

## BASIC CHARACTERS OF THE UNITRIANGULAR GROUP (FOR ARBITRARY PRIMES)

CARLOS A. M. ANDRÉ

(Communicated by Stephen D. Smith)

ABSTRACT. Let  $U_n(q)$  denote the (upper) unitriangular group of degree  $n$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements. In this paper we consider the basic (complex) characters of  $U_n(q)$  and we prove that every irreducible (complex) character of  $U_n(q)$  is a constituent of a unique basic character. This result extends a previous result which was proved by the author under the assumption  $p \geq n$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime number, let  $q = p^e$  ( $e \geq 1$ ) be a power of  $p$  and let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote the finite field with  $q$  elements. Throughout this paper,  $U_n(q)$  will denote the unitriangular group of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This group consists of all unipotent uppertriangular  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We clearly have

$$U_n(q) = 1 + \mathfrak{u}_n(q) = \{1 + a : a \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)\}$$

where  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -space consisting of all nilpotent uppertriangular  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Since  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  is the Jacobson radical of the finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q \cdot 1 + \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ , the  $p$ -group  $U_n(q)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group (in the sense of [10]; see also [8]). Moreover, let  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  denote the dual  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -space of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ .

For simplicity, we write  $\Phi(n) = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  and we refer to an element of  $\Phi(n)$  as a *root* (this abbreviates the standard expression “positive root”). For any root  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$ , let  $e_{ij}$  be the  $(i, j)$ -th root vector of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ ; by definition,  $e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $e_{ij} = (\delta_{ai}\delta_{bj})_{1 \leq a, b \leq n}$  where  $\delta$  denotes the usual Kronecker symbol. Then  $(e_{ij} : (i, j) \in \Phi(n))$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ . On the other hand, for each root  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$ , let  $e_{ij}^* \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  be defined by  $e_{ij}^*(a) = a_{ij}$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  (for an arbitrary matrix  $x$ , we will denote by  $x_{ij}$  the  $(i, j)$ -th coefficient of  $x$ ). Then  $(e_{ij}^* : (i, j) \in \Phi(n))$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$ , dual to the basis  $(e_{ij} : (i, j) \in \Phi(n))$  of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ .

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an arbitrary non-trivial (complex) character of the additive group  $\mathbb{F}_q^+$  of the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (this character will be kept fixed throughout the paper). For any element  $f \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$ , let  $\psi_f : \mathfrak{u}_n(q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function defined by  $\psi_f(a) = \psi(f(a))$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ ; it is clear that this function is a (linear) character of the additive group  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^+$  of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  and that the mapping  $f \mapsto \psi_f$  defines a one-to-one

---

Received by the editors July 18, 2000 and, in revised form, February 5, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20C15; Secondary 20G40.

*Key words and phrases*. Unitriangular group, irreducible character, basic character, coadjoint orbit, basic subvariety.

correspondence between  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  and the set of all irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^+$ . (Throughout the article, all characters are taken over the complex field.)

The group  $U_n(q)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  via the *coadjoint representation*; by definition, for any  $x \in U_n(q)$  and any  $f \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$ , the (linear) map  $x \cdot f \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  is defined by  $(x \cdot f)(a) = f(x^{-1}ax)$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ . Let  $\Omega_n(q)$  denote the set of all  $U_n(q)$ -orbits of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  and let  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(q)$  be arbitrary. We claim that the cardinality  $|\mathcal{O}|$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  is a power of  $q^2$ . To see this, we consider an arbitrary finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra  $A$  (with an identity element), we let  $J = J(A)$  be the Jacobson radical of  $A$  and we consider the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group  $G = 1 + J$  which is associated with  $J$  (see [10]; see also [8]). Moreover, let  $J^* = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(J, \mathbb{F}_q)$  be the dual  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -space of  $J$  and, for any  $f \in J^*$ , let  $\psi_f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the map defined by  $\psi_f(a) = \psi(f(a))$  for all  $a \in J$ . As in the case where  $G = U_n(q)$ , the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group  $G = 1 + J$  acts on  $J^*$  via the *coadjoint representation*:  $(x \cdot f)(a) = f(x^{-1}ax)$  for all  $x \in G$ , all  $f \in J^*$  and all  $a \in J$ . Let  $f \in J^*$  be arbitrary and define  $B_f: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  by  $B_f(a, b) = f([a, b])$  for all  $a, b \in J$  (here  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the standard Lie bracket operation). Then  $B_f$  is a skew-symmetric  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -bilinear form. Let

$$R_f = \{a \in J: f([a, b]) = 0 \text{ for all } b \in J\}$$

be the radical of  $B_f$ . Then  $|J : R_f| = q^m$  where  $m = \dim J - \dim R_f$  is even. We have the following result (see [5, Proposition 2.1]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f \in J^*$  be arbitrary and let  $C_G(f)$  be the centralizer of  $f$  in  $G$ . Then  $C_G(f) = 1 + R_f$  (hence,  $R_f$  is a multiplicatively closed  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $J$ ). In particular, if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq J^*$  is the  $G$ -orbit which contains  $f$ , then  $|\mathcal{O}| = |J : R_f|$  is a power of  $q^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in G$  be arbitrary. Then  $x \in C_G(f)$  if and only if  $f(x^{-1}bx) = f(b)$  for all  $b \in J$ . Hence  $x \in C_G(f)$  if and only if  $f(bx) = f(xb)$  for all  $b \in J$ . Let  $a = x - 1 \in J$ . Then it is clear that  $f(xb) - f(bx) = f([a, b])$  for all  $b \in J$ , hence  $x \in C_G(f)$  if and only if  $a \in R_f$ . Thus,  $C_G(f) = 1 + R_f$  and so  $|\mathcal{O}| = |G : C_G(f)| = |J : R_f|$  is a power of  $q^2$ . □

With the notation as above, let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq J^*$  be an arbitrary  $G$ -orbit and let  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function defined by

$$(1) \quad \phi_{\mathcal{O}}(1 + a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{O}} \psi_f(a)$$

for all  $a \in J$ . It is clear that  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a class function of  $G$  and that  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(1) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}$ . We have the following result (see [5, Proposition 2.2]).

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Omega(G)$  be the set of all  $G$ -orbits on  $J^*$ . Then  $\{\phi_{\mathcal{O}}: \mathcal{O} \in \Omega(G)\}$  is an orthonormal basis for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -space  $\text{cf}(G)$  consisting of all class functions on  $G$ . In particular, we have  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \phi_{\mathcal{O}'} \rangle_G = \delta_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'}$  for all  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \Omega_n(q)$ . (For any finite group  $G$ , we will denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$  the Frobenius scalar product between class functions defined on  $G$ .)*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \Omega(G)$  be arbitrary. Then, since  $|G| = |J|$ , we easily deduce that

$$\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \phi_{\mathcal{O}'} \rangle_G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}'|}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{f' \in \mathcal{O}'} \langle \psi_f, \psi_{f'} \rangle_{J^+}$$

where  $J^+$  denotes the (abelian) additive group of  $J$ . Now, the mapping  $f \mapsto \psi_f$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $J^*$  and the set of all irreducible

characters of  $J^+$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \phi_{\mathcal{O}'} \rangle_G = \delta_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'}$  as required. To conclude the proof, we claim that  $|\Omega(G)|$  equals the class number  $k_G$  of  $G$  (we recall that  $k_G = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{cf}(G)$ ; see, for example, [9, Corollary 2.7 and Theorem 2.8]). First, we observe that  $k_G$  is the number of  $G$ -orbits on  $J$  for the *adjoint action*:  $x \cdot a = xax^{-1}$  for all  $x \in G$  and all  $a \in J$ . Let  $\theta$  be the permutation character of  $G$  on  $J$  (see [9] for the definition). Then, by [9, Corollary 5.15],  $k_G = \langle \theta, 1_G \rangle_G$ . Moreover, by definition, we have  $\theta(x) = |\{a \in J : x \cdot a = a\}|$  for all  $x \in G$ . On the other hand, let  $\text{Irr}(J^+)$  denote the set consisting of all irreducible characters of  $J^+$  and consider the action of  $G$  on  $\text{Irr}(J^+)$  given by  $x \cdot \psi_f = \psi_{x \cdot f}$  for all  $x \in G$  and all  $f \in J^*$ . We clearly have  $(x \cdot \psi_f)(x \cdot a) = \psi_f(a)$  for all  $x \in G$ , all  $f \in J^*$  and all  $a \in J$ . It follows from Brauer's Theorem (see [9, Theorem 6.32]) that  $\theta(x) = |\{f \in J^* : x \cdot \psi_f = \psi_f\}|$  for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore,  $\theta$  is also the permutation character of  $G$  on  $\text{Irr}(J^+)$  and so  $\langle \theta, 1_G \rangle_G = |\Omega(G)|$ . The claim follows and the proof is complete.  $\square$

In general, the class functions  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ , for  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(G)$ , are not characters (see [11]). However, in the case where  $G = U_n(q)$ , there are some (important) examples where they are, in fact, (irreducible) characters of  $U_n(q)$ . A particular (and very special) family consists of the *elementary characters* of  $U_n(q)$  which are defined as follows (see [1] for an equivalent definition in the case where  $p \geq n$ ). Let  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$  be any root and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  be any non-zero element. (Throughout the paper, we will denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q^\#$  the subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  consisting of all non-zero elements.) Let  $\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha) \in \Omega_n(q)$  be the  $U_n(q)$ -orbit which contains the element  $\alpha e_{ij}^* \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  and let  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)$  denote the class function  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)}$  which corresponds to  $\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)$ . We shall see that this class function is, in fact, a character (hence, an irreducible character) of  $U_n(q)$  and this will follow once we prove that  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)$  is induced from a character (in fact, from a linear character) of a certain subgroup of  $U_n(q)$ . We start by proving an auxiliary general result (see Proposition 2 below).

Let  $A, J = J(A)$  and  $G = 1 + J$  be as before. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and suppose that there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace  $U$  of  $J$  such that  $H = 1 + U$ ; following [10], we refer to such a subgroup as an *algebra subgroup* of  $G$ . Then  $U$  is multiplicatively closed (because  $H$  is a subgroup) and, in fact,  $U$  is the Jacobson radical of the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q \cdot 1 + U$ . Thus,  $H$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group and so the set  $\Omega(H)$  of coadjoint  $H$ -orbits and the class functions  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}$ , for  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega(H)$ , are defined as in the case of  $G$ . Let  $\pi: J^* \rightarrow U^*$  be the natural projection; by definition, for any  $f \in J^*$ ,  $\pi(f) \in U^*$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $U$ . Then, for each  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(G)$ , the image  $\pi(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq U^*$  is clearly  $H$ -invariant, hence it is a disjoint union of  $H$ -orbits; we will denote by  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$  the set of all  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega(H)$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_0 \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{O})$ . We have the following result (a more detailed discussion can be found in the expository paper [5]).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $G$  be an arbitrary (finite)  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group and let  $H$  be an algebra subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(G)$  and let  $\phi$  denote the class function  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}} \in \text{cf}(G)$ . Then*

$$(2) \quad \phi_H = \sum_{\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)} n_{\mathcal{O}_0} \phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}$$

where, for each  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$ , the multiplicity  $n_{\mathcal{O}_0} = \langle \phi_H, \phi_{\mathcal{O}_0} \rangle_H$  is a positive integer.

*Proof.* By Proposition 1, we know that  $\phi_H$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the class functions  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}$  for  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega(H)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega(H)$  be arbitrary and let  $\phi_0 = \phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}$ . Then

from the definitions it is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_H, \phi_0 \rangle_H &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_0|}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_0} \langle \psi_{\pi(f)}, \psi_{f_0} \rangle_{U^+} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_0|}} \sum_{f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_0} |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)| \end{aligned}$$

where  $U, J$  and  $\pi: J^* \rightarrow U^*$  are as above. Let  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_0$  be arbitrary. Then, since  $\pi^{-1}(x \cdot f_0) = x \cdot \pi^{-1}(f_0)$  for all  $x \in H$ , we conclude that

$$(3) \quad \langle \phi_H, \phi_0 \rangle_H = \frac{\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_0|} |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)|}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}}.$$

It follows that  $\langle \phi_H, \phi_0 \rangle_H \neq 0$  if and only if  $f_0 \in \pi(\mathcal{O})$  and, since  $\pi(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq U^*$  is  $H$ -invariant, this is equivalent to saying that  $\mathcal{O}_0 \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{O})$ . Therefore, we obtain the linear combination (2) with non-zero rational coefficients. In order to prove that these coefficients are integers, we proceed by induction on  $|G : H|$ .

First, let us assume that  $|G : H| = q$  (we note that  $|G : H| = |J : U|$  is always a power of  $q$ ). In this case,  $U$  is a maximal  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $J$  (in fact,  $\dim U = \dim J - 1$ ) and we have  $J^2 \subseteq U$  (otherwise,  $U + J^2 = J$  and this implies that  $U = J$ ; see [10, Lemma 3.1]). It follows that  $U$  is an ideal of  $J$  and so  $H = 1 + U$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore, all the  $H$ -orbits in  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$  have equal cardinality and, since  $|G : H| = q$ , we must have  $|\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)| \leq q$ . Moreover,  $G$  acts transitively on  $\pi(\mathcal{O})$  and so, given any  $f_0 \in \pi(\mathcal{O})$ , we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(x \cdot f_0)| = |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)|$$

for all  $x \in G$  (because  $\pi^{-1}(x \cdot f_0) = x \cdot \pi^{-1}(f_0)$  for all  $x \in G$ ). Let  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$  and  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_0$  be arbitrary. Then, by (2) and (3), we deduce that  $\sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}\phi(1) = |\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)| |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_0|}\phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}(1)$  and so

$$|\mathcal{O}| = |\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)| |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)| |\mathcal{O}_0|$$

(because  $\phi(1) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{O}|}$  and  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}(1) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_0|}$ ). Since  $|\mathcal{O}|$  and  $|\mathcal{O}_0|$  are powers of  $q^2$  and since  $|\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)| \leq q$ , we conclude that either  $|\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)| = |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)| = 1$  (hence,  $|\mathcal{O}| = |\mathcal{O}_0|$ ) or  $|\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)| = |\mathcal{O} \cap \pi^{-1}(f_0)| = q$  (hence,  $|\mathcal{O}| = q^2|\mathcal{O}_0|$ ). In both cases, (3) implies that  $\langle \phi_H, \phi_{\mathcal{O}_0} \rangle_H = 1$  and this completes the proof in the case where  $|G : H| = q$ .

Now, assume that  $|G : H| > q$  and let  $V$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $J$  containing  $U$  and such that  $\dim J = \dim V + 1$ . Then we have  $J^2 \subseteq V$  (by [10, Lemma 3.1]) and so  $V$  is multiplicatively closed. Therefore,  $K = 1 + V$  is an algebra subgroup of  $G$  and  $|G : K| = |J : V| = q$ . By the first step of the induction, we know that  $\phi_K = \phi_{\mathcal{O}_1} + \dots + \phi_{\mathcal{O}_k}$  where either  $k = 1$  or  $k = q$ , and where  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$  are all the distinct  $K$ -orbits in  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(K)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$  be arbitrary and let  $\phi_0 = \phi_{\mathcal{O}_0}$ . Then  $\langle \phi_H, \phi_0 \rangle_H = \langle (\phi_{\mathcal{O}_1})_H, \phi_0 \rangle_H + \dots + \langle (\phi_{\mathcal{O}_k})_H, \phi_0 \rangle_H$  and the result follows immediately (by induction, because  $|K : H| = q^{-1}|G : H| < |G : H|$ ).  $\square$

Now, we can prove the following result. (A different approach, using Clifford's theory (see, for example, [7, Theorems 11.5 and 11.8]), can be found in the paper [12]).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$  and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ . Then the class function  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)$  is an irreducible character of  $U_n(q)$ . Moreover, let  $U_{ij}(q)$  be the subgroup of  $U_n(q)$*

consisting of all matrices  $x \in U_n(q)$  which satisfy  $x_{ik} = 0$  for all  $i < k < j$ , and let  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha) : U_{ij}(q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function defined by  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha)(x) = \psi(\alpha x_{ij})$  for all  $x \in U_{ij}(q)$ . Then  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha)$  is a linear character of  $U_{ij}(q)$  and  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha) = \lambda_{ij}(\alpha)^{U_n(q)}$  is induced by this linear character.

*Proof.* By Proposition 1, we know that  $\langle \xi_{ij}(\alpha), \xi_{ij}(\alpha) \rangle_{U_n(q)} = 1$ . Therefore, to prove that  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)$  is an irreducible character of  $U_n(q)$  it is enough to show that it is, in fact, a character (we note that  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)(1) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)|}$  is a positive integer) and this will follow by the second part of the lemma. The first assertion is clear: since  $\psi$  is a linear character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^+$ , the function  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha) : U_{ij}(q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\#$  is a homomorphism of (multiplicative) groups. For the second assertion, by Proposition 1, we know that  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha)^{U_n(q)}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the class functions  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  for  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(q)$ ; and, by Proposition 2 and Frobenius reciprocity, we know that the coefficients of this linear combination are non-negative integers. Now, let  $\mathfrak{u}_{ij}(q)$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  consisting of all matrices  $x - 1$  with  $x \in U_{ij}(q)$  (hence,  $U_{ij}(q) = 1 + \mathfrak{u}_{ij}(q)$ ), let  $f = \alpha e_{ij}^* \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^*$  and let  $f_0 \in \mathfrak{u}_{ij}(q)^*$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $\mathfrak{u}_{ij}(q)$ . Then, since  $f(ab) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in \mathfrak{u}_{ij}(q)$ ,  $\{f_0\}$  is a single  $U_{ij}(q)$ -orbit on  $\mathfrak{u}_{ij}(q)^*$ . Moreover, by definition,  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha)$  is the class function which corresponds to this  $U_{ij}(q)$ -orbit (in the sense of (1)). Since  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{ij}(q)$  and since  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha) = \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)}$ , we conclude that

$$\langle \lambda_{ij}(\alpha)^{U_n(q)}, \xi_{ij}(\alpha) \rangle_{U_n(q)} = \langle \lambda_{ij}(\alpha), \xi_{ij}(\alpha) \rangle_{U_{ij}(q)} \neq 0$$

(by Frobenius reciprocity and Proposition 2). Finally, in order to conclude the proof, it is enough to show that  $|\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)| = q^{2(j-i-1)}$  (because  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha)^{U_n(q)}(1) = |U_n(q) : U_{ij}(q)| = q^{j-i-1}$ , because  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)(1) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)|}$  and because  $\lambda_{ij}(\alpha)^{U_n(q)}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination with non-negative coefficients of the class functions  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ , for  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(q)$ ). However, it is easy to see that the centralizer  $C_{U_n(q)}(f)$  of  $f$  in  $U_n(q)$  consists of all matrices  $x \in U_n(q)$  which satisfy  $x_{ik} = x_{kj} = 0$  for all  $i < k < j$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha)| = |U_n(q) : C_{U_n(q)}(f)| = q^{2(j-i-1)}$ , as required.  $\square$

In the notation of the previous lemma, we will refer to the irreducible character  $\xi_{ij}(\alpha)$  of  $U_n(q)$  as the  $(i, j)$ -th elementary character of  $U_n(q)$  associated with  $\alpha$ .

We are now able to define the basic characters of  $U_n(q)$ . To start with, a subset  $D \subseteq \Phi(n)$  is called a basic subset if  $|D \cap \{(i, j) : i < j \leq n\}| \leq 1$  for all  $1 \leq i < n$ , and if  $|D \cap \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j\}| \leq 1$  for all  $1 < j \leq n$ . In particular, the empty set is a basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$ . Given an arbitrary non-empty basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and an arbitrary map  $\varphi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ , we define the basic character  $\xi_D(\varphi)$  of  $U_n(q)$  to be the product (of elementary characters)

$$(4) \quad \xi_D(\varphi) = \prod_{(i,j) \in D} \xi_{ij}(\alpha_{ij})$$

where  $\alpha_{ij} = \varphi(i, j)$  for  $(i, j) \in D$ . For our purposes, it is convenient to consider the trivial character  $1_{U_n(q)}$  of  $U_n(q)$  as the basic character  $\xi_D(\varphi)$  corresponding to the empty subset of  $\Phi(n)$  and to the empty function  $\varphi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ .

The main goal of this paper is to extend [1, Theorem 1] to all prime numbers  $p$ . In fact, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $U_n(q)$ . Then  $\chi$  is a constituent of a unique basic character of  $U_n(q)$ ; in other words, there exists a unique basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and a unique map  $\varphi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  such that  $\chi$  is a constituent of  $\xi_D(\varphi)$ .*

The proof of this theorem splits into two parts. First, we prove that the basic characters of  $U_n(q)$  are pairwise orthogonal (see [1, Proposition 2] for the case where  $p \geq n$ ) and, secondly, we obtain a decomposition of the regular character  $\rho$  of  $U_n(q)$  as a sum of basic characters (see [3, Theorem 1] and [4, Corollary 7.1] for the case where  $p \geq n$ ). For the first part of the proof, we start by proving the following general result.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group and let  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_t \in \Omega(G)$ . For each  $i \leq i \leq t$ , let  $\phi_i$  denote the class function  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_i} \in \text{cf}(G)$ . Then, for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(G)$ , the class function  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a constituent of the product  $\phi_1 \cdots \phi_t$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_t$ ; moreover, the scalar product  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_t \rangle_G$  is a non-negative integer.*

*Proof.* Let  $G^t = G \times \cdots \times G$  be the direct product of  $t$  copies of  $G$ . Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra such that  $G = 1 + J$  where  $J = J(A)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Then  $G^t$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra group associated with the Jacobson radical  $J^t = J \times \cdots \times J$  ( $t$  copies) of the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q \cdot 1 + J^t$ . Moreover,  $G$  can be naturally identified with the diagonal subgroup  $G_d = \{(x, \dots, x) : x \in G\}$  of  $G^t$ . Then the class function  $\phi_1 \cdots \phi_t$  of  $G$  is naturally identified with the restriction  $(\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_t)_{G_d}$  to  $G_d$  of the class function  $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_t$  of  $G^t$ . It is clear that this class function is associated (by the rule (1)) with the  $G^t$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_t \in \Omega(G^t)$ ; we note that the dual space  $(J^t)^*$  of  $J^t$  is naturally isomorphic to  $(J^*)^t = J^* \times \cdots \times J^*$  ( $t$  copies). Let  $J_d$  be the diagonal  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $J^t$  and let  $\pi: (J^*)^t \rightarrow (J_d)^*$  be the natural projection. Since  $G_d$  is an algebra subgroup of  $G^t$  (because  $J_d$  is multiplicatively closed), we may apply Proposition 2: given  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(G)$ , we have  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_t \rangle_G \neq 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_t)$ ; moreover, that scalar product is a non-negative integer. The result follows because  $\pi(\mathcal{O}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_t) = \mathcal{O}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_t$ . □

We now apply this result to an arbitrary basic character of  $U_n(q)$ . Let  $D$  be a non-empty basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be a map. Following [2], we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_D(\varphi)$  the *basic subvariety* of  $u_n(q)^*$  associated with the pair  $(D, \varphi)$ :

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{O}_D(\varphi) = \sum_{(i,j) \in D} \mathcal{O}_{ij}(\alpha_{ij})$$

where  $\alpha_{ij} = \varphi(i, j)$  for all  $(i, j) \in D$ . For convenience, we extend this definition to the case where  $D$  is the empty subset of  $\Phi(n)$ : we consider  $\varphi$  to be the empty function and we define  $\mathcal{O}_D(\varphi) = \{0\}$ . Then the following result is an obvious consequence of the previous proposition.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $D$  be a basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be a map. Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(q)$  be arbitrary. Then the class function  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $U_n(q)$  is a constituent of the basic character  $\xi_D(\varphi)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_D(\varphi)$ . Moreover, the scalar product  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)}$  is a non-negative integer.*

By [2, Theorem 1 and Eq. (12)], the dual space  $u_n(q)^*$  decomposes as the disjoint union

$$(6) \quad u_n(q)^* = \bigcup_{D, \varphi} \mathcal{O}_D(\varphi)$$

where the union is over all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and all maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ . This decomposition allows us to establish the orthogonality relations for the basic

characters (see [1, Proposition 2] for the case where  $p \geq n$ ). For simplicity, given an arbitrary basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and an arbitrary map  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ , we will denote by  $\Omega_D(\varphi)$  the set of all  $U_n(q)$ -orbits  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(q)$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_D(\varphi)$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be basic subsets of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be maps. Then  $\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)} \neq 0$  if and only if  $D = D'$  and  $\varphi = \varphi'$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1 (and also by Proposition 2), we have

$$\xi_D(\varphi) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_D(\varphi)} n_{\mathcal{O}} \phi_{\mathcal{O}}$$

where  $n_{\mathcal{O}}$ , for  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_D(\varphi)$ , is a positive integer. It follows that

$$\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)} = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_D(\varphi)} n_{\mathcal{O}} \langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)}$$

and so  $\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)} \neq 0$  for some  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_D(\varphi)$  (because, for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_D(\varphi)$ ,  $n_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a positive integer and  $\langle \phi_{\mathcal{O}}, \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)}$  is a non-negative integer). By Corollary 1, we conclude that  $\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\Omega_D(\varphi) \cap \Omega_{D'}(\varphi') \neq \emptyset$ . The result follows by (6).  $\square$

The following result will be useful to decompose the regular character of  $U_n(q)$  as a sum of basic characters (which will, of course, imply (together with the previous proposition) our main result).

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(q)$  be arbitrary. Then there exists a unique basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and a unique map  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  such that the class function  $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $U_n(q)$  is a constituent (with non-zero integer multiplicity) of  $\xi_D(\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* By (6), there exists a unique basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and a unique map  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_D(\varphi)$ . The result follows easily using Corollary 1.  $\square$

Next, we consider the decomposition of the regular character of  $U_n(q)$  as a sum of basic characters. In fact, we will prove the following result (for the case where  $p \geq n$ , see [3, Theorem 1] and [4, Corollary 7.2]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\rho$  denote the regular character of  $U_n(q)$ . Then*

$$\rho = \sum_{D, \varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \xi_D(\varphi)$$

where the sum is over all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and all maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and where, for any basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$ ,  $s(D)$  denotes the cardinality of the subset  $S(D) = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in D} \{(i, k), (k, j) : i < k < j\}$  of  $\Phi(n)$ .

For some steps of the proof of this result, we will refer to [4]. We start by introducing some notation. Let  $D$  be an arbitrary non-empty basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$ , let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be an arbitrary map and, for any  $(i, j) \in D$ , let  $\alpha_{ij} = \varphi(i, j)$ . Let  $e_D(\varphi)$  denote the element

$$e_D(\varphi) = \sum_{(i,j) \in D} \alpha_{ij} e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$$

and let

$$\mathfrak{o}_D(\varphi) = \{xe_D(\varphi)y^{-1} : x, y \in U_n(q)\} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$$

be the  $(U_n(q) \times U_n(q))$ -orbit on  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  for the action defined by  $(x, y) \cdot a = xay^{-1}$  for all  $x, y \in U_n(q)$  and all  $a \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ . Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{K}_D(\varphi) = 1 + \mathfrak{o}_D(\varphi) \subseteq U_n(q).$$

On the other hand, for each root  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$ , let  $S'(i, j)$  be the subset of  $\Phi(n)$  which consists of all roots  $(i, k)$ , for  $j < k \leq n$ , and  $(k, j)$ , for  $1 \leq k < i$  of  $\Phi(n)$ . Then, for any basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$ , we define the subsets  $S'(D) = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in D} S'(i, j)$  and  $R'(D) = \Phi(n) - S'(D)$  of  $\Phi(n)$ . Moreover, for each root  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$ , we denote by  $D(i, j)$  the subset of  $\Phi(n)$  which consists of all roots  $(k, l) \in D$  with  $i < k < l < j$ .

The following result is precisely [4, Proposition 5.1] (see also [4, Proposition 5.2]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $D$  be a basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$ , let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow K^\#$  be a map and let  $x \in \mathcal{K}_D(\varphi)$ . Then, for any  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$  and any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ , we have*

$$\xi_{ij}(\alpha)(x) = \begin{cases} q^{d(i,j)}, & \text{if } (i, j) \in R'(D) - D, \\ q^{d(i,j)}\psi(\alpha\beta), & \text{if } (i, j) \in D, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $d(i, j) = (j - i - 1) - |D(i, j)|$  and where  $\beta = \varphi(i, j)$  whenever  $(i, j) \in D$ . In particular, if  $x_D(\varphi)$  denotes the element  $x_D(\varphi) = 1 + e_D(\varphi) \in \mathcal{K}_D(\varphi)$ , we have

$$\xi_{ij}(\alpha)(x) = \xi_{ij}(\alpha)(x_{D,\varphi})$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{K}_D(\varphi)$ .

Using this lemma (and the definition of the basic characters), we easily deduce the following result (which is precisely the statement of [4, Theorem 5.1]).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be basic subsets of  $\Phi(n)$ , let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be maps and let  $x \in \mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')$ . Then*

$$\xi_D(\varphi)(x) = \begin{cases} q^{e(D,D')} \psi_D(\varphi)(e_{D'}(\varphi')), & \text{if } D \subseteq R'(D'), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $e(D, D') = |S_c(D) - S'(D')| = |S_c(D) \cap R'(D')|$ , where  $S_c(D)$  is the union of all the subsets  $\{(k, j) \in \Phi(n) : i < k < j\} \subseteq \Phi(n)$  for  $(i, j) \in D$ , and where  $\psi_D(\varphi): \mathfrak{u}_n(q)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the (linear) character of the additive group  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)^+$  of  $\mathfrak{u}_n(q)$  defined by

$$\psi_D(\varphi)(a) = \prod_{(i,j) \in D} \psi(\varphi(i, j)a_{ij})$$

for all  $a \in \mathfrak{u}_n(q)$ .

We now prove the following result (see [1, Corollary 5] for the case where  $p \geq n$ ).

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be basic subsets of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be maps. Then*

$$\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_{D'}(\varphi') \rangle_{U_n(q)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (D, \varphi) \neq (D', \varphi'), \\ q^{-s(D)} \xi_D(\varphi)(1)^2, & \text{if } (D, \varphi) = (D', \varphi'). \end{cases}$$



*Proof.* By Proposition 4, it remains to prove that

$$\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)} = q^{-s(D)} \xi_D(\varphi)(1)^2.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all pairs  $(D', \varphi')$  where  $D'$  is a basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$  satisfying  $D \subseteq R'(D')$  and where  $\varphi' : D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  is a map. Then, using Theorem 3, we deduce that (as usual, we denote by  $\bar{z}$  the conjugate of a given complex number  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)} &= \frac{1}{|U_n(q)|} \sum_{x \in U_n(q)} \xi_D(\varphi)(x) \overline{\xi_D(\varphi)(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|U_n(q)|} \sum_{(D', \varphi') \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')} \xi_D(\varphi)(x) \overline{\xi_D(\varphi)(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|U_n(q)|} \sum_{(D', \varphi') \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')| q^{2e(D, D')} \psi_D(\varphi)(e_{D'}(\varphi')) \overline{\psi_D(\varphi)(e_{D'}(\varphi'))} \\ &= \frac{1}{|U_n(q)|} \sum_{(D', \varphi') \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')| q^{2e(D, D')} \psi_D(\varphi)(e_{D'}(\varphi')) \psi_D(\varphi)(-e_{D'}(\varphi')) \\ &= \frac{1}{|U_n(q)|} \sum_{(D', \varphi') \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')| q^{2e(D, D')}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by [4, Proposition 4.1], we have  $|\mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')| = q^{|S'(D')|}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)} &= \sum_{(D', \varphi') \in \mathcal{F}} q^{-|R'(D')|} q^{2e(D, D')} \\ &= \sum_{D' \in \mathcal{B}} (q-1)^{|D'|} q^{2e(D, D') - |R'(D')|} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of all basic subsets  $D'$  of  $\Phi(n)$  which satisfy  $D \subseteq R'(D')$ . Finally, by Proposition 6 (see below), we have

$$\sum_{D' \in \mathcal{B}} (q-1)^{|D'|} q^{2e(D, D') - |R'(D')|} = q^{2\ell(D) - s(D)}$$

where  $\ell(D) = \sum_{(i,j) \in D} (j - i - 1)$ . The proof is complete because  $\xi_D(\varphi)(1) = q^{\ell(D)}$ . □

The following result was used at the end of the previous proof.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $D$  be a basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$ , let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all basic subsets  $D'$  of  $\Phi(n)$  which satisfy  $D \subseteq R'(D')$  and let  $\ell(D) = \sum_{(i,j) \in D} (j - i - 1)$ . Then the identity*

$$\sum_{D' \in \mathcal{B}} (t-1)^{|D'|} t^{e(D, D') - |R'(D')|} = t^{2\ell(D) - s(D)}$$

holds in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  in one indeterminate  $t$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \geq n$  be a prime and consider the group  $U_n(q)$  for an arbitrary power  $q$  of  $p$ . Then, by [1, Corollary 5], we have

$$\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)} = q^{-s(D)} \xi_D(\varphi)(1)^2 = q^{2\ell(D) - s(D)}.$$

As we have deduced in the previous proof, we have

$$\langle \xi_D(\varphi), \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)} = \sum_{D' \in \mathcal{B}} (q-1)^{|D'|} q^{e(D,D') - |R'(D')|}$$

and so

$$\sum_{D' \in \mathcal{B}} (q-1)^{|D'|} q^{e(D,D') - |R'(D')|} = q^{2\ell(D) - s(D)}.$$

It follows that the polynomial

$$t^{2\ell(D) - s(D)} - \sum_{D' \in \mathcal{B}} (t-1)^{|D'|} t^{e(D,D') - |R'(D')|} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

has an infinite number of roots, hence it must be the zero polynomial. The result follows. □

Using Theorem 4, we can prove the following orthogonality relations where, for arbitrary basic subsets  $D$  and  $D'$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and for arbitrary maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ , we denote by  $\xi_{D,\varphi}^{D',\varphi'} \in \mathbb{C}$  the constant value of the basic character  $\xi_D(\varphi)$  on the subset  $\mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')$ ; hence, by Theorem 3, we have  $\xi_{D,\varphi}^{D',\varphi'} = \xi_D(\varphi)(x_{D'}(\varphi'))$ . (The proof of this theorem is the same as that of [4, Theorem 7.1] and so we omit it.)

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $D'$  and  $D''$  be basic subsets of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and  $\varphi'': D'' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be maps. Then*

$$\sum_{D,\varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)^2} \xi_{D,\varphi}^{D',\varphi'} \overline{\xi_{D,\varphi}^{D'',\varphi''}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (D', \varphi') \neq (D'', \varphi''), \\ q^{n(n-1)/2 - |S'(D')|}, & \text{if } (D', \varphi') = (D'', \varphi''), \end{cases}$$

where the sum is over all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and all maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ .

We are now able to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $x \in U_n(q)$  be arbitrary. Then  $x \in \mathcal{K}_{D'}(\varphi')$  for a unique basic subset  $D'$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and for a unique map  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ . Using the previous theorem, we deduce that

$$\sum_{D,\varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \xi_D(\varphi)(x) = \delta_{x,1} q^{n(n-1)/2}$$

where the sum is over all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and all maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$ . Therefore, the sum  $\sum_{D,\varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \xi_D(\varphi)$  is the regular character of  $U_n(q)$ , as required. □

*Remark 1.* The proof of Theorem 2 can be achieved using the corresponding result for the case where  $p \geq n$ . In fact, suppose that  $p \geq n$ . Let  $D'$  be an arbitrary basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi': D' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be an arbitrary map. For simplicity, let us write  $x = x_{D'}(\varphi')$  and, for each root  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$ , let  $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$  be the  $(i, j)$ -th

coefficient of  $x$ . Then, using Theorem 3 (or [4, Theorem 5.1]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{D,\varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \xi_D(\varphi)(x) &= \sum_{\substack{D,\varphi \\ D \subseteq R'(D')}} \frac{q^{s(D)+e(D,D')}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \prod_{(i,j) \in D} \psi(\varphi(i,j)\beta_{ij}) \\ &= \sum_{D \subseteq R'(D')} \frac{q^{s(D)+e(D,D')}}{q^{\ell(D)}} \sum_{\varphi} \prod_{(i,j) \in D} \psi(\varphi(i,j)\beta_{ij}) \\ &= \sum_{D \subseteq R'(D')} q^{s(D)+e(D,D')-\ell(D)} \prod_{(i,j) \in D} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\#} \psi(\alpha\beta_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

where the sums are over all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and over all maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and where, for each basic subset  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$ ,  $\ell(D) = \xi_D(\varphi)(1) = \sum_{(i,j) \in D} (j-i-1)$ . Now, for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , the map  $\psi_\alpha: \mathbb{F}_q^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by  $\psi_\alpha(\beta) = \psi(\alpha\beta)$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , is a linear character of the additive group  $\mathbb{F}_q^+$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, the characters  $\psi_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , are all distinct, hence they are all the irreducible characters of  $\mathbb{F}_q^+$ . It follows that the sum  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_\alpha$  is the regular character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^+$  and so, for an arbitrary  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_\alpha(\beta) = q\delta_{\beta,0}.$$

Therefore, given an arbitrary root  $(i, j) \in \Phi(n)$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\#} \psi(\alpha\beta_{ij}) = \begin{cases} q-1, & \text{if } (i, j) \in D', \\ -1, & \text{if } (i, j) \notin D', \end{cases}$$

because (by definition of  $x_{D'}(\varphi')$ )  $\beta_{ij} \neq 0$  if and only if  $(i, j) \in D'$ . In conclusion, we obtain

$$\sum_{D,\varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \xi_D(\varphi)(x) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{B}(D')} q^{s(D)+e(D,D')-\ell(D)} (-1)^{|D-D'|} (q-1)^{|D \cap D'|}$$

where the sum on the left-hand side of this equation is over all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  and over all maps  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  and where  $\mathcal{B}(D')$  denotes the set of all basic subsets  $D$  of  $\Phi(n)$  which satisfy  $D \subseteq R'(D')$ . By [4, Corollary 7.2], we conclude that the equality

$$(8) \quad \sum_{D \in \mathcal{B}(D')} (-1)^{|D-D'|} q^{s(D)+e(D,D')-\ell(D)} (q-1)^{|D \cap D'|} = q^{n(n-1)/2} \delta_{D',\emptyset}$$

holds whenever  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p \geq n$ . It follows that the identity

$$(9) \quad \sum_{D \in \mathcal{B}(D')} (-1)^{|D-D'|} t^{s(D)+e(D,D')-\ell(D)} (t-1)^{|D \cap D'|} = t^{n(n-1)/2} \delta_{D',\emptyset}$$

holds in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ , hence the identity (8) holds whenever  $q$  is a power of an arbitrary prime  $p$ . Since this equality implies (as above) that

$$\sum_{D,\varphi} \frac{q^{s(D)}}{\xi_D(\varphi)(1)} \xi_D(\varphi)(x) = q^{n(n-1)/2} \delta_{x,1},$$

Theorem 2 follows at once.

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\chi$  be an arbitrary irreducible character of  $U_n(q)$ . Then  $\chi$  is a constituent (with multiplicity  $\chi(1)$ ) of the regular character  $\rho$  of  $U_n(q)$ . By Theorem 2, we conclude that  $\chi$  is a constituent of at least one basic character of  $U_n(q)$  and, by Theorem 4, this basic character must be unique.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* Let  $D$  be a basic subset of  $\Phi(n)$  and let  $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\#$  be a map. Then, by Theorem 2 and by Theorem 1, we also conclude that

$$\chi(1) = q^{s(D)-\ell(D)} \langle \chi, \xi_D(\varphi) \rangle_{U_n(q)}$$

for any irreducible constituent  $\chi$  of  $\xi_D(\varphi)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. M. André, *Basic characters of the unitriangular group*, J. Algebra **175** (1995), 287–319. MR **96h**:20081a
- [2] C. A. M. André, *Basic sums of coadjoint orbits of the unitriangular group*, J. Algebra **176** (1995), 959–1000. MR **96h**:20081b
- [3] C. A. M. André, *The regular character of the unitriangular group*, J. Algebra **201** (1998), 1–52. MR **98k**:20071
- [4] C. A. M. André, *The basic character table of the unitriangular group*, J. Algebra **241** (2001), 437–471.
- [5] C. A. M. André, *Irreducible characters of finite algebra groups*, Proceedings of the Workshop “Matrices and Group Representations”, p. 65–80, Textos de Matemática, Série B, n. 19, Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, 1999. MR **2001g**:20009
- [6] E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience, New York, 1957. MR **18**:553e
- [7] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory (with applications to finite groups and orders, Vol. 1)*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1981. MR **82i**:20001
- [8] B. Huppert, *Character theory of finite groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998. MR **99j**:20011
- [9] I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Dover, New York, 1994. CMP 94:14
- [10] I. M. Isaacs, *Characters of groups associated with finite algebras*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), 708–730. MR **96k**:20011
- [11] I. M. Isaacs and D. Karagueuzian, *Conjugacy in groups of upper triangular matrices*, J. Algebra **202** (1998), 704–711. MR **99b**:20011
- [12] G. I. Lehrer, *Discrete series and the unipotent subgroup*, Compositio Math. **28** (1974) 9–19. MR **49**:5193

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA E CENTRO DE ESTRUTURAS LINEARES E COMBINATÓRIAS,  
FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, RUA ERNESTO DE VASCONCELOS, EDIFÍCIO  
C1, PISO 3, 1749-016 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

*E-mail address:* `candre@fc.ul.pt`