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#### Abstract

Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) are the major cause of death worldwide, and they are attributable to genetic and physiological determinants, behavioral risk factors and environmental impacts. The aim of this study is to assess behavioral risk factors for metabolic disease using demographic and social-economic aspects of the population characterized by risk factors, and to investigate relations among lifestyle risk factors (alcohol consumption, tobacco use, physical inactivity, intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables) that are responsible for the majority of NCD deaths in the Republic of Srpska's (RS's) population. This is a cross-sectional study based on the analysis of a survey conducted among 2311 adult ( $\geq 18$ years) persons ( $54.0 \%$ women, and $46.0 \%$ men). The statistical analysis was carried out by using Cramer's V values, clustering, logistic regression (binomial, multinomial and ordinal), a chi-square test and odds ratios. In the case of logistic regression, we provide the prediction accuracy in percentages. A significant statistical correlation between demographic characteristics (gender and age) and risk factors was observed. The highest difference according to gender was observed in alcohol consumption (odds ratio (OR) = 2.705, confidence interval $(95 \% C I)=2.206-3.317$ ), particularly in frequent consumption $(O R=3.164$, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=2.664-3.758$ ). The highest prevalence of high blood pressure was registered in the elderly ( $66.5 \%$ ); the same holds for hypertension (44.3\%). Additionally, physical inactivity was one of the most common risk factors ( $33.4 \%$ physically inactive respondents). A significant presence of risk factors was confirmed among the RS population, with higher involvement of metabolic risk factors among the older population, while the prevalence of behavioral factors was related to younger age groups, particularly in the case of alcohol consumption and smoking. A low level of preventive awareness was observed among the younger population. Therefore, prevention is one of the most important instruments related to decreasing NCD risk factors in the RS population.
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## 1. Introduction

Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) are the most common causes of long-term illness, disability and premature mortality worldwide [1,2] and disproportionately affect the population in low-income and low-middle-income countries [3]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), NCDs were responsible for $74 \%$ of deaths, worldwide [4]. About $80 \%$ of the deaths occurred in low- and middle-income countries, and $25 \%$ occurred in people younger than 60 [5]. Among NCDs, leading causes of death are cardiovascular diseases ( 17.9 million deaths annually), cancer ( 9.0 million), respiratory diseases ( 3.9 million) and diabetes ( 1.6 million) [6,7]. These four causes of death together account for more than $80 \%$ of all premature NCD mortalities [8]. A considerable number of cases of NCD burden are attributable to behavioral, environmental, dietary and metabolic risk factors [9,10]. Factors
identified as major determinants of NCD prevalence are raised blood pressure (hypertension) [11-17], raised total cholesterol (hyperlipidemia) [18-21], tobacco use [22-24], alcohol consumption [22,25-28], physical inactivity [29-32], unhealthy diet [33-35], overweight and obesity [36-38] and raised blood sugar (diabetes) [39-43]. Similar to these findings, Divajeva et al. (2014) indicated that most NCDs are caused by preventable risk factors [44].

Regardless of the fact that conditions related to NCDs are usually associated with older populations, all ages are at risk [7]. Evidence shows that more than 15 million of all deaths attributed to NCDs occur in ages from 30 to 69 years [45]. The results of the research conducted by Licher et al. [1], in which participants from the Rotterdam study were followed, suggest that, in Western European countries, nine out of ten persons aged 45 years or older develop an NCD during their remaining lifetime, while at least a third are, in addition, diagnosed with multiple NCDs.

Data from developed countries have shown that, in relation to NCDs, disadvantaged and marginalized individuals and communities are more vulnerable, compared to people with a higher socioeconomic status [46]. Recent studies [47,48] that examined socioeconomic inequalities in developed countries have found that a healthy life expectancy is far less favorable in lower socioeconomic groups. Additionally, it has been found that persons with higher levels of education not only live longer but also spend more years in better health than those with lower education [49]. Social gradients in risk factors account for more than half of the inequalities in major NCDs, particularly for cardiovascular diseases and lung cancer. People in low-income countries and those with a low socioeconomic status also experience more difficulty in accessing health services for timely diagnosis and treatment of NCDs than those in high-income countries or those with a higher socioeconomic status [10]. In this context, reducing socioeconomic inequalities could promote a healthy life expectancy and increase the life span in the overall population. NCDs generally precede the fatal outcome, which implies that a significant proportion of the population spend many years in poor health. Effective prevention measures can prolong individuals' lives and significantly improve their quality of life [43]. Avoiding risk factors is called primordial prevention, which is crucial in reducing morbidity from NCDs [50].

Reports about NCDs in 2014 suggest that, among the major risk factors, smoking prevalence among males is the highest in Eastern and Central Europe [51]. Additionally, the highest NCD burden related to alcohol consumption was registered in this part of Europe [9]. The population in Eastern and Central Europe is characterized by the highest blood pressure levels, compared to high-income populations [52]. All analyzed lifestyle factors, together with demographic trends in population aging, bring substantial challenges for governments, policy makers and public health systems. Moreover, NCDs represent a great burden for the health system of the Republic of Srpska (RS), making up over $80 \%$ of the total mortality in 2019 [53]. According to the cause of death, most deaths are due to diseases of the circulatory system (I00-I99) (49.6\%) and neoplasms (C00-D48) (19.2\%).

The aim of the study is to assess behavioral risk factors for metabolic diseases, using demographic and social-economic (independent) variables as drivers of NCDs (dependent variables). Based on the obtained results, this study identifies the role and importance of risk factors that are recognized as the most common causes of morbidity and mortality in the Republic of Srpska's (RS's) population.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Design and Data

The RS is one of the two entities of Bosnia and Herzegovina, covering an area of $24,641 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, with a population of $1,142,495$ (estimated for 2019) [53]. Analysis of the demographic and social-economic aspects of the population characterized by risk factors of NCDs was conducted as a cross-sectional study using an anonymous survey based on a questionnaire. The survey was conducted in 36 larger cities and municipalities in the RS in August, September, and October 2019 (B and H). The selection of cities and municipalities
was based on their demographic size. Surveys were carried out by the authors of this study, by going to the cities and municipalities.

The total number of people who participated in the survey was 2311 . The inclusion criterion was adults 18 years and older of both sexes. Exclusion criteria applied to persons under 18 years of age, as the study was conducted on the adult population. Incomplete answers were not included in the analysis of the results, but the share of these questionnaires was minimal (below $0.1 \%$ of the sample). The survey was conducted in various institutions, economic entities, state and private companies, and service activities, within the framework of several scientific seminars, while the largest number of respondents were surveyed on public lands, using a random sampling method. Most participants were from the largest city, Banja Luka (45.4\%).

The sample respondents make up more than $0.2 \%$ of the population of the RS. Given that different categories of the population cover different geographical areas, the obtained results can be considered representative.

The questionnaire was compiled based on the methodology of wave 3 of the European Health Interview Survey (EHIS wave 3) [54] and the recommendations of the WHO [55]. The questionnaire contained 16 closed-ended questions related to demographic, geographical and socioeconomic characteristics, and the risk factors affecting the incidence of NCDs. Demographic and socioeconomic variables consisted of questions related to: gender (male, female), age (in years), type of settlement (urban, suburb, rural), level of education (primary, secondary, tertiary), working status (employed, unemployed, student, retired) and income (low, middle, high).

This study covers the main lifestyle (smoking and tobacco use, alcohol consumption, frequent alcohol consumption, physical activity, intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables) and metabolic risk factors (blood pressure levels, cholesterol levels, blood glucose levels, and overweight and obesity).

When asked about cholesterol, blood pressure and blood sugar levels, respondents answered yes, no or I don't know. The limit values were determined on the basis of WHO recommendations [55] relating to persons over 18 years of age. The age-standardized prevalence of high blood pressure is $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$, and that of high total cholesterol is $\geq 5.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$, while the age-standardized prevalence of high blood sugar is $\geq 7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$.

The level of overweight and obesity was determined based on the body mass index (BMI). BMI was calculated based on the height and weight of the participants in the questionnaire. The age-standardized prevalence of overweight and obesity in persons aged $18+$ years is defined as a body mass index of $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for overweight and a body mass index of $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for obesity.

Issues related to behavioral risk factors were related to smoking and tobacco use (daily smoker, non-daily smoker, never/ex-smoker), alcohol consumption (yes, no), physical activity longer than 150 min weekly (yes, no) and the intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables (low, middle, high).

Questions related to frequent alcohol consumption were based on AUDIT-C questions (monthly or less, 2-4 times a month, 2-3 times a week, 4+ times a week) [56].

In the last question (How frequently do you check the blood count and preventively visit the doctor? (per year)), respondents gave the following answers: by no means, once, twice and repeatedly. The aim of this question was to examine the connection between demographic and socioeconomic variables with the degree of preventive awareness among the population of the RS.

### 2.2. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package SPSS Statistics (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp.) and statistically oriented Python programming packages (libraries), such as pandas [57], statsmodels [58], scikit-learn [59] and kmodes [60]. For data visualization, we used the Python packages matplotlib [61] and seaborn [62].

The missing values were dealt with using four techniques: column elimination (for comparison), row elimination, imputation using mode values, and nearest neighbor imputation (KNN imputation). The results obtained using the aforementioned techniques were compared, to gain insight into how the missing data can affect our analysis and the corresponding inferences. The row elimination technique, depending on what is done with the data, affects 40 rows at most, which is about $1.74 \%$ of our data set; the row elimination technique is suitable in general because the data set reduction is insignificant.

Only questions about age contained data from the interval scale, while the statistical analysis of the majority of the questions was performed based on the nominal scale. Given the large representation of the nominal type of data, a chi-square test was used in the analysis, alongside Cramer's V values. In particular, Cramer's V correlation coefficients are given in the form of a heat map (Figure S1), to present the association strengths between sociodemographic and health variables more vividly.

In order to gain a better initial insight into our data set, we used $k$-mods. The $k$-mods algorithm was used because most variables are nominal. The best number of clusters was determined using the elbow method (Figure S2). The set of possible cluster quantities was taken to be $\{1, \ldots, \mathrm{M}\}$, where M represents the number of all variables that are of interest to us in this research. In our case, the M value was 21 . The elbow method was repeated 100 times, and the median elbow value was taken as the optimal number of clusters. For the final initialization, Cao's method [63] was utilized. The most interesting observations, regarding the clustering, are plotted as histograms.

Statistical analysis concerning smoking, alcohol consumption and physical inactivity was performed using binary logistic regression. Multinomial logistic regression was used in the statistical analysis focusing on the blood pressure level, cholesterol level and blood sugar level, while ordinal logistic regression was applied to the following target variables: overweight and obesity, intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables, blood count test and health control. In the analysis, the missing values were tackled in all aforementioned applicable ways. The train and test split ratio took values from the set $\{0.20,0.21,0.22,0.23$, $0.24,0.25,0.26,0.27,0.28,0.29,0.30\}$. For each missing value technique and each split value, the computation was repeated 10 times. This approach resulted in 330 computations for each target variable. The accuracy score was used as a prediction metric. From the set of all computations for a particular target variable, we decided to present the median, regarding the accuracy score. The exception was the ordinal regression, as the prediction values are composed of fractions of correct values. Additionally, logistic regression was used in the statistical analysis between the three age groups ( $<35$ age, $35 \leq$ age $<55$ and $\geq 55$ age).

The odds ratios (ORs) were also obtained for behavioral and metabolic risk factors in relation to the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics. The odds ratio analysis was conducted on the basis of a dichotomous division of independent variables into gender (male, female), age (from 18 to 44 years, over 45 years), type of settlement (urban, rural, and suburban), education level (tertiary, primary, and secondary), working status (employed, unemployed) and income (high and middle compared to low). In the case of the dependent variables (risk factors), grouping was performed on the basis of prevalence (answer to the questionnaire "yes"). Overweight and obesity were divided into >25 BMI and <25 BMI, and intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables was divided into low, middle and high, while in the case of blood control, the grouping was as follows: respondents who do not check their blood count versus those who do.

The analysis was performed based on a statistical significance of $p<0.05$ and a $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ).

## 3. Results

Out of the total number of respondents (2311), the structure by gender indicates a higher representation of women, at $54 \%$ (1249 respondents), compared to men, who accounted for $46 \%$ ( 1062 respondents). The average age of the respondents was 37.8 years. Analysis of the age and risk factors of the respondents was categorized into six age cohorts.

About two thirds of the respondents were from urban settlements. A significantly smaller number of respondents were from suburban settlements and rural settlements. The largest number of respondents completed secondary education, while the smallest number of respondents have higher and primary education. More than half of the respondents were employed, followed by students, unemployed people and retirees (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of respondents according to their demographic and socioeconomic characteristics.

| Variables | Type | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Gender |  | 1062 | 46.0 | 1249 | 54.0 | 2311 | 100 |
| Age | $\leq 24$ year | 228 | 21.5 | 388 | 31.1 | 616 | 26.7 |
|  | 25-34 year | 271 | 25.5 | 253 | 20.3 | 524 | 22.7 |
|  | 35-44 year | 223 | 21.0 | 232 | 18.6 | 455 | 19.7 |
|  | 45-54 year | 168 | 15.8 | 161 | 12.9 | 329 | 14.2 |
|  | 55-64 year | 97 | 9.1 | 123 | 9.8 | 220 | 9.5 |
|  | 65+ | 75 | 7.1 | 92 | 7.4 | 167 | 7.2 |
| Type of settlement |  |  |  |  |  | 366 | 15.8 |
|  | Suburban | 195 | 18.4 | 264 | 21.1 | 459 | 19.9 |
|  | Urban | 702 | 66.2 | 783 | 62.7 | 1485 | 64.3 |
| Education level |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | 118 | 5.1 |
|  | Secondary | 638 | 60.1 | 693 | 55.5 | 1331 | 57.6 |
|  | High | 380 | 35.8 | 481 | 38.5 | 861 | 37.3 |
| Working status |  | 655 | 61.7 | 630 | 50.5 | 1285 | 55.7 |
|  | Unemployed | 156 | 14.7 | 182 | 14.6 | 338 | 14.6 |
|  | Students | 157 | 14.8 | 327 | 26.2 | 484 | 21.0 |
|  | Pensioners | 94 | 8.9 | 108 | 8.7 | 202 | 8.7 |
| Income | Low | 154 | 14.5 | 134 | 10.8 | 288 | 12.5 |
|  | Middle | 525 | 49.5 | 644 | 51.8 | 1169 | 50.7 |
|  | High | 381 | 35.9 | 466 | 37.5 | 847 | 36.8 |

The structure of the respondents according to gender and work status (presented in Table 1) corresponds approximately to the total population of the Republic of Srpska. However, the biggest deviations of the total population and the structure of the respondents were registered in the type of settlement and, partially, in the age structure of the respondents. In the type of settlement, there was a noticeable deficit of the rural population, while the average age of the respondents was slightly lower than the structure of the population in the RS. Precisely because of the deficit of the old population, metabolic risk factors have more favorable tendencies in the RS than in neighboring countries.

In Figure S1, there are three quite distinguishable spatial clusters regarding higher Cramer V correlation coefficients. The fourth one comprises the low correlation values (the dark squares), which is also the largest cluster. In particular, the heat map of Cramer's V coefficients in Figure S1 suggests interesting results and a statistically significant connection concerning the following:

- Gender and alcohol consumption;
- Age and hypertension, cholesterol and diabetes;
- Education level and hypertension;
- Employment and hypertension, cholesterol and diabetes.

This observation suggests that demographic factors such as age, education level and employment are the most relevant features. Furthermore, we can see that their connection with the set of metabolic traits is the most distinguishable, in comparison with the behavioral ones. Additionally, it seems that the type of settlement does not exacerbate any connection between health variables, which could be interesting by itself.

The maximal Cramer V correlation coefficient is 0.47 , regarding the connection between age and hypertension. The second highest coefficient corresponds to the pairs age-cholesterol, and employment-hypertension. Although the coefficients do not indicate a strong correlation, as none is larger than 0.7 (moreover, none is larger than 0.5 ), we point
out that the size of the data set can affect the Cramer V coefficients, and that these values should be considered relative to each other. In other words, the heat map in Figure S1 essentially serves as guidance for the research roadmap.

One can observe that the number of clusters, determined by the elbow method, is neither large, nor small, i.e., it is quite reasonable. It is natural to try to find some direction for research and analysis by inspecting corresponding histograms.

In accordance with these observations, we found dominant characteristics in our clusters (Figure S3) regarding the following metabolic attributes: hypertension (Figure S3a), cholesterol (Figure S3b), and diabetes (Figure S3c). For all other features, we could not observe the dominance, nor the dominant characteristics, across our clusters. We believe that this, again, suggests that the focus of regression analysis should be on the metabolic features, regarding the target variables.

### 3.1. Gender and Risk Factors

Pronounced differences by gender were observed in two behavioral risk factors (Table 2). Regarding alcohol consumption, divergence was found in terms of frequent consumption (Table 3). The prevalence of alcohol consumption for men was significantly higher compared to women. More than $80 \%$ of the male population consumed alcohol, and almost half of the males consumed alcohol at least once a week (Table 2). Statistically significant differences by gender were also found for physical inactivity, with lower odds of physical inactivity associated with males (Table 4). For smoking and tobacco use as risk factors, there were no statistically significant differences by gender.

Table 2. Results of descriptive statistics for the risk factors related to NCDs in the Republic of Srpska (RS).

| Variables | Answer | Men |  | Woman |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Smoking and Tobacco Use | Daily smoker | 319 | 48.9 | 333 | 51.1 | 652 | 28.8 |
|  | Non-daily smoker | 71 | 38.4 | 114 | 61.6 | 185 | 8.0 |
|  | Never/ex-smoker | 672 | 63.3 | 802 | 64.2 | 1474 | 63.8 |
| Alcohol consumption | Yes | 898 | 84.6 | 836 | 66.9 | 577 | 75.0 |
|  | No | 164 | 15.4 | 413 | 33.1 | 1734 | 25.0 |
| Frequent alcohol consumption | Yes | 727 | 68.5 | 507 | 40.8 | $1234$ | 53.5 |
|  | No | 334 | 31.5 | 737 | 59.2 | $1071$ | 46.5 |
| Blood pressure level ( $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) | Yes | 193 | 18.2 | 193 | 15.5 | 386 | 16.7 |
|  | No | $681$ | $64.1$ | $887$ | $71.0$ | $1568$ | $67.8$ |
|  | I do not know | 188 | 17.7 | 169 | 13.5 |  | 15.4 |
| Cholesterol <br> level ( $\geq 5.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Yes | 136 | 12.8 | 166 | 13.3 | 302 | 13.1 |
|  | No | 658 | 62.0 | 817 | 65.4 | 1475 | 63.9 |
|  | I do not know | 268 | 25.2 | 265 | 21.2 | 533 | 23.1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Blood sugar } \\ \text { level } \\ (\geq 7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 77 | 7.3 | 74 | 5.9 | 151 | 6.5 |
|  | No | $811$ | $76.4$ | $1011$ | 80.9 | $1822$ | 78.8 |
|  | I do not know | $174$ | $16.4$ | 164 |  |  | 14.6 |
| Overweight and obesity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BMI 25.0-29.9 | $408$ | $37.3$ | $388$ | $32.2$ | $796$ | $34.6$ |
|  | $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30.0$ | 181 | 16.5 | 171 | 14.2 | 352 | 15.3 |
| Physical activity (>150 min/week) | Yes | 774 | 72.9 | 765 | 61.2 | 1539 | 66.6 |
|  | No | 288 | 27.1 | 484 | 38.8 | 772 | 33.4 |
| Intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables | Low | 253 | 24.0 | 170 | 13.7 | 423 | 18.4 |
|  | Middle | 422 | 40.0 | 470 | 37.8 | 892 | 38.9 |
|  | High | 379 | 36.0 | 602 | 48.5 | 981 | 42.7 |
| Health control (per year) | By no means | 383 | 36.1 | 300 | 24.0 | 683 | 29.6 |
|  | Once | 443 | 41.8 | 616 | 49.4 | 1059 | 45.9 |
|  | Twice | 129 | 12.2 | 185 | 14.8 | 314 | 13.6 |
|  | Repeatedly | 105 | 9.9 | 147 | 11.8 | 252 | 10.9 |

Table 3. Results of statistical analysis of demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and risk factors in a sample of the population of the RS.

| Variables | Test | Gender | Age | Type of Settlement | Education Level | Working Status | Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoking and | $\chi^{2}$ | 0.217 | 170.970* | 10.953 | 15.464 | 42.902 | 10.516 |
| Tobacco Use | $p$ | 0.641 | $<0.001$ | 0.004 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.005 |
| Alcohol consumption | $\chi^{2}$ | 95.162 | 524.182 * | 28.817 | 79.321 | 110.020 | 16.472 |
|  | $p$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Frequent alcohol | $\chi^{2}$ | 177.454 | 95.066* | 42.460 | 42.878 | 61.897 | 1.710 |
| consumption | $p$ | $<0.001$ | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.425 |
| Blood pressure level | $\chi^{2}$ | 13.029 | 482.641 ** | 12.626 | 180.250 | 393.122 | 50.258 |
|  | $p$ | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.013 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Cholesterol level | $\chi^{2}$ | 5.194 | $333.214 * *$ | 2.275 | 65.610 | 209.685 | 40.996 |
|  | $p$ | 0.075 | <0.001 | 0.685 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Blood sugar level |  | $7.225$ | $157.654 * *$ | 1.638 | 55.778 | 136.777 | 29.522 |
|  | $p$ | $0.027$ | $<0.001$ | 0.802 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Overweight and obesity | $\chi^{2}$ | 9.972 | 58.026 | 0.294 | 6.883 | 46.422 | 6.000 |
|  | $p$ | 0.007 | <0.001 | 0.990 | 0.142 | <0.001 | 0.199 |
| Physical inactivity | $\chi^{2}$ | 34.991 | 244.699 * | 5.654 | 16.753 | 10.642 | 4.363 |
|  | $p$ | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.059 | $<0.001$ | 0.014 | 0.113 |
| Intake of vitam., fruits and veget. |  |  | 0.18 | 5.933 | 19.886 | 27.022 | 107.594 |
|  | $p$ | $<0.001$ | 0.894 | 0.204 | <0.001 | <0.001 | $<0.001$ |
| Health control | $\chi^{2}$ | 40.289 | 141.606 | 15.225 | 132.584 | 243.840 | 29.905 |
|  | $p$ | $<0.001$ | <0.001 | 0.019 | <0.001 | $<0.001$ | <0.001 |

* Wald test; ${ }^{* *}$ Chi-square value (likelihood ratio test). Clarification for risk factors: Smoking and Tobacco Use (yes (including occasional smokers), no); Alcohol consumption (yes, no); Frequent alcohol consumption (yes, no) Blood pressure level (yes, no, I don't know); Cholesterol level (yes, no, I don't know); Blood sugar level (yes, no, I don't know); Overweight and obesity (yes, no); Physical inactivity (yes, no); Intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables (low, middle, high); Health control (by no means, once, twice, repeatedly).

Table 4. Odds ratios (ORs) of NCD risk factors associated with demographic and socioeconomic characteristics in a sample of the population of the RS.
$\begin{array}{cccccccc}\hline \text { Variables } & \text { Test } & \text { Gender } & \text { Age } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Type of } \\ \text { Settlement }\end{array} & \text { Education Level } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Working } \\ \text { Status }\end{array} \\$\cline { 2 - 8 } \& \& $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Male/ } \\ \text { Female }\end{array} & \mathbf{1 8 - 4 4 / 4 5 +} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Urban/ } \\ \text { Suburb and } \\ \text { Rural }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tertiary/ } \\ \text { Primary and } \\ \text { Secondary }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Employment/ } \\ \text { Unemployment }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Smoking and } \\ \text { Tobacco Use }\end{array} & \text { ORigh and } \\ \text { Middle/Low }\end{array}\right]$

The prevalence of metabolic risk factors was more pronounced for men. High blood pressure was more frequent in men. The prevalence of diabetes was present in less than $10 \%$ of respondents for both genders, with a slightly higher value for men. Additionally, a greater number of respondents with overweight and obesity (BMI $>25$ ) were registered among men (Table 4). The prevalence of cholesterol was slightly higher in women. Large differences between gender were observed in the intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables. Low intake was found in a quarter of the male respondents. Health control is much more characteristic of the women. As many as $36.1 \%$ of the men do not go to health examinations at all, while the percentage for women is lower.

Statistically significant differences by gender were registered for blood pressure level, overweight and obesity, cholesterol level, and blood glucose level. Additionally, blood count tests and health control were more pronounced among the women than the men (Table 3), which is, interestingly, not observable from the Cramer V heat map and cluster visualizations. The binomial logistic regression revealed that gender, as a factor, has the biggest impact on blood pressure and physical inactivity (Table 5).

Table 5. Prediction accuracy and exponentiated coefficients of binomial logistic regression by age, based on demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and risk factors in a sample of the population of the RS.

| Grouping | Variables | Accuracy Score | Gender | Age | Type of Settlement | Education Level | Working Status | Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages | Smoking and Tobacco Use (bin) | 64.85\% | 0.879 | 1.141 | 1.271 | 1.676 * | 1.592 ** | 1.187 |
|  | Alcohol consumption (bin) | 77.40\% | 0.333 | 0.715 | 0.709 | 1.310 * | 0.926 ** | 1.097 |
|  | Physical inactivity (bin) | 66.06\% | 1.554 * | 1.023 | 1.016 | 0.678 | 1.017 ** | 0.993 |
|  | Blood pressure level (bin) | 85.27\% | 1.191 ** | 0.464 | 0.909 | 1.573 * | 1.003 | 1.182 |
|  | Cholesterol level (bin) | 86.73\% | 1.025 | 0.467 | 1.002 | 0.957 | 1.075 ** | 1.225* |
|  | Blood sugar level (bin) | 93.59\% | 1.295* | 0.539 | 1.067 | 1.210 ** | 0.951 | 1.136 |
|  | Overweight and obesity (bin) | 73.11\% | 0.782 | 1.278 * | 1.076 ** | 0.917 | 0.852 | 0.828 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. (bin) | 81.49\% | 0.527 | 0.910 ** | 0.983 * | 0.622 | 0.780 | 0.526 |
|  | Health control (bin) | 70.67\% | 0.595 | 0.733 | 1.029 * | 0.675 | 0.841 ** | 0.693 |
| <35 age | Smoking and Tobacco Use (bin) | 63.44\% | 1.015 | 1.192 | 1.438 | 1.558 * | $1.467^{* *}$ | 1.321 |
|  | Alcohol consumption (bin) | 83.22\% | 0.715 | 0.994 | 0.798 | 1.119 * | 0.855 | 1.108 ** |
|  | Physical inactivity (bin) | 67.64\% | 2.262 * | 0.730 | 1.047 ** | 0.601 | 0.858 | 0.874 |
|  | Blood pressure level (bin) | 95.42\% | 1.190 | 0.836 | 0.893 | 1.966 * | 1.652 ** | 1.403 |
|  | Cholesterol level (bin) | 96.82\% | 1.078* | 0.523 | 0.928 ** | 0.798 | 0.865 | 0.662 |
|  | Blood sugar level (bin) | 97.81\% | 0.557 | 0.882 | 1.338 ** | 1.622 * | 0.979 | 1.154 |
|  | Overweight and obesity (bin) | 80.47\% | 0.732 | 0.917 ** | 1.028 * | 0.744 | 0.747 | 0.829 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. (bin) | $80.81 \%$ | 0.617 | 0.978 * | 0.876 ** | 0.684 | 0.766 | 0.699 |
|  | Health control (bin) | $64.14 \%$ | 0.475 | 0.820 | 1.169 * | 0.819 | 0.942 ** | 0.893 |
| $35 \leq$ age < 55 |  | 58.69\% | 0.831 |  | 1.092 | 1.664 * | 1.328 ** |  |
|  | Alcohol consumption (bin) | 75.8\% | 0.208 | 0.655 | 0.755 | 1.435 ** | 0.826 | 0.909 ** |
|  | Physical inactivity (bin) | 67.18\% | 1.297* | 0.675 | 1.011 | 0.765 | 0.850 | 1.032 ** |
|  | Blood pressure level (bin) | 81.77\% | 1.496* | 0.436 | 0.824 | 1.131 ** | 0.888 | 1.063 |
|  | Cholesterol level (bin) | 84.26\% | 1.313* | 0.334 | 0.811 | 0.833 | 1.176 ** | 1.164 |
|  | Blood sugar level (bin) | 94.42\% | 1.405** | 0.596 | 1.094 | 1.954 * | 1.178 | 0.889 |
|  | Overweight and obesity (bin) | 66.14\% | 0.736 | 1.143 ** | 0.881 | 0.903 | 1.171* | 1.101 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. (bin) | $80.26 \%$ | 0.682 | 0.913 | 0.970 ** | 0.699 | 1.148 * | 0.597 |
|  | Health control (bin) | 71.91\% | 0.715 | 1.076* | 1.009 ** | 0.557 | 0.902 | 0.609 |
| $\geq 55$ age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alcohol consumption (bin) | 66.94\% | 0.174 | 0.950 | 0.827 | 1.183 ** | 0.885 | 1.579 * |
|  | Physical inactivity (bin) | 61.63\% | 1.025 ** | 1.002 | 0.938 | 0.810 | 1.095 * | 0.995 |
|  | Blood pressure level (bin) | 63.37\% | 0.770 | 0.467 | 0.856 | 1.659 * | 0.889 | 1.053 ** |
|  | Cholesterol level (bin) | 61.90\% | 0.642 | 0.924 | 1.046 ** | 0.877 | 0.874 | 1.333* |
|  | Blood sugar level (bin) | 79.07\% | 0.853 | 0.724 | 1.261 * | 0.887 | 1.015 ** | 0.569 |
|  | Overweight and obesity (bin) | 63.72\% | 0.782 | 1.278* | 1.076 ** | 0.917 | 0.852 | 0.828 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. (bin) | 84.17\% | 0.264 | 1.230 * | 1.096 ** | 0.388 | 0.707 | 0.277 |
|  | Health control (bin) | 85.16\% | 0.501 | 0.503 | 0.599 | 0.735 ** | 0.841 * | 0.609 |

The largest and second largest values among coefficients in each row are denoted with one and two asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$, respectively; (bin) = binary; Vitamins, fruits and veg. = Intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables.

### 3.2. Age and Risk Factors

The most significant differences ( $p<0.001$ ) were observed between age contingents and high blood pressure, high cholesterol, elevated blood sugar, and overweight and obesity (Table 3). The most vulnerable group of respondents is the population over 65. About 2/3 of this population group had high blood pressure, more than $40 \%$ had high cholesterol levels and $26.9 \%$ had high sugar levels, while $35.9 \%$ of the elderly were overweight and obese
(Table 3). Simultaneously, an extremely low prevalence of metabolic factors was observed in the 18-44 age group for hypertension, elevated cholesterol and diabetes (Table 4).

The average age for the occurrence of hypertension was 53.7 years. For males, it was 51.5 years of age, while for females, it was 56.0 years of age. The same holds for elevated cholesterol, which, on average, occurred in males at 51.2 years of age, while high cholesterol in females occurred at 55.3 years of age. Female respondents who had diabetes were, on average, 52.6 years of age, while males with this disease were, on average, 54.6 years of age.

The average age of respondents with a prevalence of alcohol consumption was 34.1 years. The 25-34 age group had the highest prevalence of alcohol consumption. More than $80 \%$ of people who consumed alcohol at least once a year were registered in this contingent. A higher prevalence was also confirmed for the youngest population ( $\leq 24$ years), as well as for the population aged 35-44 years. High values of frequent drinking (monthly or less) were also registered in the 35-44 age group. The lowest prevalence of alcohol consumption was noticed in the population aged 65 and above (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Prevalence of risk factors for NCDs in the Republic of Srpska by age (in \%).
Among the risk factors related to smoking, the highest prevalence was recorded for persons aged 35-44 years (Figure 1). A high share of smokers were also in the age groups $25-34$ and 45-54 years, as well as the youngest age group ( $\leq 24$ years). The lowest smoking prevalence was noticed among the elderly (65 and above) (Figure 1).

A high percentage of the respondents were physically inactive, so physical inactivity was one of the most common risk factors for NCDs in this sample of the population of the RS. Of particular concern was the low level of physical activity in the young population ( $\leq 24$ years), while the highest percentage of physically active persons was recorded in the age group 25-34 years. As expected, a low level of physical activity was registered for the old population (Figure 1).

The prevalence of alcohol consumption was particularly noticeable among older and middle-aged men, compared to women. Additionally, high differences were observed in physical inactivity, where the prevalence in young women was much higher than in men (Figure S4). Among the metabolic risk factors, the biggest differences according to gender were observed in the prevalence of hypertension and elevated cholesterol in the age group 65+ (Figure S5).

More than $90 \%$ of people aged 65 and above go to the doctor at least once a year. In contrast, less than $40 \%$ of respondents under the age of 24 do not attend preventive examinations at all (Figure 1).

The binomial logistic regression analysis revealed that age, as a factor, had the highest impact on the intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables, and the second highest impact on overweight and obesity (Table 5). At the same time, multinomial logistic regression showed that age was the dominant factor regarding the impact on all three metabolic characteristics (Table 6): blood pressure, cholesterol and blood sugar levels. From Table 7, which provides the results of the ordinal logistic regression, we can see that age, as a factor, had the highest impact on BMI and health control.

Table 6. Prediction accuracy and exponentiated coefficients of multinomial logistic regression by age, regarding the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and risk factors in a sample of the population of the RS.

| Grouping | Variables | Accuracy Score | Gender | Age | Type of Settlement | Education Level | Working Status | Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages | Blood pressure level | 70.04\% | 0.859 | 1.717* | $1.187^{* *}$ | 0.847 | 0.924 | 1.029 |
|  | Cholesterol level | 63.92\% | 1.040 | 1.744 * | 1.119 | 1.171 ** | 0.917 | 1.018 |
|  | Blood sugar level | 78.75\% | 0.911 | 1.505* | 0.987 | 0.978 | 1.106 ** | 0.947 |
| <35 age | Blood pressure level | 74.79\% | 0.772 | 1.062 * | 1.008 ** | 0.619 | 0.689 | 0.917 |
|  | Cholesterol level | 69.34\% | 1.039 | 1.896* | 1.067 ** | 1.048 | 0.975 | 0.921 |
|  | Blood sugar level | 81.14\% | 1.187 * | 0.749 | 0.987 ** | 0.697 | 0.911 | 0.975 |
| $35 \leq$ age < 55 | Blood pressure level | 69.01\% | 0.916 | 1.667 * | 1.291 ** | 0.908 | 0.966 | 1.017 |
|  | Cholesterol level | 63.92\% | 0.859 | 2.168 * | 1.157 | 1.164 ** | 0.937 | 0.934 |
|  | Blood sugar level | 79.89\% | 0.876 | 1.419 * | 1.018 ** | 0.892 | 0.971 | 0.965 |
| $\geq 55$ age | Blood pressure level | 57.5\% | 1.194 | 1.811* | 1.096 | 1.080 | 1.264 ** | 1.247 |
|  | Cholesterol level | 49.48\% | 1.572 * | 1.372 ** | 1.121 | 1.082 | 1.017 | 0.938 |
|  | Blood sugar level | 69.91\% | 0.740 | 1.409 * | 1.109 ** | 0.866 | 1.047 | 0.744 |

The largest and second largest values among coefficients in each row are denoted with one and two asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$, respectively.

Table 7. Exponentiated coefficients of ordinal logistic regression by age, regarding the demographic and health characteristics and risk factors in a sample of the population of the RS.

| Grouping | Variables | Gender | Age | Type of Settlement | Education Level | Working Status | Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages | Overweight and obesity | 0.806 | 1.248 * | 1.007 | 0.824 | 0.841 | 0.837 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. | 1.689 | 1.040 | 0.953 | 1.405* | 1.237 | 1.804 |
|  | Health control | 1.528 | 1.374 * | 0.974 | 1.108 | 1.296 | 1.387 |
| <35 age | Overweight and obesity | 0.769 | 0.947 ** | 1.051* | 0.727 | 0.743 | 0.872 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. | $1.606^{*}$ | 1.025 | 0.978 | 1.518 ** | 1.353 | 1.487 |
|  | Health control | 1.804 * | 0.967 | 0.874 | 1.259 ** | 1.036 | 1.214 |
| $35 \leq$ age $<55$ | Overweight and obesity | 0.752 | 1.210* | 0.868 | 0.948 | 1.190 ** | 1.023 |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. |  |  | $0.925$ | $1.209$ | $1.036$ | 1.985* |
|  | Health control | $1.431 \text { ** }$ | 1.205 | 0.933 | 1.294 | 1.185 | 1.530 * |
| $\geq 55$ age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vitamins, fruits and veg. | 1.596 ** | 1.476 | 0.896 | 1.541 | 1.111 | 2.586* |
|  | Health control | 1.160 | 2.486* | 1.317 | 0.775 | 1.200 | $1.716^{* *}$ |

The largest and second largest values among coefficients in each row are denoted with one and two asterisks $\left(^{*}\right)$, respectively; Vitamins, fruits and veg. = Intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables.

### 3.3. Type of Settlement and Risk Factors

The OR for type of settlement revealed higher alcohol consumption and smoking in the urban population, compared to the suburban and rural areas (Table 4).

A statistically significant difference was observed between the type of settlement and high blood pressure (Table 3). The observed differences were a consequence of the increased risk of hypertension in the countryside and pronounced risky behavior in urban areas. In the analysis of the type of settlement and cholesterol levels, blood sugar levels, overweight and obesity, physical activity and intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables, statistically significant differences were not found (Table 3).

The binomial logistic regression showed that type of settlement, as a factor, had the highest impact on the intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables, and health control, while it had the second highest impact on overweight and obesity (Table 5). Simultaneously, the multinomial logistic regression revealed that the type of settlement was the second most dominant factor regarding the impact on blood pressure level (Table 6).

### 3.4. Education and Risk Factors

The highest prevalence of risk factors was registered in respondents with a lower level of education, especially in regard to metabolic factors (Table 3). More than half of the lower-educated respondents had high blood pressure, while more than $30 \%$ had elevated cholesterol levels and increased blood sugar levels. Additionally, a significant number of the lower-educated persons were obese or overweight, as well as physically inactive. The highest prevalence of smoking was registered among respondents with secondary education. Respondents with higher education had higher odds of alcohol consumption by $30 \%$ compared to those with primary and secondary education (Table 4).

Statistical analysis showed a high degree of statistical significance between education levels and all variables except overweight and obesity, where no statistical significance was found (Table 3).

The binomial logistic regression revealed that education, as a factor, had the highest impact on smoking and tobacco use, alcohol consumption and blood pressure level (Table 5). Furthermore, it was the second most dominant factor regarding the impact on the blood sugar level, and according to the multinomial logistic regression, education was the second most dominant factor regarding the impact on the cholesterol level (Table 6). In Table 7, the results of the ordinal logistic regression are presented, indicating that education, as a factor, had the highest impact on the intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables.

For the age group $<35$ years, the prediction accuracies of the binomial logistic regression for the blood pressure level, cholesterol level and blood sugar level were all above $95 \%$. Unexpectedly, we found these results for the prediction accuracy to be strong. The most prominent factors for these target variables were gender and education level. Additionally, the second most prominent factors were working status and the type of settlement. However, one can rationalize these outcomes by observing that the younger population was much less prone to metabolic issues when compared to the older population (Table 5).

Regarding the age group $35 \leq$ age $<55$, the prediction accuracy of the binomial logistic regression for high blood sugar (diabetes) was above $90 \%$ (almost $95 \%$ ). The most prominent factor for diabetes (as a target variable) was the level of education, and the second most prominent was gender. The type of settlement was the most occurring prominent factor, which, interestingly, suggests a strong connection between demographic and medical variables. Furthermore, considering the high accuracy score in our regression analysis, education level emerged as a very important factor (Table 5).

The accuracy scores for the group $\geq 55$ were significantly lower when compared to the previous two groups (age less than 35, and age between 35 and 54). The best prediction accuracy was obtained for health control ( $85.16 \%$ ). The most prominent factor for this target variable seemed to be working status. The second most prominent was, according to our computations, education level (Table 5).

### 3.5. Working Status of Population and Risk Factors

Analysis of behavioral and metabolic risk factors and working status showed statistical significance for all tested variables, particularly between sociodemographic factors and metabolic risk factors (Table 3). The research in this paper shows that more than half of the retired respondents had high blood pressure, while a high proportion had high cholesterol and high blood sugar. Additionally, overweight and insufficient physical activity were risk factors for these age groups.

Behavioral risk factors had a significantly lower prevalence in the retiree population. This is supported by the fact that less than $20 \%$ are smokers and consume alcohol at least once a week.

Due to the more favorable age structure, the student population is significantly less exposed to risk factors. Still, the prevalence of smokers among students and the prevalence of physically inactive students were high. Employed working status was associated with higher odds of smoking ( $20 \%$ higher risk), alcohol consumption ( $70.9 \%$ ) and cholesterol level (21.7\%), and lower odds of hypertension, diabetes, and overweight and obesity, as well as physical activity and consumption of vitamins, fruits and vegetables (Table 4).

The results of the binomial logistic regression show that working status, as a factor, did not exercise dominance (the most influential) in any of the cases. However, as we can see from Table 5, working status was the second most influential factor regarding: smoking and tobacco use, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, cholesterol level and health control, while the multinomial logistic regression revealed that education is the second most dominant factor regarding the blood sugar level (Table 6). Additionally, the multinomial logistic regression on age groups did not yield any new different or substantial observations.

### 3.6. Income and Risk Factors

Based on the chi-square test, statistical significance ( $p<0.001$ ) was established between income and the levels of blood pressure, cholesterol and blood sugar, overweight and obesity, alcohol consumption and use of tobacco products (Table 3). Statistical testing revealed no differences between material status and physical inactivity. The biggest statistical differences were observed between material status and intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables.

The binomial logistic regression found that income, as a factor, had the highest impact in the case of the cholesterol level (binary-viewed), as one can see from Table 5. This is the only case where income was a dominant factor, while in all others, regardless of whether it was a binomial, multinomial or ordinal analysis, income was not dominant. Further, it was neither the second most dominant factor, nor the least dominant factor, in any case. Somehow, it seems that the impact of income, as a factor, was somewhat average.

It seems that for the younger population, gender plays a very important role concerning their healthcare behavioral characteristics. However, the coefficients in Table 7 suggest that these characteristics in older people are more affected by their corresponding incomes.

## 4. Discussion

Differences in demographic and socioeconomic characteristics represent the main determinants of risk factors among the RS's population. The results in this paper suggest a high impact of demographic determinants in relation to the behavioral and metabolic risk factors for the occurrence of the leading chronic diseases. The presence of metabolic factors was related to older population groups, especially the elderly, while the behavioral risk factors were much more common in the young and middle-aged populations. The prevalence of hypertension and high blood sugar was related to the male population, while the presence of cholesterol was more pronounced in women.

Exposure to behavioral risk factors among the young and middle-aged populations was particularly pronounced for alcohol consumption and smoking. The total prevalence of smoking in this sample of the population of the RS was $36.2 \%$. However, when occasional smokers are excluded, the proportion of permanent smokers (daily smokers) in this sample was at the level of $28.2 \%$, which is among the highest values in Europe. According to Eurostat, $18.4 \%$ of the population in the EU are registered as daily smokers. The highest prevalence of smoking was registered in Bulgaria (28.7\%), Greece (23.6\%), Latvia (22.1\%), Germany (21.9\%) and Croatia (21.8\%) [64]. Previous studies indicate that people with a lower level of education have a higher risk of smoking [65-67]. The results in this study indicate the reverse finding, with the highest prevalence of smoking among people with
high school education. The lack of smokers with primary education is reflected in the fact that the largest percentage of this population is the elderly population. Regardless of some studies $[66,68,69]$ that found that the frequency of smoking was much higher in men, the results obtained for the RS indicate smaller differences between genders. The most common smokers in the RS are middle-aged and young populations, while the number of smokers in the oldest cohort was significantly lower. In this context, the prevalence of anti-smoking among the younger age group and the formal education of students about the harmful effects of smoking should be integrated into all active anti-smoking programs. Alcohol is another lifestyle habit identified as a behavioral risk factor and an important public health issue. About $5.3 \%$ of all deaths in the world are the result of harmful alcohol consumption [70]. A study conducted in the Czech Republic proved that the prevalence of dangerous alcohol consumption in the adult population is between 16.8 and $17.6 \%$ [71]. Similar to previous studies [72], it was found that alcohol consumption in the RS is more pronounced in the male population, particularly in terms of frequent use. This was also confirmed in a study conducted by Cooper and Wilsnack [73,74]. The prevalence of alcohol consumption in the RS decreases with age, which is also confirmed in other populations [75]. Additionally, our study showed that the prevalence of alcohol consumption was higher among respondents in the city, while the most common consumers were people with higher and secondary education. One of the models of suppressing risk factors is to ban the sale of alcohol and tobacco to minors. In order to reduce NCD risk factors, the involvement of educational institutions could have an important role through diverse lectures and seminars. As one of the main public policy activities, it is necessary to better inform the population about the negative consequences of NCDs, particularly in the younger population.

Physical inactivity, healthy food consumption, and overweight and obesity are important behavioral risk factors that could also contribute to the risk of NCDs. Over 1/3 of adults in the EU $(36.2 \%)$ are physically inactive [76]. Similar results were recorded among the population of Poland (35\%) [77], while in Croatia, $30.5 \%$ of the population was registered as inactive [78]. Compared to the EU, the prevalence of physical inactivity in the RS is lower ( $33.4 \%$ ). General physical inactivity is high in older age groups $[76,79,80$ ], and particularly among women [78,79], which was also found in the RS population, where the elderly were significantly less active in walking, jogging and running, with a higher percentage of physically inactive women compared to men. Our results indicate that more than half of the respondents had a poor or average intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables. The results thus far indicate that this risk factor occurs more often in lower socioeconomic groups and the population with a lower income [81]. Accordingly, higher-quality nutrition in this study was recorded among the highly educated and the student population. Additionally, a healthier diet was registered in women, and a significantly lower intake of vitamins, fruits and vegetables was found in men, which agrees with previous research [82].

Overweight and obesity affect about $60 \%$ of the adult population of the European region [83]. According to data for 2016, the global percentage was 52\% [84]. A high prevalence of obesity is also registered in the countries of the Western Balkans: for example, the prevalence of obesity in Serbia is $23.6 \%$, while overweight is registered in $36.9 \%$ of the population, which is among the highest values in Europe [85]. Regarding the RS, almost half of the respondents had a problem with overweight and obesity. In contrast to the population of Poland, where the prevalence of overweight and obesity is similar for both genders [86], our research confirmed the higher vulnerability of men.

The results in this study indicate that metabolic risk factors emphasize gender differences. High blood pressure and cholesterol are more frequent four to five years earlier in the male than the female population, while high glucose levels in females are recorded two years earlier than in males. According to the WHO, metabolic risk factors could contribute to the various metabolic changes leading to an increased risk of NCDs. About $22 \%$ of the population of the EU has high blood pressure (2019) [87]. The highest prevalence of hypertension was registered in Croatia in 2019 (37\%), while the lowest values were found in the countries of Western Europe [87]. The prevalence of hypertension in the RS's population
is lower than in neighboring countries. The highest prevalence of hypertension is present in men [88]. However, in the elderly population, elevated blood pressure is more typical of women [89]. The distribution of respondents by gender indicates that men in the RS are more vulnerable than women.

The global prevalence of diabetes was estimated at $9.3 \%$ ( 463 million people) in 2019 [90]. Some projections until 2045 indicate an increase in the prevalence of diabetes worldwide to $10.9 \%$ ( 700 million) [41]. The results of our research show that the share of people with elevated blood sugar levels is $6.5 \%$, which is lower than the EU average [91], with a higher prevalence of diabetes in the male population. The prevalence of diabetes is higher in men than in women $[92,93]$, which was best illustrated in a study conducted in Portugal in which diabetes was registered in $14.2 \%$ of men and $9.5 \%$ of women [94].

The prevention and control of risk factors are fundamental determinants of the reduction in morbidity and mortality from NCDs [9,95]. Prevention in the RS can be described as unsatisfactory. Similar to previous studies [96], the majority of the RS population do not know (do not measure) the values of particular risk factors. Particularly alarming is the fact that a third of the population under 55 years does not check their blood count at all. Higher prevention awareness was observed in the female and elderly populations. The phenomenon that the population with a lower level of education performs health examinations more often is a consequence of the age structure, given that the majority of the population with this level of education is older. A similar example can be observed in the rural population, which is mainly represented by the elderly population. Unemployed people used blood analysis services less often, which we can place in the context of material position and socioeconomic differences.

The main strength of the findings in this study relates to their importance for formulating demographic policies as well as measures of population policies that are closely related to policies of public health. There has been an evident increase in mortality [83], especially from NCDs, which are directly related to behavioral and metabolic risk factors. The results in this study could contribute to the prevention and mitigation of the leading causes of these diseases.

Some limitations of this study also stand out. The main weakness is related to the lack of demographic and socioeconomic data in order to assess the impact of both behavioral and metabolic risk factors on the single causes of diseases among NCDs. Another limitation is the cross-sectional design of the study and the lack of causal ratio estimation. Additionally, the accuracy of self-reported risk factors could affect the measurement and dissimulate the results. The survey response about risk factors of NCDs depends on various factors related to the understanding of health problems, as well as willingness to report health issues.

## 5. Conclusions

This is the first cross-sectional study of demographic and socioeconomic variables in relation to the behavioral and metabolic risk factors for NCDs in the RS. This study reveals the significant presence of risk factors among the RS population, with higher involvement of metabolic risk factors among the older population, while the prevalence of behavioral factors is related to younger age groups, especially in the case of alcohol consumption and smoking. This study makes a few meaningful contributions to the better understanding of NCD risk factors in the RS population. First, despite the fact that other studies confirm the relation between lower education and a higher risk of smoking, the results for the RS offer reverse findings, with higher odds of smoking among persons with higher education. Secondly, in regard to smoking, our results indicated lower odds between genders too. Thirdly, the prevalence of hypertension was found to be lower in the RS population compared to some neighboring countries. Finally, physical inactivity was found to be one of the most common risk factors of NCDs in the RS.

A reduction in NCD risk factors in the RS can be achieved through primary, as well as secondary and tertiary prevention. Simultaneously, the role of the government could be significantly enhanced through more frequent promotion of healthy lifestyles via the
construction and landscaping of green areas, trim tracks, cycle paths and other facilities directed to the quality of life in the community.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: / /www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/healthcare11040483/s1, Figure S1: Cramer's V correlation coefficients regarding the association between demographic and socio-economic variables and risk factors; Figure S2: A typical graph used in the elbow method concerning our data set. The $x$-axis represents the number of clusters, and the $y$-axis represents the cost of doing a $k$-modes clustering for a given number of clusters. The elbow is denoted with the red triangle; Figure S3: Histogram of individuals regarding (a) hypertension, (b) cholesterol, and (c) diabetes, across given clusters. The number of individuals without hypertension, cholesterol and diabetes is dominant in each cluster; Figure S4: Prevalence of behavioural risk factors for NCDs in the Republic of Srpska (RS) by gender and age; Figure S5: Prevalence of metabolic risk factors for NCDs in the RS by gender and age.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.M. and D.A.; methodology, D.A., A.M. and D.D.Č.; software, D.D.Č. and A.M.; validation, D.A.; formal analysis, A.M., D.A. and D.D.Č.; investigation, A.M.; resources, A.M.; data curation, A.M. and D.D.Č.; writing-original draft preparation, A.M. and D.A.; writing-review and editing, A.M., D.A. and D.D.Č.; visualization, A.M.; supervision, D.A.; project administration, D.A. and A.M.; funding acquisition, A.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Institutional Review Board Statement: In term of form related to the participants that were involved in survey, we did not sent questionnaire to the Ethical committee. Survey is a part of research in doctoral thesis entitled "Differentiation of mortality of the population of the Republic of Srpska". By decision of the Senate of the University of Novi Sad, the aforementioned doctoral dissertation was accepted on 5 September 2019, under protocol number 0603-502/11. Survey was conducted in 2019 using questionnaire. Survey was completely voluntary, anonymous and informed consent. Information collected in this research does not contain identifiable personal data.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank all the anonymous participants.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Licher, S.; Heshmatollah, A.; Van Der Willik, K.D.; Stricker, B.H.C.; Ruiter, R.; De Roos, E.W.; LaHousse, L.; Koudstaal, P.J.; Hofman, A.; Fani, L.; et al. Lifetime risk and multimorbidity of non-communicable diseases and disease-free life expectancy in the general population: A population-based cohort study. PLoS Med. 2019, 16, e1002741. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Coates, M.M.; Kintu, A.; Gupta, N.; Wroe, E.B.; Adler, A.J.; Kwan, G.F.; Park, P.H.; Rajbhandari, R.; Byrne, A.L.; Casey, D.C.; et al. Burden of non-communicable diseases from infectious causes in 2017: A modelling study. Lancet Glob. Health 2020, 8, e1489-e1498. [CrossRef]
3. Allen, L.; Williams, J.; Townsend, N.; Mikkelsen, B.; Roberts, N.; Foster, C.; Wickramasinghe, K. Socioeconomic status and non-communicable disease behavioural risk factors in low-income and lower-middle-income countries: A systematic review. Lancet Glob. Health 2017, 5, e277-e289. [CrossRef]
4. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable Diseases Progress Monitor 2022. Available online: https:/ /www.who.int/ publications-detail-redirect/9789240047761 (accessed on 11 April 2022).
5. Kumar, S.; Pillai, R.R.; Dwarakamai, V.; Reddy, N.S.; Joseph, N. Study on surveillance of risk factors for noncommunicable diseases in local population. Med. J. Dr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth 2019, 12, 387. [CrossRef]
6. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, Regional, and National Comparative Risk Assessment of 79 Behavioural, Environmental and Occupational, and Metabolic Risks or Clusters of Risks, 1990-2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 2016, 388, 1659-1724. Available online: https:/ /www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS014 0-6736(16)31679-8/fulltext (accessed on 18 August 2022). [CrossRef]
7. Budreviciute, A.; Damiati, S.; Sabir, D.K.; Onder, K.; Schuller-Goetzburg, P.; Plakys, G.; Katileviciute, A.; Khoja, S.; Kodzius, R. Management and Prevention Strategies for Non-communicable Diseases (NCDs) and Their Risk Factors. Front. Public Health 2020, 8, 574111. [CrossRef]
8. Bigna, J.J.; Noubiap, J.J. The rising burden of non-communicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa. Lancet Glob. Health 2019, 7, e1295-e1296. [CrossRef]
9. Ezzati, M.; Lopez, A.D.; Rodgers, A.; Van der Hoorn, S.; Murray, C.J.L.; Comparative Risk Assessment Collaborating Group. Selected major risk factors and global and regional burden of disease. Lancet 2002, 360, 1347-1360. [CrossRef]
10. Di Cesare, M.; Khang, Y.-H.; Asaria, P.; Blakely, T.; Cowan, M.J.; Farzadfar, F.; Guerrero, R.; Ikeda, N.; Kyobutungi, C.; Msyamboza, K.P.; et al. Inequalities in non-communicable diseases and effective responses. Lancet 2013, 381, 585-597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Wolf-Maier, K.; Cooper, R.S.; Banegas, J.R.; Giampaoli, S.; Hense, H.-W.; Joffres, M.; Kastarinen, M.; Poulter, N.; Primatesta, P.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; et al. Hypertension Prevalence and Blood Pressure Levels in 6 European Countries, Canada, and the United States. JAMA 2003, 289, 2363-2369. [CrossRef]
12. Grotto, I.; Huerta, M.; Sharabi, Y. Hypertension and socioeconomic status. Curr. Opin. Cardiol. 2008, 23, 335-339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Leng, B.; Jin, Y.; Li, G.; Chen, L.; Jin, N. Socioeconomic status and hypertension. J. Hypertens. 2015, 33, 221-229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Radevic, S.; Radovanovic, S.; Djonovic, N.; Simic-Vukomanovic, I.; Mihailovic, N.; Janicijevic, K.; Kocic, S. Socioeconomic inequalities and non-communicable diseases in Serbia: National health survey. Vojn. Pregl. 2018, 75, 926-934. [CrossRef]
15. Leung, A.; Bushnik, T.; Hennessy, D.; McAlister, F.; Manuel, D.G. Risk factors for hypertension in Canada. Public Health Rep. (1896-1970) 2019, 30, 3-13.
16. Mills, K.T.; Stefanescu, A.; He, J. The global epidemiology of hypertension. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2020, 16, 223-237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Walekhwa, S.; Kisa, A. Tobacco Use and Risk Factors for Hypertensive Individuals in Kenya. Healthcare 2021, 9, 591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Benetou, V.; Chloptsios, Y.; Zavitsanos, X.; Karalis, D.; Naska, A.; Trichopoulou, A. Total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol in relation to socioeconomic status in a sample of 11,645 Greek adults: The EPIC study in Greece. Scand. J. Public Health 2000, 28, 260-265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Capewell, S.; Ford, E.S. Why have total cholesterol levels declined in most developed countries? BMC Public Health 2011, 11, 641. [CrossRef]
20. Farzadfar, F.; Finucane, M.M.; Danaei, G.; Pelizzari, P.M.; Cowan, M.J.; Paciorek, C.J.; Singh, G.M.; Lin, J.K.; Stevens, G.A.; Riley, L.M.; et al. National, regional, and global trends in serum total cholesterol since 1980: Systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 321 country-years and 3.0 million participants. Lancet 2011, 377, 578-586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Mattiuzzi, C.; Sanchis-Gomar, F.; Lippi, G. Worldwide burden of LDL cholesterol: Implications in cardiovascular disease. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 2020, 30, 241-244. [CrossRef]
22. McNamara, C.L.; Balaj, M.; Thomson, K.H.; Eikemo, T.A.; Solheim, E.F.; Bambra, C. The socioeconomic distribution of noncommunicable diseases in Europe: Findings from the European Social Survey (2014) special module on the social determinants of health. Eur. J. Public Health 2017, 27, 22-26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Kalkhoran, S.; Benowitz, N.L.; Rigotti, N.A. Prevention and Treatment of Tobacco Use. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2018, 72, 1030-1045. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Machado, E.; Parajára, M.D.C.; Guedes, L.F.F.; Meireles, A.L.; de Menezes, M.C.; Felisbino-Mendes, M.S.; Verly-Junior, E.; Malta, D.C. Burden of non-communicable diseases attributable to dietary risks in Brazil, 1990-2019: An analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop. 2022, 55, e0282-2021. [CrossRef]
25. Rehm, J.; Gmel, G.E., Sr.; Gmel, G.; Hasan, O.S.M.; Imtiaz, S.; Popova, S.; Probst, C.; Roerecke, M.; Room, R.; Samokhvalov, A.V.; et al. The relationship between different dimensions of alcohol use and the burden of disease-An update. Addiction 2017, 112, 968-1001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Horvat, P.; Stefler, D.; Murphy, M.; King, L.; McKee, M.; Bobak, M. Alcohol, pattern of drinking and all-cause mortality in Russia, Belarus and Hungary: A retrospective indirect cohort study based on mortality of relatives. Addiction 2018, 113, 1252-1263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. Pedroni, C.; Dujeu, M.; Lebacq, T.; Desnouck, V.; Holmberg, E.; Castetbon, K. Alcohol consumption in early adolescence: Associations with sociodemographic and psychosocial factors according to gender. PLoS ONE 2021, 16, e0245597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
28. Wilson, T.; Temple, N.J. Alcohol consumption and health. In Nutrition Guide for Physicians and Related Healthcare Professions; Wilson, T., Temple, N.J., Bray, G.A., Eds.; Nutrition and Health; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; pp. 337-344. [CrossRef]
29. Lacombe, J.; Armstrong, M.E.G.; Wright, F.L.; Foster, C. The impact of physical activity and an additional behavioural risk factor on cardiovascular disease, cancer and all-cause mortality: A systematic review. BMC Public Health 2019, 19, 900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Krawcyk, R.S.; Vinther, A.; Petersen, N.C.; Faber, J.; Iversen, H.K.; Christensen, T.; Lambertsen, K.L.; Rehman, S.; Klausen, T.W.; Rostrup, E.; et al. Effect of Home-Based High-Intensity Interval Training in Patients With Lacunar Stroke: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Front. Neurol. 2019, 10, 664. [CrossRef]
31. Katzmarzyk, P.T.; Friedenreich, C.; Shiroma, E.J.; Lee, I.-M. Physical inactivity and non-communicable disease burden in low-income, middle-income and high-income countries. Br. J. Sports Med. 2021, 56, 101-106. [CrossRef]
32. Ciumărnean, L.; Milaciu, M.V.; Negrean, V.; Orășan, O.H.; Vesa, S.C.; Sălăgean, O.; Iluţ, S.; Vlaicu, S.I. Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Physical Activity for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Diseases in the Elderly. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021, 19, 207. [CrossRef]
33. Bazzano, L.A.; Serdula, M.K.; Liu, S. Dietary intake of fruits and vegetables and risk of cardiovascular disease. Curr. Atheroscler. Rep. 2003, 5, 492-499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Woodside, J.V.; Young, I.; McKinley, M.C. Fruits and vegetables: Measuring intake and encouraging increased consumption. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 2013, 72, 236-245. [CrossRef]
35. Alissa, E.M.; Ferns, G.A. Dietary Fruits and Vegetables and Cardiovascular Diseases Risk. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2017, 57, 1950-1962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Nyberg, S.T.; Batty, G.D.; Pentti, J.; Virtanen, M.; Alfredsson, L.; Fransson, E.I.; Goldberg, M.; Heikkilä, K.; Jokela, M.; Knutsson, A.; et al. Obesity and loss of disease-free years owing to major non-communicable diseases: A multicohort study. Lancet Public Health 2018, 3, e490-e497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Heath, L.; Jebb, S.A.; Aveyard, P.; Piernas, C. Obesity, metabolic risk and adherence to healthy lifestyle behaviours: Prospective cohort study in the UK Biobank. BMC Med. 2022, 20, 65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Peng, W.; Li, K.; Yan, A.F.; Shi, Z.; Zhang, J.; Cheskin, L.J.; Hussain, A.; Wang, Y. Prevalence, Management, and Associated Factors of Obesity, Hypertension, and Diabetes in Tibetan Population Compared with China Overall. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 8787. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Robbins, J.M.; Vaccarino, V.; Zhang, H.; Kasl, S.V. Socioeconomic status and diagnosed diabetes incidence. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pr. 2005, 68, 230-236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Saydah, S.; Lochner, K. Socioeconomic Status and Risk of Diabetes-Related Mortality in the U.S. Public Health Rep. 2010, 125, 377-388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Saeedi, P.; Petersohn, I.; Salpea, P.; Malanda, B.; Karuranga, S.; Unwin, N.; Colagiuri, S.; Guariguata, L.; Motala, A.A.; Ogurtsova, K.; et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2019, 157, 107843. [CrossRef]
42. Rawshani, A.; Rawshani, A.; Rawshani, A.; Franzén, S.; Sattar, N.; Eliasson, B.; Svensson, A.-M.; Zethelius, B.; Miftaraj, M.; McGuire, D.K.; et al. Risk Factors, Mortality, and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N. Engl. J. Med. 2018, 379, 633-644. [CrossRef]
43. Milani, S.A.; Lopez, D.S.; Downer, B.; Samper-Ternent, R.; Wong, R. Effects of diabetes and obesity on cognitive impairment and mortality in older mexicans. Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr. 2021, 99, 104581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Divajeva, D.; Marsh, T.; Logstrup, S.; Kestens, M.; Vemer, P.; Kriaucioniene, V.; Peresson, S.; O’Kelly, S.; Rito, A.; Webber, L. Economics of chronic diseases protocol: Cost-effectiveness modelling and the future burden of non-communicable disease in Europe. BMC Public Health 2014, 14, 456. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable Diseases. 2022. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/noncommunicable-diseases (accessed on 14 October 2022).
46. Mackenbach, J.P.; Stirbu, I.; Roskam, A.-J.R.; Schaap, M.M.; Menvielle, G.; Leinsalu, M.; Kunst, A.E. Socioeconomic Inequalities in Health in 22 European Countries. N. Engl. J. Med. 2008, 358, 2468-2481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Head, J.; Chungkham, H.S.; Hyde, M.; Zaninotto, P.; Alexanderson, K.; Stenholm, S.; Salo, P.; Kivimaki, M.; Goldberg, M.; Zins, M.; et al. Socioeconomic differences in healthy and disease-free life expectancy between ages 50 and 75 : A multi-cohort study. Eur. J. Public Health 2018, 29, 267-272. [CrossRef]
48. Zaninotto, P.; Batty, G.D.; Stenholm, S.; Kawachi, I.; Hyde, M.; Goldberg, M.; Westerlund, H.; Vahtera, J.; Head, J. Socioeconomic Inequalities in Disability-free Life Expectancy in Older People from England and the United States: A Cross-national PopulationBased Study. J. Gerontol. Ser. A 2020, 75, 906-913. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Mäki, N.; Martikainen, P.; Eikemo, T.; Menvielle, G.; Lundberg, O.; Östergren, O.; Jasilionis, D.; Mackenbach, J.P. Educational differences in disability-free life expectancy: A comparative study of long-standing activity limitation in eight European countries. Soc. Sci. Med. 2013, 94, 1-8. [CrossRef]
50. Gillman, M.W. Primordial Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. Circulation 2015, 131, 599-601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable Diseases Country Profiles 2018; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.
52. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide Trends in Blood Pressure from 1975 to 2015: A Pooled Analysis of 1479 Population-Based Measurement Studies with $19 \cdot 1$ Million Participants. Lancet 2017, 389, 37-55. Available online: https:/ /www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(16)31919-5/fulltext (accessed on 21 August 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
53. Statistical Yearbook 2020. Available online: https://www.rzs.rs.ba/front/article/4582/?left_mi=None\&add=None (accessed on 18 June 2022).
54. European Health Interview Survey (EHIS wave 3)—Methodological Manual. Available online: https:/ /ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ web / products-manuals-and-guidelines/-/KS-02-18-240 (accessed on 18 June 2022).
55. World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Noncommunicable Diseases 2014; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
56. Bush, K.; Kivlahan, D.R.; McDonell, M.B.; Fihn, S.D.; Bradley, K.A. The AUDIT Alcohol Consumption Questions (AUDIT-C). An Effective Brief Screening Test for Problem Drinking. Arch. Intern. Med. 1998, 158, 1789-1795. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
57. Pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas. Available online: https:/ / zenodo.org/record/7344967\#.Y-FkUj3MIdU (accessed on 11 November 2022).
58. Seabold, S.; Perktold, J. Statsmodels: Econometric and Statistical Modeling with Python. In Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference, Austin, TX, USA, 28 June-3 July 2010; pp. 92-96. [CrossRef]
59. Pedregosa, F.; Varoquaux, G.; Gramfort, A.; Michel, V.; Thirion, B.; Grisel, O.; Blondel, M.; Prettenhofer, P.; Weiss, R.; Dubourg, V.; et al. Scikit-Learn: Machine Learning in Python. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 2011, 12, 2825-2830.
60. de Vos, N. Nicodv/Kmodes. 2022. Available online: https:/ / github.com/nicodv/kmodes (accessed on 12 November 2022).
61. Hunter, J.D. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput. Sci. Eng. 2007, 9, 90-95. [CrossRef]
62. Waskom, M.L. Seaborn: Statistical data visualization. J. Open Source Softw. 2021, 6, 3021. [CrossRef]
63. Cao, F.; Liang, J.; Bai, L. A new initialization method for categorical data clustering. Expert Syst. Appl. 2009, 36, 10223-10228. [CrossRef]
64. 18.4\% of EU Population Smoked Daily in 2019. Available online: https:/ /ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/ -/edn-20211112-1 (accessed on 17 August 2022).
65. Siahpush, M. Socioeconomic status and tobacco expenditure among Australian households: Results from the 1998-99 Household Expenditure Survey. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 2003, 57, 798-801. [CrossRef]
66. Kaleta, D.; Makowiec-Dąbrowska, T.; Dziankowska-Zaborszczyk, E.; Fronczak, A. Prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of daily cigarette smoking in Poland: Results from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey (2009-2010). Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health 2012, 25, 126-136. [CrossRef]
67. Nagelhout, G.E.; Boer, D.D.K.-D.; Kunst, A.E.; Van Der Meer, R.M.; De Vries, H.; Van Gelder, B.M.; Willemsen, M.C. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in smoking prevalence, consumption, initiation, and cessation between 2001 and 2008 in the Netherlands. Findings from a national population survey. BMC Public Health 2012, 12, 303. [CrossRef]
68. Singh, A.; Ladusingh, L. Prevalence and Determinants of Tobacco Use in India: Evidence from Recent Global Adult Tobacco Survey Data. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e114073. [CrossRef]
69. Islami, F.; Stoklosa, M.; Drope, J.; Jemal, A. Global and Regional Patterns of Tobacco Smoking and Tobacco Control Policies. Eur. Urol. Focus 2015, 1, 3-16. [CrossRef]
70. Alcohol. Available online: https:/ /www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/alcohol (accessed on 21 August 2022).
71. Mravčík, V.; Chomynová, P.; Nechanská, B.; Černíková, T.; Csémy, L. Alcohol use and its consequences in the Czech Republic. Central Eur. J. Public Health 2019, 27, S15-S28. [CrossRef]
72. Lourdes, T.G.R.; Hamid, H.A.A.; Riyadzi, M.R.; Hasani, W.S.R.; Mutalip, M.H.A.; Jabbar, N.A.; Rifin, H.M.; Saminathan, T.A.; Ismail, H.; Yusoff, M.F.M. Findings from a Nationwide Study on Alcohol Consumption Patterns in an Upper Middle-Income Country. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 8851. [CrossRef]
73. Cooper, M.L.; Frone, M.R.; Russell, M.; Peirce, R.S. Gender, stress, coping, and alcohol use. In Gender and Alcohol: Individual and Social Perspectives; Rutgers Center of Alcohol Studies: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1997; pp. 199-224.
74. Wilsnack, R.W.; Vogeltanz, N.D.; Wilsnack, S.C.; Harris, T.R.; Ahlström, S.; Bondy, S.; Csémy, L.; Ferrence, R.; Ferris, J.; Fleming, J.; et al. Gender differences in alcohol consumption and adverse drinking consequences: Cross-cultural patterns. Addiction 2000, 95, 251-265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
75. Molgaard, C.A.; Nakamura, C.M.; Stanford, E.P.; Peddecord, K.M.; Morton, D.J. Prevalence of alcohol consumption among older persons. J. Community Health 1990, 15, 239-251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
76. Nikitara, K.; Odani, S.; Demenagas, N.; Rachiotis, G.; Symvoulakis, E.; Vardavas, C. Prevalence and correlates of physical inactivity in adults across 28 European countries. Eur. J. Public Health 2021, 31, 840-845. [CrossRef]
77. Drygas, W.; Kwaśniewska, M.; Kaleta, D.; Pikala, M.; Bielecki, W.; Głuszek, J.; Zdrojewski, T.; Pająk, A.; Kozakiewicz, K.; Broda, G. Epidemiology of physical inactivity in Poland: Prevalence and determinants in a former communist country in socioeconomic transition. Public Health 2009, 123, 592-597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
78. Milošević, M.; Golubić, R.; Mustajbegović, J.; Doko Jelinić, J.; Janev Holcer, N.; Kern, J. Regional Pattrn of Physical Inactivity in Croatia. Coll. Antropol. 2009, 33, 35-38. [PubMed]
79. Kruger, J.; Ham, S.A.; Kohl, H.W. Trends in Leisure-Time Physical Inactivity by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity—United States, 1994-2004. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2005, 54, 991-994.
80. Guthold, R.; Ono, T.; Strong, K.L.; Chatterji, S.; Morabia, A. Worldwide Variability in Physical Inactivity: A 51-Country Survey. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2008, 34, 486-494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
81. Giskes, K.; Turrell, G.; Patterson, C.; Newman, B. Socioeconomic differences among Australian adults in consumption of fruit and vegetables and intakes of vitamins A, C and folate. J. Hum. Nutr. Diet. 2002, 15, 375-385. [CrossRef]
82. Wardle, J.; Haase, A.M.; Steptoe, A.; Nillapun, M.; Jonwutiwes, K.; Bellisie, F. Gender Differences in Food Choice: The Contribution of Health Beliefs and Dieting. Ann. Behav. Med. 2004, 27, 107. [CrossRef]
83. World Health Organization; Regional Office for Europe. WHO European Regional Obesity: Report 2022; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2022.
84. World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ obesity-and-overweight (accessed on 18 October 2022).
85. Marija, S.; Dragan, V.; Svetlana, R.; Nela, D. Socioeconomic Inequalities in Overweight and Obesity in Serbia: Data from 2013 National Health Survey. Front. Pharmacol. 2018, 8, 967. [CrossRef]
86. Zatońska, K.; Psikus, P.; Basiak-Rasała, A.; Stepnicka, Z.; Gaweł-Dabrowska, D.; Wołyniec, M.; Gibka, J.; Szuba, A.; PołtynZaradna, K. Obesity and Chosen Non-Communicable Diseases in PURE Poland Cohort Study. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021, 18, 2701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
87. $22 \%$ of People in the EU Have High Blood Pressure. Available online: https:/ /ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/- /edn-20210929-1 (accessed on 17 August 2022).
88. Li, Y.-C.; Wang, L.-M.; Jiang, Y.; Li, X.-Y.; Zhang, M.; Hu, N. [Prevalence of hypertension among Chinese adults in 2010]. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi 2012, 46, 409-413. [PubMed]
89. Ninios, I.; Ninios, V.; Lazaridou, F.; Dimitriadis, K.; Kerasidou, O.; Louridas, G. Gender-Specific Differences in Hypertension Prevalence, Treatment, Control, and Associated Conditions among the Elderly: Data from a Greek Population. Clin. Exp. Hypertens. 2008, 30, 327-337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
90. Diabetes: Key Facts-World Health Organization. Available online: http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ diabetes (accessed on 15 October 2018).
91. Chronic Diabetes Affects Millions of People in the EU. Available online: https:/ / ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/- /edn-20171113-1 (accessed on 20 August 2022).
92. Wild, S.; Roglic, G.; Green, A.; Sicree, R.; King, H. Global Prevalence of Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004, 27, 1047-1053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
93. Carlsson, A.C.; Wändell, P.; Hedlund, E.; Walldius, G.; Nordqvist, T.; Jungner, I.; Hammar, N. Country of birth-specific and gender differences in prevalence of diabetes in Sweden. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2013, 100, 404-408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
94. Gardete-Correia, L.; Boavida, J.; Raposo, J.; Mesquita, A.C.; Fona, C.; Carvalho, R.; Cardoso, S. First diabetes prevalence study in Portugal: PREVADIAB study. Diabet. Med. 2010, 27, 879-881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
95. Alnakhi, W.K.; Mamdouh, H.; Hussain, H.Y.; Ibrahim, G.M.; Ahmad, A.S.; Ali, R.; Abdulle, A. The Socio-Demographic Characteristics Associated with Non-Communicable Diseases among the Adult Population of Dubai: Results from Dubai Household Survey 2019. Healthcare 2021, 9, 1139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
96. Ongosi, A.; Wilunda, C.; Musumari, P.; Techasrivichien, T.; Wang, C.-W.; Ono-Kihara, M.; Serrem, C.; Kihara, M.; Nakayama, T. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Elevated Blood Pressure and Elevated Blood Glucose among Residents of Kajiado County, Kenya: A Population-Based Cross-Sectional Survey. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2020, 17, 6957. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

