2 - 71.2 Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Protein	41.3	38.9				27.8	37.5	
Ligand 60.9 58 - 71.2 r.m.s.d. - 8000 (0.007) 0.008 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Water	42.2	40.2				32.1	32.1	
r.m.s.d. Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3	Ligand	60.9	58				-	71.2	
Bond Lengths(Å) 0.008 0.009 0.007 0.008 Angles(°) 1.3<	r.m.s.d.								
Angles(°) 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Bond Lengths(Å)	0.008	0.009				0.007	0.008	
	Angles(°)	1.3	1.3				1.3	1.3	
PDB code 2ZI2 2ZI5 2ZI8 2ZIA	PDB code	2ZI2	2ZI5				2Z18	2ZIA	

^aR_{free} was calculated using 5% of the total reflections, which were chosen randomly and omitted from the refinement.

0.30 Å in Form 1, and similarly that between the apo- and AMPPCP-bound structures is 0.40 Å in Form 2.

*Pfu*Hjm folds into five domains (domains 1 to 5) with dimensions of approximately $70 \times 50 \times 30$ Å. The protein possesses a concave surface on the front-view side and a hole (about 10 Å diameter) at the center of the molecule (Figure 1a). The two N-terminal domains 1 (residues 1–197) and 2 (residues 198–399) form typical helicase domains with a cleft between them, as commonly observed in the helicase superfamily. The seven conserved helicase sequence motifs [20,21] line the cleft walls in an arrangement similar to that observed in other helicase structures [22]. Domain 1 contains the Walker A and B motifs that are widely conserved in nucleotide triphosphate hydrolases. The Form 1 ATPγS-soaked crystal exhibited electron density corresponding to the hydrolyzed product ADP, rather than the soaked ATPγS, in the nucle-

otide-binding pocket (Figure 1b). On the other hand, clear electron density for the bound triphosphate was observed in the Form 2 AMPPCP-soaked crystal (Figure 1c). Regardless of the crystal form and the nucleotide binding, the structures of the Walker-A motif and the surrounding region are very similar to each other.

The ATP-analog AMPPCP is bound to the binding pocket of domain 1, and it participates in several key interactions with the protein: The adenine moiety is surrounded mainly by four hydrophobic residues, Ile21, Phe24, Tyr25, and Leu54, and the two nitrogen atoms hydrogen bond with Gln62, in a bidentate manner. The triphosphate is wrapped up by the Walker A motif (Thr48 to Thr53), which contains the invariant lysine residue (Lys52). The γ -phosphate faces the two acidic residues in the Walker B motif (Asp145 and Glu146). Interestingly, in the Hjm structures, the conformations around the nucle-



Figure I

Structure of Pyrococcus furiosus Hjm. (A) The Form 2 apo structure is shown as a ribbon representation with a color spectrum from blue (N-terminus) to red (C-terminus). (B) and (C) Omit $|F_o-F_c|$ maps (2.5 σ) for ADP in Form 1 (B) and AMP-PCP in Form 2 (C) are shown with the final models. (D) Comparison of the nucleotide binding sites between Hjm and Hel308. Hjm is represented in blue, and the key residues interacting with the ATP analog are highlighted. Segments of *A. fulgidus* Hel308 (pink) should undergo structural changes to bind the nucleotide, while *S. solfataricus* Hel308 (green) could bind the nucleotide with slight rearrangements in the pocket. *A. fulgidus* Hel308 residues, which should sterically clash with the nucleotide, are indicated by magenta arrows.

otide binding sites are almost the same, including the side-chain conformations, independently of the nucleotide-binding states. Figure 1d shows a close-up view around the nucleotide binding sites of the three family members. A comparison of the nucleotide binding pocket of Hjm with those in the two Hel308 helicases revealed that the pocket of A. fulgidus Hel308 is partly disrupted: In the superimposed structure, the three amino acids of the A. fulgidus Hel308 sterically clash with the ATP-analog molecule bound to Hjm (Ile26 with the adenine moiety, and Ala50 and Ala51 with the β -phosphate), indicating that the A. fulgidus Hel308 segments should undergo a structural change upon nucleotide binding. On the other hand, the S. solfataricus enzyme exhibits a highly similar structure around the nucleotide binding site, and therefore seems to be ready to bind the nucleotide.

The C-terminal region is divided into three domains (domains 3–5). Domain 3 (residues 400–492) has a structural segment similar to the winged-helix (WH) motif. This motif is often used for the recognition and binding of double-stranded DNA (ds DNA) [23]. In the case of Hjm, however, it is unclear whether this segment is important for DNA binding, because the electrostatic potential surface has few notably positive areas in this region. Consistently, in the structure of the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA complex, the corresponding segment was not involved in DNA binding. Domain 4 (residues 492–642) folds into a seven α -helix bundle structure. This fold seems to be unique within this helicase family, as thus far.

The C-terminal domain 5 (residues 643–720) is the smallest and contains the HhH motif. The HhH motif is present in many DNA metabolizing proteins that recognize ssDNA [24]. Indeed, the corresponding element in the *A. fulgidus* Hel308 helicase interacts with DNA [18]. In the case of the *S. solfataricus* and *M. thermautotrophicus* Hel308, this domain exhibited a regulatory function to tune the processivity of its helicase activity as a molecular brake [19,25]. *Pfu*Hjm possesses a PCNA-interacting protein (PIP) box at the C-terminus, which is required for the physical interaction with PCNA, and the unwinding activity of *Pfu*Hjm for the fork-structured DNA is enhanced by PCNA *in vitro* [16]. However, the C-terminal segment was invisible in both the Form 1 and Form 2 crystals, suggesting that this segment is highly mobile.

The Interaction of PfuHjm with DNA is similar to that of the archaeal Hel308 helicase

Based on the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA crystal structure, a DNA unwinding mechanism has been proposed for this helicase [18]. In this mechanism, the central helix of domain 4 acts as the "ratchet" formed by two key amino acid residues (Arg592 and Trp599 of *A. fulgidus* Hel308). These residues form stacking interactions on base moie-

ties of the DNA, thus pushing out 3' tails of unwound DNAs from the tunnel, formed by the domains 1, 3, and 4, toward an exit near domain 5. An interesting feature is that the ratchet helix is located near the conserved helicase motifs, Ia and Ib of domain 1, and IV of domain 2, which are associated with ATPase activity.

*Pfu*Hjm shares 30% and 37% amino acid identity with the *A. fulgidus* and *S. solfataricus* Hel308 helicases, respectively (see Additional file 1: Multiple sequence alignment), and the overall folding of *Pfu*Hjm is very similar to those of those proteins throughout the molecule. *Pfu*Hjm was fitted to the protein of the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA complex, with an rmsd of 2.06 Å, for the corresponding 561 C_a atoms, while rmsd values for individual fitting of each domain is 1.04, 0.99, 0.90, 1.13, and 1.22 Å, for domains 1 to 5, respectively. This indicates that the spatial arrangements of the five domains significantly differ between *Pfu*Hjm and the DNA-bound *A. fulgidus* Hel308, and hence each domain of *Pfu*Hjm was separately fitted to the corresponding domain of *A. fulgidus* Hel308.

First, we superimposed PfuHjm on A. fulgidus Hel308 only using domain 2, which recognizes the branch points of the substrate DNA, and then the other four domains were further moved separately to the best fitted positions. The shifts of the second fitting could correspond to the movements of each domain upon DNA binding. The second shifts, defined as the center of mass, were 0.91, 0.77, 1.01, and 1.03 Å for domains 1, 3, 4, and 5, respectively (Figure 2a). Therefore, as suggested previously for A. fulgidus Hel308 [18], the domain rearrangement of PfuHjm should be small upon branched DNA processing. According to the scheme of the helicase-DNA recognition revealed from the A. fulgidus Hel308-DNA complex crystal structure, we visually inspected which amino acids interact with DNA in the fitted PfuHjm-DNA binding model, and found out that such amino acids and their locations are substantially conserved (see Additional file 1: Multiple sequence alignment).

Furthermore, the prominent β -hairpin loop in domain 2, which melts the duplex DNA in *A. fulgidus* Hel308, is shorter by one residue in *Pfu*Hjm. However, the residues that contact the DNA in the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA complex are substantially conserved in the sequence, and thus the protein-DNA interactions at this β -hairpin loop could be quite similar between the two enzymes. In the *Pfu*Hjm crystal structures, several segments exhibit high temperature factors, and the side chain atoms could not be assigned in the electron density maps. Among these, segments 332–335 and 347–351 are located on the possible DNA interacting surfaces. We presume that their conformational flexibility would be important for the continu-



Figure 2

Structural comparison of PfuHjm with the A. fulgidus Hel308-DNA complex. (A) Hjm (colored as in Figure 1) was fitted to Hel308 (protein and DNA are colored grey and blue, respectively), by superimposing each domain individually. First, Domain 2 of Hjm was fitted, and then the other domains were separately moved to the best-fit positions. Shift values on the second fitting are indicated. (B) Sulfate ion binding sites in the Hjm Form 1 crystals. The protein is represented as a surface colored by electrostatic potential, calculated by the program GRASP [46]. The DNA structure is that in the Hel308-DNA complex. The boxed region is shown by a transparent surface to show the sulfate ions located inside of the protein.

ous DNA translocating and unwinding reaction, which is coupled with ATP binding/hydrolysis.

The Form1 crystals were obtained using ammonium sulfate as a precipitant, and it was found that five sulfate ions were bound to the protein. Notably, all of the sulfate ions lie on possible DNA binding surfaces (Figure 2b). Similarly, it was reported that phosphate ions are bound in the *A. fulgidus* and *S. solfataricus* Hel308 structures [18,19]. Collectively, these results indicate that the sulfate/phosphate ions mimic DNA backbone phosphates. For instance, a sulfate ion is strongly bound to Arg306 and Arg309 in domain 2 of *Pfu*Hjm. Two point mutations (R306A or R309A) in *Pfu*Hjm significantly decreased the DNA binding ability (Fujikane and Ishino, unpublished data).

Taken together, the *Pfu*Hjm structures strongly suggest that this helicase recognize branched DNAs in a similar manner to that in the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA complex. Therefore, it is also likely that the DNA unwinding mechanism is conserved between them.

Electron microscopy of PfuHjm complexed with DNA

We were not successful in obtaining PfuHjm DNA complex crystals. Therefore, we used single particle electron microscopy to analyze the structure of a PfuHjm in complex with a 3' overhang DNA, and indeed, a 3D image was obtained at 23Å resolution (Figure 3). The complex has a main body with a protruded portion. The main body cor-

responds to PfuHjm, as the atomic structure of PfuHjm fits well into the electron density isosurface. Consequently, the protruded portion should correspond to the ds DNA lving outside of the protein molecule. It should be noted that the orientation of the ds DNA is different between the PfuHjm-DNA EM structure and the A. fulgidus Hel308-DNA crystal structure. The ds DNA in our complex is tilted by about 70 degrees, as compared to that in the A. fulgidus enzyme complex. The sequence and the secondary structure of DNA used in our study is slightly different from that of the Hel308 complex. However, it is unlikely that this caused the difference in DNA orientations. In fact, the double-stranded region of the DNA substrate, in both of the protein-DNA complexes, weakly interacts with the helicases through minor contacts. For instance, our previous electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA) indicated that the apparent dissociation constant of PfuHjm against ds DNA was about 5 times higher than those against single-stranded or Y-shaped DNA [16]. Thus, the ds DNA may have happened to be fixed at the distinct positions, because crystallographic and EM analyses target different states of protein or protein-DNA complexes.

Comparison with other helicases

Apart from the Hel308 helicases, Hjm is closest to a bacterial RecQ helicase (10ywA) [26] in its N-terminal region (domains 1 and 2). On the other hand, the C-terminal halves of Hjm and the archaeal Hel308s adopt unique folds. However, we could detect local fold similarity of domain 3 to transcriptional factors (Arg repressor, 1aoy



Figure 3

Electron microscopy of the *PfuHjm-DNA complex.* (A) Representative electron microscopic images of the complex. (B) The sequence and structure of the 3' overhang DNA. (C) Class averages of the Hjm-DNA complex, obtained from 3599 particles. The box size is 204 Å. (D) Single particle 3D reconstruction of the complex. Surface representations of the complex are shown from two orthogonal orientations. The atomic structure of the Hjm protein, shown as a cyan ribbon, is fitted into the density. The double-stranded DNA molecule, colored magenta, is fitted to the protruded region. (E) Comparison with the crystal structure of the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA complex. The *A. fulgidus* binary complex, shown as a green and yellow ribbon, was fitted to the map using the Hel308 protein, and the location of the protruded double-stranded DNA is shown for comparison.

[27], and transcription initiation factor IIF, 10nvA [28]). Likewise domain 4 shares local similarity to the signal recognition particle protein (1hq1A) [29], while the C-terminal domain 5 shares similarity to DNA excision repair protein (2a1jB) [30] and HJ DNA binding protein (1d8lA) [31].

The Hjm structure appears to be composed of a unique combination of the domains used for DNA/RNA-binding or processing. The overall structural comparison among the SF2 helicases is shown in Figure 4b. When these structures are aligned using the well-conserved helicase domains, the configurations of the other domains are

quite variable. This indicates that these enzymes share the two helicase domains that are fundamental for the helicase activity, while the structural and spatial arrangements of the other domains are designed to correspond to their individual DNA unwinding mechanisms and substrate specificities.

Homology modeling of the human Pol helicase domain indicates structural and functional similarity to PfuHjm

The DNA metabolizing proteins from archaea are both structurally and functionally similar to those from eukaryote, and therefore, the structures of archaeal proteins are useful to understand the complicated DNA transaction mechanisms in eukaryotes. In this study, we showed that the 3D structure of *PfuHjm* is similar to those of the A. fulgidus and S. solfataricus Hel308 helicases, implying that these structural features could be extended to this helicase family, which includes the human PolO and Hel308 and Drosophila Mus308 proteins. Human Pol@ is A-family DNA polymerase and works in translesion DNA synthesis [32,33]. This protein is unique because it has both helicase and DNA polymerase domains on a single polypeptide chain. A homology model of the helicase domain of human Pol Θ , which was built using the program MOE (Ryoka Systems Inc.), is highly similar to the *Pfu*Hjm and Hel308 helicases (Fig. 4c; also see Additional file 2: Homology model of the human DNA polymerase^O helicase domain). The model seems to be reasonable in that, as in the case of *PfuHim*, the putative DNA-interacting segments are both sequentially and spatially conserved in the human Pol Θ helicase domain. In this domain, Pol Θ contains seventeen cysteine residues that are not present in PfuHjm. The homology model indicates that twelve cysteine residues are exposed to the solvent, and that two of them form a disulfide linkage in a region corresponding to domain 2 of PfuHjm. Furthermore, several cysteine residues are conserved in PolO helicase domains in eukaryotes other than human (see Additional file 1: Multiple sequence alignment). It is tempting to speculate that these cysteines are used for sensing oxidative stress, because a genetic analysis showed that vertebrate PolΘ gene-deficient cells exhibited hypersensitivity to oxidative base damage induced by H_2O_2 [34].

Conclusion

We determined the high-resolution crystal structures of the archaeal SF-2 helicase, *Pfu*Hjm. Although we could not obtain the protein-DNA complex structures, in comparison with the previously reported Hel308-DNA complex, the 3D EM image of the Hjm-DNA complex suggested that the two helicases unwind DNA by essentially the same mechanism. Furthermore, homology modeling of the human DNA polymerase Θ helicase domain strongly suggested the structural conservation across the domains of life. As suggested by the structural study of the *A. fulgidus* Hel308-DNA complex, the DNA unwinding mechanism itself may differ between the Hel308 family proteins and *E. coli* RecQ and related proteins, because of the lack of the β -hairpin loop. However, accumulating biochemical evidence suggests that *Pfu*Hjm, and probably the closely-related archaeal proteins, are the functional counterparts of the *E. coli* RecQ helicase.

Methods

Protein expression and purification

The recombinant PfuHjm protein was produced and purified as described previously [15]. The gene encoding the protein was cloned into the pET21d vector, and the constructed plasmid, pHJM100, was introduced into E. coli BL21 codonPlusTM (DE3)-RIL cells (Stratagene). The transformed cells were grown in LB medium containing 50 µg/mL ampicillin and 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.35, and then protein expression was induced by 1 mM IPTG for 5 h. The cells were harvested and disrupted by sonication in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol). The soluble fraction was collected by centrifugation (12 000 g, 15 min) and then was incubated at 80°C for 20 min. Polyethylenimine was added to the supernatant to a final concentration of 0.15% (v/v), to remove the nucleic acids. The soluble fraction was clarified by centrifugation and precipitated by 80%-saturated ammonium sulfate. The proteins were resuspended in buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1.25 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol), loaded onto a hydrophobic column (HiTrap Butyl, GE Healthcare), and eluted with H₂O. The pooled fraction was dialyzed against buffer C (10 mM K-phosphate, 7 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.01 mM CaCl₂, and 10% glycerol) and was loaded onto a CHT-II hydroxyapatite column (Bio-Rad), which was developed with a linear gradient of 0.01 to 1 M K-phosphate. The fraction pool containing the *Pfu*Hjm protein of interest was subsequently dialyzed against buffer D (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol), and was loaded onto an anion exchange column (MonoQ 5/5, GE Healthcare). The column was developed with a 0 to 1 M NaCl linear gradient, and the purified protein was eluted at 0.32-0.37 M NaCl. The purified protein was concentrated to 8 mg/ml for crystallization. The calculated extinction coefficient of 101,190 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ at 280 nm was used for the determination of the protein concentration. To prepare the selenomethionine (SeMet) derivative of PfuHjm, pHJM100 was transformed into the methionine auxotrophic strain E. coli BL21(DE3) Codonplus RIL-X (Stratagene). The SeMet derivative was expressed by IPTG induction in a minimal medium containing seleno-L-methionine at a final concentration of 25 μ g/ml, and was purified using the same procedure as for the wild type protein.



Figure 4

Schematic view of the structural alignment. (A) Domain structure conservation of *Pfu*Hjm with relevant DNA binding proteins. SIZ, ORP, RMS, and IDN are the number of residues aligned, percent superposed residues (% overlap), RMSD (Å), and sequence identity (%) of each domain to Hjm, respectively. PDB and CHN are the PDB code and chain ID of the solutions, respectively. Abbreviations, Hjm; *Pfu*Hjm, RecQ; *E. coli* RecQ, ArgR; *E. coli* Arginine repressor, TFIIF; Transcription initiation factor IIF, SRP; single recognition particle protein, ERCC-1; Human DNA endonuclease ERCC-1, RuvA; *E. coli* Holliday junction binding protein RuvA. (B) Structure comparison of Hjm with other relevant proteins. Structures are aligned using the two well-conserved helicase domains (cyan and green), to highlight the variation of the other domains and those orientation. (C) Superposition of *Pfu*Hjm (blue) and the homology model of the human DNA polymerase Θ (orange) helicase domain, shown in stereo figure. The major-insertions (with more than two residues) of the DNA polymerase Θ were colored green. The insertions were localized to the peripherals of the molecule, and the central crafts of the proteins are mostly intact. The seventeen cysteine residues of the DNA polymerase Θ are shown in stick models, and colored according to their possible characteristics (buried, grey; exposed, yellow; disulfide bond, red)

Crystallization, data collection, and model refinement

*Pfu*Hjm was crystallized by the hanging drop vapor diffusion technique with the micro-seeding at 293 K. The first diffraction quality crystals (Form 1) were obtained using a reservoir containing 100 mM citrate (pH 5.0) and 1.6 M ammonium sulfate. The crystals belonged to the space group C2, with unit cell constants a = 118.6 Å, b = 85.0 Å, c = 95.0 Å, and $\beta = 121.0^{\circ}$, and contained one Hjm molecule per asymmetric unit. The SeMet protein was crystallized under the same conditions as for the wild-type Hjm. Tantalum (Ta₆Br₁₄)- and platinum (K₂PtCl₄)- derivatized crystals were prepared by soaking. ATPyS-soaked crystals were prepared by soaking native crystals in reservoir solution containing 1 mM ATPyS. Crystals were harvested with the reservoir solution containing 20% (v/v) glycerol for Xray diffraction data collection at 100 K. Data sets of the native crystal and a Pt-derivative were collected on BL-6B of the Photon Factory, Tsukuba, Japan. The Ta derivative data were collected on BL40-B2, and those for the ATPySsoaked crystal and the Se-Met derivative were obtained on BL41-XU of SPring-8 (Harima, Japan). Data sets were processed by DENZO/SCALEPACK or the HKL2000 package [35].

The structure was determined by the MIRAS method. All the heavy atom sites were located on isomorphous Patterson maps, and the heavy atom parameters were refined by the program SHARP [36]. The experimental phases were improved by density modification techniques, with the programs DM and SOLOMON in the CCP4 suite [37]. The initial atomic model was built, based on this modified map, with the program O [38]. About 70% of the amino acid residues were located using the modified map. The combination of the experimental MIRAS phases with those calculated from a partial model further improved the quality of the electron density map, leading to the construction of the other parts. Crystallographic refinement was performed with the program CNS [39]. The final model of the Form 1 apo crystal consisted of 660 amino acid residues, except for the disordered region (mainly the C-terminal 60 residues). The structure of the ATPySsoaked crystal was determined by using the apo-form as the initial model, and was refined to convergence. Careful inspection of the electron density maps revealed that the bound nucleotide was the hydrolyzed product ADP, rather than the soaked ATP-analog.

The second crystals (Form 2) were obtained under different crystallization conditions, using a reservoir solution containing 80 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 160 mM CaCl₂, and 11% (w/v) PEG4000. The micro-seeding technique was also used to obtain diffraction quality crystals. These crystals also belonged the space group C2, as did Form 1, but had significantly different unit cell constants (a = 122.3 Å,

b = 81.2 Å, c = 85.2 Å, and $\beta = 111.9^{\circ}$), suggesting distinct crystal packing. The complex with AMPPCP was prepared by soaking the Form 2 apo crystals into reservoir solution containing 0.5 mM AMPPCP. Diffraction data sets for the Form 2 apo crystal were collected at 100 K on BL38-B1 of SPring-8, and those for the AMPPCP complex crystal were collected at BL-6B of the Photon Factory. These structures were determined by molecular replacement, using the program CNS and the Form 1 apo structure as a probe. The Form 2 structures are better ordered in the crystals, and the almost the entire molecule, except for the C-terminal 20 residues with the PIP-box sequence, was visible in the electron density map. Crystallographic refinements were reiterated to obtain satisfactory convergence. All of the crystallographic statistics are summarized in Table 1. The atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, under the accession codes 2ZJ2, 2ZJ5, 2ZJ8, and 2ZJA, for the Form 1 apo, Form 1 ADP complex, Form 2 apo, and Form 2 AMPPCP complex, respectively.

Electron microscopy

The 3' overhang DNA was prepared by forming a hairpin structure from a synthetic oligonucleotide (5'- AGCACT-GCTATTCCCTAGCAGTGCTAGATGCACGAC-3'). The Hjm protein was mixed with DNA (1:1 protein/DNA ratio) and was incubated in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol, at room temperature for 20 min. The complex was purified by gel filtration chromatography on a Superdex 200 PC 3.2/30 column (GE Healthcare), using a SMART system (GE Healthcare). An aliquot of the complex solution was applied to a carbon support film, and was negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate. The specimens were examined with a JEM 1010 electron microscope (JEOL), operated at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV. Images were recorded by BioScan CCD camera (Gatan). A minimum dose system (MDS) was used to reduce the electron radiation damage of the sample. The step size of a pixel of the image was calibrated to be 5.1 Å, using TMV as a reference sample. Image processing was performed using the software packages EMAN [40] and IMAGIC [41]. Individual particle images were boxed out, using the GUI-based program boxer in EMAN. The class average images of the Hjm-DNA complexes were obtained by several cycles of a multireference alignment and classification procedure for image sets. The programs in IMAGIC were used to calculate these class averages. The initial 3D map was obtained by common-line method and subsequent iterative refinement was performed using REFINE routine of EMAN. The resolution of the 3D map was estimated by the 0.5 criterion of the Fourier shell correlation. The visualization of the 3D map and fitting of the crystal structure into the map were performed, using the Chimera software [42].

Homology modeling

The homology model of the helicase-like domain of human DNA polymerase Θ (UniProt code Q6VMB5) was constructed by using the Homology module of the MOE application (Ryouka Systems Inc.), which was based on the methods of Levitt [43] and Fechteler et al. [44].

Authors' contributions

TO carried out the crystallization and structure determination, and wrote the manuscript. HO carried out the protein expression, purification, and crystallization. K Mayanagi carried out electron microscopy and helped to write the manuscript. TS designed and performed the homology modeling and helped to write the manuscript. K Matoba assisted with electron microscopy. RF carried out the biochemical experiments and participated in the discussions of the study. YI and K Morikawa conceived of the study and developed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Multiple sequence alignment. Hjm helicases and the homologues of Pyrococcus furiosus (Euryarchaeota, Hel_Pfu,

O73946|HELS_PYRFU), Archaeoglobus fulgidus (Euryarchaeota, Hel_Afu, NC_000917.1), Methanococcus vannielii (Euryarchaeota, Hel_Mva, A2UUA3|A2UUA3_METVA), Sulfolobus solfataricus (Crenarchaeote, Hel_Sso, Q97VY9|HELS_SULSO), and Methanopyrus kandleri (Euryarchaeota, Hel_Mka,

Q8TGZ1|Q8TGZ1_METKA), and DNA polymerase s and the homologues of Homo sapiens (human, PolQ_Has,

Q96SE4|Q96SE4_HUMAN), Mus musculus (mouse, PolQ_Mmu, Q80XB7|Q80XB7_MOUSE), Aspergillus oryzae (fungus, PolQ_Aor, Q2UKG1|Q2UKG1_ASPOR), Aedes aegypti (mosquito, PolQ_Aae, Q178I3 Q178I3_AEDAE), and Trypanosoma brucei (protista, PolQ_Tbr, Q57YX8|Q57YX8_9TRYP) are aligned (in parentheses are the organism common name, name in the alignment, and UniProt or Refseq code). The presented sequences were selected from a total of 341 sequences as the representatives of clusters. The conservative Cys residues among the DNA polymerases are indicated by + (conserved among the shown sequences: Cys322 and Cys477) or # (conserved except for protista: Cys232, Cys483, Cys629, Cys651, and Cys289) below the alignment. Amino acid residues are colored according to their characteristics (aromatic, cyan; hydrophobic, green; basic, brown; ambivalent, orange). Secondary structural elements are indicated on the top of the sequences. DNA binding residues in the A. fulgidus hel308-DNA complex are depicted by triangles. Putative disulfide bond-forming residues in the human Pol helicase domain model are colored yellow-. The figure was prepared with ClustalX.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1472-6807-9-2-S1.pdf]

Additional file 2

Homology model of the human DNA polymerase helicase domain. The model was built using the program MOE (Ryoka Systems Inc.) Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1472-6807-9-2-S2.pdb]

Acknowledgements

This research project was initiated while all of the authors belonged to the Biomolecular Engineering Research Institute (BERI), which was supported by NEDO. This work was supported by a donation from TAKARA Bio Inc. and by the BIRD project of JST (Japan Science and Technology Agent). The authors thank Dr. Noriyoshi Sakabe for help in the X-ray diffraction data collection at the Photon Factory, and Drs. Masahide Kawamoto, Kazuya Hasegawa and Nobutaka Shimizu for their help with the X-ray diffraction experiments at SPring-8.

References

- Lohman TM, Tomko EJ, Wu CG: Non-hexameric DNA helicases and translocases: mechanisms and regulation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008, 9:391-401.
- Singleton MR, Dillingham MS, Wigley DB: Structure and mechanism of helicases and nucleic acid translocases. Annu Rev Biochem 2007, 76:23-50.
- Killoran MP, Keck JL: Sit down, relax and unwind: structural insights into RecQ helicase mechanisms. Nucleic Acids Res 2006, 34:4098-4105.
- Mackintosh SG, Raney KD: DNA unwinding and protein displacement by superfamily 1 and superfamily 2 helicases. Nucleic Acids Res 2006, 34:4106-4614.
- Yamada K, Ariyoshi M, Morikawa K: Three-dimensional structural views of branch migration and resolution in DNA homologous recombination. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2004, 14(2):130-137.
- Komori K, Sakae S, Shinagawa H, Morikawa K, Ishino Y: A Holliday junction resolvase from P. furiossus: functional similarity of E. coli RuvC provides evidence for conserved mechanism of homologous recombination in bacteria, eukarya, and archaea. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:8873-8878.
- 7. Nishino T, Komori K, Tsuchiya D, Ishino Y, Morikawa K: **Crystal** structure of the archaeal Holliday junction resolvase Hjc and implications for DNA recognition. *Structure* 2001, **9:**197-204.
- Komori K, Fujikane R, Shinagawa H, Ishino Y: Novel endonuclease in archaea cleaving DNA with various branched structure. Genes Genet Syst 2002, 77:227-241.
- 9. Nishino T, Komori K, Tsuchiya D, Ishino Y, Morikawa K: X-ray and biochemical anatomy of an archaeal XPF/Rad1/Mus81 family nuclease: Similarity between its endonuclease domain and restriction enzymes. *Structure* 2003, 11:445-447.
- Nishino T, Komori K, Tsuchiya D, Ishino Y, Morikawa K: Crystal structure and functional implications of Pyrococcus furiosus Hef helicase domain involved in branched DNA processing. Structure 2005, 13:143-153.
- Nishino T, Komori K, Ishino Y, Morikawa K: Structural and functional analyses of an archaeal XPF/Rad1/Mus81 nuclease: Asymmetric DNA binding and cleavage mechanisms. Structure 2005, 13:1183-1192.
- Mettei AR, Medhurst AL, Ling C, Xue Y, Singh TR, Bier P, Steltenpool J, Stone S, Dokal I, Mathew CG, Hoatlin M, Joenje H: A human ortholog of archaeal DNA repair protein Hef is defective in Fanconi anemia complementation group M. Nat Genet 2005, 37:958-963.
- Mosedale G, Niedzwiedz W, Alpi A, Perrina F, Pereira-Leal JB, Johnson M, Langevin F, Pace P, Patel KJ: The vertebrate Hef ortholog is a component of the Fanconi anemia tumor-suppressor pathway. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2005, 12:763-771.
- Ciccia A, Ling C, Coulthard R, Yan Z, Xue Y, Meetei AR, Laghmaniel H, Joenje H, McDonald N, de Winter JP, Wang W, West SC. Identification of FAAP24, a Fanconi anemia core complex protein that interacts with FANCM. *Mol Cell* 2007, 25:331-43.
- Fujikane R, Komori K, Shinagawa H, Ishino Y: Identification of a novel helicase activity unwinding branched DNAs from the hyperthermophilic archaeon, Pyrococcus furiosus. J Biol Chem 2005, 280:12351-12358.
- Fujikane R, Shinagawa H, Ishino Y: The archaeal Hjm helicase has RecQ-like functions, and may be involved in repair of stalled replication. Genes to Cells 2006, 11:99-100.
- Guy CP, Bolt EL: Archaeal Hel308 helicase targets replication forks in vivo and in vitro and unwinds lagging strands. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33:3678-3690.

- Büttner K, Nehring S, Hopfner KP: Structural basis for DNA 18. duplex separation by a superfamily-2 helicase. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2007, 14(7):647-652.
- 19. Richards JD, Johnson KA, Liu H, McRobbie AM, McMahon S, Oke M, Carter L, Naismith JH, White MF: Structure of the DNA repair helicase hel308 reveals DNA binding and autoinhibitory domains. | Biol Chem 2008, 283:5118-5126.
- 20. Gobalenya AE, Koonin EV: Helicases: amino acid sequence comparisons and structure-function relationships. Curr Opin Struct Biol 1993, 3:419-429
- 21. Hall MC, Matson SW: Helicase motifs: the engine that powers DNA unwinding. Mol Microbiol 1999, 34:867-877.
- 22. Caruthers JM, McKay DB: Helicase structure and mechanism. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2002, 12:123-133.
- 23. Gajiwala KS, Burley SK: Winged helix proteins. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2000, 10:110-116.
- 24. Thayer MM, Ahern H, Xinq D, Cunningham RP, Tainer JA: Novel DNA binding motifs in the DNA repair enzyme endonuclease III crystal structure. EMBO J 1995, 14:4108-4120.
- 25. Woodman IL, Briggs GS, Bolt EL: Archaeal Hel308 domain V couples DNA binding to ATP hydrolysis and positions DNA for unwinding over the helicase ratchet. J Mol Biol 2007, 374:1139-1144.
- Bernstein DA, Zittel MC, Keck JL: High-resolution structure of 26 the E. coli RecQ helicase catalytic core. EMBO J 2003, 22:4910-4921
- 27. Sunnerhagen M, Nilges M, Otting G, Carey J: Solution structure of the DNA-binding domain and model for the complex of multifunctional hexameric arginine repressor with DNA. Nat Struct Biol 1997, 4:819-826.
- Nguyen BD, Abbott KL, Potempa K, Kober MS, Archambault J, 28 Greenblatt J, Legault P, Omichinski JG: NMR structure of a complex containing the TFIIF subunit RPA74 and the RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain phosphatase FCP1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100:5688-5693.
- Batey RT, Sagar MB, Doudna JA: Structural and energetic analy-29. sis of RNA recognition by a universally conserved protein from the signal recognition particle. | Mol Biol 2001, 307:229-246.
- 30. Tsodikov OV, Enzlin JH, Schärer OD, Ellenberger T: Crystal structure and DNA binding functions of ERCCI, a subunit of the DNA structure-specific endonuclease XPF-ERCC1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:11236-11241.
- 31. Nishino T, Iwasaki H, Kataoka M, Ariyoshi M, Fujita T, Shinagawa H, Morikawa K: Modulation of RuvB function by the mobile domain III of the Holliday junction recognition protein RuvA. | Mol Biol 2000, 298:407-416
- 32. Maga G, Shevelev I, Ramadan K, Spadari S, Hübscher U: DNA polymerase theta purified from human cells is a high-fidelity enzyme. J Mol Biol 2002, 319:359-369.
- Seki M, Masutani C, Yang LW, Schuffert A, Iwai S, Bahar I, Wood RD: High-efficiency bypass of DNA damage by human DNA polymerase Q. EMBO J 2004, 23:4484-4494.
- Yoshimura M, Kohzaki M, Nakamura J, Asagoshi K, Sonoda E, Hou E, 34. Prasad R, Wilson SH, Tano K, Yasui A, Lan L, Seki M, Wood RD, Arakawa H, Buerstedde JM, Hochegger H, Okada T, Hiraoka M, Takeda S: Vertebrate POLQ and POL β cooperate in base excision repair of oxidative DNA damage. Mol Cell 2006, 24:115-125.
- 35. Otwinowski Z, Minor W: Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Methods Enzymol 1997. 276:307-326.
- 36. de La Fortelle E, Bricogne G: Maximum-likelihood heavy-atom parameter refinement in the MIR and MAD methods. Methods Enzymol 1997, 276:472-494.
- 37. Collaborative Computational Project No. 4: The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 1994, 50(Pt 5):760-763.
- 38. Jones TA, Zou JY, Cowan SW, Kjeldgaard M: Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. Acta Crystallogr A 1991, 47(Pt 2):110-119.
- 39 Brünger AT, Adams PD, Clore GM, DeLano WL, Gros P, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Jiang JS, Kuszewski J, Nilges M, Pannu NS, Read RJ, Rice LM, Simonson T, Warren GL: Crystallography & NMR system: A new software suite for macromolecular structure

determination. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 1998, 54(Pt 5):905-921

- 40. Ludtke SJ, Baldwin PR, Chiu W: EMAN: semiautomated software for high-resolution single-particle reconstructions. J Struct Biol 1999, 128:82-97.
- 41. van Heel M, Harauz G, Orlova EV, Schmidt R, Schatz M: A new generation of the IMAGIC image processing system. J Struct Biol 1996, 116:17-24.
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, 42. Meng EC, Ferrin TE: UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem 2004, 25:1605-12.
- 43. Levitt M: Accurate modeling of protein conformation by auto-
- matic segment matching. J Mol Biol 1992, 226(Pt 2):507-533. Fechteler T, Dengler U, Schomburg D: Prediction of protein three-dimensional structures in insertion and deletion 44. regions: a procedure for searching data bases of representative protein fragments using geometric scoring criteria. J Mol Biol 1995, 253(Pt 1):114-131.
- Nicholls A, Honig BJ: A rapid finite-difference algorithm, utiliz-45. ing successive over-relaxation to solve the Poisson-Boltzmann equation. J Comput Chem 1991, 12:435-445.

