

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Avoiding a full criminal trial: Fair trial rights, diversions, and shortcuts in Dutch and international criminal proceedings

Vriend, K.C.J.

Publication date 2016 Document Version Final published version

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Vriend, K. C. J. (2016). Avoiding a full criminal trial: Fair trial rights, diversions, and shortcuts in Dutch and international criminal proceedings.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

In modern societies, full criminal trials are avoided on many occasions. This thesis is concerned with mechanisms that either divert from or speed up the proceedings. Koen Vriend argues that the fair trial rights as established by the European Court of Human Rights under Article 6 ECHR provide a normative framework that does not only apply in a full criminal trial, but that it can also be used for diverted and shortened proceedings. He shows that the concept of *fairness* - as derived from ECtHR case law - is a fundamental principle that underlies all criminal law enforcement. It provides for the appropriate framework to assess whether diverted or shortened proceedings are fair and legitimate.

Avoiding a Full Criminal Trial

Fair Trial Rights, Diversions, and Shortcuts in Dutch and International Criminal Proceedings

Koen Vriend LLM ALB MA (1982, Hoorn, NL) is assistant professor of criminal law and criminal procedure at the University of Amsterdam. He studied law and history in Amsterdam, specialising in International Criminal Law and Holocaust and Genocide Studies. His current research focuses on evidence and forensic expertise, in particular in relation to the European Forensic Science Area 2020. This publication is the result of his PhD research.

KOEN VRIEND

Avoiding a Full Criminal Trial



Avoiding a Full Criminal Trial

Fair Trial Rights, Diversions, and Shortcuts in Dutch and International Criminal Proceedings

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,

prof. dr. D.C. van den Boom

in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel op dinsdag 31 mei 2016, te 12:00 uur

> door Koen Cornelis Johannes Vriend geboren te Hoorn

Promotiecommissie:

prof. mr. T. Blom Universiteit van Amsterdam Promotores:

> prof. mr. G.K. Sluiter Universiteit van Amsterdam

Overige leden: prof. mr. J.H. Crijns Universiteit Leiden

> prof. mr. W.N. Ferdinandusse Rijksuniversiteit Groningen prof. mr. R.C.P. Haentjens Universiteit van Amsterdam prof. mr. A.J.C. de Moor-van Vugt Universiteit van Amsterdam Universiteit van Amsterdam

prof. mr. H.G. van der Wilt

Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

For my parents
For Tim

Table of Contents

List	of Abbreviations	X1
1	Full Criminal Proceedings in Decline	13
1.1	The Full Criminal Trial	13
1.1		_
	Purpose and Scope of the Study	15
1.3	Terminology: Diversions, Shortcuts, and a Full Criminal Trial	18
1.4	Research Question	19
1.5	The Normative Framework	19
1.6	Outline of the Book	20
2	Diversions, Shortcuts, and the Concept of Fairness	23
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	Fairness and Evidence Law	25
2.3	Principles and Rules	27
2.4	Participation in Criminal Proceedings	30
	2.4.1 Non-compulsion	33
	2.4.2 Informed Involvement	35
	2.4.3 Challenging the Evidence	36
	2.4.4 Reasoned Judgement	38
2.5	Diversions and the Concept of Fairness	40
2.6	Conclusion	45

viii Table of Contents

3	Diversions and Shortcuts in Dutch Law of Criminal Procedure	47
3.1	Introduction	47
	3.1.1 Rules of Admissibility	50
	3.1.2 Weighing the Evidence	55
	3.1.3 Reasoned Judgements	61
	3.1.3 Conclusion	63
3.2	Diversions in Dutch Law of Criminal Procedure	65
	3.2.1 Introduction	65
	3.2.2 The Punitive Order	65
	3.2.3 The Transaction	71
	3.2.4 The Conditional Dismissal	75
3.3	Shortcuts in Dutch Law of Criminal Procedure	77
	3.3.1 Introduction	77
	3.3.2 Facts of Common Knowledge and Contextual Facts	78
	3.3.3 Chain Evidence	101
	3.3.4 Confessions	107
	3.3.5 Cases Ad informandum	112
	3.3.6 Appeal Proceedings	115
4	Diversions and Shortcuts in the Law of International	
	Criminal Procedure	125
4.1	Introduction	125
	4.1.1 Preliminary Observations	126
	4.1.2 Rules of Admissibility in International Criminal Proceedings	131
	4.1.3 Weighing the Evidence	144
	4.1.4 Reasoned Judgements	151
	4.1.5 Conclusion	152
4.2	Diversions in the Law of International Criminal Procedure	153
	4.2.1 Introduction	153
	4.2.2 Guilty Pleas	153
	4.2.3 Legal Framework of Guilty Pleas before the ad hoc Tribunals	154
	4.2.4 Admissions of Guilt at the ICC	162
4.3	Shortcuts in the Law of International Criminal Procedure	164
	4.3.1 Introduction	164
	4.3.2 Agreed Facts	164
	4.3.3 Judicial Notice of Facts of Common Knowledge	169
		_
	4.3.4 Judicial Notice of Adjudicated Facts and Documentary Evidence	183

5	Avoiding a Full Criminal Trial: Evading Fairness?	223
5.1	Introduction	223
	5.1.1 Waiving Rights	226
	5.1.2 Outline	227
5.2	Diversions and Shortcuts in Dutch Law of Criminal Procedure	227
	5.2.1 Diversions and the Participatory Model of Proof	227
	5.2.2 Shortcuts and the Participatory Model of Proof	235
5.3	Diversions and Shortcuts in the Law of International Criminal Procedure	249
	5.3.1 Introduction	249
	5.3.2 Diversions and the Participatory Model of Proof	250
	5.3.3 Shortcuts and the Participatory Model of Proof	253
5.4	Conclusion	269
6	Why Fairness Matters	271
6.1	Conclusion	271
6.2	A Case for Fairness	275
6.3	Recommendations	277
Ackn	owledgements	281
Sumn	nary	283
Same	nvatting	290
Biblio	graphy	297
Table	of Cases	307

List of Abbreviations

A. Ch. Appeals Chamber

ACHR American Convention on Human Rights

AJ Appeals Chamber's Judgement

BGH Bundesgerichtshof (German Federal Court of Justice)

C Constitution
CC Criminal Code

CCP Code of Criminal Procedure

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights
ECLD Extraordinary Criminal Law Decree
ECLI European Case Law Identifier
ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

ECtHR (GC) European Court of Human Rights, Grand Chamber

GVG Gerichtsverfassungsgesetz (German Code on the Organisation of

the Judiciary)

HR Hoge Raad der Nederlanden (Dutch Supreme Court)

HRC Human Rights Committee
ICC International Criminal Court

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

xii List of Abbreviations

ICTR International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

LJN Landelijk jurisprudentienummer (Dutch case law identifier)

NJ Nederlandse Jurisprudentie (Dutch case law journal)

NOR Naoorlogse Jurisprudentie (Dutch case law journal)

OM Openbaar Ministerie (Dutch Public Prosecution Service)

par. paragraph

RPE Rules of Procedure and Evidence SCSL Special Court for Sierra Leone

Sr Wetboek van Strafrecht (Dutch Criminal Code)

Stb. Staatsblad (Dutch Official Bulletin of Acts and Decrees)

Stcrt. Staatscourant (Dutch Government Gazette)

StPO Strafprozessordnung (German Code of Criminal Procedure)

Sv Wetboek van Strafvordering (Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure)

T. Ch. Trial Chamber

TIC taken into consideration

TJ Trial Chamber's Judgement

UN United Nations

UNICRI United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute