

Atomistic aspects of fracture

Erik Bitzek · James R. Kermode · Peter Gumbsch

Received: 21 November 2014 / Accepted: 13 January 2015 / Published online: 25 February 2015
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Any fracture process ultimately involves the rupture of atomic bonds. Processes at the atomic scale therefore critically influence the toughness and overall fracture behavior of materials. Atomistic simulation methods including large-scale molecular dynamics simulations with classical potentials, density functional theory calculations and advanced concurrent multiscale methods have led to new insights e.g. on the role of bond trapping, dynamic effects, crack-microstructure interactions and chemical aspects on the fracture toughness and crack propagation patterns in

metals and ceramics. This review focuses on atomistic aspects of fracture in crystalline materials where significant advances have been achieved over the last ten years and provides an outlook on future perspectives for atomistic modelling of fracture.

Keywords Fracture · Atomistic simulations · MD · DFT · Stress-corrosion cracking · Grain boundary · Bond trapping

E. Bitzek
Department of Materials Science and Engineering,
Institute I, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität
Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Erlangen, Germany
e-mail: erik.bitzek@fau.de

J. R. Kermode (✉)
Department of Physics, King's College London,
London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom
e-mail: james.kermode@kcl.ac.uk

Present Address
J. R. Kermode
Warwick Centre for Predictive Modelling, School of
Engineering, University of Warwick,
Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

P. Gumbsch
Fraunhofer IWM, Wöhlerstraße 11, 79108 Freiburg,
Germany

P. Gumbsch
Institute for Applied Materials (IAM), Karlsruhe Institute
of Technology (KIT), 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

1 Introduction

Fracture processes and particularly brittle fracture processes are obvious cases where macroscopic materials properties are almost entirely determined by events at the atomic scale. A propagating crack in a brittle material moves by breaking individual bonds between atoms and can therefore be regarded as a macroscopic probe for the atomic bonding. Nevertheless, textbook analysis of brittle fracture resorts to the thermodynamic equilibrium picture of Griffith (1921), formulating mechanical stability of a crack as a balance between the crack driving force, the energy release rate G , and the surface energy γ_s of the two fracture surfaces: $G = 2\gamma_s$. The driving force on a brittle crack can be obtained from elasticity theory as $G = K^2/E'$, where E' is an appropriate elastic modulus and K is the stress intensity factor characterizing the strength of the stress singularity at the crack tip.

From an atomistic point of view, resistance to crack propagation should be characterised by the forces needed to separate bonds successively at the crack tip. Indeed, the first atomistic studies of fracture (Chang 1970) demonstrated that crack propagation is only possible on certain crystallographic planes within a crystal and that crack tip bonds break after being stretched nearly to their elastic limit (Sinclair and Lawn 1972). Atomistic analysis also showed that the discreteness of the lattice manifests itself in the so-called *lattice trapping* effect (Thomson et al. 1971). Lattice trapping causes the crack to remain stable until loads K_+ somewhat larger than the Griffith load K_G are reached. The magnitude of the trapping strongly depends on the force law that characterises the atomic interaction (Sinclair 1975) and can explain thermally activated subcritical crack growth (Schoeck 1990; Gumbsch and Cannon 2000). Some success could also be achieved in rationalizing experimentally observed cleavage planes and preferred cleavage directions of the central transition metals based on empirical atomic interaction models (Kohlhoff et al. 1991; Riedle et al. 1996). However, while cleavage can be rationalized, crack tip plasticity in semi-brittle materials can only partially be captured by atomistic models due to the limited length and time scales accessible. These size limitations preclude a full inclusion of a realistic distribution of pre-existing dislocations in the model system. Since the brittle-to-ductile transition (BDT) in semi-brittle materials is largely governed by the relation between loading rate of the crack and the rate of plastic deformation near the crack tip, it obviously relates to dislocation mobility (Roberts et al. 1994; Hartmaier and Gumbsch 2005), which in semi-brittle materials is too sluggish to be reasonably dealt with in atomistic simulations. However, many different processes like dislocation nucleation and dislocation multiplication are involved in the BDT and some of them can be investigated atomistically. For example, atomistic aspects of dislocation-crack tip interaction can be studied for inherently ductile materials like fcc metals, where dislocation motion can be captured in the time scale accessible to MD (Bitzek and Gumbsch 2013).

The study of dislocation nucleation at crack tips (Zhu et al. 2004b; Sen et al. 2010; Yamakov et al. 2014), in particular from crack tip defects like ledges (Thaulow et al. 2011; Gordon et al. 2009), or crack-microstructure interactions requires very large numbers of atoms to be simulated, and is often modelled

with empirical interaction models. The atomic environment near a crack tip, however, deviates strongly from the equilibrium bonding situation. Therefore, many otherwise-reliable empirical potentials fail to correctly describe the fracture behaviour of specific materials, particularly brittle semiconductors and ceramics (Gumbsch 2001; Möller and Bitzek 2014a). On the other hand, such processes and the large systems required are currently well outside the scope of *ab initio* methods like density functional theory (DFT). Atomistic models which are able to capture such extreme situations have only recently become available (e.g. potentials constructed automatically from QM calculations, either by coarse graining the electronic structure to produce bond order potentials (Mrovec et al. 2007; Margine et al. 2011) or using machine learning approaches (Bartók et al. 2010), and screened potentials which recover the correct bond-breaking behaviour by extending the interaction range as proposed by Pastewka et al. (2012, 2013), as well as novel multiscale methods that combine DFT-calculations with classical potentials (Bernstein et al. 2009) are increasingly being applied to fracture problems. Such methods allow for material specific simulation studies, i.e., simulations which target the accuracy of DFT calculations and should in principle lead to quantitative results which can be compared to experiments.

A major driving force for such materials-specific atomistic studies stems from recent advances in experiments which have uncovered the existence of crack tip processes whose underlying mechanisms can only be studied by atomistic simulations and of course are specific to the studied material. Examples are the observation of stimulated emission of dislocations from crack tips upon intersection of a lattice dislocation (Michot 2011), the emission of dislocations on glide planes with low resolved shear stresses or not accessible to the crack front (Gally and Argon 2001; George and Michot 1993), and the use of atomic force microscopy both for post mortem analysis, e.g. crack deflection (Kermode et al. 2013) and in situ measurement, e.g. slow crack growth (Célarié et al. 2003; Bonamy et al. 2006; Han et al. 2010; Pallares et al. 2011).

The scope of this review is limited to brittle crystalline materials, which typically cleave to produce atomically sharp surfaces at low fracture energies and in the absence of defects (Lawn 1993). In contrast, fracture of amorphous materials has been reported to proceed by the growth and coalescence of voids, as

observed in several AFM studies (Célarié et al. 2003; Bonamy et al. 2006) and in a number of large-scale atomistic simulations with classical interatomic potentials (Ki et al. 2009; Muralidharan et al. 2005). This plastic response is not universally accepted, with recent AFM studies reporting atomically sharp crack tips and no evidence for cavity formation (Guin et al. 2005; López-Cepero et al. 2007). A careful comparison of finite element studies and AFM measurements for silica crack tips showed no evidence for a plastic zone (Fett et al. 2008), and a recent AFM/DIC (digital image correlation) (Hild et al. 2015) study showed that any deviation from linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) is localised to a region within 10 nm of the crack tip (Han et al. 2010). Moreover, the subtle role played by water, whether via deep penetration into the matrix (Lechenault et al. 2011) or by individual crack tip reactions (Michalske and Freiman 1982) is not yet fully understood (Wiederhorn et al. 2013). Fracture of amorphous materials will not be further reviewed here.

Our review focuses on aspects of fracture in crystalline materials where significant progress has been made in the last ten years. We put an emphasis on materials specific simulation rather than generic models for entire materials classes. In the next section advances in the understanding of crack trapping effects will be summarized, thereafter dynamically propagating brittle cracks and the interaction of cracks with other elements of materials microstructure will be reviewed. Finally, chemical aspects of fracture will be reviewed and with a longer outlook we will attempt to point to interesting questions that should be tackled within the next decade.

2 Bond trapping


Lattice trapping of cracks, introduced above, has been long regarded as the major source of atomistic effects in fracture. Consequences of lattice trapping or bond trapping have been discussed by Gumbsch and Cannon (2000) demonstrating that: (1) lattice trapping leads to an anisotropy with respect to the propagation direction on one cleavage plane; (2) cleavage does not necessarily lead to low-energy fracture surfaces; (3) the ratios of fracture energy to the thermodynamic work of adhesion for perfectly brittle, planar cracks can easily exceed a factor of two especially in non-metals; (4)

trapping effects for interfacial cracks can be larger than for crystal cleavage planes.

Cleavage crack propagation in single crystals is known to be an anisotropic process with distinctly preferred propagation directions (George and Michot 1993; Riedle et al. 1996). In tungsten, for example, crack propagation at liquid nitrogen temperature on both the {100} and {110} cleavage planes preferentially occurs in crack propagation directions normal to the $\langle 110 \rangle$ crack front (Riedle et al. 1996; Gumbsch 2003). While one may argue that dislocation motion may be associated with cleavage crack propagation in tungsten, cleavage in virtually dislocation free silicon single crystals at room temperature clearly is not associated with dislocation motion. Nevertheless, directional cleavage anisotropy has been observed for both the {111} and the {110} cleavage planes in silicon. The preferred propagation direction is along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ directions on both cleavage planes (Michot 1988; George and Michot 1993). This preference has been linked to the low lattice trapping barriers in these particular directions (Pérez and Gumbsch 2000), as calculated by quantum mechanical methods based on DFT. A similar anisotropy was also found in DFT calculations for diamond (Pastewka et al. 2008) and used as a test to validate simpler semi-empirical bond order potentials. On lower symmetry crystals, like potassium bichromate which has an alternating AB structure along $\{001\}$, cleavage anisotropy may even be different when propagating a crack forward or backward in one crystallographic direction. Cleavage along a $\{001\}$ plane in $[100]$ direction leads to upper side being terminated by A while the lower is terminated by B, while cleavage in the opposite direction $[\bar{1}00]$ inverts the surface termination (Plomp et al. 2001).

Most computational fracture studies to date have been limited to narrow, quasi-2D model systems. These models correspond to a straight crack front where all bonds along the front break simultaneously. For dynamic fracture at loads where no lattice-trapping barriers exist, such models can provide very useful insight, but when lattice-trapping effects are significant 2D boundary conditions are clearly unrealistic. An alternative 3D propagation scenario was first suggested by Sinclair (1975) and was later applied in practical calculations for the Si(111)[11 $\bar{2}$] fracture system to calculate the minimum energy pathway (MEP) for crack advance by Zhu et al. (2004a), who showed that the barrier for the formation of a kink pair on

Fig. 1 Grain boundary (GB) structure and position as well as direction dependent fracture toughness: **a** close-up of a $\Sigma 25(710)16.3^\circ/[001]$ GB in W showing the structural units (atoms colored according to the local pressure). **b** and **c** show the crack tip located at different positions within the GB at a load where the crack tip is stable (*upper panels*) or has propagated (*lower panels*). **d** shows the crack tip at the same position as in **c**, however with opposite crack front direction, for which the crack remains stable at higher loads. Atoms in **b–d** are colored according to the centro-symmetry parameter (Möller and Bitzek 2014)



a crack front is much lower than the lattice trapping barrier for sequential advance of the entire front. This is because in the latter case the barrier to break a single bond must be multiplied by the width of the system, which is extremely large in macroscopic systems. This kink-based mechanism offers an alternative explanation of the directional anisotropy on the Si(111) plane referred to above, which can be viewed as arising from differences in the energy required to create kink pairs for different crack orientations (Zhu et al. 2004a, 2006). A molecular dynamics (MD) based study of fracture in a complex metallic alloy has also observed the formation of kink pairs (Rösch and Trebin 2009).

The concept of lattice trapping can be readily expanded to include fracture in environments where the atoms are not arranged on a regular lattice, as is the case at interfaces or in amorphous materials (Schoeck 1990; Gumbsch and Cannon 2000). In such situations the more general term *bond trapping* is used instead of lattice trapping. Although bond trapping at grain boundaries (GBs) was e.g. assumed to be the underlying reason why polycrystalline oxide ceramics show trans-granular rather than inter-granular fracture

at higher crack speeds (Gumbsch and Cannon 2000), only relatively few quantitative calculations of the fracture toughness of GBs in brittle materials can be found in the literature, e.g. Grujicic et al. (1997), Gumbsch (1999), Farkas (2000). Only recently, bond trapping of GB cracks was systematically studied along various large-angle tilt GBs in tungsten (Möller and Bitzek 2014). The authors showed that the fracture toughness for brittle GB cracks depends on the exact location of the crack tip and its propagation direction within the GB-plane (Fig. 1). The local fracture toughness was directly related to the local bonding situation and the symmetry of the structural units of the GB. Under the assumption that the quasistatic GB fracture toughness is determined by the kinetics, e.g. the strongest GB bond, rather than by the thermodynamic equilibrium GB energy, the fracture toughness of GBs was shown to be able to surpass that of single crystals oriented for cleavage on the same crystallographic plane and direction. Whether these findings still hold true for extended, kink-containing GB-cracks still needs to be investigated. Experiments on polycrystalline graphene however show that GBs in 2D can actually show extremely high strengths (Lee et al. 2013).

3 Dynamical effects—instabilities, defects and perturbations

Undefected crystalline materials sometimes exhibit dynamical effects that can often only be explained by atomistic mechanisms. The material-specific phenomena we focus on here are distinct from well-known high-speed dynamical fracture instabilities, which start above about two-thirds of the Rayleigh speed, and have been observed in a wide range of materials (Ravi-Chandar and Knauss 1984; Fineberg et al. 1991; Marder and Gross 1995). Buehler and Gao (2006) used large-scale atomistic simulations with a generic model material incorporating a transition from linear elastic to nonlinear behaviour to show that nonlinearity (specifically, hyperelasticity) plays a key role in the onset of these high speed instabilities.

However, explaining complex, material-specific dynamical phenomena requires a new level of accuracy from atomistic simulations, going beyond the generic features that arise from the discretization of the material lattice (Marder 2004). The strong coupling between lengthscales inherent to fracture means that accurate modelling often requires non-uniform approaches that couple the long-range stress concentration, which can be captured very well by classical interatomic potentials (Singh et al. 2014), with local crack-tip chemistry, which must be modelled with more accurate techniques, typically with quantum mechanical precision (Abraham et al. 1998b; Bernstein and Hess 2003; Buehler et al. 2006; Kermode et al. 2008). For a detailed review of these “hybrid” multiscale simulations methods for materials problems see Bernstein et al. (2009). Prominent applications of this multi-scale approach include the early work of Abraham et al. (1998b, 2000), who demonstrated that a concurrent simulation of dynamic fracture using electronic structure, classical atomistic and continuum finite element methods was possible, and that of Bernstein and Hess (2003), who embedded a tight binding description of the near-tip region within a classical model of a large silicon system to compute lattice trapping barriers. Buehler et al. (2006) coupled a ReaxFF (Duin et al. 2001) description of the near-tip region with the Tersoff (1988) interatomic potential to investigate the dependence of crack speed on the available fracture energy in silicon (Buehler et al. 2007).

The ‘Learn on the Fly’ (LOTF) scheme (Csányi et al. 2004), which uses a similar approach but with crack


tip processes typically treated at the DFT level, has been used to study a number of dynamic fracture problems. An early application of the technique showed that nanoscale dynamical instabilities during brittle fracture propagation on {111}-type cleavage planes in single crystal silicon can lead, via a positive feedback mechanism, to experimentally observable surface features (Kermode et al. 2008). The same velocity-dependent instabilities were observed in careful experiments carried out in single crystal silicon specimens, leading to micrometre-scale surface ridges produced by deflection of cracks out of the {111} plane when the crack speed falls below a critical value of about $1,000 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ (Sherman et al. 2008). A recent analytical solution of the full stress fields arising in this crystallographic orientation and explicitly incorporating the nanoscale crack deflection predicted by the LOTF study also leads to triangular ridges (Chaudhuri 2014). The delicate role played by chemical details of crack tip reconstructions on dynamical crack deflection and path selection has also been investigated using the LOTF approach, explaining the high stability of (111) cleavage above this critical speed (Fernandez-Torre et al. 2010).

Kermode et al. (2008) also proposed an atomic-scale explanation for the well-known instability of cracks on the Si(110) surface. Simulations showed that, at low speeds, cracks propagate straight in the [001] direction, while at higher speeds additional energy released by breaking bonds allows the crack to break the slightly-stronger bonds required to divert onto inclined ($\bar{1}\bar{1}1$) planes, which are lower in surface energy than the original (110) cleavage plane.

More recently, the LOTF scheme has been applied to study interactions between propagating cracks and chemical impurities, specifically an isolated substitutional boron dopant in an otherwise-perfect single crystal of silicon. A combined theoretical and experimental investigation showed that chemically induced dynamical crack deflection is possible (Fig. 2), i.e. a single atomic defect can deflect a crack as it travels through a crystal (Kermode et al. 2013). The authors also showed how smooth fracture surfaces can still be obtained when breaking real materials, which inevitably contain defects, by demonstrating that the scattering mechanism is switched off for sufficiently fast-moving cracks.

Our final example of a dynamical fracture phenomenon originating at the atomic scale is the additional dissipative contributions to the fracture energy that arise from the sound waves (phonons) emitted by a

Fig. 2 Close-up of the near-tip region in a ‘Learn on the Fly’ QM/MM simulation of crack propagation on the (111) cleavage plane in a silicon crystal containing a single boron defect (orange). Dark blue atoms are treated at the DFT-level, while grey atoms are modelled with the Stillinger-Weber classical interatomic potential (the full model system includes $\sim 10^5$ classical atoms, not shown here). Scattering from boron defects leads to velocity-dependent crack deflection (Kermode et al. 2013)



propagating crack. Atrash et al. (2011) showed how this can be computed from MD simulations to resolve discrepancies between experimental crack speed measurements in silicon and predictions from continuum elasto-dynamic equations of motion for cracks (Freund 1998).

4 Crack-microstructure interactions

A quantitative understanding of the relationship between microstructural variables and the fracture toughness of a material is key to improving the performance of both the material and the models describing its mechanical behaviour (Gumbsch 2003; Kumar and Curtin 2007). Cracks interact with second phase particles, other grains, voids or dislocations both through their long-range stress fields and locally with the atomic scale structure of the defects. Whereas the study of elastic interactions between cracks and constituents of the microstructure by continuum methods has become an active field of study (Kumar and Curtin 2007; Belytschko et al. 2009). Only relatively few simulations exist at the atomic level.

Most of the simulations of cracks interacting with a second phase effectively reduce the problem to two dimensions by using periodic boundary conditions

(PBCs) along the crack front direction, thus modeling both an infinitely extended crack front and second phase (Rafii-Tabar et al. 2006; Liu and Groh 2014). Two setups are usually studied: either the second phase is situated directly at the crack front or within the path of a propagating crack to study the direct crack-obstacle interaction, or the second phase is located above or below the crack plane, so it interacts with the crack only through changes in the stress field. Although voids are the simplest model for a second phase, only few atomistic studies can be found in the literature, e.g. Abraham et al. (1998a), Liu and Groh (2014). The interaction of a $(0\bar{1}1)[0\bar{1}\bar{1}]$ crack in α -Fe with cylindrical voids was recently studied by Liu and Groh (2014), who showed that the location of a void influences the load at which dislocations are nucleated from the crack tip. Machová et al. (2009) studied the interaction of cracks in the same orientation in a thin plate of α -Fe with a rectangular, through-thickness bcc-Cu precipitate. At larger distances, the precipitate did not lead to a shielding of the stress field in front of the crack. However, if dislocations were emitted from the crack tip towards the precipitate it hindered their motion. If the precipitate is located directly at the crack tip, the local stress field is changed and dislocation emission from the crack tip takes place within the precipitate. Zhang et al. (2011) studied the interaction of cracks in α -Fe with fcc γ -Fe

as second phase. There, the interphase boundary (IPB) acted as a strong obstacle to dislocations emitted from the crack and dislocation pile-ups lead to the formation of nanocracks at the boundary. None of these simulations however studied cracks on the preferred {100}-type cleavage planes of α -Fe Hribernik (2006), which, in addition to typical problems arising from the accuracy of the embedded atom model (EAM) potential selected (Möller and Bitzek 2014a), further limits the direct applicability of these simulation results to an experimental context.

Other atomistic studies of cracks interacting with second phases relinquished the attempt to be material specific and focused on the study of general features of brittle fracture using fcc metals with cracks oriented such that brittle rather than ductile failure takes place. Petucci et al. (2014) studied the interaction of (001)[100] cracks in Ni with rows of Cu, Pd, Pt, Ag and Au substitutional atoms. The rows were four atoms in diameter, located directly in front of the crack tip and oriented parallel to it, thus again representing a 2D system. In all cases the presence of these four-atom rows significantly increased the critical load to initiate crack propagation compared to pure Ni. This was attributed to the reduction of the peak tensile stress at the crack tip by substitutional atoms. The authors identified the difference in the atomic radius of the substitutional atoms compared to Ni as the central quantity determining the critical load. The stress field around the atomic rows caused by the different size of the atoms was also used to explain the trends in the critical load when the second phase was placed further away from the crack tip. Similar reasoning was also used by Rafii-Tabar et al. (2006) and Musazadeh and Dehghani (2011) to rationalize the interaction of cracks with extended clusters of substitutional atoms. Relating the importance of the difference of atomic radii to other properties of the second phase such as its cohesive energy or elastic constants would require detailed parameter studies. Such studies, which ideally should also include the size and shape of the second phase as well as the properties of its interface to the matrix, are however currently still missing, both for material specific simulations as well as for model materials.

Similarly, the majority of atomistic studies of cracks interacting with second phase particles used quasi-2D geometries. Only very recently, the first simulations have been performed for obstacles that do not extend along the entire crack front. Uhnáková et al. (2014)

studied for example the interaction of a 6 nm long (001)[110] crack in α -Fe with a rectangular bcc-Cu precipitate containing 30 atoms. Compared to the situation without precipitate, the precipitate retards the crack propagation. After breaking through the precipitate, crack front waves are visible and the resulting fracture surfaces are rough, in agreement with experiments (Fineberg et al. 2003; Bouchaud 2002). Bitzek and Gumbsch studied the interaction between a propagating 25 nm long crack in Ni and a void with 1 nm radius (Bitzek 2006). Cracks in the studied (110)[001] orientation usually propagate by brittle cleavage, as can also be seen in Fig. 3a,b. However, the interaction with the void locally pins the crack front, leading to a local reorientation of the crack front close to the void. Once the crack front locally attains a $\langle 121 \rangle$ orientation, new slip planes become available on which perfectly blunting dislocations can be nucleated, effectively locally inhibiting further crack advance (Fig. 3c). This mechanism leads to a characteristic ‘V’-shape of the crack front, which can be found e.g. in experiments on cracks propagating in a temperature gradient in an Si-crystal with the same orientation as used in the simulations (Gally and Argon 2001) (Si has the same slip system geometry as fcc). As this newly discovered mechanism of dislocation emission is only available to propagating cracks, the simulations could explain the differences in the dislocation source configurations observed at crack tips in dislocation-free single-crystal Si (George and Michot 1993; Gally and Argon 2001). In general, very little is known about how the interaction of dynamically propagating cracks with localized obstacles influences the resulting crack surfaces, the crack arrest toughness and the overall fracture behaviour. It is to be expected that atomistic simulations with the possibility of precisely defining the relevant material parameters will contribute significantly to the study of fracture of heterogeneous materials (Ponson 2009).

The interaction of pre-existing cracks with GBs was mostly studied in the context of nanocrystalline metals, see e.g. Farkas (2013) for a recent review. From simulations on pre-cracked 3D nanocrystalline α -Fe samples (Latapie and Farkas 2004), Farkas and coauthors found a combination of intragranular and intergranular fracture independent of temperature and grain size. Crack propagation along the GB was observed if the angle between crack plane and GB was below 45° , whereas the crack was arrested if the angle was larger than 75° . In the case of crack arrest, the crack grew under increas-




Fig. 3 Interaction of (110)[001]-oriented cracks in Ni with a void (a–c) and a pre-existing dislocation, (d). In a–c an initially atomically sharp crack propagates at a constant energy release rate corresponding to $1.1 G_c$ towards a void with radius $R = 1$ nm. Dislocation emission occurs only when the crack intersects the void and the local crack front orientation is part

of a set of glide planes orthogonal to the crack plane (only defect atoms with increased potential energy are shown). d shows the processes which can take place when an initially straight 60° dislocation cuts the crack front of a stable blunted crack at $0.95 G_c$ (Bitzek 2006; Bitzek and Gumbsch 2013). Color coding is according to common neighbour analysis

ing load by nucleation and coalescence of nanovoids on favorably oriented GBs ahead of the crack, similar to the fracture behaviour in fcc metals Farkas et al. (2002).

Only a few authors have studied the interaction of a crack with an inclined GB in a controlled bi-crystal setup, e.g. Miller et al. (1998), Terentyev and Gao (2013). In Al (Miller et al. 1998) as well as α -Fe (Terentyev and Gao 2013), the GBs were shown to act as strong obstacles to crack propagation. This was mostly due to massive plastic deformation that was triggered at the GB by the advancing crack. However, clearly more studies on brittle materials using fully 3D simulation setups and controlled loading conditions as well as a wider selection of GBs need to be performed to evaluate the obstacle strength of GBs with respect to propagating cracks.

On the other hand, numerous studies on intergranular fracture using bi-crystal setups have been published in the last ten years, e.g. Yamakov et al. (2006), Cheng et al. (2010), Paliwal and Cherkaoui (2013); Cui

and Beom (2014), Möller and Bitzek (2014), Péron-Lühns and Sansoz (2014), including studies on interphase boundary (IPB) fracture (Liu et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2014), see also the article on interfacial fracture in this issue (Banks-Sills 2015). Most of them focus on intergranular fracture in inherently ductile metals like copper (Cheng et al. 2010; Cui and Beom 2014). Due to the different relative orientation of the slip systems in both grains with respect to the crack front, the fracture behaviour depends on the propagation direction of the crack; i.e., whereas brittle intergranular fracture can occur in one direction, the crack can become blunted in the opposite direction, leading to ductile failure only at higher loads. The simulation results can be understood in the framework of the Rice model for dislocation nucleation from crack tips (Rice 1992), which is different from the direction dependent GB fracture toughness in brittle materials caused by bond trapping discussed in Sect. 2 above. However, the additional pos-

sibility of dislocation emission from sources within the GB needs to be considered (Cheng et al. 2010; Cui and Beom 2014). The Rice model was also employed to explain the fracture behaviour of a Cu/SiC interface by Yang et al. (2014). Atomistic simulations of GB fracture are also increasingly used to parameterize higher-scale models for interface decohesion, like the cohesive zone model (CZM) (Yamakov et al. 2006; Paliwal and Cherkaoui 2013; Péron-Lühns and Sansoz 2014; Leblond et al. 2015). These models now allow for the direct comparison of finite element (FE) models with fully atomistic simulations of the same nanocrystalline geometry (Coffman et al. 2008; Péron-Lühns and Sansoz 2014). Although the current models are not yet able to capture the entire complexity of GB decohesion in ductile materials, they represent an important step towards the development of a hierarchical multi-scale model of fracture. Such models would be of particular interest if they manage to include the effect of segregated atoms on the fracture toughness of GBs and IPBs, see e.g. Farkas et al. (2005), Liu et al. (2013). This would however require a robust link between DFT calculations of traction-separation data in GBs containing segregated atoms and models of GB fracture toughness to be established, which can then be used in continuum models, see e.g. Tahir et al. (2013). A common challenge for all meso- or continuum-scale models of GB-fracture is the generalization of the results of very few atomistic simulations, which are usually performed on special Σ -GBs to the entire five-dimensional parameter space characterizing GBs.

Although many authors have studied the nucleation of dislocations from crack tips, the interaction of cracks with pre-existing dislocations has only recently been addressed. Bitzek and Gumbsch (2008, 2013) studied the interaction of dislocations on different glide systems with (110)[001]-oriented cracks in a cubic Ni sample with 75 nm side length. For dislocations interacting with static cracks, they were able to identify the following elementary processes which could occur individually or in combination with each other: (a) the cutting of the crack front without further dislocation processes; (b) the cross-slip of dislocations which locally attained screw orientation onto glide planes with higher resolved shear stress, a process which was shown to lead to a spiral source; (c) the partial cross-slip of dislocation segments directly at the crack tip, usually followed by (d) the stimulated emission of other dislocations (cf. Fig. 3d). By performing more than 20 simu-

lations of different dislocation crack arrangements and analysing the resolved shear stresses on all dislocations, the authors were able to rationalize which configurations involve which mechanisms (Bitzek and Gumbsch 2013). These simulations helped to explain the stimulated dislocation emission and avalanche-like dislocation multiplication observed in high-temperature experiments on stable cracks in single-crystalline Si at sub-critical load where dislocations were introduced by indentation close to the crack tip (Michot 2011). The situation is different for propagating cracks, where interaction with an orthogonal dislocation leads to the formation of V-sources, similar to the void in Fig. 3a–c (Bitzek and Gumbsch 2013).

The interaction of a propagating (110)[$\bar{1}\bar{1}0$] crack in Si with a static 90° partial dislocation was also studied with the LOTF scheme referred to in Sect. 3 above (Makov et al. 2009). Here, the interaction led to a local roughening of the fracture plane, in qualitative agreement with the observations of Shilo et al. (2002). However, the simulations were performed only in a quasi-2D set-up, and the simulations and experiments were performed at temperatures where dislocation mobility in Si is negligible, explaining the differences to Bitzek and Gumbsch (2013).

Recently, Xu and Demkowicz reported simulations in Al that showed that the interaction of cracks with wedge disclinations can lead to healing of the cracks, even under tensile load (Xu and Demkowicz 2013). Whether the rather particular combination of microstructure and boundary conditions necessary for crack healing can also be achieved in experiments remains to be seen.

5 Chemical aspects of fracture and sub-critical crack growth

While the possibility of chemically activated fracture at subcritical loads has been known for some decades (Lawn 1993; Michalske and Freiman 1982), accurate modelling of crack tip chemistry has only very recently become feasible as a result of advances in both modelling approaches and available supercomputing resources. The effect of environmental species on bond breaking mechanisms is a fundamental issue that is not yet fully understood. It forms part of the more general topic of stress corrosion cracking, a term also used to describe a broad class of complex and interrelated

chemical and mechanical processes that can lead to the failure of normally ductile metals and alloys when exposed to an electrochemical environment (Sieradzki and Newman 1985).

Here, we restrict our focus to environmentally assisted subcritical crack growth in brittle materials, typically ceramics such as silica, where chemically aggressive molecules such as oxygen or water react at crack tips (Wiederhorn 1967; Michalske and Freiman 1982; Ciccotti 2009). This is in contrast to other chemical effects leading to embrittlement, such as the segregation of solute atoms at GBs where they reduce the interfacial cohesion, see e.g. Lozovoi et al. (2006) and the discussion in Sect. 4 above, liquid metal embrittlement (Joseph et al. 1999; Luo et al. 2011), or hydrogen embrittlement. In particular for hydrogen, the exact atomistic mechanisms that lead to the reduction in ductility are still under debate (Lu et al. 2001; Wen et al. 2003; Lu and Kaxiras 2005; Song and Wa 2013). We note, however, that there are situations in nominally ductile materials where individual chemical reactions are thought to play a key role in inhibiting dislocation emission leading to embrittlement, for example in aluminium in an oxygen environment (Zamora et al. 2012).

For a long time environmentally induced bond breaking mechanisms were not thought to be important in covalent crystals such as silicon without polar bonds (Cook 2006), despite their vulnerability to fatigue following cyclic loading (Connally and Brown 1992; Muhlstein et al. 2002). Pioneer work simulating crack tip reactions was carried out by Ogata et al. (2001), who developed a hybrid finite-element, MD and density functional theory model and applied it to study

the effect of H_2O on Si (110)-surface crack initiation (Ogata et al. 2004), suggesting that dissociation of water molecules occurs preferentially at crack tips and can lead to Si–Si bond cleavage. However, the relatively small model system and the geometry in which the load was applied complicate a direct comparison with fracture energies measured in experiment. More recently, Colombi Ciacchi et al. (2008) used first principles MD simulations to study how a hydroxylated native oxide layer forms on Si(001) under wet conditions. They determined that water molecules adsorb and dissociate on the oxidised surface, leading to cleavage of Si–O bonds. Interestingly, tensile strain was found to significantly enhance the driving force for dissociative adsorption of water, consistent with a picture where these kinds of reactions lead to environmentally driven subcritical crack growth.

The LOTF scheme discussed briefly in Sect. 3 above was used by Moras et al. (2010) to study how hydrogen-filled “platelet” defects form in silicon crystals following the implantation of hydrogen in the SmartCut™ process used in the semiconductor industry to produce atomically smooth silicon wafers. Dynamical simulations revealed that H_2 molecules form at the internal surfaces of these platelets, diffuse, and dissociate at the ends of the platelets, where they enable stress-corrosive breaking of silicon bonds (Fig. 4a). A similar approach was recently used to demonstrate that cracks in silicon can initiate and propagate when the energy supplied by the mechanical load is insufficient to create new fracture surfaces, providing that oxygen is available (Gleizer et al. 2014). Subcritical crack propagation driven by the dissociative chemisorption of oxygen molecules was predicted by QM-accurate simula-




Fig. 4 Close up of the near-tip region in large-scale simulations of: **a** stress corrosion cleavage of a hydrogen-implanted silicon crystal in the SmartCut process (Moras et al. 2010); **b**, **c** subcritical stress corrosion cracking on the Si(110) cleavage plane

in the presence of oxygen (Gleizer et al. 2014). The O_2 molecule seen in panel (b) spontaneously dissociates, cleaving an Si–Si bond at the crack tip, before chemisorbing on the newly exposed fracture surface as seen in panel (c)

tions (Figs. 4b,c) and confirmed by experiments showing subcritical crack initiation in air, but no cracking in an inert, oxygen free environment.

In the technologically relevant case of silica, the nanoscale mechanism for subcritical stress corrosion cracking in an oxygen- or water-rich environment remains a subject of considerable debate (Ciccotti 2009). While stress-driven chemical processes must contribute, it is not known which mechanisms are dominant, and the exact role water plays in crack propagation is not yet fully understood (Wiederhorn et al. 2013). Performing accurate fracture simulations in ionic materials such as silica is complex because of the long range electrostatic interactions. Some progress has been made by considering relatively small model systems, e.g. Zhu et al. (2005) used a semi-empirical molecular orbital approach to show that stress lowers the energy barrier to hydrolysis of Si-O bonds by environmental water molecules in a silica nanorod model system. A parameterisation of the ReaxFF reactive interatomic potential for silica/water (Fogarty et al. 2010) has very recently been applied to model stress-corrosion cracking in silica (Zhang et al. 2014), although comparisons with experimentally relevant loading conditions have not yet been made.

Recently, efficient and accurate polarisable interatomic potentials for oxides have been developed which coarse-grain electronic degrees of freedom, replacing them with dipole moments determined self-consistently in response to the local electric field (Tangney and Scandolo 2002; Brommer et al. 2010; Kermode et al. 2010). This approach has been applied to model fracture in alumina, where charged fracture surfaces and the piezoelectric response to strain ahead of the crack tip are found to produce complex electric field dynamics (Hocker et al. 2012), which we note could well drive the flow of polar fluids such as water. A full understanding of the stress corrosion problem in silica would need to take such effects into account, as well as the details of the crack tip chemistry and water penetration.

6 Outlook and future perspectives

The atomistic study of fracture is a highly dynamic field that will continue to profit from advances in both computational power and simulation methods. In addition to continuing work on classical problems like the

nucleation and multiplication of dislocations at crack tips or bond-trapping, and on the recent developments identified in this review such as accurate modeling of crack tip chemistry and of the interaction of cracks with increasingly complex microstructures we believe that in the next decade, atomistic simulations will contribute significantly to our understanding of the following scientific problems:

6.1 3D aspects of fracture and effects due to crack front curvature

Currently, nearly all simulations are performed on straight crack fronts, often in quasi-2D setups. Unlike LEFM which is an intrinsically two-dimensional theory, this is not a fundamental obstacle but more a problem of computational expense. Crack propagation by kinks (Sinclair and Lawn 1972; Zhu et al. 2004a, 2006) is often neglected in this kind of set-up, which furthermore severely limits the accessible slip systems and the possibility of the crack front to vary its orientation. Crack curvature effects due to the interaction of propagating cracks with localized obstacles or different local rates of crack advance or closure caused by heterogeneities in the stress field have not yet been studied in a systematic way. Crack curvature effects might furthermore become important for the growth of small crack nuclei. Recent studies on penny-shaped cracks (Erslund et al. 2012; Möller and Bitzek 2015) show that dislocations emitted from one part of the crack can interact with those emitted elsewhere as well as with the crack itself in non-trivial ways (Fig. 5). In this context cracks with misoriented (Gordon et al. 2009) or rough crack planes, or defective crack fronts in general are expected to come into the focus of atomistic studies. Although the computational costs are much higher than for quasi-2D setups such simulations could literally open up a new dimension in the study of fracture.

6.2 Crack nucleation

Although many scenarios for the nucleation of cracks have been inferred or postulated in the literature (Lawn 1993; Lehmann et al. 2003) and crack nucleation is an inherently atomistic process, only relatively few studies actually try to model the crack nucleation process, mostly at GBs (Dewald and Curtin 2007; Wu et al. 2007; Cheng et al. 2008; Pan and Rupert 2014). In particular at the microscale, many structures can nowadays




Fig. 5 Plastic events around a penny-shaped crack on the (010)-plane in α -Fe. Dislocations emitted from one part of the crack front interact with dislocations or twins nucleated at other parts of the crack as well as with the crack itself (color according to potential energy, only atoms with increased energy are shown) (Möller and Bitzek 2015)

be fabricated with nearly no pre-existing crack-like defects or stress-concentrators. Systematic, material-specific studies of crack nucleation are therefore fundamental for the development of physics-based models of material failure of such structures. Mechanism-based models for crack nucleation are also very important for fatigue (Saxena 2015) in the very high cycle (VHCF) domain, where the fatigue life is almost completely governed by the crack nucleation and initial stages of growth (Mughrabi 2006) and crack nucleation at featureless sites in the interior of the material is often reported (Bach et al. 2014).

6.3 Multiscale modeling of fracture

Material-specific quantitative, predictive modelling of fracture requires accurate atomistic models to simulate crack tip chemical processes involving highly stressed bonds as well as inclusion of the microstructural complexity within the plastic zone. This involves the modelling of the motion of many dislocations, their interactions with solute atoms as well as with obstacles like GBs. On the atomic scale, concurrent multiscale models which combine DFT and classical potentials like LOTF (Csányi et al. 2004) or in which continuum

models are used to apply complex loading conditions (Kohlhoff et al. 1991; Miller et al. 1998; Möller et al. 2013) have already proven their utility. However, we believe concurrent coupling of atomistic simulations with higher-scale models like dislocation dynamics (DD) or crystal plasticity simulations will only be useful for very specific problems and well defined setups since the time-scale will be entirely set by the atomistic domain. Hierarchical models in which information is passed from one scale to the other seem better adapted to address fracture on all scales. Statistical approaches which use information gleaned from atomistic simulations to coarse-grain e.g. the interaction of a crack front with a field of random obstacles could lead to significant advances in modelling fracture in real, defect containing structural materials. Since failure prediction in real materials is invariably a rare event, proper treatment of statistical considerations is a key feature of a truly predictive multiscale fracture modelling toolkit. At the same time, rigorous consideration of how uncertainties—both due to limitations in model accuracy and to incomplete statistical sampling over possible microstructures—propagate through the multiscale hierarchy is essential.

6.4 Materials specific interaction models

Fracture studies to date have mostly relied on generic atomic interaction models (such as EAM potentials) or on material-specific electronic structure studies based on DFT methods. While the latter cannot yet be applied to many of the important fracture problems, the former can not be quantitatively compared with experiments on any specific material. In this context the further development of material specific, chemically accurate but linear scaling atomic interactions using machine learning approaches (Bartók et al. 2010) or bond order potentials (Mrovec et al. 2007, 2011; Seiser et al. 2013; Čák et al. 2014) are of crucial importance.

6.5 Thermally activated processes

In the last years, numerous approaches have been developed to identify minimal potential energy and free energy pathways between states as well as to extend the time scale of atomistic simulations, allowing the direct modelling of thermally activated processes (Voter et al. 2002). Calculation of energy barriers and activation

volumes similar to [Zhu et al. \(2004b\)](#) e.g. for dislocation nucleation from defective crack fronts would in combination with transition state theory and statistical approaches be important to model the influence of dislocation nucleation on the BDT. The real strength of accelerated MD methods would, however, most likely lie in the modeling of fracture in amorphous materials, where plastic events with a very broad distribution of activation energies and volumes are involved, e.g. in the formation of voids ahead of a crack tip (where once again there is a clear requirement for realistic 3D model systems).

6.6 Importance of dedicated experiments

Although atomistic simulations are expected to contribute to important breakthroughs in the near future, such studies are most convincing if they are closely interlinked with experimental studies dedicated to atomic scale problems. Fundamental advances require the experimental study of model systems like pure single or bicrystals with well-characterized defect content under controlled loading conditions, ideally at a similar scale. Here, the recent advances in in situ micro- and nanomechanical testing in the SEM, AFM or TEM, see e.g. [Wondraczek et al. \(2006\)](#); [Wurster et al. \(2012\)](#); [Merle and Göken \(2011\)](#); [Higashida et al. \(2008\)](#); [Zhong et al. \(2006\)](#) open up new perspectives for coordinated studies of the fracture behaviour at the atomic scale.

Acknowledgments EB gratefully acknowledges the support of the Cluster of Excellence Engineering of Advanced Materials at the Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU) which is funded by the DFG within the framework of its Excellence Initiative. JRK acknowledges funding from the EPSRC grants EP/L014742/1 (HEmS) and EP/L027682/1 (Centre for Predictive Modelling) and a collaboration with Argonne National Laboratory through the Thomas Young Centre. We thank Gianpietro Moras for providing Fig. 4a.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Abraham FF, Bernstein N, Broughton JQ, Hess DW (2000) Dynamic fracture of silicon: concurrent simulation of quantum electrons, classical atoms and the continuum solid. *MRS bulletin* 25:27–32. doi:[10.1557/mrs2000.70](#)
- Abraham FF, Broughton JQ, Bernstein N, Kaxiras E (1998b) Spanning the continuum to quantum length scales in a dynamic simulation of brittle fracture. *EPL* 44:783. doi:[10.1209/epl/i1998-00536-9](#)
- Abraham F, Broughton J, Davidson B (1998a) Large-scale simulations of crack–void and void–void plasticity in metallic fcc crystals under high strain rates. *J Comput Mater Des* 5:73–80. doi:[10.1023/A:1008618614519](#)
- Atrash F, Hashibon A, Gumbsch P, Sherman D (2011) Phonon emission induced dynamic fracture phenomena. *Phys Rev Lett* 106(8):085,502. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.085502](#)
- Bach J, Höppel H, Prell M, Göken M (2014) Crack initiation mechanisms in AA6082 fatigued in the VHCF-regime. *Int J Fatigue* 60:23–27. doi:[10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2013.08.018](#)
- Banks-Sills L (2015) Interface fracture mechanics: theory and experiment. *Int J Fract*. doi:[10.1007/s10704-015-9997-1](#)
- Bartók AP, Payne MC, Kondor R, Csányi G (2010) Gaussian approximation potentials: the accuracy of quantum mechanics, without the electrons. *Phys Rev Lett* 104(13):136,403. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.136403](#)
- Belytschko T, Gracie R, Ventura G (2009) A review of extended/generalized finite element methods for material modeling. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 17(4):043,001. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/17/4/043001](#)
- Bernstein N, Hess DW (2003) Lattice trapping barriers to brittle fracture. *Phys Rev Lett* 91(25):501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.025501](#)
- Bernstein N, Kermode JR, Csányi G (2009) Hybrid atomistic simulation methods for materials systems. *Rep Prog Phys* 72(2):026,501. doi:[10.1088/0034-4885/72/2/026501](#)
- Bitzek E (2006) Atomistic simulation of dislocation motion and their Interaction with crack tips and voids. PhD Thesis, Karlsruhe
- Bitzek E, Gumbsch P (2008) Atomistic simulations of dislocation–crack interaction. *J Solid Mech Mater Eng* 2:1348–1359. doi:[10.1299/jmmp.2.1348](#)
- Bitzek E, Gumbsch P (2013) Mechanisms of dislocation multiplication at crack tips. *Acta Mater* 61(4):1394–1403. doi:[10.1016/j.actamat.2012.11.016](#)
- Bonamy D, Prades S, Rountree CL, Ponson L, Dalmas D, Bouchaud E, Ravi-Chandar K, Guillot C (2006) Nanoscale damage during fracture in silica glass. *Int J Fract* 140(1–4):3–14. doi:[10.1007/s10704-006-6579-2](#)
- Bouchaud E (2002) Can crack front waves explain the roughness of cracks? *J Mech Phys Solids* 50(8):1703–1725. doi:[10.1016/S0022-5096\(01\)00137-5](#)
- Brommer P, Beck P, Chatzopoulos A, Gahler F, Roth J, Trebin HR (2010) Direct Wolf summation of a polarizable force field for silica. *J Chem Phys* 132(19):194,109. doi:[10.1063/1.3396084](#)
- Buehler M, van Duin A, Goddard W (2006) Multiparadigm modeling of dynamical crack propagation in silicon using a reactive force field. *Phys Rev Lett* 96(9):95,505. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.095505](#)
- Buehler MJ, Gao H (2006) Dynamical fracture instabilities due to local hyperelasticity at crack tips. *Nature* 439(7074):307–10. doi:[10.1038/nature04408](#)

- Buehler MJ, Tang H, van Duin ACT, Goddard WA III (2007) Threshold crack speed controls dynamical fracture of silicon single crystals. *Phys Rev Lett* 99(16):165,502. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.165502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.165502)
- Čák M, Hammerschmidt T, Rogal J, Vitek V, Drautz R (2014) Analytic bond-order potentials for the bcc refractory metals Nb, Ta, Mo and W. *J Phys Condens Mat* 26(19):195,501. doi:[10.1088/0953-8984/26/19/195501](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/19/195501)
- Célaríe F, Prades S, Bonamy D, Ferrero L, Bouchaud E, Guillot C, Marlière C (2003) Glass breaks like metal, but at the nanometer scale. *Phys Rev Lett* 90(7):075,504. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.075504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.075504)
- Chang R (1970) An atomistic study of fracture. *Int J Fract Mech* 6(2):111–125. doi:[10.1007/BF00189819](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00189819)
- Chaudhuri RA (2014) Three-dimensional mixed mode I+II+III singular stress field at the front of a (111)[-1-12] crack weakening a diamond cubic mono-crystalline plate with crack turning and step/ridge formation. *Int J Fract*. doi:[10.1007/s10704-013-9891-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-013-9891-7)
- Cheng Y, Mrovec M, Gumbsch P (2008) Crack nucleation at the symmetrical $\Sigma 9(2\bar{2}1)$ tilt grain boundary in tungsten. *Mat Sci Eng A* 483–484:329–332. doi:[10.1016/j.msea.2006.10.198](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2006.10.198)
- Cheng Y, Jin ZH, Zhang Y, Gao H (2010) On intrinsic brittleness and ductility of intergranular fracture along symmetrical tilt grain boundaries in copper. *Acta Mater* 58(7):2293–2299. doi:[10.1016/j.actamat.2009.11.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2009.11.033)
- Ciccotti M (2009) Stress-corrosion mechanisms in silicate glasses. *J Phys D: Appl Phys* 42(21):214,006. doi:[10.1088/0022-3727/42/21/214006](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/42/21/214006)
- Coffman VR, Sethna JP, Heber G, Liu M, Ingraffea A, Bailey NP, Barker EI (2008) A comparison of finite element and atomistic modelling of fracture. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 16(6):065,008. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/16/6/065008](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/16/6/065008)
- Colombi Ciacchi L, Cole DJ, Payne MC, Gumbsch P (2008) Stress-driven oxidation chemistry of wet silicon surfaces. *J Phys Chem C* 112(32):12,077–12,080. doi:[10.1021/jp804078n](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp804078n)
- Connolly JA, Brown SB (1992) Slow crack growth in single-crystal silicon. *Science* 256(5063):1537–9. doi:[10.1126/science.256.5063.1537](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.256.5063.1537)
- Cook RF (2006) Strength and sharp contact fracture of silicon. *J Mater Sci* 41(3):841–872. doi:[10.1007/s10853-006-6567-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-006-6567-y)
- Csányi G, Albaret T, Payne M, De Vita A (2004) Learn on the fly: a hybrid classical and quantum-mechanical molecular dynamics simulation. *Phys Rev Lett* 93(17):175,503. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.175503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.175503)
- Cui CB, Beom HG (2014) Molecular statics simulations of intergranular fracture along $\Sigma 11$ tilt grain boundaries in copper bicrystals. *J Mat Sci* 49(24):8355–8364. doi:[10.1007/s10853-014-8545-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-014-8545-0)
- Dewald MP, Curtin WA (2007) Multiscale modelling of dislocation/grain-boundary interactions: I. Edge dislocations impinging on $\Sigma 11(11\bar{3})$ tilt boundary in Al. *Model Simul. Mater Sci Eng* 15(1):S193–S215. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/15/1/S16](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/15/1/S16)
- Ersland CH, Vatne IR, Thaulow C (2012) Atomistic modeling of penny-shaped and through-thickness cracks in bcc iron. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 20(7):075,004. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/20/7/075004](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/20/7/075004)
- Farkas D (2000) Fracture mechanisms of symmetrical tilt grain boundaries. *Phil Mag Lett* 80:229–237. doi:[10.1080/095008300176209](https://doi.org/10.1080/095008300176209)
- Farkas D, Van Swygenhoven H, Derlet P (2002) Intergranular fracture in nanocrystalline metals. *Phys Rev B* 66(6):060,101. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.66.060101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.060101)
- Farkas D (2013) Atomistic simulations of metallic microstructures. *Curr Opin Solid State Mater Sci* 17(6):284–297. doi:[10.1016/j.cossms.2013.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2013.11.002)
- Farkas D, Hyde B, Nogueira R, Ruda M (2005) Atomistic simulations of the effects of segregated elements on grain-boundary fracture in body-centered-cubic Fe. *Metall Mater Trans A* 36. doi:[10.1007/s11661-005-0327-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-005-0327-5)
- Fernandez-Torre D, Albaret T, De Vita A (2010) Role of surface reconstructions in (111) silicon fracture. *Phys Rev Lett* 105(18):1–4. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.185502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.185502)
- Fett T, Rizzi G, Creek D, Wagner S, Guin J, López-Cepero J, Wiederhorn S (2008) Finite element analysis of a crack tip in silicate glass: No evidence for a plastic zone. *Phys Rev B* 77(17):174,110. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.77.174110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.174110)
- Fineberg J, Gross S, Marder M, Swinney H (1991) Instability in dynamic fracture. *Phys Rev Lett* 67(4):457–460. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.457](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.457)
- Fineberg J, Sharon E, Cohen G (2003) Crack front waves in dynamic fracture. *Int J Fract* 121(1/2):55–69. doi:[10.1023/A:1026296929110](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026296929110)
- Fogarty JC, Aktulga HM, Grama AY, van Duin ACT, Pandit SA (2010) A reactive molecular dynamics simulation of the silica-water interface. *J Chem Phys* 132(17):174,704. doi:[10.1063/1.3407433](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3407433)
- Freund L (1998) *Dynamic fracture mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Gally BJ, Argon AS (2001) Brittle-to-ductile transitions in the fracture of silicon single crystals by dynamic crack arrest. *Phil Mag A* 81(3):699–740. doi:[10.1080/01418610108212168](https://doi.org/10.1080/01418610108212168)
- George A, Michot G (1993) Dislocation loops at crack tips: nucleation and growth an experimental study in silicon. *Mater Sci Eng A* 164(1–2):118–134. doi:[10.1016/0921-5093\(93\)90649-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-5093(93)90649-Y)
- Gleizer A, Peralta G, Kermode JR, De Vita A, Sherman D (2014) Dissociative chemisorption of O₂ inducing stress corrosion cracking in silicon crystals. *Phys Rev Lett* 112(11):115,501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.115501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.115501)
- Gordon PA, Neeraj T, Luton MJ (2009) The effect of heterogeneities on dislocation nucleation barriers from cracktips in α -Fe. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 17(2):025,005. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/17/2/025005](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/17/2/025005)
- Griffith AA (1921) The phenomena of rupture and flow in solids. *Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci* 221(582–593):163–198. doi:[10.1098/rsta.1921.0006](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1921.0006)
- Grujicic M, Zhao H, Krasko G (1997) Atomistic simulation of 3 (111) grain boundary fracture in tungsten containing various impurities. *Int J Refract Met Hard Mater* 15(5–6):341–355. doi:[10.1016/S0263-4368\(97\)87508-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-4368(97)87508-7)
- Guin JP, Wiederhorn SM, Fett T (2005) Crack-tip structure in soda-lime-silicate glass. *J Am Ceram Soc* 88(3):652–659. doi:[10.1111/j.1551-2916.2005.00108.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2005.00108.x)
- Gumbsch P (1999) Atomistic modelling of diffusion-controlled interfacial decohesion. *Mat Sci Eng A* 260:72–79. doi:[10.1016/S0921-5093\(98\)00984-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(98)00984-8)

- Gumbsch P (2001) Brittle fracture and the breaking of atomic bond. In: *Materials science for the 21st century*, vol A, JSMS, pp 50–58
- Gumbsch P, Cannon RM (2000) Atomistic aspects of brittle fracture. *MRS Bull* 25(05):15–20. doi:[10.1557/mrs2000.68](https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs2000.68)
- Gumbsch P (2003) Brittle fracture and the brittle-to-ductile transition of tungsten. *J Nucl Mater* 323:304–312
- Han K, Ciccotti M, Roux S (2010) Measuring nanoscale stress intensity factors with an atomic force microscope. *EPL* 89(6):66,003. doi:[10.1209/0295-5075/89/66003](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/89/66003)
- Hartmaier A, Gumbsch P (2005) Thermal activation of crack-tip plasticity: the brittle or ductile response of a stationary crack loaded to failure. *Phys Rev B* 71:024108. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.71.024108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.024108)
- Higashida K, Tanaka M, Hartmaier A, Hoshino Y (2008) Analyzing crack-tip dislocations and their shielding effect on fracture toughness. *Mater Sci Eng A* 483–484:13–18. doi:[10.1016/j.msea.2006.12.174](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2006.12.174)
- Hild F, Bouterf A, Roux A (2015) Damage measurements via DIC: from physical to mechanical damage. *Int J Fract.* doi:[10.1007/s10704-015-0004-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-015-0004-7)
- Hocker S, Beck P, Schmauder S, Roth J, Trebin HR (2012) Simulation of crack propagation in alumina with ab initio based polarizable force field. *J Chem Phys* 136(8):084,707. doi:[10.1063/1.3685900](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3685900)
- Hribernik M (2006) Cleavage oriented iron single crystal fracture toughness. PhD Thesis, University of California, Santa Barbara
- Joseph B, Picat M, Barbier F (1999) Liquid metal embrittlement: a state-of-the-art appraisal. *Eur Phys J Appl Phys* 5(1):19–31. doi:[10.1051/epjap:1999108](https://doi.org/10.1051/epjap:1999108)
- Kermode JR, Albaret T, Sherman D, Bernstein N, Gumbsch P, Payne MC, Csányi G, De Vita A (2008) Low-speed fracture instabilities in a brittle crystal. *Nature* 455(7217):1224–1227. doi:[10.1038/nature07297](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07297)
- Kermode JR, Cereda S, Tangney P, De Vita A (2010) A first principles based polarizable O(N) interatomic force field for bulk silica. *J Chem Phys* 133(9):094,102. doi:[10.1063/1.3475565](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3475565)
- Kermode JR, Ben-Bashat L, Atrash F, Cilliers JJ, Sherman D, De Vita A (2013) Macroscopic scattering of cracks initiated at single impurity atoms. *Nat Commun* 4:2441. doi:[10.1038/ncomms3441](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3441)
- Ki Nomura, Chen YC, Weiqiang W, Kalia RK, Nakano A, Vashishta P, Yang LH (2009) Interaction and coalescence of nanovoids and dynamic fracture in silica glass: multimillion-to-billion atom molecular dynamics simulations. *J Phys D* 42(21):214,011. doi:[10.1088/0022-3727/42/21/214011](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/42/21/214011)
- Kohlhoff S, Gumbsch P, Fischmeister HF (1991) Crack propagation in b.c.c. crystals studied with a combined finite-element and atomistic model. *Phil Mag A* 64(4):851–878. doi:[10.1080/01418619108213953](https://doi.org/10.1080/01418619108213953)
- Kumar S, Curtin WA (2007) Crack interaction with microstructure. *Mater Today* 10(9):34–44. doi:[10.1016/S1369-7021\(07\)70207-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(07)70207-9)
- Latapie A, Farkas D (2004) Molecular dynamics investigation of the fracture behavior of nanocrystalline α -Fe. *Phys Rev B* 69(13):134,110. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.69.134110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.134110)
- Lawn BR (1993) *Fracture of brittle solids*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Leblond J-B, Lazarus V, Karma A (2015) Multiscale cohesive zone model for propagation of segmented crack fronts in mode I+III fracture. *Int J Fract.* doi:[10.1007/s10704-015-0001-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-015-0001-x)
- Lechenault F, Rountree C, Cousin F, Bouchaud JP, Ponson L, Bouchaud E (2011) Evidence of deep water penetration in silica during stress corrosion fracture. *Phys Rev Lett* 106(16):1–4. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.165504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.165504)
- Lee GH, Cooper RC, An SJ, Lee S, van der Zande A, Petrone N, Hammerberg AG, Lee C, Crawford B, Oliver W, Kysar JW, Hone J (2013) High-strength chemical-vapor-deposited graphene and grain boundaries. *Science* 340(6136):1073–1076. doi:[10.1126/science.1235126](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235126)
- Lehmann G, Lomonosov AM, Hess P, Gumbsch P (2003) Impulsive fracture of fused quartz and silicon crystals by nonlinear surface acoustic waves. *J Appl Phys* 94(5):2907. doi:[10.1063/1.1594275](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1594275)
- Liu ZG, Wang CY, Yu T (2013) Influence of Re on the propagation of a Ni/Ni 3 Al interface crack by molecular dynamics simulation. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 21(4):045,009. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/21/4/045009](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/21/4/045009)
- Liu T, Groh S (2014) Atomistic modeling of the crack-void interaction in α -Fe. *Mater Sci Eng A* 609:255–265. doi:[10.1016/j.msea.2014.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2014.05.005)
- López-Cepero JM, Wiederhorn SM, Fett T, Guin JP (2007) Do plastic zones form at crack tips in silicate glasses? *Int J Mater Res* 98(12):1170–1176. doi:[10.3139/146.101583](https://doi.org/10.3139/146.101583)
- Lozovoi A, Paxton A, Finnis M (2006) Structural and chemical embrittlement of grain boundaries by impurities: a general theory and first-principles calculations for copper. *Phys Rev B* 74(15):155,416. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.74.155416](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.155416)
- Lu G, Zhang Q, Kioussis N, Kaxiras E (2001) Hydrogen-enhanced local plasticity in aluminum: an ab initio study. *Phys Rev Lett* 87(9):095,501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.095501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.095501)
- Lu G, Kaxiras E (2005) Hydrogen embrittlement of aluminum: the crucial role of vacancies. *Phys Rev Lett* 94(15):155,501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.155501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.155501)
- Luo J, Cheng H, Asl KM, Kiely CJ, Harmer MP (2011) The role of a bilayer interfacial phase on liquid metal embrittlement. *Science* 333(6050):1730–3. doi:[10.1126/science.1208774](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208774)
- Machová A, Spielmannová A, Hora P (2009) 3D atomistic simulation of the interaction between a ductile crack and a Cu nanoprecipitate. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 17(3):035,008. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/17/3/035008](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/17/3/035008)
- Makov G, Gattinoni C, De Vita A (2009) Ab initio based multiscale modelling for materials science. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 17(8):084,008. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/17/8/084008](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/17/8/084008)
- Marder M, Gross S (1995) Origin of crack tip instabilities. *J Mech Phys Solids* 43(1):1–48. doi:[10.1016/0022-5096\(94\)00060-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(94)00060-1)
- Marder M (2004) Effects of atoms on brittle fracture. *Int J Fract* 130(2):517–555. doi:[10.1023/B:FRAC.0000049501.35598.87](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:FRAC.0000049501.35598.87)
- Margine ER, Kolmogorov AN, Reese M, Mrovec M, Elsässer C, Meyer B, Drautz R, Pettifor DG (2011) Development of orthogonal tight-binding models for Ti-C and Ti-N sys-

- tems. *Phys Rev B* 84(15):155,120. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.84.155120](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.155120)
- Merle B, Göken M (2011) Fracture toughness of silicon nitride thin films of different thicknesses as measured by bulge tests. *Acta Mater* 59(4):1772–1779. doi:[10.1016/j.actamat.2010.11.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2010.11.043)
- Michalske TA, Freiman SW (1982) A molecular interpretation of stress corrosion in silica. *Nature* 295(5849):511–512. doi:[10.1038/295511a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/295511a0)
- Michot G (1988) Fundamentals of silicon fracture. *Crys Propert Prepar* 17–18:55–98
- Michot G (2011) Interaction of a dislocation with a crack tip: from stimulated emission to avalanche generation. *Acta Mater* 59(10):3864–3871. doi:[10.1016/j.actamat.2011.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2011.03.010)
- Miller R, Tadmor EB, Phillips R, Ortiz M (1998) Quasicontinuum simulation of fracture at the atomic scale. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 6(5):607–638. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/6/5/008](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/6/5/008)
- Möller JJ, Bitzek E (2015) On the influence of crack front curvature on the fracture behavior of nanoscale cracks, Submitted
- Möller JJ, Prakash A, Bitzek E (2013) FE2AT-finite element informed atomistic simulations. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 21(5):055,011. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/21/5/055011](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/21/5/055011)
- Möller JJ, Bitzek E (2014a) Comparative study of embedded atom potentials for atomistic simulations of fracture in α -iron. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 22(4):045,002. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/22/4/045002](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/22/4/045002)
- Möller JJ, Bitzek E (2014) Fracture toughness and bond trapping of grain boundary cracks. *Acta Mater* 73:1–11. doi:[10.1016/j.actamat.2014.03.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.03.035)
- Moras G, Ciacchi LC, Elsässer C, Gumbsch P, De Vita A (2010) Atomically smooth stress-corrosion cleavage of a hydrogen-implanted crystal. *Phys Rev Lett* 105(7):075,502. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.075502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.075502)
- Mrovec M, Gröger R, Bailey A, Nguyen-Manh D, Elsässer C, Vitek V (2007) Bond-order potential for simulations of extended defects in tungsten. *Phys Rev B* 75(10):104,119. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.75.104119](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.104119)
- Mrovec M, Nguyen-Manh D, Elsässer C, Gumbsch P (2011) Magnetic bond-order potential for iron. *Phys Rev Lett* 106(24):246,402. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.246402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.246402)
- Mughrabi H (2006) Specific features and mechanisms of fatigue in the ultrahigh-cycle regime. *Int J Fatigue* 28(11):1501–1508. doi:[10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2005.05.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2005.05.018)
- Muhlstein C, Stach E, Ritchie R (2002) A reaction-layer mechanism for the delayed failure of micron-scale polycrystalline silicon structural films subjected to high-cycle fatigue loading. *Acta Mater* 50(14):3579–3595. doi:[10.1016/S1359-6454\(02\)00158-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(02)00158-1)
- Muralidharan K, Simmons JH, Deymier P, Runge K (2005) Molecular dynamics studies of brittle fracture in vitreous silica: review and recent progress. *J Non Cryst Solids* 351(18):1532–1542. doi:[10.1016/j.jnoncrsol.2005.03.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrsol.2005.03.026)
- Musazadeh M, Dehghani K (2011) Molecular dynamic simulation of crack propagation in nanocrystalline Ni containing different shapes and types of second phases. *Comput Mater Sci* 50(11):3075–3079. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2011.04.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2011.04.032)
- Ogata S, Shimojo F, Kalia RK, Nakano A, Vashista P (2004) Environmental effects of H₂O on fracture initiation in silicon: a hybrid electronic-density-functional/molecular-dynamics study. *J Appl Phys* 95(10):5316. doi:[10.1063/1.1689004](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1689004)
- Ogata S, Lidorikis E, Shimojo F, Nakano A, Vashishta P, Kalia RK (2001) Hybrid finite-element/molecular-dynamics/electronic-density-functional approach to materials simulations on parallel computers
- Paliwal B, Cherkaoui M (2013) An improved atomistic simulation based mixed-mode cohesive zone law considering non-planar crack growth. *Int J Solids Struct* 50(20–21):3346–3360. doi:[10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2013.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2013.06.002)
- Pallares G, Grimaldi A, George M, Ponson L, Ciccotti M (2011) Quantitative analysis of crack closure driven by Laplace pressure in silica glass. *J Am Ceram Soc* 94(8):2613–2618. doi:[10.1111/j.1551-2916.2011.04471.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2011.04471.x)
- Pan Z, Rupert TJ (2014) Damage nucleation from repeated dislocation absorption at a grain boundary. *Comput Mater Sci* 93:206–209. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.07.008)
- Pastewka L, Pou P, Pérez R, Gumbsch P, Moseler M (2008) Describing bond-breaking processes by reactive potentials: importance of an environment-dependent interaction range. *Phys Rev B* 78(16):5. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.78.161402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.161402)
- Pastewka L, Mrovec M, Moseler M, Gumbsch P (2012) Bond order potentials for fracture, wear, and plasticity. *MRS Bull* 37(05):493–503. doi:[10.1557/mrs.2012.94](https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2012.94)
- Pastewka L, Klemenč A, Gumbsch P, Moseler M (2013) Screened empirical bond-order potentials for Si-C. *Phys Rev B* 87(20):205,410. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.87.205410](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.205410)
- Pérez R, Gumbsch P (2000) Directional anisotropy in the cleavage fracture of silicon. *Phys Rev Lett* 84(23):5347–5350
- Péron-Lühns V, Sansoz F, Jérusalem a, Noels L (2014) Multi-scale computational modeling of deformation mechanics and intergranular fracture in nanocrystalline copper. *Comput Mater Sci* 90:253–264. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.03.070](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.03.070)
- Petucci J, LeBlond C, Karimi M (2014) Molecular dynamics simulations of brittle fracture in fcc crystalline materials in the presence of defects. *Comput Mater Sci* 86:130–139. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.01.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.01.049)
- Plomp M, van Enckevort W, Vlieg E (2001) Controlling crystal surface termination by cleavage direction. *Phys Rev Lett* 86(22):5070–5072. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5070](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5070)
- Ponson L (2009) Depinning transition in the failure of inhomogeneous brittle materials. *Phys Rev Lett* 103(5):055,501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.055501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.055501)
- Rafii-Tabar H, Shodja H, Darabi M, Dahi A (2006) Molecular dynamics simulation of crack propagation in fcc materials containing clusters of impurities. *Mech Mater* 38(3):243–252. doi:[10.1016/j.mechmat.2005.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2005.06.006)
- Ravi-Chandar K, Knauss WG (1984) An experimental investigation into dynamic fracture: I. Crack initiation and arrest. *Int J Fract* 25(4):247–262. doi:[10.1007/BF00963460](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00963460)
- Rice JR (1992) Dislocation nucleation from a crack tip: an analysis based on the Peierls concept. *J Mech Phys Solids* 40(2):239–271. doi:[10.1016/S0022-5096\(05\)80012-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5096(05)80012-2)
- Riedle J, Gumbsch P, Fischmeister H (1996) Cleavage anisotropy in tungsten single crystals. *Phys Rev Lett* 76(19):3594–3597. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.3594](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.3594)

- Roberts S, Booth A, Hirsch P (1994) Dislocation activity and brittle-ductile transitions in single crystals. *Mater Sci Eng A* 176(1–2):91–98. doi:[10.1016/0921-5093\(94\)90962-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-5093(94)90962-8)
- Rösch F, Trebin HR (2009) Crack front propagation by kink formation. *EPL* 87(6):66,004. doi:[10.1209/0295-5075/87/66004](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/87/66004)
- Saxena A (2015) Creep and creep–fatigue crack growth. *Int J Fract.* doi:[10.1007/s10704-015-9994-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-015-9994-4)
- Schoeck G (1990) Thermally activated crack-propagation in brittle materials. *Int J Fract* 44(1):1–14. doi:[10.1007/BF00012548](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00012548)
- Seiser B, Pettifor D, Drautz R (2013) Analytic bond-order potential expansion of recursion-based methods. *Phys Rev B* 87(9):094,105. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.87.094105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.094105)
- Sen D, Thaulow C, Schieffer SV, Cohen A, Buehler MJ (2010) Atomistic study of crack-tip cleavage to dislocation emission transition in silicon single crystals. *Phys Rev Lett* 104(23):1–4. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.235502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.235502)
- Sherman D, Markovitz M, Barkai O (2008) Dynamic instabilities in (111) silicon. *J Mech Phys Solids* 56:376–387. doi:[10.1016/j.jmps.2007.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2007.05.010)
- Shilo D, Sherman D, Beery I, Zolotoyabko E (2002) Large local deflections of a dynamic crack front induced by intrinsic dislocations in brittle single crystals. *Phys Rev Lett* 89(23):235,504. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.235504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.235504)
- Sieradzki K, Newman RC (1985) Brittle behavior of ductile metals during stress-corrosion cracking. *Phil Mag A* 51(1):95–132. doi:[10.1080/01418618508245272](https://doi.org/10.1080/01418618508245272)
- Sinclair JE, Lawn BR (1972) An atomistic study of cracks in diamond-structure crystals. *Proc R Soc Lond A* 329:83–103
- Sinclair JE (1975) The influence of the interatomic force law and of kinks on the propagation of brittle cracks. *Phil Mag* 31:647–671
- Singh G, Kermode JR, De Vita A, Zimmerman RW (2014) Validity of linear elasticity in the crack-tip region of ideal brittle solids. *Int J Fract* 189(1):103–110. doi:[10.1007/s10704-014-9958-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-014-9958-0)
- Song J, Wa Curtin (2013) Atomic mechanism and prediction of hydrogen embrittlement in iron. *Nat Mater* 12(2):145–51. doi:[10.1038/nmat3479](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3479)
- Tahir AM, Janisch R, Hartmaier A (2013) Ab initio calculation of traction separation laws for a $\Sigma 5(310)[001]$ grain boundary in molybdenum with segregated C impurities. *Model Simul Mater Sci Eng* 21(7):075,005. doi:[10.1088/0965-0393/21/7/075005](https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/21/7/075005)
- Tangney P, Scandolo S (2002) An ab initio parametrized interatomic force field for silica. *J Chem Phys* 117(19):8898. doi:[10.1063/1.1513312](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1513312)
- Terentyev D, Gao F (2013) Blunting of a brittle crack at grain boundaries: an atomistic study in BCC Iron. *Mater Sci Eng A* 576:231–238. doi:[10.1016/j.msea.2013.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.04.012)
- Tersoff J (1988) New empirical approach for the structure and energy of covalent systems. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.37.6991](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.6991)
- Thaulow C, Sen D, Buehler MJ (2011) Atomistic study of the effect of crack tip ledges on the nucleation of dislocations in silicon single crystals at elevated temperature. *Mater Sci Eng A* 528(13–14):4357–4364. doi:[10.1016/j.msea.2011.01.087](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2011.01.087)
- Thomson R, Hsieh C, Rana V (1971) Lattice trapping of fracture cracks. *J Appl Phys* 42:3154
- Uhnáková A, Machová A, Hora P, Červená O (2014) Growth of a brittle crack (001) in 3D bcc iron crystal with a Cu nano-particle. *Comput Mater Sci* 83:229–234. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.10.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.10.037)
- van Duin ACT, Dasgupta S, Lorant F, Goddard WA III (2001) ReaxFF: a reactive force field for hydrocarbons. *J Phys Chem A* 105(41):9396–9409. doi:[10.1021/jp004368u](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp004368u)
- Voter AF, Montalenti F, Germann TC (2002) Extending the time scale in atomistic simulations of materials. *Annu Rev Mater Res* 32(1):321–346. doi:[10.1146/annurev.matsci.32.112601.141541](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.matsci.32.112601.141541)
- Wen M, Fukuyama S, Yokogawa K (2003) Atomistic simulations of effect of hydrogen on kink-pair energetics of screw dislocations in bcc iron. *Acta Mater* 51(6):1767–1773. doi:[10.1016/S1359-6454\(02\)00575-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(02)00575-X)
- Wiederhorn S (1967) Influence of water vapor on crack propagation in soda-lime glass. *J Am Ceram Soc* 50(8):407–414. doi:[10.1111/j.1151-2916.1967.tb15145.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1967.tb15145.x)
- Wiederhorn SM, Fett T, Guin JP, Ciccotti M (2013) Griffith cracks at the nanoscale. *Int J Appl Glas Sci* 4(2):76–86. doi:[10.1111/ijag.12025](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijag.12025)
- Wondraczek L, Dittmar A, Oelgardt C, Celarie F, Ciccotti M, Marliere C (2006) Real-time observation of a non-equilibrium liquid condensate confined at tensile crack tips in oxide glasses. *J Am Ceram Soc* 89(2):746–749. doi:[10.1111/j.1551-2916.2005.00765.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2005.00765.x)
- Wu M, Zhou K, Nazarov A (2007) Crack nucleation at disclinated triple junctions. *Phys Rev B* 76(13):134,105. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.76.134105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.134105)
- Wurster S, Motz C, Pippan R (2012) Characterization of the fracture toughness of micro-sized tungsten single crystal notched specimens. *Phil Mag* 92(14):1803–1825. doi:[10.1080/14786435.2012.658449](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786435.2012.658449)
- Xu GQ, Demkowicz MJ (2013) Healing of nanocracks by disclinations. *Phys Rev Lett* 111(14):145,501. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.145501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.145501)
- Yamakov V, Saether E, Phillips D, Glaessgen E (2006) Molecular-dynamics simulation-based cohesive zone representation of intergranular fracture processes in aluminum. *J Mech Phys Solids* 54(9):1899–1928. doi:[10.1016/j.jmps.2006.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2006.03.004)
- Yamakov V, Warner D, Zamora R, Saether E, Curtin W, Glaessgen E (2014) Investigation of crack tip dislocation emission in aluminum using multiscale molecular dynamics simulation and continuum modeling. *J Mech Phys Solids* 65:35–53. doi:[10.1016/j.jmps.2013.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2013.12.009)
- Yang Z, Zhou Y, Wang T, Liu Q, Lu Z (2014) Crack propagation behaviors at Cu/SiC interface by molecular dynamics simulation. *Comput Mater Sci* 82:17–25. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.09.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.09.029)
- Zamora R, Nair A, Hennig R, Warner D (2012) Ab initio prediction of environmental embrittlement at a crack tip in aluminum. *Phys Rev B* 86(6):1–5. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.86.060101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.060101)
- Zhang Y, Zhang FC, Qian LH, Wang TS (2011) Atomic-scale simulation of α/γ -iron phase boundary affecting crack propagation using molecular dynamics method. *Comput Mater Sci* 50(5):1754–1762. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2011.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2011.01.007)
- Zhang YA, Tao J, Chen X, Liu B (2014) Mixed-pattern cracking in silica during stress corrosion: a reactive molecu-

- lar dynamics simulation. *Comput Mater Sci* 82:237–243. doi:[10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.09.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.09.045)
- Zhong Y, Xiao F, Zhang J, Shan Y, Wang W, Yang K (2006) In situ TEM study of the effect of M/A films at grain boundaries on crack propagation in an ultra-fine acicular ferrite pipeline steel. *Acta Mater* 54(2):435–443. doi:[10.1016/j.actamat.2005.09.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2005.09.015)
- Zhu T, Li J, Yip S (2004a) Atomistic configurations and energetics of crack extension in silicon. *Phys Rev Lett* 93(20):205,504. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.205504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.205504)
- Zhu T, Li J, Yip S (2004b) Atomistic study of dislocation loop emission from a crack tip. *Phys Rev Lett* 93(2):025,503. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.025503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.025503)
- Zhu T, Li J, Lin X, Yip S (2005) Stress-dependent molecular pathways of silica water reaction. *J Mech Phys Solids* 53(7):1597–1623. doi:[10.1016/j.jmps.2005.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2005.02.002)
- Zhu T, Li J, Yip S (2006) Atomistic characterization of three-dimensional lattice trapping barriers to brittle fracture. *Proc Roy Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci* 462(2070):1741–1761. doi:[10.1098/rspa.2005.1567](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2005.1567)