Bacchylides' Ode 7 Again

Miroslav Marcovich

°Ω λιπαρὰ θύγατερ Χρόνου τε κ಼[αὶ Νυκτός, cὲ πεντήκοντα μ[ηνῶν ἁμέραν ἑκκαιδεκάταν ἐν 'Ολυμπ[ίāι Πέλοψ?

- ...]βαρυβρ[έντα Κρονίδαο?] ἕκατι
- 5 ...]ιτοςαιμα[
 κρίνειν τα[χυτάτά τε] λαιψηρών ποδών
 [°] Ελλαςι καὶ γυ[ίων ἀ]ριςταλκές εθένος·

ώι δὲ cù πρεςβύ[τατο]ν νείμηις γέρας νίκας, ἐπ' ἀνθρ[ώπ]οιςιν εὔδοξος κέκλη-

10 ται καὶ πολυζή[λωτ]ọc. 'Αρις[τομ]
 ...]' ἐκόςμη[cac cτε]φάν[οιςι Λάχω]να

ТТ7 но is the invoked goddess?

(1) Jebb suggested the personified Hemera (with reference to Hesiod, *Theogony* 124, 'Hemera daughter of Night').¹ This identification was accepted by Desrousseaux,² Blass,³ Jurenka,⁴ Suess,⁵ Edmonds,⁶ Snell⁷ and Maehler.⁸

(2) But Wilamowitz suggested Selene,⁹ and Jane Harrison independently Hecate-Selene instead.¹⁰ The former referred to Pausanias

¹ R. C. Jebb, *apud* F. G. Kenyon's *ed.pr*. (London 1897) and in his own edition of Bacchylides (Cambridge 1905).

² A. M. Desrousseaux, "Notes sur Bacchylide," RevPhil 22 (1898) 187.

³ Bacchylidis Carmina cum fragmentis, ed. F. Blass (BT, Leipzig 1898), as in his 3rd ed. of 1904.

⁴ Die neugefundenen Lieder des Bakchylides, ed. H. Jurenka (Vienna 1898).

⁵ 4th Teubner ed., cur. W. Suess (Leipzig 1912).

⁶ J. M. Edmonds, but with a different text, in "Some Notes on the Great Bacchylides Papyrus," CR 37 (1923) 148=Lyra Graeca III (LCL, London 1927) pp.160-61.

⁷ 5th Teubner ed. B. Snell (Leipzig 1934)=8th ed. (1961).

⁸ Bakchylides, Lieder und Fragmente, ed. H. Maehler (Berlin 1968).

⁹ Ulrich von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, GGA 160 (1898) 130.

¹⁰ J. E. Harrison, "Ode VII" in "Notes on Bacchylides," CR 12 (1898) 140-41.

5.1.4 (Selene's fifty daughters by Endymion), the latter to Bacchylides' hymn to Hecate, fr.1B Snell:

Έκάτα δαϊδοφόρε,
 ταν ίε[ρ . . .
 Νυκτός μελανοκόλπου θύγατερ . . .

Harrison also compared Bacchylides 7.1 λιπαρὰ θύγατερ with the Homeric Hymn to Demeter 25 = 438 'Εκάτη λιπαροκρήδεμνος.

(3) Furthermore, Steuding¹¹ established Hecate's concern with the competitions in sport by referring to Hesiod, Theogony 435–38, ἐcθλη δ' αῦθ' ὅπότ' ἄνδρες ἀεθλεύως' ἐν ἀγῶνι κτλ.¹² and to Apollonius Rhodius 3.1211, Βριμώ κικλήςκων [Jason] Ἐκάτην ἐπαρωγὸν ἀέθλων.¹³

(4) Finally, in a recent paper¹⁴ Mrs Gail Pieper came back to Harrison's Hecate, trying to defend it especially by stressing the link between the full moon and the Olympic games: cf. Pindar, Olympian 3.19–20, $\delta_{i\chi}\phi_{\mu\eta\nu\iotac}\dots|\dots M\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha$ (with the corresponding scholia vetera, e.g. ad Ol. 3.35a Drachmann, $\delta_{i\chi}\phi_{\mu\eta\nu\iotac}$, $\langle \delta_{\tau\iota} \rangle \pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \eta \nu \iota s' \pi\alpha\nu\epsilon\epsilon i \eta \nu \upsilon o \upsilon c \eta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\tau \alpha' Olympian 10.75$.

Now I would raise here the following objections to the candidacy of Hecate in our Ode 7, defending Jebb's Hemera.

(1) There is no evidence for Chronos being father of Hecate. Dr Pieper suggests (pp.233-34) that Chronos as father for Hecate in Bacchylides fr.1B is easily understood by its connexion with Chronos mentioned in Ode 7. But this is a circular argument: we still don't know whether Hecate is meant in this Ode 7. Her second argument is not better: "Bacchylides may well have in mind Pindar's $\chi\rho\delta\nu\sigma c \delta$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ (Ol. 2.17)." If so, then Chronos could be used as father for any deity we like (Hemera not being excluded).

Thus, while Harrison and Pieper have produced no evidence for Chronos as Hecate's father, I think that Chronos as the father of Hemera can be supported by Euripides, Supplices 787–88 (lyr.) Xpóvoc $\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\delta c \pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho \mid \ldots \dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\rho\hat{\alpha}\nu$.¹⁵

¹¹ H. Steuding, in Roscher, MythLex I.2 (1886-1890) 1892.5-10, s.v. HEKATE.

12 M. L. West's reading in his ed. Hesiod, Theogony (Oxford 1966), cf. p.287.

¹³ Steuding's third reference, Plut. *De Herod. malign.* 862A, is not apt, for we must read there with Valckenaer and L. Pearson (*Plutarch's Moralia* XI [LCL, Cambridge (Mass.) and London 1965] pp.54–55 with n.a) $\tau \eta i \, \xi \kappa \tau \eta i$ instead of the transmitted $\tau \eta i \, \xi \kappa \alpha \tau \eta i$.

14 "The Prooemium of Bacchylides' Ode 7," GRBS 10 (1969) 229-34.

¹⁵ Quoted by O. Waser, RE 3 (1899) 2482.10 s.v. Chronos 2.

MIROSLAV MARCOVICH

(2) It seems to me that Favorinus' testimony strongly suggests Hemera in Ode 7:¹⁶ . . . ἐς μίαν ταύτην μελέτην ἀρετῆς ξυντελούντων· ἰδοὺ τοίνυν πάρεςτιν ἡ κυρία προθεςμία, οὐ "πεντήκοντα μηνῶν" κατὰ τὸν Πίνδαρον "ἁμέρα ἑκκαιδεκάτα," Πέλοπος ἤ τινος Ἰδαίου Δακτύλου νόμωι πρὸς παν[(lacuna).

Now Snell may well be right in conjecturing $\Pi \epsilon \lambda o \psi$ in line 3.¹⁷ But his alternative suggestion (" $\theta \epsilon c \alpha \nu \dots$ sc. Dactyli, quos $\alpha \tilde{\iota} \mu o \nu \alpha c \nu.5$ nominatos esse putes") has no chance of life, since Favorinus in the words $\eta \tau \iota \nu o c$ 'I $\delta \alpha i o \nu \Delta \alpha \kappa \tau \upsilon \lambda o \nu$ is clearly alluding to Heracles only (in view of Strabo 8.355, $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \tau \partial \nu$ 'I $\delta \alpha i \omega \nu \Delta \alpha \kappa \tau \upsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ 'H $\rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha$; Diodorus 5.64.6, $\alpha \upsilon \tau \partial \nu$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \dots$ 'H $\rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha$; Pausanias 5.7.6, 5.7.9, 5.14.7). We can explain why Favorinus avoids mentioning the name of Heracles here: Heracles is his (and the Cynics') ideal fighter $\epsilon \nu \tau \partial \omega \tau \eta c \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta c c \tau \alpha \delta i \omega \iota$,¹⁸ and as such cannot possibly be the founder of the Olympic games—a view rejected by our author.

Thus, it may be that Favorinus had found *Pelops* in his original, showing off his rhetorical erudition¹⁹ by adding the alternative late version about the Idaean Dactyl Heracles as the founder of the Olympiad.²⁰ To be sure, where Pindar follows the myth of Heracles as the founder of the games,²¹ he certainly does not have in mind the Idaean Dactyl. Finally, the word $\nu \acute{o}\mu\omega\iota$ is a mannerism of Favorinus (cf. 4.3 $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \acute{o} \epsilon \nu \acute{o}\mu\omega\iota$; 5.41 $\mathring{a}\gamma \acute{\omega} \nu \omega\nu \nu \acute{o}\mu\omega\iota$; 6.17 $\langle \nu o \mu \acute{a} \delta \omega \nu ? \rangle \nu \acute{o}\mu\omega\iota$).

(3) Harrison's (and Pieper's) comparison of 7.1 $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\nu} \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$ with the Homeric Hymn to Demeter 25 = 438 'E $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \sigma \kappa \rho \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \mu \nu \sigma c$ proves nothing. First, if the epithet $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$, 'shining, radiant, resplendent, bright', is suitable to the moon goddess, it is even more so if applied to the goddess of the daylight. But it is also quite possible that Bacchylides in $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\nu} \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$ had in mind just the healthy look and the beauty of a sleek-skinned young woman: cf. his Ode 5.169 $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \ldots \ddot{\alpha} \kappa \sigma \iota \tau \iota \nu$ (where Jebb's interpretation 'queenly, for a richly adorned bride' is refuted by Homeric Hymn to Demeter 79 $\theta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \ldots \ddot{\alpha} \kappa \sigma \iota \tau \iota \nu$ and by Pindar, Nemean 1.71 $\theta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ "H $\beta \alpha \nu \ddot{\alpha} \kappa \sigma \iota \tau \iota \nu$: a 'blooming, buxom

¹⁶ Favorin. $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \phi v \gamma \hat{\eta} c$ col. 4.46–50, in Il papiro vaticano greco 11, edd. M. Norsa and G. Vitelli (Studi e testi 53, Vatican 1931).

¹⁷ Cf. e.g. Pind. Ol. 9.9 and Bacchyl. 5.181, 8.31.

¹⁸ Cf. Favorin. Περί φυγής 6.3-4, 3.32ff, 21.8 (supra n.16).

¹⁹ Cf. W. Schmid, RE Suppl. 6 (1935) 68.29–50, s.v. FAVORINUS.

²⁰ Cf. also O. Kern, RE 4 (1901) 2019 s.v. DAKTYLOI, and O. Gruppe, RE Suppl. 3 (1918) 916–17 s.v. HERAKLES.

²¹ Ol. 2.3, 3.11ff, 6.68, 10.22ff; Nem. 10.33, 11.27.

²⁻G.R.B.S.

bride'). Callimachus (*Epigram 5.5* Pf.) invokes Arsinoe-Aphrodite as $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \dot{\sigma} c$; Theocritus (2.102–03) has $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\sigma} \chi \rho \omega \nu \ldots \Delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \phi \iota \nu$; Catullus uses (2.5) desiderio meo nitenti; (61.185–86) uxor . . . ore floridulo nitens, etc.²²

Secondly, $\lambda i \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \rho \eta \delta \epsilon \mu v o c$ 'with a bright headband' is something different. And besides, Hecate is not the only one who possesses such a 'mantilla'; it is common to Rhea (in the same Hymn to Demeter, v.459), Charis (Iliad 18.382), Hecuba (22.406), Penelope (Odyssey 1.334, 16.416, 18.210, 21.65), Mnamosyna (Pindar, Nemean 7.15 $\lambda i \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \pi v \xi$), and $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon v o i$ (Pindar, Paean 2.99); and (by the tyranny of epic oral verse-making) the battlements crowning the walls of Troy (Iliad 16.100) are also called $\lambda i \pi \alpha \rho \alpha (Odyssey 13.388)$.

(4) Dr Pieper's last argument against Hemera reads (p.231): "The only celebration on the sixteenth of which we have definite knowledge is the public feast in the Prytaneum, which occurred in the evening (Paus. 5.15.12)." It is easily refuted by Bacchylides himself. No matter which goddess is meant in Ode 7, she is said to decide (v.6 $\kappa\rho i\nu\epsilon w$) about the winner, to award him the prize (vv.8-9 $\nu\epsilon i\mu\eta c$ $\gamma\epsilon\rho\alpha c \nu i\kappa\alpha c$), and to adorn him with crowns (v.11 $\epsilon\kappa\delta c\mu\eta c\alpha c c\tau\epsilon\phi\alpha\nu v occu)$ on this very sixteenth day of the month (vv.2-3 $\delta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu$ $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\alpha i\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha\tau v$).

Thus, Ode 7 is a precious piece of evidence for the crowning of the winning athletes on the last (sixteenth) day of the Olympic games (in 452 B.C.). It goes well with schol.vet. ad Pind. Ol. 3.35d Drachmann (καὶ τῆι ἐκκαιδεκάτηι γίνεται ἡ κρίcιc) and with schol.rec. ad Ol. 5.8 Boeckh (καὶ ἐτελεῖτο μέχρι καὶ τῆc ἐκκαιδεκάτηc, ἐν ἡι τὰ ἀθλα ἐδίδοτο).²³

It is true that we don't know whether the ceremony took place during the day or in the evening; but the point is that this lack of evidence cannot be used as an argument against Hemera and for Hecate.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

April, 1970

184

²² The same semantic evolution, 'shiny with oil, anointed'> 'beautiful', lives e.g. in the Serbo-Croat *lep* 'beautiful' from the same root as $\lambda i \pi \alpha \rho \delta c$.

²³ So also J. Wiesner, RE 18 (1939) 27–28, s.v. Olympia.