

doi: 10.1093/jue/juw011 Research article

Avian haemosporidians at three environmentally contrasting urban greenspaces

P. Carbó-Ramírez,^{1,*} I. Zuria,² H.M. Schaefer,³ and D. Santiago-Alarcon^{1,3,*}

¹Instituto de Ecología, A.C., Red de Biología y Conservación de Vertebrados, Laboratorio de Ecología de Vertebrados e Interacciones Parasitarias, Carretera Antigua a Coatepec 351, El Haya, Xalapa, Veracruz, C.P. 91070, México, ²Department of Biology, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Km 4.5 carr. Pachuca-Tulancingo s/n, Col. Carboneras, Mineral de la Reforma, Hidalgo, C.P. 42184, México, and ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Baden-Württemberg, Germany

*Corresponding authors. E-mails: pilarcarbo18@gmail.com; diego.santiago@inecol.mx

Submitted: 29 April 2015; Received (in revised form): 30 November 2016; Accepted: 15 December 2016

Abstract

Conversion of natural habitats to urban developments influences the distribution and abundance of wildlife hosts, vectors, and their parasites. Within urban ecosystems, urban greenspaces are usually relics of the original local vegetation, but commonly with the presence of non-native vegetation. These areas are understudied from the parasitological perspective. We estimated avian haemosporidian (*Haemoproteus, Plasmodium, and Leucocytozoon*) prevalence, genetic lineage richness, and both bird and parasite assemblage structure at three environmentally contrasting urban greenspaces (nearctic open scrub forest, neotropical montane cloud forest, palearctic mixed-deciduous ash, oak, and pine forest). There were no bird species in common among the three urban sites, and only one family was shared (Fringillidae). We registered at nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces 10 haemosporidian lineages; only one lineage (MALERY01) was shared between them. Parasites of the genus *Haemoproteus* were more common in the open scrub urban greenspace than in the montane cloud urban greenspace, whereas those of the genus *Plasmodium* had the opposite tendency. We registered 20 lineages in the palearctic urban greenspace from the genera *Plasmodium*, *Haemoproteus*, and *Leucocytozoon*. Prevalence rates were similar among the three urban greenspaces and the assemblage structure of haemosporidian lineages was not significantly different among sites. Furthermore, the three urban greenspaces were composed by phylogenetically diverse parasite communities and were dominated by widespread generalist parasite lineages. Hence, our results suggest that despite contrasting environmental conditions and geographical isolation, there are parallels in the structure of avian haemosporidian communities among the three urban greenspaces.

Key words: urban bird parasitology, urban ecology, haemosporidian parasites, Plasmodium, Haemoproteus, Leucocytozoon

Introduction

Spatial heterogeneity affects community structure and ecological processes. Understanding the influence of different landscape features on host–parasite interactions of wild populations is of particular relevance in a world undergoing rapid environmental changes (Loiseau et al. 2010; Belo et al. 2011). Conversion of natural habitats to urban developments and agro-ecosystems influences the distribution and abundance of wildlife species; thus, it may be a major driver changing parasites ecological dynamics (Bradley and Altizer 2007; Hernández-Lara, González-García, and Santiago-Alarcon 2017). The transmission of infectious diseases is a result of complex interactions between abiotic factors and biotic components, which directly affect

[©] The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

infection prevalence on hosts (Loiseau et al. 2010; Knowles et al. 2014). For example, temperature, altitude and proximity to water bodies are small-scale ecological factors known to influence vectors [e.g. mosquitoes (Culex, Aedes and Culiseta), and black flies (Simuliidae), Isaksson et al. 2013] and/or parasite distribution and abundance (Sehgal et al. 2010; Lachish et al. 2013). Moreover, the same group of parasites infecting different host species may respond differently to the same environmental changes (e.g. forestry practices, Renner et al. 2016), such changes may also alter vector assemblage structure (e.g. Abella-Medrano et al. 2015), which modifies host-parasite-vector dynamics by, for example, changing vector feeding preferences (Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2012). Hence, understanding how parasites respond to changes in their environment is of relevance to develop effective surveillance programs, response procedures in the face of emergent diseases, and urban developments.

In this study, we work with avian haemosporidian parasites, which is a group of vector-born parasites in the order Haemosporida (Phylum Aplicomplexa) and is divided into four genera: Plasmodium, Haemoproteus, Fallisia and Leucocytozoon (Valkiūnas 2005; Santiago-Alarcon, Palinauskas, and Schaefer 2012). They have a widespread geographical distribution and are genetically diverse (Bensch, Hellgren, and Pérez-Tris 2009; Clark, Clegg, and Lima 2014). As it is the case for other parasite groups, avian haemosporidians and their vectors are differentially affected by environmental changes, which can lead to the development of novel host-parasite interactions (Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2013; Sehgal 2015). Studies that have compared the prevalence of haemosporidian parasites infecting bird populations at undisturbed versus modified habitats have shown opposite trends depending on the host-parasite system under study (Martin and Boruta 2014; Sehgal 2015).

In Cameroon, Chasar et al. (2009) reported higher prevalence of avian parasites of the genera Haemoproteus and Leucocytozoon in undisturbed sites, and higher prevalence of Plasmodium species in disturbed sites. In contrast, Bonneaud et al. (2009) found higher prevalence of Plasmodium species in undisturbed forests compared with agroecosystems in Cameroon. Evans et al. (2009) reported a higher prevalence of Haemoproteus and Plasmodium species in the majority of rural areas compared with their urban counterparts across different cities in Europe. In contrast, Belo et al. (2011; Brazil) and Hernández-Lara, González-García, and Santiago-Alarcon (2017; Mexico) found higher prevalence of Plasmodium and Haemoproteus species in an urban site in comparison with preserved, shade-coffee and transition areas. Hence, there is no clear relationship between land use type and prevalence of Haemosporida parasites in birds. This is probably due to the fact that different Diptera families preferentially transmit haemosporidian parasites of different genera (Santiago-Alarcon, Palinauskas, and Schaefer 2012), and vector life cycles are differentially influenced by microclimatic conditions (Isaksson et al. 2013); suggesting that local instead of regional factors mostly govern transmission dynamics of these host-parasite systems (e.g. Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2016). However, no previous study has compared avian parasite communities of urban greenspaces that have contrasting environmental conditions and that are located in distinct biogeographical zones.

We studied summer communities of avian parasites from the order Haemosporida (*Haemoproteus*, *Plasmodium* and *Leucocytozoon*) at three environmentally contrasting urban greenspaces, one nearctic (open scrub forest) and one neotropical (montane cloud forest) in Mexico, and one palearctic (mixeddeciduous ash, oak and pine forest) in Germany. Our aims were: (i) to estimate avian haemosporidian prevalence and genetic



Figure 1. Map showing the location of three studied urban greenspaces: (a) nearctic open scrub (Parque Ecológico Cubitos), (b) neotropical montane cloud (Parque Natura) in Mexico and (c) palearctic mixed deciduous forest (Mooswald Forest) in Germany. Images from Google Earth 7.1, 2016.

lineage richness within and among sites, (ii) to examine the degree of sharing of haemosporidians among sites and (iii) to compare both bird and parasite assemblage structure among sites. Prevalence is a population parameter that in the case of vectorborne parasites depends mostly on external conditions (e.g. temperature, rainfall and humidity), because they directly affect the development, abundance and movement of competent vectors (see Deviche, McGraw, and Greiner 2005). Furthermore, the absence of suitable habitat for vectors in harsh environments like arid and semi-arid areas has been suggested as a reason for low infection rates (Valera et al. 2003). These characteristics may influence parasite infections among biogeographical regions and different habitat types; hence, we expected to find different infection rates at our three sites because of their contrasting environmental conditions: lower prevalence in the open scrub urban greenspace due to the dependence of dipteran vectors for high humidity, in comparison to both the montane cloud and mixed-deciduous urban greenspaces where humidity is not a limiting factor (Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2013; Abella-Medrano et al. 2015). Changes in landscape features (e.g. rainfall and temperature) due to geographic location, as well as changes

in host species composition can affect the structure and composition of parasite assemblages (LaPointe, Atkinson, and Samuel 2012; Pérez-Rodríguez et al. 2013; see Sehgal 2015). Hence, we expected to find different parasite composition (i.e. low parasite sharing) due to the different avian species of the three sites, as a result of geographical isolation (different biogeographical regions, see Methods). Finally, because our three sites are embedded in an urban matrix, they are likely to share the same environmental pressures (e.g. people, cats, buildings) that affect the abundance and distribution of avian hosts (Ortega-Álvarez and MacGregor 2009); consequently, this also affects the abundance of the parasite lineages infecting birds at those same places. Thus, similar anthropogenic pressures should result in a similar abundance structure of both bird and parasite communities regardless of species identity.

Study area, methods and statistical analyses

Study sites

Field work was conducted at three urban greenspaces, which are characterized by being relics of native vegetation:

- a. Ecological reserve Parque Ecológico Cubitos (nearctic urban greenspace, 90 ha), located within the city of Pachuca in the state of Hidalgo, Mexico (20°06'N and 98°45'W, at 2265-2420 m.a.s.l.; Fig. 1a). During the dry season (October-April) mean temperature is 12°C (4.2-24.5°C) and the driest month is December. In the rainy season (May-September) mean temperature reaches 15.5 °C (9.5–25.4 °C) and the wettest months are July and September. Mean annual precipitation is 367.6 mm (INEGI 2005; Pavón and Meza-Sánchez 2009). The natural vegetation is represented by open scrub forest or arid tropical scrub (Rzedowski 1994) with representative species such as Agave lechuguilla, Hechtia podantha, Coryphanta sp., Stenocactus sp., Senecios praecox, Yucca filifera, Opuntia streptacantha, O. spinulifera, O. robusta and the non-native Schinus molle, among others (COEDE 2004). A smaller portion of the park is covered by introduced vegetation: Cupressus guadalupensis, C. macrocarpa, Pinus cembroides, P. torreyana, P. pinceana and Ligustrum japonicum (Zuria and Rendón-Hernández 2010). There are no permanent water bodies or streams. Avifauna at this site is composed by 76 species, 41 considered residents and the rest have different migratory status. Best-represented families were: Trochilidae (8 species), Tyrannidae (10 species), Emberizidae (8 species), Icteridae (8 species) and Parulidae (6 species) (see Zuria, Bravo-Cadena, and Caballero-Quiroz 2009).
- b. Ecological reserve Parque Natura (neotropical urban greenspace, 80 ha), located within the urban protected area El Tejar Garnica (130 ha, 19°30'N, 98°44'W, at 1200–1280 m.a.s.l.; Fig. 1b) (Secretaría de Desarrollo Regional 2001). This reserve is within the city of Xalapa in the state of Veracruz, Mexico. During the dry season (November-March) mean temperature is 16.8°C (11.6-22.0°C) and the driest month is December. In the rainy season (April-October) mean temperature reaches 20.7 $^\circ\text{C}$ (15.4–26.0 $^\circ\text{C}$) and the wettest months are June and September (CONAGUA 2012). Mean annual precipitation is between 1500 and 2000 mm (Secretaría de Desarrollo Regional 2001). Originally, the dominant vegetation of the reserve was montane cloud forest, but currently this vegetation type is only found in isolated patches within the reserve, containing representative species such as Liquidambar macrophylla and Platanus mexicanus (Corona-López 2006). Shade coffee (Coffea arabiga) patches are also present, with some trees associated

to them like Acasia farnesiana, Clethra macrophylla, Heliocarpus appendiculatus (Lemoine-Rodríguez 2012) The reserve has permanent streams and springs (Secretaría de Desarrollo Regional 2001). Avifauna at this site is composed by a total of 174 species, 80 species are residents and the rest have different migratory status. Best-represented families were: Parulidae (32 species), Tyrannidae (21 species), Cardinalidae (9 species), Accipitridae (9 species) and Trochilidae (8 species) (see González-García et al. 2014).

c. Urban forest Mooswald (palearctic urban greenspace, 110 ha), located in the upper Rhine valley in the city of Freiburg in Southwestern Germany (48°00'N, 07°51'E, at 275 m.a.s.l.; Fig. 1c). There is no dry season; the driest month is November and the wettest months are June and July. Mean annual precipitation is 1000 mm. Mean annual temperature is 10°C (3-20°C). This forest is a mixed deciduous forest, dominant tree species are Fraxinus excelsior, Quercus robur and Q. rubra; trees found in this forest have an age of about 80-120 years (Marcus Müller, pers. com; see Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2016). This greenspace has water available in small streams and ponds (Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2012). Avifauna at this site is composed by 27 species, 18 species are residents and the rest have different migratory status (Dierschke 2008). Best-represented families were: Picidae (3 species), Paridae (3 species) and Fringillidae (3 species) (Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2016).

Field procedures

Fieldwork took place in the nearctic urban greenspace in June and July 2010 (n = 36), in the palearctic urban greenspace in June and July 2011(n = 216) and in July 2013 for the neotropical urban greenspace (n = 30). In all sites, we used mist nets to capture birds from dawn (5:30 and 6:00) until noon (12:00 and 13:00). All birds were identified to species level, and were banded with a metal ring under federal scientific collecting license (nearctic site: No. FAUT-0174, neotropical site: SGPA/DGVS/05057/13, palearctic site: RPT Tierversuch-Nr.1056 and 55–8853.17/0). We obtained blood from the brachial vein and took up to 30 μ l with a micro-capillary tube. We prepared at least one thin blood smear for each bird (Santiago-Alarcon and Carbó-Ramírez 2015). Remaining whole blood was frozen at -20 °C for subsequent molecular analysis. All birds were released at the site of capture.

Light microscopy scanning methods

We scanned blood smears from all sites with a light miscroscope (Nikon Eclipse Ni). First we scanned at low magnification (600×), and when infected we scanned 100 optical fields at high magnification (1000x) to determine relative infection intensity (Valkiūnas 2005; Santiago-Alarcon and Carbó-Ramírez 2015). We identified parasites to species level whenever possible, but this proved difficult in some samples because infection intensity was low or the smears were of low quality (open scrub site) due to staining with Wright-Giemsa and lack of fixation in 100% methanol (see Valkiūnas 2005; Santiago-Alarcon and Carbó-Ramírez 2015, for appropriate blood smear preparation procedures).

Molecular analysis

Blood samples were examined by PCR-based screening techniques. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN); DNA quality was checked running 5 μ l of each extraction on 1.2% agarose gels. We used parasite genus-specific primers in a nested PCR method to determine avian haemosporidian presence, and to amplify 540-bp of the mtDNAcyt b

gene from parasites of the genera Plasmodium, Haemoproteus and Leucocytozoon (Hellgren, Waldenström, and Bensch 2004). All the PCRs were run with a positive (samples from infected birds previously confirmed by sequencing and microscopy) and negative control (ddH₂O), no contamination was detected during the study. For the first or outer PCR reaction, we used a total volume of 10 μ l per sample. Each reaction contained 1 μ l of Top Tag 10 \times Buffer (QIAGEN), 6.8 µl of double-distilled H2O, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 0.15 µM from each 10mM primer, 0.025 U from Top Taq polymerase enzyme (QIAGEN) and 1 µl of DNA template. The second or inner PCR reaction had a total volume of $20\,\mu$ l per sample, each reagent was doubled compared with the first PCR; we used 2 µl from the first PCR as template for the inner reaction. Thermocycler profile for PCR I was (i) 3 min of initial denaturation at 95 °C, (ii) 20 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 95 °C, 30 s annealing at 50 °C, 45 s elongation at 72 °C and (iii) a 10-min final extension at 72 $^\circ\text{C}.$ The second PCR had a similar thermal profile with a change in the annealing temperature to 57 °C and 35 cycles instead of 20.

We ran 4μ l from the second PCR in a 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed (BIOTIUM), and visualized it with a UV light source to check for amplification. Positive samples were cleaned with the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN). We sent the samples for bi-directional sequencing at Macrogen, Inc. (Korea). Sequences were assembled, aligned, and edited using the Sequencher 4.1 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Sequences were ~479 bp long after editing. We obtained four bad sequences and were unable to get a sequence from one other sample. Parasite haplotypes were checked against DNA sequences available in GenBank by using the BLAST algorithm from the NCBI database and also against parasite sequences available in the MalAvi database (Bensch, Hellgren, and Pérez-Tris 2009). Sequences were deposited in GenBank (Accession numbers: KT924369-KT924396).

Phylogenetic analyses

Phylogenetic hypotheses were constructed using the program MrBayes v3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). Two independent runs were made, with 4 chains in each run for a total of 1 million generations, sampling every 100 generations. The first 2500 trees (25%) were discarded as the 'burn-in'. In total, 7500 trees from each run were used to build our majority-rule consensus tree. We used a GTR + I + G model of molecular evolution with shape parameter $\alpha = 0.66$ and proportion of invariable sites Pinvar = 0.388, as suggested by jModelTest v2.1.7 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003). We used sequences of Leucocytozoon parasites to root our consensus phylogram. For our phylogram we used lineages already attached to morphologically described species, seven Plasmodium lineages (Valkiūnas et al. 2008, Plasmodium elongatum; Valkiūnas et al. 2009, P. multivacuolaris, P. lucens, P. parahexameriun, P. vaughani; Mantilla et al. 2013, P. lutzi; Walther et al. 2014, P. homopolare) and three Haemoproteus lineages (Križanauskienė et al. 2006, Haemoproteus majoris; Karadjian et al. 2013, H. syrnii; Dimitrov et al. 2014, H. homovelans).

Statistical analyses

We used the software Quantitative Parasitology 3.0 (Reiczigel and Rózsa 2005) to calculate infection prevalence and its 95% confidence intervals (CI) for each site. Estimates of infection prevalence and CI take into account sample size; CI estimation is based on resampling methods (e.g. bootstrap), avoiding the problems that normal theory faces when dealing with skewed distributions, particularly for small sample sizes (Rózsa, Reiczigel, and

Majoros 2000; Reiczigel and Rózsa 2005). Moreover, CIs using resampling methods are not necessarily symmetric, which reflects how accurately the sample estimate of prevalence characterizes the true population prevalence as a function of sample size (Rózsa, Reiczigel, and Majoros 2000; Reiczigel 2003). Confidence intervals were calculated with the Sterne's exact method (Reiczigel 2003). We used Fisher's exact test (Rózsa, Reiczigel, and Majoros 2000) to compare infection prevalence of *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* parasites between sites.

Bird diversity was compared between sites at the community level using records only from birds captured in mist nets (i.e. no census point counts were made); we did the same for parasitized birds only, and for haemosporidian lineages. We used rank-abundance curves to assess assemblage structure and compare between sites for bird communities, parasitized birds and haemosporidian lineages. This approach represents the species abundance distribution of a community graphically, allowing us to describe the diversity of an assemblage (Magurran 2004). To evaluate statistical differences of the evenness/dominance slopes between urban forests we performed ANCOVAs, and values were log₁₀ transformed before analysis (Ortega-Álvarez and MacGregor-Fors 2009). To complement the rank-abundance analysis, we used diversity order 2 (²D) using MVUE estimator (Minimum variance unbiased estimator), which favors very abundant species and can be interpreted as the number of dominant species in the community (Chao, Chiu, and Hsieh 2012).

Results

Prevalence

In the nearctic site (n = 36), we found an infection prevalence of 50.0% (95% CI: 33.4–66.6%, Fig. 2; Table 1). Of the infected birds, 28% of birds were infected with Plasmodium parasites and 72% with *Haemoproteus* parasites; prevalence was significantly different between the two genera in this urban greenspace (P = 0.02).

In the neotropical site (n = 30), we found and infection prevalence of 46.7% (95% CI: 29.8–65.2%, Fig. 2; Table 1). Of the infected birds 71.4% were infected with Plasmodium parasites and 28.6% with Haemoproteus parasites; prevalence between the two genera in this urban greenspace was significant (P = 0.05).

In the palearctic site (n = 216), we found and infection prevalence of 33.3% (95% CI: 25.4–37.9%, Fig. 2; Table 1); birds were evenly infected with Plasmodium (30.5%), Haemoproteus (33.3%) and Leucocytozoon (36.2%) parasites. We did not detect significant differences in infection prevalence among any of the three parasite genera at this site ($P \ge 0.59$).

There were no differences in prevalence between the nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces (P = 0.81). Although we found significant difference between the palearctic and the neotropical sites, prevalence rates were similar among the three sites given the observed overlap in their 95% CIs (Fig. 2). There was a significant difference in prevalence for Haemoproteus parasites between the nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces (P = 0.03; nearctic site 72.2% and neotropical site 28.6%), also between the nearctic and palearctic urban greenspaces (P = 0.006; nearctic site 72.2% and palearctic site 33.3%), but nosignificant difference between neotropical and palearctic sites (P = 1.0; neotropical site 28.6% and palearctic site 33.3%). For Plasmodium genus, there was a significant difference in prevalence between the neotropical and nearctic urban greenspaces (P = 0.03; nearctic site 27.8% and neotropical site 71.4%), also between the neotropical and palearctic sites (P = 0.006; neotropical



Figure 2. Prevalence and 95% CIs of the three urban greenspaces.

Tuble 1. Data on sampling sites, family, and species of birds infected with nacinospondian infedg	Table 1.	. Data on	sampling si	ites, family,	and species	of birds infected	with haemosporidian	lineages
---	----------	-----------	-------------	---------------	-------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------

NearcticColumbidaeColumbina inca (Col inc)136MALERY01MimidaeToxostoma curvirostre (Tox cur)33AMMAUR01ZOCAP08EmberizidaeMelozone fusca (Mel fus)21ZOCAP08EmberizidaeMelozone fusca (Mel fus)21MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)11MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)11MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus parisorum (Ict par)33MOLATE01PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01NeotropicalCracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTurdidaeCatharus aurantinostris (Cat aur)21CATUSTO5ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBICO2Arremonps rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBICO2Arremonps rufiburigatus (Car aur)11BAEBICO2Arremonps aparellina (Cya par)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS2, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaeArocephalus palustris (Arr pal)11Bad sequence
MimidaeToxostoma curvirostre (Tox cur)333AMMAUR01 ZOCAP08EmberizidaeMelozone fusca (Mel fus)21COCAP08EmberizidaeSiziella atrogularis (Spiz atro)21MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)1MALERY01Icterus parisorum (Ict par)33MOLATE01PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)31-TorglodytidaeProtohrus maxicanus (Hae mex)31-TorglodytidaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)31-TorglodytidaeParothrus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantinostris (Cat aur)21MALERY01ParulidaeBasileutrus culcivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01ParulidaeRaremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)21MALERY01ParulidaeRaremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)288Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33MALERY01ParentieQuancomps argellina (Cya par)43MALERY01PalearctiParidaeQuancomps argellina (Cya par)1MALERY01PalearctiParidaeArcocphalia hirundinacea (Eup hir)31MALERY01PalearctiParidaePaloscopicaSYATOS, PARUS4, SGS1, PARU
EmberizidaeMelozone fusca (Mel fus)21ZOCAP08Spizella atrogularis (Spiz atro)21MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)11MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus parisorum (Ict par)3MOLATE01EmberizidaeFringillidaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01NeotropicalCracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUSTO5ParulidaeBasileuterus culiciorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01MalerizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bruh)22BAEBICO2Arremon pruniperius (Mar ruf)33BAEBICO2Arremon prunipiradus (Arr ruf)33BAEBICO2Arimophila rufsecens (Aim ruf)11BAEBICO2Arimophila rufsecens (Aim ruf)31LOXLEU01PalearcticParidaeParimagina(Cya par)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaePaismanica (Arr pais)31DAXLENO1PalearcticParidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Arr pal)1Bad sequence
EmberizidaeMelozone fusca (Mel fus)21ZOCAP08Spizella atrogularis (Spiz atro)21MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)11MALERY01Icterus parisorum (Ict par)33MOLATED1Errogularis (Spiz atro)33MOLATED1PaseridaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01CracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTurdidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11MALERY01ParulidaeBasileuterus culcivorus (Bas cul)21CATUSTOSParulidaeBasileuterus culcivorus (Bas cul)21MALERY01Arremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBICO2Arremon prunneinucha (Arr ruf)33BAEBICO2Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBICO2Armophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11MALERY01PalearctiFringillidaeCyanocompa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11PalearctiPariaeParus major (Par maj)31LOXIEU01PalearctiPariaeParus major (Par maj)31MALERY01PalearctiPariaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaeSYATOS, PARUSA, SGS1, P
Spizella arogularis (Spiz atro)21MALERY01IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)11MALERY01Icterus parisorum (Ict par)33MOLATE01SEIAUR01CYCYA02SEIAUR01CYCYA02PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)31-PasseridaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceToglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUSTO5ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01ParulidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02PalearcticParidaeCyanocompa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaeAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
IcteridaeIcterus wagleri (Ict wag)11MALERY01Icterus parisorum (Ict par)33MOLATE01SEIAUR01SEIAUR01CYCYA02PringillidaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01PasseridaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARU
Icterus parisorum (Ict par)33MOLATE01 SEIAUR01 CYCYA02NeotropicalFringillidaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01CracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01ParulidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)11BAEBIC02PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)31LOXLEU01PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequencePhylloscopidaePhylloscopidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
FringillidaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01NeotropicalCracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaeAcrocephalidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequence
PringillidaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01NeotropicalCracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUSTO5ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBICO2Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBICO2Anophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBICO2PalearcticFringillidaeQuancompsa parellina (Cya par)4320SYATO5, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS2, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequencePhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequence
FringillidaeHaemorhous mexicanus (Hae mex)31-PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01NeotropicalOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTorglodytideeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUSTOSParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Armonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Armonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)11BAEBIC02Armonops rufivirgatus (Arr nuf)11DOULINEPalearcticCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)31LOXLEU01PalearcticPhylloscopidaeApoloscopilybida (Phy coll)51Bad sequencePhylloscopidaeAporcephalidaeSocoephalus palustris (Acr pal)1Bad sequence
PasseridaePasser domesticus (Pass dom)32MALERY01NeotropicalCracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)11BAEBIC02FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS2, CWT4, CB1Phylloscopidae AcrocephalidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequence
NeotropicalCracidaeOrtalis vetula (Ort vet)11Bad sequenceTroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)11BAEBIC02Arinophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS2, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaeArrocephalidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequence
TroglodytidaeThryothorus maculipectus (Thr mac)11MALERY01TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11Euphonia hirundinacea (Eup hir)31LOXLEU01PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaeAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
TurdidaeCatharus aurantiirostris (Cat aur)21CATUST05ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11Euphonia hirundinacea (Eup hir)31LOXLEU01PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaeAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
ParulidaeBasileuterus culicivorus (Bas cul)61MALERY01EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequenceAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
EmberizidaeArremon brunneinucha (Arr bru)22BAEBIC02Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBIC02Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBIC02FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11Euphonia hirundinacea (Eup hir)31LOXLEU01PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequenceAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
Arremonops rufivirgatus (Arr ruf)33BAEBICO2Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBICO2Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBICO2FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11Euphonia hirundinacea (Eup hir)31LOXLEU01PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequenceAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
Aimophila rufescens (Aim ruf)11BAEBICO2FringillidaeCyanocompsa parellina (Cya par)43PADOM11Euphonia hirundinacea (Eup hir)31LOXLEU01PalearcticParidaeParus major (Par maj)4320SYATO5, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequenceAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
Fringillidae Cyanocompsa parellina (Cya par) 4 3 PADOM11 Euphonia hirundinacea (Eup hir) 3 1 LOXLEU01 Palearctic Paridae Parus major (Par maj) 43 20 SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1 Phylloscopidae Phylloscopus collybita (Phy coll) 5 1 Bad sequence Acrocephalidae Acrocephalus palustris (Acr pal) 1 1 Bad sequence
Palearctic Paridae Parus major (Par maj) 3 1 LOXLEU01 Palearctic Paridae Parus major (Par maj) 43 20 SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1 Phylloscopidae Phylloscopus collybita (Phy coll) 5 1 Bad sequence Acrocephalidae Acrocephalus palustris (Acr pal) 1 1 Bad sequence
Palearctic Paridae Parus major (Par maj) 43 20 SYAT05, PARUS4, SGS1, PARUS22, CWT4, CB1 Phylloscopidae Phylloscopus collybita (Phy coll) 5 1 Bad sequence Acrocephalidae Acrocephalus palustris (Acr pal) 1 1 Bad sequence
PARUS22, CWT4, CB1PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequenceAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
PhylloscopidaePhylloscopus collybita (Phy coll)51Bad sequenceAcrocephalidaeAcrocephalus palustris (Acr pal)11Bad sequence
Acrocephalidae Acrocephalus palustris (Acr pal) 1 1 Bad sequence
Sylviidae Sylvia atricapilla (Syl atr) 18 6 SYAT01, SYAT02
see Santiago-Alarcon et al. (20
Muscicapidae Muscicapa striata (Mus str) 3 1 ANLAT12
Erithacus rubecula (Eri rub) 61 5 LINN1, ROBIN1, ANLAT16,
STUR1
Turdidae Turdus merula (Tur mer) 21 13 LINN1, SYAT05, TURDUS2,
TUFAL02
Turdus philomelos (Tur phi) 12 12 SYATO5. TUFAL02. SYAT32.
STUR1. TURDUS1
Fringillidae Fringilla coelebs (Fri coe) 16 12 CCF1. CCF2. SYAT32
Coccothraustes coccothraustes (Coc coc) 1 1 Bad sequence

Uninfected bird species (the number of birds in parenthesis) detected at each site: (Nearctic) Picidae: Picoides scalaris (Pic sca, 1); Hirundinidae: Hirundo rustica (Hir rus, 1); Troglodytidae: Thryomanes bewickii (Thr bew, 2), Campylorhynchus brunneicapillus (Cam bru, 2). (Neotropical) Trochilidae: Campylopterus curvipennis (Cam cur, 3); Tyrannidae: Tolmomyias sulphurescens (Tol sul, 1); Cardinalidae: Habia rubica (Hab rub, 2), Habia fuscicauda (Hab fus, 1). (Palearctic) Picidae: Dendrocopos medius (Den med, 1), Dendrocopos major (Den maj, 4); Paridae: Poecile palustris (Poe pal, 4), Cyanistes caeruleus (Cya cae, 6); Aegithalidae: Aegithalos caudatus (Aeg cau, 1); Sittidae: Sitta europaea (Sit eur, 3); Certhiidae: Certhia familiaris (Cer fam, 3); Throglodytidae: Troglodytes troglodytes (Tro tro, 10); Sylviidae: Sylvia borin (Syl bor, 3).



Figure 3. Phylogram showing phylogenetic relationships of haemosporidian lineages detected in the nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces in relation to other lineages already attached to a morphospecies. Lineages recorded in this study have the prefix PN or PEC (PN = neotropical urban greenspace, PEC = nearctic urban greenspace) and are in smaller font size. GenBank accession numbers of all sequences are indicated after morphospecies and lineage name. *Leucocytozoon schoutedeni* and *L. fringillinarum* were used as outgroup. Numbers along branches correspond to node support values from Bayesian analysis.

site 71.4% and palearctic site 33.3%), but no significant difference between nearctic and palearctic urban greenspaces (P = 1.0; nearctic site 27.8% and palearctic site 33.3%).

Host-parasite assemblage structure and composition

We identified a total of 29 Haemoproteus, 14 Plasmodium, and 10 Leucocytozoon lineages (Table 1). We detected 30 mixed infections only in the palearctic urban greenspace (see Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2011, 2016). For the nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces we recorded a total of three parasite lineages of Haemoproteus and seven of Plasmodium and no sample was positive for parasites of the Leucocytozoon genus (Table 1). In the palearctic urban greenspace we recorded nine parasite lineages of Haemoproteus, four of Plasmodium, and seven of Leucocytozoon (Table 1). In the nearctic urban greenspace, we recorded the Haemoproteus lineages MALERY01 and ZOCAP08, and the Plasmodium lineages AMMAUR01, CYCYA02, MOLATE01, and SEIAUR01 (Table 1). We identified lineages belonging to three morphospecies in the nearctic site: Haemoproteus picae, Plasmodium homopolare, and P. cathemerium (Fig. 3). In the neotropical urban greenspace we recorded the Haemoproteus lineages MALERY01 and LOXLEU01, and the Plasmodium lineages CATUST05, BAEBIC02 and PADOM11 (Table 1). We identified lineages belonging to five morphospecies in the neotropical site: Plasmodium homopolare, P. elongatum, P. lutzi, Haemoproteus picae and H. tartakovskyi (Fig. 3). In the palearctic urban greenspace a larger array of genetic parasite lineages of the three genera were recorded, the most important from Haemoproteus are SYAT01 and SYAT02 belonging to the H. parabeloposlkyi morphospecies, and SGS1 Plasmodium lineage belonging to the pathogenic avian malaria morphospecies P. relictum (Table 1) (for more details see Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2011, 2016).

According with the diversity order 2 index, the bird communities of the nearctic and palearctic urban greenspaces have the same effective number of bird species, but the neotropical urban greenspace was two times as diverse (Fig. 4a). All bird species captured in each urban greenspace have been previously reported on the local bird list, and reflect the known bird diversity and composition at each site (nearctic site: Zuria, Bravo-Cadena, and Caballero-Quiroz 2009; neotropical site: González-García et al. 2014; MacGregor-Fors et al. unpublished; and palearctic site: Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2016). Considering the bird communities for the three sites, we found that they do not share any bird species, where Columbina inca dominated the community of the nearctic site, Basileuterus culicivorus and Cyanocompsa parellina of the neotropical site and Erithacus rubecula and Parus major of the palearctic site (Fig. 4a, 4b). Regarding Haemosporidian lineages, we found that nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces have

Table 2. Geographic distribution of lineages and morphospecies reported in this study

LINEAGES	MORPHOSPECIES	BIOREGION
AMMAUR01	Plasmodium	South America
BAEBIC02	Plasmodium homopolare	North America, South America
CATUST05 ^a	Plasmodium lutzi ^a	North America, South America
CYCYA02	Plasmodium	South America
LINN1 ^a	Plasmodium	Asia, Europe, Oceania
MOLATE01	Plasmodium	North America
PADOM11	Plasmodium elongatum ^a	Africa, Asia, Europe, North America, Oceania, South America
SEIAUR01	Plasmodium cathermerium ^a	North America
SGS1	Plasmodium relictum ^a	Asia, Africa, Europe, Oceania, South America
SYAT05 ^a	Plasmodium vaughani	Africa, Asia, Europe, North America, Oceania
TURDUS1	Plasmodium circumflexum ^a	Africa, Asia, Europe
CCF1	Haemoproteus	Africa, Europe
CCF2	Haemoproteus	Africa, Asia, Europe
CWT4	Haemoproteus majoris	Asia, Europe
LOXLEU01	Haemoproteus	North America
MALERY01	Haemoproteus picae	North America
PARUS1	Haemoproteus majoris	Africa, Asia, Europe, North America
ROBIN1	Haemoproteus attenuates	Africa, Asia, Europe
SYAT01, SYAT02	Haemoproteus parabelopolskyi	Africa, Asia, Europe
SYAT32	Haemoproteus	Europe
ZOCAP08	Haemoproteus	North America, South America
ANLAT12	Leucocytozoon	Africa, Europe
ANLAT16	Leucocytozoon	Africa
CB1	Leucocytozoon majoris	Europe, North America,
PARUS22	Leucocytozoon	Africa, Asia, Europe
STUR1	Leucocytozoon	Europe
TUFAL02	Leucocytozoon	South America

The information was accessed on the MalAvi database and all appropriate references are contained therein.

^aReported in urban areas.

the same effective number of lineages, but the palearctic was more diverse (Fig. 4c). For haemosporidian lineage composition, we found that nearctic and neotropical site shared one *Haemoproteus* lineage (MALERY01; Fig. 4c), which was the dominant one at the nearctic site. This lineage infected a total of six species of birds (nearctic=4; neotropical=2) and 11 individuals (Table 1). *Plamodium* SYAT05 was the dominant lineage in the palearctic site (Fig. 4c).

Comparing rank/abundance curves, we found that bird communities (using either all birds captured in mist nets or only those that were parasitized) between nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces are structured in a similar way as there was not statistical differences between their slopes (all birds: ANCOVA: $F_{1.15} = 0.002$, P = 0.96; parasitized birds: ANCOVA: $F_{1.5}=0.50$, P=0.51); however, the palearctic site has a bird community that is more evenly structured compared to the other two sites (all birds: ANCOVA: F_{1,22}=7.93, P=0.01 and F_{1,21}=8.01, P = 0.01, respectively; parasitized birds: ANCOVA: $F_{1,8} = 12.86$, P = 0.007 and $F_{1,7} = 17.64$, P = 0.004, respectively; Fig. 4a, 4b). Finally, haemosporidian lineages abundance structure was similar among the three sites, indicating that they are dominated by a few parasite lineages (nearctic-neotropical: ANCOVA: $F_{1,3}=0.28$, P = 0.63; palearctic-nearctic: ANCOVA: $F_{1.14} = 0.70$, P = 0.42; palearctic-neotropical: ANCOVA: $F_{1.15} = 0.0029$, P = 0.96; Fig. 4c).

Only one bird family was shared among sites (Fringillidae), indicating the uniqueness of bird communities at each location (Table 1). We recorded parasites only from the *Haemoproteus* genus from individuals of the Fringillidae family in the three urban greenspaces, LOXLEU01 lineage from individuals of the neotropical site, CCF1, CCF2 and SYAT32 in the palearctic site (Table 1), and at the nearctic site the infection was recorded only by microscopy, so no genetic lineage is available.

Discussion

Host-parasite assemblage structure

Although our study sites are located at different geographical areas, and have contrasting abiotic (e.g. temperature, humidity, rainfall, altitude) and biotic factors (e.g. vegetation), including different bird community composition, we found that birds and their blood parasites are similarly structured in these three urban greenspaces: similar infection prevalence, similar assemblage structure particularly for parasite lineages and a phylogenetically diverse parasite community (see Fig. 3; Santiago-Alarcon et al. 2011, 2016 for details of the palearctic site). At this point, it is important to consider such similarities among urban greenspaces with caution because we still have low sample sizes for the neotropical and nearctic sites. Hence, we suggest a more thorough sampling of urban greenspaces in the neotropical and nearctic and an increase in sampling urban green locations across different geographical areas; only the inclusion of control sites (i.e. well preserved native vegetation) will provide a clear understanding of how parasite communities respond to the urbanization process.

There are also some differences in the number of haemosporidian lineages among sites, however, when looking closer at each forest we observe that in the neotropical site, lineages of the *Plasmodium* genus were the most common, whereas lineages of the *Haemoproteus* genus were the most common in the nearctic site, while in the palearctic site the three genera of



Figure 4. Rank/abudance plots: (a) bird communities (DBNEA, diversity of birds in the nearctic site; DBNEO, diversity of birds in the neotropical site; DBPAL, diversity of birds in the palearctic site), (b) parasitized bird species (PBNEA, parasitized birds in the nearctic site; PBNEO, parasitized birds in the neotropical site; PBPAL, parasitized birds in the palearctic site) and (c) haemosporidian lineages (HNEA, haemoporidians in the nearctic site; HNEO, haemosporidians in the neotropical site; HPAL, haemosporidians in the palearctic site). ²D, diversity order 2 (see Methods). Codes for bird species are given in Table 1.

haemosporidians are equally represented. This might reflect the presence of different dominant and competent vectors at each place due to the local environmental conditions, which might favor breeding sites for different Diptera families (e.g. Simuliidae favored by high humidity and running water, whereas Ceratopogonidae can thrive in dry conditions with ephemeral water bodies and succulent vegetation) (Valkiūnas 2005; Krama et al. 2015; Svodoba et al. 2015).

Our results show that there is not a significant difference in prevalence between the neotropical and the nearctic urban greenspaces, which does not support our initial prediction because the dry conditions in the open scrub site were expected to prevent the successful development of haemosporidian vectors, lowering parasite infection. However, this can be due to the low sample sizes, because when we compared the palearctic site with the nearctic one we did find significantly lower parasite prevalence in the open scrub environment (i.e. nearctic site). Alternatively, it is important to mention that the months of capture at the three places were the wettest for each urban greenspace (rainy season). Therefore, similar infection rates may be related to common abiotic factors present during that season of the year, but such pattern of infection might not hold yearround.

We did not find any bird species shared among sites, only one bird family (Fringillidae) at the three locations, and only one parasite lineage (MALERY01) between the nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces. Such differences in parasite composition can be related to the dissimilar avian composition given their separate biogeographical histories and contrasting environmental conditions (e.g. different plant communities, temperature, humidity, presence/amount of water), which is akin to what happens on parasite assemblages along altitudinal gradients (Galen and Witt 2014; González et al. 2014). For example, Durrant et al. (2006) found that there were only three Plasmodium lineages shared among birds between the tropical and temperate biomes of South America. Thus, there are geographical restrictions in haemosporidians distributions, particularly for those lineages that do not infect widespread and/or invasive hosts. A geographical restriction pattern is observed even for those parasites infecting migratory birds (Hellgren et al. 2007). For instance, many lineages of Haemoproteus and Leucocytozoon infecting migratory birds flying between Europe and Africa had restricted transmission areas (i.e. low parasite lineage sharing between biogeographical zones, Hellgren et al. 2007).

Interestingly, the only haemosporidian lineage shared (MALERY01) belongs to the Haemoproteus picae morphospecies and is a geographically widespread generalist infecting several bird families, genera, and species (e.g. Aphelocoma coerulescens, Corvus corax, Cyanocitta cristata, Dendrocitta formosae, Garrulus glandarius, Melanerpes erythrocephalus, Pica pica; Valkiūnas 2005). This finding is relevant because parasites of the Haemoproteus genus have been considered more specific than parasites of the Plasmodium genus (Atkinson and van Riper 1991). However, recent studies have demonstrated that both genera can include generalists and specialists (Svensson-Coelho et al. 2013, 2014; Olsson-Pons et al. 2015).

Haemosporidian lineages: geographic distribution and urban areas

From the haemosporidian lineages, and their corresponding morphospecies, reported in this study, some of them are known to be widely distributed; however, only a few have been previously reported in urban areas (Table 2). Plasmodium lutzi and its

CATUST05 lineage were found in an urban area, characterized by vegetation patches in Colombia (González et al. 2015; see also Mantilla et al. 2013) (Table 2). Lineages LINN1 and SYAT05 were reported infecting European blackbirds (Turdus merula) in an urban location of France (Bentz et al. 2006) (Table 2). Plasmodium elongatum is the most widely distributed from parasites recorded in this study, it was reported in suburban areas including parks, cemeteries, and residential communities infecting several avian hosts (i.e. Dumetella carolinensis, Melospiza melodia and Cardinalis cardinalis) in USA (Madeiros, Hamer, and Ricklefs 2013; Thurber et al. 2014) (Table 2). Plasmodium relictum, a world-wide distributed malaria parasite, has been found infecting birds in urban areas, including House Finch (Haemorhous mexicanus) in Mexico City (Hewitt 1940), House sparrow (Passer domesticus) along a gradient of urbanization, characterized by agriculture, woodland, meadow and shrubland in France (Bichet et al. 2013), and six other avian hosts (Calandrella rufescens, Turdoides altirostris, T. caudatus, Pycnonotus leucogenys, Phoenicurus phoenicurus, Passer domesticus) in different areas within and around Baghdad City (Mohammas and Al-Moussawi 2012). Plasmodium circumflexum has been reported in three bioregions (Table 2), more recently in suburban areas of the USA (Thurber et al. 2014). Finally, Plasmodium cathemerium has been reported only in North America, including urban areas of Mexico (Hewitt 1940) and USA (Madeiros, Hamer, and Ricklefs 2013; Thurber et al. 2014) (Table 2).

The high haemosporidian lineage richness found in these urban sites, might reflect the fact that such places are relicts of the original vegetation at each area. This type of urban greenspaces still contain bird species not found in heavily built areas, possibly carrying parasites not infecting species of birds considered typical in these areas (e.g. House sparrows, Rock pigeons). However, due to the isolation of such areas within the urban environment, it is likely that parasite communities get simplify through time due to losses of both competent hosts and vectors; the velocity of such a process will depend on the size and management of the site (i.e. connectedness to other similar patches).

Conclusions

Although we must keep in mind the low sample sizes of the nearctic and neotropical urban greenspaces, this is the first study to compare avian haemosporidian communities of urban greenspaces at three distinct biogeographical regions. Our results suggest that despite contrasting environmental conditions and geographical isolation, there are ecological parallels in the three urban greenspaces: 1) similar haemosporidian infection prevalence, 2) same parasite abundance structure, 3) phylogenetically diverse parasite communities, and 4) communities dominated by widespread generalist parasite lineages.

Data availability statement

Data sets used for analyses in this study are available upon request to any of the corresponding authors.

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Romero, A. González, J. Acosta for assistance during fieldwork. T. Pérez and C. Hernández for assistance in the laboratory. M.Sc. A. Sandoval-Comte for the elaboration of maps. Dr. A. Ramos-Fernández for helping with submission of sequences to GenBank and Dr. A. P. MartínezFalcon for help with diversity analysis. This paper was improved by comments of L. García-Feria.

Funding

This work was supported by CONACYT-SEP (CB-2011-01-168524) and FOMIX-CONACYT Hidalgo-191908 project Diversidad Biológica del Estado de Hidalgo (tercera etapa). D.S.-A. was partly supported by an Alexander von Humboldt post-doctoral fellowship. P.C.-R. (CV 232867) acknowledges postdoc scholarship provided by CONACYT.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

References

- Abella-Medrano, C. A. et al. (2015) 'Spatiotemporal variation of mosquito diversity (Diptera:Culicidae) at places with different land-use types within a neotropical montane cloud forest matrix', *Parasite Vector*, **8**: 487.
- Atkinson, C. T. and van Riper, C. (1991) 'Pathogenicity and epizootiology of avian hematozoa: Plasmodium, Leucocytozoon, and Haemoproteus', in Loye E. and Zuk M. (eds) Bird-Parasite Interactions: Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, pp 19–48. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Belo, N. O., et al. (2011) 'Prevalence and lineage diversity of avian haemosporidians from three distinct cerrado habitats in Brazil', PLoS ONE, 6: e17654.
- Bensch, S., Hellgren, O., and Pérez-Tris, J. (2009) 'MalAvi: a public database of malaria parasites and related haemosporidians in avian hosts based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* lineages', *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 9: 1353–8.
- Bentz, S. et al. (2006) 'Sensitive measure of prevalence and parasitaemia of haemosporidia from European blackbird (*Turdus merula*) populations: value of PCR-RFLP and quantitative PCR', *Parasitology*, **133**: 685–92.
- Bichet, C. et al. (2013) 'Urbanization, trace metal pollution, and malaria prevalence in the House Sparrow', PLoS ONE, 8: e53866.
- Bonneaud, C. et al. (2009) 'The prevalence of avian Plasmodium is higher in undisturbed tropical forests of Cameroon', Journal of Tropical Ecology, 25: 439–47.
- Bradley, C. A. and Altizer, S. (2007) 'Urbanization and the ecology of wildlife diseases', *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **22**: 95–102.
- Chasar, A. et al. (2009) 'Prevalence and diversity patterns of avian blood parasites in degraded African rainforest habitats', *Molecular Ecology*, **18**: 4121–33.
- Chao, A., Chiu, C. H., and Hsieh, H. C. (2012) 'Proposing a resolution to debates on diversity partitioning', *Ecology*, **93**: 2037–51.
- Clark, N. J., Clegg, S. M., and Lima, M. R. (2014) 'A review of global diversity in avian haemosporidians (*Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus*: Haemosporida): new insights from molecular data', *International Journal for Parasitology*, **44**: 329–38.
- COEDE (Consejo Estatal de Ecología) (2004) Programa de manejo del Área Natural Protegida Estatal Parque Ecológico Cubitos. Consejo Estatal de Ecología. Pachuca, Hidalgo.
- CONAGUA (2012) <ftp://ftp.conagua.gob.mx/ocgc/Publicacion/ ESTADISTICAS/Climatologia/historico/xalapa.pdf> accessed 18 May 2015.
- Corona-López, C. R. (2006) Diversidad y distribución de la herpetofauna en el Area Natural Protegida El Tejar-Garnica, Xalapa, Veracruz, México. Dissertation, Universidad Veracruzana.

- Deviche, P., McGraw, K., and Greiner, E. (2005) 'Interspecific differences in hematozoan infection in Sonora desert Aimophila sparrows', Journal of Wildlife Diseases, **41**: 532–41.
- Dierschke, V. (2008) Aves de Europa (Guía de Campo). Barcelona: Ediciones Omega.
- Dimitrov, D. et al. (2014) 'Two new species of Haemoproteus Kruse, 1890 (Haemosporida, Haemoproteidae) from European birds, with emphasis on DNA barcoding for detection of haemosporidians in wildlife', Systematic Parasitology, 87: 135–51.
- Durrant, K. L. et al. (2006) 'Avian hematozoa in South America: a comparison of temperate and tropical zones', *Ornithological Monographs*, **60**: 98–111.
- Evans, K. L., et al. (2009) 'Effects of urbanization on disease prevalence and age structure in blackbird *Turdus merula* populations', Oikos, **118**: 774–82.
- Galen, S. P., and Witt, C. C. (2014) 'Diversity avian malaria and other haemosporidian parasites in Andean house wrens: evidence for regional co-diversification by host-switching', *Journal Avian Biology*, **45**: 374–86.
- González, A. D., et al. (2014) 'Mixed species flock, nest height, and elevation partially explain avian haemoparasite prevalence in Colombia', PLoS ONE, **9**:e100695.
- —, et al. (2015) 'Avian haemosporidians from Neotropical highlands: evidence from morphological and molecular data', Parasitology International, 64: 48–59.
- González-García, F., et al. (2014) Birds of a neotropical green city: an up-to-date review of the avifauna of the city of Xalapa with additional unpublished records. *Urban Ecosystems*. doi:10.1007/ s11252-014-0370-3.
- Guindon, S., and Gascuel, O. (2003) 'A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood', Systematic Biology, **52**: 696–704.
- Hellgren, O., Waldenström, J., and Bensch, S. (2004) 'A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of *Leucocytozoon*, *Plasmodium*, and *Haemoproteus* from avian blood', *Journal of Parasitology*, **90**: 797–802.
- ——, et al. (2007) 'Detecting shifts of transmission areas in avian blood parasites – a phylogenetic approach', Molecular Ecology, 16: 1281–90.
- Hernández-Lara, C., González-García, F., and Santiago-Alarcon, D. (2017) 'Spatial and seasonal variation of avian malaria infections in five different land use types within a Neotropical montane forest matrix', Landscape and Urban Planning, 157: 151–60.
- Hewitt, R. (1940) 'Studies on blood protozoa from Mexican birds', Journal of Parasitology, **26**: 287–95.
- Huelsenbeck, J. P., and Ronquist, F. (2001) 'MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny', Bioinformatics, **17**: 754–5.
- INEGI (2005) Cuaderno estadístico Municipal, Pachuca de Soto, Estado de Hidalgo, México: INEGI.
- Isaksson, C. et al. (2013) 'Explaining variance of avian malaria infection in the wild: the importance of host density, habitat, individual life-history and oxidative stress', BMC Ecology, **13**: 15.
- Karadjian, G. et al. (2013) 'Haemoproteus syrnii in Strix aluco from France: morphology, stages of sporogony in a hippoboscid fly, molecular characterization and discussion on the identification of Haemoproteus species', Parasite, **20**: 32.
- Knowles, S. C. L. et al. (2014) 'Dispersal in a patchy landscape reveals contrasting determinants of infection in a wild avian malaria system', *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **83**: 429–39.
- Krama, T. et al. (2015) 'Intensity of haemosporidian infection of parids positively correlates with proximity to water bodies, but negatively with host survival', *Journal Ornithology*, **156**: 1075–84.
- Križanauskienė, A. et al. (2006) 'Variation in host specificity between species of avian hemosporidian parasites: evidence

from parasite morphology and cytochrome B gene sequences', *Journal Parasitology*, **92**: 1319–24.

- Lachish, S. et al. (2013) 'Spatial determination of infection risk in a multi-species avian malaria system', *Ecography*, **36**: 587–98.
- LaPointe, D. A., Atkinson, C. T., and Samuel, M. D. (2012) 'Ecology and conservation biology of avian malaria', Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, **1249**: 211–26.
- Lemoinen-Rodríguez, R. (2012) Cambios en la cobertura vegetal de la ciudad de Xalapa-EnrÚquez, Veracruz y zonas circundantes entre 1950-2010. Dissertation, Universidad Veracruzana.
- Loiseau, C., et al. (2010) 'Spatial variation of haemosporidian parasite infection in African rainforest bird species', *Journal of Parasitology*, 96: 21–9.
- Madeiros, M. C. I., Hamer, G. L., and Ricklefs, R. E. (2013) 'Host compatibility rather than vector-host-encounter rate determines the host range of avian Plasmodium parasites', Proceedings of the Royal Society, 280: 20122947.
- Magurran, A. E. (2004) Measuring Biological Diversity. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Mantilla, J. S. et al. (2013) 'Identification of Plasmodium (Haemamoeba) lutzi (Lucena, 1939) from Turdus fuscater (Great Thrush) in Colombia', Journal of Parasitology, **99**: 662–8.
- Martin, L. B., and Boruta, M. (2014) The impact of urbanization on avian disease transmission and emergence. In: Gil D, Brumm H (eds) Avian Urban Ecology: Behavioural and Physiological Adaptations, pp. 116–28. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mohammad, M. K., and Al-Moussawi, A. A. (2012) 'Blood parasites of some passeriform birds in Baghdad area, central Iraq', Bulletin of the Iraq Natural History Museum, **12**: 29–36.
- Olsson-Pons, S. et al. (2015) 'Differences in host species relationships and biogeographic influences produce contrasting patterns of prevalence, community composition and genetic structure in two genera of avian malaria parasites in southern Melanesia', *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **84**: 985–98.
- Ortega-Álvarez, R., and MacGregor-Fors, I. (2009) 'Effects of urban land-use on bird community structure, diversity, and composition', Landscape and Urban Planning, **90**: 189–95.
- Pavón, N. P., and Meza-Sánchez, M. (2009) Cambio climático en el estado de Hidalgo: Clasificación y tendencias climáticas. Pachuca: Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo.
- Pérez-Rodríguez, A. et al. (2013) 'Evolution of parasite island syndromes without long-term host population isolation: parasite dynamics in Macaronesian blackcaps Sylvia atricapilla', Global Ecology and Biogeography, 22: 1272–81.
- Reiczigel, J. (2003) 'Confidence intervals for the binomial parameter: some new considerations', Statistics Medicine, **22**: 611–21.
- —— and Rózsa, L. (2005) Quantitative Parasitolgy 3.0 Budapest. Distributed by the authors.
- Renner, S. C. et al. (2016) 'Forests of opportunities and mischief: disentangling the interactions between forests, parasites, and immune responses', International Journal for Parasitology, 46: 571–9.
- Rzedowski, J. (1994) Vegetación de México. México: Limusa.
- Rózsa, L., Reiczigel, J., and Majoros, G. (2000) 'Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts', *Journal of Parasitology*, **86**: 228–32.
- Santiago-Alarcon, D., et al. (2011) 'Prevalence, diversity, and interaction patterns of avian haemosporidians in a four-year study of blackcaps in a migratory divide', *Parasitology*, **138**: 824–35.
- —, Palinauskas, V., and Schaefer, H. M. (2012) 'Diptera vectors of avian haemosporidian parasites: untangling parasite life cycles and their taxonomy', Biological Review, 87: 928–64.

- —, et al. (2012) 'Bloodmeal analyses reveals avian Plasmodium infections and broad host preferences of Culicoides (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) vectors', PLoS ONE, 7: e31098.
- —, et al. (2013) 'Urban forests as hubs for novel zoonosis: 70 blood meal analysis, seasonal variation in Culicoides (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) vectors, and avian haemosporidians', Parasitology, 140: 1799–810.
- —, and Carbó-Ramírez, P. (2015) 'Parásitos sanguíneos de malaria y géneros relacionados (Orden: Haemosporida) e naves de México: recomendaciones metodológicas para campo y laboratorio', Ornitología Neotropical, 26: 59–77.
- —, et al. (2016) 'Avian haemosporidian parasites in an urban forest and their relationship to bird size and abundance', Urban Ecosystems, 19: 331–46.
- Secretaría de Desarrollo Regional (2001) Programa de Manejo El Tejar-Garnica. Área Natural Protegida, Gobierno del Estado de Veracruz.
- Sehgal, R. N. M., et al. (2010) 'Spatially explicit predictions of blood parasites in a widely distributed African rainforest bird', Proceedings of the Royal Society London B, 278: 1025–33.
- (2015) 'Manifold habitat effects on the prevalence and diversity of avian blood parasites', International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife, 4: 421–30.
- Svensson-Coelho, M., et al. (2013) 'Diversity, prevalence, and host specificity of avian Plasmodium and Haemoproteus in a western Amazon assemblage', Ornithological Monographs, **76**: 1–47.
- —, et al. (2014) 'Reciprocal specialization in multihost malaria parasite communities of birds: a temperate-tropical comparison', The American Naturalist, **184**: 624–35.
- Svodoba, A., et al. (2015) 'Blood parasite prevalence in the Bluethroat is associated with subspecies and breeding habitat', *Journal of Ornithology*, **156**: 371–80.
- Thurber, M. I., et al. (2014) 'Molecular detection of Plasmodium in free-ranging birds and captive flamingos (Phoenicopterus chilensis) in Chicago', Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, **45**: 749–54.
- Valera, F. et al. (2003) 'Low prevalence of haematozoa in Trumpeter finches Bucanetes githagineus from south-eastern Spain: additional support for a restricted distribution of blood parasites in arid lands', *Journal of Arid Environments*, **55**: 209–13.
- Valkiūnas, G. (2005) Avian Malaria Parasites and Other Haemosporidia. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- —, et al. (2008) 'Polymerasa chain reaction-based identification of Plasmodium (Huffia) elongatum, with remarks on species identity of haemosporidian lineages deposited in GenBank', Parasitolgy Research, **102**: 1185–93.
- —, et al. (2009) 'New malaria parasites of the subgenus Novyella in African rainforest birds, with remarks on their high prevalence, classification and diagnostics', Parasitology Research, **104**: 1061–77.
- Walther, E. L. et al. (2014) 'Description, molecular characterization, and patterns of distribution of a widespread New World avian malaria parasite (Haemosporida: Plasmodiidae), Plasmodium (Novyella) homopolare sp. nov', Parasitology Research, 113: 3319–32.
- Zuria, I., Bravo-Cadena, J., and Caballero-Quiroz, H. (2009) Guía de Aves del Parque Ecológico Cubitos Pachuca Hidalgo. Pachuca, México: Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo.
- —— and Rendón-Hernández, G. (2010) 'Notes on the breeding biology of common resident birds in an urbanized area of Hidalgo, México', Huitzil, 11: 35–41.