Proof Delivery Form Please return this form with your proof

CUP reference:
Date of delivery:
Journal and Article number: BCP 370
Volume and Issue Number: 35(0)

Number of colour figures: Nil

Number of pages (not including this page): 10

There follows a proof of the article you have written for publication in **Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy**. Please check the proofs carefully, make any corrections necessary and answer queries on the proofs. Queries raised by the sub-editor are listed below; the text to which the queries refer is flagged in the margins of the proof.

Please return the **corrected proof** together with the **offprint order form** as soon as possible (no later than 4 days after receipt) to:

Carole Hughes (Copyeditor) 21 Shandon Road London SW4 9HS

Tel: 020 8675 3719 (evenings) or 020 7403 7458 (day)

Email: randc.hughes@virgin.net (for minor corrections this is the quickest way to respond)

To avoid delay from overseas, please send the proof by air mail or courier.

- You are responsible for correcting your proofs! Errors not found may appear in the published journal.
- The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted.
- Please answer carefully any queries raised from the sub-editor.
- A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the printer is required.

Note:

If you have any queries, please telephone the Editorial Office on Tel: 020 7848 5023 Fax: 020 7848 5024

Offprint order form



PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN THIS FORM. WE WILL BE UNABLE TO SEND OFFPRINTS (INCLUDING FREE OFFPRINTS) UNLESS A RETURN ADDRESS AND ARTICLE DETAILS ARE PROVIDED.

VAT REG NO. GB 823 8476 09

Offprints 25 offprints of each article will be supplied free to each first named author and sent to a single address. Please compete this form and send it to the publisher fuddress below). Please give the address to which your offprints should be sent. They will be desputched by surface mail within one month of publication. For an article by more than one author this form is sent to you as the first named. All extra offprints should be ordered by you is consultation with your co-authors. Number of offprints required in addition to the 25 free copies: Post/Zip Code:	Behavioural and	Cognitive Psyc	chotherapy	(BCP)	Volume:	ne	o:
Email: Offprints to be sent to (print in BLOCK CAPITALS): Post/Zlp Code: Telephone: Date (dd/mm/yy): Author(s): Article Title: Article Title: Article Title: Article Title: Article Title: Article Title: Article Title: Article T	Offprints 25 offprints of each article will be supplied free to each first named author and sent to a single address. Please complete this form and send it to the publisher (address below). Please give the address to which your offprints should be sent. They will be despatched by surface mail within one month of publication. For an article by more than one author this form is sent to you as the first named. All extra offprints should be ordered by you in						
Post/Zip Code: Telephone: Date (dd/mm/yy): / / Author(s): Article Title: All enquiries about offprints should be addressed to the publisher: Journals Production Department, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shafiesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Charges for extra offprints (excluding VAT) Please circle the appropriate charge: Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-4 pages 168 £109 £174 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £229 £321 £399 £109 \$109 \$163 £239 £321 £399 £109 £109 \$17-4 pages £68 £109 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 £20 £331 £450 £70 £104 £20 \$Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the trate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Expiry Date (mm/yy): / Card Verification Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): / Card Verification Number: For Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Number of offprints requ	iired in addition to the	25 free copies:				
Post/Zip Code: Telephone: Date (dd/mm/yy): / / Author(s): Article Title: All enquiries about offprints should be addressed to the publisher: Journals Production Department, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shafiesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Charges for extra offprints (excluding VAT) Please circle the appropriate charge: Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-4 pages 168 £109 £174 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £229 £321 £399 £109 \$109 \$163 £239 £321 £399 £109 £109 \$17-4 pages £68 £109 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 £20 £331 £450 £70 £104 £20 \$Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the trate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Expiry Date (mm/yy): / Card Verification Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): / Card Verification Number: For Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Email:						
Post/Zip Code:	Offprints to be sent to (p	rint in BLOCK CAPIT	ALS):				
Article Title: All enquiries about offprints should be addressed to the publisher: Journals Production Department, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Charges for extra offprints (excluding VAT) Please circle the appropriate charge: Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-14 pages 668 £109 £163 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £239 £321 £399 £109 9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the Tartapplicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT wou will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number: Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Card Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): Card Verification Number: The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For				Post/.	Zip Code:		
Article Title: All enquiries about offprints should be addressed to the publisher: Journals Production Department, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Charges for extra offprints (excluding VAT) Please circle the appropriate charge: Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-14 pages 668 £109 £163 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £239 £321 £399 £109 9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the Tartapplicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT wou will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number: Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Card Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): Card Verification Number: The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Telephone:		Date	e (dd/mm/yy):		/	. /
All enquiries about offprints should be addressed to the publisher: Journals Production Department, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Charges for extra offprints (excluding VAT) Please circle the appropriate charge: Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-4 pages £68 £109 £174 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £239 £321 £399 £109 9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT was are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT you will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Card Number: Card Verification Number: Card Verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your eard number. For	Author(s):						
All enquiries about offprints should be addressed to the publisher: Journals Production Department, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Charges for extra offprints (excluding VAT) Please circle the appropriate charge: Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-4 pages £68 £109 £174 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £239 £321 £399 £109 9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the Tate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT wou will be charged VAT at the UK rate. It registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. VAT Number: Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Verification Number:** The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Austote Tister						
Number of copies 25 50 100 150 200 per 50 extra 1-4 pages £68 £109 £174 £239 £309 £68 5-8 pages £109 £163 £239 £321 £399 £109 9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Verification Number:** Card Verification Number:** The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	All enquiries about offp Press, The Edinburgh Bu	rints should be addres uilding, Shaftesbury Ro	sed to the publi oad, Cambridge (sher : Journal CB2 2RU, UK	ls Production D		
5-8 pages £109 £163 £239 £321 £399 £109 9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Card Number: Expiry Date (nm/yy): Card Verification Number: The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	•	, ,	•			200	per 50 extra
9-16 pages £120 £181 £285 £381 £494 £120 17-24 pages £131 £201 £331 £451 £599 £131 Each Additional 1-8 pages £20 £31 £50 £70 £104 £20 Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT you will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number: Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Number:** Card Number:** Card Verification Number:** Card Verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For							
### 17-24 pages ### 131 ### 201 ### 231 ### 250 ### 25							
Methods of payment If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT wo will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Number:** Card Number: **Card Verification Number:** Card Verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For							
If you live in Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain or Sweden and are not registered for VAT we are required to charge VAT at the rate applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT you will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Number:** **Expiry Date (mm/yy): **Card Verification Number:** Card Verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Each Additional 1-8 pages	£20	£31	£50	£70	£104	£20
applicable in your country of residence. If you live in any other country in the EU and are not registered for VAT you will be charged VAT at the UK rate. If registered, please quote your VAT number, or the VAT number of any agency paying on your behalf if it is registered. Payment must be included with your order, please tick which method you are using: Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Number:** **Expiry Date (mm/yy): **Card Verification Number:** Card Verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Methods of payment						
 □ Cheques should be made out to Cambridge University Press. □ Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. □ Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Card Number: Expiry Date (nm/yy): Card Verification Number: The eard verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your eard number. For 	applicable in your country of r If registered, please quote y	esidence. If you live in any our VAT number, or the	other country in the I	EU and are not re	gistered for VAT yo	u will be charged V	AT at the UK rate.
Payment by someone else. Please enclose the official order when returning this form and ensure that when the order is sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. **Card Number:** **Expiry Date (mm/yy):	Payment must be includ	ed with your order, ple	ase tick which m	nethod you are	e using:		
sent it mentions the name of the journal and the article title. Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Card Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): Card Verification Number: The eard verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your eard number. For	☐ Cheques should	l be made out to Camb	ridge University	Press.			
Payment may be made by any credit card bearing the Interbank Symbol. Card Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): Card Verification Number: The eard verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your eard number. For	☐ Payment by sor	neone else. Please encl	ose the official of	order when ret	urning this form	and ensure tha	t when the order is
Card Number: Expiry Date (mm/yy): Card Verification Number: The eard verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your eard number. For		•					
Expiry Date (mm/yy): Card Verification Number: The eard verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	☐ Payment may b	e made by any credit c	ard bearing the I	nterbank Sym	ıbol.		
The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Card Number:						
The card verification number is a 3 digit number printed on the back of your Visa or Master card, it appears after and to the right of your card number. For	Expiry Date ((mm/yv):	/	Card Verific	ation Number:		
American Express the verification number is 4 digits, and printed on the front of your card, after and to the right of your card number.	The card verification number	is a 3 digit number printed of		Visa or Master c	ard, it appears after		your card number. For
American express the vertication number is 4 digits, and printed on the front of your card, after and to the right of your card number. Amount	American Express the verme	ation number is 7 digits, and	prince on the Holl	or your care, and	_	•	
Signature of (Including VAT					(I	ncluding VAT	f
card holder: if appropriate): £ Please advise if address registered with card company is different from above					······································	арртортине).	~



transfer of copyright
Please read the notes overleaf and then complete, sign, and return this form to Sue Perkins, Journals Publishing, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK as soon as possible.

Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy

	nes):
	copyright belongs to you
I/we hereby assign	to Cambridge University Press, full copyright in all formats and media in the said contribution.
	am/we are the sole owner or co-owners of the material and have full power to make this agreement, and sees not contain any libellous matter or infringe any existing copyright.
	nt that permission has been obtained from the copyright holder for any material not in my/our copyright eacknowledgement made to the original source. I/we attach copies of all permission correspondence.
I/we hereby assert	my/our moral rights in accordance with the UK Copyrights Designs and Patents Act (1988).
Signed (tick one)	☐ the sole author(s)
	one author authorised to execute this transfer on behalf of all the authors of the above article
Name (block letter	s)
Institution/Compa	ny
Signature:	Date:
(Additional authors sh	hould provide this information on a separate sheet.)
a Name and addr	copyright does not belong to you ess of copyright holder
b The copyright h	nolder hereby grants to Cambridge University Press the non-exclusive right to publish the contribution in the leal with requests from third parties in the manner specified in paragraphs 3 and 5 overleaf.
(Signature of co	pyright holder or authorised agent)
US Government I/we certify that the copyright exists.	exemption the paper above was written in the course of employment by the United States Government so that no
Signature:	Name (Block letters):
(see para. 4 over	ed by Cambridge University Press for permission to reprint this article should be sent to rleaf) (block letters)
	I/we warrant that I that the material do I/we further warran and the appropriate I/we hereby assert Signed (tick one) Name (block letter Institution/Compa Signature:

Notes for contributors

- 1 The Journal's policy is to acquire copyright in all contributions. There are two reasons for this: (a) ownership of copyright by one central organisation tends to ensure maximum international protection against unauthorised use; (b) it also ensures that requests by third parties to reprint or reproduce a contribution, or part of it, are handled efficiently and in accordance with a general policy that is sensitive both to any relevant changes in international copyright legislation and to the general desirability of encouraging the dissemination of knowledge.
- 2 Two 'moral rights' were conferred on authors by the UK Copyright Act in 1988. In the UK an author's 'right of paternity', the right to be properly credited whenever the work is published (or performed or broadcast), requires that this right is asserted in writing.
- 3 Notwithstanding the assignment of copyright in their contribution, all contributors retain the following non-transferable rights:
- The right to (continue to) post a preprint of the contribution on their personal or departmental web page provided the first screen contains the statement that the paper has been accepted for publication in Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy published by Cambridge University Press together with the appropriate copyright notice. On publication the full bibliographical details (volume: issue number (date), page numbers) must be inserted after the journal title.
- Subject to file availability, the right to post the contribution as published on their own or their departmental home page provided the first screen includes full bibliographical details and the appropriate copyright notice.
- The right to make hard copies of the contribution or an adapted version for their own purposes, including the right to make multiple copies for course use by their students, provided no sale is involved.
- The right to reproduce the paper or an adapted version of it in any volume of which they are editor or author.
 Permission will automatically be given to the publisher of such a volume, subject to normal acknowledgement.
- 4 We shall use our best endeavours to ensure that any direct request we receive to reproduce your contribution, or a substantial part of it, in another publication (which may be an electronic publication) is approved by you before permission is given.
- 5 Cambridge University Press co-operates in various licensing schemes that allow material to be photocopied within agreed restraints (e.g. the CCC in the USA and the CLA in the UK). Any proceeds received from such licenses, together with any proceeds from sales of subsidiary rights in the Journal, directly support its continuing publication.
- 6 It is understood that in some cases copyright will be held by the contributor's employer. If so, Cambridge University Press requires non-exclusive permission to deal with requests from third parties, on the understanding that any requests it receives from third parties will be handled in accordance with paragraphs 4 and 5 above (note that your approval and not that of your employer will be sought for the proposed use).
- 7 Permission to include material not in your copyright
 If your contribution includes textual or illustrative material not in your copyright and not covered by fair use / fair
 dealing, permission must be obtained from the relevant copyright owner (usually the publisher or via the publisher) for
 the non-exclusive right to reproduce the material worldwide in all forms and media, including electronic publication.
 The relevant permission correspondence should be attached to this form.

If you are in doubt about whether or not permission is required, please consult the Permissions Controller, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK. Fax: +44 (0)1223 315052. Email: lnicol@cup.cam.ac.uk.

Please make a duplicate of this form for your own records

Attitudes Towards Computerized CBT for Depression Amongst a Student Population

Nicky Mitchell

University of Portsmouth, UK

P. Kenneth Gordon

University of Southampton, UK

Abstract. Some studies of computerized cognitive behaviour therapy (CCBT) have found evidence of its effectiveness, yet a number have reported low uptake and/or completion rates. This study investigated attitudes towards CCBT for depression amongst 122 university students. The credibility of CCBT, expectancy-for-improvement and perceived likelihood of using it were all poor, although a minority (9.8%) stated a preference for CCBT over other interventions. When 20 of the original sample received a demonstration of a CCBT programme for depression, significant increases in credibility, expectancy-for-improvement and in perceived likelihood of using CCBT were found. Numbers stating a preference for CCBT increased to 30%. At both stages, most students stated a preference for CCBT to be accompanied by counselling. Qualitative analysis provided information about factors that might influence these attitudes. Implications for service delivery are discussed.

Keywords: Self-help, CCBT, depression, attitudes, credibility, expectancy.

Introduction

There is increasing interest in the use of self-help for emotional and mental health difficulties (Department of Health, 1999; Lewis et al., 2003). Lovell and Richards (2000) have argued the case for "stepped-care", in which less therapist-intensive, accessible treatments such as self-help are routinely offered first to many service users. Computerized cognitive behaviour therapy (CCBT) has been developed as an interactive self-help intervention that may include some non-specific features of the therapy relationship such as empathy, alliance and motivation (Cavanagh and Shapiro, 2004). CCBT programs exist for a variety of difficulties, and although the evidence-base remains small to date, certain programs are now recommended as one choice within the treatment of depression and anxiety (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence [NICE], 2006).

Therapists may still have reservations about CCBT; Whitfield and Williams' (2004) survey of BABCP-accredited therapists revealed a majority view of the approach as a supplement

Reprint requests to Nicky Mitchell, Counselling Service, Department for Curriculum and Quality Enhancement, Nuffield Centre, St Michael's Road, Portsmouth PO1 2ED, UK. E-mail: nicky.mitchell@port.ac.uk

rather than an alternative to clinician-guided therapy, with views that it would be less effective and lead to lower client satisfaction. A NICE-associated Health Technology Assessment report (Kaltenthaler et al., 2002; Kaltenthaler, Parry and Beverley, 2004) noted the lack of information about patient preferences, treatment acceptability and satisfaction in CCBT studies. It recommended qualitative studies of attitudes towards and experiences of CCBT. Little is known about the influences on service users' willingness to accept CCBT (Murray et al., 2003).

Information about attitudes towards CCBT may be suggested indirectly, for example from attrition figures. In a randomized controlled trial of "Beating the Blues" (BtB) (Proudfoot et al., 2003), 35% of 89 program users ended prematurely. A BtB pilot by Van den Berg, Shapiro, Bickerstaffe and Cavanagh (2004) with 115 patients had a 45% attrition rate. Few users reportedly gave unfavourable feedback, but terminators were not followed up.

The tendency to use self-recruitment has made investigation of initial acceptability of CCBT difficult. However, in the Proudfoot et al. (2003) study, 32% of 310 patients who were offered a screening appointment declined. Whitfield, Hinshelwood, Pashley, Campsie and Williams (2006) conducted a pilot of the CCBT program "Overcoming Depression", recruiting through clinical psychology referrals. Of 78 referrals offered a screening appointment, only 28% attended, although the authors suggested that this may be related to the secondary care setting and participants' anticipation of having clinician-guided treatment. Even when using self-referrals, Marks et al. (2003) reported that 20% of 210 participants given a choice of four CCBT programs refused CCBT at screening stage.

Who then is likely to accept or decline CCBT? Murray et al. (2003) studied 81 patients who accepted or refused CCBT for bulimia. No differences were found in symptom severity, age, gender, or previous use/benefit of self-help, but non-engagers had significantly lower expectations of the usefulness of CCBT for themselves, although not for others. Misconceptions were reported about issues such as availability of therapist support. The authors stressed the need for patients' views about self-help to be explored and, where necessary, corrected, in order for its use to be better accepted.

Against this background, and the recommendations of NICE (2002), this study makes a preliminary exploration of attitudes to CCBT for depression amongst university students. The mental health needs of students have been noted (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2003) as progressively approximating those of the general population, with increasing numbers seeking help for serious mental health problems. The attitudes under investigation are expectancy-for-improvement, credibility, perceived likelihood of use, intervention preference, and preference for therapist support. The first phase of the study investigates these attitudes at baseline, whilst the second tests whether a demonstration of the program, promoting socialization to CCBT (Beck, Rush, Shaw and Emery, 1979) can facilitate improved attitudes.

Method

Participants and recruitment

Participants were 122 students at Portsmouth University (61.5% female, 36.9% male, 2 unknown, mean age 23.30), self-selected from a poster advertisement offering entry into a prize draw in return for helping the Counselling Service "with a questionnaire researching attitudes towards computerized self-help". Twenty participants from Stage One were randomly

chosen for Stage Two from those expressing a willingness to participate further on consent forms. These were 75% female, 25% male, mean age 23.05. They received a £5 music token for their time. As the gender balance of the university is 47.0% female, 53.0% male, these samples included a disproportionate number of female participants.

Procedure

Posters were placed in a number of public university locations. CCBT survey packs (detailed below and including information/consent forms), were left nearby. In Stage Two, participants attended the University Counselling Service individually, where the first author introduced a 30-minute demonstration of parts of the first two sessions of a CCBT program by telling participants that they were to have a brief orientation to the program without actually participating in it, in order to give them a live experience of the range of formats used within the program, and to get an overall "feel" for it. They were informed that the interviewer would complete selected parts of the program as might a mildly depressed user. Attitude scales (Borkovec and Matthews, 1988) were repeated immediately after this.

Materials

Demographics form. This included questions about age, gender, and experiences/ treatment of anxiety/depression.

Credibility/expectancy scales (Borkovec and Matthews, 1988). These scales were designed to test for equitable expectancy and rationale credibility in controlled clinical trials including CBT (Borkovec and Costello, 1993; Borkovec, Newman, Pincus and Lytle, 2002). They consist of 9-point Likert-type ratings of three aspects of credibility (treatment logic, expected success, and confidence in recommending to a friend). Expectancy-for-improvement is rated as a percentage of how much improvement the participant thinks will occur from the intervention.

Additional questions. The credibility/expectancy scales were extended for this study to include a percentage rating of the perceived likelihood of using four interventions (counselling, self-help book, CCBT and Internet) if depressed. Participants also specified their first-choice intervention (from these four, or an "other" category). Finally, they were asked whether they would prefer to use CCBT with or without also speaking to a counsellor. Open questions were used to elicit information on reasons for preferences, and perceived advantages/disadvantages of CCBT (from Murray et al., 2003). The full questionnaire (available from the authors) includes detailed instructions, intended to ensure that respondents answer the items as if they are currently experiencing depression.

"Overcoming Depression" CD-ROM (Williams, Taylor, Aubin, Harkin and Cottrell, 2002). This six-session interactive CD-ROM uses CBT principles to treat adults with mild to moderate depression. Although this program has an insufficient evidence-base to date for recommendation by NICE (2006), it was used in this study simply for demonstration purposes and, as such, appears to offer a valid example of current practice.

Analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS-11 for Windows. Given that most of the questionnaires involved ordinal ratings, a non-parametric approach was chosen. Since the participants were predominantly female, the Mann-Whitney test was used to assess any effects of gender. There were no significant differences for any of the study variables. Raw data are presented on initial attitudes, and changes between Stages One and Two are assessed using the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test (two-tailed). A simple qualitative analysis, based on the method of Boyzatis (1998), was used to identify themes within the responses to open questions. Thematic codes were initially assigned by the first investigator and then subjected to a reliability check, in which the second investigator independently coded responses from a random sample of 50 Stage One participants. Inter-rater agreement was satisfactory, ranging from 81.0% to 87.3% across questions, so no changes were made to the codings.

Results

Stage One

Mental health information. Eighty participants (65.6%) identified themselves as having previous or current difficulties with either depression or anxiety (more specific detail was not requested); a further 9.8% indicated uncertainty about this. Counselling had been used by 40.2%, 28.7% had read a self-help book, 77.9% had used the Internet for health reasons, and 0.8% had used CCBT.

Attitudes towards CCBT for depression

Credibility. Total scores on the three items ranged between 3 and 26 (maximum credibility = 27), with mean (M) = 12.20 and standard deviation (SD) = 5.25.

Expectancy-for-improvement. Scores ranged between 0% and 70%, M = 34.7% (SD = 19.4%).

Likelihood of using each intervention. The mean perceived likelihood of each targeted intervention being used if depressed was: counselling 61.8% (SD = 26.6%), Internet 60.9% (SD = 27.8%), CCBT 47.6% (SD = 29.7%), self-help book 43.0% (SD = 26.9%).

Intervention preference. Counselling was the preferred choice of 54.9% of participants, followed by the Internet (17.2%), CCBT (9.8%), self-help book (6.6%) and other interventions (7.4%). A variety of reasons were cited for choices, with typical themes being the interpersonal nature of counselling, versus the privacy and convenience of self-help methods. Full details are given in Table 1.

Advantages and disadvantages of using CCBT. A more detailed picture emerges from the thematic analysis of data on these questions, with similar interpersonal and practical factors emerging, as shown in Table 2.

Preference for using CCBT for depression with or without additional counselling. 73.9% of participants stated a preference, if using CCBT, to do so alongside counselling, while 26.1%

Counselling (54.9%)	Internet (17.2%)	CCBT (9.8%)	Self-help book (6.6%)	Other (7.4%)
Better to talk (35) Interpersonal factors (26) Tailored treatment (13) Previous experience (6)	Privacy (16) Accessibility (12) Cost (3)	Privacy (10) Independent self-help (3) Initial self-management (3) Interactivity (2)	Privacy (4) Accessibility (2)	Friends (5) Family (5) Medical (2)

Table 1. Thematic analysis of intervention preferences (Stage One – 122 participants)

Tabulated data refer to the frequency of each intervention being cited as first choice (excluding 4.1% missing data), and the breakdown of reasons given (number citing each theme in brackets).

Table 2. Perceived advantages and disadvantages of using CCBT if depressed (Stage One)

Advantages	Disadvantages
Privacy (53)	Impersonal (39)
Accessibility (43)	Limited/general ideas (23)
Info/techniques/advice (8)	Symptom-related (12)
First step (7)	Isolation (11)
Cost (6)	Talking helps (10)
"None" (5)	Commitment problems (9)
Diagnosis/problem recognition (4)	Computer issues (8)
Non-judgement (4)	No monitoring/clarification (5)
Opportunity to help self (4)	Less confidence in approach (4)
Distraction from depression (3)	Could feel worse (4)
Familiarity of technology (3)	Possible misinformation (3)
	"None" (2)
	Cost (2)
	Cynicism (2)
	Feel "palmed off" (2)
	Boring (2)

Note: The number of participants citing each theme is shown in brackets.

preferred to use CCBT alone. Of participants who indicated CCBT as their first choice, only 25% preferred to have additional counselling.

Stage Two

Where before/after changes are reported below, the "before" data refer to the sub-sample who took part in stage two, not the whole group. Effect size was calculated to illustrate the magnitude of change, using Cohen's benchmark of $r \ge 0.5$ to represent a large effect (Cohen, 1988, cited by Field, 2005). As shown, data exceed this benchmark for credibility, expectancy-for-improvement, and likelihood of using CCBT for depression.

Credibility. Total scores ranged between 8 and 25 after the demonstration (M = 19.20, SD = 4.79). There was a significant increase in credibility with the median increasing from 13.50 to 20.50 (z = -3.29, p < 0.001, r = -0.52).

Expectancy-for-improvement. Scores ranged between 30% and 80%, M = 60.0% (SD = 15.6%). There was a significant increase in expectancy scores after the demonstration, with the median increasing from 40.0% to 60.0% (z = -3.54, p < .001, r = -0.56).

Likelihood of using each intervention. Mean likelihood of using CCBT was 69.5% (SD = 24.2%). After the demonstration, there was a significant increase in likelihood of CCBT being chosen, with the median increasing from 40.0% to 80.0% (z = -3.22, p = .001, r = -0.51). There were no significant changes for any other intervention choice.

Intervention preference. Choice of CCBT as the preferred intervention increased from three (15%) to six (30%) in Stage Two, with a corresponding decrease in preference for counselling. Sample size prevented statistical analysis of the significance of this change.

Perceived advantages/disadvantages of using CCBT if depressed. The themes that emerged overlapped with those elicited in Stage One, with the privacy of CCBT being most commonly cited as an advantage (40% of participants). A number of comments also suggested a positive shift in attitude as a result of the demonstration. Examples include: "I expected it to be like a book and was surprised it had audio and video clips", "more interactive than I expected", "I no longer worry that it isn't personal enough". Other positive comments include: "very informative, asks you questions which it then relates into your own circumstances", "appears to understand many different problems, and suggests realistic targets", "non-critical, non-threatening", and "motivating". Where disadvantages were given, these echoed previous themes (CCBT lacking specificity, being impersonal). Comments that seemed to relate specifically to viewing the programme included the following: "a lot of work, may feel unachievable", "perhaps more feedback should be included", and "long winded – could be hard to concentrate for long".

Preference for using CCBT for depression with or without additional counselling. Fifteen (75%) of Stage Two participants stated a preference for using CCBT in addition to counselling, with five (25%) preferring to use CCBT alone.

Discussion

Pre-Demonstration Attitudes towards CCBT

In Stage One, students' attitudes towards CCBT following only a brief description of the approach were examined. Participants were not convinced of the credibility of CCBT for depression at this stage. Credibility ratings produced a per-item mean of 4.07, which was below the mid-point on the nine-point scale, suggesting CCBT was seen as less than "somewhat credible". By comparison, Borkovec and Costello (1993) and Borkovec et al. (2002) reported credibility figures of 6.95 and 7.58 respectively for therapist-guided CBT (TCBT). The mean expectancy score of 34.7% is substantially lower than the 68.5% and 67.5% reported in these two studies, and suggests that participants had moderately low expectancy-for-improvement from CCBT. The perceived likelihood of using CCBT, at 47.6%, is lower than that for counselling or the Internet. However, the finding that almost 10% of participants rated CCBT as

their first choice intervention suggests that a minority of participants may be actively interested in such an intervention.

Participants citing counselling as their first choice reported their reasons as including the importance of talking and interpersonal factors. Privacy and treatment accessibility appeared to be important considerations for participants stating a preference for any of the self-help options, and also for participants considering the advantages of CCBT (mentioned by 43.4% and 35.2% of participants respectively). At the same time, CCBT was cited as impersonal by one-third of participants, and as likely to provide only limited or general ideas. Schmidt (2003) has discussed evidence contradicting the view of CCBT as impersonal, and some of the disadvantages cited in this study may be based on misconceptions (Murray et al., 2003). Whether based on reality or misconception however, such factors may be relevant to an individual's treatment preferences.

Impact of CCBT demonstration

The hypothesis that a CCBT demonstration would impact on attitudes towards CCBT was confirmed. Specifically, after demonstration, scores for credibility, expectancy-for-improvement and likelihood of using CCBT all rose by at least 50%, and despite the small sample size, the increases were highly significant, with large effect sizes. CCBT was cited as the preferred intervention twice as often at this stage, albeit with an inadequate sample size for statistical analysis. In terms of clinical impact, the per-item mean credibility of 6.40 represents at least a "somewhat credible" label, although it remains lower than TCBT figures reported above. The mean expectancy rises to 60%, but again it remains lower than TCBT figures. The 69.5% likelihood of using CCBT is higher than the mean likelihood of attending counselling cited by participants in Stage One and appears "moderately high". These changes were reflected in subjective comments, with more favourable views towards CCBT emerging. Some comments suggest the reduction of misconceptions. Positive attitudes were not universal, however, and some participants remained unlikely to choose to use CCBT.

Preference for using CCBT with or without counselling

A large majority of participants preferred the idea of CCBT alongside therapeutic support both prior to and after the CCBT demonstration. This might support the NICE (2002) recommendation of ongoing monitoring of CCBT users, although this study does not determine whether participants had in mind monitoring or therapy. There is some preliminary indication in the study that the participants who rated CCBT as their first choice were particularly likely to prefer CCBT without additional input. These are students that CCBT might very usefully target.

Study limitations

Caution is needed in generalizing from these data to a wider population. Whilst students' mental health needs increasingly match those of the wider population, there are differences in this group, notably in educational achievement, but probably also in particular attitudes. It seems reasonable to hypothesize that students may be more likely to have favourable attitudes towards technology than the general public. If this is true, the rather low confidence in CCBT

may be larger in other populations. Additionally, a surprisingly large proportion of the sample reported personal experience of depression or anxiety. This could mean that people with current depressed mood had tended to volunteer for the survey. If so, they would be more representative of a real life situation in which CCBT was offered. It could be that attitudes towards CCBT would be more positive for those who are depressed (as found in relation to counselling by Halgin, Weaver, Edell and Spencer, 1987), although as these authors also found that previous input leads to intervention allegiance, the large number (40.2%) who had accessed counselling may instead have skewed the data towards a preference for face-to-face contact. Alternatively, respondents may have simply used the terms depression and anxiety to refer to temporary mood states, rather than mental health disorders. More exact information on current mental health status and a wider participant pool would be useful in any future study.

The short demonstration with a clinician present is a rather different experience from sitting alone for a prolonged period when depressed attempting to use a CCBT program. It may be more similar to the therapist-guided CCBT that appeared to be preferred by the majority in this study. An opportunity for participants to simply familiarize themselves with the package could be another option worth testing.

The qualitative analysis provides a flavour of participants' attitudes towards CCBT, but must be interpreted cautiously. Whilst the study attempted to avoid generalization by clearly asking for any advantages "for you" of using CCBT, not all participants may have acknowledged this. It cannot be ruled out that, as with Murray et al.'s (2003) findings with bulimic clients, CCBT was seen by some as having advantages for others but not themselves. Finally, identification of advantages/disadvantages may reveal little about actual uptake of CCBT, as found by Murray et al. (2003). An interview methodology might offer one way to gain more clarification and elaboration of themes emerging here.

Implications for clinical practice

Attitudes towards CCBT found in Stage One may provide some insight into the low uptake reported in some studies. Only one participant had previously used CCBT and given its relative novelty it may be unrealistic to expect very favourable attitudes at this time. Such attitudes need to be facilitated if the numbers of individuals who may benefit from such treatment are to be reached. This study suggests that a simple demonstration, perhaps offered as a "taster session", may be an effective way to do so within services where CCBT is used.

A further potential obstacle to CCBT acceptance may relate to methods of referral. When individuals are referred or refer themselves to psychological therapy, they may expect an interpersonal treatment, which could reduce the appeal and acceptability of alternative interventions. It is possible that CCBT offered as easily accessed self-help, before a therapy referral, may improve uptake (Whitfield et al., 2006). This is borne out by our participants' endorsement of CCBT accessibility and its role as a first step.

A small number of participants in this study cited a requirement to attend a clinic to use CCBT as a disadvantage, and accessibility was a frequently cited advantage of all the self-help options. The development of online versions of CCBT, available for use at home, may therefore increase its acceptability.

In conclusion, this study suggests that, if CCBT is to be used to rectify the shortfall of CBT provision for mild to moderate depression, services must address user attitudes and preferences.

Socialization to the approach seems vital, using methods such as the demonstration of materials adopted here. CCBT must not be wholly separated from therapist contact if it is to be acceptable to larger numbers of service users. CCBT may never be the first choice option for a majority; however, it may serve the needs of a group who might not otherwise be effectively helped, and it might have a place in both Primary Care and Higher Education as a first line treatment for depressed individuals.

References

- Beck, A. T., Rush, A. J., Shaw, B. F. and Emery, G. (1979). Cognitive Therapy of Depression. New York: Guilford.
- **Borkovec, T. D. and Mathews, A. M.** (1988). Treatment of nonphobic anxiety disorders: a comparison of nondirective, cognitive, and coping desensitization therapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 56, 877–884.
- **Borkovec, T. D. and Costello, E.** (1993). Efficacy of applied relaxation and cognitive-behavioral therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61, 611–619.
- Borkovec, T. D., Newman, M. G., Pincus, A. L. and Lytle, R. (2002). A component analysis of cognitive behavioral therapy for generalized anxiety disorder and the role of interpersonal problems. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70, 288–298.
- **Boyzatis, R. E.** (1998). Transforming Qualitative Information: thematic analysis and code development. California: Sage.
- **Cavanagh, K. and Shapiro, D. A.** (2004). Computer treatment for common mental health problems. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 239–251.
- **Cohen, J.** (1988). Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences (2nd Ed.). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- **Department of Health** (1999). A National Service Framework for Mental Health. London: Department of Health.
- Field, A. (2005). Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (2nd Ed.). London: Sage.
- Halgin, R. P., Weaver, D. D., Edell, W. S. and Spencer, P. G. (1987). Relation of depression and help-seeking history to attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 34, 177–185.
- **Kaltenthaler**, E., Parry, G. and Beverley, C. (2004). Computerized cognitive behaviour therapy: a systematic review. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 32, 31–55.
- Kaltenthaler, E., Shackley, P., Stevens, K., Beverley, C., Parry, G. and Chilcott, J. (2002). A systematic review and economic evaluation of computerized cognitive behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety: executive summary. *Health Technology Assessment*, 6, 22.
- Lewis, G., Anderson, L., Araya, R., Elgie, R., Harrison, G., Proudfoot, J., Schmidt, U., Sharp, D., Weightman, A. and Williams, C. (2003). *Self-Help Interventions for Mental Health Problems*. Report to the Department of Health R and D Programme. http://www.nimhe.org.uk/downloads/self-help.pdf
- **Lovell, K. and Richards, D.** (2000). Multiple access points and levels of entry (MAPLE): ensuring choice, accessibility and equity of CBT services. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 28, 379–391.
- Marks, I. M., Mataix-Cols, D., Kenwright, M., Cameron, R., Hirsch, S. and Gega, L. (2003). Pragmatic evaluation of computer-aided self-help for anxiety and depression. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 183, 57–65.
- Murray, K., Pombo-Carril, M. G., Bara-Carril, N., Grover, M., Reid, Y., Langham, C., Birchall, H., Williams, C., Treasure, J. and Schmidt, U. (2003). Factors determining uptake of a CD-ROM-based

- CBT self-help treatment for bulimia: patient characteristics and subjective appraisals of self-help treatment. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 11, 243–260.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) (2002). Guidance on the use of computerised cognitive behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression. *Technology Appraisal Guidance No. 51*. London: NICE.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) (2006). Computerised Cognitive Behaviour Therapy for Depression and Anxiety: review of technology appraisal 51. NICE Technology Appraisal 97. London: NICE.
- Proudfoot, J., Goldberg, D., Mann, A., Everitt, B., Marks, I. and Gray, J. A. (2003). Computerized, interactive, multimedia cognitive-behavioural program for anxiety and depression in general practice. *Psychological Medicine*. 33, 217–227.
- **Royal College of Psychiatrists** (2003). *The Mental Health of Students in Higher Education*. Council Report CR112. http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/publications/cr/council/cr112.pdf
- **Schmidt, U.** (2003). Getting technical. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 11, 147–154.
- Van den Berg, S., Shapiro, D. A., Bickerstaffe, D. and Cavanagh, K. (2004). Computerized cognitive-behaviour therapy for anxiety and depression: a practical solution to the shortage of trained therapists. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 11, 508–513.
- **Whitfield, G. and Williams, C.** (2004). If the evidence is so good, why doesn't anyone use them? A national survey of the use of computerized cognitive behaviour therapy. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 32, 57–65.
- Whitfield, G., Hinshelwood, R., Pashley, A., Campsie, L. and Williams, C. (2006). The impact of a novel computerized CBT CD Rom (Overcoming Depression) offered to patients referred to Clinical Psychology. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 34, 1–11.
- Williams, C. J., Taylor, L., Aubin, S. D., Harkin, P. J. R. and Cottrell, D. (2002). Overcoming Depression: a five areas approach self-help package. Leeds: Calipso/University of Leeds (www.calipso.co.uk).