


RESEARCH

Open Access



Awareness of cognitive decline trajectories in asymptomatic individuals at risk for AD

Federica Cacciamani^{1,2,3,4,5†}, Luisa Sambati^{6,7†}, Marion Houot^{1,4,8}, Marie-Odile Habert^{9,10}, Bruno Dubois^{1,4,8}, Stéphane Epelbaum^{1,2,3,4,5,8*}  and on behalf of the INSIGHT-PreAD study group

Abstract

Background: Lack of awareness of cognitive decline (ACD) is common in late-stage Alzheimer's disease (AD). Recent studies showed that ACD can also be reduced in the early stages.

Methods: We described different trends of evolution of ACD over 3 years in a cohort of memory-complainers and their association to amyloid burden and brain metabolism. We studied the impact of ACD at baseline on cognitive scores' evolution and the association between longitudinal changes in ACD and in cognitive score.

Results: 76.8% of subjects constantly had an accurate ACD (reference class). 18.95% showed a steadily heightened ACD and were comparable to those with accurate ACD in terms of demographic characteristics and AD biomarkers. 4.25% constantly showed low ACD, had significantly higher amyloid burden than the reference class, and were mostly men. We found no overall effect of baseline ACD on cognitive scores' evolution and no association between longitudinal changes in ACD and in cognitive scores.

Conclusions: ACD begins to decrease during the preclinical phase in a group of individuals, who are of great interest and need to be further characterized.

Trial registration: The present study was conducted as part of the INSIGHT-PreAD study. The identification number of INSIGHT-PreAD study (ID-RCB) is 2012-A01731-42.

Keywords: Preclinical Alzheimer's disease, Awareness, Cognitive decline, Brain, Amyloid

Introduction

Patients with Alzheimer's dementia often exhibit anosognosia; that is, they show little or no awareness of their progressive cognitive decline (e.g., [1]). According to longitudinal and cross-sectional studies, the awareness of cognitive decline (ACD) is not only impaired in patients with dementia, but also in about 50% of individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [2, 3]. Anosognosic MCI patients seem to have a greater risk of future progression to dementia than non-anosognosic ones (e.g., [4–6]).

Recently, a few studies have suggested the possibility of a very early reduction of the ACD in Alzheimer's disease (AD), during its preclinical phase, without this being a clear anosognosia. In this initial phase of the disease, insidious neuropathological processes have started but have no or very slight impact on cognition [7–9]. In the transitional stage II of preclinical AD according to [9], individuals exhibit subtle cognitive decline, which corresponds to slight differences in cognitive efficiency and occasional memory lapses. Cognitive scores remain within age and educational norms but are probably close to the pathological testing threshold. An altered subjective perception of these initial cognitive changes could indicate an underlying early-stage AD pathology and allow for early diagnosis and patient management.

* Correspondence: stephane.epelbaum@aphp.fr

†Federica Cacciamani and Luisa Sambati contributed equally to this work.

¹Institut du Cerveau, ICM, F-75013 Paris, France

²Inserm, U 1127, F-75013 Paris, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

To date, very few studies have attempted to clarify the degree of ACD in individuals with preclinical AD. These studies have led to conflicting results, finding that both subjects with low ACD [10, 11] and those with marked cognitive complaints (or *hypermnesia* [12]) had an increased risk of having positive AD biomarkers. Interestingly, a recent longitudinal study [13] found that these two conditions—low ACD and *hypermnesia*—arrive consequently during the early phase of AD. Indeed, (i) a subset of individuals with preclinical AD who progressed to MCI showed *hypermnesia* up to 1.6 years before MCI diagnosis; (ii) the participants with MCI who progressed to dementia showed low ACD, with clear anosognosia 3.2 years before the diagnosis of Alzheimer's dementia.

In the present article, we aimed to investigate the potential usefulness of reduced ACD as an indicator of early-stage AD. This aim has been implemented through three objectives:

1. To track the temporality of the changes in the ACD during preclinical AD. More specifically, we aimed at identifying groups of participants who shared a similar longitudinal trajectory of the ACD and to characterize each group/trajectory according to their demographical data and AD neuroimaging markers at baseline (amyloid burden and brain metabolism);
2. To test the hypothesis that an early reduction in ACD is associated with progressive cognitive decline, by studying the impact of baseline ACD on changes in cognitive scores across the 3-year study period; and
3. To study the association between longitudinal changes in ACIDI and longitudinal changes in cognitive scores, to clarify whether a certain pattern of ACD evolution is associated with a more marked cognitive decline.

Methods and measures

Participants

The INSIGHT-PreAD cohort has been described previously [14]. Briefly, 318 participants (and 318 study partners) were included in the study. They were French individuals between 70 and 85 years of age, with normal scores on Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE ≥ 27), Clinical Dementia Rating scale (CDR = 0), and Free and Cued Selective Rating Test (FCSRT total recall score ≥ 41), who reported cognitive complaints at the study baseline (the subject answered *yes* to both of the following questions: Do you complain about your memory? Is this a regular complaint that has lasted for more than 6 months?). They had no evidence of monogenic AD mutations or other neurological disorders. The study partner had to be a person close to the subject, aware of potential recent changes in health and cognition. Each participant gave his/her informed consent, and Paris VI ethical committee approved the study protocol.

INSIGHT-PreAD is a prospective ongoing cohort study. When we performed the statistical analyses, the participants were undergoing their M54 or M60 visit. Only data up to M36 were analyzed in this article (7 timepoints), because the data of subsequent visits had not yet been fully collected and/or checked. However, at the time of the analyses, 14 participants had been labeled as “decliners” (that is, exhibit at least two of the following changes over two consecutive evaluations 6 months apart: CDR increasing from 0 to 0.5 and/or an MMSE below 26 and/or a FCSRT total score below 40). The INSIGHT-PreAD protocol stipulated that participants would stop the follow-up as soon as they were classified as “decliners.” Three subjects were classified as “decliners” at the 24-month visit, three subjects at 36 months, one at 42 months, one at 54 months, and eight subjects at 60 months.

Cognitive measures

We investigated the impact of baseline ACD on the evolution of the cognitive scores that are supposed to be most relevant to the study of ACD in early-stage AD.

All INSIGHT-PreAD participants performed cognitive screening tests every 6 months and underwent a comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation every 12 months.

On the one hand, impaired awareness is associated with a suboptimal online self-monitoring, error detection and disinhibition [15–17]. On the other hand, memory disorders prevent correct comparisons between current and past performance [18]. Therefore, we included (1) the Trail Making Test (TMT) B-A score, the Lexical and Semantic Fluency and the Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB), as measures of executive functioning; (2) the free recall and total recall scores from the FCSRT, an episodic memory test sensitive to hippocampal damage; and (3) the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) as a measure of global cognitive functioning.

Determination of the Awareness of Cognitive Decline Index (ACIDI)

The procedure for identifying ACIDI is reported in our previous publication [10].

In summary, the subjects and their study partners filled out two similar versions of the Healthy Aging Brain Care Monitor (HABC-M [19, 20]). This is a questionnaire asking how often, during the last 2 weeks, the participant has encountered certain difficulties in his/her everyday life. The questions are the same in the participant version and the study partner version and only asked slightly differently. For example, the first question in the participant version is “Over the past two weeks, how often *did you* have problems with judgment or decision making?”. In the informant version it is “Over the past two weeks, how often *did your loved one* have problems with judgment or decision making?”

Answers range from 0 (never) to 3 (very often). Since we aimed at studying awareness of *cognitive* decline, we only considered the HABC-M cognitive score, which is the sum of 6 items, and ranges from 0 to 18. The ACIDI was determined by subtracting the HABC-M cognitive score obtained by the informant from that obtained by the subject. The ACIDI ranged from -18 to 18, where higher scores indicated heightened ACD (patient's report > informant's report), and lower scores, low ACD. The ACIDI was computed at each visit (every 6 months) and treated as a continuous variable.

Brain imaging

In the present study, we included baseline neuroimaging markers of AD.

All participants performed amyloid-PET imaging using ^{18}F -AV-45 (^{18}F -florbetapir; Amyvid™, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals) as a tracer. The standardized uptake value (^{18}F -AV-45-SUV) was calculated in target regions (i.e., left and right precuneus, anterior cingulum, posterior cingulum, parietal, temporal, and orbitofrontal cortex) with the CATI pipeline (Centre d'Acquisition et de Traitement d'Images, <https://cati-neuroimaging.com>), and normalized to the cerebellum and pons, resulting in a SUV ratio (SUVr). In the present study, we considered the amyloid load as a continuous variable (mean ^{18}F -AV-45 SUVr of the aforementioned regions) and as a dichotomic variable. To this end, the SUVr positivity threshold was 0.79, which was analogous to the threshold found using a method validated by Gael Chetelat in the IMAP study.

We also examined cortical glucose metabolism using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET. A metabolism index was calculated by averaging the FDG-SUVs of four bilateral regions of interest, whose metabolic changes are considered to be a "signature" of AD [21]: posterior cingulate cortex, inferior parietal lobule, precuneus, and inferior temporal gyrus. The pons was used as a reference region. The FDG-SUV has been included as a continuous variable.

More details about imaging data acquisition are available in previous works [14].

Statistical analysis

For the first objective, we performed a Latent Class Linear Mixed Model (LCLMM [22]) to investigate heterogeneous trajectories of ACD, since they are expected in a cohort of memory-complainers. The LCLMM first identifies G classes of subjects who share a similar trend of evolution of the ACIDI and then compares the classes. In order to find the adequate and clinically relevant number of classes G , we computed the model from one to three classes and selected the one which minimized the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The mean of posterior probabilities and the percentage of posterior probabilities higher than 0.7 were computed. The evolution

of the ACIDI was modeled by the interaction between classes and visits. Using a multinomial logistic model, the baseline characteristics of each class (i.e., amyloid load, glucose metabolism, age, gender, and educational level) were compared to the class with the largest number of subjects. Normality of residuals and random effects as well as heteroskedasticity were checked visually. For this analysis, subjects with at least two timepoints of ACIDI and with no missing baseline data (amyloid load, metabolism, age, gender, and education) were included.


We also performed generalized linear mixed effects models (GLMM) to evaluate the effect of the ACIDI at baseline on changes in cognitive scores (objective 2) and the effect of longitudinal changes in ACD on the evolution of cognitive scores (objective 3). Link function was chosen for the underlying data generation mechanism with logit for binomial data and identity for continuous data. One GLMM was performed for each score, and then the Benjamini-Hochberg method was used to correct for multiple comparisons. For the second objective, we entered the following baseline variables as fixed effects: ACIDI, age, gender, and educational level, visit, and the interaction between visit and ACIDI, to test the impact of ACIDI at baseline on changes in cognitive scores. All two-way interactions between these effects were tested independently and were added in the final model if significant. The participant was added as a random effect. For the third objective, we entered the following baseline variables as fixed effects: class, age, gender, and educational level and visit. All two-way interactions between these effects were tested independently and were added in the final model if significant. The participant was added as a random effect. Type II likelihood ratio tests were used to test each fixed effect and interaction. Cohen's f^2 were calculated, using the marginal R^2 [23], for each effect to estimate their size. For this analysis, we only included subjects with at least two timepoints for each cognitive score, and with no missing data for ACIDI at baseline or class, age at baseline, gender, and education. Baseline characteristics were compared between subjects included and excluded in the analysis using the χ^2 test for categorical variables and Student's t test for continuous variables.

A p value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using R3.6.1. The packages lme4 (1.1-21) and LCMM (1.8.1) were used to perform LMM and LCLMM, respectively.

Results

First objective: study of trends of ACIDI evolution and their association to AD neuroimaging markers

The longitudinal evolution of ACIDI was studied in 306 out of 318 subjects. Indeed, we excluded 12 subjects who had only one ACIDI available ($n = 6$) or none ($n = 6$) (Fig. 1).



The baseline characteristics of the included subjects are presented in Table 1. Mean age was 76.0 (SD = 3.4); subjects were mostly women (62.8%) and had high educational level (69% of the sample had at least a high school diploma).

The longitudinal changes of the subjects' and informants' HABC-M scores are presented in Fig. 2.

In each class, at least 70% of the subjects had at least 6 timepoints.

The three-class LCLMM provided the best fit with a BIC = 8287.2, compared to 8384.0 for the two-class LCLMM and 8626.7 for the one-class LCLMM. The three classes were distinct, with class 1 mean posterior probabilities of 0.91 belonging to class 1, class 2 mean posterior probabilities of 0.96 belonging to class 2, and class 3 mean posterior probabilities of 0.94 belonging to class 3. Moreover, more than 90% of the subjects in each class had a posterior probability higher than 0.7.

The three trajectories identified by the LCLMM are presented in Fig. 3. Class 1 included 58 subjects (18.95% of the sample) having a relatively higher positive ACIDI, meaning that these participants persistently reported more cognitive difficulties than their study partner did. We refer to these participants as belonging to the "steadily heightened ACD" class.

Class 2 included 235 subjects (76.8% of the sample) with an ACIDI of around 0, indicating a good match between the subject's and the informant's assessments ("accurate ACD" class). The ACIDI of this class remained unchanged over time.

Class 3 included 13 subjects (4.25% of the sample) with a relatively low ACIDI (below zero), which means that these participants expressed less difficulties compared to their informant. In this class, ACIDI slightly increased at 6 months and then tended to decline. We refer to this group as the "low ACD" class.

We compared the characteristics of classes 1 and 3 with those of class 2, which was chosen as the reference (Table 2). Indeed, this was the numerically largest class, and the average ACIDI was constantly around 0 in this class, indicating that the subject and his/her study partner had similarly assessed the subject's cognitive functioning.

Compared to class 2, individuals in class 3 (low ACIDI) had higher amyloid burden (OR ± SE 57.2 ± 69.9; *p* = 0.0009), were mostly amyloid-positive (OR ± SE 3.70 ± 2.31; *p* = 0.0357), and were mostly men (OR ± SE 4.7 ± 3.3; *p* = 0.0307). No statistical difference was found in terms of age, educational level, and brain metabolism between these two classes. Class 3 includes 3 subjects (23.1% of this


Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the participants included in the analysis for the objective 1

	All subjects ^Y N = 306 (96.23%)
Age [years; M ± SD]	75.95 ± 3.43
Gender [female; n (%)]	192 (62.75%)
Education [high [§] ; n (%)]	211 (68.95%)
ACDI [M ± SD]	1.44 ± 2.92
HABC-M cognitive score (subject) [M ± SD]	3.32 ± 2.79
HABC-M cognitive score (informant) [M ± SD]	1.84 ± 2.21
MMSE [M ± SD]	28.67 ± 0.95
CDR [= 0; n (%)]	306 (100%)
FSCRT free recall [M ± SD]	30.15 ± 5.35
FSCRT total recall [M ± SD]	46.10 ± 1.97
FAB [M ± SD]	16.44 ± 1.66
TMT B-A [s; M ± SD]	47.81 ± 35.40
Lexical fluency [M ± SD]	22.46 ± 5.91
Semantic fluency [M ± SD]	31.44 ± 7.16
APOE [presence of ε4; n (%)]	60 (19.61%)
Amyloid load [¹⁸ F-AV-45 SUV _r ; M ± SD]	0.78 ± 0.19
Brain glucose metabolism [¹⁸ F-FDG SUV; M ± SD]	2.45 ± 0.25

ACDI Awareness of Cognitive Decline Index, HABC-M Healthy Aging Brain Care – Monitor, MMSE Mini-Mental State Examination, CDR Clinical Dementia Rating, FSCRT Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test, FAB Frontal Assessment Battery, TMT Trail Making Test, ¹⁸F-AV-45 SUV_r florbetapir standardized uptake value ratio (index of amyloid deposition), ¹⁸F-FDG SUV fluorodeoxyglucose standardized uptake value (metabolic index)

^YSubjects included in the analysis were those with age, gender, educational level, ¹⁸F-AV-45 SUV_r and ¹⁸F-FDG SUV available at baseline, and at least two timepoints for the ACIDI

[§]Equal to or higher than high-school diploma (≥ 12 years)



class) whose cognition became abnormal after 24, 42, and 54 months of follow-up, respectively.

No statistically significant difference was found when comparing classes 1 and 2 in terms of age, gender, educational level, amyloid burden, and brain metabolism. Class 1 included 1 subject labeled as a “decliner” at the 36-month visit, representing 1.72% of this class. Class 2 included 10 decliners ($n = 2$ after 24 months of follow-up; $n = 8$ after 60 months), which represents 4.25% of this class.

Second objective: impact of ACIDI at baseline on changes in cognitive scores

The second objective of this study was to investigate if the ACIDI at baseline had an impact on changes in cognitive scores of interest across the 3-year study period. To do so, we only analyzed the participants who had ACIDI available at baseline and at least two timepoints for one or more cognitive score(s) ($n = 270$) (Fig. 1). No significant difference was found when comparing subjects included and excluded from this analysis, in terms of demographic variables, cognitive scores, and biomarkers (all $p > 0.156$). The mean age was 75.9 (SD = 3.4) and subjects included were mostly women (61.9%) and had high educational level (68.5%).

Concerning the GLMM, the final models also included interactions between gender and visit, educational level and age, and ACIDI and age, in addition to the effects stated in the statistical analysis paragraph. Marginal R^2 s, based on the GLMM fixed part, were very low from 0.05 (MMSE model) to 0.12 (Lexical fluency model). There was a significant overall change to all cognitive scores, except for the TMT B-A ($p = 0.1449$). However, this effect was very small (Cohen's f^2 ranging from 0.004 to 0.034 for the different scores).

The main effect of the ACIDI at baseline on the evolution of the scores was not significant for any test (all $p > 0.6236$).

The interaction between age and ACIDI had a significant effect on the average evolution of Semantic Fluency score ($p_{\text{non-corrected}} = 0.0443$) and TMT B-A score ($p_{\text{non-corrected}} = 0.0166$), but the effect size was small (Cohen's $f^2 = 0.009$ for Semantic Fluency score; Cohen's $f^2 = 0.015$ for TMT B-A score), and the two effects did not survive the correction for multiple comparisons ($p = 0.1160$ for TMT B-A score; $p = 0.1550$ for Semantic Fluency score).

The effect of the interaction between timepoint and ACIDI on the scores was not significant (all $p > 0.1649$).

Third objective: association between trends of changes in the ACD and changes in cognitive scores

We found no significant effect of the variable “class” (i.e., the trend of evolution of the ACDI: “steadily heightened ACD,” “accurate,” “low ACD”) on the MMSE, FCSRT free and total recall, TMT B-A, and Semantic and Lexical fluency (all $p > 0.0554$; all $p_{\text{corrected}} > 0.1523$; all Cohen's $f^2 < 0.015$). Only the “low ACD” class had a significantly higher FAB score than the “accurate” class ($p = 0.0260$), but this effect did not survive the correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method ($p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.0911$), and the effect size was small (Cohen's $f^2 = 0.004$).

Discussion

It has recently been proposed that low ACD (patient's complaint < informant's complaint) may serve as a marker of early-stage AD. This is a recent field of research and the studies available are few, especially the longitudinal ones. Thus, this is one of the first studies to appreciate the longitudinal evolution of the ACD in a population of asymptomatic individuals at risk for AD, due to their age, cognitive complaints, and amyloid burden (where appropriate).

In our sample, we identified three patterns of evolution of the ACD across the 3 years of study.




Fig. 3 Evolution of the ACDI across the 36 months of study in the three classes of subjects identified by the LCLMM (objective 1)

Table 2 Comparison between class 2 (reference) and both classes 1 and 3

	Class 1 ("heightened ACD", n = 58) vs class 2 (reference, n = 235)		Class 3 ("low ACD", n = 13) vs class 2 (reference, n = 235)	
	OR ± se	p value	OR ± se	p value
Intercept	0.03 ± 0.12	0.3566	1867.11 ± 14,807.31	0.3422
Age at baseline	1.02 ± 0.04	0.6175	0.87 ± 0.08	0.1325
Gender [female]	1.47 ± 0.46	0.2123	4.66 ± 3.32	0.0307*
Education [high ⁵]	1.73 ± 0.53	0.0783	0.28 ± 0.30	0.2389
Amyloid load [¹⁸ F-AV-45 SUVR]	0.99 ± 0.85	0.9950	57.18 ± 69.93	0.0009*
Amyloid status [Aβ- subjects]	0.84 ± 0.30	0.6262	3.70 ± 2.31	0.0357*
Brain metabolism [¹⁸ F-FDG SUV]	1.03 ± 0.62	0.9560	0.18 ± 0.26	0.2289

Class 2, with stable evolution and matching subject's and informant's ratings of decline, was the reference class. For categorical variables, category in brackets was the reference

¹⁸F-AV-45 SUVR florbetapir standardized uptake value ratio (index of amyloid deposition). Effect adjusted for age, gender, education, and FDG load

¹⁸F-FDG SUV fluorodeoxyglucose standardized uptake value (metabolic index)

⁵Equal to or higher than high-school diploma (≥ 12 years)

OR odd ratio, se standard errors

* Statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$)

Most subjects (around 77%) consistently expressed an accurate assessment of their own cognitive functioning, that is, the cognitive difficulties reported by the subject were comparable to those reported by his/her study partner (ACDI consistently around 0). This represents an accurate ACD.

The other two classes represented two forms of altered ACD (in agreement with the model proposed by Dalla Barba et al. [24]).

On the one hand, around 19% of participants consistently belonged to the "steadily heightened ACD" class, since they persistently reported more cognitive difficulties than their study partner did. These individuals were comparable to those with accurate ACD in terms of demographic characteristics and AD neuroimaging markers, which means that subjects with persistent cognitive complaints do not have a greater risk of having positive AD markers. Moreover, only one of these participants (1.72%) was tagged as decliner during the follow-up. This is consistent with previous studies, such as [10, 11]. Indeed, these individuals may subjectively experience a cognitive decline that is actually normal for their age [25], or due to conditions other than AD, such as sleep disturbances [26], or medications that impact cognition [27]. Anxiety and fear of potentially having dementia may play a central role in determining the emergence of cognitive complaints [28]. People close to the patient generally do not notice the decline described in these situations, resulting in the patient's heightened ACD. The condition of *heightened ACD* cannot therefore be considered as specific to AD.

On the other hand, approximately 4% of subjects consistently had a low ACD, as they reported fewer cognitive difficulties than estimated by their study partner. This means that the ACD could already be reduced in asymptomatic elderly individuals at risk for AD and is particularly interesting if we consider that we studied a population of memory complainers. Thus, it seems that these two phenomena

(low ACD and cognitive complaints) can coexist in the same individual, despite being apparently opposed. Indeed, individuals from the "low ACD" group were all complaining of a certain degree of cognitive difficulties, still underestimating them when compared to an informant.

These subjects showed higher amyloid burden than those with normal ACD and, as a consequence, a higher risk of developing AD [29], consistent with what has been found by [10, 11]. Around 1/4 of them ($n = 3$) were tagged as decliners during follow-up, a fraction which is qualitatively larger than in the other classes (although no statistics were performed due to the low number of decliners).

Interestingly, we found no difference between individuals with low and normal ACD in terms of brain metabolism. This suggests that the reduction of ACD would be associated with amyloid accumulation prior to neurodegeneration, of which brain hypometabolism is a marker [30]. This would be consistent with previous evidence concerning how the temporal sequence of imaging markers reflecting the pathological cascade of AD [31, 32] and underlines how this symptom could occur very early in the course of the disease.

It should be noted, however, that we examined the mean glucose metabolism in AD "signature" regions [21] and that this could mask regional differences. Indeed, we believe that ACD reduction could be associated with reduced local brain metabolism (in particular, in the frontal lobe) and not in other brain areas. Additional studies should be conducted to explore these regional differences, both in the glucose metabolism and in amyloid accumulation.

Another key result of this study is that individuals with low ACD were mostly men, consistent with previous evidence. For instance, a study conducted in the context of brain injuries found that men were less aware of their brain injury-related deficits compared to women [33]. A socio-cultural process could be responsible for the

observed gender-related differences. It is well-known that men and women learn different gendered attitudes and behaviors from cultural values and norms, which result in different expectations regarding their social role. These experiences also include health-related behaviors. Research findings have been strikingly consistent in showing that men, as a group, are more likely to avoid seeking help for physical and mental health problems. Indeed, seeking help is associated with behaviors such as admitting vulnerability and showing weakness, leading men to experience a gender-role conflict (see [34] for a review). For the same reasons, when men do seek medical help, their behaviors may be different compared to women. For instance, they may ask fewer questions than women do [35]. We believe that these socio-cultural factors could explain why the group of subjects who underestimated their cognitive difficulties were mostly men. However, further studies should investigate an alternative explanation, namely that low ACD in the pre-clinical phase could be a better indicator for future progression to AD in men than women, due, for instance, to biological differences.

The longitudinal trajectory of the ACIDI showed an increase at 6 months and then a tendency to decline, in those with consistently low ACD. We believe that the ACD is likely to be amplified in the presence of the earliest subtle cognitive difficulties (i.e., SCD), and later, in the preclinical phase, it would begin to decrease and becomes a clear anosognosia in AD dementia.

In the present study, we also explored (objective 2) whether an early reduction in ACD could anticipate a progressive cognitive impairment. We found that the changes in cognitive scores over 3 years did not depend on baseline ACD, meaning that the subjects who had lower ACD showed no more marked cognitive decline than the other subjects. We also found (objective 3) that the three patterns of evolution of the ACIDI identified were not associated with different trends of changes in cognitive function. Indeed, this is a cohort of cognitively intact individuals, with a fraction of them likely being in an early (preclinical) stage of AD. On average, their cognitive scores remained stable during follow-up. Therefore, a lack of association between baseline or longitudinal ACD and the evolution of cognitive scores was somewhat expected, consistently with what we found in [10] in the same cohort. We believe that if the follow-up had been longer, we would have identified an association between ACD and cognitive scores' evolution (i.e., those with an early low ACD would experience a more marked cognitive decline).

Taken together, our findings suggest that the caregiver/study partner report should be systematically collected, both in clinical settings for diagnostic purposes and in research settings to better select the subjects for inclusion in studies targeting early-stage AD.

However, the informant's report should be collected in order to compare it with the participant's (or patient's) report. We believe that the informant's report alone may not be as informative to the researcher or physician as the subject-informant discrepancy. Indeed, the fact that the subject reports more cognitive difficulties than the informant makes it less likely that he/she is affected by AD; on the contrary, the fact that he/she underestimates his/her cognitive difficulties should indicate a suspected neurodegenerative disease, probably of the Alzheimer's type.

Limitations

While this study was conducted on a single-center cohort, with highly standardized clinical assessment, neuropsychological testing, and imaging acquisition procedures, it should be noticed that our results may possibly be biased by the high average education level of participants and the over-representation of women, both of which could limit the generalization of our findings.

Conclusion

To conclude, ACD may start to decrease in the very early stages of AD, especially in a certain group of individuals who need to be further characterized through additional studies. This group is of great interest because it is more at risk of being affected by AD than other individuals. Indeed, a progressive decline of ACD, but not the persistent presence of cognitive complaints, was shown to be associated with greater amyloid deposition. The presence of an informant is therefore strongly recommended both in clinical practice and in research trials. Indeed, this can be useful to orient the clinician towards making a timely diagnosis of AD. Inclusion criteria of studies investigating preclinical AD should also take into consideration this evidence.

Abbreviations

ACD: Awareness of cognitive decline; MCI: Mild cognitive impairment; MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination; CDR: Clinical Dementia Rating; FCSR T: Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test; TMT: Trail Making Test; FAB: Frontal Assessment Battery; ACIDI: Awareness of Cognitive Decline Index; HABC-M: Healthy Aging Brain Care Monitor; ¹⁸F-AV-45: ¹⁸F-florbetapir; Amyvid™, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals; ¹⁸F-AV-45-SUV: ¹⁸F-florbetapir standardized uptake value; CATI: Centre d'Acquisition et de Traitement d'Images; FDG-PET: Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography; LCLMM: Latent Class Linear Mixed Model; BIC: Bayesian Information Criterion; GLMM: Generalized linear mixed effects models

Acknowledgements

INSIGHT Pre-AD study group: Audrain C, Auffret A, Bakardjian H, Baldacci F, Batrancourt B, Benakki I, Benali H, Bertin H, Bertrand A, Boukadida L, Cacciamani F, Causse V, Cavedo E, Cherif Touil S, Chiesa P A, Colliot O, Dalla Barba G, Depaulis M, Dos Santos A, Dubois B, Dubois M, Epelbaum S, Fontaine B, Francisque H, Gagliardi G, Genin A, Genthon R, Glasman P, Gombert F, Habert M O, Hampel H, Hewa H, Houot M, Jungalee N, Kas A, Kilani M, La Corte V, Le Roy F, Lehericy S, Letondor C, Levy M, Lista S, Lowrey M, Ly J, Makiese O, Masetti I, Mendes A, Metzinger C, Michon A, Mochel F, Nait Arab R, Nyasse F, Perrin C, Poirier F, Poisson C, Potier M C, Ratovohery S, Revillon M, Rojkova K, Santos-Andrade K, Schindler R, Servera M C, Seux L, Simon V, Skovronsky D, Thiebaut M, Uspenskaya O, Vlancu M.

Authors' contributions

Federica Cacciamani: study design, data acquisition, interpretation of results, drafting of manuscripts. Luisa Sambati: study design, data acquisition, interpretation of results, drafting of manuscripts. Marion Houot: statistical analysis. Marie-Odile Habert: imaging data acquisition and processing. Bruno Dubois: study design, interpretation of results. Stéphane Epelbaum: study design, interpretation of results, drafting of manuscripts. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Not applicable

Funding

Supported by NIH (OR 76675882). The study was promoted by INSERM in collaboration with ICM, and Pfizer and has received support within the "Investissement d'Avenir" (ANR-10-AIHU-06) program. The study was promoted in collaboration with the "CHU de Bordeaux" (coordination CIC EC7), the promoter of Memento cohort, funded by the Foundation Plan-Alzheimer. The study was further supported by AVID/Lilly. This project/research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Program for Research and Innovation under the Specific Grant Agreement No. 785907 (Human Brain Project SGA2). The funding sources had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, or data interpretation.

Availability of data and materials

Data may be made available upon reasonable request from qualified investigators.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Each participant of the INSIGHT-PreAD cohort signed an informed consent, and Paris VI ethical committee approved the study protocol.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

Federica Cacciamani reports no disclosures.
Luisa Sambati reports no disclosures.
Marion Houot reports no disclosures.
Marie-Odile Habert has received speaker honoraria from Lilly, GE Healthcare, and Piramal.
Bruno Dubois has received consultancy fees from Biogen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, and MedAvante and grants for his institution from Merck, Pfizer, and Roche.
Stéphane Epelbaum reports receiving fees from Roche, Eli Lilly, Biogen, and GE Healthcare as a consultant or advisory board member.

Author details

¹Institut du Cerveau, ICM, F-75013 Paris, France. ²Inserm, U 1127, F-75013 Paris, France. ³CNRS, UMR 7225, F-75013 Paris, France. ⁴Sorbonne Université, F-75013 Paris, France. ⁵Inria, AHPH-Inria collaboration, Aramis-project team, Paris, France. ⁶IRCCS, Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ⁷Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche e NeuroMotorie (DIBINEM), Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ⁸Institute of Memory and Alzheimer's Disease (IM2A), Centre of EXCELLENce of Neurodegenerative Disease (CoEN), National Reference Center for Rare or Early Dementias, Department of Neurology, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, AP-HP, 47-83 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France. ⁹CATI Multicenter Neuroimaging Platform (cati-neuroimaging.com), Paris, France. ¹⁰Service de Médecine Nucléaire, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, AP-HP, Paris, France.

Received: 28 July 2020 Accepted: 1 October 2020

Published online: 14 October 2020

References

- Lacerda I, Santos R, Belfort T, Neto JP, Dourado MCN. Patterns of discrepancies in different objects of awareness in mild and moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Aging Mental Health*. 2018;26:1–8.
- Tremont G, Alcoso ML. Relationship between cognition and awareness of deficit in mild cognitive impairment. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2011;26(3):299–306. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2529>.
- Senturk G, Bilgic B, Arslan AB, Bayram A, Hanagasi H, Gurvit H, Emre M. Cognitive and anatomical correlates of anosognosia in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2017;29(2):293–302. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610216001812>.
- Therriault J, Ng KP, Pascoal TA, Mathotaarachchi S, Kang MS, Struyfs H, Shin M, Benedet AL, Walpola IC, Nair V, Gauthier S, Rosa-Neto P. Anosognosia predicts default mode network hypometabolism and clinical progression to dementia. *Neurology*. 2018;90:e932–9.
- Edmonds EC, Weigand AJ, Thomas KR, Eppig J, Delano-Wood L, Galasko DR, Salmon DP, Bondi MW. Increasing inaccuracy of self-reported subjective cognitive complaints over 24 months in empirically derived subtypes of mild cognitive impairment. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2018;24:842–53.
- Munro CE, Donovan NJ, Amariglio RE, Papp KV, Marshall GA, Rentz DM, Pascual-Leone A, Sperling RA, Locascio JJ, Vannini P. The impact of awareness of and concern about memory performance on the prediction of progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer disease dementia. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2018;26:896–904.
- Dubois B, Padovani A, Scheltens P, Rossi A, Dell'Agnello G. Timely diagnosis for Alzheimer's disease: a literature review on benefits and challenges. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2016;49:617–31.
- Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Cummings JL, Dekosky ST, Barberger-Gateau P, Delacourte A, Frisoni G, Fox NC, Galasko D, Gauthier S, Hampel H, Jicha GA, Meguro K, O'Brien J, Pasquier F, Robert P, Rossor M, Salloway S, Sarazin M, de Souza LC, Stern Y, Visser PJ, Scheltens P. Revising the definition of Alzheimer's disease: a new lexicon. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9:1118–27.
- Jack C, Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Dunn B, Haeberlein SB, Holtzman DM, Jagust W, Jessen F, Karlawish J, Liu E, Molinuevo JL, Montine T, Phelps C, Rankin KP, Rowe CC, Scheltens P, Siemers E, Snyder HM, Sperling R. NIA-AA Research Framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2018;14:535–62.
- F. Cacciamani, C. Tandetnik, J. Gagliardi, H. Bertin, M.-. Habert, H. Hampel, L. Boukadida, M. Révillon, S. Epelbaum, B. Dubois. Low cognitive awareness, but not complaint, is a good marker of preclinical Alzheimer's disease *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017;59:753–762.
- Sanchez-Benavides G, Grau-River O, Cacciaglia R, Suarez-Calvet M, Falcon C, Minguillon C, Gramunt N, Sala-Vila A, ALFA study, Gispert JD, Molinuevo JL. Distinct cognitive and brain morphological features in healthy subjects unaware of informant-reported cognitive decline. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2018;65:181–91.
- Vannini P, Amariglio R, Hanseeuw B, Johnson KA, McLaren DG, Chhatwal J, Pascual-Leoneh A, Rentz D, Sperling RA. Memory self-awareness in the preclinical and prodromal stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychologia*. 2017;99:343–9.
- Hanseeuw BJ, Scott MR, Sikkes SAM, Properzi M, Gatchel JR, Salmon E, Marshall GA, Vannini P; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Evolution of anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease and its relationship to amyloid. *Ann Neurol*. 2020;87(2):267–280. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25649>.
- Dubois B, Epelbaum S, Nyasse F, Bakardjian H, Gagliardi G, Uspenskaya O, Houot M, Lista S, Cacciamani F, Potier MC, Bertrand A, Lamari F, Benali H, Mangin JF, Colliot O, Genthon R, Habert MO, Hampel H; INSIGHT-preAD study group. Cognitive and neuroimaging features and brain β -amyloidosis in individuals at risk of Alzheimer's disease (INSIGHT-preAD): a longitudinal observational study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2018;17(4):335–346. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(18\)30029-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30029-2).
- Amanzio M, Vase L, Leotta D, Miceli R, Palermo S, Geminiani G. Impaired awareness of deficits in Alzheimer's disease: the role of everyday executive dysfunction. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2013;19:63–72.
- Kashiwa Y, Kitabayashi Y, Narumoto J, Nakamura K, Ueda H, Fukui K. Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease: association with patient characteristics, psychiatric symptoms and cognitive deficits. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2005;59:697–704.
- Lopez OL, Becker JT, Somsak D, Dew MA, DeKosky ST. Awareness of cognitive deficits and anosognosia in probable Alzheimer's disease. *Eur Neurol*. 1994;34:277–82.
- Michon A, Deweer B, Pillon B, Agid Y, Dubois B. Relation of anosognosia to frontal lobe dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1994;57:805–9.
- Monahan PO, Alder CA, Khan BA, Stump T, Boustani MA. The Healthy Aging Brain Care (HABC) Monitor: validation of the Patient Self-Report Version of the clinical tool designed to measure and monitor cognitive, functional, and psychological health. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2014;9:2123–32.

20. Monahan PO, Boustani MA, Alder C, Galvin JE, Perkins AJ, Healey P, Chehresa A, Shepard P, Bubp C, Frame A, Callahan C. Practical clinical tool to monitor dementia symptoms: the HABC-monitor. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2012;7:143–57.
21. Jack CR, Holtzman DM. Biomarker modeling of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron*. 2013;80:1347–58.
22. Proust C, Jacqmin-Gadda H. Estimation of linear mixed models with a mixture of distribution for the random effects. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 2005;78(2):165–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2004.12.004>.
23. Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. A general and simple method for obtaining R^2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol Evol*. 2013;4(2):133–142.
24. Dalla Barba G, La Corte V, Dubois B. For a Cognitive Model of Subjective Memory Awareness. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2015;48(Suppl 1):S57–61. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-150141>.
25. Harada CN, Natelson Love MC, Triebel KL. Normal cognitive aging. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2013;29:737–52.
26. Wolkove N, Elkholy O, Baltzan M, Palayew M. Sleep and aging: 1. Sleep disorders commonly found in older people. *CMAJ*. 2007;24:1299–304.
27. Risacher SL, McDonald BC, Tallman EF, West JD, Farlow MR, Unverzagt FW, Gao S, Boustani MA, Unverzagt FW, Gao S, Boustani M, Crane PK, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Jagust WJ, Aisen PS, Weiner MW, Saykin AJ. Association between anticholinergic medication use and cognition, brain metabolism, and brain atrophy in cognitively normal older adults. *JAMA Neurol*. 2016;73:721–32.
28. Alladi S, Arnold R, Mitchell J, Nestor PJ, Hodges JR. Mild cognitive impairment: applicability of research criteria in a memory clinic and characterization of cognitive profile. *Psychol Med*. 2006;36:507–15.
29. Hardy JA, Higgins GA. Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Science*. 1992;256(5054):184–5. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1566067>.
30. Benvenuto A, Giusiano B, Koric L, Gueriot C, Didic M, Molinuevo JL, Guye M, Guedj E, Ceccaldi M. Imaging biomarkers of neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease: distinct contributions of cortical MRI atrophy and FDG-PET hypometabolism. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2018;65:1147–57.
31. Jack CR, Barrio JR, Kepe V. Cerebral amyloid PET imaging in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2013;126:643–57.
32. Jack CR, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, Shaw LM, Aisen PS, Weiner MW, Petersen RC, Trojanowski JQ. Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9:119–28.
33. Niemeier JP, Perrin PB, Holcomb MG, et al. Gender differences in awareness and outcomes during acute traumatic brain injury recovery. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2014;23(7):573–580. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2013.4535>.
34. Addis ME, Mahalik JR. Men, masculinity, and the contexts of help seeking. *Am Psychol*. 2003;58:5–14.
35. Courtenay WH. Constructions of masculinity and their influence on men's well-being: a theory of gender and health. *Soc Sci Med*. 2000;50.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

