



National Library  
of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale  
du Canada

Canadian Theses Service

Service des thèses canadiennes

Ottawa, Canada  
K1A 0N4

## NOTICE

The quality of this microform is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Reproduction in full or in part of this microform is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30, and subsequent amendments.

## AVIS

La qualité de cette microforme dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

La reproduction, même partielle, de cette microforme est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30, et ses amendements subséquents.

Permission has been granted to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author (copyright owner) has reserved other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her written permission.

L'autorisation a été accordée à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

L'auteur (titulaire du droit d'auteur) se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation écrite.

ISBN 0-315-53850-3

BEHAVIOR OF EARTHQUAKE  
RESISTANT  
SQUAT SHEAR WALLS

by  
Claude F. Pilette

A thesis  
submitted under the supervision of  
Dr. Murat Saatcioglu

in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Applied Science

Department of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
University of Ottawa  
November 5, 1987

© Claude F. Pilette, Ottawa, Canada, 1988.

## Abstract

Performance of slender reinforced concrete structural walls during strong seismic excitations has demonstrated that a ductile behavior can be attained by promoting flexural yielding of vertical reinforcement prior to shear failure. This can be achieved by providing shear strength in excess of the shear stress associated with the ultimate flexural capacity. However, in squat shear walls, the high ratio of shear force to bending moment may make this mechanism difficult to attain. The desirable flexural failure mode may be suppressed by a diagonal tension failure or by sliding of the wall at its base. It is apparent that the effectiveness of the vertical and horizontal wall reinforcement in resisting shear force and bending moment is unclear. Hence, more experimental data is required to attain full understanding of the load resisting mechanism in earthquake resistant squat walls.

In this investigation, two squat shear walls with an aspect ratio of one-half were designed, according to the current design codes, and tested. The main variable was the ultimate flexural capacity and associated nominal shear stress. An appropriate shear reinforcement was provided based on the maximum shear stress associated with the development of the full flexural capacity. Lateral displacement reversals were imposed and no axial load was applied to the specimens.

The test data were analytically studied. The load deflection relationships, crack patterns, moment-rotation and shear force-shear deformation relationships and general observations during the test were analyzed. Both specimens failed in a sliding shear mode prior to the attainment of their ultimate flexural capacities. A nominal shear stress of  $0.45\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa and  $0.69\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa was sustained by each wall respectively at 68% and 70% of their respective ultimate flexural capacity.

A finite element procedure and a software were developed to extend the experimental investigation of squat shear walls analytically. A good agreement with the test data was achieved.

Based on the experimental and analytical investigations and other researcher's findings, the behavior and failure modes of squat shear walls is presented.

## Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Dr. M. Saatcioglu who provided guidance and advice throughout the development and execution of this research project. I also wish to thank the assistance provided by Mr. Z. Wasiewicz and the technical staff of the Civil Engineering Department during the experimental part of this project.

The Financial assistance provided by the University of Ottawa and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife for her understanding and encouragement throughout the year.

# Contents

Abstract . . . . .	i
Acknowledgements . . . . .	iii
Notations . . . . .	xviii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General . . . . .	1
1.2 Literature review of experimental studies . . . . .	3
1.3 Objective and scope . . . . .	6
<b>2 Behavior of Squat Shear Walls</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Energy dissipation mechanism . . . . .	8
2.2 Shear resistance mechanism . . . . .	11
2.3 Design philosophy for squat shear walls . . . . .	13
2.4 Design building codes . . . . .	15

<b>3</b>	<b>Experimental Program</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1	Test specimens . . . . .	16
3.1.1	Design of Wall 4 . . . . .	17
3.1.2	Design of Wall 5 . . . . .	18
3.2	Fabrication . . . . .	20
3.3	Material properties . . . . .	22
3.3.1	Concrete . . . . .	22
3.3.2	Reinforcing steel . . . . .	26
3.4	Instrumentation . . . . .	26
3.5	Test set-up . . . . .	31
3.6	Loading program . . . . .	31
<b>4</b>	<b>Test Results</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	36
4.2	Wall 4 . . . . .	36
4.2.1	Load-Top displacement relationship and crack patterns	37
4.2.2	Load-Base slip relationship . . . . .	45
4.2.3	Load-Wall displacement relationship . . . . .	45
4.2.4	Load-Bar slip relationship . . . . .	48



4.2.5	Load-Total vertical displacement relationship . . . . .	51
4.2.6	Strains in vertical flexural reinforcement . . . . .	53
4.3	Wall 5 . . . . .	56
4.3.1	Load-Top displacement relationship and crack patterns	56
4.3.2	Load-Base slip relationship . . . . .	62
4.3.3	Load-Wall displacement relationship . . . . .	62
4.3.4	Load-Bar slip relationship . . . . .	66
4.3.5	Load-Total vertical displacement relationship . . . . .	66
4.3.6	Strains in vertical flexural reinforcement . . . . .	66
4.4	Discussion of test set-up . . . . .	68
<b>5</b>	<b>Discussion and Analysis of Test Results</b>	<b>74</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	74
5.2	Behavior of specimens . . . . .	75
5.2.1	Wall 4 . . . . .	75
5.2.2	Wall 5 . . . . .	77
5.3	Displacement components . . . . .	78
5.4	Moment - Rotation relationship . . . . .	87
5.5	Shear force - Shear distortion relationship . . . . .	92

5.6	Strain profiles . . . . .	93
5.7	Energy dissipation . . . . .	98
<b>6</b>	<b>Finite element analysis</b>	<b>102</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	102
6.2	Constitutive model of reinforced concrete under monotonic loading . . . . .	103
6.2.1	Compression field theory . . . . .	103
6.2.2	Constitutive model for concrete . . . . .	106
6.2.3	Constitutive model for reinforcing steel . . . . .	108
6.3	Finite element formulation . . . . .	108
6.3.1	Formulation selection . . . . .	108
6.3.2	Evaluation of stresses for reinforced concrete . . . . .	109
6.3.3	Solution of nonlinear equations . . . . .	110
6.4	Finite element analysis program . . . . .	112
6.5	Case studies . . . . .	114
6.5.1	Effect of foundation flexibility on wall response . . . . .	115
6.5.2	Comparison between observed and analytical behaviors of Wall 5 . . . . .	119
6.5.3	Effect of horizontal reinforcement on the behavior of Wall 1 . . . . .	123

-	
<b>7 Conclusions</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>A Design of Wall 4</b>	<b>136</b>
A.1 Moment capacity of the section . . . . .	136
A.2 Shear capacity of the section . . . . .	137
A.3 Detailing . . . . .	138
<b>B Design of Wall 5</b>	<b>139</b>
B.1 Moment capacity of the section . . . . .	139
B.2 Shear capacity of the section . . . . .	141
B.3 Detailing . . . . .	142
<b>C Computer Program Listing</b>	<b>143</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Load-Displacement response to cyclic loading of a ductile shear wall . . . . .	9
2.2	Effect of cyclic shear loading on load-displacement response	10
2.3	Failure modes for squat shear walls. . . . .	12
3.1	Schematic of Walls 4 and 5 . . . . .	17
3.2	Reinforcement layout and sections of Wall 4 . . . . .	19
3.3	Reinforcement layout and sections of Wall 5 . . . . .	21
3.4	Wall reinforcement of Wall 4 . . . . .	23
3.5	Wall reinforcement of Wall 5 . . . . .	23
3.6	Edge details of Wall 5 . . . . .	24
3.7	Stress-Strain relation of concrete for Wall 4 . . . . .	25
3.8	Stress-Strain relation of concrete for Wall 5 . . . . .	25
3.9	Stress-Strain relation of reinforcing steel . . . . .	27

3.10	Schematic of the location of LVDTs on Walls 4 and 5 . . . . .	27
3.11	Picture of LVDT 1 . . . . .	28
3.12	Picture of LVDTs 4 and 6 . . . . .	29
3.13	Strain gauge installation . . . . .	30
3.14	Data acquisition system . . . . .	30
3.15	Plane view of test set-up . . . . .	32
3.16	Loading reaction system . . . . .	33
3.17	Overall view of the test set-up . . . . .	34
3.18	Picture of load cell . . . . .	35
3.19	Intended loading program . . . . .	35
4.1	Actual loading program of Wall 4 . . . . .	37
4.2	Load-Top displacement relationship of Wall 4 . . . . .	38
4.3	Wall 4 crack pattern at end of elastic cycles . . . . .	40
4.4	Wall 4 crack pattern at $-1\Delta_y$ . . . . .	40
4.5	Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at $1\Delta_y$ . . . . .	41
4.6	Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at $2\Delta_y$ . . . . .	41
4.7	Wall 4 kinking of vertical bars at $4\Delta_y$ . . . . .	43
4.8	Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at $4\Delta_y$ . . . . .	43

4.9	Wall 4 kinking of vertical bars at $6\Delta_y$ . . . . .	44
4.10	Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at $6\Delta_y$ . . . . .	44
4.11	Wall 4 crack pattern at $-10\Delta_y$ and end of test . . . . .	46
4.12	Wall 4 detail of end region at end of test . . . . .	46
4.13	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 6 of Wall 4 . . .	47
4.14	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 7 of Wall 4 . . .	47
4.15	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 8 of Wall 4 . . .	48
4.16	Load-Top wall displacement relationship of Wall 4 excluding displacements due to sliding shear . . . . .	49
4.17	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 4 of Wall 4 . . .	50
4.18	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 5 of Wall 4 . . .	50
4.19	Load-Base rotation relationship for Wall 4 . . . . .	51
4.20	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 2 of Wall 4 . . .	52
4.21	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 3 of Wall 4 . . .	52
4.22	Load-Top rotation relationship for Wall 4 . . . . .	53
4.23	Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4 . . . . .	54
4.24	Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4 . . . . .	54
4.25	Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4 . . . . .	55
4.26	Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4 . . . . .	55

-

4.27	Actual loading program of Wall 5 . . . . .	56
4.28	Load-Top displacement of Wall 5 . . . . .	57
4.29	Wall 5 crack pattern after one elastic cycle . . . . .	59
4.30	Wall 5 crack pattern at the end of cycles at $1\Delta_y$ . . . . .	59
4.31	Wall 5 crack pattern at the end of cycles at $2\Delta_y$ . . . . .	60
4.32	Wall 5 kinking of vertical bars at $2\Delta_y$ . . . . .	61
4.33	Wall 5 end detail at end of cycles at $2\Delta_y$ . . . . .	61
4.34	Wall 5 crack pattern at end of cycles at $4\Delta_y$ and end of test	63
4.35	Wall 5 detail of end region at end of test . . . . .	63
4.36	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 6 of Wall 5 . . .	64
4.37	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 7 of Wall 5 . . .	64
4.38	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 8 of Wall 5 . . .	65
4.39	Load-Top wall displacement relationship for Wall 5 excluding displacements due to sliding shear . . . . .	65
4.40	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 4 of Wall 5 . . .	67
4.41	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 5 of Wall 5 . . .	67
4.42	Load-Base rotation relationship of Wall 5 . . . . .	68
4.43	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 2 of Wall 5 . . .	69
4.44	Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 3 of Wall 5 . . .	69

4.45	Load-Top rotation relationship of Wall 5 . . . . .	70
4.46	Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5 . . . . .	70
4.47	Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5 . . . . .	71
4.48	Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5 . . . . .	72
4.49	Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5 . . . . .	72
4.50	Schematic of cracks in foundation beam . . . . .	73
5.1	Contribution of base slip to the top displacement . . . . .	76
5.2	Wall 4 Load-Base slip relationship . . . . .	80
5.3	Wall 4 Load-Bar slip relationship . . . . .	80
5.4	Wall 4 Load-Shear deformation relationship . . . . .	81
5.5	Wall 4 Load-Flexural deformation relationship . . . . .	81
5.6	Wall 5 Load-Base slip relationship . . . . .	82
5.7	Wall 5 Load-Bar slip relationship . . . . .	82
5.8	Wall 5 Load-Shear deformation relationship . . . . .	83
5.9	Wall 5 Load-Flexural deformation relationship . . . . .	83
5.10	Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ . . . . .	85
5.11	Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at $1\Delta_y$ . . . . .	85
5.12	Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at $2\Delta_y$ . . . . .	86



5.13	Wall 4	Load-Wall displacements history at $4\Delta_y$	86
5.14	Wall 5	Load-Wall displacements history at $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$	88
5.15	Wall 5	Load-Wall displacements history at $1\Delta_y$	88
5.16	Wall 5	Load-Wall displacements history at $2\Delta_y$	89
5.17	Wall 5	Load-Wall displacements history at $4\Delta_y$	89
5.18		Moment-Curvature relationship for Walls 4 and 5	90
5.19	Wall 4	Analytical and experimental moment-rotation relationships	91
5.20	Wall 5	Analytical and experimental moment-rotation relationships	91
5.21	Wall 4	Analytical and experimental shear force-shear deformation relationships	94
5.22	Wall 5	Analytical and experimental shear force-shear deformation relationships	94
5.23	Wall 4	Base strain distributions in vertical reinforcement	96
5.24	Wall 5	Base strain distributions in vertical reinforcement	97
5.25	Wall 4	Analytical and experimental moment-curvature relationships	99
5.26	Wall 5	Analytical and experimental moment-curvature relationships	99
5.27	Wall 4	Energy dissipated-cumulative ductility imposed	100
5.28	Wall 5	Energy dissipated-cumulative ductility imposed	100

6.1	Modified Compression Field theory for reinforced concrete .	104
6.2	Constitutive model of compressive stress-strain relation of concrete . . . . .	107
6.3	Constitutive model for stress-strain relationship of steel . .	109
6.4	Simplified flow chart for FEASSW . . . . .	113
6.5	Finite element meshes . . . . .	116
6.6	Wall 1 comparison between analytical and observed behavior	117
6.7	Wall 6 comparison between analytical and observed behavior	118
6.8	Wall 5 comparison between analytical and observed behavior	120
6.9	Wall 5 comparison of crack patterns at load stage 1, $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$	121
6.10	Wall 5 comparison of crack patterns at load stage 12, $1\Delta_y$	122
6.11	Wall 1 effect of horizontal reinforcement of wall behavior .	124
6.12	Wall 1 analytical crack patterns at 4 mm . . . . .	125
6.13	Wall 1 analytical crack patterns at 10 mm . . . . .	126
6.14	Wall 1 comparison of crack patterns at 20 mm . . . . .	128
A.1	Horizontal section of Wall 4 . . . . .	138
A.2	Strain distribution at ultimate curvature . . . . .	138
B.1	Horizontal section of Wall 5 . . . . .	141

-

B.2 Strain distribution at ultimate curvature . . . . . 141

# List of Tables

3.1	Material properties . . . . .	26
6.1	Material properties used in finite element analyses . . . . .	115

## Notations

$E_c$	=	modulus of elasticity of concrete (initial tangent stiffness)
$f'_c$	=	maximum compressive stress observed in a cylinder test (negative quantity)
$f_{c1}$	=	principal tensile stress in concrete (positive quantity)
$f_{c2}$	=	principal compressive stress in concrete (negative quantity)
$f_{cr}$	=	stress in concrete at cracking (positive quantity)
$f_{cx}$	=	stress in concrete in $x$ -direction
$f_{cy}$	=	stress in concrete in $y$ -direction
$f_x$	=	stress in reinforced concrete in $x$ -direction
$f_{sx}$	=	average stress in $x$ -reinforcement
$f_{sy}$	=	average stress in $y$ -reinforcement
$f_y$	=	stress in reinforced concrete in $y$ -direction
$f_{yx}$	=	yield stress of $x$ -reinforcement
$f_{yy}$	=	yield stress of $y$ -reinforcement
$f_u$	=	ultimate stress of reinforcement
$G$	=	elastic shear modulus of concrete
$S_\theta$	=	spacing of cracks inclined at $\theta$
$S_{mx}$	=	average spacing of cracks perpendicular to the $x$ -reinforcement
$S_{my}$	=	average spacing of cracks perpendicular to the $y$ -reinforcement
$v_{cxy}$	=	shear stress in concrete relative to $x, y$ axes
$v_{xy}$	=	shear stress in reinforced concrete relative to $x, y$ axes
$w$	=	crack width
$\epsilon_1$	=	maximum principal strain (positive quantity)
$\epsilon_2$	=	minimum principal strain (negative quantity)
$\epsilon'_c$	=	strain in concrete cylinder at peak stress $f'_c$ (negative quantity)
$\epsilon_{cr}$	=	strain in concrete at cracking (positive quantity)
$\epsilon_{sh}$	=	strain at onset of strain hardening in reinforcement
$\epsilon_{sy}$	=	yield strain of reinforcement
$\epsilon_{su}$	=	ultimate strain in reinforcement
$\gamma_{xy}$	=	shear strain relative to $x, y$ axes
$\theta$	=	angle of inclination of principal strains to $x$ -axis
$\theta_c$	=	angle of inclination of principal stresses in concrete to $x$ -axis
$\nu$	=	Poisson ratio of reinforced concrete
$\rho_{sx}$	=	reinforcement ratio of reinforcing steel in $x$ -direction
$\rho_{sy}$	=	reinforcement ratio of reinforcing steel in $y$ -direction

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 General

The usefulness of reinforced concrete walls in earthquake resistant structures has long been recognized. When walls are located in advantageous positions, they can be very effective in providing the required strength and stiffness to lateral loads. Depending on geometric and functional requirements, structural walls are used in a number of different forms. Isolated walls provide lateral resistance through cantilever action. Coupled walls and frame wall systems show significant interaction of walls with other structural members. Furthermore, depending on wall aspect ratio (height-to-width ratio), the governing mode of deformation and hence the structural response to cyclic loading can be quite different.

Structural walls with an aspect ratio of less than two are called squat shear walls. They are often used in low-rise buildings where they play an important role in resisting earthquake forces. Squat walls may also be used in high-rise buildings where part of the lateral load may be assigned to them.

The philosophy of earthquake resistant design for buildings has been well established [14,15,16]. Three basic design criteria that the designer aims to

achieve, when using structural walls in earthquake resistant structures, are put forward as follows:

1. To provide adequate stiffness so that during low intensity earthquakes, the elastic response of a shear wall can ensure near full protection against damage of non-structural components.
2. To provide adequate strength to ensure that moderate earthquakes do not result in more than superficial structural damage.
3. To provide adequate ductility and capability to dissipate energy in the event of a severe earthquake.

The performance of shear walls during severe earthquakes has often been questioned especially when prevention of total collapse is a prerequisite. For this reason, higher design earthquake loads are specified in the National Building Code of Canada [7,8] for shear wall structures. The increase in design load is introduced through an augmentation of the numerical coefficient,  $K$ , which reflects the material and type of construction, damping, ductility and energy-absorptive capacity of shear walls.

Performance of structural walls during recent earthquakes has demonstrated that a ductile behavior can be attained under strong seismic excitations. Slender walls are especially capable of developing the required ductility [24]. Ductile behavior can be attained by promoting flexural yielding of vertical reinforcement prior to shear failure. This is easily achieved by designing the walls for shear strength in excess of that corresponding to flexural yielding. However, in squat shear walls, the high ratio of shear force to bending moment may make this mechanism difficult to attain. The mode of failure at ultimate load may be unpredictable. Indeed the desirable flexural failure mode described previously may be suppressed by a diagonal tension failure or by sliding of the wall at its base. Also, high lateral loads can induce a diagonal compression failure, characterized by crushing of concrete in the compression zone of the wall.

It is apparent that the effectiveness of the vertical and horizontal wall reinforcement in resisting shear force and bending moment reversals is unclear.

More experimental data is required to attain full understanding of the load resisting mechanism in earthquake resistant squat walls.

## 1.2 Literature review of experimental studies

Considerable research has been carried out to investigate the performance of structural walls found in high-rise buildings. However, only a limited amount of this research is relevant to squat shear walls. The performance of squat walls is often compared with that of deep beams due to their geometric similarities. However the application of the load, generating shear stresses, is different in these two types of structural members. In squat walls, the load is introduced as a line load along the joint between the floor slab and the wall, or foundation and the wall. In deep beams, the load(s) and reactions are directly applied to the faces of the member. This considerably enhances the load resisting mechanism of the beam through an arch action. Therefore the extensive studies of reinforced concrete deep beams, conducted in the past, are not applicable to squat shear walls.

In 1957, Benjamin et al. [13] tested 2.44 m high 3.66 m long plain and reinforced concrete panels. The purpose of the investigation was to develop design data for shear walls resisting atomic blast loading. Monotonically shear force was applied in the plane of the wall through a member at the top which distributed the load along the panel length. Tension and compression columns were provided at either end of the specimens. They observed that panel reinforcement was affecting the cracked wall behavior. On a series of tests conducted on panels with aspect ratio varying between 0.32 and 1.25, they concluded that the panel height-to-length ratio had a direct and pronounced influence on wall behavior.

Tests were carried out at University of Canterbury by Beekhuis [12] in 1971 on three 500 mm by 500 mm cantilever shear walls. He studied the behavior of squat shear walls under cyclic loading. The effects of flexural and shear reinforcement on the mode of failure and ductility were investigated. It was suggested that, for a ductile failure mechanism in low-rise shear walls,



the nominal shear stresses associated with flexural overturning of the wall should be limited. Also, because the flexural failure mechanism is associated with large cracks, no reliance should be placed on the contribution of concrete toward shear strength.

In 1973, Cardenal et al. [18] tested seven large scale specimens at the Portland Cement Association. Walls of 1.90 m by 1.90 m were subjected to static in-plane horizontal loads without any vertical loading. One of the specimens was subjected to ten cycles of load reversals. Its strength was found to be approximately 7% less than that for a similar wall subjected to monotonic loading. It was concluded that for walls with an aspect ratio of one, both vertical and horizontal shear reinforcement were effective in contributing to the shear strength of walls.

Barda et al. [9] tested eight specimens with boundary elements at the Portland Cement Association in 1977. Static and cyclic in-plane loading was applied. The length of the walls was kept constant at 1.90 m whereas the height was varied between 0.48 m and 1.90 m. The objective of this test program was to obtain data on the strength, energy absorption, performance under load reversals, and serviceability of low-rise shear walls with boundary elements. The walls contained sufficient flexural reinforcement to provide a moment capacity larger than the shear strength, forcing the walls to fail in shear. The results of this investigation may be summarized as follows:

1. The shear strength of walls was not affected by the amount of flexural reinforcement.
2. Load reversals decreased the strength by about 10%.
3. For an aspect ratio of one-half and less, horizontal wall reinforcement did not contribute to shear strength but was effective in producing a more uniform crack pattern.
4. The shear strengths of walls with aspect ratios of one-quarter and one-half were the same. However the strength was 20% lower in walls with an aspect ratio of one.

5. The shear force was transmitted from the top slab to the base of the wall by compressive struts.

Synge [35] in 1977, conducted reversed cyclic load tests at University of Canterbury on four reinforced concrete shear walls with a height-to-length ratio of one half. The units were 3 m long and 1.5 m high. Two walls had a rectangular cross section, and the other two were constructed with flanges. Some of these walls contained diagonal shear reinforcement. The following conclusions were made:

1. All walls resisted the applied load in a flexural manner. The corner to corner failure plane was suppressed by using shear reinforcement corresponding to its ultimate flexural capacity of the walls.
2. At high level of lateral deformations, approximately one half of the applied shear was carried by the horizontal reinforcement.
3. The magnitude of slipping of the wall along the wall foundation interface governed the extent to which ductile flexural yielding could occur in the wall.
4. The general hysteric performance of squat shear walls was substantially improved by diagonal shear reinforcement.

Hernandez et al. [25] at University of Mexico in 1980 studied the hysteretic behavior of reinforced concrete walls that were critical in shear. Twenty two models with 1:8 scale and a full scale wall were tested under alternating lateral loads. The test parameters included; aspect ratio, compressive strength of concrete, horizontal and vertical steel reinforcement, axial load, and boundary elements. They observed that structural walls, critical in shear, had an inadequate hysteretic behavior, showing progressive deterioration of strength under repeated load reversals. Also, the efficiency of boundary elements in terms of improving the hysteretic behavior was found to be low.

Two squat shear walls were tested at the University of Toronto by Wiradinata [40] in 1985. The main variable was the wall aspect ratio. The walls

were 2 m long 0.5 m and 1 m high respectively. The amount of reinforcement and the load reversal program used in both specimens were identical. It was concluded that:

1. The shear strength of squat shear walls increases with decreasing aspect ratio.
2. Sliding of the wall as a whole may govern the failure mode beyond a certain level of shear stress if the wall had previously yielded in flexure.
3. For walls with an aspect ratio of one half, a ductile flexural response is possible with the minimum horizontal steel depending on the flexural capacity of the wall.
4. Flexural and shear deformations are equally significant within the deformation range where no strength decay takes place under load reversals.
5. Hysteretic force-deformation curves of squat walls show significant pinching as characterized by shear deformations.
6. Stiffness degradation of walls take place under repeated load reversals.

Two squat shear walls were tested at the University of Ottawa by Wasiewicz [39] in 1987. The main objective of his research program was to suppress sliding shear failure in squat shear walls by special detailing of the reinforcement at the base of the wall. It was concluded that starting bars placed between flexural reinforcement up to 20% of the height of the wall were effective in preventing sliding shear failures.

### 1.3 Objective and scope

The objective of the research program is to investigate the failure modes in earthquake resistant squat shear walls. Both experimental and analytical investigations are included in the study of the shear wall behavior.

The following forms the scope of the investigation:

1. Review of previous research and current state of the art on aseismic design of squat shear walls.
2. Determination of the parameters that affect the failure modes and identification of those that have not been examined experimentally.
3. Design and construction of large scale test specimens to study the effect of selected parameters on the failure modes of shear walls.
4. Testing of wall specimens under simulated seismic loading.
5. Evaluation and presentation of the test results.
6. Development of a finite element procedure and a software to extend the parametric investigation of shear walls analytically.
7. Establishment of the relationships between the design parameters and failure modes.
8. Presentation of research findings.

## Chapter 2

# Behavior of Squat Shear Walls

### 2.1 Energy dissipation mechanism

The ability of a reinforced concrete structure to perform in the inelastic range has often been measured in terms of ductility. In general the term ductility is defined as the ratio of a specified deformation (displacement, rotation, distortion, etc...) at a particular stage of loading to that of yield point. The term ductility is a useful index to describe the energy absorption capability of a squat shear wall. However this holds true only in cases where the load capacity is maintained during load reversals without appreciable loss of strength and stiffness.

It is well recognized that it is uneconomical to design walls to resist lateral forces within the elastic limit during a severe earthquake. Consequently it is generally accepted that energy dissipation, involving considerable large excursions into the postelastic range, will have to be relied upon. As mentioned previously, prevention of collapse is one of the fundamental design criteria. Therefore it is necessary to ensure that plastic deformations in the wall can occur while the lateral load capacity is not significantly reduced.

In most situations involving large earthquake imposed displacements, en-




Figure 2.1: Load-Displacement response to cyclic loading of a ductile shear wall

energy dissipation by hysteretic damping is a viable means by which survival of shear walls can be assured. To ensure the desired energy dissipation, the designer's primary aim will be to minimize the inevitable degradation in both stiffness and strength.

Hysteretic damping is readily available in reinforced concrete walls through inelastic flexural deformations. Although yielding of the flexural reinforcement is a good source of energy dissipation, inelastic deformation of concrete is not as efficient. Concrete being a brittle material will show a rapid strength degradation when subjected to cyclic loading. Contribution of concrete towards shear strength in plastic region should therefore be neglected in design. The desired response of a ductile wall subjected to lateral load reversals shows well rounded load-displacement hysteresis loops as depicted in Figure 2.1.

Shear distortions under inelastic cyclic shear loading are generally unsuit-




Figure 2.2: Load-Displacement response for (1) idealized elasto-plastic, (2) optimal, (3) (4) repeated shear affected conditions in reinforced concrete walls

able means for energy dissipation. Shear resistance after inelastic shear displacements is not so easily available. It can be attained only when the subsequently imposed displacement is larger than the largest previously applied displacement. Therefore inelastic tensile strains in reinforcement can never be recovered and consequently the width of diagonal cracks increases with progressive cyclic loading. Curves 3 and 4 of Figure 2.2 show typical load-displacement responses which have been affected by significant shear displacements. It is also seen that inelastic shear displacement results in strength and stiffness degradation.

From the features discussed previously it becomes clear that a realistic design procedure should attempt to minimize the probable occurrence of inelastic shear deformation and promote energy dissipation by flexural yielding.

## 2.2 Shear resistance mechanism


Various mechanisms of shear resistance that interfere with efficient energy dissipation in squat shear walls are reviewed in this section. Diagonal tension, diagonal compression and sliding shear failures are discussed with reference to squat shear walls. Before considering each of these failure modes, base fixity at the foundation level of squat shear walls needs to be examined.

The gravity load on squat walls may be very small, even nonexistent. Therefore the lateral load capacity may be limited by the stability requirement of statics. The full lateral load capacity of the wall can only be developed if significant resistance against overturning is achieved. This requires the foundation to be capable of developing tension associated with foundation uplift.


Reinforced concrete walls subjected to shear stresses develop principal tensile stresses with approximately  $45^\circ$  inclination. Failure associated with these tensile stresses is referred to as diagonal tension failure. Diagonal tension failure usually occur when the horizontal reinforcement is insufficient. The mode of load application at the top edge of squat walls has a significant effect on the diagonal tension strength of such walls. The usual  $45^\circ$  diagonal crack as shown in Figure 2.3(a) does not result in failure due to the tie beam or floor slab which acts as a huge stirrup. The load is transferred to the remainder of the wall by this top member, forcing it to develop a corner to corner failure plane as shown in Figure 2.3(b). However, for walls with aspect ratio varying between one and two, the  $45^\circ$  failure plane may control the failure mode.

As principal tensile stresses develop, principal compressive stresses also develop in the perpendicular plane. These stresses are transferred through compression struts between the diagonal cracks. Excessive compression in these struts may build up if diagonal tension failure is prevented. This may produce a diagonal compression failure by crushing the concrete under heavy compression. It usually takes place when the average shear stress in the wall section is high. Under reversed cyclic loading, two sets of di-







a) 45° diagonal tension failure



b) Diagonal tension failure



c) Diagonal compression failure



d) Sliding shear failure

Figure 2.3: Failure modes for squat shear walls.

agonal cracks develop in two opposite directions. Opening and closing of these diagonal cracks under cyclic loading reduces considerably the compression strength of concrete. Therefore, the diagonal compression failure may develop at a much lower average shear stress. As a result, crushing of concrete spreads rapidly over the entire length of the wall, as depicted in Figure 2.3(c), resulting in a drastic and irrecoverable loss of strength.

As described previously, the desired energy dissipation would be expected to come mainly from yielding of the vertical flexural reinforcement. However, after few inelastic cycles the phenomenon of sliding shear can occur. This mode of failure is characterized by sliding of the wall along its base due to flexural cracks which interconnect and form a continuous weak plane along the construction joint, as shown in Figure 2.3(d). Sliding shear is responsible for a significant reduction of stiffness and energy dissipation. This failure mode has been extensively studied by Wasiewicz [39].

## 2.3 Design philosophy for squat shear walls

For squat shear walls the assessment of flexural and shear strength cannot be based on the beam theory applicable to tall structural walls. Experiments with reinforced concrete tall walls with adequate shear reinforcement indicate that the shear force has little influence on the development of flexural capacity. This enables the designer to ignore the interaction of shear and flexure and to deal with them separately. Park and Pauley [28] showed, however, that an intimate relation does exist between flexure, shear, bond and anchorage in the region of high shear force and bending moment.

Even though the assumptions on which flexural response of tall walls are based [2], do not hold true in squat shear walls, the standard approach for the prediction of flexural strength is likely to be satisfactory for design purposes. In the derivation of the ultimate flexural capacity that can be mobilized during very large excursions in the postelastic range, the following factors need to be taken into account:

1. The ultimate moment resistance must be based on the contribution of all vertical bars that are present in the wall section, including vertical steel for shrinkage control.
2. The strength of the reinforcement must be based on the actual yield strength rather than on the nominal strength.
3. As large steel strains are expected, it is necessary to evaluate or approximate the additional strength develop due to strain hardening.
4. Some allowance may have to be made for the increase in concrete strength to a value larger than that used in the design.

For seismic design procedures the non-linearity of flexural strains, known to occur in deep beams, do not need to be taken into account for squat shear walls. It may be assumed that at ultimate curvature all flexural bars in the wall yield in tension or compression, as appropriate. Since earthquake type of loading will need to be resisted in both lateral directions, a symmetrical distribution of vertical flexural steel is the common practice. In most cases the amount of flexural reinforcement will be small because a relatively large internal lever arm may be utilized and the lateral load capacity of the wall may be limited by the inability of the foundation to resist the overturning moment. Therefore it will be more practical to distribute the vertical reinforcement uniformly over the full length of the wall with only a small increase at the vertical edges.

One prerequisite for ductile flexural response of squat walls, and hence for significant energy dissipation by plastic hinging, is that shear failure should be suppressed. If this principle is accepted, then every precaution must be taken to prevent a possible shear failure during a seismic disturbance of some duration [29]. Diagonal tension failure should be prevented by providing horizontal shear reinforcement capable of transferring across the potential failure plane a shear force in excess of that produced at attainment of the ultimate flexural capacity. Diagonal compression failure may be avoided by prescribing a maximum average shear stress value, limiting the ultimate flexural strength of the wall section as suggested by Aktan and Bertero [1]. If both diagonal tension and compression failures

are prevented, the full flexural capacity of the wall should be developed. Consequently excessive yielding of vertical reinforcement will occur, hence triggering the undesirable sliding shear phenomenon. The severity of sliding shear is considered to increase with an increase in expected ductility demand and a decrease in wall aspect ratio [41]. Unless specially detailed, all ductile squat shear walls are likely to fail in this mode.

## 2.4 Design building codes

Code recommendations used in the past have been mostly based on the early work of Benjamin and Williams [13] on low-rise shear walls. The work of Cardenas et al. [18] and Barda et al. [9] has contributed much toward the present understanding of squat shear wall resisting mechanism. In spite of their contributions, very little is said about squat shear walls in the American code and no address is made in the Canadian code. Therefore the designer must rely on the present state of the code for ductile flexural walls to design squat shear walls or seek additional informations elsewhere [29,30].

As far as special provisions for seismic design are concerned, the North American codes limit the maximum applied nominal shear stress for shear walls. This value has been accepted for many years in North America. Extensive experimental work, involving the testing of numerous low and high rise shear walls under monotonic static load, has shown this value to be conservative, as mentioned by Cardenas et al. [19] and Fiorato et al. [23]. However, the code limit for nominal shear stress was never meant for situations under seismic conditions [32]. Pauley [31] observed that shear stresses of this magnitude cannot be sustained across plastic hinges, which is likely to occur over the full height of squat walls, when cyclic loading is involved. Also, the contribution of concrete towards shear strength in plastic regions of shear walls shall not be taken into account, as stipulated by the Canadian code. Nevertheless the American code allows the designer to include and increase that contribution for walls with aspect ratio less than two.

## Chapter 3

# Experimental Program

### 3.1 Test specimens

Two reinforced concrete squat walls with rectangular sections were tested and analyzed in this investigation. These walls were part of an overall investigation on earthquake resistant squat walls and formed the fourth and fifth walls in the test program. Therefore they were labelled as Wall 4 and Wall 5. Both walls had a height-to-length ratio of one half with the same overall dimensions. The two specimens were designed and detailed as 1/3 scale models with orthogonal reinforcement arrangement in two layers. Both walls were subjected to cyclic load reversals without vertical loading. Walls 1 & 2 and 3 & 6 were reported by Wiradinata [40] and Wasiewicz [39] respectively.

A rectangular heavily reinforced beam was cast along the top horizontal edge of each wall. The purpose of this top beam was to simulate the actual floor-slab system and to provide a uniform load transfer. Also, a heavily reinforced base footing was provided for each wall. This bottom beam was used to provide fixity at the base and hence transfer the load uniformly from the wall to the footing. This footing was connected on to the strong floor of the structural laboratory by means of four 1800 mm long by 70 mm




Figure 3.1: Schematic of Walls 4 and 5

diameter high strength bolts. The overall dimensions of Walls 4 and 5 are presented in Figure 3.1.

### 3.1.1 Design of Wall 4

Wall 4 was designed as a companion specimen to Wall 1 reported by Wiradinata [40]. The same arrangement of the vertical flexural reinforcement was used, resulting in a predicted applied shear stress of  $0.67\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa. The main objective of Wall 4 was to suppress the corner to corner failure plane observed in Wall 1 and hence to achieve a better ductile behavior.

Flexural strength was based on the vertical reinforcement provided, using the conventional beam theory and assuming that it applies to squat shear walls. A vertical reinforcement ratio of 0.8% of the gross cross-sectional area was used, resulting in eight pairs of 10M deformed bars placed symmetrically along the wall with only a nominal increase at the edges. Proper bar anchorage was provided into the bottom and top beams. Based on the measured material properties, the flexural capacity of the cross-section was found to be 698 kN·m. Detailed computations are presented in Appendix A.

From the predicted bending moment capacity, a design shear force of 612 kN was obtained. Neglecting concrete contribution towards shear strength, this resulted in a horizontal shear reinforcement ratio of 0.8%. Four pairs of 10M deformed bars were placed uniformly over the height of the wall for a design shear strength of 614 kN. It was believed that the diagonal tension failure observed in Wall 1, with a shear reinforcement ratio of 0.25%, could be suppressed by providing a shear strength capacity in excess of the shear force obtained at ultimate curvature.

Stirrups were provided at both ends of the wall to prevent premature buckling of the vertical bars in compression. These stirrups were made of 6.4 mm diameter plain steel and were spaced at 80 mm. The effect on confinement of concrete provided by these stirrups was not considered in design calculations.

The details of the reinforcement layout and sections of the footing, the wall and the top member are presented in Figure 3.2.

### 3.1.2 Design of Wall 5

Wall 5 was designed for a higher flexural capacity than Wall 4. It was designed to develop an applied shear stress of  $1.01\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa which is higher than the maximum permissible value of  $0.83\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa allowed by Design Building Codes [3,17]. Its flexural and shear strength capacities were based




Figure 3.2: Reinforcement layout and sections of Wall 4



on the same design philosophy and assumptions as Wall 4.

A vertical reinforcement ratio of 1.2% of the gross cross-sectional area was used. Twelve pairs of 10M deformed bars were placed uniformly along the wall height and well anchored into the bottom and top members. Again, stirrups were provided at both ends of the wall to prevent premature buckling of the vertical bars in the compression zone. The predicted flexural capacity was found to be 955 kN·m. Detailed computations are presented in Appendix B.

From the predicted flexural capacity, a design shear of 838 kN was computed. A horizontal shear reinforcement ratio of 1.2% was used. Six pairs of 10M deformed bars were placed uniformly over the height of the wall providing a design shear capacity of 878 kN. The details of the reinforcement layout and sections of Wall 5 are presented in Figure 3.3.

## 3.2 Fabrication

The two test specimens were prepared in a wooden formwork made from 20 mm thick plywood. The formwork was painted and a light coat of form oil was applied prior to the placing of the reinforcement as a bond-breaker. The formwork was designed in such a way that it could be used for Walls 3, 4, 5 and 6.

The test specimens were constructed in two stages in order to simulate a construction joint at the wall base. The footing was cast first with the vertical wall reinforcement. The concrete was properly vibrated using a 25 mm diameter electrical vibrator. Concrete cylinders were also cast in accordance with CSA Standard CAN3-A23.2-M. An exposed aggregate construction joint was prepared on the top surface of the foundation beam as required by CSA Standard CAN3-A23.3-M84. After few hours, the specimen and the cylinders were wrapped in wet burlaps and polyethylene sheets for at least five days.




Figure 3.3: Reinforcement layout and sections of Wall 5

After removal of the bottom beam formwork, the horizontal reinforcing bars and stirrups were placed. Figures 3.4 and 3.5 present Walls 4 and 5 respectively at the end of the first construction stage. Also, a close-up of the end region of Wall 5 is shown in Figure 3.6.

The second construction stage was the casting of the wall and top beam in an upright position. Concrete cylinders were also cast and all concrete was vibrated using an electrical vibrator. After pouring of the concrete, the top surface of the top beam and the cylinders were wrapped with wet burlaps and polyethylene sheets. The formwork was stripped after seven and four days for Walls 4 and 5 respectively and the curing process was continued for another week by wrapping wet burlaps and polyethylene sheets around the whole specimen. Testing commenced after 164 and 102 days for Walls 4 and 5 respectively. Prior to testing, the specimens were painted with a thin coat of white paint to facilitate crack detection.

### 3.3 Material properties

#### 3.3.1 Concrete

Ready mix concrete was obtained from a local plant and was used for both specimens. A 28-day target strength of 28 MPa, 100 mm slump and maximum aggregate size of 10 mm was ordered for each wall.

Several 150 × 300 mm cylinders from each batch were tested to determine the compressive strength,  $f'_c$ , and the tensile strength,  $f_{cr}$ , of the concrete. Also, stress-strain relationship of concrete cylinders under monotonic and cyclic compressive loading were determined. Figures 3.7 and 3.8 present these relationships for Walls 4 and 5 respectively. Concrete strain in cylinder tests was measured by two 10 mm stroke Linear Variable Differential Transformers (LVDT) mounted on two opposite sides of cylinders. The concrete properties are summarized in Table 3.1.




Figure 3.4: Wall reinforcement of Wall 4




Figure 3.5: Wall reinforcement of Wall 5




Figure 3.6: Edge details of Wall 5




Figure 3.7: Stress-Strain relation of concrete for Wall 4




Figure 3.8: Stress-Strain relation of concrete for Wall 5

Concrete			
Wall	$f'_c$ (MPa)	$\epsilon'_c$ (%)	$f_{cr}$ (MPa)
4	-33	-0.17	3.5
5	-27	-0.18	3.4
Reinforcing steel			
Bar	$f_{yy}$ (MPa)	$\epsilon_{sy}$ (%)	$f_u$ (MPa)
6.4 mm	370	0.186	470
10M	480	0.240	770

Table 3.1: Material properties

### 3.3.2 Reinforcing steel

Vertical and horizontal reinforcement used for both specimens were made of Grade 400, 10M deformed bars obtained from the same batch. Coupons were tested under monotonic and cyclic tensile loading to determine the characteristics of the steel. Stirrups were made of 6.4 mm diameter mild plain steel. A 50 mm extensometer was used to obtain the stress-strain relationships presented in Figure 3.9. Steel properties are summarized in Table 3.1.

## 3.4 Instrumentation

During the test, readings of the applied load and vertical and horizontal deflections at selected locations on the specimens were recorded. All deflections were measured with respect to the foundation beam so that movement of the specimen on the floor would not affect the readings. Also, strains in selected vertical bars were measured by means of strain gauges.

Locations of the Linear Variable Differential Transformers (LVDT), used to measure deflections can be seen in Figure 3.10. Two different sizes of




Figure 3.9: Stress-Strain relation of reinforcing steel




Figure 3.10: Schematic of the location of LVDTs on Walls 4 and 5






Figure 3.11: Picture of LVDT 1

LVDTs with 10 mm and 150 mm stroke capacities were used during the test. As depicted in Figure 3.10, LVDT 1 was used to measure the top horizontal deflection of the specimen whereas LVDTs 2 and 3 measured the vertical movement of the top beam. Figure 3.11 shows LVDT 1 mounted to a frame made of steel angles which was connected to the bottom beam. Vertical movements, resulting from the local slippage of the vertical bars in the foundation beam near the construction joint, were recorded with LVDTs 4 and 5. Slip of the wall along the construction joint was monitored with LVDTs 6, 7 and 8. Figure 3.12 shows a close-up of LVDTs 4 and 6.

Electrical resistance strain gauges were placed on most vertical bars at the construction joint level. The extreme vertical bars had gauges installed at 100 mm below and 200 mm above the construction joint level. All gauges were installed using the following procedure presented in Figure 3.13: (1)(2) the bar was first filed and cleaned, (3) the gauge and the terminal were bonded to the bar by the use of an adhesive agent and the wire was soldered to the terminal, (4) a thick layer of a water proofing agent was applied on the gauge and terminal, (5) a soft compound was used to




Figure 3.12: Picture of LVDTs 4 and 6

protect the gauge and the terminal against chocks and finally, (6) the whole area was wrapped around with electrical tape.

The applied load was measured with two 1250 kN load cells. Prior to the test the load cells were accurately calibrated. More details about the load measurement system are presented in section 3.5.

All voltages from the LVDTs, strain gauges and load cells were then fed into a Hewlett-Packard (HP) 3497A Data Acquisition Control Unit which in turn was controlled by an HP 9845B desktop computer. A software was written by the author to automatically take readings at prescribed load or deformation increment during the test. These voltages were then stored on a data cartridge and later transferred to the mainframe computer system of the University of Ottawa for data processing. Figure 3.14 shows the data acquisition system used during the tests.




Figure 3.13: Strain gauge installation




Figure 3.14: Data acquisition system

### 3.5 Test set-up

A plane view of the test set-up is presented in Figure 3.15. Lateral load reversals were applied to the test specimen by a hydraulic jack with a capacity of 1500 kN. The stroke of the jack was limited to 150 mm and was operated by an electrical hydraulic pressure oil pump. As depicted in Figure 3.16, the jack was mounted on two 'A frames' supported by four channel sections. This reaction frame was connected to the strong floor with six 1400 mm long by 70 mm diameter high strength bolts. This set-up was designed to accommodate squat shear walls with heights of 0.5 m and 1.0 m by simply moving the jack to the appropriate location. An overall view of the test set-up is presented in Figure 3.17.

The positive horizontal load (pushing) was applied by means of a rectangular steel shaft pin connected between the jack and the wall. The load was then transfer to the south end of the top beam through the load cell by two bearing plates as depicted in Figure 3.18. However, the negative horizontal load (pulling) was applied to the north end of the top beam by means of four Grade 400, 35M deformed bars. During the casting of the top beam, four plastic tubes were placed to permit the insertion of these four pulling bars prior to testing. The load was then measured by a load cell inserted between the end of the top beam and the bearing plate connecting the four 35M bars (see Figure 3.11). No prestressing force was applied to these bars.

### 3.6 Loading program

Determination of the appropriate loading program for use in quasi-static tests to simulate structural response to earthquakes has to be established carefully. Derecho et al. [22] discussed a quantitative description of a representative loading history for structural walls. A loading program shown in Figure 3.19 was established for Walls 4 and 5.

In the first three cycles the specimens were loaded to approximately half




Figure 3.15: Plane view of test set-up




Figure 3.16: Loading reaction system

of the theoretical ultimate loads computed in Appendices A and B. This load stage represented initial elastic cycles beyond the cracking load. It is denoted by  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$  on Figure 3.19 where  $\Delta_y$  represents the yield deflection of the specimen. The subsequent cycles beyond the elastic cycles were dependent upon the magnitude of the yield deflection. The yield deflection was defined as the deflection at which the member was yielding as a whole rather than the beginning of yielding at the critical section. This deflection level was assumed to correspond to yielding of half the vertical bars in the tension zone. It was experimentally determined from the strain gauges located on the vertical bars at the base level. From these readings, the neutral axis was located and the top deflection was increased until half of the vertical bars were yielding.




Figure 3.17: Overall view of the test set-up




Figure 3.18: Picture of load cell




Figure 3.19: Intended loading program



# Chapter 4

## Test Results

### 4.1 Introduction

Test results for Walls 4 and 5 are reported in this chapter. The observed behavior of the walls during testing and the crack patterns are also presented. Relationships between the lateral load and wall displacements, including top displacement, base slip, bar slip and vertical displacement are reported. Strains measured in the vertical flexural bars at the construction joint level are also presented. Discussion of the test results is made in Chapter 5.

### 4.2 Wall 4

Wall 4, with an aspect ratio of one-half, was subjected to slowly applied displacement reversals as explained in Section 3.6. The actual loading program observed during the test is presented in Figure 4.1. The predicted ultimate shear force obtained from Appendix A is 612 kN. The details of the wall geometry, reinforcement detailing and material properties are given in




Figure 4.1: Actual loading program of Wall 4

Sections 3.2 and 3.3.

#### 4.2.1 Load-Top displacement relationship and crack patterns

The load-top displacement hysteresis curves recorded during the test is presented in Figure 4.2. The displacement was monitored by LVDT 1 as depicted in Figure 3.10.

First, three elastic cycles were applied to the wall. The maximum loads recorded during the elastic cycles were 161 kN and -218 kN in the north and south directions respectively. The first set of diagonal shear cracks occurred at 161 kN during load stage 1. Also a flexural crack appeared at the construction joint level extending up to the third row of vertical tension steel. All the observed cracks were hair line cracks. Upon load reversal, symmetrical diagonal shear cracks appeared at -120 kN while loading to-




Figure 4.2: Load-Top displacement relationship of Wall 4

wards load stage 2. Few additional shear cracks occurred at load stage 3 and the subsequent load cycles did not produced additional cracks. The crack pattern at the end of the first three elastic cycles, end of load stage 6, is presented in Figure 4.3.

During the next three cycles, the wall was loaded up to a deformation level corresponding to the observed yield deflection,  $1\Delta_y$ . This deflection was experimentally obtained from the strain gauges installed on the vertical flexural bars at the construction joint level. During loading towards load stage 7,  $39^\circ$  diagonal shear cracks appeared at a load level of 180 kN. These cracks extended from the upper corner at the south end to the base of the wall. The yield deflection,  $\Delta_y$ , was defined as 4.0 mm, and the corresponding yield load was 294 kN. At this stage, the previously existing flexural crack at the base did not further extend nor open. Symmetrical  $39^\circ$  diagonal shear cracks occurred while loading towards load stage 8 and additional steeper shear cracks appeared at -235 kN. A peak load of -345 kN was recorded at  $-1\Delta_y$  at -4.0 mm. A flexural crack at the base of the wall opened by approximately 0.5 mm, extending up to the third row of vertical tension bars. The crack pattern at this stage is presented in Figure 4.4. As depicted, most of the cracks are diagonal shear cracks starting at the edge of the wall and extending to the base at approximately  $39^\circ$ , but none of these cracks widened at this deformation level. The remaining two cycles produced only a few additional shear cracks as depicted in Figure 4.5.

The next deformation level was  $2\Delta_y$ . Three cycles of 8.0 mm and -8.0 mm were applied to the wall. Upon loading towards load stage 13, additional shear cracks appeared at 285 kN. These cracks started along the top beam and extended toward the toe compression zone of the wall. A peak load of 383 kN was recorded at  $2\Delta_y$ . Horizontal flexural cracks appeared at approximately 200 mm above the construction joint level, extending up to the second row of vertical tension bars. The flexural base crack opened up to approximately 1 mm and extended up to the fourth row of vertical tension bars. Upon load reversal, symmetrical diagonal shear cracks occurred at -355 kN. The maximum load of -419 kN was reached at  $-2\Delta_y$ , at load stage 14. The flexural base crack width was measured to be approximately 1.5 mm. This crack extended up to the fourth row of vertical tension bars and




Figure 4.3: Wall 4 crack pattern at end of elastic cycles




Figure 4.4: Wall 4 crack pattern at  $-1\Delta_y$




Figure 4.5: Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at  $1\Delta_y$




Figure 4.6: Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at  $2\Delta_y$

formed a continuous crack at the base of the wall. Also, horizontal flexural cracks were observed on the tension side of the wall. The width and length of these cracks decreased progressively from the base to the top of the wall. No additional cracks were observed during the remaining two cycles at  $\pm 2\Delta_y$ , but some visible damage could be observed at the construction joint due to base slip which explains the drop in load at peak deformation as depicted in Figure 4.2. Figure 4.6 presents the crack pattern at the end of cycles at  $2\Delta_y$ .

Immediately after the  $2\Delta_y$  cycles, one cycle was imposed at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$  as load stages 18 and 19. Peak loads of 62 kN and -71 kN respectively were recorded during the latter load stages.

The previously observed base slip was clearly visible after three cycles at  $\pm 4\Delta_y$ . Peak loads of 371 kN and -396 kN, recorded at load stages 21 and 22, were considerably reduced during the subsequent cycles. Flexural cracks at the base of the wall were measured to be approximately 2 mm. Spalling of the concrete cover at the base and kinking of the vertical bars were noticeable, as depicted in Figure 4.7. Flexural or shear cracks did not form during the  $4\Delta_y$  cycles. This can be seen in Figure 4.8.

The subsequent deformation cycle was at  $\pm 2\Delta_y$ , resulting in peak loads of 31 kN and -33 kN at load stages 27 and 28 respectively.

The base slip became more pronounced at a deformation level of  $6\Delta_y$ . The peak loads dropped to 301 kN and -386 kN at load stages 29 and 30 respectively. Kinking of the vertical bars at the base of the wall resulted in additional spalling of the concrete cover as shown in Figure 4.9. Figure 4.10 presents the crack pattern after three cycles at  $\pm 6\Delta_y$ . Additional cracks were not observed.

The next cycle at  $\pm 2\Delta_y$ , load stages 35 and 36, did not produce any resistance against lateral deformation but only aggravated the deterioration of the concrete at the base.

An increase in load resistance was observed at  $+8\Delta_y$ , load stage 37, up to a maximum load of 327 kN. Crushing of the concrete in the compression




Figure 4.7: Wall 4 kinking of vertical bars at  $4\Delta_y$




Figure 4.8: Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at  $4\Delta_y$





Figure 4.9: Wall 4 kinking of vertical bars at  $6\Delta_y$




Figure 4.10: Wall 4 crack pattern at end of cycles at  $6\Delta_y$

zone was observed and small additional shear cracks were noticed. Upon load reversal, extensive crushing of the concrete in the compression zone occurred at  $-8\Delta_y$ . At this point, the wall started to show some sign of lateral instability, hence, it was decided to continue up to  $-10\Delta_y$  and stop the test. The load reached a maximum of -375 kN and most of the flexural-shear cracks on the tension side were opened by 3 to 5 mm. Figure 4.11 presents the crack pattern at  $-10\Delta_y$  which was the end of the test. A close-up of the end region of the wall is shown in Figure 4.12.

#### 4.2.2 Load-Base slip relationship

The wall base slip along the construction joint was monitored by LVDTs 6, 7 and 8 as depicted in Figure 3.10. The displacement recorded by each of these LVDTs throughout the test are presented in Figures 4.13, 4.14 and 4.15 respectively.

Essentially, the base slip started to affect the top displacement hysteresis curves at  $2\Delta_y$ . Subsequent cycles at the same deformation level are characterized by an increase in base slip. It should be noted that the base slip recorded before  $2\Delta_y$  was not base slip but, shear deformation occurring between the construction joint and the level at which the LVDTs were mounted.

#### 4.2.3 Load-Wall displacement relationship

An average base slip was calculated from LVDTs 6, 7, 8 and subtracted from LVDT 1 to obtain the load-displacement relationship imposed on the wall. This is shown in Figure 4.16.

The hysteresis curves presented in Figure 4.16 consist of three horizontal displacement components. These components are due to flexure, shear and local slippage of vertical reinforcement in the foundation beam. A detailed analysis of these components is presented in Chapter 5.




Figure 4.11: Wall 4 crack pattern at  $-10\Delta_v$  and end of test




Figure 4.12: Wall 4 detail of end region at end of test




Figure 4.13: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 6 of Wall 4




Figure 4.14: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 7 of Wall 4




Figure 4.15: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 8 of Wall 4

#### 4.2.4 Load-Bar slip relationship

The slippage of vertical reinforcement in the foundation beam was measured at both ends of the wall with LVDTs 4 and 5 as depicted in Figure 3.10. The displacements recorded during the test by these two LVDTs are presented in Figures 4.17 and 4.18 respectively. Unfortunately, LVDT 5 was malfunctioning after load stage 26. Consequently Figure 4.18 shows the load-bar slip hysteresis loops up to  $4\Delta_v$ .

The rotation of the wall at its base can be calculated from these two LVDTs by subtracting LVDT 4 from 5 and dividing this differential vertical movement by the distance separating the two LVDTs. This base rotation is presented in Figure 4.19 up to  $\pm 4\Delta_v$ . At low load level, the base rotation is greatly affected by the continuous crushing of the concrete at the base due to sliding.




Figure 4.16: Load-Top wall displacement relationship of Wall 4 excluding displacements due to sliding shear




Figure 4.17: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 4 of Wall 4




Figure 4.18: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 5 of Wall 4




Figure 4.19: Load-Base rotation relationship for Wall 4

#### 4.2.5 Load-Total vertical displacement relationship

The vertical movement of the top beam was monitored by LVDTs 2 and 3 as presented in Figure 3.10. The displacements recorded during the test by these LVDTs are presented in Figures 4.20 and 4.21 respectively. These displacements are composed of the bar slip described previously and the vertical displacement in the wall element due to flexure.

The total rotation of the top beam is calculated in the same way as the base rotation due to bar slip. This load-total rotation relationship can be seen in Figure 4.22 where well rounded curves are observed.






Figure 4.20: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 2 of Wall 4




Figure 4.21: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 3 of Wall 4




Figure 4.22: Load-Top rotation relationship for Wall 4

#### 4.2.6 Strains in vertical flexural reinforcement

The strains in all vertical flexural bars were monitored at the construction joint level as mentioned in Section 3.4. Figure 4.23, 4.24, 4.25 and 4.26 present the load-strain relationship in selected bars.

Steel strain distributions along the base of the wall at different load levels are examined in more detail in Chapter 5.




Figure 4.23: Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4




Figure 4.24: Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4




Figure 4.25: Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4




Figure 4.26: Load-Strain relationship in vertical bar of Wall 4




Figure 4.27: Actual loading program of Wall 5

### 4.3 Wall 5

Wall 5, with an aspect ratio of one-half, was subjected to slowly applied displacement reversals as explained in Section 3.6. The actual loading program observed during the test is presented in Figure 4.27. The predicted ultimate shear force obtained from Appendix B is 838 kN. The details of the wall geometry, reinforcement detailing and material properties are given in Sections 3.2 and 3.3.

#### 4.3.1 Load-Top displacement relationship and crack patterns

The load-top displacement hysteresis curves recorded during the test is presented in Figure 4.28. As mentioned previously, LVDT 1 was used to monitor the top deflection of the wall.




Figure 4.28: Load-Top displacement of Wall 5

First, three elastic cycles were applied to the wall. The maximum loads recorded were 238 kN at load stage 1 and -220 kN at load stage 2. The first set of diagonal shear cracks appeared at 155 kN while loading towards load stage 1. They formed with approximately 38° inclination with the horizontal, starting from the tension side of the wall. Upon load reversal towards load stage 2, a symmetrical diagonal crack pattern was observed at -145 kN. The observed crack pattern is shown in Figure 4.29. The subsequent two elastic cycles did not produce additional cracks. No sign of flexural cracking was observed during these cycles.

For the next three cycles, the wall was loaded up to a deformation level corresponding to the observed yield deflection,  $1\Delta_y$ . While loading towards load stage 7, additional diagonal shear cracks appeared at 290 kN. A flexural crack occurred at the base of the wall at 415 kN. This crack extended up to the sixth row of vertical tension bars with a width of approximately 1 mm. Additional flexural cracks, with crack widths less than 1 mm, appeared on the tension side of the wall during the latter part of this load stage. The yield deflection,  $\Delta_y$ , was arrived at 11.4 mm at a recorded load of 577 kN, at load stage 7. Upon load reversal, symmetrical sets of diagonal shear cracks and flexural base cracks occurred at -255 kN and -405 kN respectively. The yield deflection,  $-\Delta_y$ , was slightly different than  $+\Delta_y$  and was reached at -10.0 mm, at load stage 8. At this deformation level, the recorded load was -503 kN. A continuous flexural base crack was formed with some sign of spalling of the concrete cover in the compression zone. The subsequent cycles did not produce additional shear cracks but resulted in spalling of the concrete cover at the base due to sliding of the wall. The sliding of the wall produced considerable degradation of stiffness during the subsequent cycles. This can be seen in the hysteretic load deflection relationship given in Figure 4.28. The crack pattern at the end of the three cycles at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$  is presented in Figure 4.30.

The next deformation level was  $\pm 2\Delta_y$ . Three cycles of 22.8 mm and -20.0 mm were applied in the north and south directions respectively. A peak load of 586 kN was recorded at load stage 13. Additional shear cracks were observed during this load stage. The flexural base crack width was measured to be approximately 7 mm. Crushing of the concrete in the compression zone was observed. Upon load reversal, a maximum load of -495




Figure 4.29: Wall 5 crack pattern after one elastic cycle




Figure 4.30: Wall 5 crack pattern at the end of cycles at  $1\Delta_y$






Figure 4.31: Wall 5 crack pattern at the end of cycles at  $2\Delta_y$ ,

kN was recorded at load stage 14. Additional shear cracks were observed on the wall. The concrete at the base was damaged due to wall sliding. The remaining two cycles aggravated this situation as depicted in Figure 4.31. Kinking of the vertical bars became apparent as shown in Figure 4.32. At the end of the  $\pm 2\Delta_y$  cycles, complete deterioration of the base concrete at the wall ends was observed as presented in Figure 4.33.

One small cycle at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$  was then imposed on the wall, as load stages 19 and 20. Very small lateral load resistance was recorded during this cycle.

While loading towards  $4\Delta_y$ , at load stage 21, excessive kinking of the vertical bars was observed at the base. A peak load of 395 kN was recorded at approximately  $3\Delta_y$ , followed by an extensive crushing of the concrete at the base. From thereon the lateral load resistance started to decrease till  $4\Delta_y$ , as shown in Figure 4.28. The same phenomenon occurred upon load reversal. The load reached a peak of -265 kN at about  $-3.5\Delta_y$ , resulting in a general disintegration of the concrete at the base. The subsequent loading, close to  $-5\Delta_y$ , showed an irrecoverable loss in strength. Consequently, it




Figure 4.32: Wall 5 kinking of vertical bars at  $2\Delta_v$




Figure 4.33: Wall 5 end detail at end of cycles at  $2\Delta_v$

was decided to stop the test at this point. Figure 4.34 shows the specimen at the end of the test, at load stage 22. The complete deterioration of the concrete is clearly seen on Figure 4.35 where the loose pieces of concrete were removed.

### 4.3.2 Load-Base slip relationship

The wall base slip along the construction joint is presented in Figures 4.36, 4.37 and 4.38 for each of the three LVDTs used at the base of the wall. The exact location of these LVDTs were previously discussed and are illustrated in Figure 3.10.

The base slip became noticeable during the first cycle of  $1\Delta_y$ . Subsequent cycles at the same deformation level showed an increase in the base slip. The base slip recorded before  $1\Delta_y$  was essentially the shear deformation that took place within the bottom portion of the wall where the LVDTs were mounted. No visible sliding was observed up to  $1\Delta_y$ .

### 4.3.3 Load-Wall displacement relationship

An average base slip was calculated from Figures 4.36, 4.37, 4.38 and subtracted from Figure 4.28 to obtain the load-displacement relationship imposed on the wall element. This is shown in Figure 4.39.

The hysteresis curves presented in Figure 4.39 consist of three horizontal top displacement components, namely flexure, shear and reinforcement slip displacements which are examined in more details in Chapter 5.




Figure 4.34: Wall 5 crack pattern at end of cycles at  $4\Delta_y$  and end of test



Figure 4.35: Wall 5 detail of end region at end of test




Figure 4.36: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 6 of Wall 5




Figure 4.37: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 7 of Wall 5




Figure 4.38: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 8 of Wall 5




Figure 4.39: Load-Top wall displacement relationship for Wall 5 excluding displacements due to sliding shear

#### **4.3.4 Load-Bar slip relationship**

The slippage of vertical wall reinforcement in the foundation beam was recorded at both ends of the wall during the test. The test data are presented in Figures 4.40 and 4.41. As mentioned previously, the bar slip results from the penetration of steel stresses into the footing causing cumulative extension of the reinforcement at the wall footing interface.

Additional wall rotation resulting from the bar slip is calculated as described in Section 4.2.4 and is presented in Figure 4.42.

#### **4.3.5 Load-Total vertical displacement relationship**

The vertical movement at each end of the top beam is presented in Figures 4.43 and 4.44. These displacements are composed of the bar slip in the foundation beam and the vertical wall displacement resulting from flexure.

Lateral load versus total wall rotation hysteretic relationship is shown in Figure 4.45.

#### **4.3.6 Strains in vertical flexural reinforcement**

The strains in most of the vertical bars were monitored at the construction joint level as mentioned in Section 3.4. Figures 4.46, 4.47, 4.48 and 4.49 present the load-strain relationships at selected locations.

The steel strain distributions along the base of the wall are discussed in more detail in Chapter 5.




Figure 4.40: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 4 of Wall 5




Figure 4.41: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 5 of Wall 5






Figure 4.42: Load-Base rotation relationship of Wall 5

#### 4.4 Discussion of test set-up

The overall performance of the test set-up was found to be satisfactory. The absence of prestressing on the high strength bolts connecting the specimen and the reaction frame to the strong floor resulted in some sliding of these components during testing. However these movements did not affect the displacement readings since the foundation beam was taken as the reference point. The instrumentation performed well throughout the testing. Some unexpected large displacements (base slip) were found to exceed the linear range of the LVDTs and had to be corrected manually.

At the attainment of the peak loads at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$  and  $\pm 2\Delta_y$ , some unexpected deformations were noticed in the foundation beam. Figure 4.50 presents a schematic of the observed cracking in the foundation beam at the end of the test. A vertical deflection of approximately 10 mm was detected in the middle region of the beam which resulted in vertical flexural and diagonal shear cracks as depicted in Figure 4.50. These cracks were all hair




Figure 4.43: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 2 of Wall 5




Figure 4.44: Load-Displacement relationship for LVDT 3 of Wall 5




Figure 4.45: Load-Top rotation relationship of Wall 5




Figure 4.46: Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5




Figure 4.47: Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5

line cracks. Also some cracks were noticed on the transverse section at the ends of the foundation beam which indicates that bending took place in the transverse direction. The effect of the observed behavior of the foundation beam on the wall response is analyzed in Chapter 6.




Figure 4.48: Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5




Figure 4.49: Load-Strain relationship of vertical bar of Wall 5




Figure 4.50: Schematic of cracks in foundation beam

## Chapter 5

# Discussion and Analysis of Test Results

### 5.1 Introduction

The behavior of Walls 4 and 5 are discussed in this chapter based on the test results presented in Chapter 4. Also a detailed analysis of the displacement components are presented, namely the contribution to the top horizontal displacement of the base slip, bar slip, shear and flexural deformations. Moment-curvature, moment-rotation, and shear force - shear distortion relationships are presented and compared with theoretical relationships. Flexural strains obtained from strain gauges are analyzed and finally the energy dissipation mechanism of each wall is discussed.

## 5.2 Behavior of specimens

### 5.2.1 Wall 4

Wall 4 was designed using a vertical steel reinforcement ratio of 0.8% with a predicted flexural capacity of 698 kN·m. Corresponding to this moment capacity, a predicted shear force of 612 kN was expected and an appropriate horizontal steel reinforcement ratio of 0.8% was provided. As explained in Section 3.1.1, Wall 4 was a companion specimen to Wall 1 reported by Wiradinata [40]. The same amount of vertical steel was used in Walls 1 and 4 resulting in similar ultimate flexural capacities. Wall 1 was designed with a minimum horizontal steel ratio of 0.25%. This resulted in a ductile behavior of the wall up to a top horizontal displacement of 10 mm followed by a corner-to-corner diagonal tension failure. Based on these observations, Wall 4 was expected to perform in a ductile manner throughout the intended loading program presented in Figure 3.19.

The load-top displacement hysteresis curves of Wall 4 are presented in Figure 4.2. This figure reveals that the wall did not reach its predicted design shear force of 612 kN. A maximum load of -419 kN was recorded at load stage 14, at a deformation level of  $-2\Delta_y$ . This load level corresponds to a shear stress level of  $0.45\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa. At this point the wall was fully cracked as depicted in Figure 4.6. Some hair line flexural cracks were observed on both sides of the wall. Hence it would be expected that more load could be taken by the wall. In fact, the steep slope of the force-deformation relationship, shown in Figure 4.2, in the region of the maximum load, indicates the reserve capacity of the wall. Because the specimen was subjected to displacement controlled loading, the load was reversed at a prescribed displacement level. Cyclic loading produced excessive sliding of the wall along the construction joint, not giving the wall a chance to develop higher load resistance.

Upon displacement reversals at  $\pm 4\Delta_y$ , severe pinching of the hysteresis loops were observed. This pinching action was essentially due to the sliding of the wall along the construction joint. This can be seen by examining






Figure 5.1: Contribution of base slip to the top displacement

Figures 4.13, 4.14 and 4.15. The observed pinching effect started at  $2\Delta_y$  by the formation of a continuous crack at the base of the wall. Displacement reversals at  $\pm 4\Delta_y$  resulted in partial crushing of the concrete and kinking of the vertical bars at the base of the wall as observed in Figure 4.7. The contribution of the base slip to the top horizontal displacement can be seen in Figure 5.1 at different displacement ductility ratios. The base slip recorded up to  $1\Delta_y$  was essentially the shear deformation that took place within the bottom wall portion where the LVDTs were mounted. Subsequent cycles showed a distinct increase in the contribution of the base slip to the top displacement. The effect of this large base slip on the imposed ductility on the wall element is clearly seen in Figure 4.16. The wall element was subjected to very little inelastic deformation throughout the test which explains the relatively large stiffnesses observed on Figure 4.2 at peak deformations.

The behaviors of the wall in the north and south directions were slightly different. Higher loads were recorded at peak deformation while loading in the south direction. The magnitude of the loads were 5% to 25% higher in comparison with the north direction at the same displacement ductility

ratio. However strength degradation was more pronounced in the north direction. At low load level,  $\pm 50$  kN, pinching effect due to severe base slip resulted in stiffness degradation.

In summary, the principal mode of failure of Wall 4 was due to sliding shear. A maximum average shear stress of  $0.45\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa was reached at 68% of the predicted ultimate shear force. Base slip was initiated by the formation of a continuous flexural crack at the base of the wall.

### 5.2.2 Wall 5

Wall 5 was designed using a vertical steel reinforcement ratio of 1.2% with a predicted flexural capacity of 955 kN·m. Corresponding to this flexural capacity, a shear force of 838 kN was expected and appropriated horizontal shear reinforcement ratio of 1.2% was provided. Wall 5 was designed and constructed prior to the testing of Wall 4. Based on previous studies, Wall 5 was expected to develop its flexural capacity and fail due to sliding shear or diagonal compression failure. A high shear stress level of  $1.01\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa was expected to be the cause of the failure mechanism.

The load-top displacement hysteresis curves of Wall 5 are presented in Figure 4.28. As depicted in this figure, the wall did not reach its predicted design shear force of 838 kN. A maximum load of 586 kN was recorded at load stage 13, at  $2\Delta_y$ . This level of load corresponds to a shear stress value of  $0.69\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa. A similar load level was recorded at load stage 7, at  $1\Delta_y$ . At this deformation level, Wall 5 was fully cracked as shown in Figure 4.30. Flexural cracks less than 1 mm wide were observed on the tension side of the wall which explains the rounded shape of the hysteresis curve at  $1\Delta_y$ . However from the stiffness of the hysteresis curve an increase in lateral load capacity would be expected at a displacement ductility ratio of  $2\Delta_y$ .

Upon displacement reversals at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$ , noticeable displacements were recorded at the base of the wall as shown in Figures 4.36, 4.37 and 4.38. These observations are emphasized in Figure 5.1 where base slip contributes to 36% of the total top displacement at the third cycle at  $1\Delta_y$ . Also at this point a

continuous flexural cracks was present at the base of the wall. Subsequent cycles at  $\pm 2\Delta_y$  and  $\pm 4\Delta_y$  showed an increase in the contribution of the base slip to the top displacement. The effect of this large base slip is clearly seen in Figure 4.39. The imposed ductility on the wall element was very low in the north direction and inexistant in the south direction. The elastic behavior in the south direction can be seen in Figure 4.39 where high stiffnesses were recorded at peak deformations.

The overall behavior of the wall in the north and south directions was slightly different. Higher peak loads were recorded in the north direction. Loading in the north direction also showed a more pronounced strength degradation in comparison with the south direction at the same displacement ductility ratio. This confirms our previous observation that the wall element above the bottom LVDTs remained essentially elastic while loading in the south direction. Stiffness degradation due to pinching effect was not detected at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$ . However the pinching of the hysteresis loops at  $2\Delta_y$  due to base slip was marked by a severe stiffness degradation at low load level.

In summary, the principal mode of failure of Wall 5 was due to sliding shear. A maximum shear stress level of  $0.69\sqrt{f'_c}$  MPa was obtained at 70% of the design shear force. Base slip was initiated by the formation of a continuous flexural crack at the base of the wall.

### 5.3 Displacement components

The load-top displacement hysteresis curves presented in Figures 4.2 and 4.28 can be decomposed into four displacement components: the base slip, the bar slip, the shear and flexural deformations.

The base slip component was defined as the sliding of the wall as a rigid body motion in the horizontal direction with respect to the foundation beam. This component was calculated as a weighted average of LVDTs 6, 7 and 8. The bar slip component was calculated from the load-base rotation relationship presented in Figures 4.19 and 4.42. The angle of

rotation measured at the base of the wall was multiplied by the wall height (the distance separating LVDT 1 and LVDTs 4 and 5 as shown in Figure 3.10) to obtain the top horizontal displacement resulting from the rigid body rotation of the wall with respect to the foundation. This component was caused by local deterioration of bond between concrete and steel in the foundation beam, and the resulting slippage of the vertical bars.

The shear displacement component was calculated from the vertical and horizontal displacements of the four corners of the wall. Using the general equation for plane section transformation

$$\epsilon_{\theta} = \epsilon_x \cos^2\theta + \epsilon_y \sin^2\theta - \gamma_{xy} \sin\theta\cos\theta$$

the shear distortion angle,  $\gamma_{xy}$ , was obtained. In the above expression,  $\epsilon_x$ ,  $\epsilon_y$  and  $\epsilon_{\theta}$  are the calculated average strains in the horizontal, vertical and diagonal directions respectively. The angle  $\theta$  was measured between the horizontal and diagonal directions. Multiplying the shear distortion  $\gamma_{xy}$  by the clear distance measured between the top beam and the bottom LVDTs, the shear contribution to the top deflection was approximated. Finally the flexural component was calculated by subtracting the base slip, bar slip and shear components from the total top deflection. Consequently the experimentally determined flexural component is less accurate than the other components since it includes any computational inaccuracy involved in the previous three components.

The hysteresis loops of each displacement components namely, the base slip, bar slip, shear and flexural deformations for Wall 4 are presented in Figures 5.2 to 5.5 respectively. As mentioned previously, LVDT 5 was malfunctioning at  $6\Delta_y$  and  $8\Delta_y$  during the testing of Wall 4, consequently, the hysteresis loops presented in Figures 5.3 to 5.5 are shown up to load stage 26. Figures 5.6 to 5.9 present the hysteresis loops of each wall component for Wall 5.

The principal mode of failure of Wall 4 was due to sliding shear as depicted in Figure 5.2 where symmetrical hysteresis loops are noticed. The bar slip component presented in Figure 5.3 shows small hysteresis loops after the onset of flexural yielding at  $+1\Delta_y$ . The magnitude of the bar slip component at  $\pm 2\Delta_y$  and  $\pm 4\Delta_y$  shows a constant value of approximately




Figure 5.2: Wall 4 Load-Base slip relationship




Figure 5.3: Wall 4 Load-Bar slip relationship




Figure 5.4: Wall 4 Load-Shear deformation relationship




Figure 5.5: Wall 4 Load-Flexural deformation relationship




Figure 5.6: Wall 5 Load-Base slip relationship




Figure 5.7: Wall 5 Load-Bar slip relationship




Figure 5.8: Wall 5 Load-Shear deformation relationship




Figure 5.9: Wall 5 Load-Flexural deformation relationship



2 mm. Shear deformation hysteresis loops presented in Figure 5.4 show symmetrical behavior in the north and south directions. Inelastic shear deformation is noticeable at the first cycle at  $+2\Delta_y$ , which produced some pinching at low load level for the subsequent cycles. A comparison of the flexural deformation hysteresis loops presented in Figure 5.5 with shear deformations shows that flexural deformations remained small throughout the test and that no noticeable yielding took place within the wall element above the bottom LVDTs.

Wall 5 failed due to sliding shear as depicted in Figure 5.6 where a symmetrical behavior was observed in both directions. The bar slip hysteresis relationship presented in Figure 5.7 shows a symmetrical behavior in both directions. A permanent shift of the hysteresis loops was caused by the extensive yielding of the flexural reinforcement at  $1\Delta_y$ . These permanent deformations at the base of the wall could not be recovered during the reloading process at  $-1\Delta_y$ . The shear deformation hysteresis behavior presented in Figure 5.8 shows a different behavior at  $\pm\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ , due to non-symmetrical cracking as depicted in Figure 4.29. However this phenomenon was not observed for the subsequent cycles. Inelastic shear deformation occurred at  $+1\Delta_y$ , creating some pinching in the hysteresis loops at low load levels. The pinching effect was mostly seen while loading in the north direction. The flexural deformation hysteresis loops presented in Figure 5.9 show a linear behavior throughout the loading program except at  $\pm 4\Delta_y$ , where discrepancies are noticed due to excessive base slip. This linear behavior indicates that the wall element above the bottom LVDTs remained essentially elastic throughout the loading history.

To get an appreciation of the relative magnitude of each displacement component, Figures 5.10 to 5.13 were plotted. These figures show the displacement components of Wall 4 at selected load stages, namely 1, 7, 13 and 21. These load stages correspond to the first cycle at a given ductility displacement ratio, namely  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ ,  $1\Delta_y$ ,  $2\Delta_y$  and  $4\Delta_y$ . As depicted in Figures 5.10 and 5.11, no base slip and bar slip was detected before  $1\Delta_y$ . Base slip became visible at  $2\Delta_y$ . Bar slip was considerably larger than the flexural deformation throughout the loading history. The ratio of shear deformation to the sum of shear and flexural deformations remained constant at about 70% for  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$  and  $1\Delta_y$ . At  $2\Delta_y$  and  $4\Delta_y$ , this ratio increased to about




Figure 5.10: Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ , load stage 1




Figure 5.11: Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at  $1\Delta_y$ , load stage 7




Figure 5.12: Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at  $2\Delta_y$ , load stage 13




Figure 5.13: Wall 4 Load-Wall displacements history at  $4\Delta_y$ , load stage 21

95%.

Figures 5.14 to 5.17 present the same displacement components for Wall 5. As depicted in Figure 5.14, no base slip and bar slip was detected at  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ . Base slip and bar slip became visible at  $1\Delta_y$ , and became more pronounced as the displacement ductility ratio was increased. Figure 5.17 clearly shows that the mode of failure was due to the loss of strength in the base slip resistance mechanism. The bar slip component remained considerably large in comparison with the flexural deformation. The ratio of shear deformation to the sum of shear and flexural deformations remained constant at about 55% for  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ , increased to about 90% for  $1\Delta_y$ ,  $2\Delta_y$  and  $4\Delta_y$ .

## 5.4 Moment - Rotation relationship

Moment-rotation relationships were computed using the Beam Theory for monotonically increasing load. Assuming, these relationships would give the envelopes of the hysteresis curves, a comparison is made with the test results.

The first step in conducting a moment-rotation analysis involves the determination of a sectional moment-curvature relationship under monotonically increasing load. Using the material properties given in Table 3.1, the moment-curvature relationship for each wall was established up to its ultimate flexural capacity. These relationships are presented in Figure 5.18. In the derivation of these two curves, the actual stress-strain relationships of the concrete and the steel were used, including strength hardening in the reinforcing bars. Tensile strength of concrete and confinement of concrete in the compression zone were neglected.

The moment-rotation relationship of each wall was computed from the moment-curvature relationship presented in Figure 5.18. The rotation of the top beam with respect to the base was calculated by integrating the area of the curvature distribution along the height of the wall for a given base moment. The resulting primary moment-rotation relationships for Walls 4




Figure 5.14: Wall 5 Load-Wall displacements history at  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ , load stage 1




Figure 5.15: Wall 5 Load-Wall displacements history at  $1\Delta_y$ , load stage 7




Figure 5.16: Wall 5 Load-Wall displacements history at  $2\Delta_y$ , load stage 13




Figure 5.17: Wall 5 Load-Wall displacements history at  $4\Delta_y$ , load stage 21




Figure 5.18: Moment-Curvature relationship for Walls 4 and 5

and 5 are presented in Figures 5.19 and 5.20 respectively. The experimental moment-rotation hysteresis curves are also shown in the same figures. The hysteresis loops were obtained by subtracting the base rotation presented in Figures 4.19 and 4.42 from the total top rotation shown in Figures 4.22 and 4.45 to obtain the observed rotation in the wall element.

As depicted from Figure 5.19 Wall 4 did not behave according to the analytical prediction based on the beam theory. The experimental results indicate reduced rotational stiffnesses of about 50% and 65% of the analytical prediction in the north and south direction respectively. The apparent discrepancy can be explained, in major part, by the base fixity condition of the specimen. The specimen was bolted on the laboratory strong floor at locations away from the wall base. This resulted in some flexibility in the wall, resulting from out-of-plane rotations. The effect of base fixity is illustrated in Chapter 6 and accounts for a large portion of the observed flexibility in response. The comparison of the observed responses between an earlier test where full fixity at the base was provided through a different set up, and the current tests, confirm the above point. This comparison is




Figure 5.19: Wall 4 Analytical and experimental moment-rotation relationships




Figure 5.20: Wall 5 Analytical and experimental moment-rotation relationships



also presented in Chapter 6.

Some softening in flexural rigidity can be attributed to the presence of diagonal shear cracks. Shear flexure interaction is known to result in reduced flexural stiffness.

A similar softening was observed for Wall 5 as depicted in Figure 5.20. The observed rotational stiffnesses for Wall 5 were about 60% of the analytical prediction which is comparable with Wall 4. Furthermore the top displacement at  $1\Delta_y$  in the north direction created a permanent shift in the axis of bending.

The analytical load-flexural displacement relationship of each wall was obtained by integrating the area of the rotation distribution along the height of the wall for a given base moment. It was observed that prior to the development of base slip, both walls showed a softening effect similar to the observed moment-rotation relationships. Upon the appearance of large base slip, the flexural displacements showed large scatter due to computational inaccuracies.

## 5.5 Shear force - Shear distortion relationship

The prediction of the analytical shear deformation in squat shear walls is complicated by the existence of cracks in the concrete and that plane section may not remain plane. However an approximation of the shear distortion in cracked squat walls can be made by using a reduced value of the elastic shear modulus and a form factor. The elastic shear modulus for concrete can be approximated from the well-known relationship

$$G = \frac{E_c}{2(1 + \nu)} \cong 0.4 E_c$$

Previous researchers [28,33] suggested that the shear modulus of diagonally cracked beams and flexural walls is approximately 10 to 30% of the

uncracked shear modulus, depending on the amount of web steel provided. Also the shear modulus of cracked deep beams, in which shear deformations dominate, is about 15% of the uncracked section when shear and flexure distortions are considered. Consequently it is expected that the cracked shear moduli for Walls 4 and 5 would be less than 15% of the uncracked moduli since arch action in deep beams enhances the shear modulus. Analytical shear force-shear deformation relationships are presented in Figures 5.21 and 5.22 for Walls 4 and 5 respectively where 5, 10 and 15% of the appropriate elastic shear modulus were used. The shear force - shear deformation history of the first cycle at  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_v$ ,  $+1\Delta_v$ ,  $+2\Delta_v$  and  $+4\Delta_v$  are presented in Figures 5.21 and 5.22 for Walls 4 and 5 respectively. These relationships were obtained from the load-wall displacement relationships presented in Figures 5.10 to 5.13 and Figures 5.14 to 5.17.

Figure 5.21 shows that Wall 4 behaved in the effective elastic range of cracked reinforced concrete at  $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_v$  and  $+1\Delta_v$ , showing a shear modulus of about 10% of the uncracked modulus. However some inelastic shear deformation were recorded at  $+2\Delta_v$  followed by some pinching of the force-deformation curve at  $+4\Delta_v$  due to opening and closing of the diagonal shear cracks. Wall 5 showed a considerable amount of inelastic deformation at  $+1\Delta_v$ . The initial shear modulus was close to 15% of the uncracked modulus and decreased as diagonal shear cracks appeared during the loading process. Considerable pinching of the force-deformation curves at  $+2\Delta_v$  and  $+4\Delta_v$  resulted from the excessive shear distortion at  $+1\Delta_v$ . The shear force-shear deformation curve at  $+4\Delta_v$  is greatly affected by the extensive crushing of the concrete at the base due to base slip as depicted in Figure 5.17. It should be noted that inelastic shear deformations observed in Figures 5.21 and 5.22 at peak deformations did not necessarily correspond to yielding of the horizontal shear reinforcement.

## 5.6 Strain profiles

Strain in the vertical flexural bars were monitored at the base of the wall throughout the loading program. Strain distribution at different load levels




Figure 5.21: Wall 4 Analytical and experimental shear force-shear deformation relationships




Figure 5.22: Wall 5 Analytical and experimental shear force-shear deformation relationships

of the first cycle at  $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$  and  $+1\Delta_y$  are presented. An average flexural strain was computed from the left and right bar at each row of bars and strain interpolation was made between rows when a defective strain gauge was encountered.

Figure 5.23 presents strain profiles at the base of Wall 4. As expected, at low load level the strain distribution is almost linear as depicted in Figure 5.23(a). The appearance of shear cracks and a flexural base crack at 161 kN can be seen on row No. 1 where the strain readings were slightly higher. The same phenomenon occurred at -120 kN as depicted in Figure 5.23(b) where shear and flexural cracks were formed. The appearance of shear cracks at 180 kN while loading towards  $1\Delta_y$  highly stressed the first two rows of bars as shown in Figure 5.23(c). The flexural base crack was extending up to the third row of vertical bars. Strain readings in the compression zone were found to be low. However higher strain readings beyond the yield point of the reinforcing bar were noticed in Figure 5.23(c). This phenomenon is explained by a stress concentration at the gauge location due to a reduced diameter of the bar and the reliability of the gauges at high strain level. Also from the same figure it can be noticed that we did not reach the exact yield deflection since three rows of bars were required to yield as explained in Section 3.6. Yielding of the first three rows occurred at a top displacement of 5.0 mm, 295 kN, while loading towards load stage 13, at  $2\Delta_y$ .

Strain profiles at the base of Wall 5 are presented in Figure 5.24. The appearance of shear cracks at 155 kN did not appreciably affect the strain readings of Figure 5.24(a). The profiles remained essentially linear. However the profiles at  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ , Figure 5.24(b), are not as linear as at  $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ . The formation of shear cracks at -145 kN affected the readings of row No. 12. No flexural base cracks were noticed at  $\pm\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ . Formation of shear cracks at 290 kN while loading towards load stage 13, at  $1\Delta_y$ , did not affect the strain profiles as shown in Figure 5.24(c). The appearance of a flexural base crack up to the sixth row at 415 kN yielded the first three rows of bars. Again higher strain readings were recorded beyond the yield strain of steel. Also from Figure 5.24(c) it can be noticed that we exceed the yield deflection since only five bars were required to yield. The yield displacement should have been 5.7 mm at a load level of 433 kN.




Figure 5.23: Wall 4 Base strain distributions in vertical reinforcement




Figure 5.24: Wall 5 Base strain distributions in vertical reinforcement

An envelope of the observed moment-curvature relationship can be approximated using the load-strain profiles history at  $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ ,  $+1\Delta_y$  and  $+2\Delta_y$ . These approximate relationships are compared with the analytical moment-curvature relationships in Figures 5.25 and 5.26 for Walls 4 and 5 respectively.

The discrepancy between the analytical and the observed values is consistent with the previous comparison, done for flexural deformations. A possible explanation of this discrepancy, mostly related to the base fixity conditions of the test specimens, is provided in Section 5.4.

## 5.7 Energy dissipation

The energy dissipated by squat walls during displacement reversals is derived through inelastic deformations of the four wall displacement components. Inelastic flexural and bar slip deformations are usually preferred during strong ground motions since yielding of the vertical reinforcement shows rounded hysteresis loops. Inelastic shear deformations however should be minimized since pinching of the hysteresis loops will follow after the first excursion into the inelastic range. This will usually reduced the energy dissipation capability of the wall. Base slip on the other hand should be avoided. The severe pinching of the hysteresis loops created by base slip offers a poor mean of energy dissipation mechanism especially at low load level.

The amount of energy dissipated by each wall displacement component can be calculated by integrating the area under the hysteresis loops. Figures 5.27 and 5.28 present the amount of energy dissipated by each wall as a function of the cumulative yield displacement imposed on the wall. As depicted from these two figures, the base slip component dissipated approximately 65% and 50% of the total energy of Walls 4 and 5 respectively. The poor performances of the walls were due to the early onset of base slip during the loading program. The remaining energy was dissipated by the other displacement components, predominantly by inelastic shear deformations.




Figure 5.25: Wall 4 Analytical and experimental moment-curvature relationships




Figure 5.26: Wall 5 Analytical and experimental moment-curvature relationships






Figure 5.27: Wall 4 Energy dissipated-cumulative ductility imposed




Figure 5.28: Wall 5 Energy dissipated-cumulative ductility imposed

Therefore both walls developed undesirable energy dissipation mechanisms which greatly affected their performances during testing.

# Chapter 6

## Finite element analysis

### 6.1 Introduction

The aim of this chapter is to discuss the development of a finite element computer program which could predict the structural behavior of squat shear walls under monotonic loading. The program should be able to predict the ultimate load carrying capacity of a wall and its behavior in the post-elastic range. Also the prediction of the crack patterns and the extent of steel yielding should be adequate.

A description of the constitutive models and the finite element formulation used in this study is made in the next two sections, followed by a description of the computer program developed by the author. Finally three case studies are examined to verify the adequacy of the program in predicting the behavior of squat shear walls.

The use of finite element techniques in modelling reinforced concrete shear walls has been employed by many researchers. However only a few investigations were focused on squat shear walls. Cervenka and Gettle [20] were among the first researchers to investigate the inelastic behavior of reinforced concrete panels subjected to in-plane forces. Using a rather simplified an-

alytical model, good correlations between the analytical and experimental load-displacement relationships and crack patterns were obtained. Since then many other researchers have duplicated their results using more sophisticated models and analyses. These new developments in finite element analysis has been summarized in a State-of-the-Art Report published by the American Society of Civil Engineers [5]. Also the recent Japanese work in the area of shear walls has been presented by Inoue et al. [26,27].

## 6.2 Constitutive model of reinforced concrete under monotonic loading


### 6.2.1 Compression field theory

The constitutive model used in the present study to represent the behavior of reinforced concrete under in-plane shear forces in a version of the Modified Compression Field theory presented by Vecchio and Collins [38]. This theory provides a simple tool for the analysis of reinforced concrete under general membrane loading. The theory is based on conditions of equilibrium and compatibility, and utilizes realistic stress-strain relationships for the reinforcement and for the concrete. It assumes that the concrete principal stress direction coincides with the principal strain direction. Stresses and strains are considered in terms of average values, smeared over an area of reinforced concrete which includes several cracks.


Figure 6.1 summarizes the main steps of the Modified Compression Field theory for reinforced concrete. For a given state of strain the average steel stresses  $f_{sx}$  and  $f_{sy}$  corresponding to the strains  $\epsilon_x$  and  $\epsilon_y$  are calculated from a bilinear steel model. These steel stresses do not include dowel action that may be present at the crack interface. The principal compressive stress,  $f_{c2}$ , in the cracked concrete is not only a function of the principal compressive strain,  $\epsilon_2$ , but also depends on the principal tensile strain,  $\epsilon_1$ , if cracks are present. An important series of tests performed by Vecchio and Collins [37] demonstrated that the concrete compressive strength is reduced

Given:  $\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \gamma_{xy}$ .  
 Require:  $f_x, f_y, \nu_{xy}$ .

- From  $\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y$  calculate the steel stresses  $f_{sx}, f_{sy}$ :



- Calculate the principal strains:  $(\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \gamma_{xy}) \rightarrow (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \theta)$ .
- Assume that the concrete principal stress direction coincides with the principal strain direction:  $\theta_c = \theta$ .
- Calculate the concrete principal stresses:  $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow f_{c1}, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow f_{c2}$



- Check that average concrete tensile stresses can be transmitted across the cracks and reduce them if necessary.
- Calculate the resultant stresses in X-Y coordinates:

$$(f_{c1}, f_{c2}, \theta_c, f_{sx}, f_{sy}) \rightarrow (f_x, f_y, \nu_{xy})$$

Figure 6.1: Modified Compression Field theory for reinforced concrete

when coexisting principal tensile strains are high. The compressive stress-strain relationship for cracked concrete can be written as:

$$f_{c2} = f_{c2max} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon'_c} \right) - \left( \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon'_c} \right)^2 \right] \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$f_{c2max} = \frac{f'_c}{0.8 - 0.34 \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon'_c}} \leq f'_c \quad (6.2)$$

Prior to cracking,  $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_{cr}$ , the relationship between the average principal tensile stress in the concrete and the average principal tensile strain is nearly linear and is given by:

$$f_{c1} = E_c \epsilon_1 \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$E_c = 2 \frac{f'_c}{\epsilon'_c} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\epsilon_{cr} = \frac{f_{cr}}{E_c} \quad (6.5)$$

However after cracking,  $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_{cr}$ , the principal tensile stress in the concrete varies from zero at a crack location to a maximum value between the cracks and shows decreasing values of  $f_{c1}$  with increasing values of  $\epsilon_1$ . The average principal tensile stress-strain relationship for concrete after cracking, as suggested by Vecchio and Collins [38], is given by:

$$f_{c1} = \frac{f_{cr}}{1 + \sqrt{200\epsilon_1}} \quad (6.6)$$

This average principal tensile stress,  $f_{c1}$ , may be limited by yielding of the steel reinforcement across the cracks where the tensile stress in the concrete is zero. This upper bound for tensile stresses as suggested by Vecchio and Collins [37] is given by:

$$f_{c1} \leq \rho_{sx}(f_{yx} - f_{sx}) \cos^2 \theta + \rho_{sy}(f_{yy} - f_{sy}) \sin^2 \theta \quad (6.7)$$

Also the principal tensile stress,  $f_{c1}$ , may be limited by sliding along the crack interface. This check involves a trial and error procedure which is presented in details elsewhere [38].

From the procedure presented in Figure 6.1, the Modified Compression Field theory is capable of predicting the response of reinforced concrete to membrane stresses by considering equilibrium conditions, compatibility requirements, and stress-strain relationships, all expressed in terms of average stresses and average strains. In the present study the original equation for the concrete principal compressive stress is modified to account for a better behavior at strains larger than  $\epsilon'_c$ . Also strength hardening in the reinforcement is taken into account. These slight modifications were found to affect the response of squat shear walls in the plastic range.

### 6.2.2 Constitutive model for concrete

The concrete compressive response has been modified to include the actual stress-strain relationship of the concrete as presented in Figures 3.7 and 3.8. Vecchio and Collins used a simple parabola for the envelope curve as shown in Figure 6.1. It is proposed to replace this curve with the curves shown in Figure 6.2. The curve is the identical parabola on the rising portion which reaches a peak of  $f_{c2max}$  at  $\epsilon'_c$  but on the falling portion is a straight line connecting the previous peak stress with a stress  $f_{c2} = 0.3f_{c2max}$  at a strain  $\epsilon_2 = -0.01$ . Thereafter the stress  $f_{c2}$  levels off at  $0.3f_{c2max}$ . The stress-strain relationship of concrete for strains larger than  $\epsilon'_c$  has been chosen arbitrary. Due to a lack of experimental evidence a simple bilinear model was retained. However similar models were used by other researchers [34,36].

The concrete tensile response given by equations 6.3 and 6.6 were retained in this study. However the determination of  $f_{cr}$  from split tests presented in Table 3.1 are usually too conservative. It was decided to follow Vecchio and Collins' recommendation to use the ACI equation [3] given as:

$$f_{cr} = 0.33\sqrt{f'_c} \text{ MPa} \quad (6.8)$$

Equation 6.7 was also retained to limit the average principal tensile stress,  $f_{c1}$ , due to yielding of the reinforcement. However sliding along the crack interface was not considered in this study.




Figure 6.2: Constitutive model of compressive stress-strain relation of concrete



Upon cracking, an average crack width  $w$  over the cracked surface can be calculated as the product of the principal tensile strain and the crack spacing  $S_\theta$ ; that is

$$w = \epsilon_1 \cdot S_\theta \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$S_\theta = \frac{1}{\frac{\sin \theta}{S_{mx}} + \frac{\cos \theta}{S_{my}}} \quad (6.10)$$

$$S_{mx} = 1.5 \times x - \text{bars spacing} \quad (6.11)$$

$$S_{my} = 1.5 \times y - \text{bars spacing} \quad (6.12)$$

$S_{mx}$  and  $S_{my}$  are the indicators of the crack control characteristics of the  $x$ -reinforcement and the  $y$ -reinforcement respectively. Equations 6.11 and 6.12 are empirical relations suggested by Vecchio and Collins [38].

### 6.2.3 Constitutive model for reinforcing steel

The bilinear model used in the compression field theory was modified to include the observed stress-strain relationship presented in Figure 3.9. Figure 6.3 presents a schematic of the steel model used in the present study. It includes the strain hardening region by fitting a parabola between the onset of strain hardening at  $\epsilon_{sh}$  and the ultimate strain  $\epsilon_u$  at  $f_u$ . This slight modification to the original model was done to investigate the effect of strain hardening on load-displacement relationship of squat shear walls.

## 6.3 Finite element formulation

### 6.3.1 Formulation selection

The choice of the finite element formulation to be used was based on the mode of application of the load on the wall. As previously described in Chapter 3, in-plane forces were generated in the wall which dictates the




Figure 6.3: Constitutive model for stress-strain relationship of steel

use of two-dimensional plane stress elements. An eight node quadrilateral isoparametric element was selected. This type of element provides a parabolic distribution of displacement within the element and consequently a linear variation of strain. Elements with a quadratic displacement distribution model flexural behavior well and give acceptable results for shear behavior if sufficient elements are used throughout the depth of the member. The isoparametric formulation makes it possible to have nonrectangular elements with sides that may be curved. The exact order of quadrature used in the numerical integration of the stiffness matrix is a  $2 \times 2$  point rule for elastic analyses, however higher order of quadrature may be needed for nonlinear analyses.

### 6.3.2 Evaluation of stresses for reinforced concrete

At each integration point within the element it is required to calculate the state of stress for a given state of strain  $\epsilon_x$ ,  $\epsilon_y$  and  $\gamma_{xy}$ . The material

models described previously are then implemented to calculate the principal concrete stresses  $f_{c1}$  and  $f_{c2}$  acting at an angle  $\theta_c = \theta$ , where  $-180^\circ \leq \theta < 180^\circ$ . The concrete stresses are then rotated in the X-Y axes using the coordinate transformation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{cx} &= f_{c1} \cos^2 \theta + f_{c2} \sin^2 \theta \\ f_{cy} &= f_{c1} \sin^2 \theta + f_{c2} \cos^2 \theta \\ v_{cxy} &= (f_{c1} - f_{c2}) \cos \theta \sin \theta \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

The total stresses in the reinforced concrete at the integration point are found by adding the steel stresses to the concrete stresses.

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= f_{cx} + \rho_{sx} f_{sx} \\ f_y &= f_{cy} + \rho_{sy} f_{sy} \\ v_{xy} &= v_{cxy} \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

### 6.3.3 Solution of nonlinear equations

In analyzing a given structure with known geometry, material properties, boundary conditions and loading a linear set of equations can be established. By solving these equations, the displacements and reactions of the structure as a whole and the internal stresses in each element are found. However if the material properties show nonlinear stress-strain relations, the resulting equations are also nonlinear. These type of nonlinear problems are usually solved by taking a series of linear steps. A typical algorithm would increment the applied loading in steps and within each load step an iterative solution technique would be used until convergence requirements are met. The solution of nonlinear equations using an incremental load algorithm is well documented elsewhere [10].

In the incremental load algorithm previously described it often happens that the displacement solution does not converge, indicating no increase in load for a finite increase in displacement. This indicates that the structure reached its ultimate load and that the analysis must be stopped. However this study is concerned with the behavior of squat shear walls in the plastic

range which inevitably results in a plateau or even a decrease in the load carrying capacity of the structure especially at high displacement levels. To counteract this major drawback an incremental displacement algorithm is used. Instead of applying known nodal forces on the structure and solving the corresponding displacements, a given displacement is imposed at a node and the corresponding nodal force is solved. This technique has been successfully employed to trace the post-buckling configurations of curved beams including snap-through and multi-solution paths. A detailed outline of incremental displacement algorithms for nonlinear problems is presented by Batoz and Dhatt [11].

The solution of a set of nonlinear equations using an incremental displacement algorithm is obtained by linearizing the response of the finite element system about the conditions at a given displacement level. Three commonly used iterative solution techniques can be utilized and are designated as: (a) initial stiffness method; (b) secant stiffness method; and (c) tangent stiffness method. The initial stiffness method corresponds to a linearization of the response about the initial configuration of the finite element system. Consequently the construction and factorization of the global stiffness matrix is done once in the analysis. The secant and tangent stiffness methods, however, require the construction and factorization of the global stiffness matrix at each iteration within a given displacement increment based on the updated displacements. A modified iterative scheme can be used to update the stiffness matrix at the first iteration or at a prescribed number of iterations. The main advantage of these two iteration schemes over the initial stiffness method is that a faster convergence is achieved within the prescribed displacement increment. However this is usually counterbalanced by the major computational cost involved in constructing and factorizing the stiffness matrix. Also an ill-conditioned stiffness matrix can develop using the secant or tangent stiffness method as the structure approaches its ultimate load carrying capacity. To avoid this computational problem, the initial stiffness method was selected to be used with the incremental displacement algorithm as recommended by Zienkiewicz [42].

If an incremental displacement solution strategy based on iterative methods is to be effective, a realistic convergence criterion should be used for the termination of the iteration process. This convergence criterion is usually

based on the norms of out-of-balance nodal forces and/or incremental nodal displacements. The iteration process is stopped when the norms are within tolerance limits. These convergence criteria usually perform well before the attainment of the ultimate load. However severe inaccuracies were observed in the plastic range. Since the incremental displacement algorithm is solving for the externally applied force, it was decided to based the convergence criterion on the rate of change of this force. The iteration process is stopped when the ratio of the incremental externally applied force to the total force is within acceptable limits.

## 6.4 Finite element analysis program

Based on the constitutive models and finite element formulation presented in section 6.2 and 6.3, a computer program FEASSW, Finite Element Analysis of Squat Shear Walls, was written. Figure 6.4 presents a simplified flow chart of the program FEASSW. The listing of the computer program and a typical output is presented in Appendix C. A Gauss elimination equation solver for a symmetric and nonsingular stiffness matrix, stored in band form, is used. As depicted in Figure 6.4, the program can perform two types of analysis, a static linear analysis or a nonlinear incremental displacement analysis of a reinforced concrete structure subjected to monotonic loading history. For the latter analysis, the user specifies a control node on which the incremental displacements are imposed. Iteration is performed until the resulting force acting at the control node is within tolerable limits. Upon convergence, the program outputs the following results at each Gauss point of an element: average strains ( $\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \gamma_{xy}$ ), steel stresses ( $f_{sx}, f_{sy}$ ), concrete stresses ( $f_{c1}, f_{c2}$ ), inclination of the principal strain direction ( $\theta$ ), cracking and crushing of concrete if present, and the average crack width ( $w$ ).

The concrete constitutive model used in FEASSW is applicable to cracked concrete subjected to in-plane shear and normal stresses, that is, for values of  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  that are positive and negative respectively (tension-compression state of strain). Under biaxial compression, the effect of confinement which increases the strength of the concrete is not included in this study. The




Figure 6.4: Simplified flow chart for FEASSW

compressive stresses acting on each of the principal direction are calculated from the stress-strain relations presented in Figure 6.2 using a value of  $f_{c2max} = f'_c$ . Under biaxial tension, the tensile stresses resulting on each of the principal direction are calculated independently using equation 6.3 or 6.6. These stress-strain relations for concrete under biaxial compression and tension are retained for simplicity. Consequently at low displacement levels where cracks are not present, the resulting externally applied force is usually overestimated. However squat shear walls are generally fully cracked beyond half of the ultimate load which indicates that the effect of biaxial compression or tension on the wall response is negligible.

Under extensive cracking of the concrete and yielding of the reinforcement, some portion of the structure may be subjected to unloading and reloading during the iteration process at a given imposed displacement due to stress redistribution. This unloading-reloading process should follow the observed behavior of steel and concrete under cyclic loading presented in Figures 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9. However no attempt was made to model this behavior. The stress-strain relationships under monotonic loading were used to model the unloading-reloading process.

## 6.5 Case studies

In this section three case studies are performed to evaluate the ability of FEASSW to reproduce the structural behavior of squat shear walls. First the effect of a flexible foundation beam, previously mentioned in section 4.4, on the wall response is presented for Walls 1 and 6. In the second case study, the prediction of the crack patterns for Wall 5 is made. Also a comparison of the crack widths and their orientation is made and yielding of the reinforcement is compared with the observed behavior. Finally the effect of the amount of horizontal reinforcement on the load carrying mechanism is investigated for Wall 1.

Wall No.	$f'_c$ (MPa)	$\epsilon'_c$ (%)	$f_{cr}$ (MPa)	$\rho_{sx}$ (%)	$\rho_{sy}$ (%)	$f_y$ (MPa)	$f_u$ (MPa)	$\epsilon_{sy}$ (%)	$\epsilon_{sh}$ (%)	$\epsilon_{su}$ (%)
1	-25	-0.20	1.65	0.25	0.80	430	650	0.215	1.6	11
5	-27	-0.18	1.71	1.20	1.20	480	770	0.240	1.0	10
6	-33	-0.17	1.90	0.80	0.80	480	770	0.240	1.0	10

Table 6.1: Material properties used in finite element analyses

### 6.5.1 Effect of foundation flexibility on wall response


The effect of a flexible foundation on the wall response is examined in this section. Base fixity in the prediction of shear and flexural deformations is generally granted. The purpose of this case study is to examine if the observed bending of the foundation significantly affects the load-top displacement relationship of squat shear walls.

Two wall specimens with an aspect ratio of one half were selected for this analysis, Walls 1 and 6. Wall 1 [40] was considered to have a fully fixed foundation beam whereas Wall 6 [39] was tested in the same manner as Walls 4 and 5. Wall 6 has an identical arrangement of steel reinforcement as Wall 4. However the sliding shear failure observed for Wall 4 was suppressed in Wall 6 by special detailing at the base of the wall. The resulting ductile behavior of Wall 6 was preferred over the sliding shear failure observed for Walls 4 and 5. The material properties of the walls used in the analyses are presented in Table 6.1.


The finite element meshes used in the analysis are presented in Figure 6.5. The shaded areas represent the top beam and foundation beam finite elements. The selection of the proper number of elements to be used was determined after a sensitivity analysis on different mesh sizes, that is, on the improvement of the solution by refining the finite element mesh.

The top beam was modeled with 14 plane stress elements having the appropriate thickness shown in Figure 3.1. Since cracking of the concrete in the top beam was not observed throughout the test, these elements were confined to remain elastic throughout the analysis. The control node and





A) Rigid foundation



B) Flexible foundation

Figure 6.5: Finite element meshes




Figure 6.6: Wall 1 comparison between analytical and observed behavior

the resulting force are indicated on Figure 6.5 by an arrow.

The wall element was modeled with 98 plane stress elements using the constitutive relations presented in section 6.2. It was found that a  $2 \times 2$  point rule used in the numerical integration gave the same results as a  $4 \times 4$  point rule with only a slight increase in the number of iteration required to achieve the same accuracy. A convergence criterion of 0.5% was found to give a good trade off between the accuracy of the solution and the execution time.

The foundation beam of Figure 6.5(b) was modeled with 28 plane stress elements using the constitutive relations presented in section 6.2. The steel reinforcement shown in Figure 3.3 was assumed to be smeared throughout the height of the beam. Displacement constraints were applied only at the two extreme elements.

Figure 6.6 presents the load-top displacement hysteresis loops for Wall 1 along with the results of FEASSW and the code prediction based on the ultimate flexural capacity [17]. The FEASSW analysis was performed using the finite element mesh presented in Figure 6.5(a). The assumption that




Figure 6.7: Wall 6 comparison between analytical and observed behavior

Wall 1 had a fully fixed foundation beam was adequate. The prediction of the load-top displacement relationship under monotonic loading is in good agreement with the observed behavior under cyclic loading if the stiffness degradation observed at  $1\Delta_y$  and  $2\Delta_y$ , essentially caused by cyclic loading, is ignored. Also the relationship obtained from FEASSW shows a similar shape as that obtained from the walls tested by Barda [9] under monotonic loading. As expected, the ultimate load obtained from the code is slightly less than the observed and analytical ultimate loads in the south direction. However for an unknown reason Wall 1 showed to be weaker in the north direction.

Figure 6.7 presents the load-top displacement hysteresis loops for Wall 6 along with the results of FEASSW for a rigid and flexible foundations and the code prediction [17]. From this figure it can be seen that the foundation flexibility has a tremendous effect on the response of the wall under mono-

tonic loading. Although the load-top displacement curve obtained from the finite element mesh of Figure 6.5(b) does not agree well with the observed behavior, the shape of the analytical curve models adequately the envelop of the hysteresis loops. This disagreement was expected due to the complex behavior of the foundation beam reported in section 4.4 and the rather simplified model of the foundation beam used in the analysis. A vertical deflection of 9 mm was predicted by FEASSW in the middle region of the foundation beam with vertical crack widths less than 1 mm. This compare well with the observed behavior reported in section 4.4. In spite of the crude agreement obtained in Figure 6.7, the comparison clearly indicates that the wall response is affected by the foundation rigidity.

As depicted for Walls 1 and 6, the prediction of the ultimate load obtained for a fully fixed foundation is slightly overestimated by FEASSW when compared with the code. This overestimation is expected since the full stress-strain relation of the concrete is taken into account whereas the code limits the concrete strain at -0.003.

### 6.5.2 Comparison between observed and analytical behaviors of Wall 5

The behavior of Wall 5 reported in section 4.3 is compared with the analytical behavior obtained from FEASSW. Figure 6.8 presents the load-top displacement hysteresis loops of Wall 5 with the monotonic analytical prediction using the finite element mesh of Figure 6.5(b). As depicted in this figure, the simplified model of the foundation beam gave a better agreement for Wall 5 than for Wall 6. The material properties presented in Table 6.1 were used in the analysis.

The observed crack pattern obtained at load stage 1,  $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y$ , is compared with the analytical prediction in Figure 6.9. Both crack patterns are similar, showing an increase in the inclination of the cracks in the central region of the wall. A crack inclination of  $38^\circ$  in the central region of the wall was measured in both pictures of Figure 6.9 with crack widths less than 0.1 mm.






Figure 6.8: Wall 5 comparison between analytical and observed behavior

Figure 6.10 presents the observed crack pattern at the end of cycles at  $\pm 1\Delta_y$ , along with the predicted crack patterns at two different load levels. The vertical shading on Figure 6.10(b) and (c) indicates yielding of the vertical flexural bars. It was previously shown on Figure 5.24 that prior to the formation of the flexural base crack at approximately 415 kN, three vertical bars were yielding. Figure 6.10(b) shows the same hair line crack pattern and yielding of the reinforcement as observed during the test at approximately the same load level. Figure 6.10(c) shows the crack pattern and yielding of the reinforcement at  $1\Delta_y$ . As depicted in this figure, almost half of the vertical bar are yielding which is in good agreement with Figure 5.24. A base crack width of approximately 5 mm was obtained from FE-ASSW in the tension zone of the wall as depicted in Figure 6.10(c) which is comparable to a measured base crack width of 7 mm at 586 kN,  $2\Delta_y$ . The remaining cracks were essentially hair line cracks. Also a comparison of the crack pattern and their inclination between Figures 6.10(a) and (c) shows an excellent agreement. Diagonal  $45^\circ$  shear cracks were observed in the upper right section of the wall and reduced to  $42^\circ$  in the middle section. The tension zone of the wall was composed of flexural-shear cracks with in-




A) Observed crack pattern




B) Analytical crack pattern


Figure 6.9: Wall 5 comparison of crack patterns at load stage 1,  $+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_v$



A) Observed crack pattern at 577 kN



B) Analytical crack pattern at 432 kN



C) Analytical crack pattern at 593 kN

Figure 6.10: Wall 5 comparison of crack patterns at load stage 12,  $1\Delta_y$

clination varying from almost  $0^\circ$  at the base to  $38^\circ$  in the upper left section of the wall. Yielding of the horizontal reinforcement was not observed in Figure 6.10.

In analyzing Figures 6.9 and 6.10, it becomes clear that crack patterns and the extent of steel yielding can be approximated in squat shear walls. A better modeling of the foundation beam would probably result in an even a better prediction of the wall behavior.

### **6.5.3 Effect of horizontal reinforcement on the behavior of Wall 1**

The effect of the amount of horizontal reinforcement on the response of Wall 1 is analyzed in this section. The good agreement obtained in Figure 6.6 dictated the choice of Wall 1 over the other walls. Three different horizontal reinforcement ratios were analyzed while keeping the vertical reinforcement ratio constant. The finite element mesh presented in Figure 6.5(a) and the material properties shown in Table 6.1 were used.

Figure 6.11 presents the response of Wall 1 under monotonic loading for three different amount of horizontal reinforcement, that is, 0%, 0.25% and 0.8% along with the code prediction based on flexural capacity [17]. Wall 1 was designed with the minimum amount of horizontal reinforcement required by the Canadian code, that is, 0.25% while 0.8% corresponds to the required shear reinforcement based on the maximum load obtained at the development of the full flexural capacity. It is worth noting that Wall 1 with 0.8% horizontal steel ratio should behaved in a similar fashion as Walls 4 and 6. As depicted, in Figure 6.11, walls with steel ratios of 0.25% and 0.8% reached the ultimate load required by the code. As expected the absence of horizontal reinforcement drastically reduced the load carrying capacity of Wall 1 by approximately 20%.

To investigate the effect of the horizontal steel ratio on the load carrying mechanism, the crack patterns and the extent of steel yielding of all three walls are compared at different displacement levels. Figure 6.12 presents the








Figure 6.11: Wall 1 effect of horizontal reinforcement of wall behavior

analytical behavior of all walls at a top displacement of 4 mm which corresponds to the attainment of the ultimate load. Yielding of the vertical and horizontal reinforcement is represented by vertical and horizontal shadings respectively while crushing of the concrete (for  $\epsilon_2 \leq -0.01$ ) is represented by triangles. In comparing Figures 6.12(b) and (c) it can be depicted that the amount of horizontal reinforcement do not significantly affect the crack patterns nor the extent of flexural yielding in the wall at 4 mm. The flexural base crack was estimated to be 2 mm in both analyses whereas the remaining cracks were essentially hair line cracks. However the absence of horizontal reinforcement in Figure 6.12(a) showed a pronounced effect on the crack pattern. Crack widths were estimated at 6 mm on the tension side of the wall whereas the remaining cracks were measured between 1 mm and 3 mm. The extensive cracking of the concrete had the effect of increasing the extent of flexural yielding which explains the reduction of the maximum load carried by this wall.


Figure 6.13 presents the behavior of the three walls at a top displacement of 10 mm. It can be depicted from Figure 6.13(a) that in the absence



A) Horizontal steel ratio  $\rho_{sx} = 0\%$




B) Horizontal steel ratio  $\rho_{sx} = 0.25\%$




C) Horizontal steel ratio  $\rho_{sx} = 0.8\%$


Figure 6.12: Wall 1 analytical crack patterns at 4 mm



A) Horizontal steel ratio  $\rho_{sx} = 0\%$



B) Horizontal steel ratio  $\rho_{sx} = 0.25\%$




C) Horizontal steel ratio  $\rho_{sx} = 0.8\%$


Figure 6.13: Wall 1 analytical crack patterns at 10 mm

of horizontal reinforcement the wall has a tendency to fail along a 45° diagonal crack. Also extensive cracking and crushing of the concrete is observed along the base of the wall. The effect of horizontal reinforcement on the crack pattern is clearly seen by comparing Figures 6.13(b) and (c). A diagonal tension mode of failure has a tendency to form in Figure 6.13(b) where the horizontal steel yielding and crack widths are more pronounced than in Figure 6.13(c). However it can be depicted from the latter figure that an increase in the amount of horizontal reinforcement has a tendency to reduce the extent of flexural yielding and to increase the crack width throughout the base of the wall which could lead to a sliding shear mode of failure. It should be noted that a ductile behavior was more pronounced in Figure 6.13(c) than (b). Crushing of concrete was not affected by the amount of horizontal reinforcement and no strength hardening was observed in all three analyses at a top displacement of 10 mm.

To emphasize the diagonal tension failure observed during the testing of Wall 1 (0.25% horizontal steel ratio), a comparison between the observed and analytical crack patterns is made in Figure 6.14. At a top displacement of 20 mm FEASSW adequately predicts the diagonal tension failure, showing extensive yielding of vertical and horizontal reinforcements in the central portion of the wall along with wide cracks as depicted in Figure 6.14(a). Figure 6.14(b) shows the observed crack pattern at 20 mm. The extent and orientation of the cracks show good agreement. Extensive strength hardening in the vertical bars was calculated by FEASSW whereas the horizontal bars remained essentially within the plateau range. This excessive yielding of the vertical bars can be seen in Figure 6.14(b) where the vertical bars show some sign of staggering across the wide diagonal crack.



A) Analytical crack pattern ( $\rho_{sx} = 0.25\%$ )



B) Observed crack pattern

Figure 6.14: Wall 1 comparison of crack patterns at 20 mm

# Chapter 7

## Conclusions

Based on the results of the experimental and analytical investigations carried out in this research program, the following conclusions can be made.

1. Squat shear walls designed in accordance with the current North American Building Codes [3,17] may fail due to sliding shear prior to the attainment of their ultimate design shear strengths.
2. The sliding shear in squat shear walls may take place prior to ultimate flexural capacity. The wall specimens tested in this investigation showed sliding shear failures at 68% and 70% of shear forces associated with their flexural capacities. These shear forces translate into  $0.45\sqrt{f'_c}$ MPa and  $0.69\sqrt{f'_c}$ MPa of nominal shear stress respectively.
3. The sliding shear failure mechanism is triggered by the formation of a continuous flexural crack at the base of the wall.
4. Ductility in squat shear walls with an aspect ratio of one-half can be attained if the diagonal tension capacity is limited to shear force producing sliding shear. Experimental and analytical results indicate that a squat wall with a minimum horizontal reinforcement ratio of 0.25% perform with a limited but acceptable ductility.

5. Cyclic loading produces approximately 35% to 50% reduction in the flexural rigidity of squat walls in the effective elastic range. The corresponding reduction in the shear rigidity can be as high as 85% to 90% of the uncracked stiffness.
6. Squat walls develop significant inelastic deformations. Walls that are critical in sliding shear also develop very high sliding shear deformations. The wall specimens tested in this investigation showed that approximately 35% of total inelastic displacement was due to shear. Displacements due to sliding shear and local slippage of vertical reinforcement in the foundation beam accounted for 50% and 15% of the total horizontal displacements. The flexural response of walls that failed by sliding shear was limited to the effective elastic range.
7. The degree of base fixity produces a significant effect on the wall response. This effect should be included in conducting dynamic analyses on squat shear walls.
8. The floor slabs, and/or top beams, when monolithically cast with shear walls are capable of preventing the 45° failure plane, enabling squat walls with an aspect ratio of less than one to resist higher shear stresses prior to the formation of the corner-to-corner failure plane.

# Bibliography

- [1] Aktan A. E. and Bertero V. V. , *RC Structural Walls: Seismic Design For Shear*, Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 111, No. 8, August 1985, pp. 1775-1791.
- [2] Aktan A. E. and Nelson G. E. , *Inelastic Analysis Of RC Sections*, Proceedings of the Third U. S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering, August 24-28, 1986, Charleston, South Carolina, pp. 1015-1026.
- [3] American Concrete Institute, *Building Code Requirements For Reinforced Concrete ACI 318-89*, Detroit, 1983, 111 p.
- [4] American Concrete Institute, *Commentary on Building Code Requirements For Reinforced Concrete ACI 318-89*, Detroit, 1983, pp 151.
- [5] American Society of Civil Engineers, *Finite Element Analysis of Reinforced Concrete*, New York, 1982, 545 p.
- [6] Arnold C. , *Configuration And Seismic Design: A General Review*, Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> U. S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering, August 22-24, 1979, Stanford, California, pp. 22-36.
- [7] Associate Committe on the National Building Code, *National Building Code of Canada 1985*, National research Council of Canada, 1985, Part 4, pp. 151-180.
- [8] Associate Committe on the National Building Code, *Supplement to the National Building Code of Canada 1985*, National Research Council of Canada, 1985, Chapter 4, Commentary J, pp. 221-241.



- [9] Barda Felix, Hanson John M. and Corley W. Gene. , *Shear Strength Of Low-Rise Walls With Boundary Elements*, Reinforced Concrete Structures In Seismic Zones, Publication SP-53, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 1977, pp.149-202.
- [10] Bathe K. J., *Finite Element Procedures in Engineering Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1982, 735 p.
- [11] Batoz J. L. and Dhatt G., *Incremental Displacement Algorithms for Nonlinear Problems*, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, Vol. 14, No. 8, 1979, pp. 1262-1267.
- [12] Beekhuis W. J. , *An Experimental Study Of Squat Shear Walls*, Master of Engineering Report, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, 1971, 132 pp.
- [13] Benjamin J. R. and Williams H. A. , *The Behavior Of One-Storey Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls*, Journal of the Structural Division, Proceedings of ASCE, Vol. 83, May 1957, pp. 1-49.
- [14] Bertero V. V. , *An Overview Of The State-Of-The-Art In Earthquake-Resistant Reinforced Concrete Building Construction*, Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> U. S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering, August 22-24, 1979, Stanford, California, pp. 838-852.
- [15] Bertero V. V. , *Seismic Behavior Of R/C Wall Structural Systems*, Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, September 8-13, 1980, Istanbul, Turkey, Part III, pp. 323-330.
- [16] Bertero V. V. , *State Of The Art In The Seismic Resistant Construction Of Structures*, Third International Earthquake Microzonation Conference, Proceedings, Vol. 2, June 28-July 1, 1982, Seattle, U. S. A. ,pp.767-799.
- [17] Canadian Standard Association, *Design Of Concrete Structures For Buildings CAN3-A23.3-M84*, Rexdale, 1984, 281 pp.
- [18] Cardenas A. E. , Hanson J. M. , Corley W. G. and Hognestead E. , *Design Provisions For Shear Walls*, ACI Journal, Proceedings, Vol. 70, March 1973, pp. 221-230.

- [19] Cardenas A. E. , Russell H. G. and Corley W. G. , *Strength Of Low-Rise Structural Walls*, Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected To Wind And Earthquake Forces, Publication SP-63, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 1980, pp. 221-241.
- [20] Cervenka V. and Gerstle K. H., *Inelastic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Panels Under In-Plane Loads*, Symposium: Inelasticity and Non-Linearity in Structural Concrete, Study No. 8, 1972, pp. 333-344.
- [21] Collins M. P. , Mitchell D. and MacGregor J. G. , *Explanatory Notes On CSA Standard CAN3-A23.3-M84 Design Of Concrete Structures For Buildings*, Canadian Portland Cement Association, 1985, 140 pp.
- [22] Derecho A. T., Iqbal M., Fintel M. and Corley W. G., *Loading History for Use in Quasi-Static Simulated Earthquake Loading Test*, Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Wind and Earthquake Forces, Publication SP-63, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 1980, pp. 329-356.
- [23] Fiorato A. E. , Oesterle R. G. and Carpenter J. E. , *Reversing Load Tests Of Five Isolated Structural Walls*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Earthquake Structural Engineering, St-Louis, Missouri, U. S. A. , Vol. 1, August 1976, pp. 437-453.
- [24] Oesterle R. G. , Fiorato A. E. , Aristizabal-Ochoa J. D. and Corley W. G. , *Hysteretic Response Of Reinforced Concrete Structural Walls*, Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected To Wind And Earthquake Forces, Publication SP-63, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 1980, pp. 243-273.
- [25] Hernandez O. B. and Zermeno de L. M. E. , *Strength And Behavior of Structural Walls With Shear Failure*, Proceedings of the Seventh World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 4, Istanbul, Turkey 1980, pp 121-124.
- [26] Inoue N. and Noguchi H., *Finite Element Analysis of Reinforced Concrete in Japan*, Finite Element Analysis of RC Structure, American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, 1986, pp. 25-47.

- [27] Inoue N., Koshika N. and Suzuki N., *Analysis of Shear Wall Based on Collins Panel Test*, Finite Element Analysis of RC Structure, American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, 1986, pp. 288-299.
- [28] Park R. and Paulay T. , *Reinforced Concrete Structures*, John Wiley and Sons Inc. , New York, 1975, 769 p.
- [29] Pauley T. , *The Design Of Reinforced Concrete Ductile Shear Walls For Earthquake Resistance*, Research Report 81-1, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, February 1981, 72 p.
- [30] Paulay T. , *Earthquake-Resisting Shearwalls — New Zealand Design Trends*, ACI Journal, Proceedings, Vol. 77, No. 3, May-June 1980, pp. 144-152.
- [31] Paulay T. , *Design Aspects Of Shear Walls For Seismic Areas*, Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 3, September 1975, pp. 321-344.
- [32] Paulay T. and Uzumeri S. M. , *A Critical Review Of The Seismic Design Provisions For Ductile Shear Walls*, John Wiley and Sons Inc. , New York, 1975, 769 p. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, Vol. 2, 1975, pp. 592-601.
- [33] Saatcioglu M., Derecho A.T. and Corley W.G., *Modelling Hysteretic Behavior of Coupled Walls For Dynamic Analysis*, Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamic Journal, Vol. 11, pp. 711-726, 1983.
- [34] Stevens N. J., Uzumeri S. M. and Collins M. P., *Analytical Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Subjected to Monotonic and Reversed Loading*, University of Toronto, Department of Civil Engineering, Publication No. 87-1, January 1987, 201 p.
- [35] Synge A. J. , *Ductility Of Squat Shear Walls*, Research report 80-8, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, February 1980, 141 p.
- [36] Vallnenas J. M., Bertero V. V. and Popov E. P., *Hysteresis Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Structural Walls*, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, Report No. UCB/EERC-79/20, August 1979, 234 p.

- [37] Vecchio F. J. and Collins M. P., *The Response of Reinforced Concrete to In-Plane Shear and Normal Stresses*, University of Toronto, Department of Civil Engineering, Publication No. 82-03, March 1982, 332 p.
- [38] Vecchio F. J. and Collins M. P., *The Modified Compression-Field Theory for Reinforced Concrete Elements Subjected to Shear*, Journal of the American Concrete Institute, Proceedings, Vol. 83, No. 2, March-April 1986, pp. 219-231.
- [39] Wasiewicz Z. , *Sliding Shear In Squat Shear Walls*, M. A. Sc. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Ottawa, 1987.
- [40] Wiradinata S. , *Behavior Of Squat Walls Subjected To Load Reversals*, M. A. Sc. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Toronto, January 1985, 171 p.
- [41] Wiradianata S. and Saatcioglu M. , *Tests Of Squat Shear Wall Under Lateral Load Reversal*, Proceedings of the Third U. S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering, August 24-28, 1986, Charleston, South Carolina, pp. 1395-1406.
- [42] Zienkiewicz O. C., *Incremental Displacement in Non-Linear Analysis*, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, Vol. 3, 1971, pp. 587-588.

# Appendix A

## Design of Wall 4

### A.1 Moment capacity of the section

The following material properties were used in the calculation of the ultimate moment capacity and were obtained from Table 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned}f'_c &= 33 \text{ MPa} \\f_y &= 480 \text{ MPa} \\ \epsilon_y &= 0.24\% \\ E &= 200\,000 \text{ MPa}\end{aligned}$$

Eight pairs of 10M deformed bars were used as depicted in Figure A.1:

$$A_{sv} = 16 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 = 1600 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$\rho_{sv} = A_{sv}/A_g = 1600 \text{ mm}^2 / (100 \text{ mm} \times 2000 \text{ mm}) = 0.8\%$$

From a strain compatibility analysis, the neutral axis is found to be at 225 mm from the compression face as depicted in Figure A.2.

$$T_s = (12 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 \times 480 \text{ MPa}) + (0.00087 \times 2 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 \times 200 \text{ GPa})$$

$$T_s = 576 \text{ kN} + 34.7 \text{ kN} = 611 \text{ kN}$$




Figure A.1: Horizontal section of Wall 4




Figure A.2: Strain distribution at ultimate curvature

$$C_s = -(2 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 \times 480 \text{ MPa}) = -96 \text{ kN}$$

$$C_c = -0.85 \times 33 \text{ MPa} \times (0.83 \times 225 \text{ mm}) \times 100 \text{ mm} = -524 \text{ kN}$$

$$\Sigma = 611 - 96 - 524 = -9 \text{ kN} \quad \text{OK.}$$

$$M_u = (576 \text{ kN} \times 1.284 \text{ m}) + (34.7 \text{ kN} \times 0.290 \text{ m}) + (-96 \text{ kN} \times 0.030 \text{ m})$$

$$+ (-524 \text{ kN} \times 0.83 \times 0.225 \text{ mm} \times 0.5)$$

$$M_u = 698 \text{ kN} \cdot \text{m}$$

## A.2 Shear capacity of the section

The shear force corresponding to the ultimate moment capacity is

$$V_u = M_u/h = 698 \text{ kN} \cdot \text{m} \div 1.14 \text{ m} = 612 \text{ kN}$$

where  $h$  is the distance from the line of action of the applied load to the base of the wall.

From the strain diagram presented in Figure A.2, the strain in the extreme tension bars is 2.3%. From Figure 3.9 some strain hardening is expected in the first four rows of tension steel increasing the value of  $T_s$  by 59 kN. This increase do not significantly change the ultimate capacity of the section. Therefore we neglect any strength hardening. Neglecting concrete contribution towards shear strength

$$V_c = 0 \text{ kN}$$

the minimum horizontal bar spacing is calculated as

$$S_{min} = \frac{A_s f_y d}{V_u} = 251 \text{ mm}$$

where  $d$  is the effective depth of the wall and is taken as 0.8 times the base length of the wall. Try 4 pairs of 10M bars at  $S = 250 \text{ mm}$

$$\rho_{sh} = (8 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2) / (100 \text{ mm} \times 1000 \text{ mm}) = 0.8\%$$

for a design shear capacity of

$$V_r = \frac{A_s f_y d}{S} = \frac{200 \text{ mm}^2 \times 480 \text{ MPa} \times 0.8 \times 2000 \text{ mm}}{250 \text{ mm}} = 614 \text{ kN} > 612 \text{ kN} \quad \text{OK.}$$

The average shear stress applied to the section is

$$\tau_{shear} = \frac{612 \text{ kN}}{0.1 \text{ m} \times 0.8 \times 2 \text{ m}} = 3.83 \text{ MPa} = 0.67 \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ MPa}$$

### A.3 Detailing

Stirrups made of 6.4 mm plain steel spaced at 80 mm were provided at both ends of the wall to prevent premature buckling of the vertical bars.

# Appendix B

## Design of Wall 5

### B.1 Moment capacity of the section

The following material properties were used in the calculation of the ultimate moment capacity and were obtained from Table 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned}f'_c &= 27 \text{ MPa} \\f_y &= 480 \text{ MPa} \\ \epsilon_y &= 0.24\% \\ E &= 200\,000 \text{ MPa}\end{aligned}$$

Twelve pairs of 10M deformed bars were used as depicted in Figure B.1:

$$A_{sv} = 24 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 = 2400 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$\rho_{sv} = A_{sv}/A_g = 2400 \text{ mm}^2 / (100 \text{ mm} \times 2000 \text{ mm}) = 1.2\%$$

From a strain compatibility analysis, the neutral axis is found to be at 355 mm from the compression face as depicted in Figure B.2.

$$T_s = (16 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 \times 480 \text{ MPa}) + (0.00176 \times 200 \text{ GPa} \times 2 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2)$$






Figure B.1: Horizontal section of Wall 5




Figure B.2: Strain distribution at ultimate curvature

$$+(0.00028 \times 200 \text{ GPa} \times 2 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2)$$

$$T_s = 768 \text{ kN} + 70.4 \text{ kN} + 11.2 \text{ kN} = 850 \text{ kN}$$

$$C_s = -(2 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 \times 480 \text{ MPa}) - (0.0012 \times 2 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2 \times 200 \text{ GPa})$$

$$C_s = -144 \text{ kN}$$

$$C_c = -0.85 \times 27 \text{ MPa} \times (0.85 \times 355 \text{ mm}) \times 100 \text{ mm} = -693 \text{ kN}$$

$$\Sigma = 850 - 144 - 693 = 13 \text{ kN} \quad \text{OK.}$$

$$M_u = (768 \text{ kN} \times 1.351 \text{ m}) + (70.4 \text{ kN} \times 0.563 \text{ m}) + (11.2 \text{ kN} \times 0.388 \text{ m})$$

$$+(-144 \text{ kN} \times 0.038 \text{ m}) + (-693 \text{ kN} \times 0.85 \times 0.355 \text{ mm} \times 0.5)$$

$$M_u = 955 \text{ kN} \cdot \text{m}$$

## B.2 Shear capacity of the section

The shear force corresponding to the ultimate moment capacity is

$$V_u = M_u/h = 955 \text{ kN} \cdot \text{m} \div 1.14 \text{ m} = 838 \text{ kN}$$

where  $h$  is the distance from the line of action of the applied load to the base of the wall.

From the strain diagram presented in Figure B.2, the strain in the extreme tension bars is 1.4%. From Figure 3.9 some strain hardening is expected but is negligible. Neglecting concrete contribution towards shear strength

$$V_c = 0 \text{ kN}$$

the minimum horizontal bar spacing is calculated as

$$S_{\min} = \frac{A_s f_y d}{V_u} = 183 \text{ mm}$$

where  $d$  is the effective depth of the wall and is taken as 0.8 times the base length of the wall. Try 6 pairs of 10M bars at  $S = 175 \text{ mm}$

$$\rho_{sh} = (12 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2)/(100 \text{ mm} \times 1000 \text{ mm}) = 1.2\%$$

for a design shear capacity of

$$V_r = \frac{A_s f_y d}{S} = \frac{200 \text{ mm}^2 \times 480 \text{ MPa} \times 0.8 \times 2000 \text{ mm}}{175 \text{ mm}} = 878 \text{ kN} > 838 \text{ kN} \quad \text{OK.}$$

The average shear stress applied to the section is:

$$\tau_{\text{shear}} = \frac{838 \text{ kN}}{0.1 \text{ m} \times 0.8 \times 2 \text{ m}} = 5.24 \text{ MPa} = 1.01 \sqrt{f'_c} \text{ MPa}$$

### **B.3 Detailing**

Stirrups made of 6.4 mm plain steel spaced at 80 mm were provided at both ends of the wall to prevent premature buckling of the vertical bars.

# Appendix C

## Computer Program Listing

The following appendix contains a copy of:

1. The BATCH EXEC program which compiles, sets up the input and output files and executes FEASSW using the CMS batch facility at the University of Ottawa.
2. A complete listing of FEASSW computer program.
3. The input file of the case study presented in Figure 6.6 for one displacement increment of 1 mm.
4. The results of FEASSW.

```

&TRACE ALL
GLOBAL TXTLIB VLNKMLIB VFORTLIB PLOTLIB CMSLIB
GLOBAL LOADLIB DDBALL
FORTVS FEASSW (AUTODBL (DBLPAD4)
FI 1 DISK FEASSW DATA A <--- COMPILER IN DOUBLE PRECISION
FI 2 DISK FEASSW OUTPUT A (LRECL 132 <--- INPUT FILE
FI 3 DISK FEASSW PLOT A <--- OUTPUT FILE
FI 4 DISK FEASSW RESULT A <--- PLOT FILE
LOAD FEASSW (CLEAR START <--- SUMMARY FORCE-DISPL. RESULTS
EXEC SENDFILE FEASSW OUTPUT A TO 335757 AT UOTTAWA <--- SEND FILES
EXEC SENDFILE FEASSW PLOT A TO 335757 AT UOTTAWA <--- TO USER'S
EXEC SENDFILE FEASSW RESULT A TO 335757 AT UOTTAWA <--- ACCOUNT
&EXIT

```

```

101 CHARACTER TITLE*79
OPEN(2)
WRITE(2,101)
FORMAT(T2,1)
*ED CONCRETE SQUAT SHEAR WALLS,,+,T2,84(,)/,,-)
102 READ(1,102) ICARD
FORMAT(I10)
DO 10 I=1,ICARD
  READ(1,103) TITLE
  FORMAT(A79)
  WRITE(2,104) TITLE
  FORMAT(T2,A79)
CONTINUE
103 WRITE(2,105)
FORMAT(,,-)
CALL MAIN1
STOP
END
BLOCK DATA
COMMON /D/ PTN(4,4),WGT(4,4),PTNOD(2,8),B(3,16),EB(3,16),NDOF(16)
DATA PTN /0.,0.,577350269189626.,577350269189626.,0.,0.,
* -77459669241483.0.,77459669241483.0.,
* -861136311594053.,339981043584856.,
* 339981043584856.,861136311594053/
DATA WGT /2.,0.,0.,0.,1.,1.,0.,0.
* 565555555555556.,8888888888889.,
* 555555555555556.,0.
* 347854845137454.,652145154862546.,347854845137454.,
* 652145154862546/
DATA PTNOD /-1.,-1.,0.,-1.,1.,-1.,1.,0.,1.,1.,0.,1.,-1.,-1.,0.,
END
SUBROUTINE MAIN1
COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEXE, NN, NE, NMP
COMMON /C/ ID(1000,2),NEQ,ALOAD(1000),UF(1000),S(1000,80),MBOUND
101 READ(1,101) ICHECK, IEXE, NN, NE, NMP
FORMAT(10I10)
102 WRITE(2,102) ICHECK, IEXE, NN, NE, NMP
FORMAT(T2, PARAMETERS: ',5X,10(I5,3X)')
CALL NODGEN
CALL ELEGEN
CALL MATPRO
CALL BOUND
CALL LOD
IF (ICHECK.EQ.1) RETURN
CALL STIFF
CALL SOLVE(1)
DO 10 I=1,NEQ
  UF(I)=ALOAD(I)
CONTINUE
IF (ICHECK.EQ.2) THEN
  CALL DISPLI
  CALL STRLI
  RETURN
END IF
CALL NONLIN
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE NODGEN
NODE GENERATION
COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEXE, NN, NE, NMP
COMMON /B/ X(1000),Y(1000),IEL(250,8),IELMAT(250),AMATP(10,10),
* INODE=0
101 READ(1,101) ICARD
FORMAT(I10)
DO 10 I=1,ICARD
  READ(1,102) KOD,L,XL,YL
  FORMAT(2I10,2F10.0)
  IF (KOD.EQ.1) THEN

```

```

FEA00010
FEA00020
FEA00030
FEA00040
FEA00050
FEA00060
FEA00070
FEA00080
FEA00090
FEA00100
FEA00110
FEA00120
FEA00130
FEA00140
FEA00150
FEA00160
FEA00170
FEA00180
FEA00190
FEA00200
FEA00210
FEA00220
FEA00230
FEA00240
FEA00250
FEA00260
FEA00270
FEA00280
FEA00290
FEA00300
FEA00310
FEA00320
FEA00330
FEA00340
FEA00350
FEA00360
FEA00370
FEA00380
FEA00390
FEA00400
FEA00410
FEA00420
FEA00430
FEA00440
FEA00450
FEA00460
FEA00470
FEA00480
FEA00490
FEA00500
FEA00510
FEA00520
FEA00530
FEA00540
FEA00550
FEA00560
FEA00570
FEA00580
FEA00590
FEA00600
FEA00610
FEA00620
FEA00630
FEA00640
FEA00650
FEA00660
FEA00670
FEA00680
FEA00690
FEA00700
FEA00710
FEA00720

```

C



```

FEA01450
FEA01460
FEA01470
FEA01480
FEA01490
FEA01500
FEA01510
FEA01520
FEA01530
FEA01540
FEA01550
FEA01560
FEA01570
FEA01580
FEA01590
FEA01600
FEA01610
FEA01620
FEA01630
FEA01640
FEA01650
FEA01660
FEA01670
FEA01680
FEA01690
FEA01700
FEA01710
FEA01720
FEA01730
FEA01740
FEA01750
FEA01760
FEA01770
FEA01780
FEA01790
FEA01800
FEA01810
FEA01820
FEA01830
FEA01840
FEA01850
FEA01860
FEA01870
FEA01880
FEA01890
FEA01900
FEA01910
FEA01920
FEA01930
FEA01940
FEA01950
FEA01960
FEA01970
FEA01980
FEA01990
FEA02000
FEA02010
FEA02020
FEA02030
FEA02040
FEA02050
FEA02060
FEA02070
FEA02080
FEA02090
FEA02100
FEA02110
FEA02120
FEA02130
FEA02140
FEA02150
FEA02160

40 ID=IEL1+K*INCEL1+J*INCE2
   DO 40 I=1,8
     IEL(ID,L)=IEL(IEL1,L)+K*INCNI1+J*INCNI2
   CONTINUE
   IELMAT(ID)=IELMAT(IEL1)
   IF (ID.GT.IELEM) IELEM=ID
30 CONTINUE
20 ELSE
   IF (IEL1.GT.IELEM) IELEM=IEL1
   END IF
10 CONTINUE
   WRITE(2,201)
   FORMAT('ELEMENT',20X,'NODES',22X,'MATERIAL INDEX',/,4,'7( ',_'),
*      20X,5( ',22X,1A( ',_'),/,_')
*
   DO 50 I=1,NE
     WRITE(2,202) I,(IEL(I,J),J=1,8),IELMAT(I)
     FORMAT(2X,I3,5X,8I5,12X,1I1)
50 CONTINUE
   IF (IELEM.NE.NE) THEN
     WRITE(2,204)
     FORMAT('2',SUBROUTINE ELEMEN: IELEM NOT EQUAL TO NE',10X,
*      '***** EXECUTION STOPPED *****')
   STOP
   END IF
   RETURN
   END
C
SUBROUTINE MATPRO
MATERIAL PROPERTIES
COMMON /A/ ICHECK,IEXE,NN,NE,NMP
COMMON /B/ X(1000),Y(1000),IEL(250,8),IELMAT(250),AMATP(10,10),
*      ITYPE(10)
   READ(1,101) ICARD
101 FORMAT(1I10)
   IF (ICARD.NE.NMP) THEN
     WRITE(2,209)
     FORMAT('2',SUBROUTINE MATPRO: ICARD NOT EQUAL TO NMP',10X,
*      '***** EXECUTION STOPPED *****')
   STOP
   END IF
   DO 10 I=1,ICARD
     READ(1,102) IMP,ITYPE(IMP),(AMATP(IMP,J),J=1,3)
     FORMAT(5X,I5,1I0,3F10.0)
     IF (ITYPE(IMP).EQ.2) THEN
       READ(1,103) (AMATP(IMP,J),J=4,10)
       FORMAT(10X,7F10.0)
     END IF
10 CONTINUE
   WRITE(2,201)
   FORMAT(' ',MATERIAL PROPERTIES',/,+',19( ',_'),/,+',INDEX',T20,
*      'LINEAR ANALYSIS',T76,'NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS',/,+',T25( ',_'),
*      T11,32( ',T51,76( ',_'),/,+',T14,'YOUNG',T24,'POISSON',T33,
*      'THICKNESS',T55,'F',T66,'E',T77,'FT',T88,'ES',T99,'EY',
*      T110,'SH',T121,'SV',/,+',T11,3(10( ',_'),1X),T51,7(10( ',_'),
*      1X),/,_')
   DO 20 I=1,NMP
     IF (ITYPE(I).EQ.1) THEN
       K=3
     ELSE
       K=10
     END IF
     WRITE(2,202) I,(AMATP(I,J),J=1,K)
     FORMAT(T3,I2,T11,3(IPE10.3E2,1X),T51,7(1PE10.3E2,1X))
20 CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
C
SUBROUTINE BOUND
BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
COMMON /A/ ICHECK,IEXE,NN,NE,NMP
COMMON /B/ X(1000),Y(1000),IEL(250,8),IELMAT(250),AMATP(10,10),
*      ITYPE(10)

```





```

204 *      WRITE(2,204) P,J,IXY
      FORMAT(T2,*,*,*,WARNING,*,*,LOAD,*,1PE10.3E2,
      *      ,APPLIED TO A CONSTRAINT OR UNEXISTANT NODE ',I4,
      *      , DIRECTION ',N',I1,N')
      END IF
      IF (IXY.EQ.1) THEN
        WRITE(2,202) J,P
        FORMAT(T2,I3,T11,1PE10.3E2)
      ELSE
        WRITE(2,203) J,P
        FORMAT(T2,I3,T25,1PE10.3E2)
      END IF
      CONTINUE
20  CONTINUE
10  DO 30 I=1,NEQ
      BLOAD(I)=ALOAD(I)
      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE STIFF
      SUBROUTINE STIFF
      INITIAL STIFFNESS MATRIX
      COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEXE, NN, NE, NMP
      COMMON /B/ X(1000), Y(1000), IEL(250,8), IELMAT(250), AMATP(10,10),
      *      ITYPE(10)
      COMMON /C/ ID(1000,2), NEQ, ALOAD(1000), UF(1000), S(1000,80), MBAND
      COMMON /D/ PTN(4,4), WGT(4,4), PTNOD(2,8), B(3,16), EB(3,16), NDOF(16)
      COMMON /E/ ALPHA, ELMAX, SUX, SMY, EX(16,3,3,250), IGAUSS, U(1000)
      REAL ST(16,16), E(3,3)
      MBAND=0
      DO 10 I=1,NE
      MIN=9999
      MAX=0
      DO 20 J=1,8
      DO 30 K=1,2
      L=ID(IEL(I,J),K)
      IF (L.GT.0) THEN
        IF (L.LT.MIN) MIN=L
        IF (L.GT.MAX) MAX=L
      END IF
      CONTINUE
      CONTINUE
      MB=MAX-MIN+1
      IF (MB.GT.MBAND) MBAND=MB
      CONTINUE
      IF (MBAND.GT.80) THEN
        WRITE(2,101) MBAND
        FORMAT(T2, SUBROUTINE STIFF: SEMI-BANDWIDTH OF ',I3,
        *      , EXCEEDING THE ALLOWABLE VALUE',10X,
        *      ,***** EXECUTION STOPPED *****')
      END IF
      DO 40 I=1,NEQ
      DO 50 J=1,MBAND
      S(I,J)=0.
      CONTINUE
      CONTINUE
      DO 100 I=1,NE
      DO 110 J=1,16
      DO 120 K=1,16
      ST(J,K)=0.
      CONTINUE
      CONTINUE
      CONTINUE
      IK=IELMAT(I)
      APOIS=AMATP(IK,2)
      E(1,1)=AMATP(IK,1)/(1-APOIS**2)
      E(1,2)=E(1,1)*APOIS
      E(3,3)=E(1,1)*(1-APOIS)/2
      E(1,3)=0.
      E(2,1)=E(1,2)
      E(2,3)=0.
      E(3,1)=0.
      E(3,2)=0.

```

```

FEA02890
FEA02900
FEA02910
FEA02920
FEA02930
FEA02940
FEA02950
FEA02960
FEA02970
FEA02980
FEA02990
FEA03000
FEA03010
FEA03020
FEA03030
FEA03040
FEA03050
FEA03060
FEA03070
FEA03080
FEA03090
FEA03100
FEA03110
FEA03120
FEA03130
FEA03140
FEA03150
FEA03160
FEA03170
FEA03180
FEA03190
FEA03200
FEA03210
FEA03220
FEA03230
FEA03240
FEA03250
FEA03260
FEA03270
FEA03280
FEA03290
FEA03300
FEA03310
FEA03320
FEA03330
FEA03340
FEA03350
FEA03360
FEA03370
FEA03380
FEA03390
FEA03400
FEA03410
FEA03420
FEA03430
FEA03440
FEA03450
FEA03460
FEA03470
FEA03480
FEA03490
FEA03500
FEA03510
FEA03520
FEA03530
FEA03540
FEA03550
FEA03560
FEA03570
FEA03580
FEA03590
FEA03600

```

```

FEA03610
FEA03620
FEA03630
FEA03640
FEA03650
FEA03660
FEA03670
FEA03680
FEA03690
FEA03700
FEA03710
FEA03720
FEA03730
FEA03740
FEA03750
FEA03760
FEA03770
FEA03780
FEA03790
FEA03800
FEA03810
FEA03820
FEA03830
FEA03840
FEA03850
FEA03860
FEA03870
FEA03880
FEA03890
FEA03900
FEA03910
FEA03920
FEA03930
FEA03940
FEA03950
FEA03960
FEA03970
FEA03980
FEA03990
FEA04000
FEA04010
FEA04020
FEA04030
FEA04040
FEA04050
FEA04060
FEA04070
FEA04080
FEA04090
FEA04100
FEA04110
FEA04120
FEA04130
FEA04140
FEA04150
FEA04160
FEA04170
FEA04180
FEA04190
FEA04200
FEA04210
FEA04220
FEA04230
FEA04240
FEA04250
FEA04260
FEA04270
FEA04280
FEA04290
FEA04300
FEA04310
FEA04320

```

```

E(2,2)=E(1,1)
THK=AMATP(IK,3)
NGAUSS=2
DO 130 NA=1,NGAUSS
  XA=PTN(NA,NGAUSS)
  DO 140 NB=1,NGAUSS
    YB=PTN(NB,NGAUSS)
    CALL SHAPE(XA,YB,I,DEIJAC)
    IF (IEXE.EQ.1.AND.IIYPE(IK).EQ.2) THEN
      KGAUSS=NB+(NA-1)*NGAUSS
      DO 500 J=1,3
        DO 510 K=1,3
          E(J,K)=EX(KGAUSS,J,K,I)
          CONTINUE
        END IF
      DO 152 J=1,16
        DO 151 K=1,3
          EB(K,J)=0
          DO 150 L=1,3
            EB(K,J)=EB(K,J)+B(L,J)*E(K,L)
          CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
      DO 160 J=1,16
        DO 170 K=1,16
          DUM=0
          DO 180 L=1,3
            DUM=DUM+EB(L,J)*B(L,K)
          CONTINUE
          ST(K,J)=ST(K,J)+WGT(NA,NGAUSS)*WGT(NB,NGAUSS)*
            THK*DETJAC*DUM
          CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
      DO 200 J=1,8
        NDOF(J*2-1)=ID(IEL(I,J),1)
        NDOF(J*2)=ID(IEL(I,J),2)
      CONTINUE
      DO 210 J=1,16
        JJ=NDOF(J)
        IF (JJ.GT.0) THEN
          KK=NDOF(K)
          IF (KK.GE.JJ) THEN
            LL=KK-JJ+1
            S(JJ,LL)=S(JJ,LL)+ST(J,K)
          END IF
        END IF
      CONTINUE
    END IF
  CONTINUE
END IF
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SHAPE(XA,YB,NEL,DEIJAC)
  SHAPE FUNCTION, JACOBIAN AND B MATRIX FOR 8-NODE RECTANGULAR
  ELEMENT
  COMMON /B/ X(1000),Y(1000),IEL(250,8),IELMAT(250),AMATP(10,10),
  * COMMON /D/ PTN(4,4),WGT(4,4),PTNOD(2,8),B(3,16),EB(3,16),NDOF(16)
  REAL NXI(8),NET(8),JAC(2,2)
  NXI(1)=-.25*(1-YB)*(2*XA+YB)
  NXI(2)=-.25*(1-YB)*XA
  NXI(3)=-.25*(1-YB)*(2*XA-YB)
  NXI(4)=-.25*(1-YB)*2
  NXI(5)=-.25*(1+YB)*(2*XA+YB)
  NXI(6)=-.25*(1+YB)*XA
  NXI(7)=-.25*(1+YB)*(2*XA-YB)
  NXI(8)=-.25*(1+YB)*2
  NET(1)=-.25*(1-XA)*(XA+2*YB)

```

```

510
500
150
151
152
180
170
160
140
130
200
220
210
100
C
C

```

```

FEA04330
FEA04340
FEA04350
FEA04360
FEA04370
FEA04380
FEA04390
FEA04400
FEA04410
FEA04420
FEA04430
FEA04440
FEA04450
FEA04460
FEA04470
FEA04480
FEA04490
FEA04500
FEA04510
FEA04520
FEA04530
FEA04540
FEA04550
FEA04560
FEA04570
FEA04580
FEA04590
FEA04600
FEA04610
FEA04620
FEA04630
FEA04640
FEA04650
FEA04660
FEA04670
FEA04680
FEA04690
FEA04700
FEA04710
FEA04720
FEA04730
FEA04740
FEA04750
FEA04760
FEA04770
FEA04780
FEA04790
FEA04800
FEA04810
FEA04820
FEA04830
FEA04840
FEA04850
FEA04860
FEA04870
FEA04880
FEA04890
FEA04900
FEA04910
FEA04920
FEA04930
FEA04940
FEA04950
FEA04960
FEA04970
FEA04980
FEA04990
FEA05000
FEA05010
FEA05020
FEA05030
FEA05040

```

```

NET(2) = -.5*(1-XA)**2
NET(3) = -.25*(1+XA)*XA-2*YB
NET(4) = -(1+XA)*YB
NET(5) = -.25*(1+XA)*(XA+2*YB)
NET(6) = .5*(1-XA)**2
NET(7) = -.25*(1-XA)*(XA-2*YB)
NET(8) = -(1-XA)*YB
JAC(1,1) = 0.
JAC(1,2) = 0.
JAC(2,1) = 0.
JAC(2,2) = 0.
DO 10 I=1,8
  K=IEL(NEL,L)
  JAC(1,1)=JAC(1,1)+NXI(L)*X(K)
  JAC(1,2)=JAC(1,2)+NXI(L)*Y(K)
  JAC(2,1)=JAC(2,1)+NET(L)*X(K)
  JAC(2,2)=JAC(2,2)+NET(L)*Y(K)
10 CONTINUE
DEJAC=JAC(1,1)*JAC(2,2)-JAC(2,1)*JAC(1,2)
DUM1=JAC(1,1)/DEJAC
JAC(1,1)=JAC(2,2)/DEJAC
JAC(1,2)=-JAC(1,2)/DEJAC
JAC(2,1)=-JAC(2,1)/DEJAC
JAC(2,2)=DUM1
DO 20 J=1,8
  L=2*J
  K=L-1
  B(1,K)=JAC(1,1)*NXI(J)+JAC(1,2)*NET(J)
  B(2,L)=JAC(2,1)*NXI(J)+JAC(2,2)*NET(J)
  B(3,K)=B(2,L)
  B(3,L)=B(1,K)
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SOLVE(IFLAG)
  GAUSS ELIMINATION SEE R.D. COOK (1981) P. 42
  COMMON /C/ ID(1000,2),NEQ,ALOAD(1000),UF(1000),S(1000,80),MBAND
  C----- FORWARD REDUCTION OF THE COEFFICIENT MATRIX
  IF (IFLAG.EQ.1) THEN
    DO 100 N=1,NEQ
      DO 110 L=2,MBAND
        IF (S(N,L).NE.0.) THEN
          I=N+L-1
          C=S(N,L)/S(N,1)
          J=0
          DO 120 K=L,MBAND
            J=J+1
            S(I,J)=S(I,J)-C*S(N,K)
          CONTINUE
          S(N,L)=C
        END IF
      CONTINUE
    END IF
  C----- FORWARD REDUCTION OF THE VECTOR OF CONSTANTS
  DO 130 N=1,NEQ
    DO 140 L=2,MBAND
      IF (S(N,L).NE.0.) THEN
        I=N+L-1
        ALOAD(I)=ALOAD(I)-S(N,L)*ALOAD(N)
      END IF
    CONTINUE
  ALOAD(N)=ALOAD(N)/S(N,1)
130 CONTINUE
  C----- SOLVE FOR UNKNOWN BY BACK-SUBSTITUTION
  DO 150 M=2,NEQ
    N=NEQ+1-M
    DO 160 L=2,MBAND
      IF (S(N,L).NE.0.) THEN
        K=N+L-1
        ALOAD(N)=ALOAD(N)-S(N,L)*ALOAD(K)
      END IF
    END IF
  END IF

```

```

160 CONTINUE
150 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DISPLI
DISPLACEMENT PRINTOUT
COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEYE, NN, NE, NMP
COMMON /B/ X(1000), Y(1000), IEL(250,8), IELMAT(250), AMATP(10,10),
* ITYPE(10)
COMMON /C/ ID(1000,2), NEQ, ALOAD(1000), UF(1000), S(1000,80), MBAND
COMMON /D/ PTN(4,4), WGT(4,4), PTNOD(2,8), B(3,16), EB(3,16), NDOF(16)
COMMON /E/ ALPHA, ELMAX, SMX, SMY, EX(16,3,3,250), IGAUSS, U(1000)
REAL D(8)
INTEGER NOD(4)
WRITE(2,101)
FORMAT(1,10) ***** DISPLACEMENT SOLUTION ***** (-9.999E03 = UNCONNECT)
*ED NODE, '+', T8, 22(1,1), ' ', T2
*4('NODE', 5X, 'X DISPL', 5X, 'Y DISPL', 7X), //, '+', T2, 4(4(1,1), 2X,
*10(1,1), 2X, 10(1,1), 5X), //, '-)
I1=NN/4.+ .999
DO 10 I=1,I1
IF (I1*3+I.LE.NN) THEN
ELSE
K=3
K=2
END IF
II=0
JJ=0
DO 20 J=I,I+K*11,I1
JJ=JJ+1
NOD(JJ)=J
DO 30 L=1,2
II=II+1
IF (ID(J,L).GT.0) THEN
IF (ICHECK.EQ.2) THEN
D(II)=UF(ID(J,L))
ELSE
D(II)=U(ID(J,L))
END IF
ELSE IF (ID(J,L).EQ.0) THEN
D(II)=0.
ELSE
D(II)=-9999.
END IF
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
WRITE(2,201) (NOD(J),D(J*2-1),D(J*2),J=1,K+1)
FORMAT(T2,4(I3,3X,1PE10.3E2,2X,1PE10.3E2,5X))
CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE STRLI
STRESS PRINTOUT (ELASTIC ANALYSIS)
COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEYE, NN, NE, NMP
COMMON /B/ X(1000), Y(1000), IEL(250,8), IELMAT(250), AMATP(10,10),
* ITYPE(10)
COMMON /C/ ID(1000,2), NEQ, ALOAD(1000), UF(1000), S(1000,80), MBAND
COMMON /D/ PTN(4,4), WGT(4,4), PTNOD(2,8), B(3,16), EB(3,16), NDOF(16)
REAL D(16), SS(3)
WRITE(2,101)
FORMAT(1,10) ***** STRESS OUTPUT FOR STATIC LINEAR ANALYSIS *****
* +, T8, 40(1,1), ' ', T2, ELEMENT, 3X, NODE, 9X, STRESS, XX,
* 5X, STRESS, YY, 5X, STRESS, XY, //, '+', T2, 7(1,1), 3X, 4(1,1),
* 9X, 3(10(1,1), 5X), //, '-)
DO 10 I=1,NE
IK=IELMAT(I)
APOIS=AMATP(IK,2)
E11=AMATP(IK,1)/(1-APOIS**2)
E12=E11*APOIS
E33=E11*(1-APOIS)/2
DO 20 J=1,8
DO 30 K=1,2

```

```

FEA05050
FEA05060
FEA05070
FEA05080
FEA05090
FEA05100
FEA05110
FEA05120
FEA05130
FEA05140
FEA05150
FEA05160
FEA05170
FEA05180
FEA05190
FEA05200
FEA05210
FEA05220
FEA05230
FEA05240
FEA05250
FEA05260
FEA05270
FEA05280
FEA05290
FEA05300
FEA05310
FEA05320
FEA05330
FEA05340
FEA05350
FEA05360
FEA05370
FEA05380
FEA05390
FEA05400
FEA05410
FEA05420
FEA05430
FEA05440
FEA05450
FEA05460
FEA05470
FEA05480
FEA05490
FEA05500
FEA05510
FEA05520
FEA05530
FEA05540
FEA05550
FEA05560
FEA05570
FEA05580
FEA05590
FEA05600
FEA05610
FEA05620
FEA05630
FEA05640
FEA05650
FEA05660
FEA05670
FEA05680
FEA05690
FEA05700
FEA05710
FEA05720
FEA05730
FEA05740
FEA05750
FEA05760

```

```

30 KK=ID(IEL(I,J),K)
20 JJ=J*2-2+K
   IF (KK.GT.0) THEN
   ELSE D(JJ)=UF(KK)
   END IF
   D(JJ)=0.
   END IF
   CONTINUE
   DO 40 J=1,8
   XA=PTNOD(1,J)
   YB=PTNOD(2,J)
   CALL SHAPE(XA,YB,I,DERJAC)
   DO 50 JJ=1,8
   LL=2*JJ
   KK=LL-1
   EB(1,KK)=B(1,KK)*E11
   EB(2,KK)=B(1,KK)*E12
   EB(3,KK)=B(3,KK)*E33
   EB(1,LL)=B(2,LL)*E12
   EB(2,LL)=B(2,LL)*E11
   EB(3,LL)=B(3,LL)*E33
   CONTINUE
50 DO 60 K=1,3
   SS(K)=0.
   DO 70 I=1,16
   SS(K)=SS(K)+EB(K,I)*D(L)
   CONTINUE
70 CONTINUE
60 WRITE(2,201) IEL(I,J),SS
201 FORMAT('I2,I3,I0X,3(1PE10.3E2,5X)')
202 IF (J.EQ.1) WRITE(2,202) I
40 FORMAT('+',2X,I3)
   CONTINUE
   WRITE(2,203)
   FORMAT(/)
   CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
   SUBROUTINE NONLIN
   NONLINEAR ANALYSIS
   COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEYE, NN, NE, NMP
   COMMON /B/ X(1000), Y(1000), IEL(250,8), IELMAT(250), AMATP(10,10),
   * COMMON /C/ ID(1000,2), NEQ, ALOAD(1000), UF(1000), S(1000,80), MBAND
   COMMON /D/ PTN(4,4), WGT(4,4), PTNOD(2,8), B(3,16), EB(3,16), NDOF(16)
   COMMON /E/ BLOAD(1000)
   COMMON /F/ ALPHA, EIMAX, SMX, SMY, EX(16,3,3,250), IGAUSS, U(1000)
   REAL D(16), STRAIN(3), STRESS(3), RLOAD(16), E(3,3),
   * CLOAD(1000), AVERAG(11)
   * READ(1,101) IDN05, IXY, NINC, NITE, ISOFT, IGAUSS, CONV, DINC
   FORMAT(6I5,2F10.0)
   WRITE(2,102) IDNOD, IXY, NINC, NITE, ISOFT, IGAUSS, DINC, CONV
   FORMAT('1,1,PARAMETERS FOR NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS',/,+ ,34(' - '),
   * /,/,T2,CONTROL NODE, T30 I5, T40 'DIRECTION', I2,/,T2,
   * /,/,T2,LOAD STEPS, T30 I5,/,T2,MAX. ITERATION, T30 I5,/,T2,
   * /,/,T2,SOFTENING MODEL, T30 I5,/,T2,GAUSS POINTS, T30 I5,/,T2,
   * /,/,T2,DISPLACEMENT INCREMENT, T25 IPE10.3E2,/,T2,
   * /,/,T2,CONVERGENCE CRITERIA, T25 IPE10.3E2)
   READ(1,401) ALPHA, EIMAX, SMX, SMY, ESH, EU, FU
   FORMAT(3F10.0)
   WRITE(2,402) ALPHA, EIMAX, SMX, SMY, ESH, EU, FU
   FORMAT('2,2,ALPHA (ISOFT=1), T25 IPE10.3E2,
   * /,/,T2,BETA (ISOFT=1), T25 IPE10.3E2,
   * /,/,T2,SMX, T25 IPE10.3E2,/,T2,SMY, T25 IPE10.3E2,
   * /,/,T2,E SH, T25 IPE10.3E2,/,T2,E U, T25 IPE10.3E2,
   * /,/,T2,FU, T25 IPE10.3E2)
   IF (ICHECK.EQ.4) CALL PLOTT(NINC)
   SMY=SMX*1.5
   SMY=SMY*1.5
   IUL=ID(IDNOD, LXY)
   IF (IUL.LE.0) THEN

```

```

FEA05770
FEA05780
FEA05790
FEA05800
FEA05810
FEA05820
FEA05830
FEA05840
FEA05850
FEA05860
FEA05870
FEA05880
FEA05890
FEA05900
FEA05910
FEA05920
FEA05930
FEA05940
FEA05950
FEA05960
FEA05970
FEA05980
FEA05990
FEA06000
FEA06010
FEA06020
FEA06030
FEA06040
FEA06050
FEA06060
FEA06070
FEA06080
FEA06090
FEA06100
FEA06110
FEA06120
FEA06130
FEA06140
FEA06150
FEA06160
FEA06170
FEA06180
FEA06190
FEA06200
FEA06210
FEA06220
FEA06230
FEA06240
FEA06250
FEA06260
FEA06270
FEA06280
FEA06290
FEA06300
FEA06310
FEA06320
FEA06330
FEA06340
FEA06350
FEA06360
FEA06370
FEA06380
FEA06390
FEA06400
FEA06410
FEA06420
FEA06430
FEA06440
FEA06450
FEA06460
FEA06470
FEA06480

```

```

103 * WRITE(2,103) IDNOD,IXY
      FORMAT(T2,'CONTROL NODE IS CONSTRAINT OR UNEXISTANT',10X,
            '***** EXECUTION STOPPED *****')
      STOP
      END IF
      DO 10 I=1,NEQ
        U(I)=0.
10    CONTINUE
      FORCE=0.
      DO 20 IINC=1,NINC
        U(IUL)=IINC*DINC
        KFLAG=0
        WRITE(2,104) IINC,U(IUL)
104 * * * * *
        IPE10 3P2,5X,10(' '),5X,'DISPLACEMENT INCREMENT',I2,10X,
        /T2,'ITERATION',T15,
        /T35,'CONVERGENCE RATIO',T35,'DELTA',T49,'FORCE',/)
        DO 30 ITE=1,NITE
          DO 40 I=1,NEQ
            ALOAD(I)=0.
40    CONTINUE
          IF (KFLAG.EQ.1) THEN
            WRITE(2,106)
106 * * * * *
            FORMAT(//,T2,'ELEMENT',T10,'GAUSS',T26,'STRAIN',T49,
            /T26,'STRESS',T86,'CONCRETE STRESSES',//,T10,'POINT',
            /T17,'XX',T28,'YY',T39,'XY',T46,'HORIZONTAL',T58,
            /T11,'VERTICAL',T11,'THETA',T84,'FC1',T91,'CODE',T106,
            /T107,'CODE',T112,'CRACK WIDTH',//,+,121(' '),/)
            END IF
          DO 50 I=1,NE
            DO 60 J=1,8
              KK=ID(IEL(I,J),K)
              JJ=J*2-2+K
              NDOF(JJ)=KK
              IF (NK.GT.0) THEN
                D(JJ)=U(KK)
              ELSE
                D(JJ)=0.
              END IF
            END IF
          CONTINUE
          IK=IELMAT(I)
          IF (ITYPE(IK).EQ.1) THEN
            NGAUSS=2
          ELSE
            NGAUSS=IGAUSS
          END IF
          IF (KFLAG.EQ.1) WRITE(2,107)
107 * * * * *
          FORMAT(T2,/)
          DO 80 NA=1,NGAUSS
            XA=PTN(NA,NGAUSS)
            DO 90 NB=1,NGAUSS
              YB=PTN(NB,NGAUSS)
              CALL SHAPE(XA,YB,I,DETJAC)
              DO 100 J=1,3
                STRAIN(J)=0.
                DO 110 K=1,16
                  STRAIN(J)=STRAIN(J)+B(J,K)*D(K)
                CONTINUE
              CONTINUE
              IF (ITYPE(IK).EQ.1) THEN
                APOIS=AMATP(IK,2)
                E(1,1)=AMATP(IK,1)/(1-APOIS**2)
                E(1,2)=E(1,1)*APOIS
                E(3,3)=E(1,1)*(1-APOIS)/2
                E(1,3)=0.
                E(2,1)=E(1,2)
                E(2,3)=0.
                E(3,1)=0.
                E(3,2)=0.
                E(2,2)=E(1,1)
                DO 120 J=1,3
110 * * * * *
100  CONTINUE

```

```

FEA06490
FEA06500
FEA06510
FEA06520
FEA06530
FEA06540
FEA06550
FEA06560
FEA06570
FEA06580
FEA06590
FEA06600
FEA06610
FEA06620
FEA06630
FEA06640
FEA06650
FEA06660
FEA06670
FEA06680
FEA06690
FEA06700
FEA06710
FEA06720
FEA06730
FEA06740
FEA06750
FEA06760
FEA06770
FEA06780
FEA06790
FEA06800
FEA06810
FEA06820
FEA06830
FEA06840
FEA06850
FEA06860
FEA06870
FEA06880
FEA06890
FEA06900
FEA06910
FEA06920
FEA06930
FEA06940
FEA06950
FEA06960
FEA06970
FEA06980
FEA06990
FEA07000
FEA07010
FEA07020
FEA07030
FEA07040
FEA07050
FEA07060
FEA07070
FEA07080
FEA07090
FEA07100
FEA07110
FEA07120
FEA07130
FEA07140
FEA07150
FEA07160
FEA07170
FEA07180
FEA07190
FEA07200

```

```

130 STRESS(J)=0.
120 DO 130 K=1,3
    STRESS(J)=STRESS(J)+E(J,K)*STRAIN(K)
    CONTINUE
ELSE
    CALL FIELD(STRAIN,STRESS,IK,STH,STV,ANG,STRX,
    KI,STRY,K2,ISOFT,W,KFLAG,E,ESH,EU,FU)
END IF
IF (KFLAG.EQ.1) THEN
    KGAUSS=NB*(NA-1)*NGAUSS
    IF (ITYPE(IK).EQ.1) THEN
        WRITE(2,201) KGAUSS,STRAIN,STRESS
        FORMAT(T11,I2,6(IPE10.3E2,1X))
    IF (KGAUSS.EQ.1) WRITE(2,202) I
        FORMAT(+,T3,I3,T80,
        'ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY')
    ELSE
        WRITE(2,203) KGAUSS,STRAIN,STH,STV,ANG*57.29,
        STRX,KI,STRY,K2,W
        FORMAT(T11,I2,6(IPE10.3E2,1X),T80,
        3(IPE10.3E2,2X,I2,2X))
    IF (KGAUSS.EQ.1) WRITE(2,204) I
        FORMAT(+,T3,I3)
    IF (ICHECK.EQ.4) THEN
        IF (KGAUSS.EQ.1) THEN
            DO 620 J=1,11
                AVERAG(J)=0.
                CONTINUE
                FAC=NGAUSS**2
            END IF
            AVERAG(1)=STRAIN(1)/FAC+AVERAG(1)
            AVERAG(2)=STRAIN(2)/FAC+AVERAG(2)
            AVERAG(3)=STRAIN(3)/FAC+AVERAG(3)
            AVERAG(4)=STH/FAC+AVERAG(4)
            AVERAG(5)=STV/FAC+AVERAG(5)
            IF (ANG.LT.0.) ANG=ANG+1.5708
            AVERAG(6)=ANG/FAC+AVERAG(6)
            AVERAG(7)=STRX/FAC+AVERAG(7)
            AVERAG(8)=KI/FAC+AVERAG(8)
            AVERAG(9)=STRY/FAC+AVERAG(9)
            AVERAG(10)=K2/FAC+AVERAG(10)
            AVERAG(11)=W/FAC+AVERAG(11)
            IF (KGAUSS.EQ.1) THEN
                WRITE(3,304) I,AVERAG
                FORMAT(I10,5(IPE10.3E2),/,T10,
                6(IPE10.3E2))
            END IF
        END IF
    END IF
    DO 140 J=1,16
        DUM=0.
        DO 150 K=1,3
            DUM=DUM+B(K,J)*STRESS(K)
        CONTINUE
        RLOAD(J)=DUM*WGT(NB,NGAUSS)*WGT(NB,NGAUSS)*
        DEJAC*A*ATP(IK,3)
    CONTINUE
    DO 160 J=1,16
        K=NDOF(J)
        IF (K.GT.0) ALOAD(K)=ALOAD(K)-RLOAD(J)
    CONTINUE
    CONTINUE
    IF (KFLAG.EQ.1) THEN
        CALL DISPLI
        GOTO 20
    END IF
    DO 165 I=1,NBQ
FEA07210
FEA07220
FEA07230
FEA07240
FEA07250
FEA07260
FEA07270
FEA07280
FEA07290
FEA07300
FEA07310
FEA07320
FEA07330
FEA07340
FEA07350
FEA07360
FEA07370
FEA07380
FEA07390
FEA07400
FEA07410
FEA07420
FEA07430
FEA07440
FEA07450
FEA07460
FEA07470
FEA07480
FEA07490
FEA07500
FEA07510
FEA07520
FEA07530
FEA07540
FEA07550
FEA07560
FEA07570
FEA07580
FEA07590
FEA07600
FEA07610
FEA07620
FEA07630
FEA07640
FEA07650
FEA07660
FEA07670
FEA07680
FEA07690
FEA07700
FEA07710
FEA07720
FEA07730
FEA07740
FEA07750
FEA07760
FEA07770
FEA07780
FEA07790
FEA07800
FEA07810
FEA07820
FEA07830
FEA07840
FEA07850
FEA07860
FEA07870
FEA07880
FEA07890
FEA07900
FEA07910
FEA07920

```



```

165      ALOAD(I)=ALOAD(I)+BLOAD(I)*FORCE
      CLOAD(I)=ALOAD(I)
      CONTINUE
      IF (ITE.EQ.1) DUNORM=0.
      UNORM=0
      CALL SOLVE(2)
      DELTA=-ALOAD(IUL)/UF(IUL)
      DO 170 I=1,NEQ
        U(I)=U(I)+ALOAD(I)+DELTA*UF(I)
        IF (ITE.EQ.1) DUNORM=DUNORM+(ALOAD(I)+DELTA*UF(I))*
          * CLOAD(I)
        UNORM=UNORM+(ALOAD(I)+DELTA*UF(I))*CLOAD(I)
      CONTINUE
      FORCE=FORCE+DELTA
      RATIO=UNORM/DUNORM
      WRITE(2,301) ITE, RATIO, DELTA, FORCE
      FORMAT(2,301) ITE, RATIO, DELTA, FORCE
      FORMAT(T5,I2,T19,3(1PE10.3E2,4X))
      IF (ABS(DELTA).LT.CONV*FORCE) THEN
        KFLAG=1
        WRITE(2,302) FORCE
        FORMAT(//,/,T2,'EXTERNAL TOTAL FORCE = ',1PE10.3E2)
        WRITE(4,333) U(IUL), FORCE
        FORMAT(T2,'DISPL = ',1PE10.3E2,10X,'FORCE = ',1PE10.3E2)
        IF (ICHECK.EQ.4) THEN
          WRITE(3,303) U(IUL), FORCE
          DO 500 J=1,2
            DO 510 K=1,NN
              IF (ID(K,J).GT.0) THEN
                CLOAD(K)=U(ID(K,J))
              ELSE
                CLOAD(K)=0.
            END IF
          END IF
          CONTINUE
          FORMAT(8(1PE10.3E2))
        CONTINUE
      END IF
      WRITE(2,306)
      FORMAT(T2,'***** CONVERGENCE NOT ACHIEVED - EXECUTION STOPPED
      * *****')
      STOP
      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE PLOT1(NINC)
      GENERATE PLOTTING FILE
      COMMON /A/ ICHECK, IEXE, NN, NE, NMP
      COMMON /B/ X(1000), Y(1000), IEL(250,8), IELMAT(250), AMATP(10,10),
      * WRITE(3,101) NN, NE, NINC
      FORMAT(5I5)
      WRITE(3,102) (X(I), I=1, NN)
      WRITE(3,102) (Y(I), I=1, NN)
      FORMAT(8(1PE10.3E2))
      DO 10 I=1, NE
        K=ITYPE( IELMAT(I) )
        WRITE(3,103) I, K, ( IEL(I,J), J=1, 8 )
        FORMAT(10I5)
      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE FIELD(STRAIN, STRESS, IK, STH, STV, ANG, F1, K1, F2, K2,
      * COMPRESSION FIELD THEORY
      * ISOFT W, KFLAG, E, ESH, EU, FU)
      COMMON /B/ X(1000), Y(1000), IEL(250,8), IELMAT(250), AMATP(10,10),
      * COMMON /F/ ALPHA, EIMAX, SMX, SMY, EX(16,3,3,250), IGAUSS, U(1000)
      REAL STRAIN(3)
      ET=STRAIN(1)
      ET=STRAIN(2)
      GM=STRAIN(3)

```

```

FEA07930
FEA07940
FEA07950
FEA07960
FEA07970
FEA07980
FEA07990
FEA08000
FEA08010
FEA08020
FEA08030
FEA08040
FEA08050
FEA08060
FEA08070
FEA08080
FEA08090
FEA08100
FEA08110
FEA08120
FEA08130
FEA08140
FEA08150
FEA08160
FEA08170
FEA08180
FEA08190
FEA08200
FEA08210
FEA08220
FEA08230
FEA08240
FEA08250
FEA08260
FEA08270
FEA08280
FEA08290
FEA08300
FEA08310
FEA08320
FEA08330
FEA08340
FEA08350
FEA08360
FEA08370
FEA08380
FEA08390
FEA08400
FEA08410
FEA08420
FEA08430
FEA08440
FEA08450
FEA08460
FEA08470
FEA08480
FEA08490
FEA08500
FEA08510
FEA08520
FEA08530
FEA08540
FEA08550
FEA08560
FEA08570
FEA08580
FEA08590
FEA08600
FEA08610
FEA08620
FEA08630
FEA08640

```

```

SQ=SQRT((EL-ET)*.5)**2+(GM*.5)**2)
AVG=(EL+ET)*.5
EI=AVG+SQ
E2=AVG-SQ
ELT=EL-ET
IF (ELT.EQ.0..AND.GM.EQ.0.) THEN
  ANG=0.
ELSE
  ANG=.5*ATAN2(GM,ELT)
END IF
FC=AMATP(IK,4)
EO=AMATP(IK,5)
FT=AMATP(IK,6)
ES=AMATP(IK,7)
EY=AMATP(IK,8)
RH=AMATP(IK,9)
RV=AMATP(IK,10)
FY=ES*EY
EPC=2*FC/EO
EPCR=FT/EC
C=COS(ANG)
S=SIN(ANG)
M=-ALPHA*FT/(EIMAX-1.)/EPCR
B=-M*ELMAX*EPCR
W=0.
C-----STRESSES IN REINFORCEMENT
IF (ABS(EL).LE.EY) THEN
  STH=EL*ES
ELSE IF (ABS(EL).LT.ESH) THEN
  STH=SIGN(FY,EL)
ELSE
  DE=EU-ESH
  DF=FU-FY
  A1=DF/(DE**2-2*DE**2)
  A2=-2*A1*DE
  XX=ABS(EL)-ESH
  YY=A1*XX**2+A2*XX
  STH=(YY+FY)*SIGN(1.,EL)
END IF
IF (ABS(ET).LE.EY) THEN
  STV=ET*ES
ELSE IF (ABS(ET).LT.ESH) THEN
  STV=SIGN(FY,EI)
ELSE
  DE=EU-ESH
  DF=FU-FY
  A1=DF/(DE**2-2*DE**2)
  A2=-2*A1*DE
  YY=ABS(ET)-ESH
  XX=A1*XX**2+A2*XX
  STV=(YY+FY)*SIGN(1.,ET)
END IF
C-----CALCULATE F1
IF (EI.LT.0.) THEN
  F1=FC*(2*E10-E10**2)
  IF (F1.GT.0.) F1=0.
  IF (EL.GE.EO) THEN
    K1=-1
  ELSE IF (EI.GE.2*EO) THEN
    K1=-2
  ELSE
    K1=-3
  END IF
ELSE IF (EI.LT.EPCR) THEN
  F1=EC*EI
  K1=1
ELSE
  W=E1/(S/SMX+C/SMY)
  IF (ISOFT.EQ.0) THEN
    K1=FT/(1+SQRT(200*EI))
  ELSE

```

```

FEA08650
FEA08660
FEA08670
FEA08680
FEA08690
FEA08700
FEA08710
FEA08720
FEA08730
FEA08740
FEA08750
FEA08760
FEA08770
FEA08780
FEA08790
FEA08800
FEA08810
FEA08820
FEA08830
FEA08840
FEA08850
FEA08860
FEA08870
FEA08880
FEA08890
FEA08900
FEA08910
FEA08920
FEA08930
FEA08940
FEA08950
FEA08960
FEA08970
FEA08980
FEA08990
FEA09000
FEA09010
FEA09020
FEA09030
FEA09040
FEA09050
FEA09060
FEA09070
FEA09080
FEA09090
FEA09100
FEA09110
FEA09120
FEA09130
FEA09140
FEA09150
FEA09160
FEA09170
FEA09180
FEA09190
FEA09200
FEA09210
FEA09220
FEA09230
FEA09240
FEA09250
FEA09260
FEA09270
FEA09280
FEA09290
FEA09300
FEA09310
FEA09320
FEA09330
FEA09340
FEA09350
FEA09360

```

```

P1=M*E1+B
IF (F1.LT.0.) F1=0.
END IF
K1=2
FLMAX=RH*(FY-STH)*S**2+RV*(FY-STV)*C**2
IF (F1.GT.FLMAX) THEN
  F1=FLMAX
  IF (F1.LT.0.) F1=0.
  K1=3
END IF
END IF
C-----CALCULATE F2
IF (E2.GT.EPCR) THEN
  IF (ISOFT.EQ.0) THEN
    F2=FT/(1+SQRT(200*E2))
  ELSE
    F2=M*E2+B
    IF (F2.LT.0.) F2=0.
  END IF
  K2=-2
  F2MAX=RH*(FY-STH)*C**2+RV*(FY-STV)*S**2
  IF (F2.GT.F2MAX) THEN
    F2=F2MAX
    IF (F2.LT.0.) F2=0.
    K2=-3
  END IF
  ELSE IF (E2.LT.EPCR.AND.E2.GT.0.) THEN
    F2=EC*E2
    K2=-1
  ELSE
    E20=E2/E0
    FMAX=FC/(.8-.34*E1/E0)
    IF (FMAX.LT.FC) FMAX=FC
    IF (E1.LT.0) FMAX=FC
    IF (E2.GE.E0) THEN
      F2=FMAX*(2*E20-E20**2)
    ELSE IF (E2.LT.E0.AND.E2.GE.-.010) THEN
      M=(1-.3)*FMAX/(E0+.010)
      B=FMAX-M*E0
      F2=M*E2+B
    ELSE
      F2=.3*FMAX
    END IF
    IF (E2.GE.E0) THEN
      K2=1
    ELSE IF (E2.GE.-.010) THEN
      K2=2
    ELSE
      K2=3
    END IF
  END IF
  STRESS(1)=F1*C**2+F2*S**2+RH*STH
  STRESS(2)=F1*S**2+F2*C**2+RV*STV
  STRESS(3)=(F1-F2)*C*S
  RETURN
END
FEA09370
FEA09380
FEA09390
FEA09400
FEA09410
FEA09420
FEA09430
FEA09440
FEA09450
FEA09460
FEA09470
FEA09480
FEA09490
FEA09500
FEA09510
FEA09520
FEA09530
FEA09540
FEA09550
FEA09560
FEA09570
FEA09580
FEA09590
FEA09600
FEA09610
FEA09620
FEA09630
FEA09640
FEA09650
FEA09660
FEA09670
FEA09680
FEA09690
FEA09700
FEA09710
FEA09720
FEA09730
FEA09740
FEA09750
FEA09760
FEA09770
FEA09780
FEA09790
FEA09800
FEA09810
FEA09820
FEA09830
FEA09840
FEA09850
FEA09860
FEA09870
FEA09880
FEA09890
FEA09900
FEA09910
FEA09920

```

WALL 1 - 0.25% HORIZONTAL REINFORCEMENT - FIXED BASE  
 7 X 14 WALL ELEMENTS AND 1 X 14 ELASTIC TOP BEAM ELEMENTS

Node	Element	Area	Length	Volume	Weight	Volume	Weight
1	15	0.	1.	17			
2	491	2.	1.15	17			
3	16	0.	1.30	17			
4	17	0.	1.30	17			
493	2.	49	50	34	16	1	
8	15	32	51	34	17	16	1
112	8	34	36	37	20	3	2
1	1	18	37	20	3	2	2
7	1	2					
15	8	34					
97	409	426	444	445	428	411	410
103	1	2					
111	8	34					
17	69	86	103	104	105	88	71
23	1	2					
95	8	34					
3	25E9	1	.15	.50			
1	2	25E9	.15	.10			
2	-25E6	-.0020	1.65E6	2E11	.00215	.0025	.0080
3	-25E6	-.0020	1.65E6	2E11	.00215	.0025	.0080
2	1	1	477	17			
2	1	2	477	17			
1	1	16	.0050	1	.0010		
1	50	0	.284		.110		
16	10.	.250				650E6	

FEASSW: NON-LINEAR FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE SQUAT SHEAR WALLS

WALL 1 - 0.25% HORIZONTAL REINFORCEMENT - FIXED BASE  
 7 X 14 WALL ELEMENTS AND 1 X 14 ELASTIC TOP BEAM ELEMENTS

PARAMETERS: 4 0 493 112 3

NODAL COORDINATES

NODE	X-COOR	Y-COOR	NODE	X-COOR	Y-COOR	NODE	X-COOR	Y-COOR	NODE	X-COOR	Y-COOR
1	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	125	5.000E-01	3.571E-01	249	1.000E+00	7.143E-01	373	1.500E+00	1.150E+00
2	0.000E+00	7.143E-02	126	5.000E-01	4.286E-01	250	1.000E+00	7.857E-01	374	1.500E+00	1.300E+00
3	0.000E+00	1.429E-01	127	5.000E-01	5.000E-01	251	1.000E+00	8.571E-01	375	1.571E+00	0.000E+00
4	0.000E+00	2.143E-01	128	5.000E-01	5.714E-01	252	1.000E+00	9.286E-01	376	1.571E+00	7.143E-02
5	0.000E+00	2.857E-01	129	5.000E-01	6.429E-01	253	1.000E+00	1.000E+00	377	1.571E+00	1.429E-01
6	0.000E+00	3.571E-01	130	5.000E-01	7.143E-01	254	1.000E+00	1.150E+00	378	1.571E+00	2.143E-01
7	0.000E+00	4.286E-01	131	5.000E-01	7.857E-01	255	1.000E+00	1.300E+00	379	1.571E+00	2.857E-01
8	0.000E+00	5.000E-01	132	5.000E-01	8.571E-01	256	1.071E+00	0.000E+00	380	1.571E+00	3.571E-01
9	0.000E+00	5.714E-01	133	5.000E-01	9.286E-01	257	1.071E+00	7.143E-02	381	1.571E+00	4.286E-01
10	0.000E+00	6.429E-01	134	5.000E-01	1.000E+00	258	1.071E+00	1.429E-01	382	1.571E+00	5.000E-01
11	0.000E+00	7.143E-01	135	5.000E-01	1.150E+00	259	1.071E+00	2.143E-01	383	1.571E+00	5.714E-01
12	0.000E+00	7.857E-01	136	5.000E-01	1.300E+00	260	1.071E+00	2.857E-01	384	1.571E+00	6.429E-01
13	0.000E+00	8.571E-01	137	5.714E-01	0.000E+00	261	1.071E+00	3.571E-01	385	1.571E+00	7.143E-01
14	0.000E+00	9.286E-01	138	5.714E-01	7.143E-02	262	1.071E+00	4.286E-01	386	1.571E+00	7.857E-01
15	0.000E+00	1.000E+00	139	5.714E-01	1.429E-01	263	1.071E+00	5.000E-01	387	1.571E+00	8.571E-01
16	0.000E+00	1.150E+00	140	5.714E-01	2.143E-01	264	1.071E+00	5.714E-01	388	1.571E+00	9.286E-01
17	0.000E+00	1.300E+00	141	5.714E-01	2.857E-01	265	1.071E+00	6.429E-01	389	1.571E+00	1.000E+00
18	7.143E-02	1.429E+00	142	5.714E-01	3.571E-01	266	1.071E+00	7.143E-01	390	1.571E+00	1.150E+00
19	7.143E-02	2.143E-02	143	5.714E-01	4.286E-01	267	1.071E+00	7.857E-01	391	1.571E+00	1.300E+00
20	7.143E-02	2.857E-01	144	5.714E-01	5.000E-01	268	1.071E+00	8.571E-01	392	1.571E+00	0.000E+00
21	7.143E-02	3.571E-01	145	5.714E-01	5.714E-01	269	1.071E+00	9.286E-01	393	1.643E+00	7.143E-02
22	7.143E-02	4.286E-01	146	5.714E-01	6.429E-01	270	1.071E+00	1.000E+00	394	1.643E+00	1.429E-01
23	7.143E-02	5.000E-01	147	5.714E-01	7.143E-01	271	1.071E+00	1.150E+00	395	1.643E+00	2.143E-01
24	7.143E-02	5.714E-01	148	5.714E-01	7.857E-01	272	1.143E+00	0.000E+00	396	1.643E+00	2.857E-01
25	7.143E-02	6.429E-01	149	5.714E-01	8.571E-01	273	1.143E+00	7.143E-01	397	1.643E+00	3.571E-01
26	7.143E-02	7.143E-01	150	5.714E-01	9.286E-01	274	1.143E+00	8.571E-01	398	1.643E+00	4.286E-01
27	7.143E-02	7.857E-01	151	5.714E-01	1.000E+00	275	1.143E+00	1.429E-01	399	1.643E+00	5.000E-01
28	7.143E-02	8.571E-01	152	5.714E-01	1.150E+00	276	1.143E+00	2.143E-01	400	1.643E+00	5.714E-01
29	7.143E-02	9.286E-01	153	5.714E-01	1.300E+00	277	1.143E+00	2.857E-01	401	1.643E+00	6.429E-01
30	7.143E-02	1.000E+00	154	6.429E-01	0.000E+00	278	1.143E+00	3.571E-01	402	1.643E+00	7.143E-01
31	7.143E-02	1.150E+00	155	6.429E-01	7.143E-02	279	1.143E+00	4.286E-01	403	1.643E+00	7.857E-01
32	7.143E-02	1.300E+00	156	6.429E-01	1.429E-01	280	1.143E+00	5.000E-01	404	1.643E+00	8.571E-01
33	7.143E-02	1.429E+00	157	6.429E-01	2.143E-01	281	1.143E+00	5.714E-01	405	1.643E+00	9.286E-01
34	7.143E-02	1.571E+00	158	6.429E-01	2.857E-01	282	1.143E+00	6.429E-01	406	1.643E+00	1.000E+00
35	1.429E-01	0.000E+00	159	6.429E-01	3.571E-01	283	1.143E+00	7.143E-01	407	1.643E+00	1.150E+00
36	1.429E-01	7.143E-02	160	6.429E-01	4.286E-01	284	1.143E+00	7.857E-01	408	1.643E+00	1.300E+00
37	1.429E-01	1.429E-01	161	6.429E-01	5.000E-01	285	1.143E+00	8.571E-01	409	1.714E+00	0.000E+00
38	1.429E-01	2.143E-01	162	6.429E-01	5.714E-01	286	1.143E+00	9.286E-01	410	1.714E+00	7.143E-02
39	1.429E-01	2.857E-01	163	6.429E-01	6.429E-01	287	1.143E+00	1.000E+00	411	1.714E+00	1.429E-01
40	1.429E-01	3.571E-01	164	6.429E-01	7.143E-01	288	1.143E+00	1.150E+00	412	1.714E+00	2.143E-01
41	1.429E-01	4.286E-01	165	6.429E-01	7.857E-01	289	1.143E+00	1.300E+00	413	1.714E+00	2.857E-01
42	1.429E-01	5.000E-01	166	6.429E-01	8.571E-01	290	1.214E+00	0.000E+00	414	1.714E+00	3.571E-01
43	1.429E-01	5.714E-01	167	6.429E-01	9.286E-01	291	1.214E+00	7.143E-02	415	1.714E+00	4.286E-01
44	1.429E-01	6.429E-01	168	6.429E-01	1.000E+00	292	1.214E+00	7.857E-01	416	1.714E+00	5.000E-01
45	1.429E-01	7.143E-01	169	6.429E-01	1.150E+00	293	1.214E+00	8.571E-01	417	1.714E+00	5.714E-01
46	1.429E-01	7.857E-01	170	6.429E-01	1.300E+00	294	1.214E+00	9.286E-01	418	1.714E+00	6.429E-01
47	1.429E-01	8.571E-01	171	7.143E-01	0.000E+00	295	1.214E+00	1.429E-01	419	1.714E+00	7.143E-01
48	1.429E-01	9.286E-01	172	7.143E-01	7.143E-02	296	1.214E+00	2.143E-01	420	1.714E+00	7.857E-01
49	1.429E-01	1.000E+00	173	7.143E-01	1.429E-01	297	1.214E+00	2.857E-01	421	1.714E+00	8.571E-01
50	1.429E-01	1.150E+00	174	7.143E-01	2.143E-01	298	1.214E+00	3.571E-01	422	1.714E+00	9.286E-01
51	1.429E-01	1.300E+00	175	7.143E-01	2.857E-01	299	1.214E+00	4.286E-01	423	1.714E+00	1.000E+00
52	2.143E-01	0.000E+00	176	7.143E-01	3.571E-01	300	1.214E+00	5.000E-01	424	1.714E+00	1.150E+00
53	2.143E-01	7.143E-01	177	7.143E-01	4.286E-01	301	1.214E+00	5.714E-01	425	1.714E+00	1.300E+00
54	2.143E-01	1.429E-01	178	7.143E-01	5.000E-01	302	1.214E+00	6.429E-01	426	1.786E+00	0.000E+00

55	2	143E-01	179	7	143E-01	303	1	214E+00	9	286E-01	427	1	786E+00	7	143E-02
56	2	143E-01	180	7	143E-01	304	1	214E+00	1	000E+00	428	1	786E+00	1	143E-01
57	2	143E-01	181	7	143E-01	305	1	214E+00	1	150E+00	429	1	786E+00	2	857E-01
58	2	143E-01	182	7	143E-01	306	1	214E+00	1	300E+00	430	1	786E+00	3	571E-01
59	2	143E-01	183	7	143E-01	307	1	286E+00	0	000E+00	431	1	786E+00	4	286E-01
60	2	143E-01	184	7	143E-01	308	1	286E+00	7	143E-02	432	1	786E+00	5	000E-01
61	2	143E-01	185	7	143E-01	309	1	286E+00	1	429E-01	433	1	786E+00	6	714E-01
62	2	143E-01	186	7	143E-01	310	1	286E+00	2	857E-01	434	1	786E+00	7	143E-01
63	2	143E-01	187	7	143E-01	311	1	286E+00	3	571E-01	435	1	786E+00	8	857E-01
64	2	143E-01	188	7	143E-01	312	1	286E+00	4	000E+00	436	1	786E+00	9	286E-01
65	2	143E-01	189	7	857E-01	313	1	286E+00	5	000E-01	437	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
66	2	143E-01	190	7	857E-01	314	1	286E+00	6	714E-01	438	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
67	2	143E-01	191	7	857E-01	315	1	286E+00	7	143E-01	439	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
68	2	143E-01	192	7	857E-01	316	1	286E+00	8	429E-01	440	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
69	2	143E-01	193	7	857E-01	317	1	286E+00	9	286E-01	441	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
70	2	857E-01	194	7	857E-01	318	1	286E+00	0	000E-01	442	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
71	2	857E-01	195	7	857E-01	319	1	286E+00	1	150E+00	443	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
72	2	857E-01	196	7	857E-01	320	1	286E+00	2	857E-01	444	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
73	2	857E-01	197	7	857E-01	321	1	286E+00	3	571E-01	445	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
74	2	857E-01	198	7	857E-01	322	1	286E+00	4	000E+00	446	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
75	2	857E-01	199	7	857E-01	323	1	286E+00	5	000E-01	447	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
76	2	857E-01	200	7	857E-01	324	1	286E+00	6	714E-01	448	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
77	2	857E-01	201	7	857E-01	325	1	357E+00	7	143E-02	449	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
78	2	857E-01	202	7	857E-01	326	1	357E+00	8	429E-01	450	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
79	2	857E-01	203	7	857E-01	327	1	357E+00	9	286E-01	451	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
80	2	857E-01	204	7	857E-01	328	1	357E+00	0	000E+00	452	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
81	2	857E-01	205	7	857E-01	329	1	357E+00	1	150E+00	453	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
82	2	857E-01	206	7	857E-01	330	1	357E+00	2	857E-01	454	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
83	2	857E-01	207	7	857E-01	331	1	357E+00	3	571E-01	455	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
84	2	857E-01	208	7	857E-01	332	1	357E+00	4	000E-01	456	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
85	2	857E-01	209	7	857E-01	333	1	357E+00	5	000E+00	457	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
86	2	857E-01	210	7	857E-01	334	1	357E+00	6	714E-01	458	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
87	2	857E-01	211	7	857E-01	335	1	357E+00	7	143E-01	459	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
88	2	857E-01	212	7	857E-01	336	1	357E+00	8	429E-01	460	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
89	2	857E-01	213	7	857E-01	337	1	357E+00	9	286E-01	461	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
90	2	857E-01	214	7	857E-01	338	1	357E+00	0	000E+00	462	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
91	2	857E-01	215	7	857E-01	339	1	357E+00	1	150E+00	463	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
92	2	857E-01	216	7	857E-01	340	1	357E+00	2	857E-01	464	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
93	2	857E-01	217	7	857E-01	341	1	357E+00	3	571E-01	465	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
94	2	857E-01	218	7	857E-01	342	1	357E+00	4	000E-01	466	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
95	2	857E-01	219	7	857E-01	343	1	357E+00	5	000E+00	467	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
96	2	857E-01	220	7	857E-01	344	1	357E+00	6	714E-01	468	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
97	2	857E-01	221	7	857E-01	345	1	357E+00	7	143E-01	469	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
98	2	857E-01	222	7	857E-01	346	1	357E+00	8	429E-01	470	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
99	2	857E-01	223	7	857E-01	347	1	357E+00	9	286E-01	471	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
100	2	857E-01	224	7	857E-01	348	1	357E+00	0	000E+00	472	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
101	2	857E-01	225	7	857E-01	349	1	357E+00	1	150E+00	473	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
102	2	857E-01	226	7	857E-01	350	1	357E+00	2	857E-01	474	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
103	2	857E-01	227	7	857E-01	351	1	357E+00	3	571E-01	475	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
104	2	857E-01	228	7	857E-01	352	1	357E+00	4	000E-01	476	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
105	2	857E-01	229	7	857E-01	353	1	357E+00	5	000E+00	477	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
106	2	857E-01	230	7	857E-01	354	1	357E+00	6	714E-01	478	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
107	2	857E-01	231	7	857E-01	355	1	357E+00	7	143E-01	479	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
108	2	857E-01	232	7	857E-01	356	1	357E+00	8	429E-01	480	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
109	2	857E-01	233	7	857E-01	357	1	357E+00	9	286E-01	481	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
110	2	857E-01	234	7	857E-01	358	1	357E+00	0	000E+00	482	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
111	2	857E-01	235	7	857E-01	359	1	357E+00	1	150E+00	483	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
112	2	857E-01	236	7	857E-01	360	1	357E+00	2	857E-01	484	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
113	2	857E-01	237	7	857E-01	361	1	357E+00	3	571E-01	485	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
114	2	857E-01	238	7	857E-01	362	1	357E+00	4	000E+00	486	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
115	2	857E-01	239	7	857E-01	363	1	357E+00	5	000E-01	487	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
116	2	857E-01	240	7	857E-01	364	1	357E+00	6	714E-01	488	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
117	2	857E-01	241	7	857E-01	365	1	357E+00	7	143E-02	489	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
118	2	857E-01	242	7	857E-01	366	1	357E+00	8	429E-01	490	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
119	2	857E-01	243	7	857E-01	367	1	357E+00	9	286E-01	491	1	786E+00	1	000E+00
120	2	857E-01	244	7	857E-01	368	1	357E+00	0	000E+00	492	1	786E+00	1	150E+00
121	2	857E-01	245	7	857E-01	369	1	357E+00	1	150E+00	493	1	786E+00	1	300E+00
122	2	857E-01	246	7	857E-01	370	1	357E+00	2	857E-01					
123	2	857E-01	247	7	857E-01	371	1	357E+00	3	571E-01					
124	2	857E-01	248	7	857E-01	372	1	357E+00	4	000E-01					







532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633

377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448

364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448

363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448

253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324

186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284

185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284

129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76

77	111	201	-1	465	325	449	635
78	112	202	-1	466	326	450	636
79	113	203	-1	467	327	451	637
80	114	204	286	286	328	452	638
81	115	205	0	287	329	453	640
82	116	206	289	290	330	454	641
83	117	207	291	292	331	455	642
84	118	208	293	294	332	456	643
85	119	209	295	296	333	457	644
86	120	210	297	298	334	458	645
87	121	211	299	300	335	459	646
88	122	212	301	302	336	460	647
89	123	213	303	304	337	461	648
90	124	214	305	306	338	462	649
91	125	215	307	308	339	463	650
92	126	216	309	310	340	464	651
93	127	217	311	312	341	465	652
94	128	218	313	314	342	466	653
95	129	219	315	316	343	467	654
96	130	220	317	318	344	468	655
97	131	221	319	320	345	469	656
98	132	222	0	321	346	470	657
99	133	223	-1	322	347	471	658
100	134	224	321	322	348	472	659
101	135	225	-1	323	349	473	660
102	136	226	323	324	350	474	661
103	137	227	-1	324	351	475	662
104	138	228	325	326	352	476	663
105	139	229	-1	325	353	477	664
106	140	230	327	328	354	478	665
107	141	231	329	330	355	479	666
108	142	232	-1	331	356	480	667
109	143	233	-1	332	357	481	668
110	144	234	-1	333	358	482	669
111	145	235	333	334	359	483	670
112	146	236	-1	335	360	484	671
113	147	237	-1	336	361	485	672
114	148	238	337	338	362	486	673
115	149	239	339	340	363	487	674
116	150	240	341	342	364	488	675
117	151	241	343	344	365	489	676
118	152	242	345	346	366	490	677
119	153	243	347	348	367	491	678
120	154	244	349	350	368	492	679
121	155	245	351	352	369	493	680
122	156	246	353	354	370	494	681
123	157	247	-1	355	371	495	682
124	158	248	353	354	372	496	683

LOADING CONDITIONS

<u>NODE</u>	<u>LOAD-X</u>	<u>LOAD-Y</u>
16	1.000E+00	

PARAMETERS FOR NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS

CONTROL NODE	16	DIRECTION 1
LOAD STEPS	1	
MAX. ITERATION	50	
SOFTENING MODEL	0	
GAUSS POINTS	2	
DISPLACEMENT INCREMENT	1.000E-03	

CONVERGENCE CRITERIA 5.000E-03  
 ALPHA (ISOFT=1) 5.000E-01  
 BETA (ISOFT=1) 1.000E+01  
 SMX 2.500E-01  
 SMY 2.840E-01  
 E SH 1.600E-02  
 E U 1.100E-01  
 PU 6.500E+08

\*\*\*\*\* DISPLACEMENT INCREMENT 1 1.000E-03 \*\*\*\*\*

ITERATION	CONVERGENCE RATIO	DELTA	FORCE
1	1.000E+00	9.059E+05	9.059E+05
2	5.879E-03	-2.671E+05	6.389E+05
3	1.981E-03	-1.085E+05	5.303E+05
4	8.736E-04	-6.072E+04	4.696E+05
5	4.422E-04	-3.793E+04	4.317E+05
6	2.439E-04	-2.544E+04	4.062E+05
7	1.428E-04	-1.783E+04	3.884E+05
8	8.701E-05	-1.297E+04	3.754E+05
9	5.565E-05	-9.594E+03	3.658E+05
10	3.720E-05	-7.207E+03	3.586E+05
11	2.550E-05	-5.517E+03	3.531E+05
12	1.791E-05	-4.296E+03	3.488E+05
13	1.325E-05	-3.338E+03	3.455E+05
14	9.888E-06	-2.669E+03	3.428E+05
15	7.553E-06	-2.117E+03	3.407E+05
16	6.248E-06	-1.636E+03	3.391E+05

EXTERNAL TOTAL FORCE = 3.391E+05

ELEMENT POINT	GAUSS POINT XX	STRAIN YY	XY	HORIZONTAL	VERTICAL	THETA	FC1	FC2	CODE	CRACK WIDTH		
1	1	1.944E-06	1.368E-03	1.267E-04	3.889E+05	2.737E+08	8.734E+01	1.074E+06	3	-2.386E+04	1	4.946E-04
	2	1.944E-06	1.290E-03	8.062E-05	3.887E+05	2.579E+08	8.820E+01	1.074E+06	3	1.707E+04	-1	4.713E-04
	3	3.785E-06	1.173E-03	1.663E-04	7.570E+05	2.347E+08	8.594E+01	1.076E+06	3	-5.239E+04	1	4.174E-04
	4	8.814E-06	1.141E-03	2.033E-04	1.763E+06	2.282E+08	8.490E+01	1.075E+06	3	-5.920E+03	1	4.015E-04
2	1	7.766E-08	1.234E-03	6.110E-05	1.553E+04	2.468E+08	8.857E+01	1.075E+06	3	-1.679E+04	1	4.533E-04
	2	7.319E-07	1.162E-03	6.786E-05	1.464E+05	2.323E+08	8.832E+01	1.075E+06	3	-6.478E+03	1	4.252E-04
	3	8.855E-06	1.114E-03	2.158E-04	1.771E+06	2.228E+08	8.447E+01	1.076E+06	3	-3.942E+04	1	3.904E-04
	4	9.691E-06	1.059E-03	2.150E-04	1.938E+05	2.118E+08	8.420E+01	1.077E+06	3	-3.021E+04	1	3.703E-04
3	1	3.392E-07	1.108E-03	7.098E-05	6.785E+04	2.217E+08	8.816E+01	1.075E+06	3	-1.991E+04	1	4.048E-04
	2	1.162E-06	1.030E-03	6.492E-05	2.325E+05	2.060E+08	8.819E+01	1.075E+06	3	3.487E+03	-1	3.765E-04
	3	9.959E-06	1.012E-03	2.194E-04	1.992E+06	2.023E+08	8.382E+01	1.079E+06	3	-4.777E+04	1	3.525E-04
	4	1.240E-05	9.446E-04	2.337E-04	2.481E+06	1.889E+08	8.296E+01	1.082E+06	3	-5.040E+04	1	3.268E-04
4	1	1.441E-07	9.690E-04	7.387E-05	-2.882E+04	1.938E+08	8.781E+01	1.076E+06	3	-3.873E+04	1	3.524E-04
	2	1.328E-06	8.809E-04	8.196E-05	2.656E+05	1.762E+08	8.733E+01	1.076E+06	3	-1.442E+04	1	3.184E-04
	3	1.248E-05	8.924E-04	2.341E-04	2.496E+05	1.785E+08	8.254E+01	1.085E+06	3	-7.055E+04	1	3.078E-04
	4	1.611E-05	8.097E-04	2.371E-04	3.223E+06	1.619E+08	8.167E+01	1.089E+06	3	-3.043E+04	1	2.777E-04
5	1	8.181E-08	8.132E-04	8.280E-05	-1.636E+04	1.626E+08	8.708E+01	1.078E+06	3	-5.457E+04	1	2.930E-04

2	7.532E-06	7.043E-04	1.680E-05	1.506E+06	1.409E+08	8.930E+01	1.071E+06	3	1.858E+05	-1	2.614E-04
3	1.700E-05	7.367E-04	2.477E-04	3.401E+06	1.473E+08	8.050E+01	1.039E+06	3	-9.271E+04	1	2.510E-04
4	2.874E-05	6.346E-04	3.002E-04	5.749E+06	1.1269E+08	7.682E+01	1.131E+06	3	-1.595E+05	1	2.139E-04
6	1-7.313E-06	5.934E-04	9.823E-05	-1.463E+06	1.187E+08	8.535E+01	1.089E+06	3	-2.818E+05	1	2.098E-04
2	9.312E-06	4.319E-04	1.613E-04	1.862E+06	8.638E+07	7.955E+01	1.126E+06	3	-1.386E+05	1	1.466E-04
3	1.632E-05	5.733E-04	2.557E-04	3.265E+06	1.147E+08	7.766E+01	1.133E+06	3	-2.899E+05	1	1.935E-04
4	3.544E-05	4.175E-04	2.095E-04	7.088E+06	8.349E+07	7.562E+01	1.163E+06	3	2.150E+05	-1	1.403E-04
7	1 8.570E-06	3.711E-04	1.056E-05	1.714E+06	7.422E+07	8.916E+01	1.071E+06	3	2.123E+05	-1	1.374E-04
2	4.129E-04	4.137E-04	-8.039E-04	8.258E+07	8.273E+07	-4.502E+01	1.175E+06	2	2.836E+05	-1	3.585E-03
3	2.422E-05	1.647E-04	2.068E-04	4.843E+06	3.294E+07	6.209E+01	1.364E+06	2	-7.578E+05	1	6.351E-05
4	3.974E-04	4.024E-04	9.578E-04	7.949E+07	8.049E+07	4.514E+01	1.163E+06	2	-1.936E+06	1	2.478E-04
8	1-7.904E-05	-9.447E-05	1.377E-04	-2.384E+06	-2.719E+06	1.497E+06	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	7.142E-05	-1.014E-04	-1.299E-04	-2.216E+06	-2.867E+06	-1.412E+06	1.071E+06	3	2.843E+04	1	3.819E-04
3	1.095E-04	1.533E-04	9.839E-05	-2.212E+06	3.501E+06	1.059E+06	1.071E+06	3	-4.494E+04	1	3.707E-04
4	-1.116E-04	1.603E-04	-9.394E-05	-2.239E+06	3.671E+06	-1.021E+06	1.081E+06	3	-1.438E+05	1	3.469E-04
9	1 4.743E-06	1.085E-03	1.945E-04	9.486E+05	2.171E+08	8.499E+01	1.079E+06	3	-9.843E+04	1	3.819E-04
2	1.233E-05	1.067E-03	2.458E-04	2.466E+06	2.135E+08	8.343E+01	1.077E+06	3	-4.494E+04	1	3.707E-04
3	6.031E-06	9.957E-04	2.173E-04	1.206E+06	1.991E+08	8.380E+01	1.081E+06	3	-1.438E+05	1	3.469E-04
4	1.714E-05	9.706E-04	2.868E-04	3.428E+06	1.941E+08	8.162E+01	1.084E+06	3	-9.905E+04	1	3.328E-04
10	1 1.461E-05	1.033E-03	2.641E-04	2.921E+06	2.067E+08	8.272E+01	1.079E+06	3	-5.587E+04	1	3.570E-04
2	1.799E-05	9.866E-04	2.878E-04	3.590E+06	1.973E+08	8.172E+01	1.082E+06	3	-7.340E+04	1	3.385E-04
3	2.195E-05	9.466E-04	3.193E-04	4.399E+06	1.893E+08	8.047E+01	1.088E+06	3	-1.208E+05	1	3.225E-04
4	2.876E-05	9.045E-04	3.544E-04	5.751E+06	1.809E+08	7.898E+01	1.095E+06	3	-1.431E+05	1	3.062E-04
11	1 2.055E-05	9.486E-04	2.997E-04	4.109E+06	1.897E+08	8.104E+01	1.085E+06	3	-7.622E+04	1	3.241E-04
2	2.286E-05	8.871E-04	3.149E-04	4.571E+06	1.774E+08	7.988E+01	1.092E+06	3	-1.233E+05	1	3.015E-04
3	3.420E-05	9.683E-04	3.754E-04	6.841E+06	1.737E+08	7.788E+01	1.102E+06	3	-1.522E+05	1	2.931E-04
4	4.100E-05	8.111E-04	3.973E-04	8.199E+06	1.622E+08	7.635E+01	1.115E+06	3	-1.805E+05	1	2.732E-04
12	1 2.732E-05	8.356E-04	3.218E-04	5.465E+06	1.671E+08	7.914E+01	1.098E+06	3	-8.827E+04	1	2.831E-04
2	3.382E-05	7.570E-04	3.456E-04	6.764E+06	1.514E+08	7.722E+01	1.115E+06	3	-1.336E+05	1	2.552E-04
3	4.625E-05	7.661E-04	4.118E-04	9.250E+06	1.532E+08	7.511E+01	1.129E+06	3	-2.117E+05	1	2.581E-04
4	5.041E-05	6.930E-04	4.226E-04	1.008E+07	1.386E+08	7.333E+01	1.155E+06	3	-3.197E+05	1	2.343E-04
13	1 3.581E-05	6.993E-04	3.387E-04	7.161E+06	1.399E+08	7.647E+01	1.126E+06	3	-1.229E+05	1	2.356E-04
2	2.941E-05	6.025E-04	3.505E-04	5.882E+06	1.205E+08	7.427E+01	1.164E+06	3	-4.961E+05	1	2.035E-04
3	6.002E-05	6.316E-04	4.344E-04	1.200E+07	1.263E+08	7.138E+01	1.186E+06	3	-3.275E+05	1	2.151E-04
4	7.678E-05	5.422E-04	4.557E-04	1.536E+07	1.084E+08	6.780E+01	1.216E+06	2	-4.032E+05	1	1.893E-04
14	1 5.694E-05	4.921E-04	3.346E-04	1.139E+07	9.843E+07	7.121E+01	1.213E+06	3	1.234E+03	-1	1.674E-04
2	8.908E-05	3.700E-04	4.488E-04	1.782E+07	7.400E+07	6.102E+01	1.255E+06	2	-8.724E+05	1	1.424E-04
3	9.158E-05	4.819E-04	5.148E-04	1.832E+07	9.638E+07	6.358E+01	1.223E+06	2	-8.987E+05	1	1.776E-04
4	6.580E-05	4.460E-04	5.851E-04	1.316E+07	8.921E+07	6.150E+01	1.224E+06	2	-2.270E+06	1	1.746E-04
15	1 1.679E-04	4.104E-04	5.826E-04	3.358E+07	8.208E+07	5.629E+01	1.224E+06	2	-6.548E+05	1	1.717E-04
2	4.586E-05	6.360E-04	1.216E-03	-9.172E+06	1.272E+08	5.963E+01	1.142E+06	2	-9.039E+06	1	2.845E-04
3	1.340E-04	5.463E-04	8.135E-04	2.681E+07	1.093E+08	5.843E+01	1.179E+06	2	-2.812E+06	1	2.274E-04
4	5.073E-05	5.435E-04	5.111E-04	-1.015E+07	1.087E+08	6.964E+01	1.216E+06	2	-3.505E+06	1	1.925E-04
16	1-5.340E-05	9.121E-06	-8.547E-05	-1.331E+06	2.841E+04	-9.290E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	1.001E-04	1.720E-05	7.259E-05	-2.494E+06	5.580E+04	7.890E+05					
3	4.723E-05	-2.910E-05	-4.489E-06	-1.319E+06	-9.255E+05	-4.879E+04					
4	9.276E-05	-3.192E-05	1.860E-05	-2.495E+06	-1.172E+06	2.022E+05					
17	1 5.882E-06	9.433E-04	2.309E-04	1.176E+06	1.887E+08	8.307E+01	1.085E+06	3	-2.029E+05	1	3.267E-04

2	1	929E-05	9	152E-04	3	858E+06	1	830E+08	8	055E+01	1	090E+06	3	-1	538E+05	1	3	119E-04	
3	6	728E-06	8	787E-04	2	509E-04	1	737E+08	8	197E+01	1	090E+06	3	-2	732E+05	1	3	020E-04	
4	2	245E-05	8	490E-04	3	316E-04	4	489E+06	1	698E+08	7	906E+01	1	1	100E+06	1	2	876E-04	
18	1	2	665E-05	8	915E-04	3	470E-04	5	330E+06	1	783E+08	7	906E+01	3	-1	711E+05	1	3	020E-04
2	3	613E-05	8	506E-04	3	887E-04	7	227E+06	1	701E+08	7	724E+01	1	1	107E+06	1	2	868E-04	
3	3	192E-05	8	238E-04	3	766E-04	6	385E+06	1	648E+08	7	728E+01	1	1	111E+06	1	2	779E-04	
4	4	488E-05	7	815E-04	4	247E-04	8	976E+06	1	563E+08	7	501E+01	1	1	129E+06	1	2	634E-04	
19	1	4	263E-05	8	150E-04	4	129E-04	8	526E+06	1	630E+08	7	593E+01	3	-2	269E+05	1	2	745E-04
2	5	185E-05	7	600E-04	4	375E-04	1	037E+07	1	520E+08	7	414E+01	1	1	137E+06	1	2	564E-04	
3	5	358E-05	7	460E-04	4	511E-04	1	072E+07	1	492E+08	7	345E+01	1	1	145E+06	1	2	521E-04	
4	6	536E-05	6	927E-04	4	814E-04	1	307E+07	1	385E+08	7	124E+01	1	1	176E+06	1	2	361E-04	
20	1	5	748E-05	7	133E-04	4	526E-04	1	150E+07	1	427E+08	7	269E+01	3	-3	245E+05	1	2	416E-04
2	6	758E-05	6	456E-04	4	683E-04	1	352E+07	1	291E+08	7	049E+01	1	1	194E+06	1	2	209E-04	
3	7	399E-05	6	508E-04	5	005E-04	1	480E+07	1	302E+08	6	952E+01	1	1	191E+06	1	2	242E-04	
4	8	974E-05	5	921E-04	5	362E-04	1	795E+07	1	184E+08	6	656E+01	2	-6	572E+05	1	2	095E-04	
21	1	7	825E-05	5	968E-04	4	971E-04	1	565E+07	1	194E+08	6	810E+01	2	-5	383E+05	1	2	080E-04
2	9	501E-05	5	206E-04	5	345E-04	1	900E+07	1	041E+08	6	426E+01	1	2	13E+06	1	1	898E-04	
3	9	785E-05	5	598E-04	1	598E-04	1	957E+07	1	099E+08	6	443E+01	1	2	205E+06	1	1	998E-04	
4	1	019E-04	5	017E-04	5	971E-04	2	039E+07	1	003E+08	6	189E+01	1	1	210E+06	1	1	912E-04	
22	1	8	832E-05	4	878E-04	5	872E-04	1	766E+07	9	756E+07	6	211E+01	2	-1	647E+06	1	1	862E-04
2	9	470E-05	4	807E-04	5	832E-04	1	894E+07	9	613E+07	6	174E+01	1	2	216E+06	1	1	842E-04	
3	8	697E-05	4	720E-04	5	983E-04	1	739E+07	9	440E+07	6	137E+01	1	2	216E+06	1	1	833E-04	
4	8	341E-05	4	571E-04	6	412E-04	1	668E+07	9	141E+07	6	011E+01	1	2	215E+06	1	1	842E-04	
23	1	2	180E-05	4	880E-04	7	076E-04	4	359E+06	9	760E+07	6	168E+01	2	-4	042E+06	1	1	961E-04
2	-1	498E-05	4	182E-04	3	074E-04	-2	596E+06	8	364E+07	7	231E+01	1	3	-1	574E+06	1	1	436E-04
3	1	194E-05	4	202E-04	5	641E-04	2	388E+06	8	403E+07	6	294E+01	1	2	-3	193E+06	1	1	639E-04
4	-1	098E-05	3	957E-04	5	423E-04	-2	195E+06	7	915E+07	6	343E+01	1	2	-3	530E+06	1	1	547E-04
24	1	-5	640E-05	1	782E-05	5	444E-05	-1	374E+06	2	395E+05	5	917E+05	3	-3	448E+05	1	2	862E-04
2	-9	651E-05	1	398E-05	-2	553E-05	-2	415E+06	-1	272E+04	-2	774E+05	3	-3	178E+05	1	2	720E-04	
3	-4	986E-05	2	870E-05	3	351E-05	-1	165E+06	5	428E+05	3	642E+05	3	-4	464E+05	1	2	665E-04	
4	-9	692E-05	3	293E-05	-3	493E-08	-2	352E+06	4	703E+05	-3	796E+02	3	-4	346E+05	1	2	521E-04	
25	1	6	990E-06	8	372E-04	2	663E-04	1	398E+06	1	674E+08	8	110E+01	3	-3	448E+05	1	2	862E-04
2	2	438E-05	8	053E-04	3	486E-04	4	877E+06	1	611E+08	7	796E+01	1	1	110E+06	1	2	720E-04	
3	7	755E-06	7	840E-04	2	871E-04	1	551E+06	1	568E+08	7	984E+01	1	1	106E+06	1	2	665E-04	
4	2	724E-05	7	478E-04	3	699E-04	5	447E+06	1	496E+08	7	641E+01	1	1	127E+06	1	2	521E-04	
26	1	3	577E-05	7	775E-04	3	944E-04	7	153E+06	1	555E+08	7	599E+01	3	-3	337E+05	1	2	620E-04
2	5	031E-05	7	343E-04	4	444E-04	1	006E+07	1	469E+08	7	349E+01	1	3	-3	870E+05	1	2	482E-04
3	4	054E-05	7	174E-04	4	180E-04	8	108E+06	1	435E+08	7	414E+01	1	1	147E+06	1	2	423E-04	
4	5	822E-05	6	732E-04	4	714E-04	1	164E+07	1	346E+08	7	126E+01	1	1	182E+06	1	2	297E-04	
27	1	6	078E-05	6	990E-04	4	733E-04	1	216E+07	1	398E+08	7	171E+01	3	-4	326E+05	1	2	378E-04
2	7	511E-05	6	480E-04	5	111E-04	1	502E+07	1	266E+08	6	912E+01	1	2	-5	547E+05	1	2	240E-04
3	7	103E-05	6	409E-04	5	051E-04	1	421E+07	1	282E+08	6	922E+01	1	2	-6	158E+05	1	2	215E-04
4	8	857E-05	5	943E-04	5	478E-04	1	771E+07	1	189E+08	6	635E+01	1	1	197E+06	1	2	110E-04	
28	1	8	720E-05	6	106E-04	5	360E-04	1	744E+07	1	221E+08	6	715E+01	2	-6	376E+05	1	2	147E-04
2	1	000E-04	5	578E-04	5	715E-04	2	000E+07	1	116E+08	6	434E+01	1	2	-9	214E+05	1	2	032E-04
3	1	004E-04	5	592E-04	5	775E-04	2	007E+07	1	118E+08	6	423E+01	1	2	-9	657E+05	1	2	042E-04
4	1	091E-04	5	140E-04	6	104E-04	2	182E+07	1	028E+08	6	177E+01	1	1	206E+06	1	1	959E-04	
29	1	1	064E-04	5	182E-04	5	944E-04	2	127E+07	1	036E+08	6	235E+01	2	-1	217E+06	1	1	952E-04

ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY

2	9.906E-05	4.818E-04	6.254E-04	1.981E+07	9.635E+07	6.072E+01	1.211E+06	2	-1.865E+06	1	1.891E-04
3	1.114E-04	4.851E-04	6.333E-04	2.228E+07	9.702E+07	6.027E+01	1.209E+06	2	-1.705E+06	1	1.914E-04
4	9.712E-05	4.507E-04	6.397E-04	1.942E+07	9.013E+07	5.946E+01	1.215E+06	2	-2.236E+06	1	1.832E-04
1	9.440E-05	4.538E-04	6.134E-04	1.888E+07	9.076E+07	6.018E+01	1.218E+06	2	-1.993E+06	1	1.809E-04
2	5.550E-05	4.336E-04	6.557E-04	1.110E+07	8.671E+07	5.998E+01	1.220E+06	2	-3.235E+06	1	1.788E-04
3	8.970E-05	4.317E-04	6.511E-04	1.794E+07	8.634E+07	5.885E+01	1.218E+06	2	-2.604E+06	1	1.797E-04
4	5.024E-05	4.047E-04	6.169E-04	1.005E+07	8.093E+07	5.993E+01	1.230E+06	2	-3.104E+06	1	1.674E-04
1	3.149E-05	4.115E-04	5.508E-04	6.298E+06	8.229E+07	6.229E+01	1.237E+06	2	-2.747E+06	1	1.611E-04
2	1.486E-05	3.931E-04	5.953E-04	-2.971E+06	7.862E+07	6.221E+01	1.239E+06	2	-4.109E+06	1	1.592E-04
3	2.712E-05	3.900E-04	5.856E-04	5.424E+06	7.799E+07	6.088E+01	1.238E+06	2	-3.283E+06	1	1.593E-04
4	1.623E-05	3.429E-04	4.964E-04	-3.247E+06	6.858E+07	6.293E+01	1.263E+06	2	-3.448E+06	1	1.364E-04
1	4.304E-05	1.019E-05	1.792E-05	-1.062E+06	9.549E+04	1.948E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	9.166E-05	1.619E-05	2.027E-05	-2.282E+06	6.231E+04	2.203E+05					
3	4.126E-05	4.831E-06	3.140E-05	-1.037E+06	-3.473E+04	3.413E+05					
4	8.425E-05	7.594E-06	1.656E-05	-2.126E+06	-1.290E+05	1.800E+05					
1	8.074E-06	7.468E-04	3.032E-04	1.615E+06	1.494E+08	7.884E+01	1.115E+06	3	-5.426E+05	1	2.529E-04
2	8.935E-05	7.072E-04	3.859E-04	5.832E+06	1.414E+08	7.517E+01	1.142E+06	3	-5.397E+05	1	2.386E-04
3	8.981E-06	6.971E-04	3.267E-04	1.797E+06	1.394E+08	7.729E+01	1.131E+06	3	-6.908E+05	1	2.354E-04
4	3.276E-05	6.528E-04	4.094E-04	6.552E+06	1.306E+08	7.327E+01	1.169E+06	3	-7.132E+05	1	2.212E-04
1	4.416E-05	6.758E-04	4.345E-04	8.832E+06	1.352E+08	7.273E+01	1.168E+06	3	-5.799E+05	1	2.292E-04
2	6.415E-05	6.325E-04	4.914E-04	1.283E+07	1.265E+08	6.957E+01	1.195E+06	2	-6.791E+05	1	2.182E-04
3	4.954E-05	6.222E-04	4.596E-04	9.908E+06	1.244E+08	7.062E+01	1.200E+06	2	-7.758E+05	1	2.134E-04
4	7.245E-05	5.823E-04	5.214E-04	1.449E+07	1.165E+08	6.717E+01	1.203E+06	2	-9.227E+05	1	2.055E-04
1	7.904E-05	6.019E-04	5.296E-04	1.581E+07	1.204E+08	6.731E+01	1.198E+06	2	-7.850E+05	1	2.117E-04
2	9.665E-05	5.583E-04	5.738E-04	1.933E+07	1.117E+08	6.440E+01	1.202E+06	2	-1.008E+06	1	2.035E-04
3	8.790E-05	5.525E-04	5.605E-04	1.758E+07	1.105E+08	6.482E+01	1.204E+06	2	-1.083E+06	1	2.005E-04
4	1.041E-04	5.127E-04	6.046E-04	2.082E+07	1.025E+08	6.202E+01	1.207E+06	2	-1.391E+06	1	1.948E-04
1	1.067E-04	5.260E-04	6.030E-04	2.133E+07	1.052E+08	6.240E+01	1.205E+06	2	-1.256E+06	1	1.981E-04
2	1.132E-04	4.860E-04	6.333E-04	2.263E+07	9.721E+07	6.024E+01	1.209E+06	2	-1.667E+06	1	1.917E-04
3	1.118E-04	4.846E-04	6.306E-04	2.236E+07	9.692E+07	6.029E+01	1.209E+06	2	-1.673E+06	1	1.910E-04
4	1.134E-04	4.515E-04	6.557E-04	2.267E+07	9.029E+07	5.863E+01	1.212E+06	2	-2.115E+06	1	1.862E-04
1	1.092E-04	4.619E-04	6.505E-04	2.185E+07	9.237E+07	5.922E+01	1.211E+06	2	-2.066E+06	1	1.877E-04
2	9.860E-05	4.296E-04	6.481E-04	1.972E+07	8.591E+07	5.852E+01	1.218E+06	2	-2.432E+06	1	1.794E-04
3	1.063E-04	4.291E-04	6.518E-04	2.129E+07	8.582E+07	5.800E+01	1.216E+06	2	-2.448E+06	1	1.814E-04
4	9.205E-05	4.007E-04	6.588E-04	1.841E+07	8.014E+07	5.755E+01	1.223E+06	2	-2.848E+06	1	1.738E-04
1	7.856E-05	4.126E-04	6.555E-04	1.571E+07	8.252E+07	5.850E+01	1.222E+06	2	-2.963E+06	1	1.753E-04
2	4.810E-05	3.815E-04	5.941E-04	9.620E+06	7.531E+07	5.965E+01	1.238E+06	2	-3.047E+06	1	1.593E-04
3	7.214E-05	3.792E-04	6.370E-04	1.443E+07	7.584E+07	5.786E+01	1.231E+06	2	-3.096E+06	1	1.652E-04
4	4.560E-05	3.438E-04	5.907E-04	9.121E+06	6.877E+07	5.839E+01	1.246E+06	2	-3.288E+06	1	1.501E-04
1	2.091E-05	3.549E-04	5.728E-04	4.183E+06	7.098E+07	6.012E+01	1.248E+06	2	-3.462E+06	1	1.492E-04
2	1.943E-05	3.015E-04	4.617E-04	-2.688E+06	6.029E+07	6.214E+01	1.278E+06	2	-3.270E+06	1	1.226E-04
3	1.373E-05	3.080E-04	5.379E-04	3.945E+06	6.159E+07	5.909E+01	1.263E+06	2	-3.407E+06	1	1.342E-04
4	1.498E-05	2.660E-04	4.722E-04	-2.996E+06	5.320E+07	6.037E+01	1.286E+06	2	-3.592E+06	1	1.151E-04
1	4.210E-05	1.021E-05	4.093E-05	-1.038E+06	9.957E+04	4.449E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	7.937E-05	1.216E-05	1.249E-05	-1.983E+06	6.492E+03	1.358E+05					
3	4.125E-05	1.042E-05	4.035E-05	-1.075E+06	1.082E+05	4.386E+05					
4	7.158E-05	1.338E-05	1.717E-05	-1.779E+06	6.772E+04	1.866E+05					
1	9.341E-06	6.602E-04	3.435E-04	1.868E+06	1.320E+08	7.608E+01	1.146E+06	3	-8.232E+05	1	2.229E-04

2	3.508E-05	6.152E-04	4.274E-04	7.017E+06	1.230E+08	7.180E+01	1.194E+06	3	-8.707E+05	1	2.099E-04
3	1.051E-05	6.098E-04	3.715E-04	2.102E+06	1.220E+08	7.410E+01	1.174E+06	3	-1.049E+06	1	2.066E-04
4	3.945E-05	5.677E-04	4.579E-04	7.890E+06	1.135E+08	6.953E+01	1.212E+06	2	-1.136E+06	1	1.968E-04
42	1.5.435E-05	5.861E-04	4.815E-04	1.087E+07	1.172E+08	6.891E+01	1.206E+06	2	-9.525E+05	1	2.037E-04
2	7.785E-05	5.479E-04	5.441E-04	1.557E+07	1.096E+08	6.541E+01	1.207E+06	2	-1.152E+06	1	1.977E-04
3	5.922E-05	5.396E-04	5.121E-04	1.198E+07	1.079E+08	6.656E+01	1.213E+06	2	-1.261E+06	1	1.925E-04
4	8.351E-05	5.026E-04	5.726E-04	1.670E+07	1.005E+08	6.309E+01	1.213E+06	2	-1.520E+06	1	1.883E-04
43	1.9.282E-05	5.189E-04	5.818E-04	1.856E+07	1.038E+08	6.310E+01	1.209E+06	2	-1.349E+06	1	1.937E-04
2	1.074E-04	4.821E-04	6.240E-04	2.148E+07	9.642E+07	6.049E+01	1.211E+06	2	-1.700E+06	1	1.894E-04
3	9.723E-05	4.762E-04	6.086E-04	1.945E+07	9.524E+07	6.095E+01	1.214E+06	2	-1.762E+06	1	1.859E-04
4	1.083E-04	4.438E-04	6.458E-04	2.166E+07	8.875E+07	5.872E+01	1.215E+06	2	-2.148E+06	1	1.829E-04
44	1.1.124E-04	4.573E-04	6.473E-04	2.249E+07	9.145E+07	5.902E+01	1.212E+06	2	-2.005E+06	1	1.865E-04
2	1.106E-04	4.272E-04	6.665E-04	2.212E+07	8.545E+07	5.770E+01	1.216E+06	2	-2.437E+06	1	1.818E-04
3	1.112E-04	4.219E-04	6.645E-04	2.223E+07	8.438E+07	5.753E+01	1.217E+06	2	-2.443E+06	1	1.804E-04
4	1.070E-04	3.935E-04	6.741E-04	2.140E+07	7.869E+07	5.651E+01	1.221E+06	2	-2.815E+06	1	1.752E-04
45	1.1.041E-04	4.054E-04	6.668E-04	2.083E+07	8.107E+07	5.715E+01	1.220E+06	2	-2.700E+06	1	1.766E-04
2	8.685E-05	3.774E-04	6.580E-04	1.737E+07	7.549E+07	5.691E+01	1.228E+06	2	-3.086E+06	1	1.683E-04
3	9.979E-05	3.729E-04	6.713E-04	1.996E+07	7.458E+07	5.607E+01	1.226E+06	2	-3.050E+06	1	1.700E-04
4	8.284E-05	3.422E-04	6.484E-04	1.657E+07	6.843E+07	5.589E+01	1.236E+06	2	-3.299E+06	1	1.594E-04
46	1.7.082E-05	3.524E-04	6.270E-04	1.416E+07	7.047E+07	5.709E+01	1.238E+06	2	-3.192E+06	1	1.580E-04
2	4.299E-05	3.147E-04	5.844E-04	8.597E+06	6.294E+07	5.746E+01	1.253E+06	2	-3.456E+06	1	1.427E-04
3	6.747E-05	3.170E-04	6.205E-04	1.349E+07	6.340E+07	5.595E+01	1.246E+06	2	-3.428E+06	1	1.495E-04
4	4.111E-05	2.774E-04	5.647E-04	8.222E+06	5.548E+07	5.635E+01	1.264E+06	2	-3.536E+06	1	1.322E-04
47	1.2.114E-05	2.818E-04	5.271E-04	4.227E+06	5.635E+07	5.815E+01	1.271E+06	2	-3.437E+06	1	1.271E-04
2	1.648E-05	2.407E-04	4.637E-04	-3.295E+06	4.814E+07	5.950E+01	1.294E+06	2	-3.679E+06	1	1.081E-04
3	1.929E-05	2.458E-04	5.131E-04	3.858E+06	4.916E+07	5.690E+01	1.282E+06	2	-3.561E+06	1	1.175E-04
4	-1.972E-05	1.958E-04	4.215E-04	-3.943E+06	3.915E+07	5.853E+01	1.315E+06	2	-3.578E+06	1	9.278E-05
48	1-4.060E-05	7.454E-06	3.930E-05	-1.010E+06	3.488E+04	4.271E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	-6.480E-05	1.032E-05	2.039E-05	-1.618E+06	1.528E+04	2.216E+05					
3	-4.080E-05	6.139E-06	4.156E-05	-1.020E+06	4.861E+02	4.518E+05					
4	-5.529E-05	7.706E-06	2.036E-05	-1.385E+06	-1.502E+04	2.213E+05					
49	1.1.196E-05	5.743E-04	3.945E-04	2.392E+06	1.149E+08	7.247E+01	1.201E+06	3	-1.243E+06	1	1.959E-04
2	4.252E-05	5.343E-04	4.816E-04	8.505E+06	1.069E+08	6.779E+01	1.217E+06	2	-1.374E+06	1	1.885E-04
3	1.290E-05	5.280E-04	4.288E-04	2.579E+06	1.056E+08	7.011E+01	1.224E+06	2	-1.590E+06	1	1.832E-04
4	4.602E-05	4.904E-04	5.150E-04	9.204E+06	9.808E+07	6.539E+01	1.223E+06	2	-1.765E+06	1	1.788E-04
50	1.6.321E-05	5.070E-04	5.347E-04	1.264E+07	1.014E+08	6.484E+01	1.217E+06	2	-1.534E+06	1	1.854E-04
2	8.631E-05	4.715E-04	5.899E-04	1.726E+07	9.431E+07	6.150E+01	1.217E+06	2	-1.831E+06	1	1.826E-04
3	6.683E-05	4.640E-04	6.550E-04	1.337E+07	9.281E+07	6.255E+01	1.223E+06	2	-1.958E+06	1	1.771E-04
4	8.773E-05	4.317E-04	6.182E-04	1.755E+07	8.634E+07	5.954E+01	1.222E+06	2	-2.296E+06	1	1.759E-04
51	1.9.835E-05	4.474E-04	6.266E-04	1.967E+07	8.948E+07	5.955E+01	1.217E+06	2	-2.098E+06	1	1.810E-04
2	1.077E-05	4.173E-04	6.590E-04	2.154E+07	8.347E+07	5.758E+01	1.219E+06	2	-2.475E+06	1	1.785E-04
3	9.821E-05	4.095E-04	6.470E-04	1.964E+07	8.190E+07	5.784E+01	1.222E+06	2	-2.560E+06	1	1.748E-04
4	1.055E-04	3.816E-04	6.725E-04	2.109E+07	7.632E+07	5.616E+01	1.224E+06	2	-2.909E+06	1	1.724E-04
52	1.1.090E-04	3.967E-04	6.733E-04	2.179E+07	7.933E+07	5.656E+01	1.221E+06	2	-2.752E+06	1	1.759E-04
2	1.045E-04	3.769E-04	6.769E-04	2.089E+07	7.376E+07	5.566E+01	1.225E+06	2	-3.067E+06	1	1.702E-04
3	1.057E-04	3.617E-04	6.805E-04	2.115E+07	7.234E+07	5.530E+01	1.226E+06	2	-3.140E+06	1	1.693E-04
4	1.006E-04	3.339E-04	6.769E-04	2.012E+07	6.678E+07	5.450E+01	1.232E+06	2	-3.395E+06	1	1.628E-04
53	1.9.642E-05	3.480E-04	6.701E-04	1.928E+07	6.961E+07	5.528E+01	1.231E+06	2	-3.277E+06	1	1.644E-04

2	8.049E-05	3.160E-04	6.409E-04	1.610E+07	6.321E+07	5.508E+01	1.242E+06	2	-3.451E+06	1	1.529E-04
3	9.230E-05	3.124E-04	6.627E-04	1.846E+07	6.247E+07	5.418E+01	1.239E+06	2	-3.536E+06	1	1.560E-04
4	7.642E-05	2.798E-04	6.281E-04	1.528E+07	5.597E+07	5.397E+01	1.251E+06	2	-3.656E+06	1	1.437E-04
1	6.469E-05	2.909E-04	6.114E-04	1.294E+07	5.817E+07	5.515E+01	1.252E+06	2	-3.567E+06	1	1.427E-04
2	3.894E-05	2.503E-04	5.483E-04	7.789E+06	5.006E+07	5.554E+01	1.273E+06	2	-3.590E+06	1	1.243E-04
3	6.083E-05	2.530E-04	5.904E-04	1.217E+07	5.060E+07	5.401E+01	1.264E+06	2	-3.691E+06	1	1.321E-04
4	3.560E-05	2.119E-04	5.242E-04	7.121E+06	4.238E+07	5.429E+01	1.286E+06	2	-3.674E+06	1	1.132E-04
1	1.716E-05	2.160E-04	4.942E-04	3.432E+06	4.319E+07	5.595E+01	1.292E+06	2	-3.604E+06	1	1.087E-04
2	2.115E-05	1.611E-04	3.908E-04	4.231E+06	3.222E+07	5.750E+01	1.332E+06	2	-3.508E+06	1	8.135E-05
3	1.386E-05	1.746E-04	4.615E-04	2.772E+06	3.492E+07	5.460E+01	1.309E+06	2	-3.612E+06	1	9.582E-05
4	2.486E-05	1.155E-04	3.504E-04	4.973E+06	2.309E+07	5.551E+01	1.357E+06	2	-3.457E+06	1	6.641E-05
1	4.133E-05	6.309E-06	4.267E-05	1.033E+06	2.794E+03	4.639E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	4.830E-05	7.184E-06	1.980E-05	1.208E+06	-1.571E+03	2.152E+05					
3	4.161E-05	5.554E-06	4.178E-05	1.043E+06	-1.759E+04	4.541E+05					
4	3.880E-05	5.831E-06	1.978E-05	9.700E+05	2.652E+02	2.150E+05					
1	1.444E-05	4.958E-04	4.563E-04	2.889E+06	9.915E+07	6.826E+01	1.229E+06	2	-1.876E+06	1	1.753E-04
2	4.794E-05	4.595E-04	5.396E-04	2.588E+06	9.189E+07	6.366E+01	1.227E+06	2	-2.095E+06	1	1.728E-04
3	1.472E-05	4.537E-04	4.953E-04	2.943E+06	9.074E+07	6.577E+01	1.235E+06	2	-2.359E+06	1	1.665E-04
4	4.896E-05	4.185E-04	5.718E-04	9.792E+06	8.370E+07	6.143E+01	1.232E+06	2	-2.596E+06	1	1.657E-04
1	6.754E-05	4.343E-04	5.863E-04	1.351E+07	8.685E+07	6.101E+01	1.226E+06	2	-2.316E+06	1	1.719E-04
2	8.778E-05	4.036E-04	6.351E-04	1.756E+07	7.873E+07	5.822E+01	1.223E+06	2	-2.649E+06	1	1.714E-04
3	6.815E-05	3.948E-04	6.134E-04	1.363E+07	7.896E+07	5.901E+01	1.231E+06	2	-2.816E+06	1	1.657E-04
4	8.652E-05	3.656E-04	6.543E-04	1.730E+07	7.313E+07	5.655E+01	1.230E+06	2	-3.134E+06	1	1.653E-04
1	9.713E-05	3.826E-04	6.598E-04	1.943E+07	7.652E+07	5.669E+01	1.226E+06	2	-2.900E+06	1	1.704E-04
2	9.433E-04	3.555E-04	6.794E-04	2.065E+07	7.110E+07	5.518E+01	1.228E+06	2	-3.214E+06	1	1.676E-04
3	9.088E-05	3.453E-04	6.729E-04	1.898E+07	6.906E+07	5.520E+01	1.231E+06	2	-3.352E+06	1	1.641E-04
4	1.002E-04	3.181E-04	6.837E-04	2.003E+07	6.362E+07	5.383E+01	1.234E+06	2	-3.602E+06	1	1.605E-04
1	1.033E-04	3.355E-04	6.829E-04	2.067E+07	6.710E+07	5.438E+01	1.231E+06	2	-3.406E+06	1	1.641E-04
2	9.768E-05	3.073E-04	6.733E-04	1.954E+07	6.146E+07	5.364E+01	1.238E+06	2	-3.612E+06	1	1.568E-04
3	9.970E-05	2.979E-04	6.811E-04	1.994E+07	5.957E+07	5.311E+01	1.238E+06	2	-3.745E+06	1	1.563E-04
4	9.352E-05	2.687E-04	6.620E-04	1.870E+07	5.374E+07	5.240E+01	1.247E+06	2	-3.870E+06	1	1.477E-04
1	8.947E-05	2.850E-04	6.542E-04	1.789E+07	5.700E+07	5.331E+01	1.245E+06	2	-3.706E+06	1	1.493E-04
2	7.300E-05	2.520E-04	6.141E-04	1.460E+07	5.039E+07	5.312E+01	1.259E+06	2	-3.779E+06	1	1.362E-04
3	8.502E-05	2.457E-04	6.370E-04	1.700E+07	4.914E+07	5.207E+01	1.255E+06	2	-3.912E+06	1	1.393E-04
4	6.830E-05	2.118E-04	5.885E-04	1.366E+07	4.236E+07	5.185E+01	1.272E+06	2	-3.905E+06	1	1.249E-04
1	5.799E-05	2.247E-04	5.724E-04	1.160E+07	4.493E+07	5.311E+01	1.273E+06	2	-3.766E+06	1	1.241E-04
2	3.178E-05	1.825E-04	5.013E-04	6.356E+06	3.651E+07	5.336E+01	1.298E+06	2	-3.715E+06	1	1.042E-04
3	5.237E-05	1.840E-04	5.417E-04	1.047E+07	3.681E+07	5.182E+01	1.287E+06	2	-3.853E+06	1	1.119E-04
4	2.389E-05	1.397E-04	4.587E-04	4.778E+06	2.794E+07	5.208E+01	1.318E+06	2	-3.719E+06	1	8.976E-05
1	1.071E-05	1.456E-04	4.364E-04	2.143E+06	2.911E+07	5.358E+01	1.323E+06	2	-3.615E+06	1	8.660E-05
2	2.720E-05	8.104E-05	3.158E-04	-5.439E+06	1.621E+07	5.445E+01	1.379E+06	2	-3.377E+06	1	5.484E-05
3	3.234E-06	1.086E-04	3.980E-04	6.467E+05	2.172E+07	5.241E+01	1.343E+06	2	-3.608E+06	1	7.385E-05
4	3.128E-05	3.357E-05	2.608E-04	-6.256E+06	6.715E+06	5.198E+01	1.417E+06	2	-3.219E+06	1	3.821E-05
1	4.167E-05	4.512E-06	4.057E-05	-1.048E+06	-4.445E+04	4.409E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	3.192E-05	4.396E-06	1.931E-05	-7.995E+05	-1.003E+04	2.099E+05					
3	4.138E-05	3.289E-06	3.891E-05	-1.046E+06	-7.465E+04	4.230E+05					
4	2.309E-05	2.695E-06	1.737E-05	-5.801E+05	-1.963E+04	1.888E+05					
1	1.514E-05	4.241E-04	5.244E-04	3.027E+06	8.483E+07	6.397E+01	1.238E+06	2	-2.742E+06	1	1.611E-04



2	4	908E-05	3	895E-04	5	946E-04	9	817E+06	7	790E+07	5	989E+01	1	236E+06	2	-2	988E+06	1	1	613E-04		
3	1	497E-05	3	846E-04	5	638E-04	2	995E+06	7	692E+07	6	162E+01	1	1	243E+06	2	-3	314E+06	1	1	551E-04	
4	4	847E-05	3	504E-04	6	239E-04	9	694E+06	7	009E+07	5	791E+01	1	1	240E+06	2	-3	542E+06	1	1	557E-04	
1	6	739E-05	3	666E-04	6	317E-04	1	348E+07	7	332E+07	5	767E+01	1	1	234E+06	2	-3	203E+06	1	1	614E-04	
2	8	518E-05	3	376E-04	6	659E-04	1	704E+07	6	753E+07	5	538E+01	1	1	231E+06	2	-3	486E+06	1	1	608E-04	
3	6	620E-05	3	269E-04	6	533E-04	1	324E+07	6	538E+07	5	587E+01	1	1	240E+06	2	-3	729E+06	1	1	556E-04	
4	8	283E-05	2	970E-04	6	767E-04	1	657E+07	5	940E+07	5	378E+01	1	1	241E+06	2	-3	954E+06	1	1	540E-04	
1	9	297E-05	3	171E-04	6	795E-04	1	859E+07	6	342E+07	5	412E+01	1	1	235E+06	2	-3	672E+06	1	1	591E-04	
2	9	774E-05	2	894E-04	6	835E-04	1	955E+07	5	788E+07	5	283E+01	1	1	239E+06	2	-3	871E+06	1	1	548E-04	
3	8	993E-05	2	759E-04	6	826E-04	1	799E+07	5	519E+07	5	252E+01	1	1	243E+06	2	-4	088E+06	1	1	514E-04	
4	9	396E-05	2	469E-04	6	763E-04	1	879E+07	4	939E+07	5	137E+01	1	1	246E+06	2	-4	212E+06	1	1	457E-04	
1	9	697E-05	2	686E-04	6	755E-04	1	939E+07	5	371E+07	5	212E+01	1	1	244E+06	2	-3	971E+06	1	1	498E-04	
2	9	204E-05	2	386E-04	6	493E-04	1	855E+07	4	773E+07	5	143E+01	1	1	1	253E+06	2	-4	037E+06	1	1	402E-04
3	9	250E-05	2	252E-04	6	602E-04	1	850E+07	4	504E+07	5	068E+01	1	1	1	255E+06	2	-4	249E+06	1	1	396E-04
4	8	463E-05	1	942E-04	6	236E-04	1	693E+07	3	884E+07	4	998E+01	1	1	1	267E+06	2	-4	233E+06	1	1	284E-04
1	8	127E-05	2	153E-04	5	193E-04	1	625E+07	4	307E+07	5	110E+01	1	1	1	264E+06	2	-4	035E+06	1	1	310E-04
2	6	299E-05	1	808E-04	5	633E-04	1	260E+07	3	617E+07	5	090E+01	1	1	1	283E+06	2	-3	973E+06	1	1	154E-04
3	7	54E-05	1	702E-04	5	853E-04	1	491E+07	3	404E+07	4	964E+01	1	1	1	280E+06	2	-4	164E+06	1	1	179E-04
4	5	437E-05	1	349E-04	5	180E-04	1	087E+07	2	698E+07	4	941E+01	1	1	1	302E+06	2	-4	011E+06	1	1	004E-04
1	4	611E-05	1	532E-04	5	132E-04	9	222E+06	3	065E+07	5	089E+01	1	1	1	300E+06	2	-3	896E+06	1	1	019E-04
2	2	183E-05	1	089E-04	4	285E-04	4	366E+06	2	179E+07	5	074E+01	1	1	1	332E+06	2	-3	684E+06	1	1	7999E-05
3	3	466E-05	1	084E-04	4	609E-04	6	932E+06	2	169E+07	4	954E+01	1	1	1	323E+06	2	-3	882E+06	1	1	8584E-05
4	4	544E-06	6	645E-05	3	693E-04	9	089E+05	1	329E+07	4	975E+01	1	1	1	362E+06	2	-3	649E+06	1	1	6271E-05
1	7	855E-06	7	469E-05	3	531E-04	-1	571E+06	1	494E+07	5	157E+01	1	1	1	367E+06	2	-3	561E+06	1	1	6052E-05
2	4	365E-05	5	184E-06	2	186E-04	-8	730E+06	1	037E+06	5	129E+01	1	1	1	452E+06	2	-3	173E+06	1	1	2614E-05
3	2	325E-05	2	384E-05	2	786E-04	-4	651E+06	4	767E+06	4	979E+01	1	1	1	412E+06	2	-3	401E+06	1	1	3986E-05
4	4	807E-05	-2	006E-05	1	820E-04	-9	614E+06	-4	012E+06	4	937E+01	1	1	1	450E+06	1	-3	054E+06	1	1	0000E+00
1	4	013E-05	2	488E-06	3	755E-05	-1	017E+06	-9	032E+04	4	082E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY									
2	1	727E-05	1	467E-06	1	515E-05	-4	360E+05	-2	874E+04	1	646E+05										
3	3	853E-05	2	150E-06	3	409E-05	-9	771E+05	-9	282E+04	3	705E+05										
4	1	019E-05	4	364E-07	1	223E-05	-2	590E+05	-2	795E+04	1	329E+05										
1	1	482E-05	3	565E-04	5	927E-04	2	963E+06	7	129E+07	5	997E+01	1	1	1	245E+06	2	-3	758E+06	1	1	515E-04
2	4	73E-05	3	212E-04	6	438E-04	9	546E+06	6	424E+07	5	650E+01	1	1	1	244E+06	2	-3	961E+06	1	1	518E-04
3	1	450E-05	3	169E-04	6	299E-04	2	913E+06	6	339E+07	5	782E+01	1	1	1	249E+06	2	-4	379E+06	1	1	469E-04
4	4	679E-05	2	781E-04	6	672E-04	9	359E+06	5	563E+07	5	456E+01	1	1	1	249E+06	2	-4	538E+06	1	1	459E-04
1	6	506E-05	2	967E-04	6	664E-04	1	301E+07	5	933E+07	5	458E+01	1	1	1	244E+06	2	-4	112E+06	1	1	510E-04
2	8	091E-05	2	653E-04	6	809E-04	1	618E+07	5	307E+07	5	257E+01	1	1	1	246E+06	2	-4	289E+06	1	1	48E-04
3	6	315E-05	2	510E-04	6	787E-04	1	263E+07	5	020E+07	5	273E+01	1	1	1	251E+06	2	-4	638E+06	1	1	437E-04
4	7	703E-05	2	167E-04	6	776E-04	1	541E+07	4	333E+07	5	082E+01	1	1	1	256E+06	2	-4	729E+06	1	1	388E-04
1	8	731E-05	2	432E-04	6	803E-04	1	746E+07	4	864E+07	5	145E+01	1	1	1	249E+06	2	-4	382E+06	1	1	449E-04
2	9	039E-05	2	132E-04	6	653E-04	1	808E+07	4	265E+07	5	023E+01	1	1	1	257E+06	2	-4	444E+06	1	1	380E-04
3	8	29E-05	1	929E-04	6	677E-04	1	646E+07	3	857E+07	4	970E+01	1	1	1	261E+06	2	-4	768E+06	1	1	340E-04
4	8	422E-05	1	616E-04	6	389E-04	1	684E+07	3	232E+07	4	845E+01	1	1	1	271E+06	2	-4	724E+06	1	1	252E-04
1	8	852E-05	1	906E-04	6	426E-04	1	770E+07	3	813E+07	4	951E+01	1	1	1	264E+06	2	-4	429E+06	1	1	309E-04
2	7	918E-05	1	591E-04	5	969E-04	1	584E+07	3	183E+07	4	861E+01	1	1	1	279E+06	2	-4	342E+06	1	1	183E-04
3	8	04E-05	1	37E-04	6	051E-04	1	609E+07	2	754E+07	4	770E+01	1	1	1	282E+06	2	-4	633E+06	1	1	163E-04
4	6	710E-05	1	058E-04	5	439E-04	1	342E+07	2	117E+07	4	703E+01	1	1	1	301E+06	2	-4	438E+06	1	1	011E-04
1	6	738E-05	1	345E-04	5	525E-04	1	348E+07	2	691E+07	4	846E+01	1	1	1	294E+06	2	-4	236E+06	1	1	067E-04

2	4.597E-05	9.906E-05	4.783E-04	9.194E+06	1.981E+07	4.816E+01	1.320E+06	2	-4.026E+06	1	8.814E-05
3	5.254E-05	8.345E-05	4.917E-04	1.051E+07	1.669E+07	4.679E+01	1.319E+06	2	-4.260E+06	1	8.853E-05
4	2.765E-05	4.821E-05	4.086E-04	5.530E+06	9.643E+06	4.644E+01	1.352E+06	2	-3.992E+06	1	6.830E-05
78	1.2.509E-05	7.229E-05	4.141E-04	5.019E+06	1.446E+07	4.825E+01	1.345E+06	2	-3.833E+06	1	7.236E-05
2	1.096E-05	3.196E-05	3.157E-04	-2.192E+06	6.393E+06	4.887E+01	1.393E+06	2	-3.581E+06	1	4.779E-05
3	5.075E-06	2.032E-05	3.358E-04	1.015E+06	4.063E+06	4.629E+01	1.386E+06	2	-3.734E+06	1	5.093E-05
4	3.214E-05	-1.781E-05	2.282E-04	-6.429E+06	-3.563E+06	4.679E+01	1.455E+06	2	-3.362E+06	1	2.517E-05
79	1-3.653E-05	-6.978E-06	2.300E-04	-7.306E+06	-1.396E+06	4.866E+01	1.451E+06	2	-3.324E+06	1	2.652E-05
2	4.753E-05	3.195E-05	1.642E-04	-9.507E+06	-6.383E+06	4.771E+01	1.069E+06	1	-2.962E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	5.269E-05	3.401E-05	1.718E-04	-1.054E+07	-6.801E+06	4.810E+01	1.077E+06	1	-3.139E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	4.880E-05	3.286E-05	1.505E-04	-9.761E+06	-6.573E+06	4.802E+01	8.712E+05	1	-2.828E+06	1	0.000E+00
80	1-3.591E-05	2.018E-06	3.246E-05	-9.106E+05	-8.615E+04	3.529E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	6.042E-06	2.605E-07	1.007E-05	-1.535E+05	-1.652E+04	1.094E+05	1.252E+06	2	-4.859E+06	1	1.437E-04
3	1.157E-05	7.999E-07	2.785E-05	-8.043E+05	-1.006E+05	3.028E+05	1.257E+06	2	-4.966E+06	1	1.412E-04
4	1.266E-06	-3.846E-07	7.806E-06	-3.387E+04	-1.469E+04	8.483E+04	1.263E+06	2	-5.568E+06	1	1.382E-04
81	1.467E-05	2.866E-04	6.570E-04	2.934E+06	5.732E+07	5.624E+01	1.252E+06	2	-4.859E+06	1	1.368E-04
2	4.645E-05	2.439E-04	6.816E-04	9.279E+06	4.879E+07	5.307E+01	1.257E+06	2	-4.966E+06	1	1.412E-04
3	1.386E-05	2.382E-04	6.900E-04	2.793E+06	4.764E+07	5.400E+01	1.257E+06	2	-5.568E+06	1	1.382E-04
4	4.345E-05	1.885E-04	6.923E-04	8.690E+06	3.770E+07	5.091E+01	1.263E+06	2	-5.589E+06	1	1.323E-04
82	1.6.032E-05	2.132E-04	6.808E-04	1.206E+07	4.263E+07	5.132E+01	1.258E+06	2	-5.022E+06	1	1.368E-04
2	7.221E-05	1.766E-04	6.683E-04	1.444E+07	3.532E+07	4.943E+01	1.258E+06	2	-5.059E+06	1	1.302E-04
3	5.518E-05	1.506E-04	6.700E-04	1.104E+07	3.011E+07	4.905E+01	1.272E+06	2	-5.541E+06	1	1.242E-04
4	6.341E-05	1.109E-04	6.400E-04	1.268E+07	2.219E+07	4.712E+01	1.283E+06	2	-5.501E+06	1	1.149E-04
83	1.7.800E-05	1.518E-04	6.504E-04	1.560E+07	3.036E+07	4.823E+01	1.272E+06	2	-5.028E+06	1	1.245E-04
2	7.681E-05	1.191E-04	6.084E-04	1.536E+07	2.383E+07	4.699E+01	1.285E+06	2	-4.906E+06	1	1.135E-04
3	6.575E-05	8.488E-05	6.052E-04	1.315E+07	1.698E+07	4.590E+01	1.294E+06	2	-5.362E+06	1	1.065E-04
4	5.877E-05	4.985E-05	5.377E-04	1.175E+07	9.971E+06	4.452E+01	1.316E+06	2	-5.077E+06	1	9.121E-05
84	1.7.118E-05	9.511E-05	5.673E-04	1.424E+07	1.902E+07	4.620E+01	1.298E+06	2	-4.767E+06	1	1.034E-04
2	5.682E-05	6.298E-05	4.959E-04	1.136E+07	1.260E+07	4.535E+01	1.322E+06	2	-4.480E+06	1	8.680E-05
3	4.889E-05	3.467E-05	4.888E-04	9.778E+06	6.934E+06	4.416E+01	1.331E+06	2	-4.811E+06	1	8.082E-05
4	2.868E-05	2.247E-06	4.034E-04	5.737E+06	4.493E+05	4.312E+01	1.365E+06	2	-4.449E+06	1	6.153E-05
85	1.3.767E-05	4.051E-05	4.321E-04	7.535E+06	8.102E+06	4.518E+01	1.346E+06	2	-4.228E+06	1	7.195E-05
2	5.777E-06	9.295E-06	3.388E-04	1.155E+06	1.859E+06	4.529E+01	1.389E+06	2	-3.883E+06	1	4.988E-05
3	6.575E-06	-2.393E-05	3.300E-04	1.315E+06	-4.786E+06	4.236E+01	1.402E+06	2	-4.170E+06	1	4.447E-05
4	-2.759E-05	-4.442E-05	2.341E-04	-5.517E+06	-8.885E+06	4.294E+01	1.463E+06	2	-3.687E+06	1	2.301E-05
86	1-2.167E-05	-2.002E-05	2.600E-04	-4.334E+06	-4.004E+06	4.518E+01	1.438E+06	2	-3.630E+06	1	3.079E-05
2	4.506E-05	-4.661E-05	1.754E-04	-9.101E+06	-9.321E+06	4.474E+01	1.047E+06	1	-3.227E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	4.552E-05	-5.426E-05	1.795E-04	-9.104E+06	-1.085E+07	4.360E+01	9.988E+05	1	-3.371E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	4.065E-05	-5.151E-05	1.539E-04	-8.130E+06	-1.030E+07	4.298E+01	7.768E+05	1	-2.986E+06	1	0.000E+00
87	1-4.470E-05	-3.976E-05	1.602E-04	-8.941E+06	-7.951E+06	4.588E+01	9.472E+05	1	-2.965E+06	1	0.000E+00
2	4.056E-05	-2.943E-05	1.500E-04	-8.112E+06	-5.887E+06	4.712E+01	1.006E+06	1	-2.680E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	3.198E-05	-4.295E-05	1.488E-04	-6.397E+06	-8.589E+06	4.289E+01	9.286E+05	1	-2.723E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	3.339E-05	-3.365E-05	1.322E-04	-6.678E+06	-6.730E+06	4.494E+01	8.142E+05	1	-2.428E+06	1	0.000E+00
88	1-2.898E-05	-1.228E-07	2.359E-05	-7.416E+05	-1.143E+05	2.564E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
2	1.723E-06	-1.051E-06	5.994E-06	4.004E+04	-2.026E+04	5.516E+04	9.472E+05	1	-2.965E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	2.449E-05	-7.955E-07	1.909E-05	-6.294E+05	-1.143E+05	2.075E+05	1.006E+06	1	-2.680E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	4.444E-06	-1.594E-06	2.829E-06	1.075E+05	-2.373E+04	3.076E+04	9.286E+05	1	-2.723E+06	1	0.000E+00
89	1.1.939E-05	2.013E-04	7.224E-04	3.878E+06	4.027E+07	5.206E+01	1.259E+06	2	-6.124E+06	1	1.362E-04

2	4.237E-05	1.369E-04	6.913E-04	8.474E+06	2.737E+07	4.889E+01	1.273E+06	-6.061E+06	1	1.234E-04
3	1.265E-05	1.262E-04	7.422E-04	2.529E+06	2.525E+07	4.935E+01	1.271E+06	-7.064E+06	1	1.252E-04
4	1.721E-05	2.840E-05	6.235E-04	3.442E+06	5.681E+06	4.551E+01	1.311E+06	-6.703E+06	1	9.432E-05
1	4.407E-05	9.298E-05	6.478E-04	8.814E+06	1.860E+07	4.715E+01	1.289E+06	-5.997E+06	1	1.108E-04
2	5.044E-05	5.281E-05	5.980E-04	1.009E+07	1.056E+07	4.511E+01	1.305E+06	-5.802E+06	1	9.888E-05
3	1.251E-05	-9.136E-07	5.783E-04	2.501E+06	-1.827E+05	4.433E+01	1.328E+06	-6.583E+06	1	8.327E-05
4	1.979E-05	-3.950E-05	5.079E-04	3.958E+06	-7.901E+06	4.167E+01	1.351E+06	-6.198E+06	1	6.971E-05
1	4.904E-05	2.884E-05	5.504E-04	9.809E+06	5.769E+06	4.394E+01	1.319E+06	-5.562E+06	1	9.878E-05
2	4.312E-05	-1.201E-06	4.794E-04	8.625E+06	-2.403E+05	4.235E+01	1.343E+06	-5.193E+06	1	7.411E-05
3	9.289E-06	6.825E-05	4.237E-04	1.858E+06	1.365E+07	3.981E+01	1.383E+06	-5.746E+06	1	5.295E-05
4	-2.242E-05	-8.229E-05	3.211E-04	-4.484E+06	-1.646E+07	3.972E+01	1.436E+06	-5.102E+06	1	3.162E-05
1	2.420E-05	-2.728E-05	4.028E-04	4.840E+06	-5.455E+06	4.135E+01	1.374E+06	-4.853E+06	1	5.718E-05
2	-1.352E-05	5.026E-05	2.952E-04	-2.705E+06	-1.005E+07	4.143E+01	1.431E+06	-4.312E+06	1	3.315E-05
3	-3.733E-05	-9.530E-05	2.495E-04	-7.465E+06	-1.906E+07	3.845E+01	1.544E+06	-4.623E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-3.829E-05	-9.223E-05	2.094E-04	-7.658E+06	-1.845E+07	3.777E+01	1.072E+06	-4.147E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-3.045E-05	5.959E-05	2.387E-04	-6.089E+06	-1.192E+07	4.152E+01	1.470E+06	-3.960E+06	1	2.133E-05
2	-3.747E-05	-6.908E-05	1.848E-04	-7.494E+06	-1.382E+07	4.014E+01	1.012E+06	-3.540E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	-3.651E-05	-8.226E-05	1.875E-04	-7.302E+06	-1.645E+07	3.814E+01	9.277E+05	-3.745E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-2.921E-05	-7.243E-05	1.612E-04	-5.841E+06	-1.449E+07	3.749E+01	8.161E+05	-3.244E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-3.459E-05	-6.023E-05	1.689E-04	-6.918E+06	-1.205E+07	4.068E+01	9.506E+05	-3.211E+06	1	0.000E+00
2	-3.120E-05	4.729E-05	1.497E-04	-6.241E+06	-9.458E+06	4.193E+01	9.005E+05	-2.781E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	-2.180E-05	-6.303E-05	1.500E-04	-4.359E+06	-1.261E+07	3.731E+01	8.849E+05	-2.915E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-2.036E-05	-5.160E-05	1.290E-04	-4.072E+06	-1.032E+07	3.819E+01	7.591E+05	-2.493E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-2.943E-05	4.506E-05	1.300E-04	-5.886E+06	-9.013E+06	4.157E+01	7.051E+05	-2.502E+06	1	0.000E+00
2	-2.837E-05	-3.599E-05	1.157E-04	-5.674E+06	-7.199E+06	4.311E+01	6.445E+05	-2.203E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	-2.005E-05	4.405E-05	1.132E-04	-4.010E+06	-8.810E+06	3.901E+01	6.458E+05	-2.198E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-2.104E-05	-3.457E-05	9.620E-05	-4.208E+06	-6.913E+06	4.099E+01	5.192E+05	-1.873E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-2.084E-05	-1.280E-06	1.561E-05	-5.378E+05	-1.127E+05	1.697E+05	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY			
2	5.575E-06	-1.722E-06	5.301E-07	1.360E+05	-2.265E+04	5.761E+03				
3	-1.590E-05	-1.968E-06	9.865E-06	-4.142E+05	-1.113E+05	1.072E+05				
4	6.024E-06	-1.566E-06	-2.156E-06	1.481E+05	-1.694E+04	-2.344E+04				
1	-1.519E-05	3.229E-05	7.105E-04	-3.038E+06	6.458E+06	4.691E+01	1.299E+06	-7.933E+06	1	1.027E-04
2	-2.442E-05	-6.543E-05	5.540E-04	-4.883E+06	-3.719E+07	3.288E+01	1.357E+06	-7.416E+06	1	6.587E-05
3	-3.143E-05	-1.859E-04	6.199E-04	-6.285E+06	-3.719E+07	3.800E+01	1.369E+06	-9.557E+06	1	6.035E-05
4	-8.501E-05	-2.500E-04	4.132E-04	-1.700E+07	-5.000E+07	3.411E+01	1.374E+06	-8.799E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-2.403E-05	-1.041E-04	4.757E-04	-4.807E+06	-2.083E+07	4.022E+01	1.389E+06	-7.050E+06	1	5.040E-05
2	-4.926E-05	-2.267E-04	3.551E-04	-9.853E+06	-2.535E+07	3.884E+01	1.451E+06	-6.289E+06	1	2.678E-05
3	-8.048E-05	-2.343E-04	3.385E-04	-1.610E+07	-4.685E+07	3.278E+01	7.131E+05	-7.845E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-5.003E-05	-2.148E-04	2.854E-04	-1.001E+07	-4.295E+07	3.000E+01	8.091E+05	-6.877E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-5.524E-05	-1.319E-04	2.871E-04	-1.105E+07	-2.639E+07	3.752E+01	1.375E+06	-5.688E+06	1	0.000E+00
2	-4.463E-05	-1.289E-04	2.451E-04	-8.927E+06	-2.578E+07	3.551E+01	1.071E+06	-5.116E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	-3.588E-05	-1.926E-04	2.525E-04	-7.177E+06	-3.852E+07	2.909E+01	8.591E+05	-6.140E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-2.600E-05	-1.590E-04	2.020E-04	-5.200E+06	-3.180E+07	2.832E+01	7.103E+05	-5.050E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-3.746E-05	-1.153E-04	2.203E-04	-7.491E+06	-2.306E+07	3.526E+01	1.011E+06	-4.597E+06	1	0.000E+00
2	-2.921E-05	-9.878E-05	1.898E-04	-5.841E+06	-1.976E+07	3.493E+01	9.271E+05	-3.956E+06	1	0.000E+00
3	-2.044E-05	-1.374E-04	1.757E-04	-4.087E+06	-2.749E+07	2.817E+01	6.655E+05	-4.400E+06	1	0.000E+00
4	-1.587E-05	-1.102E-04	1.472E-04	-3.174E+06	-2.203E+07	2.867E+01	6.095E+05	-3.619E+06	1	0.000E+00
1	-2.454E-05	-8.938E-05	1.680E-04	-4.908E+06	-1.788E+07	3.444E+01	8.266E+05	-3.539E+06	1	0.000E+00

102	2-1.989E-05	1.461E-04	-3.978E+06	-1.425E+07	3.531E+01	7.962E+05	1	-2.980E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-1.306E-05	1.299E-04	-2.613E+06	-1.862E+07	2.917E+01	5.798E+05	1	-3.129E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-9.813E-06	1.098E-04	-1.963E+06	-1.432E+07	3.032E+01	5.574E+05	1	-2.525E+06	1	0.000E+00
	1-1.698E-05	1.282E-04	-3.396E+06	-1.261E+07	3.511E+01	7.020E+05	1	-2.630E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-1.322E-05	1.106E-04	-2.644E+06	-1.018E+07	3.559E+01	6.588E+05	1	-2.211E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-7.959E-06	9.754E-05	-1.582E+06	-1.167E+07	3.134E+01	5.435E+05	1	-2.153E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-5.677E-06	8.290E-05	-1.135E+06	-8.559E+06	3.294E+01	5.295E+05	1	-1.711E+06	1	0.000E+00
103	1-1.267E-05	9.859E-05	-2.534E+06	-8.403E+06	3.671E+01	6.022E+05	1	-1.931E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-1.579E-05	8.100E-05	-3.158E+06	-6.451E+06	3.925E+01	4.326E+05	1	-1.607E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-5.583E-06	7.331E-05	-1.117E+06	-6.518E+06	3.488E+01	4.994E+05	1	-1.433E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-8.482E-06	6.133E-05	-1.696E+06	-4.500E+06	3.856E+01	3.991E+05	1	-1.160E+06	1	0.000E+00
104	1-1.209E-05	5.822E-06	-3.174E+05	-1.006E+05	6.329E+04	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
	2-5.477E-06	4.012E-06	1.348E+05	-1.419E+04	-4.361E+04					
	3-7.127E-06	1.197E-06	-1.880E+05	-6.540E+04	1.301E+04					
	4-3.815E-06	5.699E-06	9.502E+04	-2.352E+03	-6.194E+04					
105	1-4.928E-05	4.134E-04	-9.857E+06	-8.269E+07	2.682E+01	1.469E+06	2	-1.165E+07	1	2.297E-05
	2-8.020E-05	3.686E-04	-1.604E+07	-7.430E+07	2.584E+01	2.264E+05	1	-1.019E+07	1	0.000E+00
	3-3.733E-05	3.373E-04	-7.465E+06	-1.750E+08	1.098E+01	-1.162E+05	-1	-1.754E+07	1	0.000E+00
	4-3.557E-05	1.805E-04	-7.114E+06	-1.154E+08	9.212E+00	-5.207E+05	-1	-1.261E+07	1	0.000E+00
106	1-4.392E-05	3.333E-04	-8.784E+06	-6.666E+07	2.518E+01	9.548E+05	1	-9.307E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-2.384E-05	2.289E-04	-4.768E+06	-3.570E+07	2.096E+01	3.785E+05	1	-4.906E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-3.953E-06	4.441E-04	-8.798E-05	-8.478E+07	4.123E+01	5.159E+05	1	-7.326E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-2.357E-07	3.179E-04	7.406E-05	4.714E+04	6.552E+00	1.122E+05	1	-7.405E+06	1	0.000E+00
107	1-1.737E-05	2.289E-04	-3.474E+06	-4.579E+07	1.989E+01	3.631E+05	1	-6.096E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-1.329E-05	1.785E-04	-2.658E+06	-3.570E+07	2.096E+01	3.785E+05	1	-4.906E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-3.265E-06	2.579E-04	-6.531E+05	-3.157E+07	7.168E+00	2.066E+04	1	-6.120E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-2.630E-06	1.921E-04	4.539E-05	-5.260E+05	6.735E+00	1.269E+03	1	-4.633E+06	1	0.000E+00
108	1-9.983E-06	1.503E-04	-1.997E+06	-3.006E+07	2.121E+01	3.726E+05	1	-4.168E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-6.800E-06	1.158E-04	-1.360E+06	-2.316E+07	2.175E+01	3.457E+05	1	-3.294E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-1.345E-06	1.529E-04	-2.691E+05	-3.059E+07	6.724E+00	1.980E+04	1	-3.726E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-2.416E-07	1.094E-04	-4.832E+04	-2.188E+07	7.605E+00	4.350E+04	1	-2.707E+06	1	0.000E+00
109	1-5.846E-06	9.245E-05	-1.169E+06	-1.849E+07	2.304E+01	3.319E+05	1	-2.711E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-4.382E-06	6.757E-05	-8.764E+05	-1.351E+07	2.501E+01	3.299E+05	1	-2.083E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-5.564E-07	8.380E-05	-1.113E+05	-1.676E+07	8.828E+00	3.755E+04	1	-2.101E+06	1	0.000E+00
	4-4.800E-07	5.310E-05	-9.601E+04	-1.062E+07	1.147E+01	4.454E+04	1	-1.365E+06	1	0.000E+00
110	1-3.475E-06	5.038E-05	-6.949E+05	-1.008E+07	2.781E+01	3.654E+05	1	-1.683E+06	1	0.000E+00
	2-2.213E-06	3.175E-05	4.425E+05	-6.349E+06	3.208E+01	4.229E+05	1	-1.256E+06	1	0.000E+00
	3-4.530E-07	3.433E-05	-9.099E+04	-6.865E+06	1.492E+01	5.336E+04	1	-9.144E+05	1	0.000E+00
	4-2.163E-07	1.070E-05	-4.326E+04	-2.140E+06	2.907E+01	1.119E+05	1	-3.832E+05	1	0.000E+00
111	1-4.452E-07	2.180E-05	-8.903E+04	-4.359E+06	3.424E+01	4.499E+05	1	-9.958E+05	1	0.000E+00
	2-4.819E-06	9.033E-06	4.363E+05	-1.807E+06	4.224E+01	3.747E+05	1	-7.158E+05	1	0.000E+00
	3-1.645E-06	5.650E-06	1.899E-05	1.132E+06	5.096E+01	3.339E+05	1	-1.511E+05	1	0.000E+00
	4-1.450E-06	2.539E-05	-2.901E+05	5.078E+06	7.300E+01	7.039E+05	1	-1.053E+05	1	0.000E+00
112	1-3.735E-06	9.973E-08	-5.201E-07	-1.178E+04	-5.654E+03	ELASTIC STRESSES XX YY XY				
	2-2.071E-06	3.448E-07	-6.606E-06	-8.731E+02	-7.180E+04					
	3-1.500E-06	6.636E-06	-1.160E-06	-1.291E+04	1.640E+04					
	4-6.967E-08	2.643E-06	-2.928E-06	6.734E+04	-3.183E+04					

\*\*\*\* DISPLACEMENT SOLUTION \*\*\*\* (-9.999E03 = UNCONNECTED NODE)

NODE	X DISPL	Y DISPL	NODE	X DISPL	Y DISPL	NODE	X DISPL	Y DISPL	NODE	X DISPL	Y DISPL	NODE	X DISPL	Y DISPL	NODE	X DISPL	Y DISPL
1	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	125	-9.999E+03	-9.999E+03	249	5.617E-04	2.752E-04	373	-9.999E+03	-9.999E+03	436	-9.999E+03	-9.999E+03			
2	1.433E-04	1.021E-04	126	-2.999E+03	-9.999E+03	250	6.280E-04	2.920E-04	374	9.000E+00	9.000E+00	437	9.000E+00	9.000E+00			
3	7.825E-05	2.922E-04	127	-3.741E-04	3.889E-04	251	7.540E-04	3.063E-04	375	5.184E-05	1.748E-04	438	5.184E-05	1.748E-04			
4	1.1686E-04	3.787E-04	128	-9.999E+03	3.889E-04	252	8.111E-04	3.248E-04	376	1.071E-04	1.071E-04	439	1.071E-04	1.071E-04			
5	2.227E-04	4.603E-04	129	-9.999E+03	4.580E+03	253	8.888E-04	3.255E-04	377	1.071E-04	1.071E-04	440	1.071E-04	1.071E-04			
6	2.836E-04	5.368E-04	130	-9.999E+03	4.580E+03	254	9.643E-04	3.263E-04	378	2.238E-04	2.238E-04	441	2.238E-04	2.238E-04			
7	3.467E-04	6.073E-04	131	-9.999E+03	5.196E+03	255	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	379	2.848E-04	2.848E-04	442	2.848E-04	2.848E-04			
8	4.166E-04	6.721E-04	132	-9.999E+03	5.196E+03	256	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	380	3.460E-04	3.460E-04	443	3.460E-04	3.460E-04			
9	4.899E-04	7.325E-04	133	-9.999E+03	5.750E+03	257	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	381	4.071E-04	4.071E-04	444	4.071E-04	4.071E-04			
10	5.690E-04	7.895E-04	134	-9.999E+03	5.750E+03	258	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	382	4.683E-04	4.683E-04	445	4.683E-04	4.683E-04			
11	6.539E-04	8.442E-04	135	-9.999E+03	5.750E+03	259	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	383	5.295E-04	5.295E-04	446	5.295E-04	5.295E-04			
12	7.449E-04	8.966E-04	136	-1.001E-03	5.771E-04	260	1.829E-04	1.223E-04	384	5.907E-04	5.907E-04	447	5.907E-04	5.907E-04			
13	8.433E-04	9.467E-04	137	0.000E+00	5.448E-05	261	3.037E-04	1.724E-04	385	6.520E-04	6.520E-04	448	6.520E-04	6.520E-04			
14	9.494E-04	9.946E-04	138	5.447E-05	1.066E-04	262	3.037E-04	1.724E-04	386	7.132E-04	7.132E-04	449	7.132E-04	7.132E-04			
15	1.068E-03	1.042E-04	139	9.352E-05	1.562E-04	263	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	387	7.744E-04	7.744E-04	450	7.744E-04	7.744E-04			
16	1.206E-03	1.000E+00	140	1.918E-04	2.030E-04	264	4.999E+03	4.999E+03	388	8.356E-04	8.356E-04	451	8.356E-04	8.356E-04			
17	1.359E-03	9.999E+03	141	-1.918E-04	2.471E-04	265	4.999E+03	4.999E+03	389	8.968E-04	8.968E-04	452	8.968E-04	8.968E-04			
18	1.528E-03	0.000E+00	142	-1.918E-04	2.885E-04	266	5.644E-04	3.999E+03	390	9.580E-04	9.580E-04	453	9.580E-04	9.580E-04			
19	1.714E-03	-9.999E+03	143	2.501E-04	3.270E-04	267	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	391	1.020E+00	0.000E+00	454	1.020E+00	0.000E+00			
20	1.918E-03	1.760E-04	144	3.137E-04	3.629E-04	268	6.939E-04	2.773E-04	392	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	455	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
21	2.149E-03	3.999E+03	145	3.819E-04	3.965E-04	269	8.078E-04	2.905E-04	393	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	456	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
22	2.409E-03	3.999E+03	146	4.539E-04	4.281E-04	270	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	394	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	457	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
23	2.699E-03	4.852E-04	147	5.277E-04	4.582E-04	271	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	395	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	458	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
24	3.029E-03	5.999E+03	148	6.043E-04	4.855E-04	272	9.000E+00	2.000E+00	396	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	459	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
25	3.399E-03	7.471E-04	149	6.866E-04	5.130E-04	273	8.01E-05	3.117E-05	397	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	460	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
26	3.819E-03	9.999E+03	150	7.740E-04	5.372E-04	274	3.307E-05	6.724E-05	398	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	461	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
27	4.299E-03	1.40E-04	151	8.666E-04	5.569E-04	275	1.339E-04	8.724E-05	399	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	462	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
28	4.839E-03	1.999E+03	152	9.644E-04	5.732E-04	276	1.894E-04	1.125E-04	400	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	463	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
29	5.439E-03	2.599E+03	153	9.915E-04	5.866E-04	277	2.487E-04	1.360E-04	401	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	464	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
30	6.099E-03	3.299E+03	154	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	278	3.108E-04	1.570E-04	402	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	465	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
31	6.829E-03	4.099E+03	155	-9.999E+03	1.001E-04	279	3.750E-04	1.780E-04	403	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	466	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
32	7.629E-03	4.999E+03	156	-9.999E+03	1.001E-04	280	4.403E-04	1.963E-04	404	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	467	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
33	8.499E-03	5.999E+03	157	-9.999E+03	1.446E-04	281	5.058E-04	2.126E-04	405	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	468	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
34	9.439E-03	7.099E+03	158	-9.999E+03	1.999E+03	282	5.707E-04	2.268E-04	406	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	469	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
35	1.054E-03	8.299E+03	159	-9.999E+03	2.692E+03	283	6.341E-04	2.386E-04	407	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	470	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
36	1.184E-03	9.599E+03	160	-9.999E+03	3.387E+03	284	6.948E-04	2.476E-04	408	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	471	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
37	1.334E-03	1.099E+04	161	-9.999E+03	4.082E+03	285	7.527E-04	2.541E-04	409	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	472	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
38	1.504E-03	1.299E+04	162	-9.999E+03	4.827E+03	286	8.043E-04	2.556E-04	410	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	473	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
39	1.694E-03	1.599E+04	163	-9.999E+03	5.622E+03	287	8.639E-04	2.561E-04	411	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	474	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
40	1.904E-03	1.999E+04	164	-9.999E+03	6.465E+03	288	9.010E-04	2.564E-04	412	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	475	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
41	2.134E-03	2.499E+04	165	-9.999E+03	7.349E+03	289	9.610E-04	2.560E+00	413	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	476	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
42	2.384E-03	3.099E+04	166	-9.999E+03	8.291E+03	290	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	414	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	477	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
43	2.654E-03	3.799E+04	167	-9.999E+03	9.291E+03	291	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	415	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	478	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
44	2.944E-03	4.599E+04	168	-9.999E+03	1.034E+04	292	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	416	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	479	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
45	3.254E-03	5.499E+04	169	-9.999E+03	1.141E+04	293	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	417	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	480	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
46	3.584E-03	6.499E+04	170	-9.999E+03	1.253E+04	294	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	418	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	481	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
47	3.934E-03	7.599E+04	171	-9.999E+03	1.371E+04	295	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	419	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	482	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
48	4.304E-03	8.799E+04	172	-9.999E+03	1.495E+04	296	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	420	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	483	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
49	4.694E-03	1.009E+05	173	-9.999E+03	1.625E+04	297	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	421	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	484	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
50	5.104E-03	1.139E+05	174	-9.999E+03	1.761E+04	298	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	422	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	485	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
51	5.534E-03	1.289E+05	175	-9.999E+03	1.903E+04	299	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	423	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	486	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
52	5.984E-03	1.459E+05	176	-9.999E+03	2.050E+04	300	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	424	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	487	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
53	6.454E-03	1.659E+05	177	-9.999E+03	2.211E+04	301	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	425	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	488	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
54	6.944E-03	1.879E+05	178	-9.999E+03	2.386E+04	302	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	426	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	489	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
55	7.454E-03	2.119E+05	179	-9.999E+03	2.576E+04	303	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	427	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	490	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
56	7.984E-03	2.389E+05	180	-9.999E+03	2.781E+04	304	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	428	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	491	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
57	8.534E-03	2.629E+05	181	-9.999E+03	2.999E+04	305	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	429	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	492	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
58	9.104E-03	2.859E+05	182	-9.999E+03	3.232E+04	306	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	430	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	493	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
59	9.694E-03	3.119E+05	183	-9.999E+03	3.480E+04	307	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	431	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	494	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
60	1.030E+04	3.409E+05	184	-9.999E+03	3.744E+04	308	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	432	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	495	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
61	1.094E+04	3.729E+05	185	-9.999E+03	4.024E+04	309	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	433	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	496	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
62	1.164E+04	4.089E+05	186	-9.999E+03	4.319E+04	310	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	434	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	497	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
63	1.240E+04	4.499E+05	187	-9.999E+03	4.639E+04	311	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	435	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	498	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			
64	1.322E+04	4.959E+05	188	-9.999E+03	5.000E+04	312	9.999E+03	9.999E+03	436	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	499	0.000E+00	0.000E+00			

