www.nature.com/ismej

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Bacteria, not archaea, restore nitrification in a zinc-contaminated soil

Jelle Mertens¹, Kris Broos^{2,5}, Steven A Wakelin², George A Kowalchuk^{3,4}, Dirk Springael¹ and Erik Smolders¹

¹Division of Soil and Water Management, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Heverlee, Belgium; ²CSIRO Land and Water, Environmental Biogeochemistry Program, Glen Osmond, South Australia, Australia; ³Centre for Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, Heteren, The Netherlands and ⁴Institute of Ecological Science, Free University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Biological ammonia oxidation had long been thought to be mediated solely by discrete clades of β- and γ-proteobacteria (ammonia-oxidizing bacteria; AOB). However, ammonia-oxidizing Crenarchaeota (ammonia-oxidizing archaea; AOA) have recently been identified and proposed to be the dominant agents of ammonia oxidation in soils. Nevertheless, the dynamics of AOB versus AOA, and their relative contribution to soil ammonia oxidation and ecosystem functioning on stress and environmental perturbation, remain unknown. Using a 3-year longitudinal field study and the amoA gene as a molecular marker, we demonstrate that AOB, but not AOA, mediate recovery of nitrification after zinc (Zn) contamination. Pristine soils showed approximately equal amoA gene copy numbers and transcript levels for AOB and AOA. At an intermediate Zn dose (33.7 mmol Zn per kg), ammonia oxidation was completely inhibited, and the numbers of AOB and AOA amoA gene copies and gene transcripts were reduced. After 2 years, ammonia oxidation in the field soils was fully restored to preexposure levels, and this restoration of function was concomitant with an increase of AOB amoA gene copy and gene transcript numbers. Analysis of the restored community revealed domination by a phylogenetically distinct Zn-tolerant Nitrosospira sp. community. In contrast, the numbers of AOA amoA gene copies and gene transcripts remained 3- and 104-fold lower than recovered AOB values, respectively. Thus, although recent findings have emphasized a dominant role of archaea in soil-borne ammonia oxidation, we demonstrate that a phylogenetic shift within the AOB community drives recovery of nitrification from Zn contamination in this soil. The ISME Journal (2009) 3, 916–923; doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.39; published online 23 April 2009 Subject Category: microbial population and community ecology Keywords: amoA; nitrification; zinc-contaminated soil; zinc tolerance; heavy metals

Introduction

Nitrification is an important process in the global nitrogen (N) cycle, oxidizing ammonia to nitrate and providing substrate for reductive nitrogen processes (denitrification). The rate-limiting step in nitrification is the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite, with nitrite typically being rapidly oxidized to nitrate by nitrite-oxidizing organisms. Until recently, ammonia oxidation has been considered to be entirely mediated through activities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB; Kowalchuk and Stephen, 2001). Cultivation-dependent (Head *et al.*, 1993), and subsequently cultivation-independent studies

(Stephen et al., 1996, 1998), had suggested that members of a distinct clade of β -proteobacteria were the primary biological agents of ammonia oxidation in soil. The narrow phylogenetic breadth of this group facilitated the design of primers to monitor AOB using both phylogenetic (rRNA) and functional gene (amoA encoding the α -subunit of the key enzyme ammonia monooxygenase) markers (Rotthauwe et al., 1997; Kowalchuk et al., 2000; Kowalchuk and Stephen, 2001). Using these nucleic acid-based tools, there have been extensive environmental and ecotoxicological studies exploring the distribution, diversity and response to environmental stresses of AOB in relationship with soil nitrification (for example, Koops et al., 2001; Kowalchuk and Stephen, 2001; Aoi et al., 2004).

Recently, Venter *et al.* (2004) and Treusch *et al.* (2005) suggested the involvement of archaea in the global nitrification process. This genetic potential for archaeal ammonia oxidation was soon supported by the cultivation of an ammonia-oxidizing archaea isolate (AOA; Francis *et al.*, 2005; Könneke *et al.*,

Correspondence: J Mertens, Division of Soil and Water Management, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 20, Heverlee, 3001, Belgium.

E-mail: jelle.mertens@ees.kuleuven.be

⁵Current address: VITO—Flemish Institute for Technological Research, Boeretang 200, 2400 Mol, Belgium

Received 15 September 2008; revised 17 March 2009; accepted 23 March 2009; published online 23 April 2009

2005), and it became evident that the pool of amoA genes across a range of soils appeared archaeadominated (1.5–230 times>AOB; Leininger *et al.*, 2006). Analysis of amoA mRNA copy levels also suggested that Crenarchaeota are the most active ammonia-oxidizing organisms in several soils (Leininger *et al.*, 2006). These recent findings have resulted in a fundamental shift in our understanding of the biology underpinning nitrification in environmental samples (Francis *et al.*, 2007). In particular, the current ethos is for an archaeal driven process, questioning the wider role of bacteria in this key N-cycle process in soil (Leininger *et al.*, 2006; Nicol and Schleper, 2006; He *et al.*, 2007).

The biological oxidation of ammonia can be influenced by numerous factors and is recognized as being a model process for ecological studies (Kowalchuk and Stephen, 2001). Although numerous studies have explored the links between environmental factors, AOB and nitrification, the response of AOA to environmental perturbations remains unknown (Nicol and Schleper, 2006; Francis *et al.*, 2007; Boyle-Yarwood *et al.*, 2008; Chen *et al.*, 2008; Shen *et al.*, 2008).

Soil nitrification is highly sensitive to elevated zinc (Zn; Smolders *et al.*, 2004), although ecotoxicological effects can be transient (Rusk *et al.*, 2004). It has been hypothesized that recovery of nitrification following Zn exposure is due to the development of Zn-tolerant AOB populations (Mertens *et al.*, 2006). However, the recent insights into the role of AOA in soil ammonia oxidation (Leininger *et al.*, 2006) place the role of AOB in nitrification restoration in doubt.

Here, we report the relative responses of AOB and AOA during the recovery of the ammonia oxidation process in an Australian agricultural soil (Spalding, South Australia) after experimental Zn contamination. To examine whether the functional recovery was due to changes in the size, activity or composition of dominant AOB and/or AOA, we measured the numbers of *amoA* gene copies and gene transcripts and assessed the *amoA* community composition for both AOB and AOA populations, and compared the data to patterns of ammonia oxidation activity.

Materials and methods

Soil treatment and nitrification

Top soil was sampled from an ongoing field trial in Spalding, South Australia, within 1 week after $ZnSO_4$ addition (T0) and annually for 3 years (T1–T3). The Spalding soil is classified as a chromosol (Isbell, 1996), and has a pH (0.01 M CaCl₂) of 6.3, 1.9% organic carbon and 27% clay. The background Zn concentration is 0.9 mmol Zn per kg. Zinc treatments were duplicated, and metal salts were incorporated into the soil using a rotary hoe. At each sampling, four topsoil samples (0–10 cm) were

collected per plot using a 5-cm-diameter auger, and samples were combined to yield one mixed sample. Details about the experimental design, the spiking procedure, soil sampling and soil physicochemical properties have been reported previously (Broos et al., 2007b). Nine Zn treatments were imposed; a control soil ('unexposed soil') and 8 soils which received increasing Zn doses (1.1–113 mmol Zn per kg). After sampling, soils were air dried, ground, sieved to $<2 \,\mathrm{mm}$ and stored in closed containers at room temperature. Before further functional and molecular analysis, soils were remoistened with distilled water to 50% of the maximum waterholding capacity and aerobically incubated at 20 °C for 14 days in the dark to minimize the effects of storage time and of temperature and moisture content at the time of sampling.

Substrate induced nitrification (SIN) was measured in all Zn treatments (that is, the unexposed soil sample and 8 soil samples with increasing Zn doses) at T0 to T3 in duplicate per soil sample, and SIN was expressed as the percentage NH₄⁺ substrate used (%) 28 days after substrate addition (100 mg NH_4^+ -N per kg soil; Broos *et al.*, 2007b). Effects of increasing Zn concentrations on the nitrification process were related to Zn concentrations in a CaCl₂ soil extract. Total Zn concentrations in the CaCl₂ extract ranged from 0.01 to 109 mM Zn at T0 and 0.01 to 21 mM Zn at T2. The plot that received 28.3 mmol Zn per kg, corresponding to 22 mM Zn in a CaCl₂ soil extract at T0 and 6.6 mM Zn in a CaCl₂ soil extract at T2 ('Zn-exposed soil'), represented the largest Zn dose at which soil nitrification fully recovered following initial inhibition.

Zn-tolerance testing

Zn tolerance was tested in the unexposed and the Zn-exposed soil samples at T0 to T3 using the spikeon-spike test of Mertens *et al.* (2006). In short, ZnCl₂ was added to duplicate suspensions of soil samples (1:10 soil/CaCl₂ 0.01 M ratio) to final concentrations of 0, 0.77, 1.5 and 3.1 mM added Zn. Ammonium sulfate was added at 0.7 mM NH₄-N, and the pH in suspension was adjusted immediately after ammonia addition and daily during the 3-day test to pH 5.8 using 0.1 M NaOH or HCl. We preferred to adjust pH to pH 5.8 to approach the *in situ* soil pH rather than the optimal pH for nitrification (that is, pH 7–8; Jiang and Bakken, 1999). The potential nitrification rate (PNR; Smolders et al., 2001) was measured, and is the nitrate production rate in the initial period (0–7 days) after ammonia addition (mg NO₃⁻-N per kg per day) during which there is unlimited substrate present. The experimental setup for SIN and PNR is essentially identical, but whereas the SIN is defined as the amount of substrate used within a 28-day incubation experiment (during which the substrate may have already been exhausted—note that SIN never exceeds 100%), PNR is defined as the rate of substrate used over a shorter

The ISME Journal

period of time. PNR is very sensitive to metal stress, and also to slight changes of other physicochemical soil characteristics (for example, pH; Broos *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, PNR rather than SIN was used as a measure of the nitrifying activity for Zn-tolerance testing in the well-defined soil suspensions (that is, constant pH and ionic strength for all soils) whereas SIN was preferred for screening the nitrification process in field soil samples over time (Broos *et al.*, 2007b). Effects of increasing Zn concentrations to the PNR were related to Zn concentrations in a CaCl₂ soil extract.

DNA extraction, PCR and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

DNA was extracted from all soil samples at T0 and T2 in duplicate per soil sample (Mertens et al., 2006). For denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) community profiling, PCR targeting the AOB *amoA* gene used primers amoA-1F* and amoA-2R (Rotthauwe et al., 1997; Stephen et al., 1999), PCR targeting the AOA amoA gene used primers CrenamoA23f and CrenamoA616r (Tourna et al., 2008). For amplification of AOB amoA genes, 1 µl of purified DNA was added to a PCR mixture containing 1.25 U of *Taq* polymerase (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands), 20 pmol amoA-1F*, 20 pmol amoA-2R, 10 nmol of each dNTP, $1 \times$ PCR buffer (Qiagen), 5μ l bovine serum albumin (1%) and PCRgrade water to a total volume of 50 µl. PCR was conducted in an Eppendorf Mastercycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and PCR conditions were 10 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 60 s at 94 °C, 60 s at 60 °C and 60 s at 72 °C, and a final elongation step for 10 min at 72 °C. A GC-rich clamp was attached to the 5' end of the AOB forward primer for DGGE fingerprinting. Analysis of PCR products size and integrity, and DGGE profiling of amplified bacterial amoA gene fragments were performed as described (Mertens *et al.*, 2006). Amplification of AOA *amoA* genes used Qiagen HotStar Taq PCR chemistry. DGGE separation of the AOA *amoA* genes was conducted without requirement for a GC-clamp in an Ingenv PhorU DGGE system (Tourna et al., 2008). DGGE profiling was performed as previously described (Nicol et al., 2005; Tourna et al., 2008).

amoA sequence and phylogenetic analysis

Band excision, cloning and sequencing were performed on the unexposed and Zn-exposed soil samples at T2 as described (Mertens *et al.*, 2006). Sequences for dominant bands 'a' to 'k' (Figure 3c) have been submitted to the GenBank database under accession numbers EU515192 through to EU515202. AOB *amoA* DNA sequences 'a' to 'k' had open reading frames with translation products matching known AmoA protein sequences. The sequence data for each clone was compared with sequences on GenBank using the BlastN tool and similar and dissimilar sequences spanning the *amo*A region of interest were recovered. Sequences were aligned in ClustalX; the alignment was manually checked. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 4 (Tamura *et al.*, 2004) using the UPGMA method (Sneath and Sokal, 1973) with evolutionary distances computed using the maximum composite likelihood model (Tamura *et al.*, 2007). Phylogeny was tested using bootstrapping (Felsenstein, 1985) with 500 replicates (Supplementary Figure 2).

amoA gene copy and gene transcript quantification

Copy numbers of AOB and AOA amoA gene fragments were quantified in all soil samples at T2 and, additionally, in the unexposed and Zn-exposed soil samples at T0, T1 and T3 by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) on a Rotor-Gene 3000 Real-time PCR Cycler (Corbett Research, St Neots, UK). Real-time PCR of *amoA* gene fragments used the primers amoA-1F* and amoA-2R primers as previously described (Rotthauwe et al., 1997; Stephen et al., 1999), and primers Amo196F and Amo277R (Treusch et al., 2005) for AOA. The protocols for quantitative amplification were based on methods described elsewhere (Okano et al., 2004; Treusch et al., 2005). In short, qPCR was performed in 25 μ l reaction mixtures using 5 μ l purified DNA as template and 12.5 µl of SYBR Green master mix (Qiagen). Primer concentrations were 2.25 pmol for primers amoA-1F* and amoA-2R, 1.5 pmol Amo169F and 3 pmol Amo277R for the AOA amoA amplification. Reaction mixtures were made up to a final volume of $25 \,\mu$ l using PCR-grade water. The protocol for amplification of AOB *amoA* fragments was 2 min at 50 °C, 15 min at 95 °C, 45 cycles of 45 s at 95 °C, 60 s at 60 °C and 45 s at 72 °C (based on Okano et al., 2004), and the protocol for amplification of AOA amoA fragments was 15 min at 95 °C, 45 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 40 s at 55 °C and 45 s at 72 °C (based on Treusch *et al.*, 2005). Both protocols were followed by a final elongation step of 5 min at 72 °C. Dissociation curve analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis were performed to confirm amplification specificity. Dissociation curves for AOB and AOA amoA quantification were conducted by stepwise increasing the temperature with 1 °C from 60 to 95 °C or 55 to 95 °C (45 s at the lowest temperature, and 5 s for each subsequent temperature step). Dilution series of purified PCR products of plasmids (amoA gene of Nitrosomonas europaea ATCC 19718) and fosmid vectors (clone 54d9) were used as qPCR standards to allow quantification of each target number per sample.

Total soil RNA was extracted from the unexposed and Zn-exposed soil samples at T0 and T2 using the MoBio Soil RNA extraction kit and was treated with DNAse (Promega, Leiden, the Netherlands). cDNA generation and quantification of AOA and AOB *amoA* transcript numbers were performed as described by Leininger *et al.* (2006). Dose-response curves were fitted by log-logistic modeling (Doelman and Haanstra, 1989) using the Marquardt method (proc NLIN, SAS 9.1; NC, USA). Significant (P < 0.05) inhibitory effects on *amoA* gene copy and transcript numbers were detected using Student's *t*-tests.

Results

Zinc contamination of the arable field decreased nitrification with a characteristic log-logistic doseresponse relationship (Figure 1). The EC_{50} for SIN (effective concentration with 50% reduction of SIN compared to the unexposed soil sample) was 3.6 mM Zn one week after exposure (T0; Broos et al., 2007b). The EC₅₀ increased significantly to 13.1 mM Zn after 2 years of exposure (T2). Zinc concentrations in the Spalding soil samples were expressed as 0.01 M CaCl₂ soil extracts, which mimic in situ Zn concentrations in porewater (Degryse *et al.*, 2003) and, hence, bioavailable Zn concentrations in soil (Mertens et al., 2007). A Zn contamination level of 28.3 mmol Zn per kg soil, corresponding to 22 mM Zn at T0 and 6.6 mM Zn at T2, was the highest contamination level for which ammonia oxidation was restored within 2 years after initial inhibition (Figure 1), and this soil sample was selected for further analysis ('Zn-exposed soil').

Zinc-tolerance testing of the unexposed soil sample at T0 and T2 revealed EC_{50} values of 0.09 and 0.22 mM Zn, respectively (Figure 2). No nitrifying activity was detected for the Zn-exposed soil sample at T0. Two years after Zn addition, the PNR of the Zn-exposed soil sample was comparable to the PNR of the unexposed soil sample, and application of increasing Zn doses to the Zn-exposed soil sample at T2 did not affect the PNR (Figure 2).

The AOB and AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers in the unexposed soil samples did not differ significantly from each other, and were not significantly different between T0 and T2 (Figure 3a). AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers in the Zn-exposed soil sample were lower than AOB *amoA* gene copy numbers at both T0 and T2, with a significant difference at T2 (Figure 3a). Also, in the examination of T2 samples exposed to a range of Zn doses, severe decreases of AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers were observed at a soluble Zn concentration two orders of magnitude lower than for AOB *amoA* gene copy numbers (Supplementary Figure 1a).

At T0, the numbers of AOB and AOA *amoA* gene transcripts in the unexposed soil samples were 3.7×10^6 and 1.2×10^6 perg of soil, respectively, and similar values were found at T2 (Figure 3b). In contrast, the number of AOB and AOA *amoA* gene transcripts in the Zn-exposed soil samples was more than 3 orders of magnitude reduced at T0, compared to the values in the unexposed soil sample (Figure 3b). After two years of exposure, the number



Figure 1 Substrate induced nitrification (SIN) in the Spalding field site over time. SIN, expressed as percentage substrate used (%), is plotted versus Zn concentrations in a 0.01 M CaCl₂ soil extract at T0 (open symbols) and T2 (closed symbols). The arrow indicates the shift in EC_{50} (Effect Concentration with 50% decrease compared to the unexposed soil sample) values between T0 and T2.



Figure 2 Zn-tolerance testing at T0 and T2. Potential nitrification rate (PNR) (\pm s.e.), expressed as mmol NO₃⁻-N per kg per day, of the unexposed soil sample (circle) and a Zn-exposed soil sample (triangle) at T0 (open symbols) and T2 (closed symbols), is plotted versus Zn concentrations in a 0.01 M CaCl₂ soil extract (\pm s.e.).

of AOB *amo*A gene transcripts recovered to the level of the unexposed soil sample at T0, but remained a bit lower than the level of the unexposed soil sample at T2. In contrast, the number of AOA *amo*A gene transcripts remained 4 orders of magnitude below that in the unexposed soil sample (Figure 3b).

Community profiling of *amoA* genes (DGGE) showed a distinct shift in the AOB community structure in the Zn-exposed soil samples at T2, yet no such shift in *amoA* genotypes was observed for the AOA community (Supplementary Figures 1b and c). In the AOB community profile of the unexposed soil, the *amoA* genotypes corresponding to bands labeled 'b', 'c', 'd' and 'e' in the DGGE fingerprint were most prevalent (Figure 3c). However, at T2, bands 'h' and 'i' were most prominent in the AOB *amoA* community profile (Figure 3c). Sequence analysis revealed that all detected AOB *amoA* bands were affiliated with several sequence clusters within

919



Figure 3 *amo*A gene copy numbers, *amo*A gene transcript numbers and *amo*A community profile of AOB and AOA in the unexposed and the Zn-exposed soil sample over time. Average number of *amo*A gene copies (\pm s.e.; **a**) and number of *amo*A gene transcripts (\pm s.e.; **b**) of two field replicates and the *amo*A community profile (**c**) of AOB and AOA in the unexposed soil sample and the Zn-exposed soil sample at T0 and T2. Bands marked with a letter ('a' to 'k') where cloned and sequenced and belong to the *Nitrosospira* lineage.

the *Nitrosospira* lineage (Avrahami *et al.*, 2002), and no clustering of sequences was observed with respect to the Zn tolerance of the community (Supplementary Figure 2).

Discussion

The increased EC_{50} value over time, expressed as Zn concentrations in 0.01 M CaCl₂ soil extracts (Figure 1), was not attributable to slow immobilization reactions of Zn in soil, but rather to adaptation of the ammonia-oxidizing community, as observed for other long-term Zn contaminated soils (Rusk *et al.*, 2004; Mertens *et al.* 2006). This was verified by testing for Zn tolerance in the Zn-exposed soil sample. In accordance to the SIN data, no nitrifying

activity was observed in the Zn-exposed soil sample at T0, whereas nitrification levels recovered to the level of the unexposed soil sample at T2. At the same time, the recovery of the nitrification process in this soil sample was accompanied with increased Zn tolerance within the nitrifying community at T2 (Figure 2).

The AOB and AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers in the unexposed soil sample were high, but comparable to those found in previous studies (Leininger *et al.*, 2006; He *et al.*, 2007). In several recent studies, the number of AOA *amoA* gene copies in soil exceeded that of AOB (for example, Adair and Schwartz, 2008; He *et al.*, 2007; Shen *et al.*, 2008), whereas numbers in the unexposed Spalding soil were roughly equal. The reduction of the AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers on contamination is very rapid (Figure 3a; Supplementary Figure 1a). All evidence suggests the death of AOA cells on contamination, as storage and rewetting itself does not significantly affect levels of AOA, AOB or total bacteria in these soils (not shown). It should be noted that the coverage of *amo*A-based primers, especially for AOA, is not yet well established, and the assays used in this study may therefore underestimate actual *amo*A gene copy and gene transcript numbers. At T2, the nitrification activity in the unexposed soil sample recovered to the value in the unexposed soil sample (Figures 1 and 2), and AOB *amo*A gene copy numbers were appreciable (Figure 3a). In contrast, the AOA *amo*A gene copy

Zn-exposed soil sample recovered to the value in the unexposed soil sample (Figures 1 and 2), and AOB *amo*A gene copy numbers were appreciable (Figure 3a). In contrast, the AOA *amoA* gene copy number in the Zn-exposed soil sample was fivefold lower compared to the unexposed soil sample (Figure 3a), suggesting that recovery of SIN in the Zn-exposed soil sample was exclusively attributable to the AOB community in this soil. This finding is supported by a tight association between AOB amoA gene copy numbers and SIN across Zn application rates at T2 (50% reduction of AOB amoA gene copy numbers and SIN at 8.1 mM and 13.1 mM Zn, respectively, and at 0.07 mM Zn for the AOA amoA gene copy numbers; Figure 1; Supplementary Figure 1a). SIN, level of Zn tolerance and AOB and AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers of the unexposed and the Zn-exposed soil samples at T1 (that is, after 1 year of exposure) were similar to those at T0 and corresponding data at T3 (that is, after 3 years of exposure) were similar to those at T2 (details not shown).

The inhibition of SIN in the Zn-exposed soil sample at T0 coincided with a sharp decrease in the AOB and AOA *amoA* gene transcript numbers, indicating that both groups were severely affected by Zn stress (Figures 1 and 3b). The restoration of the nitrification process in the Zn-exposed soil sample at T2 was associated with a recovery of the *amoA* gene transcript number within the AOB community, but not within the AOA community (Figure 3b), providing evidence for a dominant role of AOB in the restoration of the ammonium oxidation activity. At the same time, the AOB amoA gene community profile in the Zn-exposed soil sample had changed, yet no corresponding change could be observed within the AOA *amoA* gene community profile (Figure 3c). As sequence variation in the AOB amoA gene is closely linked to 16S rRNA phylogeny (Purkhold et al., 2000, 2003; Aakra et al., 2001), this observation suggested a shift in AOB community structure that was associated with restoration of nitrification. Interestingly, we did not observe any clustering of recovered sequences with respect to Zn tolerance of the communities (Supplementary Figure 2). Thus, it appears that the ability to acquire Zn tolerance is widespread throughout the *Nitrosospira* lineage, and specific to particular ecotypes not discerned using this functional marker gene. The selection of genotypes of Zn-tolerant AOB species

occurred from low background levels of these AOB, or from adaptation and selection of Zn-tolerant AOB over time. Our failure to detect a shift in the AOA community suggested the AOA community did not have the capacity to respond to Zn-induced stress over a 2-year time period. Similar to our results, changes of the numbers of AOB and AOA *amoA* gene copies, gene transcripts and/or amoA gene profiles suggested AOB dominated ammonia oxidation in an alkaline sandy loam soil under different long-term fertilization regimes (Shen et al., 2008), in grassland under different grazing managements (Le Roux et al. 2008) and in long-term acidified soil samples (Nicol et al., 2008). In contrast, data on the numbers of AOB and AOA amoA gene transcripts in soils incubated at different temperatures (Tourna et al., 2008) and in nitrogen fertilized paddy rhizosphere soils (Chen et al., 2008) suggest an AOA-dominated ammonia-oxidation process. It must be recognized that the nitrification process, *amoA* gene community profiles, *amoA* gene copy numbers and *amoA* gene transcript numbers were analyzed in dried, stored and reconditioned soils. Detected target numbers may therefore not be an accurate portrayal of *in situ* conditions. However, we have observed that soil drying and storage, which mimic the conditions present for extended periods in these fields (Broos *et al.*, 2007a), had no detectable effects on determined process rates, as well as on bacterial numbers (data not shown). Furthermore, our molecular analyses were geared toward determining the populations responsible for activities in the reconditioned soil samples, not necessarily in situ field conditions at the time of sampling.

Thus, contrary to the general view of archaea being more tolerant to chronic stress conditions than bacteria (Schleper *et al.*, 2005; Valentine, 2007), we demonstrated that AOA were more sensitive to Zn contamination than AOB in this soil. It is unlikely that the effects on the AOA community were caused by other physicochemical or biological soil processes besides Zn, as the numbers of AOA and AOB amoA gene copies and gene transcripts and AOA and AOB amoA gene profiles of the unexposed soil samples were similar across all replicates and sampling times (that is, T0 to T3). Similar consistent results were observed by Wheatley et al. (2003) in three uncontaminated fields. Interestingly, significant numbers of AOA amoA genes still remained in the Zn-exposed soil samples at T2, even though virtually no expression was observed. Thus, the prospect remains that some AOA populations may be able to survive such stress, in dormant states or as spores (Onvenwoke *et al.*, 2004). Alternatively, these organisms may obtain energy through processes other than ammonia oxidation. Further research will be necessary to determine if AOA have longterm adaptive capabilities in response to heavy metal-induced stress.

Acknowledgements

JM received a grant from the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT-Vlaanderen) for his PhD research and thanks the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen) for a position as postdoctoral researcher. KB received a postdoctoral fellowship from the Western Australian Water Corporation. S Ruyters provided assistance in real-time PCR gene quantification. We thank M McLaughlin, D Heemsbergen and M Warne and all the members of the National Biosolid Research Program of Australia, particularly the SA members for providing the Spalding soils. We thank S Leininger for providing fosmid clone 54d9 and N Boon for providing cloned amoA genes of Nitrosomonas europaea ATCC 19718. A draft of this article was kindly reviewed by Dr JR Stephen (Australian Genome Research Facility, Adelaide). This is publication number 4513 of the Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW).

References

- Aakra Å, Utåker JB, Nes IF. (2001). Comparative phylogeny of ammonia monooxygenase subunit A and 16S rRNA genes of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 205: 237–242.
- Adair KL, Schwartz E. (2008). Evidence that ammoniaoxidizing archaea are more abundant than ammoniaoxidizing bacteria in semiarid soils of Northern Arizona, USA. Microb Ecol 56: 420-426.
- Aoi Y, Masaki Y, Tsuneda S, Hirata A. (2004). Quantitative analysis of amoA mRNA expression as a new biomarker of ammonia oxidation activities in a complex microbial community. Lett Appl Microbiol **39**: 477–482.
- Avrahami S, Conrad R, Braker G. (2002). Effect of soil ammonium concentration on N₂O release and on the community structure of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 5684-5692.
- Boyle-Yarwood SA, Bottomley PJ, Myrold DD. (2008). composition of ammonia-oxidizing Community bacteria and archaea in soils under stands of red alder and Douglas fir in Oregon. Environ Microbiol 10: 2956-2965.
- Broos K, Macdonald LM, Warne MSJ, Heemsbergen DA, Barnes MB, Bell M et al. (2007a). Limitations of soil microbial biomass carbon as an indicator of soil pollution in the field. Soil Biol Biochem 39: 2693-2695.
- Broos K, Mertens J, Smolders E. (2005). Toxicity of heavy metals in soil assesses with various soil microbial and plant growth assays: a comparative study. Environ *Toxicol Chem* **24**: 634–640.
- Broos K, Warne MSJ, Heemsbergen DA, Stevens D, Barnes MB, Correll RL et al. (2007b). Soil factors controlling the toxicity of copper and zinc to microbial processes in Australian soils. Environ Toxicol Chem **26**: 583–590.
- Chen XP, Zhu YG, Xia Y, Shen JP, He JZ. (2008). Ammoniaoxidizing archaea: important players in paddy rhizosphere soil? Environ Microbiol 10: 1978-1987.
- Degryse F, Broos K, Smolders E, Merckx R. (2003). Soil solution concentration of Cd and Zn can be predicted with a CaCl₂ soil extract. Eur J Soil Sci 54: 149–157.

- Doelman P, Haanstra L. (1989). Short- and long-term effects of heavy metals on phosphatase activity in soils: An ecological dose response model approach. Biol Fertil Soils 8: 235-241.
- Felsenstein J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783-791.
- Francis CA, Beman JM, Kuypers MMM. (2007). New processes and players in the nitrogen cycle: the microbial ecology of anaerobic and archaeal ammonia oxidation. ISME J 1: 19-27.
- Francis CA, Roberts KJ, Beman JM, Santoro AE, Oakley BB. (2005). Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 14683-14688.
- He J, Shen J, Zhang L, Zhu Y, Zheng Y, Xu M et al. (2007). Quantitative analyses of the abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammoniaoxidizing archaea of a Chinese upland red soil under long-term fertilization practices. Environ Microbiol 9: 2364-2374.
- Head IM, Hiorns WD, Embley TM, McCarthy AJ, Saunders JR. (1993). The phylogeny of autotrophic ammoniaoxidizing bacteria as determined by analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. J Gen Microbiol 139: 1147-1153.
- Isbell RF. (1996). The Australian Soil Classification. CSIRO: Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.
- Jiang QQ, Bakken LR. (1999). Comparison of Nitrosospira strains isolated from terrestrial environments. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 30: 171–186.
- Könneke M, Bernhard AE, de la Torre JR, Walker CB, Waterbury JB, Stahl DA. (2005). Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. Nature 437: 543-546.
- Koops H-P, Purkhold U, Pommerening-Röser A, Timmermann G, Wagner M. (2001). The lithoautotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. In: Dworkin M et al. (eds). The Prokaryotes: An Evolving Electronic Resource for the Microbiological Community. Springer: New York, pp 778-811.
- Kowalchuk GA, Stephen JR. (2001). Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: A model for molecular microbial ecology. Annu Rev Microbiol 55: 485-529.
- Kowalchuk GA, Stienstra AW, Heilig GHJ, Stephen JR, Woldendorp JW. (2000). Changes in the community structure of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria during secondary succession of calcareous grasslands. Environ Microbiol 2: 99–110.
- Le Roux X, Poly F, Currey P, Commeauw C, Hai B, Nicol GW et al. (2008). Effects of aboveground grazing on coupling among nitrifier activity, abundance and community structure. ISME J 2: 221-232.
- Leininger S, Urich T, Schloter M, Schwark L, Qi J, Nicol GW et al. (2006). Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. Nature 442: 806-809.
- Mertens J, Degryse F, Springael D, Smolders E. (2007). Zinc toxicity to nitrification in soil and soilless culture can be predicted with the same Biotic Ligand Model. Environ Sci Technol 41: 2992-2997.
- Mertens J, Springael D, De Trover I, Chevns K, Wattiau P, Smolders E. (2006). Long-term exposure to elevated zinc concentrations induced structural changes and zinc tolerance of the nitrifying community in soil. Environ Microbiol 8: 2170-2178.

- Nicol GW, Leininger S, Schleper C, Prosser JI. (2008). The influence of soil pH on the diversity, abundance and transcriptional activity of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 2966–2978.
- Nicol GW, Schleper C. (2006). Ammonia-oxidising Crenarchaeota: important players in the nitrogen cycle? *Trends Microbiol* **14**: 207–212.
- Nicol GW, Tscherko D, Embley TM, Prosser JI. (2005). Primary succession of soil Crenarchaeota across a receding glacier foreland. *Environ Microbiol* **7**: 337–347.
- Okano Y, Hristova KR, Leutenegger CM, Jackson LE, Denison RF, Gebreyesus B *et al.* (2004). Application of real-time PCR to study effects of ammonium on population size of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 1008–1016.
- Onyenwoke RU, Brill JA, Farahi K, Wiegel J. (2004). Sporulation genes in members of the low G+C Gramtype-positive phylogenetic branch (Firmicutes). Arch Microbiol **182**: 182–192.
- Purkhold U, Pommerening-Röser A, Juretschko S, Schmid MC, Koops H-P, Wagner M. (2000). Phylogeny of all recognized species of ammonia oxidizers based on comparative 16S rRNA and *amoA* sequence analysis: implications for molecular diversity surveys. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 66: 5368–5382.
- Purkhold U, Wagner M, Timmermann G, Pommerening-Röser A, Koops H-P. (2003). 16S rRNA and amoAbased phylogeny of 12 novel betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing isolates: extension of the dataset and proposal of a new lineage within the nitrosomonads. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 1485–1494.
- Rotthauwe JH, Witzel KP, Liesack W. (1997). The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene *amoA* as a functional marker: Molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **63**: 4707–4712.
- Rusk JA, Hamon RE, Stevens DP, McLaughlin MJ. (2004). Adaptation of soil biological nitrification to heavy metals. *Environ Sci Technol* **38**: 3092–3097.
- Schleper C, Jurgens G, Jonuscheit M. (2005). Genomic studies of uncultivated archaea. Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 479–488.
- Shen JP, Zhang LM, Zhu YG, Zhang JB, He JZ. (2008). Abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing archaea communities of an alkaline sandy loam. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 1601–1611.
- Smolders E, Brans K, Coppens F, Merckx R. (2001). Potential nitrification rate as a tool for screening toxicity in metal-contaminated soils. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 20: 2469–2474.

- Smolders E, Buekers J, Oliver I, McLaughlin MJ. (2004). Soil properties affecting toxicity of zinc to soil microbial properties in laboratory-spiked and field-contaminated soils. *Environ Toxicol Chem* **23**: 2633–2640.
- Sneath PHA, Sokal RR. (1973). Numerical Taxonomy The Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification. Freeman: San Francisco, USA.
- Stephen JR, Chang YJ, Macnaughton SJ, Kowalchuk GA, Leung KT, Flemming CA *et al.* (1999). Effect of toxic metals on indigenous soil β -subgroup Proteobacterium ammonia oxidizer community structure and protection against toxicity by inoculated metal-resistant bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**: 95–101.
- Stephen JR, Kowalchuk GA, Bruns MAV, McCaig AE, Philips CJ, Embley TM *et al.* (1998). Analysis of β subgroup proteobacterial ammonia oxidizer populations in soil by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis and hierarchical phylogenetic probing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **64**: 2958–2965.
- Stephen JR, McCaig AE, Smith Z, Prosser JI, Embley TM. (1996). Molecular diversity of soil and marine 16S rRNA gene sequences related to beta-subgroup ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 62: 4147–4154.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. (2007). MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol Biol Evol* **24**: 1596–1599.
- Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S. (2004). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 11030–11035.
- Tourna M, Freitag TE, Nicol GW, Prosser JI. (2008). Growth, activity and temperature responses of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 1357–1364.
- Treusch AH, Leininger S, Kletzin A, Schuster SC, Klenk H-P, Schleper C. (2005). Novel genes for nitrite reductase and Amo-related proteins indicate a role of uncultivated mesophilic crenarchaeota in nitrogen cycling. *Environ Microbiol* 7: 1985–1995.
- Valentine DL. (2007). Adaptations to energy stress dictate the ecology and evolution of the Archaea. Nat Rev Microbiol 5: 316–323.
- Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, Halpern AL, Rusch D, Eisen JA *et al.* (2004). Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. *Science* **304**: 66–74.
- Wheatley RE, Caul S, Crabb D, Daniell TJ, Griffiths BS, Ritz K. (2003). Microbial population dynamics related to temporal variations in nitrification in three arable fields. *Eur J Soil Sci* **54**: 707–714.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on The ISME Journal website (http://www.nature.com/ismej)