- Barriers and facilitators to exercise participation in people with hip and/or knee
 osteoarthritis: synthesis of the literature using behaviour change theory.
- 3

4	Fiona Dobson ¹ BAppSci(Physio) PhD, Kim L Bennell ¹ BPhysio(Hons) PhD, Simon D French ^{1,2}
5	BAppSc(Chiro) MPH PhD, Philippa JA Nicolson ¹ BPhty, Remco N Klaasman ³ BSc, Melanie
6	A Holden ⁴ BSc(Hons)(Physiotherapy) PhD, Lou Atkins ⁵ BSc(Hons) MA PhD, Rana S
7	Hinman ¹ BAppSci(Physio) PhD.
8	¹ Centre for Health, Exercise & Sports Medicine, Department of Physiotherapy, University of
9	Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
10	² School of Rehabilitation Therapy, Queen's University, Louise D. Acton Building, 31 George
11	St, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6
12	³ Faculty of Healthcare, University of Applied Sciences Utrecht, Bolognalaan 101, 3584 CJ,
13	Utrecht, The Netherlands.
14	⁴ Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre, Keele University, Keele, United Kingdom, ST5
15	5BG.
16	⁵ Research Department of Clinical, Education and Health Psychology, Centre for Outcomes
17	Research and Effectiveness (CORE), University College London, 1-19 Torrington Place,
18	London WC1E 7HB.
19	
20	Corresponding author
21	Dr Fiona Dobson, Centre for Health Exercise and Sports Medicine, Department of Physiotherapy,
22	School of Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3010. ph: +61 3 8344 3223,

- 23 <u>fdobson@unimelb.edu.au</u>
- 24

25 **Competing interests:**

26 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

27

28 Author's Contribution

FD, KLB, RSH and SDF conceived the study question. FD led the search, data extraction and initial mapping stages. RK and PJN were the independent study screeners and conducted data extraction and mapping. MAH, SDF and LA led the mapping of each factor to the domains of the framework. All authors reached consensus and approved the final mapping of factors to the framework. All authors contributed to preparation of the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

35

36 Acknowledgements

This study was funded by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) 37 Program grant (631717). KLB is supported by NHMRC Fellowship (#1058440). RSH is 38 39 supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship (FT130100175). SDF was partly supported by a NHMRC Primary Health Care Fellowship (567071) while working on 40 this project. MAH is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) School 41 for Primary Care Research. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors 42 43 and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the Department of 44 Health. No authors have conflicts of interest to declare.

45

46 **Previous presentation of material**

47 This study was presented as an oral presentation at the Osteoarthritis Research Society International
48 (OARSI) World Congress, in May 2015, Seattle, USA.

1 Abstract

2 Exercise is recommended for hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA). Patient initiation of, and 3 adherence to exercise is key to the success of managing symptoms. This study aimed to i) 4 identify modifiable barriers and facilitators to participation in intentional exercise in hip and/or knee OA and; ii) synthesise findings using behaviour change theory. A scoping review 5 with systematic searches was conducted through March 2015. Two reviewers screened 6 studies for eligibility. Barriers and facilitators were extracted and synthesised according to 7 the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) by two independent reviewers. Twenty-three 8 9 studies (total of 4633 participants) were included. The greatest number of unique barriers and facilitators mapped to the Environmental Context and Resources domain. Many barriers 10 11 related to Beliefs about Consequences and Beliefs about Capabilities, while many facilitators 12 were related to *Reinforcement*. Clinicians should take a proactive role in facilitating exercise uptake and adherence, rather than trusting patients to independently overcome barriers to 13 exercise. Strategies that may be useful include a personalised approach to exercise 14 15 prescription, considering environmental context and available resources, personalised education about beneficial consequences of exercise and reassurance about exercise 16 capability, and use of reinforcement strategies. Future research should investigate 17 effectiveness of behaviour change interventions that specifically target these factors. 18

19

20 Key words: Osteoarthritis, Exercise, Barriers, Facilitators

21

22 Word count: 4545

23

24

26 Introduction

Hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA) are leading causes of disability in older adults worldwide¹. 27 Exercise is an integral component of non-surgical management of hip and knee OA and is 28 recommended in all published international clinical guidelines². High quality evidence of the 29 benefits of exercise for improving pain and function is well-established in people with knee 30 OA^{3} and is mounting in those with hip OA^{4} . However, these benefits are dependent on 31 patient's initiation of, and adherence to, exercise ⁵. There is a global under-utilisation of 32 exercise in people with OA ⁶⁻⁹ and long-term adherence to exercise for people with OA is poor 33 ¹⁰. In order to facilitate development of effective strategies for people with OA to promote 34 exercise adherence, and thus maximise clinical benefits of exercise for people with OA, 35 identification of factors influencing exercise participation and adherence in people with hip and 36 knee OA is recognised as an important research priority 11 . 37

38

Several narrative reviews have described a complex array of barriers and facilitators that 39 influence the uptake and maintenance of exercise in people with hip and/or knee OA¹²⁻¹⁴. 40 Factors identified have included those that encompass the physical environment (e.g. weather, 41 access to services), the social environment (e.g. time, supports), personal experiences (e.g. 42 previous exercise history) and individual attributes (e.g. motivation, knowledge, beliefs, 43 attitudes, confidence). Although a number of models have been proposed to assist clinicians 44 and researchers in identifying and assessing barriers and facilitators to exercise in order to 45 design treatments improve exercise adherence ¹⁴⁻¹⁷, no study to date has synthesised the 46 barriers and facilitators to exercise using an analytical framework grounded explicitly in 47 theories of behaviour change. Given that long-term exercise adherence usually requires 48 significant behaviour change on the part of individuals with OA, such an approach is needed to 49 drive the development of clinical strategies that are most likely to be effective in increasing 50 exercise participation. 51

Although strategies to improve exercise participation can be used by health professionals in 53 clinical practice, they are not currently implemented consistently. For example, although UK-54 55 based physical therapists report that they monitor exercise adherence in people with knee OA, few use specific strategies such as exercise diaries to encourage exercise adherence ¹⁸. 56 Approximately half do not supervise exercise during the initial treatment session, and very few 57 monitor their patients over the long-term for exercise adherence. Indeed, exercise adherence is 58 viewed by physical therapists as the patient's, not the therapist's, responsibility ¹⁹. Failure of 59 clinicians to recognise the important role they play in facilitating behaviour change in their 60 patients may, at least partially, contribute to the poor adherence to exercise by people with OA. 61 62 A comprehensive understanding of the modifiable barriers and facilitators to exercise 63 experienced by people with OA, synthesised according to a broad based theoretical framework for behaviour change, is thus needed to inform clinical practice of healthcare professionals 64 recommending and prescribing exercise, and to develop strategies that promote the behaviour 65 66 change needed in patients for long-term exercise adherence.

67

The Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) was developed to simplify and integrate the 68 plethora of behaviour change theories that exist into a single overarching framework ²⁰. The 69 TDF can be used to assess and explain problems with implementing treatments known to be 70 efficacious and to inform development of strategies designed to improve intervention 71 implementation ²⁰. The TDF comprises theoretical domains that are considered to influence 72 behaviour and behaviour change. The refined framework integrates 128 explanatory constructs 73 from 33 theories by grouping them into 14 distinct domains ^{21 22}: Knowledge, Skills, 74 Social/Professional Role and Identity, Beliefs about Capabilities, Optimism, Beliefs about 75 Consequences, Reinforcement, Intentions, Goals, Memory, Attention and Decision Processes, 76 Environmental Context and Resources, Social Influences, Emotions, and Behavioural 77

Regulation. Strengths of the TDF include that it incorporates multiple theories of behaviour
change, that it provides a useful conceptual basis for understanding behaviour-change
processes and that it can be used to guide the choice of appropriate behaviour change
techniques to improve implementation of a given intervention ^{21 23}.

82

The use of the TDF can ensure a comprehensive identification of all possible mediators of 83 behaviour and behaviour change ²³. The TDF provides a useful conceptual basis for analysing 84 implementation problems and subsequently designing implementation interventions to improve 85 healthcare clinical practice. The TDF has been used to explore implementation problems in a 86 number of different clinical areas. For example, the TDF has been used to explore healthcare 87 professional barriers and facilitators in implementing weight management and obesity 88 guidelines in pregnant women²⁴, and to develop a complex intervention to improve acute low 89 back pain management in primary care ²⁵. However, no study to date has used the TDF to 90 explore the patient-related barriers and facilitators to exercise participation and adherence. 91

92

A scoping study, defined as a method to map key concepts, main sources and available 93 evidence underpinning a research area²⁶, is an increasingly common approach to reviewing 94 literature ²⁷. Arksey and O'Malley ²⁶ described a number of reasons for conducting a scoping 95 study, including to examine the extent, range and nature of research activity; to summarise and 96 97 disseminate research findings; and to identify research gaps in existing literature. As such, a scoping review, guided by the TDF, is an appropriate methodology to provide an overview and 98 analytic framework of barriers and facilitators to exercise participation in people with hip and 99 knee OA. The aims of this scoping review were to: i) identify barriers and facilitators to 100 101 participation in intentional exercise for people with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis (OA) and; ii) map modifiable barriers and facilitators to the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF). 102

104 Methods

105 The review was conducted according to the multi-stage framework of scoping reviews as 106 described by Arksey and O'Malley ²⁶: (1) identifying the research question; (2) identifying 107 relevant studies; (3) selecting studies, with the establishment of inclusion/exclusion criteria; (4) 108 charting the data, including sifting, charting, and sorting information according to key issues 109 and themes; and (5) collating, summarising, and reporting the results, including a thematic 110 analysis.

111

112 Stage 1: Identifying the research question and operational definitions:

The key research question was: "For people with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis (OA), what are the barriers and facilitators to participation in intentional exercise?" Operational definitions for the key terms in the research question were developed by the authors and are further expanded in the inclusion criteria in Stage 3.

117

118 Stage 2: Identifying relevant studies

Electronic searches of databases from inception until March 2015 were performed using MEDLINE (via PubMed), CINAHL and SPORTSDiscus (via EBSCO), and the Cochrane Library (Wiley). Key search terms and synonyms were searched separately in three main filters: i) population terms (hip and knee OA); ii) exercise terms; and iii) barrier and facilitator terms. These were combined with the "AND" operator, without any further restrictions. Supplementary hand searching of references cited in retrieved articles was also conducted. A full search strategy for the MEDLINE database is provided in Appendix 1.

126

127 Stage 3: Study selection

The titles and abstracts of all retrieved studies were initially screened by two independent researchers, followed by an independent full-text review of potentially eligible studies by two review authors. Any disagreements from either screening phase were discussed and resolved with a third review author. Studies were included if they met the following criteria:

Population: participants were people 45 years or older with OA of the hip and/or knee,
 diagnosed according to the definition of the original study investigators. This included
 both clinical and radiological diagnoses.

Intentional exercise: defined according to the World Health Organization definition as the 135 2. participation (initiation, maintenance and/or adherence) in any physical activity that is 136 137 planned, structured, repetitive, and purposeful in the sense that the improvement or maintenance of one or more components of physical fitness is the objective ²⁸. That is, an 138 activity with the *intent* to exercise. The activity could be supervised (e.g. individual or 139 140 group sessions with a physical therapist or fitness instructor) or unsupervised (e.g. home exercises, walking program), as well as prescribed (e.g. by a health professional), advised 141 142 (recommended by a website or support group) or self-initiated.

Barriers and facilitators: any factor, characteristic, view or belief that either impedes or
enables participation in exercise.

4. *Study design:* any primary empirical study, including qualitative, quantitative and mixedmethod designs, and systematic reviews, that was published as a full paper, and had a
primary and/or secondary aim of exploring or evaluating barriers/facilitators to
participation in intentional exercise.

149 5. *Language of publication:* Studies published in English language.

150

Studies were excluded if: i) participants were not specifically described as having hip or knee
OA; ii) >50% of study participants had conditions other than OA, such as systematic or

153 inflammatory joint conditions, or if hip/knee pain was not clearly attributed to OA (unless a sub-group analysis was provided of the OA participants); iii) the majority of study participants 154 were less than 45 years of age (unless sub-group analysis was provided); iv) the majority of 155 156 study participants included people with hip and/or knee OA following joint replacement surgery, as barriers and facilitators to exercise for these people may be different; v) there was 157 no exercise component to the intervention evaluated; vi) in the case of multimodal 158 interventions (e.g. physical therapy), the relationship between the barriers/facilitators and the 159 specific exercise component of the intervention was not evaluated; and it was a narrative 160 161 review.

162

163 Stage 4: Charting the data (data extraction)

164 Characteristics of each eligible study, including details of the participants, study design, type of 165 exercise, and reported barriers and facilitators to exercise participation, were extracted by one 166 author. The extracted barriers and facilitators were checked by a second review author.

167

168 Stage 5: Collating, summarising and reporting the results

Each extracted modifiable barrier and facilitator was mapped to the 14 domains of the TDF by 169 two independent review authors and mediated by a third review author in cases of 170 171 disagreement. All authors subsequently confirmed the mapping of each identified barrier/facilitator to each TDF domain, one of whom is a health psychologist who is an expert 172 in behaviour change. As acknowledged by the developers of the TDF, domains in the 173 framework are not necessarily mutually exclusive and factors may have membership across 174 multiple domains. Accordingly, each barrier and facilitator was mapped to all relevant domains 175 of the TDF. 176

178 **Results**

179 *Description of included studies*

Selection of studies is summarized in Figure 1. Twenty-three eligible studies ^{16 17 29-49} were 180 identified and are described in Table 1. A total of 4633 participants were included in the 181 review, with individual study sample sizes ranging from 11 to 1021 participants. Studies were 182 conducted in the United States of America (6 studies), Australia (5 studies), United Kingdom 183 (4 studies), Canada (2 studies), Netherlands (2 studies), Germany (1 study), Iceland (1 study), 184 Turkey (1 study) and New Zealand (1 study). Fourteen studies included people with both hip 185 186 and/or knee OA, nine included people with primarily knee OA, while none included people with primarily hip OA. There were 15 quantitative studies, six qualitative studies and two 187 mixed-methods studies. 188

189

190 *Types of exercise*

A range of exercise programs were focused on in the included studies: aerobic activity ^{29 30 33 35} 191 ^{37-41 45}, strengthening exercise ^{16 31 39 41 43 47 48}, flexibility exercise ³¹, range of motion exercise ³⁷, 192 or a combination of strengthening, flexibility and endurance exercises ^{44 46 49}. The exercise type 193 was not specified in three studies ^{34,36,42}. Eleven studies evaluated structured, supervised, 194 exercise programs that were prescribed by a health professional ^{16 30 31 37-39 43-45 47 48} and three 195 studies addressed exercise programs that had been advised by a health professional but were 196 performed mostly unsupervised ^{29 33 41}. Six studies evaluated exercise that had been self-197 initiated by the participants ^{17 32 35 36 40 42 49} and a further two studies addressed a mixture of 198 prescribed, advised and self-initiated exercise programs ^{34 46}. 199

200

201 Barriers and facilitators to exercise participation

Barriers and facilitators to exercise identified by each of the included studies are described in
Table 1. These mapped across all 14 domains of the TDF (Table 2). Many modifiable barriers

204 related to the domains of Environmental Context and Resources and Beliefs about *Consequences*, while many facilitators were mapped to *Environmental Context and Resources* 205 as well as *Reinforcement*. A small number of the barriers and facilitators identified in the 206 207 selected studies were non-modifiable. Non-modifiable barriers included low educational level ⁴⁰, older age ^{35 36 40}, history of poor exercise adherence ³⁷ and being a long-term sedentary 208 person ^{35 43 46}. Non-modifiable facilitators included increased OA disease duration ⁴⁴, being a 209 long-term active person ³⁶, being male and having a higher education level ⁴⁸. A summary of 210 the most common types of modifiable barriers and facilitators in each TDF domain follows. 211

i. Knowledge: an awareness of the existence of something

213 Lack of knowledge and/or education about OA and/or lack of adequate instructions about

exercise and its benefits were identified as barriers, whereas education and/or knowledge about

215 OA and/or clinicians demonstrating exercises were reported as facilitators.

216 *ii. Skills: an ability or proficiency acquired through practice.*

217 No barriers mapped to the skills domain. Prior experience with exercising was a facilitator.

218 *iii. Social/Professional Role and Identity: a coherent set of behaviours and displayed personal*

219 *qualities of an individual in a social setting*

Poor self-image or the self-perception of being inactive were viewed as exercise barriers,
whereas a positive self-image and feelings of being able to contribute to a study/program were
considered to be facilitators.

iv. Beliefs about Capabilities: acceptance of the truth, reality or validity about an ability,
talent or facility that a person can put to constructive use

Seven different studies identified barriers to exercise related to this domain ^{16 17 34-36 40 41 48}, primarily focussed on negative beliefs about the severity of symptoms (eg pain, stiffness, fatigue and disability) adversely impacting capability to exercise. Believing that excess weight and the presence of comorbidities leads to a perceived inability to exercise were also barriers. Exercise facilitators for this domain included perceptions of being physically active, of havinglow levels of physical limitation and positive beliefs about taking control of disability.

- v. Optimism: the confidence that things will happen for the best or that desired goals will be
 attained
- Fatalism regarding OA and a negative attitude to exercise were barriers to exercise while
 positive health and exercise attitudes were regarded as facilitators across four studies ^{16 17 36 45}.
- vi. Beliefs about Consequences: acceptance of the truth, reality or validity about outcomes of
 a behaviour in a given situation

Eight different studies identified barriers to exercise that were related to patient beliefs about the consequences of exercise ^{16 17 30 35 36 40 44 46}. Barriers centred around perceptions that exercise has limited effectiveness for OA and/or that exercise would result in negative consequences such as increased pain or other symptoms. Similarly, positive expectations about exercise effects were facilitators to exercise.

vii. Reinforcement: increasing the probability of a response by arranging a dependent
relationship between the response and a given stimulus

While only three studies identified lack of reinforcement as a barrier to exercise ^{16 17 35}, nine different studies identified a range of factors related to positive reinforcement that were facilitators to exercise participation, including use of incentives, pain improvement and encouragement from medical practitioners ^{17 31 32 35-37 44 48 49}.

- viii. Intentions: a conscious decision to perform a behaviour or a resolve to act in a certain
 way
- Lack of motivation, laziness and self-belief about being sufficiently active were all barriers to exercise participation, whereas strong motivation, determination, initiative and loyalty to therapists were all reported to be facilitators.
- 253 ix. Goals: mental representations of outcomes or end states that an individual wants to
 254 achieve

Goal setting emerged as being important to exercise participation across four different studies ^{30 31 38 45} with lack of goal setting being a barrier and use of long and short-term goals being a facilitator.

x. Memory, Attention and Decision Processes: the ability to retain information, focus
 selectively on aspects of the environment and choose between alternatives

Tiredness, forgetfulness and inactive habits were barriers to exercise in this domain of the TDF, whereas good sleep, previous exercise adherence and being physically active were facilitators. Lack of patient input into the exercise program was a barrier to participation while active involvement of the patient in the content of the intervention was a facilitator.

xi. Environmental Context and Resources: any circumstance of a person's situation or
environment that discourages or encourages the development of skills and abilities,
independence, social competence and adaptive behaviour

Twelve of the 23 included studies (52%) identified factors related to environmental context and resources as either barriers and/or facilitators to exercise. Barriers included poor weather conditions, access to facilities, use of a walking aid, hills/stairs during walking programs, costs of exercise, safety concerns, transport and parking, whereas good weather conditions and easy access to suitable, low-cost classes were regarded as facilitators.

272 xii. Social influences: those interpersonal processes that can cause individuals to change their
273 thoughts, feelings or behaviours

Family commitments, lack of family/social support and lack of a training partner were all regarded as barriers to exercise. Increased family/social support and exercising with a partner were most commonly viewed as facilitators in this domain of the TDF.

277 xiii. Emotions: a complex reaction pattern by which an individual attempts to deal with a
278 personally significant matter or event

Anxiety, boredom and lack of enjoyment were emotional barriers to exercise, while enjoymentand improved depression with exercise were facilitators.

281 xiv. Behavioural Regulation: anything aimed at managing or changing objectively observed or
282 measured actions

Although no study identified any barriers to exercise in the behavioural regulation domain of the TDF, a range of facilitators were identified including performing exercise at one's own pace, prioritisation and integration of exercise into daily lifestyle and ongoing monitoring.

286

287 Discussion

288 This review utilised a systematic approach to identify the previously published barriers and 289 facilitators that people with hip and/or knee OA encounter when participating in intentional exercise, and mapped these barriers and facilitators to the theoretical domains of the TDF. 290 291 Many barriers were mapped to Environmental Context and Resources and Beliefs about 292 Consequences whereas many facilitators were mapped to Environmental Context and Resources and Reinforcement. These results provide a useful basis for clinicians to better assist 293 294 their patients with OA to change their behaviour towards long-term exercise adherence, and to 295 guide the development and evaluation of strategies designed to increase adherence to exercise in people with hip and/or knee OA. This review has highlighted that people with hip and/or 296 knee OA are faced with a wide and complex variety of barriers and facilitators to exercise 297 participation. The complex, and often inter-related, nature of factors influencing exercise 298 participation means that a single approach to promoting exercise participation is unlikely to be 299 300 effective across all people with hip and/or knee OA, or across all points of the disease trajectory in a given individual patient. Nonetheless, our study has highlighted the TDF 301 domains most commonly represented by barriers and facilitators. Research to evaluate whether 302 303 interventions that targets these domains improve outcomes in people with hip and/or knee OA 304 is now required.

306 Our findings highlight the importance of environmental context and available resources in influencing participation and adherence to exercise. When prescribing or recommending 307 exercise for a person with hip/knee OA, our results suggest that clinicians should consider the 308 309 circumstances of each individual's situation and environment, and identify barriers that may impede exercise participation and ongoing adherence. To do so, clinicians would be advised to 310 311 engage in a meaningful discussion with patients about their preferences for exercise, including their ability and willingness to access facilities (considering both transportation and cost). 312 313 Clinicians should also take an active role in assisting their patients to determine the most 314 appropriate exercise program for their individual circumstances, and not trust that a patient can successfully navigate their own way towards following generic and non-personalised advice to 315 316 exercise. Research into UK-based physiotherapists attitudes to exercise shows less than 50% of 317 therapists believe the patient is the best person to decide if they should do their exercises at home or in a group setting ¹⁹, suggesting that many clinicians are not using a person-centred 318 319 approach to exercise management and that this could be contributing to poor exercise 320 adherence in people with OA. Our findings show that patient beliefs, about their capabilities for, and the consequences of, exercise are important barriers to exercise for people with OA. 321 Given that research has shown that older adults with knee pain have considerable uncertainty 322 about the benefits of exercise for knee pain ³⁶, clinicians must make concerted efforts to 323 educate their patients regarding exercise benefits, prior to prescribing an exercise program. 324 Presence of x-ray changes appears to be an important factor influencing a person's belief about 325 exercise effectiveness 36 - approximately 40% of people believe exercise is effective in the 326 presence of mild radiographic OA and this drops to around 20% with respect to severe OA. For 327 patients with radiographic changes of OA, clinicians should emphasise that such individuals 328 329 are capable of exercise and are also likely to experience benefits of exercise, irrespective of xray findings. Fear of causing increased pain or further joint damage, and beliefs that exercise is 330 beyond one's capabilities or will not provide benefit, stems from lack of knowledge ³⁶. 331

332 Clinicians play a crucial role in providing accurate information about OA and the role of exercise. However, given that only 56% of physical therapists largely/totally agree that 333 exercise is effective for knee OA¹⁹, it seems that education directed to clinicians is also 334 required to ensure that patients are given accurate, unbiased and evidence-based information. 335 Our review shows reinforcement plays a major role in facilitating participation and adherence 336 337 to exercise. Although allied health clinicians, such as physiotherapists, are traditionally responsible for exercise prescription for people with OA, encouragement and endorsement 338 from doctors is also important ^{17 32 35}. This reinforces the need for a multi-disciplinary team-339 340 based approach to OA management where medical practitioners actively endorse and support non-pharmacological approaches to OA. Our findings also highlight that internal reinforcement 341 342 mechanisms are important facilitators to exercise; people who notice improvements in 343 symptoms with exercise are more likely to continue exercising. This could be achieved by patients via simple self-reported pain scales and exercise log books which could help reinforce 344 the benefits of exercise by increasing self-awareness of symptom changes over time. Only 57% 345 346 of physiotherapists report using self-reported measures of pain and function to monitor progress with exercises, and only 12% instruct their patients in the use of exercise diaries ¹⁸, 347 which highlights areas of clinical practice that could be changed in order to improve exercise 348 adherence in people with OA. 349

350

This is the first review we are aware of to map the barriers and facilitators to exercise participation for people with hip and/or knee OA to the domains of the TDF. Our findings provide a useful basis to develop new strategies that may help increase long-term adherence to exercise in people with hip/knee OA, and thus ultimately optimise the clinical benefits of exercise in this patient group. In development, the TDF was informed by theoretical constructs of behaviour change and thus domains within this framework can be theoretically linked to interventions of behaviour change ²¹. Michie et al ²¹ suggested three main reasons for using

358 theory in designing behaviour change interventions. First, interventions are likely to be more 359 effective if they target the theoretical mechanisms of change. Second, theory can be tested and developed by evaluations of interventions only if those interventions and evaluations are 360 361 theoretically informed. Third, theory-based interventions facilitate an understanding of what works and thus are a basis for developing better theory across different contexts, populations, 362 363 and behaviours. Our review has highlighted many barriers and facilitators to exercise 364 participation in the Environmental Context and Resources, Beliefs about Consequences and 365 *Reinforcement* domains of the TDF, thus behaviour change techniques associated with these 366 domains warrant further consideration and future research efforts. Future research should evaluate the effects of explicit behaviour change strategies on exercise and participation and 367 368 adherence in people with OA.

369

Strengths of this scoping review included the use of a theoretically-informed systematic 370 approach to identify and synthesise the findings of relevant qualitative and quantitative 371 research. The TDF is arguably one of the most comprehensive frameworks for systematically 372 identifying moderators of behaviour ²⁵. Using a broad theoretical framework, as opposed to a 373 single theory, enabled a more encompassing examination of potential barriers and facilitators. 374 The synthesis of findings in this scoping review adds to existing reviews and models by 375 providing a framework grounded explicitly in theories of behaviour change. Further, the 376 377 inclusion of findings from qualitative study designs helps to add depth of understanding, which is useful for describing complex phenomena such as exercise participation. A potential 378 limitation of this review is, as acknowledged by the developers of the TDF, that domains in the 379 380 framework are not mutually exclusive, meaning that some barriers and facilitators can be 381 mapped across multiple domains. This means that multiple behavioural change strategies may be required to address factors related to exercise participation in people with OA. Another 382 important limitation is that, unlike a systematic review, this scoping review did not incorporate 383

384 a risk of bias assessment of included studies and identified barriers and facilitators were mapped to the TDF regardless of the methodological quality of the originating study. As the 385 purpose of a scoping review is to map the body of literature and present a broad scope 386 overview of a diverse body of literature ²⁶, it has been argued that scoping reviews should 387 include all relevant literature regardless of methodological quality, given that their intent is to 388 present an overview of the existing literature in a field of interest ⁵⁰. Further, scoping reviews 389 are more commonly used for hypothesis generation and the stimulation of future research⁵⁰, 390 rather the synthesis of new evidence from high quality studies as in a systematic review. Future 391 392 research should include a systematic review of the efficacy of interventions for overcoming barriers to exercise using evidence from high quality studies. The identified barriers and 393 394 facilitators in this review were derived from quantitative, qualitative and mixed designs, hence estimates of the strength and precision of relationships was not appropriate for many factors. 395 Significant results derived from quantitative studies were mapped to the TDF regardless of the 396 strength and precision of relationships found in these studies. Finally, we did not identify any 397 studies from Asia, Africa or South America. It is acknowledged that cultural differences can 398 influence exercise participation, particularly to practitioner-prescribed interventions ¹² and this 399 may influence the generalizability of our results. More primary research is required to identify 400 culturally-specific barriers and facilitators in these populations. 401

402

Many modifiable barriers and facilitators to intentional exercise are related to the circumstances of a person's situation or environment that either discourages or encourages the development of exercise skills and abilities, independence, social competence and adaptive behaviour. Negative beliefs about the consequences of exercise are also barriers. Clinicians advising exercise for people with OA should take a personalised approach that considers the environmental context and resources available to the individual, as well as educate patients regarding the beneficial effects of exercise, in order to maximise exercise participation and

adherence. Use of reinforcement strategies should be considered to promote exercise
adherence. Future research is required to investigate the effectiveness of behaviour change
interventions that specifically target these barriers and facilitators to exercise.

413 **References**

- Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Eszzati M, et al. Years lived with
 disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990—2010: a
 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*2012;380:2163-96.
- 2. Nelson AE, Allen KD, Golightly YM, Goode AP, Jordan JM. A systematic review of
 recommendations and guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis: The Chronic
 Osteoarthritis Management Initiative of the U.S. Bone and Joint Initiative. *Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism* 2014;43:701-12.
- 3. Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, Van der Esch M, Simic M, Bennell KL. Exercise for
 osteoarthritis of the knee. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*2015;1:Cd004376.
- 425 4. Fransen M, McConnell S, Hernandez-Molina G, Reichenbach S. Exercise for osteoarthritis
 426 of the hip. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,
 427 2014.
- 5. Ettinger WH, Jr., Burns R, Messier SP, Applegate W, Rejeski WJ, Morgan T, et al. A
 randomized trial comparing aerobic exercise and resistance exercise with a health
 education program in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. The Fitness Arthritis and
 Seniors Trial (FAST). *JAMA* 1997;277(1):25-31.
- 432 6. Jordan KM, Sawyer S, Coakley P, Smith HE, Cooper C, Arden NK. The use of conventional
 433 and complementary treatments for knee osteoarthritis in the community. *Rheumatology*434 2004;43(3):381-4.
- 435 7. Mitchell HL, Hurley MV. Management of chronic knee pain: a survey of patient preferences
 436 and treatment received. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2008;9:123.

- 437 8. Haskins R, Henderson JM, Bogduk N. Health professional consultation and use of
 438 conservative management strategies in patients with knee or hip osteoarthritis awaiting
 439 orthopaedic consultation. *Australian journal of primary health* 2014;20(3):305-10.
- 440 9. Hinman RS, Nicolson PJ, Dobson FL, Bennell KL. Use of nondrug, nonoperative
 441 interventions by community-dwelling people with hip and knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis*442 *care & research* 2015:67(2):305-9.
- 10. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, Schellevis FG, Twisk JW, Dekker J, De Bakker DH. Exercise
 adherence improving long-term patient outcome in patients with osteoarthritis of the
 hip and/or knee. *Arthritis care & research* 2010;62(8):1087-94.
- 446 11. Jordan JL, Holden MA, Mason EE, Foster NE. Interventions to improve adherence to
 447 exercise for chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults. *The Cochrane database of*448 *systematic reviews* 2010;20(1):CD005956.
- 449 12. Marks R, Allegrante JP. Chronic osteoarthritis and adherence to exercise: a review of the
 450 literature. *Journal of aging and physical activity* 2005;13(4):434-60.
- 451 13. Mazieres B, Thevenon A, Coudeyre E, Chevalier X, Revel M, Rannou F. Adherence to,
 452 and results of, physical therapy programs in patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis.
 453 Development of French clinical practice guidelines. *Joint Bone Spine* 2008;75(5):589454 96.
- 455 14. Marks R. Knee osteoarthritis and exercise adherence: a review. *Current Aging Science*456 2012;5:72-83.
- 457 15. Bennell K. Physiotherapy management of hip osteoarthritis. *Journal of physiotherapy*458 2013;59(3):145-57.
- 459 16. Campbell R, Evans M, Tucker M, Quilty B, Dieppe P, Donovan J. Why don't patients do
 460 their exercises? Understanding non-compliance with physiotherapy in patients with
 461 osteoarthritis of the knee. *Epidemiol Community Health* 2001;55:132-8.

- 462 17. Petursdottir U, Arnadottir S, Halldorsdottir S. Facilitators and barriers to exercising among
 463 people with osteoarthritis: A phenomenological study. *Phys Ther* 2010;90(7):1014-25.
- 464 18. Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Hay EM, Foster NE. Physical therapists' use of therapeutic
 465 exercise for patients with clinical knee osteoarthritis in the United kingdom: in line with
 466 current recommendations? *Phys Ther* 2008;88(10):1109-21.
- 467 19. Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, Hay EM, Foster NE. UK-based physical therapists'
 468 attitudes and beliefs regarding exercise and knee osteoarthritis: findings from a mixed469 methods study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61(11):1511-21.
- 470 20. Michie S, Johnston M, Abraham C, Lawton R, Parker D, Walker A, et al. Making
 471 psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: a consensus
 472 approach. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2005;14(1):26-33.
- 473 21. Michie S, Johnston M, Francis J, Hardeman W, Eccles M. From theory to intervention:
 474 mapping theoretically derived behavioural determinants to behaviour change
 475 techniques. *Appl Psychol* 2008;57(4):660-80.
- 476 22. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in
 477 behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci* 2012;7(1):37.
- 478 23. Francis JJ, O'Connor D, Curran J. Theories of behaviour change synthesised into a set of
 479 theoretical groupings: introducing a thematic series on the theoretical domains
 480 framework. *Implement Sci* 2012;7:35.
- 24. Heslehurst N, Newham J, Maniatopoulos G, Fleetwood C, Robalino S, Rankin J.
 Implementation of pregnancy weight management and obesity guidelines: a metasynthesis of healthcare professionals' barriers and facilitators using the Theoretical
 Domains Framework. *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity* 2014;15(6):462-86.
- 486 25. French SD, Green SE, O'Connor DA, McKenzie JE, Francis JJ, Michie S, et al. Developing
 487 theory-informed behaviour change interventions to implement evidence into practice: a

- 488 systematic approach using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Implement Sci*489 2012;7(1):38.
- 490 26. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc*491 *Res Methodol* 2005;8(1):19-32.
- 492 27. Daudt HM, van Mossel C, Scott SJ. Enhancing the scoping study methodology: a large,
 493 inter-professional team's experience with Arksey and O'Malley's framework. *BMC*494 *medical research methodology* 2013;13:48.
- 495 28. World Health Organization. <u>http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/index.html</u>.
- 496 29. Bossen D, Veenhof C, Dekker J, de Bakker D. The usability and preliminary effectiveness
 497 of a web-based physical activity intervention in patients with knee and/or hip
 498 osteoarthritis. *BMC medical informatics and decision making* 2013;13:61.
- 30. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Kenny GP, Reid R, Maetzel A, Tugwell P, et al. The implementation of a community-based aerobic walking program for mild to moderate knee osteoarthritis (OA): a knowledge translation (KT) randomized controlled trial (RCT): Part I: The Uptake of the Ottawa Panel clinical practice guidelines (CPGs). *BMC public health* 2012;12:871.
- 31. Damush TM, Perkins SM, Mikesky AE, Roberts M, O'Dea J. Motivational factors
 influencing older adults diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis to join and maintain an
 exercise program. *J Aging Phys Act* 2005;13(1):45-60.
- 32. Dexter PA. Joint exercises in elderly persons with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the hip or
 knee. Performance patterns, medical support patterns, and the relationship between
 exercising and medical care. *Arthritis care & research* 1992;5(1):36-41.
- 33. Halbert J, Crotty M, Weller D, Ahern M, Silagy C. Primary care-based physical activity
 programs: effectiveness in sedentary older patients with osteoarthritis symptoms. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;45(3):228-34.

- 513 34. Heesch KC, Ng N, Brown W. Factors associated with physical activity in Australians with
 514 hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of physical activity & health* 2011;8(3):340-51.
- 515 35. Hendry M, Williams NH, Markland D, Wilkinson C, Maddison P. Why should we exercise
 516 when our knees hurt? A qualitative study of primary care patients with osteoarthritis of
 517 the knee. *Family practice* 2006;23(5):558-67.
- 36. Holden MA, Nicholl EE, Young J, Hay EM, Foster NE. Role of exercise for knee pain:
 what do older adults in the community think? *Arthritis care & research*2012;64(10):1554-64.
- 37. Minor MA, Brown JD. Exercise maintenance of persons with arthritis after participation in
 a class experience. *Health Educ Q* 1993;20(1):83-95.
- 38. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, de Bakker DH, Schellevis FG, Dekker J. Behavioural graded
 activity results in better exercise adherence and more physical activity than usual care
 in people with osteoarthritis: a cluster-randomised trial. *Journal of physiotherapy*2010;56(1):41-7.
- 39. Rejeski WJ, Brawley LR, Ettinger W, Morgan T, Thompson C. Compliance to exercise
 therapy in older participants with knee osteoarthritis: implications for treating
 disability. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 1997;29(8):977-85.
- 40. Rosemann T, Kuehlein T, Laux G, Szecsenyi J. Factors associated with physical activity of
 patients with osteoarthritis of the lower limb. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2008;14(2):288-93.
- 41. Williams NH, Amoakwa E, Belcher J, Edwards RT, Hassani H, Hendry M, et al. Activity
 Increase Despite Arthritis (AIDA): phase II randomised controlled trial of an active
 management booklet for hip and knee osteoarthritis in primary care. *The British journal of general practice : the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*2011;61(589):e452-8.

- 537 42. Cotter KA, Sherman AM. Love hurts: The influence of social relations on exercise self538 efficacy for older adults with osteoarthritis. *Journal of aging and physical activity*539 2008;16(4):465-83.
- 540 43. Schoo AMM, Morris ME, Bui QM. Predictors of Home Exercise Adherence in Older
 541 People with Osteoarthritis. *Physiother Can* 2005;57(3):179-87.
- 542 44. Seçkin Ü, Gündüz S, Borman P, Akyüz M. Evaluation of the compliance to exercise
 543 therapy in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*544 2000;14(3):133-37.
- 45. Veenhof C, van Hasselt TJ, Koke AJ, Dekker J, Bijlsma JW, van den Ende CH. Active
 involvement and long-term goals influence long-term adherence to behavioural graded
 activity in patients with osteoarthritis: a qualitative study. *The Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 2006;52(4):273-8.
- 46. Poitras S, Rossignol M, Avouac J, Avouac B, Cedraschi C, Nordin M, et al. Management
 recommendations for knee osteoarthritis: how usable are they? *Joint Bone Spine*2010;77(5):458-65.
- 47. Bennell KL, Kyriakides M, Hodges PW, Hinman RS. Effects of two physiotherapy booster
 sessions on outcomes with home exercise in people with knee osteoarthritis: a
 randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis care & research* 2014;66(11):1680-7.
- 48. Desai PM, Hughes SL, Peters KE, Mermelstein RJ. Impact of telephone reinforcement and
 negotiated contracts on behavioral predictors of exercise maintenance in older adults
 with osteoarthritis. *American journal of health behavior* 2014;38(3):465-77.
- 49. Fisken A, Keogh JW, Waters DL, Hing WA. Perceived Benefits, Motives, and Barriers to
 Aqua-based Exercise Among Older Adults With and Without Osteoarthritis. *Journal of applied gerontology : the official journal of the Southern Gerontological Society*2015;34(3):377-96.

50. Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, Sargeant JM, Papadopoulos A, McEwen SA. A scoping
review of scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. *Research Synthesis Methods* 2014;5(4):371-85.

567 List of Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the eligible studies included in the scoping review.

- 570 **Table 2**. Identified barriers and facilitators to exercise participation mapped to the domains on
- 571 the Theoretical Domains Framework.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection processes.

Appendices

Appendix 1. Full search strategy in MEDLINE (PubMed)