

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Torgler, Benno; Schneider, Friedrich

Working Paper

Attitudes Towards Paying Taxes in Austria: An Empirical Analysis

CREMA Working Paper, No. 2004-27

Provided in Cooperation with:

CREMA - Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts, Zürich

Suggested Citation: Torgler, Benno; Schneider, Friedrich (2004): Attitudes Towards Paying Taxes in Austria: An Empirical Analysis, CREMA Working Paper, No. 2004-27, Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts (CREMA), Basel

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10419/214313

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts

Attitudes Towards Paying Taxes in Austria: An Empirical Analysis

Benno Torgler Friedrich Schneider

Working Paper No. 2004 - 27

Attitudes Towards Paying Taxes in Austria: An Empirical Analysis*

BENNO TORGLER**

Yale Center for International and Area Studies, USA Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts (CREMA), Switzerland

FRIEDRICH SCHNEIDER

Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts (CREMA), Switzerland

Abstract. People mostly pay their taxes although there is a low probability of getting caught and being penalized. Thus, new attempts in the tax compliance literature try to go beyond standard economic theory. This paper examines citizens' attitudes toward paying taxes – what is sometimes termed their "tax morale", or the intrinsic motivation to pay taxes. Tax morale may be a key determinant to explain why people are honest. However, there are very few papers that explore the concept of tax morale theoretically and empirically. This study, based on the World Values Survey and the European Values Survey, therefore attempts to fill this gap in the literature, focusing on tax morale in Austria. Societal institutions such as trust or pride have been identified as key determinants that shape tax morale in Austria. Furthermore, a lower perceived compliance leads to a decrease of tax morale, which indicates that social comparisons are relevant. The results also show a decrease of tax morale between 1990 and 1999, although Austria's taxpayers still have a very high tax morale compared to other European countries.

Keywords: Tax Morale, Societal Institutions, Austria

JEL codes: H260, H730, D640

_

^{*} For helpful comments and suggestions thanks are due to Doris Aebi, the editor Michael Pfaffermayr and two anonymous referees.

^{**} Authors: Benno Torgler, Yale Center for International and Area Studies, Leitner Program in International & Comparative Political Economy, 34 Hillhouse Avenue, P.O. Box 208206, New Haven, CT 06520 (USA), e-mail: benno.torgler@yale.edu; Friedrich Schneider, Department of Economics, Johannes Kepler University of Linz, A-4040 Linz-Auhof, Austria., e-mail: friedrich.schneider@jku.at.

I. Introduction

One of the key puzzles in the tax compliance literature is to understand why so many people pay their taxes, although there is a low probability of being detected. Expected utility models emphasizing the role of deterrence factors failed to convincingly solve this puzzle. Most tax compliance experiments report a higher level of income declaration than an expected utility maximization calculus would predict (see Alm, 1999; Torgler, 2002). Furthermore, in many countries the level of deterrence is too low to explain the high degree of tax compliance. It can be argued that risk aversion may help explain the high level of compliance. However, studies in Switzerland and the United States indicate that there is a big gap between the degree of risk aversion that would grant such a compliance and the degree effectively reported (see Graetz and Wilde, 1985; Alm, McClelland and Schulze, 1992; and Frey and Feld, 2002).

Including findings of other sciences such as psychology or sociology without losing the spirit of the economic foundation seems to be a promising step towards solving this puzzle. A few studies have tried to extend the traditional models incorporating psychological costs or social norms (Gordon, 1989; Bordignon, 1993; Erard and Feinstein, 1994, or Schnellenbach, 2002). In a broader sense these studies try to investigate attitudes towards paying taxes which can be seen as a proxy for tax morale: the intrinsic motivation to comply and pay taxes and thus voluntarily contribute to the public good. However, most of the attempts failed to consider how tax morale may arise or which factors have an impact on it. Thus, tax morale is used as a residuum to capture unknown influences on tax evasion (see Frey and Feld, 2002). Another promising line is to consider empirically citizens' attitudes toward paying taxes as a proxy for tax morale and search for factors that shape it. Relatively new surveys such as the World Values Surveys or International Social Survey allow to find a proxy for and thus to check the impact on tax morale. This attempt is in line with the growing inclination among economists to use surveys (see, e.g., Knack and Keefer, 1997, for social capital studies, or Frey and Stutzer, 2002, who intensively investigated happiness). One reason might be that survey research now uses more sophisticated statistical techniques and designs compared to early years. Furthermore, a main advantage is that surveys include many socio-economic, demographic and attitudinal variables. In general, evidence on tax compliance and tax morale in countries outside the United States is still rare (exceptions, see, e.g., Gërxhani, 2002; Orviska and Hudson, 2002; Torgler, 2003a, 2003b, 2004). Reviewing the whole literature, Andreoni, Erard and Feinstein (1998) stress that empirical literature on tax compliance "is still in its youth, with many of the most important behavioral hypotheses and policy questions yet to be adequately investigated" (p. 835-836).

Little is known about general tendencies of tax morale in Austria, a gap this paper intends to fill. Some information is available about the deterrence mechanism.¹ ²However, this is the first paper that makes an explicit empirical analysis of the tax morale for the case of Austria. It contains a number of interesting empirical results and hence makes a small contribution to our understanding of why so many people pay honestly their taxes. Furthermore, Austria is an interesting country to investigate as there is a high degree of tax morale over time (see next section). The study analyses a cross-section of individuals throughout Austria using the World Values Survey data of 1990 and 1999. Working with two datasets collected at two different points in time allows us to observe trends over time and it also allows us to assess the robustness of some main independent variables. The findings from these data suggest that tax morale has decreased over time. This result parallels the findings of an increase in the size of shadow economy between 1990 and 1999 (see Schneider and Enste, 2002). Furthermore, it will be shown among other results that a higher trust in the state, a stronger identification with the country, and a higher perceived compliance have a positive impact on tax morale.

Before considering the findings in detail, however, Section II of the paper first introduces the way tax morale is defined, provides thus information about the World Values Surveys, and presents the level of tax morale in Europe for the years 1990-1993 and 1999-2000. Section III then introduces the models and presents our main hypotheses. In Section IV we present the empirical findings, and Section V finishes with some concluding remarks.

II. Data

The data used in the present study are taken from the 1990 World Values Survey (WVS) and the 1999 European Values Survey (EVS). The World Values Survey is a worldwide investigation of socio-cultural and political change, based on representative national samples. It was first carried out in 1981-83, and subsequently in 1990-91, 1995-96 and 1999-2001. Data from these surveys are made publicly available for use by researchers interested in how views change with time. However, economists have just started to work with the WVS/EVS.

-

¹ For example, the punishment (mostly an extra-payment - a fine) for having avoided taxes depends on the following circumstances: Amount evaded, for the first time or repeatedly, and whether it was done with intention or by accident. In any case the amount of avoided taxes has to be paid together with a punishment of another 100% (same amount). If the tax evasion has been done with intention and repeatedly, this fine can be raised.

To assess the level of tax morale in the WVS and the EVS we use the following question throughout the whole paper:

"Please tell me for each of the following statements whether you think it can always be justified, never be justified, or something in between: ... Cheating on tax if you have the chance".

The question leads to a ten-scale index of tax morale with the two extreme points "never justified" and "always justified". In our case, the natural cut-off point is at the value 1, as a high amount of respondents assert that the cheating on tax is "never justifiable". Thus, our tax morale variable takes the value 1 if the respondent says that cheating on tax is "never justified", and zero otherwise.

The used data sets have the advantage that they are designed as wide-ranging surveys, which reduces the probability of participants being suspicious and of creating framing effects by other tax context questions. Certainly it can be discussed whether it is more adequate to use an index instead of a single question to measure tax morale. However, a single question has the advantage that problems associated with the construction of an index can be avoided. Furthermore, an index might be constructed so that it fits best the theoretical argumentations. As we analyze one specific country, problems based on differences in the interpretation of the question or a variation in the political institution which may influence the justifiability of evading taxes do not occur³. Working with more than one survey and thus considering different time periods allows for some determinants to reduce biases due to a "time specific mood". Certainly, there is still the problem that some individuals may excuse their non-cooperative behavior in the past by declaring relatively high tax morale values.

First we provide a comparison of Austria's tax morale levels to those of other western European countries. This allows to check whether tax morale in Austria was substantially lower or higher in 1990 or 1999 than in any other western European country. We will only present a basic descriptive analysis showing the mean level of tax morale (% of people stating that tax evasion is never justifiable) in relation to the other countries. *Table 1* indicates that in 1990, Austria had one of the highest tax morale values. Only Switzerland and Northern Ireland had higher values. Similarly, in 1999, only Northern Ireland and Denmark had a

_

² The justification of contributing may change if the tax revenues are collected under a dictatorship and the revenue is, e.g., used to finance war (see Torgler, 2001).

higher tax morale than Austria. However, while tax morale in Austria decreased, the average value of tax morale in western European countries increased over time. ⁴

Table 1. Tax morale in Western Europe

Countries	WVS 1990-1993	EVS 1999-2001
Austria	0.623	0.604
Belgium	0.343	0.392
Denmark	0.573	0.656
Finland	0.403	0.504
France	0.465	0.490
Germany	0.536	0.577
Great Britain	0.534	0.555
Iceland	0.56	0.579
Ireland	0.488	0.591
Italy	0.552	0.566
Northern Ireland	0.679	0.607
Netherlands	0.441	0.467
Norway	0.431	
Portugal	0.394	0.544
Spain	0.561	0.527
Sweden	0.564	0.502
Switzerland	0.634	
Average	0.517	0.544

Source: authors' calculations from the WVS/EVS. Unweighted averages.

Interestingly, the size of shadow economy in Austria has also increased over time. Schneider and Klinglmair (2004, p. 13) report that the size of shadow economy measured in % of GDP using the Currency Demand and DYMIMIC Method increased from 6.9 (average 1989/1990) to 9.8 (average 1999/2000) percent. However, further evidence on these changes presented in Table 1, which tests whether the different samples have the same distribution using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Mann-Whitney), indicates that the difference between 1990 and 1999 is not statistically significant.

Table 2. Two-Sample Wilcoxon Rank-Sum (Mann-Whitney) Test

Hypothesis	z-value	Prob > z
H ₀ : Tax Morale Austria 1999 = Tax Morale Austria 1990	-1.085	0.2779

³ It is interesting to notice that neglecting Switzerland and Norway in the earlier 90s data would yield almost the same average value. Thus, a full comparison also indicates an increase of tax morale over time.

The high values observed for Austria make it interesting to take a closer look at tax morale and thus to search for factors that shape tax morale in Austria.

III. Models and Hypotheses

1. Models

If tax morale is supposed to be an explanation why tax compliance rates are so high, it might be interesting to analyze what *shapes* tax morale. The descriptive analysis only gave information about the *raw effects* and not the *partial effects*. Thus, in this section we introduce the model and develop the hypotheses to analyze in the next section in a multivariate analysis⁵. We will use three specifications to check the determinants of tax morale in Austria: one for 1999, one for 1990 and a pooled considering both years using a time dummy variable. There is a certain variation in the amount of collected variables for both years and the way the variables are collected. Thus, we cannot present all the estimations with the same variables. Presenting three specifications also has the advantage to check the robustness of the impact of the independent variables on tax morale:

SPECIFICATION 1999:

$$TM_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \cdot AGE_{i} + \beta_{2} \cdot GENDER_{i} + \beta_{3} \cdot MARITAL_{i} + \beta_{4} \cdot INCOME_{i} + \beta_{5} \cdot EMPLOY_{i} + \beta_{6} \cdot TRUST_{i} + \beta_{7} \cdot PRIDE_{i} + \beta_{8} \cdot REL_{i} + \beta_{9} \cdot EDU_{i} + \beta_{10} \cdot PERCTE_{i} + \beta_{11} \cdot RISK_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

$$(1)$$

SPECIFICATION 1990:

$$TM_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \cdot AGE_{i} + \beta_{2} \cdot GENDER_{i} + \beta_{3} \cdot MARITAL_{i} + \beta_{4} \cdot INCOME_{i} + \beta_{5} \cdot EMPLOY_{i}$$

$$+ \beta_{6} \cdot TRUST_{i} + \beta_{7} \cdot PRIDE_{i} + \beta_{8} \cdot REL_{i} + \beta_{9} \cdot EDU_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

$$(2)$$

POOLED SPECIFICATION (1999 and 1990):

$$TM_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \cdot AGE_{i} + \beta_{2} \cdot GENDER_{i} + \beta_{3} \cdot MARITAL_{i} + \beta_{4} \cdot INCOME_{i} + \beta_{5} \cdot EMPLOY_{i}$$

$$+ \beta_{6} \cdot TRUST_{i} + \beta_{7} \cdot PRIDE_{i} + \beta_{8} \cdot REL_{i} + \beta_{9} \cdot YEAR_{t} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

$$(3)$$

where TM_i denotes the individual degree of tax morale. The independent variables are specified as follows:

DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS

Contrary to economics social psychology has put more weight on analyzing theoretically and empirically the effect of demographic factors on honesty or compliance (see Tittle 1980):

⁴ For an overview of variables not fully explained in the main text see *Table A1* in the Appendix.

- *AGE_i* (continuous variable, predicted sign: +): Older people may have acquired more social capital (see Tittle, 1980). They are often strongly attached to the community (see Pommerehne and Weck-Hannemann, 1996). Thus, they have a stronger dependency on others' reactions, which may act as a restriction imposing higher potential (social) costs of sanctions. Criminology findings also indicate that age is negatively correlated with rule breaking (see Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Hirschi and Gottfredson, 2000; Torgler and Valev, 2004). Thus, we would predict that there is a positive correlation between age and tax morale.
- GENDER_i (Dummy: WOMAN, MAN in the reference group, predicted sign: +): Although there is still a lack of empirical and experimental evidence, there is the tendency that women are more honest and also more compliant than men (e.g., Tittle, 1980). Evidence from the tax compliance literature shows the tendency that men are less compliant than women (for survey studies see, e.g., Vogel, 1974; Minor, 1978; Aitken and Bonneville, 1980; Tittle, 1980; for experiments, Spicer and Becker, 1980; Spicer and Hero, 1985; Baldry, 1987). The criminology literature and some papers on corruption have shown that females are on average more compliant than males (see Tittle, 1980; Junger, 1994; Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Dollar et al., 2001; Swamy et al., 2001; Mocan, 2004; Torgler and Valev, 2004).
- *EDUCATION_i* (continuous variable, scale from 1-9 WVS 1990, scale from 1-8, EVS 1999, predicted sign: +/-): More educated individuals are more likely to know more about tax law and fiscal connections and thus are better aware of the benefits and services the state provides than uneducated taxpayers, but they may also be more critical about how the state acts and especially spends the tax revenues. Furthermore, they better understand opportunities for evasion and avoidance, which negatively influences tax morale. Thus, a clear prediction is difficult to make. As the scales in 1990 and 1999 are not identical (see Appendix *Table A1*), we don't integrate this variable in the pooled estimations.
- *MARITAL*_i (marital status, dummy variables: married, divorced, separate, widowed, single/living together/never married=reference group): Marital status might influence legal or illegal behavior depending on the extent to which individuals are constrained by their social networks (see Tittle, 1980). Such a constraint might have an impact on tax morale. Thus, we would predict that individuals with stronger social networks (e.g., married people) would have a higher tax morale than singles (predicted sign: +).

- INCOME_i (proxy: economic situation, predicted sign: -): As a proxy for income, we have integrated a variable where people had to classify themselves in different economic classes (lower class/working class, middle class, upper class)⁶. The income variable contained too many missing values. As we find it important to maximize the number of observations and guarantee the comparability over time, we choose an alternative measure of income. The effects of income on tax morale are difficult to assess theoretically. Depending on risk preferences and the progression of the income tax schedules, income may increase or reduce tax morale. In countries with a progressive income tax rate, taxpayers with a higher income realize a higher dollar return by evading, but with possibly less economic utility. On the other hand, lower income taxpayers might have lower social "stakes" or restrictions but are less in the position to take these risks, because of a high marginal utility loss (wealth reduction) if they are caught and penalized (Jackson and Milliron, 1986) (predicted sign: +/-, but with a stronger tendency to be negative).
- employed, unemployed, at home, student, retired, other, full-time employed in the reference group): In the tax compliance literature there is the strong argument that self-employed persons have higher compliance costs than employees (see, e.g., Lewis 1982). Taxes are more visible for self-employed people and a higher opportunity to evade or avoid taxes leads to the prediction that self-employed people have a lower tax morale than employees (full-time employees are in the reference group) (predicted sign: -).
- *RISK AVERSION (RISK_i)*: Dummy variable (1=RISK AVERSE). Individual tax compliance decisions could also be a function of risk attitudes. Prior survey studies did rarely control for risk attitudes. Risk aversion reduces the incentive to act illegally. Furthermore, controlling for risk attitudes allows to gain better insights regarding the variables age, gender, or economic situation. It could be argued that the obtained difference between women and men, or between different age groups is influenced by different risk attitudes. This makes it important to control for risk attitudes (predicted sign: +)⁷.

⁵ The classes have been coded slightly differently in the years 1990 and 1999.

⁶ This variable is only available for the year 1999. Thus, we cannot controlled for it in the estimation for 1990 and the pooled estimation.

- *YEAR_t*: Year dummy variable, t=1990 and 1999. The year 1990 is in the reference group. The descriptive evaluation presented in Table 1 indicated that we observe a decay of tax morale between 1990 and 1999. However, the difference was not statistically significant. It will be interesting to see in a multivariate analysis whether the coefficient is statistically significant or not (predicted sign: -).

SOCIETAL INSTITUTIONAL variables. Societal institutions can be seen as i) an indicator of the extent to which citizens can identify themselves with the state, the national institutions or the country itself. It measures the degree of individuals' trust in institutions (*TRUST_i*) such as TRUST IN THE LEGAL/JUSTICE SYSTEM and thus is closely linked to the way taxpayers feel they are treated by the system. As a proxy for national identification we use NATIONAL PRIDE (*PRIDE_i*); ii) norms that cover taxpayers' judgments on what is acceptable or common in a society (*PERCTE_i*: PERCEIVED TAX EVASION⁸) or norms enforced by non-governmental institutions such as the church that promote compliance and punish misbehavior (*REL_i*: RELIGIOSITY, proxy: CHURCH ATTENDANCE). As one of the main contributions in this paper is to investigate the correlation between societal institutions and tax morale, several testable hypotheses are developed in the next subsection.

2. Hypotheses

TRUST IN THE LEGAL/JUSTICE SYSTEM:

This variable allows us to analyze trust at the constitutional level (e.g., trust in the legal⁹/justice system¹⁰), thereby focusing on how the relationship between the state and its citizens is established. If the state is seen to be acting in a trustworthy way, taxpayers' trust increases and also their willingness to comply with their tax obligations. Thus, the relationship between them and the state (relational contract) can be maintained by positive actions, well functioning institutions, implementing a positive social capital atmosphere. Such a strategy will be honored with a higher tax morale. Scholz and Lubell (1998), e.g., found that if American taxpayers trusted government or other citizens, they were more likely to comply with their tax obligations than taxpayers who did not trust. Thus trust influences citizens'

⁷ As the variable that measures risk attitudes, this variable is available for the year 1999 only and thus cannot be controlled for in the estimation for 1990 and the pooled estimation.

⁸ Could you tell me how much confidence you have in the legal system: is it a great deal of confidence, quite a lot of confidence, not very much confidence or none at all? (4= a great deal to 1=none at all) (WVS 1990).

⁹ Could you tell me how much confidence you have in the justice: is it a great deal of confidence, quite a lot of confidence, not very much confidence or none at all? (4= a great deal to 1=none at all) (EVS 1999).

incentives to commit themselves to obedience. Therefore, the following hypothesis can be developed:

Hypothesis 1: The more the citizens trust the legal/justice system, the higher their intrinsic motivation to pay taxes.

$NATIONAL\ PRIDE^{11}$:

Identification with the state may induce cooperation among taxpayers and thus induces similar mechanisms as the trust variables. Tyler (2000) argues that pride influences people's behavior in groups, organizations and societies. It gives a basis for encouraging cooperative behavior. However, contrary to the trust variables, which have been thoroughly analyzed by social capital researchers, the variable pride has been completely neglected although it is a widespread phenomenon. The following hypothesis can be developed:

Hypothesis 2: Pride might be a basis for encouraging cooperative behaviour through national identification, which leads to a higher tax morale.

PERCEIVED TAX EVASION¹²:

The existence of social norms suggests that citizens will comply as long as they believe that compliance is widespread and thus an accepted social norm. On the other hand if individuals notice that many others evade taxes, their willingness to pay taxes may decrease, crowding out their intrinsic motivation to comply with taxes (see Frey and Torgler, 2004; Alm and Martinez-Vazquez, 2003). Taxpayers get the feeling that they can as well be opportunistic. The moral costs of evading taxes decrease. Evasion is a signal that intrinsic motivation is not recognized.

Hypothesis 3: Tax morale decreases if people perceive that tax evasion is common. On the other hand if people believe that others are honest their willingness to pay taxes increases.

CHURCH ATTENDANCE¹³: This variable is a proxy for religiosity. It has the advantage to measure the approximation of how much time individuals devote to religion, instead of asking

¹⁰ How proud are you to be an AUSTRIAN ? (4= Very proud, 1=Not at all proud)

¹¹ According to you, how many of your compatriots do the following: Cheating on tax if they have the chance (4= almost all, 1= almost none). It should be noticed that the variable is available in the EVS only. ¹² For the whole description see Appendix *Table A1*.

directly the degree of religiosity. The church as an institution induces behavioral norms and moral constraints among their community. Some papers in the criminology literature found a negative correlation between religious membership and crime (see, e.g., Hull, 2000; Hull and Bold, 1989; Lipford, McCormick and Tollison, 1993). Religiosity seems to affect the degree of rule breaking. Religiosity can thus be a restriction on engaging in tax evasion. Based on this assertion, the following hypothesis can be developed:

Hypothesis 4: Austrians with a higher church attendance are more likely to have higher levels of tax morale.

IV. Empirical Results

As already pointed out, in our multiple regression analysis we will use attitudes toward paying taxes defined as tax morale as the dependent variable. Regressions help isolate the effects of different factors from each other and thus to get the correlation of a single factor with tax morale when all other factors are constant. We use a *weighted* probit estimation to correct the samples and thus to get a reflection of the national distribution for the data of 1999 and the pooled estimation. ¹⁴ The natural cut-off point at the value 1, showing that many respondents point out that cheating on tax is "never justifiable", allows to work with probit models. To obtain the quantitative impacts of the explanatory variables, we calculate the marginal effects of each variable.

Table 3 presents the results of all three estimations, 1999 in Eq.1, 1990 in Eq. 2 and the pooled estimation in Eq. 3. The pooled estimation has the big advantage that it allows not only to investigate the development of tax morale over time, but gives us also a better insight regarding the effects of the independent variables, which show now general tendencies rather than time specific influences. ¹⁵ Thus, it is not a surprise that the pooled estimations results are nearest to our developed predictions in the theoretical part.

¹³ The 1990 data already reflects national distribution.

¹⁴ However, it should be noticed that such a procedure will reduce the number of observable variables as not all independent variables have been coded the same way in 1990 and 1999 (see Appendix *Table A2*).

Table 3. Determinants of tax morale

ESTIMATIONS	1999		1990 ^a			pooled 19	90 and 1999
WEIGHTED PROBIT	Coeff.	z-Stat. Marg	. Coeff.	z-Stat. M	Marg.	Coeff.	z-Stat. Mar
		Effec	<u>t</u>	E	Effect		Effe
INDEPENDENT V.	Eq. 1		Eq. 2			Eq. 3	
a) Demographic Factors							
AGE	-0.002	-0.470 -0.00	1 0.010***	* 2.780 O	0.004	0.005*	1.670 0.00
WOMAN	0.216**	2.210 0.084				0.150**	2.340 0.05
EDUCATION		· -3.470 -0.03					
b) Marital Status							
MARRIED	0.332**	2.490 0.188	0.092	0.810 0	0.035	0.231***	2.670 0.08
DIVORCED	0.101	0.500 0.162	0.190	0.980 0	0.069	0.126	0.910 0.04
SEPARATED	0.537	0.700 0.101		0.370 0			0.590 0.09
WIDOWED	0.448**	1.970 0.059	0.305	1.580 0	0.109	0.367**	2.520 0.13
c) Economic Situation							
UPPER CLASS	0.266*	1.750 0.129	-0.192	-1.290-0	0.074	-0.247***	-3.210-0.09
MIDDLE CLASS	0.153	1.530 0.039	-0.165*	-1.780-0	0.062	-0.075	-1.120 -0.02
d) Employment Status							
PART TIME EMPLOYED	-0.287*	-1.760 -0.11	3 -0.055	-0.330 -	0.021	-0.230*	-1.990 -0.09
SELFEMPLOYED	-0.236	-1.290 -0.09	3 -0.210	-1.520 -	0.081	-0.215*	-1.930 -0.08
UNEMPLOYED	0.418*	1.760 0.151	0.171	0.590 0	0.062	0.256	1.420 0.09
AT HOME	-0.371**	-2.360 -0.14	70.216*	1.670 0	0.079	-0.047	-0.470 -0.0
STUDENT	-0.236	-1.060 -0.09	3 -0.084	-0.380-	0.032	-0.148	-0.980 -0.03
RETIRED	0.062	0.420 0.024	-0.163	-1.210-	0.062	-0.029	-0.300 -0.0
OTHER	-0.038	-0.130 -0.01	5 -0.303	-1.580-	0.118	-0.166	-1.110-0.0
e) Religiosity							
CHURCH ATTENDANCE	0.026	1.360 0.010	0.019	0.950 0	0.007	0.030*	1.960 0.01
f) Trust and Pride							
TRUST IN THE LEG./JUS. SYSTEM	[0.101*	1.730 0.039	0.018	0.400 0	0.007	0.074**	2.110 0.02
PRIDE	0.247***	4.060 0.096	0.238***	4.270 0	0.090	0.274***	6.770 0.10
g) Social norms							
PERCEIVED TAX EVASION	-0.214***	-2.940-0.08	3				
h) Risk							
RISK AVERSE	0.134	1.340 0.053					
i)Time							
AUSTRIA 1999						-0.101*	-1.780 -0.03
Number of observations	1339		1354			2713	
Pseudo R2	0.082		0.061			0.054	
Log pseudo-likelihood	-833.605		-841.987	,		-1719.466	ó
Prob > chi2	0.000		0.000			0.000	

Notes: Dependent variable: tax morale. In the reference group are in all three equations: AGE < 30, MAN, SINGLE/LIVING TOGETHER/NEVER MARRIED, FULL TIME EMPLOYED, LOWEST CLASS. In equation 1 furthermore NOT RISK AVERSE and in equation 3: AUSTRIA 1990. Significance levels: * 0.05 < p < 0.10, ** 0.01< p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01. ^a Probit estimation, the data for Austria in the WVS 1990 already reflect national distribution.

In general, we find in all estimations support to the hypothesis that societal institutions have a strong impact on tax morale. Especially the variables PERCEIVED TAX EVASION and PRIDE have a strong effect on tax morale. An increase in the scale of the perceived tax evasion reduces the share of individuals stating that tax evasion is never justifiable by around 8 percentage points, which is very high. There seems to be a crowding out effect when individuals notice that others are not honest. Thus, an individual taxpayer is strongly influenced by what he or she perceives to be the behavior of other taxpayers. If taxpayers believe tax evasion to be common, their tax morale decreases; if they believe others to be honest, their tax morale increases. The variable PRIDE is robust throughout all estimations with marginal effects of more than 9 percentage points. This means that an increase in the pride scale by one unit increases the share of subjects indicating the highest tax morale by more than 9 percentage points. TRUST IN THE LEGAL/JUSTICE SYSTEM has also a positive impact on tax morale, a strong impact especially in the pooled estimation. Church attendance has a positive effect on tax morale, but the coefficient is only statistically significant in the pooled estimation. However, the tendencies observed in Table 1 clearly indicate that societal institutions are highly relevant to understand individuals' tax morale.

The findings regarding the control variables are somehow less robust. There is the tendency that a higher age leads to a higher tax morale without being statistically significant for the year 1999 (even with a negative sign). Similarly, women report a higher morale than men, but the coefficient is statistically significant for the year 1999 and the pooled estimations and not for 1990. The 1999 estimation also allows to take into consideration that women may exhibit greater aversion to cheating on tax controlling for risk attitudes. Interestingly, the 1999 estimation shows the strongest gender effect. Not surprisingly, a higher risk aversion is correlated with a higher tax morale. However, the coefficient is not statistically significant. Consistent findings can be observed for the variable EDUCATION. A higher education leads to a lower tax morale, being statistically significant in both years. Married people seemed to have a higher tax morale than singles, especially visible in the year 1999. The economic situation shows even a switch in the sign between the years 1990 and 1999, but for the estimations where the coefficient is significant there is a negative correlation between tax morale and the economic situation, which is in line with our prediction. Differences in the employed status are clearly observable in the pooled estimation. Being self-employed and part-time employed rather than a full time employee lowers the probability of a person stating that tax evasion is never justifiable by around 8, respectively 9 percentage points.

The descriptive analysis in *Table 1* showed that tax morale has decreased over time. However, Table 2 indicated that the difference between 1990 and 1999 was not statistically significant. In a further step we check whether the decay is statistically significant, controlling in a multivariate analysis for additional factors. Therefore, we pool the data using a time dummy variable. We observe that inhabitants of Austria had a lower probability of reporting the highest tax morale in 1999 than in 1990. The coefficient is now statistically significant, but only at the 0.1 level. Nevertheless, the marginal effect of 3.9 percentage points is relatively high. Certainly, we do not know whether the decrease in tax morale over time has equated to substantially lower levels of tax compliance. However, a decrease of tax morale goes in line with an increase in the size of shadow economy. In making this claim it should be noted though that the present study certainly has its limitations. The data contained within the World Values Survey and the European Values Survey is somewhat general in focus and as a result, attitudes and issues specifically related to taxation do not figure highly. For example, it was not possible to control for expectations regarding the consequences of being detected as a cheater or the individuals' tax burden. However, it should be noted that this study has provided the first ever detailed statistical analysis of tax morale as dependent variable in Austria, analyzing how it differs from other countries in Europe, and how it has changed between 1990 and 1999. As a result, it offers the reader important insight into Austrians' attitudes towards paying taxes.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Using data from the World Values Survey and the European Values Survey, the aim of the present study was to investigate tax morale among Austrian citizens between 1990 and 1999. Thus, this paper contributes to the tax compliance literature, which still lacks empirical evidence (especially outside the United States). In the last few years economists have been showing an increasing interest in working with survey data. New survey data sources offer a good opportunity to closely investigate variables that have been neglected or strongly disregarded in the past. The aspect of tax morale gains importance because the act of paying taxes cannot be fully explained by a standard economic expected utility approach. People pay their taxes, although there is a low probability of getting caught and being penalized. Thus, it makes sense to work with the concept of Homo Oeconomicus that is endowed with a more refined motivation structure and goes beyond a self-interested materialistic pay-off maximizer. In this paper we found evidence that societal institutions such as trust, national pride or religiosity have an impact on tax morale. There is a mix of internal and external

norms that affects individuals' compliance attitudes. We also observe among Austria's people that "conditional cooperation" (see Frey and Meier, 2004; Frey and Torgler, 2004) is relevant. If people perceive that tax evasion is a common phenomenon, their intrinsic motivation to contribute to the society decreases. Finally, we also find a decay of tax morale over time, which is statistically significant at the 0.1 level, controlling in a multivariate analysis for additional factors. Nevertheless, Austrian taxpayers have still a high tax morale, compared to other western European countries. But it is worthwhile to notice that the tax morale trend observed in Austria corresponds to the increase in the size of shadow economy between 1990 and 1999. All in all, the paper provides new detailed insights into Austrians' attitudes towards paying taxes and thus contributes to understanding why so many people are willing to pay their taxes.

APPENDIX

Table A1 Derivation of Some Variables

Variable	Derivation	
ECONOMIC SITUATION (CLASSES)	People sometimes describe themselves as belonging to the working class, the middle class, or the upper or lower class. Would you describe yourself as belonging to the:	
	WVS 1990 (working class and lower class in the reference group are defined as: LOWEST CLASS; upper middle and lower middle class as MIDDLE CLASS) 1. Upper class 2. Upper middle class 3. Lower middle class 4. Working class 5. Lower class	
	EVS 1999 (value 3 and 4 in the reference group; value 2 as middle class)	
	 Upper, upper middle class Middle, non-manual workers Manuel workers, -skilled, -semi-skilled Manuel workers, -unskilled, unemployed 	
	BOTH DATA SETS TOGETHER - Upper and upper middle class: UPPER CLASS - Middle, non-manual workers, lower middle class: MIDDLE CLASS - Lower values (reference group, defined as LOWEST CLASS)	
EDUCATION	WVS 1990 1. No formal education 2. Incomplete primary school 3. Complete primary school: technical/vocational type 5. Competed secondary school: technical/vocational type 6. Incomplete secondary: university-preparatory type 7. Complete secondary: university-preparatory type 8. Some university-level education, without degree 9. University-level education with degree EVS 1999 1. Inadequately completed elementary education 2. Completed (compulsory) elementary education 3. (Compulsory) elementary education and basic vocational qualification 4. Secondary, intermediate vocational qualification 5. Secondary, intermediate general qualification 6. Full secondary, maturity level certificate 7. High education – lower-level tertiary certificate 8. High education – upper-level tertiary certificate	
RELIGIOSITY (CHURCH	Apart from weddings, funerals and christenings, about how often do you attend religious services these days? More than once a week, once a week, once a	
ATTENDANCE)	month, only on special holy days, once a year, less often, never practically never. WVS 1990	
	More than once a week (coding 7) Once a week (6)	

	Once a month (5)		
	Only on special holy days (4)		
	Once a year (3)		
	Less often (2)		
	Never, practically never (1)		
	EVS 1999		
	More than once a week (coding 8)		
	Once a week (7)		
	Once a month (6)		
	Christmas/Easter day (5)		
	Other special holy days (4)		
	Once a year (3)		
	Less often (2)		
	Never, practically never (1)		
	BOTH DATA SETS TOGETHER		
	In with WVS 1990, Christmas/Easter day and Other special holy days in the same group (thus values between 1 and 7)		
MARITAL STATUS	WVS		
	1. married		
	2. divorced		
	3. separated		
	4. widowed		
	5. single/living together		
	EVS		
	1. married		
	2. divorced		
	3. separated		
	4. widowed		
	5. never married		
	BOTH DATA SET TOGETHER		
	-never married, single and living together coded in the same class		
RISK AVERSION	Here are some aspects of a job that people say are important. Please look at them and tell me which ones you personally think are important in a job? Good job security (1=mentioned, 0=not mentioned).		
	A dummy variable was built with the value 1, if someone has mentioned job security.		

Source: Inglehart et al. (2000) and EVS (2000).

REFERENCES

- Aitken, Sherie S., and Laura Bonneville (1980) *A General Taxpayer Opinion Survey*. Washington, DC: Internal Revenue Service.
- Alm, James (1999) 'Tax Compliance and Administration', in Hildreth, W. Bartley, and James A. Richardson, eds., *Handbook on Taxation*. New York: Marcel Dekker: 741-768.
- Alm, James, and Jorge Martinez-Vazquez (2003) Institutions, Paradigms, and Tax Evasion in Developing and Transition Countries, in Martinez-Vazquez, Jorge, and James Alm, eds., *Public Finance in Developing and Transitional Countries*. Essays in Honor of Richard Bird. Cheltenham. UK: Edward Elgar: 146-178.
- Alm, James, Gary H. McClelland, and William D. Schulze (1992) 'Why Do People Pay Taxes?', Journal of Public Economics, 48, 21-48.
- Andreoni, James, Brian Erard, and Jonathan S. Feinstein (1998) 'Tax Compliance', *Journal of Economic Literature*, 36, 818-860.
- Baldry, Jonathan C. (1987) 'Income Tax Evasion and the Tax Schedule: Some Experimental Results', *Public Finance*, 42, 357-383.
- Bordignon, Massimo (1993) 'A Fairness Approach to Income Tax Evasion', *Journal of Public Economic*, 52, 345-362.
- Dollar, David, Raymond Fisman, and Roberta Gatti (2001) 'Are Women Really the "Fairer" Sex? Corruption and Women in Government', *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 46, 423-429.
- Erard, Brian, and Jonathan S. Feinstein (1994) 'The Role of Moral Sentiments and Audit Perceptions in Tax Compliance', *Public Finance*, 49, 70-89.
- European Values Study (1999) Questionnaire, Tilburg University.
- Frey, Bruno S., and Lars P. Feld (2002) 'Deterrence and Morale in Taxation: An Empirical Analysis', CESifo Working Paper No. 760, August 2002.
- Frey, Bruno S. and Stepahn Meier (2004) 'Pro-Social Behavior in a Natural Setting', forthcoming in: Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization.
- Frey, Bruno S., and Alois Stutzer (2002) *Happiness and Economics*. How the Economy and Institutions Affect Well-Being. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Frey, Bruno S., and Benno Torgler (2004) Taxation and Conditional Cooperation, CREMA Working Paper 2004-20, Basel, Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts.
- Gërxhani, Klarita (2002) Tax Evasion in Albania: An Institutional Vacuum?, paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the European Public Choice Society, Belgirate.
- Gordon, James P. F. (1989) 'Individual Morality and Reputation Costs as Deterrents to Tax Evasion', *European Economic Review*, 33, 797-805.
- Gottfredson, Michael R., and Travis Hirschi (1990) A General Theory of Crime. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- Graetz, Michael J., and Louis L. Wilde (1985) The Economics of Tax Compliance: Facts and Fantasy, *National Tax Journal*, 38, 355-363.
- Hirschi, Travis and Michael R. Gottfredson (2000) Age and the Explanation of Crime, in Crutchfield, Robert D., George S. Bridges, Joseph G. Weis, and Charis Kubrin, eds., *Crime Readings*. Thousand Oaks: Pine Forge Press: 138-142.
- Hull, Brooks B. (2000) 'Religion Still Matters', Journal of Economics, 26, 35-48.
- Hull, Brooks B., and Frederick Bold (1989) 'Towards and Economic Theory of the Church', International Journal of Social Economics, 16, 5-15.
- Inglehart, Ronald et al. (2000) *Codebook for World Values Survey*. Ann Arbor: Institute for Social Research.
- Jackson, Betty R., and Valerie C. Milliron (1986) 'Tax Compliance Research: Findings, Problems, and Prospects', *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 5, 125-166.
- Knack, Stephen, and Philip Keefer (1997) 'Does Social Capital Have an Economic Payoff: A Cross-Country Investigation', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112, 1251-1288.
- Junger, Marianne (1994) 'Accidents', in Hirschi, Travis and Michael R. Gottfredson, eds., *The Generality of Deviance*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers: 81-112.
- Lewis, Alan (1982) The Psychology of Taxation. Oxford: Martin Robertson.
- Lipford, Jody, Robert E. McCormick, and Robert D. Tollison (1993) Preaching Matters, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 21, 235-250.
- Mocan, Naci (2004). What Determines Corruption? International Evidence from Micro Data, NBER Working Paper Series, Nr. 10460, Cambridge, MA, April.
- Orviska, Marta, and John Hudson (2002) 'Tax Evasion, Civic Duty and the Law Abiding Citizen', European Journal of Political Economy, 19, 83-102.
- Pommerehne, Werner W., and Hannelore Weck-Hannemann (1996) Tax Rates, Tax Administration and Income Tax Evasion in Switzerland, *Public Choice*, 88, 161-170.
- Schneider, Friedrich, and Dominik H. Enste (2002) *The Shadow Economy*. An International Survey. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schneider, Friedrich, and Robert Klinglmair (2004) 'Shadow Economies Around the World: What Do we Know?', CREMA Working Paper No. 2004 –03, Basel.
- Schnellenbach, Jan (2002) Tax Morale, Leviathan and the Political Process: A Theoretical Approach,
 Paper presented at the Annual European Public Choice Society Conference in Belgirate, April
 4-7
- Scholz, John T., and Mark Lubell (1998) 'Adaptive Political Attitudes: Duty, Trust and Fear as Monitors of Tax Policy', *American Journal of Political Science*, 42, 398-417.
- Spicer, Michael W., and Lee A. Becker, 1980, 'Fiscal Inequity and Tax Evasion: An Experimental Approach', *National Tax Journal*, 33, 171-175.

- Spicer, Michael W., and Rodney E. Hero, (1985) 'Tax Evasion and Heuristics. A Research Note', Journal of Public Economics, 26, 263-267.
- Swamy, Anand, Stephen Knack, Young Lee and Omar Azfar (2001) 'Gender and Corruption', *Journal of Development Economics*, 64, 25-55.
- Tittle, Charles (1980) Sanctions and Social Deviance: The Question of Deterrence. New York: Praeger.
- Torgler, Benno (2001) 'Is Tax Evasion Never Justifiable?', *Journal of Public Finance and Public Choice*, 19, 143-168.
- Torgler, Benno (2002) 'Speaking to Theorists and Searching for Facts: Tax Morale and Tax Compliance in Experiments', *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 16, 657-684.
- Torgler, Benno (2003a) 'To Evade Taxes or Not: That Is the Question', *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 32, 283-302.
- Torgler, Benno (2003b) 'Does Culture Matter? Tax Morale in an East-West-German Comparison', *FinanzArchiv*, 59, 504-528.
- Torgler, Benno (2004) 'Tax Morale in Latin America', forthcoming in: Public Choice.
- Torgler, Benno and Neven T. Valev (2004) 'Corruption and Age', CREMA Working Paper No. 2004 24, Basel.
- Tyler, Tom R. (2000) 'Why Do People Cooperate in Groups?', in Van Vught, Mark, Mark Snyder, Tom R. Tyler and Anders Biel, eds., *Cooperation in Modern Society*. Promoting the Welfare of Communities, States and Organizations. London, Routledge: 65-82.