BARTpho: Pre-trained Sequence-to-Sequence Models for Vietnamese

Nguyen Luong Tran, Duong Minh Le, Dat Quoc Nguyen

VinAI Research, Hanoi, Vietnam

{v.nguyentl12, v.duonglm1, v.datnq9}@vinai.io

Abstract

We present BARTpho with two versions, BARTpho_{svllable} and BARTphoword, which are the first public large-scale monolingual sequence-to-sequence models pre-trained for Vietnamese. BARTpho uses the "large" architecture and the pre-training scheme of the sequence-to-sequence denoising autoencoder BART, thus it is especially suitable for generative NLP tasks. We conduct experiments to compare our BARTpho with its competitor mBART on a downstream task of Vietnamese text summarization and show that: in both automatic and human evaluations, BARTpho outperforms the strong baseline mBART and improves the state-of-the-art. We further evaluate and compare BARTpho and mBART on the Vietnamese capitalization and punctuation restoration tasks and also find that BARTpho is more effective than mBART on these two tasks. We publicly release BARTpho to facilitate future research and applications of generative Vietnamese NLP tasks.

Index Terms: BARTpho; Sequence-to-Sequence; Vietnamese; Pre-trained models; Text summarization; Capitalization; Punc-tuation restoration.

1. Introduction

The masked language model BERT [1] and its variants, pretrained on large-scale corpora, help improve the state-of-the-art (SOTA) performances of various natural language understanding (NLU) tasks. However, due to a bidirectionality nature, it might be difficult to directly apply those pre-trained language models to natural language generation tasks [2]. Therefore, pretrained sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) models are proposed to handle this issue [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The success of these pretrained seq2seq models has largely been limited to the English language. From a societal, cultural, linguistic, cognitive and machine learning perspective [9], it is worth investigating pretrained seq2seq models for languages other than English. For other languages, one could employ existing pre-trained multilingual seq2seq models [10, 11, 12] or retrain language-specific models using the proposed seq2seq architectures [13, 14]. Note that retraining a language-specific model might be preferable as dedicated language-specific models still outperform multilingual ones [15].

Regarding Vietnamese, to the best of our knowledge, there is not an existing monolingual seq2seq model pre-trained for Vietnamese. In addition, another concern is that all publicly available pre-trained multilingual seq2seq models are not aware of the linguistic characteristic difference between Vietnamese syllables and word tokens. This comes from the fact that when written in Vietnamese, in addition to marking word boundaries, the white space is also used to separate syllables that constitute words.¹ For example, a 7-syllable written text "Chúng tôi là những nghiên cứu viên"_{We are researchers} forms a 4-word text "Chúng_tôi_{We} là_{are} những nghiên_cứu_viên_{reseacher}". Without applying a Vietnamese word segmenter, those pre-trained multilingual seq2seq models directly apply Byte-Pair encoding models [17, 18] to the syllable-level Vietnamese pre-training data. Therefore, it is worth investigating the influence of word segmentation on seq2seq pre-training for Vietnamese.

In this paper, we introduce BARTpho with two versions-BARTphosyllable and BARTphoword-the first large-scale monolingual seq2seq models pre-trained for Vietnamese, which are based on the seq2seq denoising autoencoder BART [4]. The difference between our two BARTpho versions is that they take different types of input texts: a syllable level for BARTpho_{syllable} vs. a word level for BARTphoword. We compare BARTpho with mBART [10]-a multilingual variant of BART-on a downstream task of Vietnamese text summarization, and we find that our BARTpho models outperform mBART in both automatic and human evaluations, and help produce a new SOTA performance, thus showing the effectiveness of large-scale monolingual seq2seq pre-training for Vietnamese. We also evaluate and compare BARTpho and mBART on the Vietnamese capitalization and punctuation restoration tasks and find that BARTpho helps produce better performance results than mBART. In all three evaluation tasks, we find that BARTphoword does better than BARTpho_{syllable}, showing the positive influence of Vietnamese word segmentation towards seq2seq pre-training.

We publicly release our BARTpho models at https://github.com/VinAIResearch/BARTpho, which can be used with popular libraries fairseq [19] and transformers [20]. We hope that our BARTpho can serve as a strong baseline for future research and applications of generative natural language processing (NLP) tasks for Vietnamese.

2. Related work

PhoBERT [15] is the first public large-scale monolingual language model pre-trained for Vietnamese, which helps obtain state-of-the-art performances on various downstream Vietnamese NLP/NLU tasks [21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. PhoBERT is pretrained on a 20GB word-level corpus of Vietnamese texts, using the RoBERTa pre-training approach [26] that optimizes BERT for more robust performance. Following PhoBERT, there are also public monolingual language models for Vietnamese such as viBERT and vELECTRA [27], which are based on BERT and ELECTRA pre-training approaches [1, 28] and pre-trained on syllable-level Vietnamese text corpora. Following Rothe et al. [29] who leverage pre-trained language model checkpoints for sequence generation tasks, Nguyen et al. [30] conduct an empirical study and show that PhoBERT helps produce better performance results than viBERT for a downstream task of Vietnamese abstractive summarization.

Our BARTpho is based on BART. We employ BART because it helps produce the strongest performances on downstream tasks in comparison to other pre-trained seq2seq models

¹Note that 85% of Vietnamese word types are composed of at least two syllables [16].

from transformers import AutoModel, AutoTokenizer

```
# BARTphosyllable
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained("vinai/bartpho-syllable")
bartpho_syllable = AutoModel.from_pretrained("vinai/bartpho-syllable")
input_text = 'Chúng tôi là nhũng nghiên cứu viên'
input_ids = tokenizer(input_text, return_tensors='pt')
features = bartpho_syllable(**input_ids)
# BARTphowond
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained("vinai/bartpho-word")
bartpho_word = AutoModel.from_pretrained("vinai/bartpho-word")
input_text = 'Chúng_tôi là nhũng nghiên_cứu_viên'
input_ids = tokenizer(input_text, return_tensors='pt')
features = bartpho_word(**input_ids)
```

Figure 1: An example code using BARTpho for feature extraction with transformers in Python. Here, a 7-syllable text sequence "Chúng tôi là những nghiên cứu viên" we are researchers forms a 4-word sequence "Chúng_tôiwe làare những nghiên_cứu_viênreseacher".

under a comparable setting in terms of the relatively equal numbers of model parameters and pre-training data sizes [4, 6, 7]. BART is also used to pre-train monolingual models for other languages such as French [13] and Chinese [14].

3. Our BARTpho

This section describes the architecture, the pre-training data and the optimization setup, that we use for BARTpho.

3.1. Architecture

Both BARTphosyllable and BARTphoword use the "large" architecture with 12 encoder and decoder layers and pre-training scheme of BART [4]. In particular, pre-training BART has two stages: (i) corrupting the input text with an arbitrary noising function, and (ii) learning to reconstruct the original text, i.e. optimizing the cross-entropy between its decoder's output and the original text. Here, BART uses the standard architecture Transformer [31], but employing the GeLU activation function [32] rather than ReLU and performing parameter initialization from $\mathcal{N}(0,$ 0.02). Following BART [4], we employ two types of noise in the noising function, including text infilling and sentence permutation. For text infilling, we sample a number of text spans with their lengths drawn from a Poisson distribution ($\lambda = 3.5$) and replace each span with a single special <mask> token. For sentence permutation, consecutive sentences are grouped to generate sentence blocks of 512 tokens, and sentences in each block are then shuffled in random order. Following mBART [10], we also add a layer-normalization layer on top of both the encoder and decoder.

3.2. Pre-training data

For BARTpho_{word}, we employ the PhoBERT pre-training corpus [15], that contains 20GB of uncompressed texts (about 145M automatically word-segmented sentences). In addition, we also reuse the PhoBERT's tokenizer that applies a vocabulary of 64K subword types and BPE [17] to segment those word-segmented sentences with subword units. BARTpho_{word} has about 420M parameters. Pre-training data for BARTpho_{syllable} is a detokenized variant of the PhoBERT pre-training corpus (i.e. about 4B syllable tokens). We employ the pre-trained SentencePiece model [18] from XLM-RoBERTa [33], used in mBART [10], to segment sentences with sub-syllable units and select a vocab-

ulary of the top 40K most frequent types. BARTpho_{syllable} has about 396M parameters.

3.3. Optimization

We utilize the BART implementation with the denoising task from fairseq [19]. We use Adam [34] for optimization, and use a batch size of 512 sequence blocks across 8 A100 GPUs (40GB each) and a peak learning rate of 0.0001. Note that we initialize parameter weights of BARTpho_{syllable} by those from mBART. For each BARTpho model, we run for 15 training epochs in about 6 days (here, the learning rate is warmed up for 1.5 epochs).

3.4. Usage example

Figure 1 presents a basic usage of our pre-trained BARTpho models for feature extraction with transformers to show its potential use for other downstream tasks.² More usage examples of BARTpho with both fairseq and transformers can be found at the BARTpho's GitHub repository.

4. Experiments

4.1. Text summarization

We evaluate and compare the performance of BARTpho with the strong baseline mBART on a downstream generative task of Vietnamese text summarization. Here, mBART is pre-trained on a Common Crawl dataset of 25 languages, which includes 137 GB of syllable-level Vietnamese texts.

4.1.1. Experimental setup

We employ the single-document summarization dataset VNDS [35], consisting of 150704 news articles each including a news abstract (i.e. gold summary) and body content (i.e. input text). In particular, 105418, 22642 and 22644 articles are used for training, validation and test, respectively. However, we find that there are duplicate articles in this dataset. Therefore, we filter the duplicates, resulting in 99134, 22184 and 22498 articles for

²https://huggingface.co/docs/transformers/ model_doc/bartpho

Table 1: Detokenized and case-sensitive ROUGE scores (in %) w.r.t. duplicate article removal. R-1, R-2 and R-L abbreviate ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2 and ROUGE-L, respectively. Every score difference between mBART and each BARTpho version is statistically significant with p-value < 0.05.

Model	Validation set			Test set				
	R-1	R-2	R-L	R-1	R-2	R-L	Human	
mBART	60.06	28.69	38.85	60.03	28.51	38.74	21/100	
BARTpho _{syllable}	60.29	29.07	<u>39.02</u>	<u>60.41</u>	29.20	39.22	<u>37/100</u>	
BARTphoword	60.55	29.89	39.73	60.51	29.65	39.75	42/100	

Table 2: ROUGE scores (in %) w.r.t. the original dataset setting (i.e. without duplicate article removal). [*] denotes the best performing model among different models experimented from [35], and [*] denotes scores reported in [30].

Model	Original validation set			Original test set		
WIUUCI	R-1	R-2	R-L	R-1	R-2	R-L
fastAbs [*]	_	_	_	54.52	23.01	37.64
viBERT2viBERT [*]	_	_	_	59.75	27.29	36.79
PhoBERT2PhoBERT [*]	_	_	_	60.37	29.12	39.44
mT5 [*]	_	_	_	58.05	26.76	37.38
mBART	60.39	29.19	39.18	60.35	29.13	39.21
BARTpho _{syllable}	<u>60.89</u>	<u>29.98</u>	<u>39.59</u>	<u>60.88</u>	<u>29.90</u>	<u>39.64</u>
BARTphoword	61.10	30.34	40.05	61.14	30.31	40.15

training, validation and test, respectively.³ When fine-tuning BARTpho_{syllable} and mBART, we use a detokenized version of the filtered dataset, while its automatically word-segmented version is used for fine-tuning BARTpho_{word}.

We formulate this task as a monolingual translation problem and fine-tune our BARTpho and the baseline mBART using the same hyper-parameter tuning strategy. We fix the maximum number of tokens in a batch at 4096. We use Adam and run for 20 training epochs. We also perform grid search to select the Adam initial learning rate from {1e-5, 2e-5, 3e-5, 5e-5}. We employ beam search with a beam size of 4 for decoding. We evaluate each model 4 times in every epoch. We select the model checkpoint that produces the highest ROUGE-L score [36] on the validation set, and we then apply the selected one to the test set. Note that we compute the detokenized and casesensitive ROUGE scores for all models (here, we detokenize the fine-tuned BARTpho_{word}'s output before computing the scores).

4.1.2. Main results

Table 1 presents our obtained ROUGE scores on the validation and test sets for the baseline mBART and our two BARTpho versions w.r.t. the setting of duplicate article removal. Clearly, both BARTpho versions achieve significantly better ROUGE scores than mBART on both validation and test sets.

We also conduct a human-based manual comparison between the outputs produced by the baseline mBART and our two BARTpho versions. In particular, we randomly sample 100 input text examples from the test set; and for each input example, we anonymously shuffle the summary outputs from three fine-tuned models (here, each input sampled example satisfies that any two out of three summary outputs are not exactly the same). We then ask two external Vietnamese annotators to choose which summary they think is the best. We obtain a Cohen's kappa coefficient at 0.61 for the inter-annotator agreement between the two annotators. Our second co-author then hosts and participates in a discussion session with the two annotators to resolve annotation conflicts (here, he does not know which model produces which summary). Table 1 shows final scores where our BARTpho obtains a better human evaluation result than mBART.

For comparison with previously published results [35, 30], we also fine-tune our BARTpho models and baseline mBART on the original training set (i.e. without duplicate article removal),⁴ using the same hyper-parameter tuning strategy as presented in Section 4.1.1. We report ROUGE scores on the original test set in Table 2. The previous best model from experiments in [35, 30] is PhoBERT2PhoBERT with a ROUGE-L score at 39.44. This score is 0.2 and 0.7 points lower than those of BARTpho_{syllable} and BARTpho_{word}, respectively. Tables 1 and 2 show that BARTpho helps attain a new SOTA performance for this task.

Our automatic and human evaluation results from tables 1 and 2 demonstrate the effectiveness of large-scale BARTbased monolingual seq2seq models for Vietnamese. Note that mBART uses $137/20 \approx 7$ times bigger Vietnamese pre-training data than BARTpho. In addition, the multilingual seq2seq mT5 [11] is pre-trained on the multilingual dataset mC4 that includes 79M Common Crawl Vietnamese pages consisting of 116B syllable tokens, i.e. mT5 uses 116/4 = 29 times bigger Vietnamese pre-training data than BARTpho. However, BARTpho surpasses both mBART and mT5, reconfirming that the dedicated language-specific model still performs better than the multilingual one [15]. Tables 1 and 2 also show that BARTpho_{word} outperforms BARTpho_{syllable}, thus demonstrating the positive influence of word segmentation for seq2seq pre-training and finetuning in Vietnamese.

³Firstly, we remove duplicates inside each of the training, validation and test sets. Secondly, if an article appears in both training and validation/test sets, then the article is filtered out of the training set. Lastly, if an article appears in both validation and test sets, then the article is filtered out of the validation set.

⁴This is not a proper experimental setup because of data leakage, e.g. 1466 training articles appear in the test set.

Table 3: Capitalization and punctuation restoration F_1 scores (in %) on the test set. Due to the space limit, we do not include scores on the validation set. Note that we also observe similar findings on the validation set.

Model	Capitalization	Punctuation restoration					
	Capitalization	Comma	Period	Question	Overall		
mBART	91.28	67.26	92.19	85.71	78.71		
BARTpho _{syllable}	<u>91.98</u>	<u>67.95</u>	91.79	88.15	<u>79.09</u>		
BARTphoword	92.41	68.39	<u>92.05</u>	<u>87.82</u>	79.29		

4.2. Capitalization and punctuation restoration

Most Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) systems generate text transcripts without information about capitalization and punctuation, which limits the readability of the transcripts. In addition, using these lowercasing and non-punctuation types of ASR transcripts as input to downstream task models, e.g. named entity recognition, machine translation and the like, might also cause performance degradation [37] because the downstream task models are usually trained on well-formatted text datasets. Thus, capitalization and punctuation restoration are important steps in ASR transcript post-processing. An example enriching ASR transcripts with capitalization and punctuation restoration is as follows:

A transcript

chuỗi nhà hàng này gần đây đã phải đóng cửa một loạt các chi nhánh theo sở kế hoạch và đầu tư hà nội và thành phố hồ chí minh golden gate đã đóng cửa bảy chi nhánh vào cuối năm 2015

The transcript enriched with capitalization and punctuation restoration & its English translation

Chuỗi nhà hàng này gần đây đã phải đóng cửa một loạt các chi nhánh. Theo Sở Kế hoạch và Đầu tư Hà Nội và Thành phố Hồ Chí Minh, Golden Gate đã đóng cửa bảy chi nhánh vào cuối năm 2015.

The chain has recently had to shut down a series of branches. According to the Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City Planning and Investment Departments, the Golden Gate closed seven branches by the end of 2015.

Capitalization and punctuation restoration models generally fall into two main categories of approaches: sequence tagging [38, 39, 40] and sequence-to-sequence [41, 42]. In this investigation, we follow the sequence-to-sequence approach to evaluate and compare our BARTpho and mBART on the Vietnamese capitalization and punctuation restoration tasks. The models take lowercase, unpunctuated texts as input and produce true case, punctuated texts as output.

4.2.1. Experimental setup

Due to the lack of benchmark datasets for Vietnamese capitalization and punctuation restoration, we generate a dataset automatically by leveraging the PhoST dataset [43] that contains 327370, 1933, and 1976 Vietnamese examples for training, validation and test, respectively. We convert those examples into a lowercase form and remove all punctuations to simulate the ASR transcript output. Here, the standard formats for numbers and currencies are retained. Following previous work [38, 41], we only consider three types of punctuation marks, which are Comma (includes commas, colons, and dashes), Period (includes full stops, exclamation marks, and semicolons), and Question (only question mark).

We use the same fine-tuning procedure that we use for the summarization task as presented in Section 4.1.1. Here, for fine-tuning BARTpho_{word}, we perform an automatic Vietnamese word segmentation on the data using RDRSegmenter [44] from the VnCoreNLP toolkit [45]. We detokenize the fine-tuned BARTpho_{word}'s output before computing scores. Note that we select the model checkpoint that produces the lowest loss on the validation set and we apply the selected one to the test set.

4.2.2. Main results

Table 3 presents the results obtained by our BARTpho and mBART on the capitalization task. We find that our BARTpho performs better than mBART. In particular, BARTpho_{word} and BARTpho_{syllable} obtain 1.1% and 0.7% absolute higher F_1 scores than mBART, respectively.

Table 3 also shows the obtained results of our BARTpho and mBART on the punctuation restoration task. Both BARTpho versions outperform mBART on the Comma and Question types, and the performance gap is substantial w.r.t. the latter mark. Furthermore, mBART does better than BARTpho on the Period mark, however, the performance gaps are small, i.e. mBART produces 0.14% and 0.4% higher scores than BARTpho_{word} and BARTpho_{syllable}, respectively. Overall, our BARTpho still outperforms mBART, where BARTpho_{word} obtains the highest Overall F_1 score.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented BARTpho_{syllable} and BARTpho_{word}—the first pre-trained and large-scale monolingual seq2seq models for Vietnamese. We demonstrate the usefulness of our BARTpho by showing that BARTpho performs better than its competitor mBART and helps produce the SOTA performance for the downstream generative task of Vietnamese text summarization. We also show that BARTpho is more effective than mBART on the Vietnamese capitalization and punctuation restoration tasks. We hope that our public BARTpho models can foster future research and applications of generative Vietnamese NLP tasks.

6. References

- J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pretraining of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," in *NAACL*, 2019.
- [2] A. Wang and K. Cho, "BERT has a Mouth, and It Must Speak: BERT as a Markov Random Field Language Model," *arXiv* preprint, vol. arXiv:1902.04094, 2019.
- [3] L. Dong, N. Yang, W. Wang, F. Wei, X. Liu, Y. Wang, J. Gao, M. Zhou, and H.-W. Hon, "Unified Language Model Pre-

training for Natural Language Understanding and Generation," in *NeurIPS*, vol. 32, 2019.

- [4] M. Lewis, Y. Liu, N. Goyal, M. Ghazvininejad, A. Mohamed, O. Levy, V. Stoyanov, and L. Zettlemoyer, "BART: Denoising Sequence-to-Sequence Pre-training for Natural Language Generation, Translation, and Comprehension," in ACL, 2020.
- [5] J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, M. Saleh, and P. Liu, "PEGASUS: Pre-training with extracted gap-sentences for abstractive summarization," in *ICML*, 2020.
- [6] C. Raffel, N. Shazeer, A. Roberts, K. Lee, S. Narang, M. Matena, Y. Zhou, W. Li, and P. J. Liu, "Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 21, no. 140, 2020.
- [7] W. Qi, Y. Yan, Y. Gong, D. Liu, N. Duan, J. Chen, R. Zhang, and M. Zhou, "ProphetNet: Predicting Future N-gram for Sequenceto-SequencePre-training," in *Findings of EMNLP*, 2020.
- [8] L. Xue, A. Barua, N. Constant, R. Al-Rfou, S. Narang, M. Kale, A. Roberts, and C. Raffel, "ByT5: Towards a token-free future with pre-trained byte-to-byte models," *arXiv preprint*, vol. arXiv:2105.13626, 2021.
- [9] S. Ruder, "Why You Should Do NLP Beyond English," https://ruder.io/nlp-beyond-english/, 2020.
- [10] Y. Liu, J. Gu, N. Goyal, X. Li, S. Edunov, M. Ghazvininejad, M. Lewis, and L. Zettlemoyer, "Multilingual Denoising Pretraining for Neural Machine Translation," *Transactions of the ACL*, vol. 8, 2020.
- [11] L. Xue, N. Constant, A. Roberts, M. Kale, R. Al-Rfou, A. Siddhant, A. Barua, and C. Raffel, "mT5: A Massively Multilingual Pre-trained Text-to-Text Transformer," in *NAACL*, 2021.
- [12] W. Qi, Y. Gong, Y. Yan, C. Xu, B. Yao, B. Zhou, B. Cheng, D. Jiang, J. Chen, R. Zhang, H. Li, and N. Duan, "ProphetNet-X: Large-Scale Pre-training Models for English, Chinese, Multilingual, Dialog, and Code Generation," in ACL: System Demonstrations, 2021.
- [13] M. K. Eddine, A. J.-P. Tixier, and M. Vazirgiannis, "BARThez: a Skilled Pretrained French Sequence-to-Sequence Model," *arXiv* preprint, vol. arXiv:2010.12321, 2020.
- [14] Y. Shao, Z. Geng, Y. Liu, J. Dai, F. Yang, L. Zhe, H. Bao, and X. Qiu, "CPT: A Pre-Trained Unbalanced Transformer for Both Chinese Language Understanding and Generation," *arXiv* preprint, vol. arXiv:2109.05729, 2021.
- [15] D. Q. Nguyen and A. T. Nguyen, "PhoBERT: Pre-trained language models for Vietnamese," in *Findings of EMNLP*, 2020.
- [16] D. Q. Thang, L. H. Phuong, N. T. M. Huyen, N. C. Tu, M. Rossignol, and V. X. Luong, "Word segmentation of Vietnamese texts: a comparison of approaches," in *LREC*, 2008.
- [17] R. Sennrich, B. Haddow, and A. Birch, "Neural Machine Translation of Rare Words with Subword Units," in ACL, 2016.
- [18] T. Kudo and J. Richardson, "SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for Neural Text Processing," in *EMNLP: System Demonstrations*, 2018.
- [19] M. Ott, S. Edunov, A. Baevski, A. Fan, S. Gross, N. Ng, D. Grangier, and M. Auli, "fairseq: A Fast, Extensible Toolkit for Sequence Modeling," in NAACL-HLT 2019: Demonstrations, 2019.
- [20] T. Wolf, L. Debut *et al.*, "Transformers: State-of-the-Art Natural Language Processing," in *EMNLP 2020: System Demonstrations*, 2020.
- [21] T. H. Truong, M. H. Dao, and D. Q. Nguyen, "COVID-19 Named Entity Recognition for Vietnamese," in NAACL-HLT, 2021.
- [22] L. T. Nguyen and D. Q. Nguyen, "PhoNLP: A joint multi-task learning model for Vietnamese part-of-speech tagging, named entity recognition and dependency parsing," in *NAACL: Demonstrations*, 2021.
- [23] M. H. Dao, T. H. Truong, and D. Q. Nguyen, "Intent Detection and Slot Filling for Vietnamese," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2021.

- [24] D. V. Thin, L. S. Le, V. X. Hoang, and N. L.-T. Nguyen, "Investigating Monolingual and Multilingual BERT Models for Vietnamese Aspect Category Detection," *arXiv preprint*, vol. arXiv:2103.09519, 2021.
- [25] A. T. Nguyen, M. H. Dao, and D. Q. Nguyen, "A Pilot Study of Text-to-SQL Semantic Parsing for Vietnamese," in *Findings of EMNLP*, 2020.
- [26] Y. Liu, M. Ott, N. Goyal, J. Du, M. Joshi, D. Chen, O. Levy, M. Lewis, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, "RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach," *arXiv preprint*, vol. arXiv:1907.11692, 2019.
- [27] T. V. Bui, T. O. Tran, and P. Le-Hong, "Improving Sequence Tagging for Vietnamese Text using Transformer-based Neural Models," in *PACLIC*, 2020.
- [28] K. Clark, M.-T. Luong, Q. V. Le, and C. D. Manning, "ELEC-TRA: Pre-training text encoders as discriminators rather than generators," in *ICLR*, 2020.
- [29] S. Rothe, S. Narayan, and A. Severyn, "Leveraging Pre-trained Checkpoints for Sequence Generation Tasks," *Transactions of the* ACL, vol. 8, 2020.
- [30] H. Nguyen, L. Phan, J. Anibal, A. Peltekian, and H. Tran, "VieSum: How Robust Are Transformer-based Models on Vietnamese Summarization?" arXiv preprint, vol. arXiv:2110.04257v1, 2021.
- [31] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. u. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is All you Need," in *NIPS*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [32] D. Hendrycks and K. Gimpel, "Gaussian Error Linear Units (GELUs)," arXiv preprint, vol. arXiv:1606.08415, 2016.
- [33] A. Conneau, K. Khandelwal, N. Goyal, V. Chaudhary, G. Wenzek, F. Guzmán, E. Grave, M. Ott, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, "Unsupervised Cross-lingual Representation Learning at Scale," in ACL, 2020.
- [34] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization," in *ICLR*, 2015.
- [35] V.-H. Nguyen, T.-C. Nguyen, M.-T. Nguyen, and N. X. Hoai, "VNDS: A Vietnamese Dataset for Summarization," in *NICS*, 2019.
- [36] C.-Y. Lin, "ROUGE: A Package for Automatic Evaluation of Summaries," in *Text Summarization Branches Out*, 2004.
- [37] M. Ákos Tündik, G. Szaszák, G. Gosztolya, and A. Beke, "Usercentric Evaluation of Automatic Punctuation in ASR Closed Captioning," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2018.
- [38] T. B. Nguyen, Q. M. Nguyen, H. N. T. Thu, Q. T. Do, and L. C. Mai, "Improving Vietnamese Named Entity Recognition from Speech Using Word Capitalization and Punctuation Recovery Models," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2020.
- [39] Q. Huang, T. Ko, H. L. Tang, X. Liu, and B. Wu, "Token-Level Supervised Contrastive Learning for Punctuation Restoration," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2021.
- [40] N. Shi, W. Wang, B. Wang, J. Li, X. Liu, and Z. Lin, "Incorporating External POS Tagger for Punctuation Restoration," in *INTER-SPEECH*, 2021.
- [41] T. T. H. NGUYEN et al., "Toward Human-Friendly ASR Systems: Recovering Capitalization and Punctuation for Vietnamese Text," *IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems*, no. 8, 2021.
- [42] B. Nguyen, V. Nguyen, H. Nguyen, P. Pham, T. L. Nguyen, T. Do, and C. Luong, "Fast and Accurate Capitalization and Punctuation for Automatic Speech Recognition Using Transformer and Chunk Merging," in O-COCOSDA, 2019.
- [43] L. T. Nguyen, N. L. Tran, L. Doan, M. Luong, and D. Q. Nguyen, "A High-Quality and Large-Scale Dataset for English-Vietnamese Speech Translation," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2022, p. to appear.
- [44] D. Q. Nguyen, D. Q. Nguyen, T. Vu, M. Dras, and M. Johnson, "A Fast and Accurate Vietnamese Word Segmenter," in *LREC*, 2018.
- [45] T. Vu, D. Q. Nguyen, D. Q. Nguyen, M. Dras, and M. Johnson, "VnCoreNLP: A Vietnamese Natural Language Processing Toolkit," in NAACL (Demonstrations), 2018.