Basin-scale phenology and effects of climate variability on global timing of initial seaward migration of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)

46 authors, including:

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Atlantic salmon kelt physiology: endocrine regulation of growth, metabolism and appetite View project

GRAYLING View project

Basin-scale phenology and effects of climate variability on global timing of initial seaward migration of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)

Running title: Climate and emigration timing of *Salmo salar*

Jaime Otero[1,*], Jan Henning L'Abée-Lund[2], Ted Castro-Santos[3], Kjell Leonardsson[4], Geir O. Storvik[1,5], Bror Jonsson[6], J. Brian Dempson[7], Ian C. Russell[8], Arne J. Jensen[9], Jean-Luc Baglinière[10], Mélanie Dionne[11], John D. Armstrong[12], Atso Romakkaniemi[13], Benjamin H. Letcher[3], John F. Kocik[14], Jaakko Erkinaro[13], Russell Poole[15], Ger Rogan[15], Hans Lundqvist[4], Julian C. MacLean[16], Erkki Jokikokko[17], Jo Vegar Arnekleiv[18], Richard J. Kennedy[19], Eero Niemelä[13], Pablo Caballero[20], Paul A. Music[14], Thorolfur Antonsson[21], Sigurdur Gudjonsson[21], Alexey E. Veselov[22], Anders Lamberg[23], Steve Groom[24], Benjamin H. Taylor[24], Malcolm Taberner[24], Mary Dillane[15], Fridthjofur Arnason[21], Gregg Horton[3], Nils A. Hvidsten[9], Ingi R. Jonsson[21], Nina Jonsson[6], Simon McKelvey[25], Tor F. Næsje[9], Øystein Skaala[26], Gordon W. Smith[16], Harald Sægrov[27], Nils C. Stenseth[1,28], Leif Asbjørn Vøllestad[1]

[1]*Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis (CEES), Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1066 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*

[2]*Norwegian Water and Energy Directorate, P.O. Box 5091 Majorstuen, N-0301 Oslo, Norway*

[3]*Silvio O. Conte Anadromous Fish Research Center, US Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, P.O. Box 796, One Migratory Way, Turners Falls, MA-01376, USA*

[4]*Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Environmental Studies SLU, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-901 83 Umeå, Sweden*

[5]*Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1066 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*

[6]*Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (NINA), Gaustadalléen 21, N-0349 Oslo, Norway*

[7]*Fisheries and Oceans Canada, P.O. Box 5667, St. John's, NL, A1C 5X1, Canada*

[8]*Cefas Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK*

[9]*Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (NINA), P.O. Box 5685 Sluppen, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway*

[10]*Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Agrocampus Ouest, UMR 0985, ESE F-35000 Rennes, France*

[11]*Ministère du Développement Durable, de l'Environnement, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec, Direction de la Faune Aquatique, 880 Chemin Sainte-Foy, Québec, Canada*

[12]*Marine Scotland, Freshwater Laboratory Pitlochry, Perthshire PH16 5LB, Scotland, UK*

[13]*Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute, P.O. Box 413, FI-90014 University of Oulu, Finland*

[14]*National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Maine Field Station, 17 Godfrey Drive, Suite 1, Orono, Maine 04473, USA*

[15]*Fisheries Ecosystem Advisory Services, Marine Institute, Newport, Mayo, Ireland*

[16]*Marine Scotland, Freshwater Laboratory Field Station, Inchbraoch House, South Quay, Ferryden, Montrose, DD10 9SL, Scotland, UK*

[17]*Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute, Bothnian Bay Fisheries Research Station, Laivurintie 6, FI-94450 Keminmaa, Finland*

[18]*Section of Natural History, Museum of Natural History and Archaeology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

[19]*Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Newforge Lane, Belfast BT9 5PX, Northern Ireland, UK*

[20]*Sección de Biodiversidade, Servizo de Conservación da Natureza de Pontevedra, Consellería de Medio Rural-Xunta de Galicia, Fernández Ladreda 43-2, 36071 Pontevedra, Spain*

[21]*Institute of Freshwater Fisheries, Keldnaholt 112 Reykjavik, Iceland*

[22]*Institute of Biology, Karelian Research Centre of Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushkinskaya st. 11, 185910 Petrozavodsk, Russia*

[23]*Vilt og fiskeinfo AS, Ranheimsvegen 281, N-7054 Ranheim, Norway*

[24]*Remote Sensing Group, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth PL1 3DH, United Kingdom*

[25]*Cromarty Firth Fisheries Trust, Aultgowrie Farmhouse, Aultgowrie, By Muir of Ord, Ross-shire IV6 7XA, Scotland, UK*

[26]*Institute of Marine Research, P.O. Box 1970 Nordnes, N-5817 Bergen, Norway*

[27]*Rådgivende Biologer AS, Bredsgården, N-5003 Bergen, Norway*

[28]*Institute of Marine Research, Flødevigen Marine Research Station, N-4817 His, Norway*

*Correspondence: E-mail: j.o.villar@bio.uio.no, tel. +47 22854400, fax +47 22854001

Type of Paper: Primary Research Article

Abstract

Migrations between different habitats are key events in the lives of many organisms. Such movements involve annually recurring travel over long distances usually triggered by seasonal changes in the environment. Often, the migration is associated with travel to or from reproduction areas to regions of growth. Young anadromous Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) emigrate from freshwater nursery areas during spring and early summer to feed and grow in the North Atlantic Ocean. The transition from the freshwater ('parr') stage to the migratory stage where they descend streams and enter salt water ('smolt') is characterized by morphological, physiological and behavioural changes where the timing of this parr-smolt transition is cued by photoperiod and water temperature. Environmental conditions in the freshwater habitat control the downstream migration and contribute to within- and among-river variation in migratory timing. Moreover, the timing of the freshwater emigration has likely evolved to meet environmental conditions in the ocean as these affect growth and survival of the post-smolts. Using generalized additive mixed-effects modelling, we analysed spatio-temporal variations in the dates of downstream smolt migration in 67 rivers throughout the North Atlantic during the last five decades and found that migrations were earlier in populations in the east than the west. After accounting for this spatial effect, the initiation of the downstream migration among rivers was positively associated with freshwater temperatures, up to about 10 ºC and levelling off at higher values, and with sea-surface temperatures. Earlier migration occurred when river discharge levels were low but increasing. On average, the initiation of the smolt seaward migration has occurred 2.5 days earlier per decade throughout the basin of the North Atlantic. This shift in phenology matches changes in air, river, and ocean temperatures, suggesting that Atlantic salmon emigration is responding to the current global climate changes.

Introduction

Many organisms migrate between different habitats during their life cycle (Dingle, 1996). These movements may occur at different timescales and allow species to (i) take advantage of dietary or reproductive opportunities available in discrete and often distant habitats; and (ii) avoid certain habitats during periods such as winter when conditions may be intolerable. Migrations are usually triggered by seasonal changes in environmental conditions and by internal physiological processes. Habitat shifts may involve annually recurring travel over long distances, such as those undertaken by many species of birds, mammals, reptiles, fishes and insects (Dingle, 1996).

In diadromous fishes, life history strategies and migratory movements between fresh water and the ocean constitute key life history events in the life cycle of these species. Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) typically emigrate from freshwater in the spring after having reached a growth-dependent size threshold (Økland *et al.*, 1993). Age at emigration is 1–6 years and total length 12–25 cm. Once they reach the ocean, the subsequent growth is compensatory and very rapid (Hogan & Friedland, 2010). After 1–4 years at sea they return with high precision to their natal river to breed, although a small proportion strays to other rivers (Jonsson *et al.*, 2003).

Prior to the seaward migration Atlantic salmon undergo a major transformation often called smolting, which comprises morphological, physiological and behavioural changes. This allows individuals to change from the territorial and relatively sedentary juvenile ('parr') stage to the migratory ('smolt') stage, during which they move downstream and are able to enter sea water (Hoar, 1976). The parr-smolt transformation is typically associated with increasing temperatures in spring, and is regulated by photoperiod and water

temperature through effects on the neuroendocrine system (McCormick *et al*., 1998). Controlled laboratory studies indicate that photoperiod is the dominant cue of the parr-smolt transformation, with local temperatures playing a subordinate role (McCormick *et al*., 2002). Once the smolt transformation has been completed there is a short period of time during which the fish are physiologically prepared for seawater entry. Smolt that do not complete their seaward migration within this period desmolt, but may smolt again in the subsequent spring (McCormick *et al*., 2009). In general, smolt migration occurs in spring or early summer (Thorstad *et al*., 2012), and the timing of the initiation of the downstream migration differs among rivers.

A number of different environmental factors may trigger the downstream migration. These factors can be river-specific such as water temperature, flow and turbidity (Jonsson & Ruud-Hansen, 1985; McCormick *et al*., 1998), or related to light conditions in the river (Hansen & Jonsson, 1985; Hvidsten *et al*., 1995). Also the presence of other migrants and predators may affect out-migration (McCormick *et al*., 1998).

Many factors affect post-smolt survival, but the timing of the smolt migration is an important predictor of survival to adulthood (Antonsson *et al*., 2010). In addition to proximal conditions like river temperature, other mechanisms can also affect survival, including predators, parasites and pathogens, feeding opportunities, and temperatures in the ocean (McCormick *et al*., 1998; McCormick *et al*., 2009). Each of these factors has the potential to exert selective pressure on the migratory timing, with reduced survival associated with both too early (Kennedy & Crozier, 2010) and delayed migrations (Castro-Santos & Haro, 2003; McCormick *et al*., 2009). Thus, there exists a critical period of downstream migration ('environmental smolt-window') in which fitness is maximized by arrival at the marine

environment when conditions are optimal for both survival and growth (McCormick *et al*., 1998). These are the necessary conditions for stabilizing selection, leading to genetic and phenotypic differentiation among populations of several salmonid species (Stewart *et al*., 2006; Spence & Hall, 2010).

In fisheries biology, the critical period concept (Cushing, 1990) postulates that survival and recruitment are maximized when there is a temporal match between a predator's phenology and that of its prey. Climate change might, however, alter the patterns of food availability leading to a mismatch if the resource base does not react in a similar way (Durant *et al*., 2007). Thus, there is evidence that the timing of seasonally recurring biological events (i.e. phenology) is shifting as a result of global increases in temperature (e.g. Parmesan, 2007). However, shifts in phenology appear to vary across taxa (Jonzén *et al*., 2006; Menzel *et al*., 2006; Parmesan, 2007; Kauserud *et al*., 2012), and at different trophic levels (e.g. Edwards & Richardson, 2004), and have important effects on population dynamics and systems ecology (Miller-Rushing *et al*., 2010); however, the fitness consequences may vary widely (McNamara *et al*., 2011).

Compared with terrestrial taxa, knowledge of the relationships between the timing of environmental changes and seasonal activities in fishes is sparse (Parmesan, 2007; but see Anderson *et al*., 2013). Furthermore, despite being a group with numerous species, there is little knowledge of the likely impacts of climate change on the dynamics of migratory fishes (Robinson *et al*., 2009). In anadromous salmonids some long-term studies have provided evidence that migration from freshwater to saltwater is occurring at earlier dates during a period of environmental warming for both Atlantic (Kennedy & Crozier, 2010) and Pacific (Kovach *et al*., 2013) species. In any case, productivity of Atlantic salmon has been declining

throughout its distribution (Jonsson & Jonsson, 2004), raising major conservation and management concerns (Dempson *et al*., 2004). This reduction in fish abundance may be due, in part, to an alteration in timing of life history decisions affecting later survival (Hindar *et al*., 2011). Thus, there is a need to better understand the factors related to the initiation of global seaward migration pattern of Atlantic salmon.

In this paper we analyze large-scale variations in the timing of migration in Atlantic salmon at two migratory audit points (dates of 25 and 50% total smolt emigration) from fresh to salt water. We examine data sampled during 50 years from 1961 to 2010 from 67 North Atlantic rivers. The objective was to study the relationship between the smolt descent and environmental factors in both fresh and salt water while accounting for geographic variability. Further, we tested if there has been a global phenological shift and whether this possible shift can be linked to changes in global and local environmental conditions.

Materials and Methods

Study area and smolt sampling

Atlantic salmon are naturally distributed throughout the basin of the North Atlantic Ocean. In the western part of its distribution, they occur from Ungava Bay, Québec, Canada in the north to the Connecticut River, USA, in the south. In the eastern part Atlantic salmon are found from Petchorskaya and the Ural mountains in Russia in the Northeast, along the coast of the European continent south to the River Miño in Spain in addition to Iceland and the British Isles (Jonsson & Jonsson, 2011). Data on timing of smolt downstream migration were obtained from 70 sites on 67 rivers covering most of this east-west and north-south gradient for the period 1961 to 2010 (Fig. 1a, Table 1). Some sites were situated close to the river mouth, others were in tributaries either close to the confluence with the main river or in the

upper reaches, and others were located in the central part of the main stem of a river. Thus most sites were situated between 1.2 and 34.8 km upstream of the river mouth (Supplementary Material and Methods). Downstream migrating smolts were monitored by various methods. In most cases only a fraction of the river width was screened for smolts. However, it is assumed that the sampling schemes provide representative observations of the daily migration pattern and timing. Smolt trapping facilities were typically placed at sites where they could be operated across as broad a spectrum of river discharges as possible. However, such traps may have reduced efficiency during flood events. In some rivers, Wolf traps (Wolf, 1951) spanned the whole width of the river. Most Wolf traps are operated continuously and independent of the discharge. However, others are located on weirs and are subjected to operational constraints related to flows. Video cameras have also been used in some rivers. Cameras were anchored to the riverbed perpendicular to the running water and enabled a sample of migrating smolts to be recorded and subsequently counted. The number of cameras used in each transect depended on river width and water turbidity.

Descending smolts were usually monitored throughout the whole migration period. This extended from March to June in southern rivers and from June to August in northern systems (Table S1). The initiation of downstream migration for a given site and year was defined as the day of the year when 25% of the total smolt run had been enumerated (referred to as the onset of the smolt emigration), and the median emigration day was defined as the day of the year when 50% of the total smolt emigration had been counted. These quartiles were chosen because they are standard audit points of the smolt run in Atlantic salmon literature (Antonsson & Gudjonsson, 2002), and describe well the temporal migratory dynamics of each smolt cohort (Kennedy & Crozier, 2010).

Environmental data

River conditions. To test for association between time of emigration and relevant environmental conditions in freshwater we estimated the mean temperature ($\bar{T}$ in ºC) and the mean discharge ($\bar{Q}$ in m^3 s^{-1}) for the 10-day period preceding the 25% and 50% smolt descent dates. Further we estimated the relative change in discharge as the discharge-day relationship (slope, ΔQ) for that period. Such levels or changes in environmental conditions may act as triggers initiating the downstream migration. Discharge in each site was highly skewed thus it was ln-transformed before analysis. Temperature and discharge were mostly recorded using data loggers at the smolt counting station, or as close to this as possible (Supplementary Material and Methods).

Sea surface temperature. Optimum Interpolation sea surface temperature (NOAA_OI_SSTV2) data available at weekly 1º latitude × 1º longitude grid resolution from a combination of satellite and *in situ* measurements (Reynolds *et al*., 2002) were obtained from the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/) for the period 1982 to 2010 (Fig. 1a-c). To evaluate the potential association between downstream migration dates and sea surface temperature (SST, in ºC) at sea entry we used the average SST for the 7-day period preceding the date of 25% and 50% descent for those cells whose centers were located nearest to the ocean entry point of a given river. In eleven rivers where smolt sampling started before the availability of the satellite data set, SST was obtained from different sources (Supplementary Material and Methods). Sampling sites were located at various distances from the river mouth. The time (25 and 50% dates) for smolts to reach the ocean was adjusted for this variation using the distance from the sampling station to the river mouth and an average migration speed of about 32 km d^{-1} obtained from measurements recorded in various rivers (Table S2).

Chlorophyll a. Phytoplankton concentration is important for defining suitable pelagic habitats and might be a surrogate for oceanic feeding conditions (e.g. Bi *et al*., 2007). To test if timing of sea entry is adjusted to a period of sufficient primary production, we compiled data on satellite-derived chlorophyll *a* concentration (8-day composites on surface concentration, Chla, in mg m^{-3}) from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor (SeaWiFS) at 1º latitude × 1º longitude grid resolution for the period 1998 to 2010 (Fig. 1d-f). For the same SST coastal cells we used the ln-transformed (to make the distribution more symmetrical) concentration of chlorophyll *a* from the 8-day composite previous to the 25 and 50% downstream dates (Supplementary Material and Methods).

Air temperature. Water temperature was for most rivers obtained daily during the smolt migration period and not all year round. Moreover, many rivers were sampled during a few years only; accordingly, the length of the water temperature time series was shorter than ten years in many cases. This makes it difficult to reliably estimate global trends in freshwater conditions. Thus, air temperature, which correlates with river temperature (Fig. S14) was used as a surrogate to generate a global description of the thermal environment faced by each sampled river. Therefore, we collected data on daily mean air temperatures (Table S3) using the 'WeatherData' function (http://reference.wolfram.com/mathematica/ref/WeatherData.html) in Mathematica 8.04 (Wolfram Research, Inc., 2010) (Supplementary Material and Methods).

Statistical analyses

Information from 70 locations was used in the analyses; each site was sampled over multiple but not necessarily consecutive years implying repeated longitudinal measures (Table 1 and Table S1). Data were analysed by means of generalized additive mixed effects models

(GAMM; Wood, 2006) that allow for non-linearity and the inclusion of both fixed and random effects such as in the following model:

$$\text{DoY25}_{t,i} = \alpha + f_1(\bar{\text{T}}_{t,i}) + f_2(\text{SST}_{t,i}) + f_3(\text{Y}_{t,i}) + g(lo_i, la_i) + a_i + b_i + \varepsilon_{t,i} \tag{1}$$

where DoY25 is the day of the year when 25% of the smolt have descended (i.e. the onset of seaward migration) in year t at site i. α is an intercept, and the f_n's and g are one- and two-dimensional nonparametric smoothing functions describing the effect of $\bar{\text{T}}$ (river temperature), SST (sea surface temperature), Y (year), and site location at longitude lo and latitude la. We assumed that including a function of longitude and latitude would act as a 'catch-all' proxy for factors that vary spatially such as photoperiod and seasonal freshets. The smoothing functions were fit by penalized cubic regression splines and a thin plate regression spline with 3 and 15 knots for the one- and two-dimensional functions, respectively (Wood, 2006). If any of the nonparametric relationships are essentially linear, those covariates can be modelled as parametric terms within the GAMM formulation. For instance, the relationship with SST is linear (see below), thus that term in equation 1 becomes $\beta_1 \times \text{SST}_{t,i}$ where β_1 is a coefficient that describes the change in the date of emigration for a unit change in SST. a_i is a random intercept allowing for variation between sites, and b_i is a random slope allowing, for instance, the relationship with SST to differ by site. Random effects are assumed to be normally distributed with mean 0 and variances σ_a^2 and σ_b^2. The residuals $\varepsilon_{t,i}$ are a normally distributed random error with mean 0 representing the within-site variation. Given the sequential nature of the data a residual correlation structure was added to the model. An autoregressive correlation of order 1 is suitable for regular spaced data. Because our data were commonly irregularly spaced in time, we tested if including a linear spatial correlation structure (Pinheiro & Bates, 2000), that can accommodate the imbalance in time, improved

the model fitting. In addition, the variance in residual dates (σ^2) was further modelled as a function of possible covariates included in equation 1, for instance:

$$\mathrm{var}\left(\varepsilon_{t,i}\right) = \sigma^2 \exp\left(2\delta\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{t,i}\right) \tag{2}$$

where δ is a parameter to be estimated that describes the estimated change in variance with $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$. This model of the residual variance was compared with other variance structures through selection criteria.

The difference between river temperature ($\overline{\mathrm{T}}$) and sea surface temperature (SST) can be related to the onset of migration (Kennedy & Crozier, 2010). Therefore we explored this potential effect running a separate model that included the thermal difference (T_{Dif}) between both environments as a covariate. Water flow records were unavailable for numerous site-year combinations (Table 1), thus substantially reducing the migration information. Therefore, discharge was not used in equation 1. It was, however, included in a separate model that contained only those sites with sufficient data. The same happened with chlorophyll *a* that was available only from 1998 to 2010.

For any equation, model selection was performed iteratively. First, with all fixed effects included in the model, appropriate random effects and residual correlation structure were selected using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) that puts a heavier penalty on models with more parameters. Model parameters were estimated by means of restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Second, the variance models were selected. Third, the optimal fixed effects were determined by means of maximum likelihood (ML) parameter estimation. Finally, with the optimal fixed structure in place the random effects were reassessed and model parameters presented were estimated by REML (Zuur *et al*., 2009). The same procedure was used to model the median of downstream migration (i.e. DoY50).

For each river, air and sea surface temperature time series, individually average warming rates and changes in the timing of seasonal warming were computed (Supplementary Material and Methods).

All analyses and treatment of data were performed with R 2.15.0 language (R Development Core Team, 2012) and using the "mgcv 1.7-13" (Wood, 2006) and "nlme 3.1-103" (Pinheiro & Bates, 2000) packages.

Results

Summary of smolt migration patterns

Downstream migration timing varied among and within sites. The earliest onset of emigration occurred in the Tea River (Spain) where 25% descent was recorded on the 20th March in 2000, whereas the latest onset date was recorded on the 3rd August 1995 in the Vesturdalsa River (northern Iceland) (Table S1). Collectively, the observations of time of 25 and 50% descent (river and year combinations) showed that *c.* 75% were within a 30-day period between the beginning of May (~day 120s) and the beginning of June (~day 150s) (Fig. 2a). In addition, the difference between the 50 and 25% emigration date occurred within a narrow time window with 75% of the observations (river and year combinations) extending over a period of less than 6 days, though a maximum difference of 27 days was recorded in Vesturdalsa River in 1998. The variability of this difference was not related to latitude (Fig. 2b). However, on average, time between 50 and 25% of emigration dates appeared to be shorter when the onset of emigration occurred later (Fig. 2c).

Onset of emigration

Model selection favoured a random intercept indicating high variability in emigration dates from site to site, and also a random slope allowing the relationship with SST to differ by site (Table S4). Within-site residual correlation structures did not improve the model fitting (Table S4). The optimal model of the onset of emigration timing revealed a strong spatial trend showing a clear west-east and south-north gradient (Fig. 3a). The average onset of downstream migration was about the 18th May (day 138) (Table 2) at 45ºN in the western Atlantic, whereas in the eastern Atlantic this date occurred approximately at 63ºN (isopleth zero in Fig. 3a). This resulted in the mean onset of emigration date in Northern Norway, Finland and Russia occurring about 90 days later than in Spain, at the southern limit of the species distribution in Europe (Fig. 3a). After accounting for the spatial trend, river temperature ($\bar{T}$) had a slight non-linear effect on the among-river onset of emigration reaching a plateau at about 10 ºC (Fig. 3b). In addition, there was evidence for changes in the spread of the onset of emigration related to $\bar{T}$ (Table 2 and Table S5). The estimated exponential variance parameter corresponds to a 14.9% increase in variance with a 2 ºC warming in river temperature.

When the smolt migrated later the SST at the oceanic entry point was warmer (Fig. 3c). This effect resulted in an estimated average (± s.e.) increase of 2.1 ± 0.3 days in onset of emigration per 1 ºC increase in SST. Furthermore, the model also revealed a slight non-linear shift towards earlier onset of emigration timing during the last fifty years (Fig. 3d). Modelling the long-term trend as a parametric component resulted in an earlier downstream migration of, on average, 2.5 ± 0.3 days per decade, which means that, over the entire 50 years studied, the data showed an earlier onset out-migration of 12.7 ± 1.4 days.

There were variability in mean emigration onset dates from site to site, and also the relationship with SST differed by site (Table 2). Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between the random effects indicating that sites that had larger intercepts (i.e. later emigration) also had larger slopes (i.e. a stronger relationship with SST) (Fig. 4). Moreover, this pattern slightly varied with geography with larger intercepts and slopes occurring at higher latitudes.

Finally, residuals of the optimal model did not show any apparent heterogeneity or major departures from normality (Fig. S16-S17). The estimated random effects were also reasonably normal (Fig. S18). Moreover, there was no spatial pattern in residuals; there was no clear clustering of positive (or negative) averaged residuals per sampling site (Fig. S19).

Further examination of the combined effects of temperature in both fresh- and saltwater habitats showed a nonlinear relationship between the among-river onset of migration and the thermal difference between both environments (Table S7). This mostly revealed that when freshwater temperature was 3 ºC warmer than the ocean SST the onset of smolt emigration occurred earlier (Fig. 5).

Regarding water flow, the onset of emigration occurred later at higher average discharge $(\bar{Q})$ (Fig. 6a). This relationship implied that a one percent increase in $\bar{Q}$ would result in a 0.011 ± 0.003 day delay in the average onset date of emigration. Furthermore, the model also revealed a nonlinear relation between the onset date and the change in water flow (ΔQ), with earlier emigration when the rate of change in discharge tended to increase (Fig. 6b).

Chlorophyll *a* was not correlated with the onset of emigration. Running a model from 1998 to 2010 (*n* = 443) that included surface concentrations of chlorophyll *a* (Fig. 1d) at the oceanic entry point as a new covariate, revealed no association (P-value > 0.1).

The onset and median emigration dates were correlated (r^2 = 0.97), thus the modelling yielded similar results (Table S9 and Fig. S22).

Trends and seasonal shifts in air, river and sea surface temperature

Overall, the analysis of temperature trends in rivers with at least 10 years of data revealed an increase in water temperature at an average rate (± s.e.) of 0.36 ± 0.06 ºC per decade (Fig. 7a). This warming corresponded well with air temperature records at stations close to the smolt sampling locations, for which the mean increase was 0.25 ± 0.03 ºC per decade (Fig. 7b). The SST at the ocean entry points warmed at a mean rate of 0.33 ± 0.02 ºC per decade (Fig. 7c). Furthermore, it was observed that seasonal warming (air temperature stations and the coastal cells) generally occurred earlier in the year. These shifts revealed an advance of seasonal warming in air temperatures at an average rate of 2.70 ± 0.34 days per decade (Fig. 7d), and an earlier arrival of seasonal warming in SST at an average rate of 5.02 ± 0.49 days per decade (Fig. 7e). Finally, the among-river date of onset of emigration was related to the date of seasonal warming in air temperatures (Fig. 7f).

Discussion

In this work, we examined the geographical pattern of the initial timing of the downstream migration of young anadromous Atlantic salmon (smolts) throughout its natural distribution and found that timing of downstream migration varies strongly among rivers. This variation is probably a response to selection driven by prevailing regional conditions (Thorstad *et al*.

2011), and thus we could expect large-scale patterns that reflected these spatial environmental differences. Results showed that –in addition to the latitudinal cline with southern populations migrating earlier than northern ones (Hvidsten *et al.*, 1998)– the timing of out-migration differed strongly between the East and West Atlantic, with western populations migrating to sea at later dates than eastern populations at corresponding latitudes.

What may be the selective agent leading to this geographic pattern in downstream migration? Geographical variation in timing is most probably driven by the spatial pattern of average SST (compare isotherms in Fig. 1a with isopleths in Fig. 3a). In particular there is large variation in SST between the East and West part of the Atlantic, which is the result of both the organization of atmospheric circulation forcing and oceanic current systems (Deser *et al.*, 2010; Fig. S23). The latitudinal variation in SST is also well known. However, even if SST is the selective force leading to differences in phenology, salmon smolts cannot use it as a cue for initiating the downstream migration. A cue that might be associated with SST may be used. Consequently, the latitudinal patterns in phenology are most probably cued by the geographic variation in photoperiod (Fig. S24). Photoperiodism is widespread across multiple taxa (Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2007), and Atlantic salmon are shown to assess and use day length to initiate the physiological changes associated with smolting. However, the response to photoperiod may be adjusted by variation in local environmental factors such as river temperatures (McCormick *et al.*, 2002). Long-term selection may then lead to changes in how salmon populations respond to these cues.

After accounting for this geographical variation, among-river migratory patterns of Atlantic salmon were related to freshwater conditions. The onset of the smolt emigration was positively associated with river temperatures up to about 10 ºC, levelling off or potentially decreasing at higher temperatures. This result indicates that the onset of the freshwater

emigration does not occur beyond a given day of the year (or temperature) despite continued temperature increase. In rivers and years with the latest onset dates the temperature at smolt descent ranged from 10 to 17 ºC, values that are close to the seasonal peak temperature for those rivers. This agrees well with previous knowledge about the secondary role of river temperature in impairment of the fishes tolerance of saltwater (McCormick *et al*., 2002; 2009). In addition, emigration dates were more heterogeneous in rivers and years experiencing elevated temperatures, though this effect might be a result of scarce data at high temperatures.

Water temperature has been already identified as a primary environmental factor cuing downstream migration (Thorstad *et al*., 2012). Some studies suggest that the initiation of the smolt run require passing a certain temperature threshold (e.g. 10 ºC) for wild (Antonsson & Gudjonsson, 2002) and hatchery reared smolt (Jutila *et al*., 2005). However, when pooling rivers across the distribution of Atlantic salmon there was no clearly defined lower temperature limit associated with the commencement of the smolt migration, and the lower thermal threshold appears river-specific (McCormick *et al*., 2002). At high water temperatures smolt characters (e.g. salinity tolerance) are lost sooner and quicker (McCormick *et al*. 2009). Consequently, it is important for the smolts to emigrate from freshwater well before reaching very high water temperatures. Our results suggest that also the upper thermal limit is river-specific.

Downstream migration timing has frequently been related to water flow (McCormick *et al*., 1998) showing that migration of Atlantic salmon smolts can be initiated by increased water discharge during spring freshets (Hvidsten *et al*., 1995) albeit this correlation may be highly variable (Jonsson & Jonsson, 2009). We found that earlier migration among rivers

occurred at lower average water flow and at a higher positive change (increase) in flow. This might indicate that smaller rivers (low average flow) with an increase in the rate of change in discharge are more unstable in their hydrology and thus emptying the smolts out earlier. Alternatively, because rivers with larger discharge are usually longer some of the observed relationship could be due to longer migration distances to saltwater from multiple headwater streams.

Among-river variation in downstream migration was associated with oceanic thermal conditions at the sea entry point with later migrants finding higher sea temperatures. This relationship also varied from site to site, with sites that had later emigration also had a stronger relationship with SST. Several studies have reported the thermal regime experienced by smolts during the initial marine migration. For instance, Antonsson & Gudjonsson (2002) showed that smolts leaving northern Icelandic rivers would enter seawater at 5 ℃, Hvidsten *et al*. (1998) reported a consistent SST of *c*. 8 ℃ for smolts emigrating from five rivers in Norway, and Kennedy & Crozier (2010) showed that smolt in Northern Ireland would experience a thermal regime ranging from 7 to 12 ℃. Our analysis shows that among-river variation in smolt emigration was associated with a range of SST of about 0 to 15 ℃, and this further suggests that populations would be adapted to emigrate from the rivers and enter salt water at a particular and prevailing regional sea temperature. The specific sea temperature at which each population reaches the ocean environment should be consequently connected with a specific value of photoperiod (Fig S24c), the main cue used by the salmon to initiate smolting.

Year-to-year variability in the timing of the smolt run within rivers has often been related to variation in water temperature, resulting in delayed migration in cooler springs (Jonsson &

Ruud-Hansen, 1985; Jensen *et al*., 2012). We found that the timing of migration for the whole set of rivers and years was related to the thermal difference between fresh and salt water. When temperature in fresh water was ~3 ºC warmer than in the sea outside the river mouth, the migration occurred earlier. Earlier onset of migration at an increased temperature contrast between fresh and saltwater was previously shown for the River Bush, Northern Ireland (Kennedy & Crozier, 2010). Therefore, we conclude that smolts migrate earlier in warm river years, and that river temperature influences the timing of the smolt run, but selection has regulated the fish's ability to use photoperiod as a priming mechanism for the migration. This is consistent with laboratory studies that have shown clear physiological linkages between the photoperiod and the physiological preparation for smolting with local temperature serving a subordinate role (McCormick *et al*., 2002). The onset of migration, although accompanied and mediated by physiological changes, is a behavioural response. As such, priming mechanisms prepare animals for migration, and tend to be synchronized with long-term average conditions that are associated with selective drivers of migration. Releasing mechanisms are often de-coupled from these priming mechanisms, however, and allow animals to fine-tune behavioural responses to maximize their ability to take advantage of variable conditions (Dingle, 1996). Our data are consistent with this interpretation: salmon in each site would use specific day length to initiate smolting and entry the saltwater at a particular sea surface temperature. Photoperiod as a priming mechanism would tend to stabilize dates of migratory onset, but local temperatures and flow would be responsible for annual variation.

Time of ocean entry of Atlantic salmon influences post-smolt survival (Hansen & Jonsson, 1989; Antonsson *et al*., 2010) as has also been shown for several Pacific salmon species (Scheuerell *et al*., 2009). Therefore, natural selection would favour migration at a

time when conditions are favourable (Hansen & Jonsson, 1989; Hansen & Jonsson, 1991). During this time window the ionoregulatory ability of the fish may be optimal, with smolts that migrate too early or too late experiencing physiological stress (Handeland *et al*., 1998) and increased mortality (Antonsson *et al*., 2010). Increased mortality might be related to predation and its interaction with the physiological status of the smolts (Handeland *et al*., 1996), to food availability (Hvidsten *et al*., 2009), or to other stressors (Thorstad *et al*., 2012). Matching the sea temperature that is optimal for iono-regulation and antipredator behaviour, and the link with resource peaks that favour rapid growth is crucial for survival. For instance, Jutila *et al*. (2005) showed that for hatchery reared salmon smolt survival in the northern Baltic Sea was related to SST in June during the smolt emigration, and this relationship followed a dome-shaped pattern with optimal survival at 9 to 12 ºC. Furthermore, warmer sea temperatures at the time of ocean entry increase subsequent catches of salmon that have spent one winter at sea (Otero *et al*., 2011).

Various factors, including food availability affect marine survival (Beaugrand & Reid, 2012). We therefore used data-rich satellite information on chlorophyll *a* as a proxy for productivity. We found no support for a positive association between migration timing and chlorophyll *a* concentration at sea entry, and chlorophyll *a* concentration did not track SST or was connected with photoperiod. This suggests that smolt emigration is probably not adjusted to chlorophyll peaks, and that phytoplankton abundance is a poor indicator for early post-smolt feeding conditions. Successful initial feeding might be better represented by the abundance of fish larvae, large crustaceans, and nekton (Hvidsten *et al*., 2009; Renkawitz *et al*., 2011) and various other prey groups not available at the scale of this study. Information on these prey types would probably allow evaluation of the temporal connection of marine resources with migratory cues. Nevertheless, successful feeding for early post-smolts is

crucial to enhance growth and avoid predators (Rikardsen & Dempson, 2011). In addition, a "correct" migration timing should ensure that post-smolts arrive at distant water feeding grounds during periods of high prey abundance. Distance from the river to the feeding area in the North Atlantic increases with decreasing latitude notably for the south European populations and it is important to be present in the north at the start of the growth season (Friedland *et al*., 2013). Moreover, the importance of a precise timing at ocean entry is further emphasised by the fact that smolts entering seawater outside the narrow migration window stray more to other rivers when returning to spawn (Hansen & Jonsson, 1991).

We found a shift towards earlier onset of downstream migration for the Atlantic salmon smolts during the last five decades. For diadromous fishes, habitat shifts are key life history events subject to environmental variation. The downstream migration of species seems to be population-specific (Crozier *et al*., 2008; Jensen *et al*., 2012), but often with a trend towards earlier timing in recent years as noted for Atlantic salmon (Kennedy & Crozier, 2010), and a number of Pacific salmon species (Kovach *et al*., 2013). Nevertheless, our combined dataset estimated an overall out-migration advancement across the North Atlantic of 2.5 days per decade in the initial time of migration. This value parallels current mean estimates of global shifts of phenological responses of 2.8 days per decade in spring across the northern hemisphere for multiple taxonomic groups (Parmesan, 2007). Our estimate is, however, slightly faster than the 1.5 days shift per decade observed for a number of Pacific salmon species in an Alaskan river (Kovach *et al*., 2013). It is generally hypothesized that earlier phenology might be associated with the impacts of current climatic changes, and especially related to warming. However, different organisms respond differently, even when experiencing similar climatic trends (Parmesan, 2007). Further, the patterns of climatic changes are highly heterogeneous across Earth, thus very different responses are expected

among species. However, despite the differences in sensitivity to temperature, organisms should maintain their thermal niches by tracking temperature patterns (Cleland *et al*., 2012).

Recent global analyses show that, despite spatial and seasonal heterogeneity, oceanic and terrestrial ecosystems (Burrows *et al*., 2011) and coastal regions (Lima & Wethey, 2012) have experienced significant increases in temperature since the middle of the last century. In addition, seasonal shifts in temperature towards earlier spring arrival have been identified. However, Burrows *et al*. (2011) concluded that despite land temperatures warming three times faster than the ocean, the seasonal shifts were generally greater in the sea than on land. At the scale of our analysis, we found similar trends. First, we observed comparable average warming rates of freshwater, air, and sea surface temperatures at the coastal entry points. Second, we also observed earlier seasonal warming of air and sea surface temperatures, and an association between the onset of emigration and the timing of seasonal warming in air temperature. Increasing water temperature results in earlier migration (Jonsson & Ruud-Hansen, 1985). This effect has been described also for other salmonids such as brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) (Jonsson & Jonsson, 2011), and Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) (Jensen *et al*., 2012). Thus, it is plausible that global downstream smolt migrations have advanced due to increased river temperatures as changes in climate (Burrows *et al*., 2011), together with hydrological changes, are driving the current river temperature trends (van Vliet *et al*., 2011), which ultimately might have multiple implications for salmonid resources (Isaak *et al*., 2012). Thus, global warming could lead to a greater disconnect between cues for migration (photoperiod that is insensitive to climatic changes and water temperature) and the environmental conditions in the receiving marine environment with potential implications for fitness and productivity (Piou & Prévost, 2013). This effect might point to further long-term stock depression because it would no longer be possible to optimize survival if the cues are

disconnected from the environment. This mis-timing would have a survival cost; however, population dynamics could still be quite stable due to compensatory dynamics (Reed *et al.*, 2011).

Whether this change in migration timing of Atlantic salmon smolts is due to phenotypic plasticity or has a genetic basis remains to be understood. Despite evidence that adaptive microevolution can occur rapidly in many populations, separating the contribution of genetic adaptation and phenotypic plasticity is difficult (Hoffmann & Sgrò, 2011). For salmonids recent studies have shown that evolutionary and plastic responses can explain a phenotypic trend towards earlier migration into freshwater of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) (Crozier *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, a genetically based change towards earlier upstream migration of pink salmon (*O. gorbuscha*) has been documented (Kovach *et al.*, 2012). Nonetheless, our analysis cannot distinguish between the two responses and additional research is needed.

Timing of downstream migration may vary depending on smolt age and size. Slower-growing and older smolts tend to migrate earlier in spring within a river (Jonsson *et al.*, 1990; Jutila & Jokikokko, 2008; but see Jensen *et al.*, 2012). Recent analyses suggest that juvenile salmon now tend to grow faster and migrate to sea at younger ages and smaller sizes (Jonsson *et al.*, 2005), but with large variation among rivers (Russell *et al.*, 2012). The cause of this change in size and age may partially be ascribed to the hydrological regime and to an increase in temperature that regulate parr growth and age at smolting. Unfortunately it was not possible to assess the influence of smolt age on emigration timing since information on smolt age was lacking for most rivers and years.

We conclude that downstream migration timing of Atlantic salmon is strongly spatially structured as a result of photoperiodicity. Photoperiod would be linked with the spatial pattern of sea surface temperature at the time of ocean entry and would then be a priming mechanism differentiating the latitudinal among-river initiation of the smolt emigration. An overall trend towards earlier smolt migration was evident and probably associated with observed warming trends in the freshwater habitat. Global warming could lead to a reduced connection between the cues for migration and the environmental conditions in the receiving marine environment with potential implications for salmon survival through a mismatch with seawater conditions affecting population fitness and productivity. Declining survival would probably be associated with suboptimal ionoregulatory conditions causing an altered antipredator behaviour of the early post-smolts. Moreover, growth opportunities might be reduced if emigration timing does not match with the production of prey items that are experiencing changes in their own phenology inducing further food web alterations.

References

Anderson JJ, Gurarie E, Bracis C, Burke BJ, Laidre KL (2013) Modeling climate impacts on phenology and population dynamics of migratory marine species. *Ecological Modelling*, **264**, 83–97.

Antonsson T, Gudjonsson S (2002) Variability in timing and characteristics of Atlantic salmon smolt in Icelandic Rivers. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, **131**, 643–655.

Antonsson T, Heidarsson T, Snorrason SS (2010) Smolt emigration and survival to adulthood in two Icelandic stocks of Atlantic salmon. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, **139**, 1688–1698.

Beaugrand G, Reid PC (2012) Relationships between North Atlantic salmon, plankton, and hydroclimatic change in the Northeast Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **69**, 1549–1562.

Bi H, Ruppel RE, Peterson WT (2007) Modeling the pelagic habitat of salmon off the Pacific Northwest (USA) coast using logistic regression. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **336**, 249–265.

Bradshaw E, Holzapfel CM (2007) Evolution of animal photoperiodism. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **38**, 1–25.

Burrows MT, Schoeman DS, Buckley LB *et al*. (2011) The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science*, **334**, 652–655.

Castro-Santos T, Haro A (2003) Quantifying migratory delay: a new application of survival analysis methods. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **60**, 986–996.

Cleland EE, Allen JM, Crimmins TM *et al*. (2012) Phenological tracking enables positive species responses to climate change. *Ecology*, **93**, 1765–1771.

Crozier LG, Hendry AP, Lawson PW *et al*. (2008) Potential responses to climate change in organisms with complex life histories: evolution and plasticity in Pacific salmon. *Evolutionary Applications*, **1**, 252–270.

Crozier LG, Scheuerell MD, Zabel RW (2011) Using time series analysis to characterize evolutionary and plastic responses to environmental change: a case study of a shift toward earlier migration data in sockeye salmon. *American Naturalist*, **178**, 755–773.

Cushing DH (1990) Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: an update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. *Advances in Marine Biology*, **26**, 249–293.

Dempson JB, O'Connell MF, Schwarz CJ (2004) Spatial and trends in abundance of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, in Newfoundland with emphasis on impacts of the 1992 closure of the commercial fishery. *Fishery Management and Ecology*, **11**, 387–402.

Deser C, Alexander MA, Xie S-P, Phillips AS (2010) Sea surface temperature variability: patterns and mechanisms. *Annual Reviews in Marine Science*, **2**, 115–143.

Dingle H (1996) *Migration: The biology of life on the move*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Durant JM, Hjermann DØ, Ottersen G, Stenseth NC (2007) Climate and the match or mismatch between predator requirements and resource availability. *Climate Research*, **33**, 271–283.

Edwards M, Richardson AJ (2004) Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature*, **430**, 881–884.

Friedland KD, Shank BV, Todd CD, McGinnity P, Nye JA (2013) Differential response of continental stock complexes of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. *Journal of Marine Systems*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.03.003.

Handeland S, Järvi T, Fernö A, Stefansson SO (1996) Osmotic stress, antipredator behaviour, and mortality of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **53**, 2673–2680.

Handeland S, Berge Å, Björnsson BT, Stefansson SO (1998) Effects of temperature and salinity on osmoregulation and growth of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts in seawater. *Aquaculture*, **168**, 289–302.

Hansen LP, Jonsson B (1985) Downstream migration of reared smolts of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in the River Imsa. *Aquaculture*, **45**, 237–248.

Hansen LP, Jonsson B (1989) Salmon ranching experiments in the River Imsa: effect of timing of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolt migration. *Aquaculture*, **82**, 367–373.

Hansen LP, Jonsson B (1991) The effect of timing of Atlantic salmon smolt and post-smolt release on the distribution of adult return. *Aquaculture*, **98**, 61–67.

Hindar K, Hutchings JA, Diserud OH, Fiske P (2011) Stock, recruitment and exploitation. In: *Atlantic salmon ecology* (eds Aas Ø, Einum S, Klemetsen A, Skurdal J), pp. 299–332. Oxford, UK, Blackwell Publishing.

Hoar WS (1976) Smolt transformation: evolution, behaviour and physiology. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, **33**, 1233–1252.

Hoffmann AA, Sgrò CM (2011) Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature*, **470**, 479–485.

Hogan F, Friedland KD (2010) Retrospective growth analysis of Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* and implications for abundance trends. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **76**, 2502–2520.

Hvidsten NA, Jensen AJ, Vivås H, Bakke Ø, Heggberget TG (1995) Downstream migration of Atlantic salmon smolts in relation to water flow, water temperature, moon phase and social interaction. *Nordic Journal of Freshwater Research*, **70**, 38–48.

Hvidsten NA, Heggberget TG, Jensen AJ (1998) Sea water temperatures at Atlantic salmon smolt entrance. *Nordic Journal of Freshwater Research*, **74**, 79–86.

Hvidsten NA, Jensen AJ, Rikardsen AH *et al.* (2009) Influence of sea temperature and initial marine feeding on survival of Atlantic salmon post-smolts from the Rivers Orkla and Hals, Norway. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **74**, 1532–1548.

Isaak DJ, Wollrab S, Horan D, Chandler G (2012) Climate change effects on stream and river temperatures across the northwest U.S. from 1980–2009 and implications for salmonid fishes. *Climatic Change*, **113**, 499–524.

Jensen AJ, Finstad B, Fiske P, Hvidsten NA, Rikardsen AH, Saksgård L (2012) Timing of smolt migration in sympatric populations of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), and Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **69**, 711–723.

Jonsson B, Ruud-Hansen J (1985) Water temperature as the primary influence on timing of seaward migrations of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **42**, 593–595.

Jonsson B, Jonsson N (2004) Factors affecting marine production of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **61**, 2369–2383.

Jonsson B, Jonsson N (2009) A review of the likely effects of climate change on anadromous Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* and brown trout *Salmo trutta*, with particular reference to water temperature and flow. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **75**, 2381–2447.

Jonsson B, Jonsson N (2011) *Ecology of Atlantic Salmon and Brown Trout: Habitat as a Template for Life Histories*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Jonsson B, Jonsson N, Hansen LP (2003) Atlantic salmon straying from the River Imsa. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **62**, 641–657.

Jonsson N, Jonsson B (2005) Does climate during embryonic development influence parr growth and age of seaward migration in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **62**, 2502–2508.

Jonsson N, Jonsson B, Hansen LP (1990) Partial segregation in the timing of migration of Atlantic salmon of different ages. *Animal Behaviour*, **40**, 313–321.

Jonzén N, Lindén A, Ergon T *et al*. (2006) Rapid advance of spring arrival dates in long-distance migratory birds. *Science*, **312**, 1959–1961.

Jutila E, Jokikokko E (2008) Seasonal differences in smolt traits and post-smolt survival of wild Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, migrating from a northern boreal river. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, **15**, 1–9.

Jutila E, Jokikokko E, Julkunen M (2005) The smolt run and post smolt survival of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., in relation to early summer water temperatures in the northern Baltic Sea. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, **14**, 69–78.

Kauserud H, Heegaard E, Büntgen U *et al*. (2012) Warming-induced shift in European mushroom fruiting phenology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, **109**, 14488–14493.

Kennedy RJ, Crozier WW (2010) Evidence of changing migratory patterns of wild Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* smolts in the River Bush, Northern Ireland, and possible associations with climate change. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **76**, 1786–1805.

Kovach RP, Gharrett AJ, Tallmon DA (2012) Genetic change for earlier migration timing in a pink salmon population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, **279**, 3870–3878.

Kovach RP, Joyce JE, Echave JD, Lindberg MS, Tallmon DA (2013) Earlier migration timing, decreasing phenotypic variation, and biocomplexity in multiple salmonid species. *PLoS One*, **8**, e53807.

Lima FP, Wethey DS (2012) Three decades of high-resolution coastal sea surface temperatures reveal more than warming. *Nature Communications*, **3**, 704.

McCormick SD, Hansen LP, Quinn TP, Saunders RL (1998) Movement, migration, and smolting of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **55**, 77–92.

McCormick SD, Shrimpton JM, Moriyama S, Bjornsson BT (2002) Effects of an advanced temperature cycle on smolt development and endocrinology indicate that temperature is not a zeitgeber for smolting in Atlantic salmon. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **205**, 3553–3560.

McCormick SD, Lerner DT, Monette MY, Nieves-Puigdoller K, Kelly KT, Björnsson BT (2009) Taking it with you when you go: how perturbations to the freshwater environment, including temperature, dams, and contaminants, affect marine survival of salmon. *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, **69**, 195–214.

McNamara JM, Barta Z, Klaassen M, Bauer S (2011) Cues and the optimal timing of activities under environmental changes. *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 1183–1190.

Menzel A, Sparks TH, Estrella N *et al*. (2006) European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 1969–1976.

Miller-Rushing AJ, Høye TT, Inouye DW, Post E (2010) The effects of phenological mismatches on demography. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B*, **365**, 3177–3186.

Otero J, Jensen AJ, L'Abée-Lund JH, Stenseth NC, Storvik GO, Vøllestad LA (2011) Quantifying the ocean, freshwater and human effects on year-to-year variability of one-sea-winter Atlantic salmon angled in multiple Norwegian rivers. *PLoS One*, **6**, e24005.

Økland F, Jonsson B, Jensen AJ, Hansen LP (1993) Is there a threshold size regulating seaward migration of brown trout and Atlantic salmon? *Journal of Fish Biology*, **42**, 541–550.

Parmesan C (2007) Influences of species, latitudes and methodologies on estimates of phenological response to global warming. *Global Change Biology*, **13**, 1860–1872.

Pinheiro JC, Bates DM (2000) *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus*. Springer, New York, USA.

Piou C, Prévost E (2013) Contrasting effects of climate change in continental vs. oceanic environments on population persistence and microevolution of Atlantic salmon. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 711–723.

R Development Core Team (2012) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria, ISBN 3-900051-07-0. Available at: http://www.R-project.org.

Reed TE, Schindler DE, Hague MJ, Patterson DA, Meir E, Waples RS, Hinch SG (2011) Time to evolve? Potential evolutionary responses of Fraser River sockeye salmon to climate change and effects on persistence. *PLoS One*, **6**, e20380.

Renkawitz MD, Sheehan TF (2011) Feeding ecology of early marine phase Atlantic salmon Salmo salar post-smolts. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **79**, 356–373.

Reynolds RW, Rayner NA, Smith TM, Stokes DC, Wang W (2002) An improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate. *Journal of Climate*, **15**, 1609–1625.

Rikardsen AH, Dempson JB (2011) Dietary life-support: the food and feeding of Atlantic salmon at sea. In: *Atlantic salmon ecology* (eds Aas Ø, Einum S, Klemetsen A, Skurdal J), pp. 115–144. Oxford, UK, Blackwell Publishing.

Robinson RA, Crick HQP, Learmonth JA *et al*. (2009). Travelling through a warming world: climate change and migratory species. *Endangered Species Research*, **7**, 87–99.

Russell IC, Aprahamian MW, Barry J *et al*. (2012) The influence of the freshwater environment and the biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon smolts on their subsequent marine survival. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **69**, 1563–1573.

Scheuerell MD, Zabel RW, Sandford BP (2009) Relating juvenile migration timing and survival to adulthood in two species of threatened Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **46**, 983–990.

Spence BC, Hall JD (2010) Spatiotemporal patterns in migration timing of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) smolts in North America. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **67**, 1316–1334.

Stewart DC, Middlemas SJ, Youngson AF (2006) Population structuring in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): evidence of genetic influence on the timing of smolt migration in sub-catchment stocks. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, **15**, 552–558.

Thorstad EB, Whoriskey F, Rikardsen AH, Aarestrup K (2011) Aquatic nomads: the life and migrations of the Atlantic salmon. In: *Atlantic salmon ecology* (eds Aas Ø, Einum S, Klemetsen A, Skurdal J), pp. 1–32. Oxford, UK, Blackwell Publishing.

Thorstad EB, Whoriskey F, Uglem I, Moore A, Rikardsen AH, Finstad B (2012) A critical life stage of the Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*: behaviour and survival during the smolt and initial post-smolt migration. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **81**, 500–542.

van Vliet MTH, Ludwig F, Zwolsman JJG, Weedon GP, Kabat P (2011) Global river temperatures and sensitivity to atmospheric warming and changes in river flow. *Water Resources Research*, **47**, W02544.

Wolf P (1951) A trap for the capture of fish and other organisms moving downstream. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, **80**, 41–45.

Wolfram Research, Inc. (2010) Mathematica Edition: Version 8.0. Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, Illinois, USA.

Wood S (2006) *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R*. Chapman & Hall, London, UK.

Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R*. Springer, New York, USA.

Supporting Information legends

Supplementary Material and Methods: Detailed information on smolt sampling in each river is provided. Further additional details on the environmental variables are also provided here. Files: Otero et al_Supplementary Material and Methods.pdf; Otero et al_Supplementary Material and Methods_Table S3bis.xls

Supplementary Results: Here we present details on model selection, model validation, and other illustrative figures. File: Otero et al_Suplementary Results.pdf

Supplementary References: Literature cited in the Supplementary Material. File: Otero et al_Supplementary References.pdf

Table 1 Summary of the information used in the analyses. Years indicate the earliest and latest year with available data for any site within a country, and the total number of observations (sites and years) in that country is indicated in parenthesis. Relevant comments for each country are also provided. Further specific information for each sampling site is provided in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material and Methods.

Country	Nº sites	Years (*n*)	Sampling methods	Comments
1.	9	1970–2010	Fence, Rotary screw	River temperature predicted from air records in 3

Canada			(192)	trap	observations. Water flow non-recorded for 41 observations. SST filled in for 16 observations.
2. USA	7	1993–2010 (76)		Rotary screw trap, Inclined screen samplers	River temperature predicted from air records for 24 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 36 observations.
3. Iceland	3	1987–2008 (52)		Fence, Fyke net	River temperature predicted from air records for 2 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 31 observations.
4. Scotland	2	1975–2010 (48)		Wolf trap, Smolt trap	River temperature predicted from air records for 29 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 2 observations. SST filled in for 7 observations.
5. Ireland	2	1970–2010 (74)		Wolf trap	River temperature predicted from air records for 12 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 41 observations. SST filled in for 16 observations.
6. England	6	1981–2010 (59)		Smolt trap, Rotary screw trap	River temperature predicted from air records for 19 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 8 observations. SST filled in for 1 observation.
7. Wales	2	2000–2010 (17)		Rotary screw trap	River temperature predicted from air records for 1 observation.
8. France	2	1985–2010 (42)		Smolt trap	Water flow non-recorded for 2 observations.
9. Spain	2	1999–2009 (21)		Smolt trap	River temperature predicted from air records for 4 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 1 observation.
10.	1	1988–1995		Fence	Water flow non-recorded for 8 observations.

Russia	(6)			
11. Finland	9 (90)	1972–2009	Fyke net, Video camera	River temperature predicted from air records for 1 observation. Water flow non-recorded for 38 observations. SST filled in for 8 observations.
12. Norway	20 (203)	1976–2010	Wolf trap, Net trap, Fence, Video camera, River fish lift	River temperature predicted from air records for 32 observations. Water flow non-recorded for 13 observations. SST filled in for 6 observations.
13. Sweden	5 (23)	1961–2010	Rotary screw trap, Wolf trap, Smolt trap	Water flow non-recorded for 5 observations. SST filled in for 17 observations.

Table 2 Parameters for the optimal generalized additive mixed-effects model using 25% dates as the response variable obtained from 70 sampled sites (n = 903 observations). See model selection in Tables S4-S6 in the Supplementary Results. $\bar{T}$ = Mean River Temperature; SST = Sea Surface Temperature; Y = Year. These covariates were centred by subtracting 9 and 7º C, and yr. 1986, respectively before inclusion in the model. *lo* = longitude; *la* = latitude; S.D. = standard deviation; S.E. = standard error; C.I. = 95% confidence interval; E.d.f = estimated degrees of freedom. Note that exploratory generalized additive models revealed a linear relationship with SST (e.d.f = 1), thus this term was modelled as a parametric term.

Parameter	Estimate	S.E.	C.I.	t-value	E.d.f	F-value	P-value
Fixed effects							
Intercept	138.60	0.85		163.41			<0.0001

$\bar{T}$				1.86	9.23	<0.0001
SST	2.07	0.32	6.55			<0.0001
Y				1.26	69.92	<0.0001
lo,la				10.81	61.63	<0.0001
Random effects (S.D.)						
σ_a	5.81	4.68; 7.21				
σ_b	1.28	0.80; 2.06				
σ	6.01	5.72; 6.32				
Variance function						
δ	0.035	0.016; 0.053				

Figure legends

Fig. 1 North Atlantic environmental conditions. Basin-scale SST (a) and ln-Chlorophyll *a* (d) at 1º × 1º grid resolution annually averaged from 1982 to 2010 and 1998 to 2010, respectively. Seasonal effects were not removed. Note also that specific monthly averages showed the same spatial patterns for both variables. Seasonal pattern and long-term trend of SST (b, c) and ln-Chlorophyll *a* (e, f) for the whole region are also shown. Numbers in (a) indicate the regions examined in this study (see Fig. S1-S13 for detailed maps of each area).

Fig. 2 Emigration data. Distribution of observed onset (grey hatched bars) and median (open black bars) downstream migration dates (a). Relationship between the difference in days between the median date (50%) and the onset date (25%) in each site, and latitude (b), and onset date (c). Curves in (b) and (c) show the results of fitting a Poisson generalised linear model corrected for overdispersion. Slopes were non-statistically significant (P-value = 0.156), and significant (P-value < 0.0001), respectively.

Fig. 3 Main model fixed effects results. Spatial trend of the onset of seaward smolt migration across the North Atlantic Ocean (a). Isopleths indicate the number of days of earlier (negative values) or later (positive values) migration than the zero isopleth (day of the year ~138, Table 2). The broken lines are the confidence bands (±1 s.e.), and the dots indicate the location of the sampling sites. Partial plots showing the effects of mean river temperature ($\bar{T}$) (b), and sea surface temperature (SST) (c) on the onset of seaward migration. The long-term trend during the last five decades is shown in (d). See Fig. S15 for a plot showing the distribution of the data in (b-d). Smolt drawing credits in panel (a): © Atlantic Salmon Federation (www.asf.ca) / J.O. Pennanen.

Fig. 4 Main model random effects results. Scatter plot showing the correlation (0.76, 95% C.I.: 0.30–0.93) between the site-specific random effects estimates for the intercepts ($\alpha + a_i$) and SST slopes ($\beta_1 + b_i$) obtained from the optimal model depicted in Table 2. The colour scale indicates a gradient of increasing latitude, and the dot size shows the differences in longitude. The dotted lines show the population intercept (α) and slope (β_1).

Fig. 5 Relationship with temperature difference. Partial plot showing the effect of the temperature difference (T_{Dif}) between fresh and seawater on the onset of seaward migration. See full results of this model in Table S7 and Fig. S20.

Fig. 6 Relationships with river discharge. Partial plot showing the effect of ln-transformed mean river discharge ($\bar{Q}$) (a), and the discharge-day slope for the 10-day period preceding the 25% smolt descent dates (ΔQ) (b) on the onset of seaward migration. See full results of this model in Table S8 and Fig. S21.

Fig. 7 Temperature patterns. Frequency histograms of water temperature trends in rivers with at least 10 years of data (a), of air temperature records at stations close to the smolt sampling locations (b), and sea surface temperature (SST) at the coastal entry cells (c). Frequency histograms of changes in timing of seasonal warming in air temperature records (d), and in sea surface temperature (e). Relationship between the geographically adjusted date of onset of the smolt emigration and the date of seasonal warming in air temperature, defined as the annual achievement of the first day in each year during April and August exceeding the 75th percentile of the entire air temperature data set for each site (f). See Supplementary Material and Methods for details on the individual estimation of the patterns in (a-e). Note that on panels (c) and (e) there appear two extreme values. If removed, SST would warm at a mean rate of 0.30 ± 0.02 °C per decade, and an earlier arrival of warmer SST would occur at an average rate of 4.44 ± 0.25 days per decade.

The x-axis is labeled "Slopes ($\beta_1 + b_i$)" and the y-axis is labeled "Intercepts ($\alpha + a_i$)".

Latitude (ºN): 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70

Longitude (ºW–ºE): −60, −40, −20, 0, 20

Higher temperature in freshwater

(a)

(b)

(a) River temperature change (°C per decade)

(b) Air temperature change (°C per decade)

(c) SST change (°C per decade)

(d) Air temperature seasonal timing change (d per decade)

(e) SST seasonal timing change (d per decade)

(f) Air temperature seasonal timing / Day of the Year