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Answer to Exercise 10.1: We assume $\mathrm{P}($ Rain $=$ no $)=\mathrm{P}($ Sprinkler $=\mathrm{no})=$ 0.9 .
(a) $\varepsilon=\left\{\right.$ Holmes $^{\prime}$ lawn $=$ wet, Gibbon's lawn $=$ dry, Watson's lawn $=$ dry $\}$.
(b) We compute normalized likelihoods of the hypothesis given each subset of the evidence

| Gibbon's lawn=dry | Holmes' lawn = wet | Watson's lawn = dry | Sprinkler =yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | + |
|  | + | + | 1 |
| + | + | + | 5.05 |
| + |  | + | 9.88 |
| + | + |  | 1 |
| + | + | + | 1 |

(c) We compute Bayes' factor for each subset of the evidence

| Gibbon's lawn = dry | Holmes' lawn = wet | Watson's lawn = dry | Sprinkler = yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 |
|  | + | + | 81.1 |
| + | + | + | 0.92 |
| + |  | + | 73.66 |
| + | + |  | 81.1 |
| + | + | + | 7290.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

(d) We perform a what-if analysis on each evidence node

| Evidence change | $\mathrm{P}($ Sprinkler $=$ yes $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gibbon's lawn $=$ wet | 0.89 |
| Holmes' lawn $=$ dry | 0.01 |
| Watson's lawn $=$ wet | 0.89 |

(e) For each finding $\varepsilon_{X}$, we compute $\mathrm{P}($ Sprinkler $=$ yes $), \mathrm{P}($ Sprinkler $=$ yes $\mid \varepsilon \backslash$ $\left.\left\{\varepsilon_{X}\right\}\right)$ and $P($ Sprinkler $=$ yes $\mid \varepsilon)$

| Finding | $P($ Sprinkler $=$ yes $)$ | $P\left(\right.$ Sprinkler $=$ yes $\left.\mid \varepsilon \backslash\left\{\varepsilon_{X}\right\}\right)$ | $P($ Sprinkler $=$ yes $\mid \varepsilon)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gibbon's lawn $=$ dry | 0.1 | 0.9879 | 0.9999 |
| Holmes' lawn $=$ wet | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9999 |
| Watson's lawn $=$ dry | 0.1 | 0.9879 | 0.9999 |

## Answer to Exercise 10.2:

(a) $\varepsilon=\{$ Smoker $=$ yes, Asia $=$ yes, Dyspnea $=$ yes $\}$.
(b) We compute normalized likelihoods of the hypothesis given each subset of the evidence

| Smoker $=$ yes | Asia $=$ yes | Dyspnoa $=$ yes | Bronchitis $=$ yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | + |
|  | + |  | 1.85 |
|  | + | + | 1 |
| + |  |  | 1.80 |
| + | + | + | 1.33 |
| + | + | + | 1.96 |
| + | + | 1.33 |  |
|  |  |  | 1.93 |

(c) We compute Bayes' factor for each subset of the evidence

| Smoker $=$ yes | Asia $=$ yes | Dyspnoa $=$ yes | Bronchitis $=$ yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | + | + | 0.99 |  |
| + | + | + | 1 |  |
| + |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| + | + | + | 0.73 |  |
| + | + | + | 0.73 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.73 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.73 |  |

(d) We perform a what-if analysis on each evidence node

| Evidence change | $P($ Bronchitis $=$ yes $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Smoker $=$ no | 0.72 |
| Asia $=$ no | 0.88 |
| Dyspnoa $=$ no | 0.26 |

(e) For each finding $\varepsilon_{X}$, we compute $P($ Bronchitis $=$ yes $), P($ Bronchitis $=$ yes $\mid \varepsilon \backslash$ $\{\varepsilon x\})$ and $P($ Bronchitis $=$ yes $\mid \varepsilon)$

| Finding | $\mathrm{P}($ Bronchitis $=$ yes $)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(\right.$ Bronchitis $=$ yes $\left.\mid \varepsilon \backslash\left\{\varepsilon_{\chi}\right\}\right)$ | $\mathrm{P}($ Bronchitis $=$ yes $\mid \varepsilon)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoker $=$ yes | 0.45 | 0.81 | 0.87 |
| Asia $=$ yes | 0.45 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
| Dyspnoa $=$ yes | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.87 |

## Answer to Exercise 10.3:

(a) $\mathrm{P}($ Disease $=$ true $\mid$ Test $=$ true $)=0.0196$.
(b) $f(t)=\frac{19.6 * t}{18.6 \times t+0.98}$.
(c) $f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=19.3$.
(d) $(-\infty, 0.046)$.

Answer to Exercise 10.4:
(a) $f(t)=\frac{-1.086 * t+1.099}{-0.986 * t+1.099}$ computed for initial value $P($ Rain $=$ yes $)=0.1$.
(b) $f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=-0.135$.
(c) $f(t)=\frac{0.112 * t}{-0.986 * t+1.099}$ computed for initial value $P($ Rain $=$ yes $)=0.1$.
(d) $(-\infty, 0.81667)$ computed for initial value $P($ Rain $=y e s)=0.1$.

