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#### Abstract

We prove that the double inequality $L_{p}(a, b)<U(a, b)<L_{q}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq p_{0}$ and $q \geq 2$ and find several sharp inequalities involving the trigonometric, hyperbolic, and inverse trigonometric functions, where $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty), U(a, b)=(a-b) /[\sqrt{2} \arctan ((a-b) / \sqrt{2 a b})]$, and $L_{p}(a, b)=\left[\left(a^{p+1}-b^{p+1}\right) /((p+1)(a-b))\right]^{1 / p}(p \neq-1,0), L_{-1}(a, b)=(a-b) /(\log a-\log b)$ and $L_{0}(a, b)=\left(a^{a} / b^{b}\right)^{1 /(a-b)} / e$ are the Yang, and $p$ th generalized logarithmic means of $a$ and $b$, respectively.


## 1. Introduction

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, the $p$ th generalized logarithmic mean $L_{p}(a, b)$ is defined by

$$
L_{p}(a, b)= \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{a^{p+1}-b^{p+1}}{(p+1)(a-b)}\right]^{1 / p},} & p \neq 0,-1  \tag{1}\\ \frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{a^{a}}{b^{b}}\right)^{1 /(a-b)}, & p=0 \\ \frac{a-b}{\log a-\log b}, & p=-1\end{cases}
$$

It is well known that $L_{p}(a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Many classical bivariate means are the special case of the generalized logarithmic mean. For example, $G(a, b)=$ $\sqrt{a b}=L_{-2}(a, b)$ is the geometric mean, $L(a, b)=(a-$ b) $/(\log a-\log b)=L_{-1}(a, b)$ is the logarithmic mean, $I(a, b)=$ $\left(a^{a} / b^{b}\right)^{1 /(a-b)} / e=L_{0}(a, b)$ is the identric mean, and $A(a, b)=$ $(a+b) / 2=L_{1}(a, b)$ is the arithmetic mean. Recently, the generalized logarithmic mean has been the subject of intensive research.

Stolarsky [1] proved that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(a, b)<M_{(2+p) / 3}(a, b) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and $p \in(-2,-1 / 2) \cup(1, \infty)$, and inequality $(2)$ is reversed for $p \in(-\infty,-2) \cup(-1 / 2,1)$, where $M_{r}(a, b)=\left[\left(a^{r}+b^{r}\right) / 2\right]^{1 / r}(r \neq 0)$ and $M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$ is the $r$ th power mean of $a$ and $b$.

Yang [2] proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(a, b)<L_{p}(a, b)<M_{p}(a, b) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if $p>1$, and inequality (3) is reversed if $p<0$.

In [3], the authors proved that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(a, b)<\frac{a+b}{(p+1)^{1 / p}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and $p>1$.
Li et al. [4] proved that the function $p \mapsto L_{p}(a, b) / L_{p}(1-$ $a, 1-b$ ) is strictly increasing (decreasing) on $\mathbb{R}$ if $0<a<b \leq$ $1 / 2(1 / 2 \leq a<b<1)$. In [5, 6], the authors proved that the function $q \mapsto L_{q}(a, b) / L_{q}(a, c)$ is strictly decreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ if $0<a<b<c$ and the function $r \mapsto L_{r}(d, d+\varepsilon) / L_{r}(d+\delta, d+$ $\varepsilon+\delta$ ) is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}$ for all $d, \varepsilon, \delta>0$.

Shi and Wu [7] proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(\lambda b+((1-\lambda) / 2)(a+b))^{p+1}-(\lambda a+((1-\lambda) / 2)(a+b))^{p+1}}{\lambda(p+1)(b-a)}\right]^{1 / p}<L_{p}(a, b)<\left[\frac{(a+b-c)^{p+1}-c^{p+1}}{(p+1)(a+b-2 c)}\right]^{1 / p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $b>a>c>0$ and $0<\lambda<1$ if $p>1$, and inequality (5) is reversed if $p \in(-1,0) \cup(0,1)$.

Long and Chu [8] and Matejíčka [9] presented the best possible parameters $p=p(\alpha)$ and $q=q(\alpha)$ such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(a, b)<\alpha A(a, b)+(1-\alpha) G(a, b)<L_{q}(a, b) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2) \cup(1 / 2,1)$.
In [10], Qian and Long answered the question: what are the greatest value $p$ and the least value $q$ such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(a, b)<G^{\alpha}(a, b) H^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<L_{q}(a, b) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$, where $H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)$ is the harmonic mean of $a$ and $b$.

In [11, 12], the authors proved that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{p_{1}}(a, b)<M(a, b)<L_{q_{1}}(a, b),  \tag{8}\\
& L_{p_{2}}(a, b)<T(a, b)<L_{q_{2}}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p_{1} \leq p_{1}^{*}$, $q_{1} \geq 2, p_{2} \leq 3, q_{2} \geq q_{2}^{*}$, where $p_{1}^{*}=1.843 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=2 \log (1+\sqrt{2})$ on the interval $(0, \infty), q_{2}^{*}=3.152 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(q+1)^{1 / q}=\pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$, $M(a, b)=(a-b) /\left[2 \sinh ^{-1}((a-b) /(a+b))\right]$ is the NeumanSándor mean, and $T(a, b)=(a-b) /[2 \arctan ((a-b) /(a+b))]$ is the second Seiffert mean.

In [13, 14], the authors presented the best possible parameters $p_{1}=p_{1}(q), p_{2}=p_{2}(q), \lambda=\lambda(\alpha)$, and $\mu=\mu(\alpha)$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{p_{1}}(a, b) & <\left[L\left(a^{q}, b^{q}\right)\right]^{1 / q}<L_{p_{2}}(a, b), \\
L_{\lambda}(a, b) & <G^{\alpha}(a, b)\left[\frac{A(a, b)+G(a, b)}{2}\right]^{1-\alpha}  \tag{9}\\
& <L_{\mu}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b, q>0$ with $q \neq 1$ and $\alpha \in$ $(0,2 / 3) \cup(2 / 3,1)$.

Gao et al. [15] provided the greatest value $\alpha$ and the least value $\beta$ such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\alpha}(a, b)<P(a, b)<L_{\beta}(a, b) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $P(a, b)=(a-$ $b) /[2 \arcsin ((a-b) /(a+b))]$ is the first Seiffert mean of $a$ and b.

Very recently, Yang [16] introduced the Yang mean

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(a, b)=\frac{a-b}{\sqrt{2} \arctan ((a-b) / \sqrt{2 a b})} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of two distinct positive real numbers $a$ and $b$ and proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(a, b)<U(a, b)<T(a, b) \\
& \frac{G(a, b) T(a, b)}{A(a, b)}<U(a, b)<\frac{P(a, b) Q(a, b)}{A(a, b)}, \\
& Q^{1 / 2}(a, b)\left[\frac{2 G(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{3}\right]^{1 / 2}<U(a, b) \\
& \quad<Q^{2 / 3}(a, b)\left[\frac{G(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{2}\right]^{1 / 3},  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{G(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{2}<U(a, b) \\
& \quad<\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{G(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{3} Q^{1 / 2}(a, b)\right]^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $Q(a, b)=\sqrt{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2}$ is the quadratic mean of $a$ and $b$.

The Yang mean $U(a, b)$ is the special case of the Seiffert type mean $T_{M, q}(a, b)=(a-b) /[q \arctan ((a-b) /(q M(a, b)))]$ defined by Toader in [17], where $M(a, b)$ is a bivariate mean and $q$ is a positive real number. Indeed, $U(a, b)=T_{G, \sqrt{2}}(a, b)$.

In $[18,19]$, the authors proved that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{G(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{2}\right)^{p}+\frac{1}{3} Q^{p}(a, b)\right]^{1 / p}<U(a, b)} \\
& <\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{G(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{2}\right)^{q}+\frac{1}{3} Q^{q}(a, b)\right]^{1 / q} \\
& \frac{2^{1-\lambda}(G(a, b)+Q(a, b))^{\lambda} Q(a, b)+G(a, b) Q^{\lambda}(a, b)}{2^{1-\lambda}(G(a, b)+Q(a, b))^{\lambda}+Q^{\lambda}(a, b)}  \tag{13}\\
& <U(a, b) \\
& <\frac{2^{1-\mu}(G(a, b)+Q(a, b))^{\mu} Q(a, b)+G(a, b) Q^{\mu}(a, b)}{2^{1-\mu}(G(a, b)+Q(a, b))^{\mu}+Q^{\mu}(a, b)} \\
& M_{\alpha}(a, b)<U(a, b)<M_{\beta}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq p_{0}, q \geq 1 / 5$, $\lambda \geq 1 / 5, \mu \leq p_{1}, \alpha \leq 2 \log 2 /(2 \log \pi-\log 2)$, and $\beta \geq 4 / 3$, where $p_{0}=0.1941 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation
$p \log (2 / \pi)-\log \left(1+2^{1-p}\right)+\log 3=0$ on the interval $(1 / 10, \infty)$, and $p_{1}=\log (\pi-2) / \log 2=0.1910 \cdots$.

Zhou et al. [20] proved that $\alpha=1 / 2$ and $\beta=\log 3 /(1+$ $\log 2)=0.6488 \cdots$ are the best possible parameters such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{a^{\alpha}+(a b)^{\alpha / 2}+b^{\alpha}}{3}\right]^{1 / \alpha}<U(a, b)}  \tag{14}\\
& \quad<\left[\frac{a^{\beta}+(a b)^{\beta / 2}+b^{\beta}}{3}\right]^{1 / \beta}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
The main purpose of this paper is to present the best possible parameters $p$ and $q$ such that the double inequality $L_{p}(a, b)<U(a, b)<L_{q}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. As application, we derive several sharp inequalities involving the trigonometric, hyperbolic, and inverse trigonometric functions. Some complicated computations are carried out using Mathematica computer algebra system.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main result we need two lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 1. Let $n \geq 4,-\infty \leq a<b \leq+\infty, f_{0}, f_{i}, f_{i}^{*}$ : $(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ben-times differentiable functions such that $f_{i}(x)=$ $f_{i}^{*}(x) f_{i-1}^{\prime}(x)$ and $f_{i}^{*}(x)>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in(a, b)$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n-2}\left(a^{-}\right) & <0, \\
f_{n-2}\left(b^{+}\right) & >0,  \tag{15}\\
f_{n-1}\left(a^{-}\right) & \geq 0, \\
f_{i}\left(a^{-}\right) & \leq 0,  \tag{16}\\
f_{i}\left(b^{+}\right) & >0
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(a, b)$, then there exists $x_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that $f_{0}(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(a, x_{0}\right)$ and $f_{0}(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(x_{0}, b\right)$.

Proof. From (15) and (17) we clearly see that there exists $x_{n-2} \in(a, b)$ such that $f_{n-2}(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(a, x_{n-2}\right)$ and $f_{n-2}(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(x_{n-2}, b\right)$, which implies that $f_{n-3}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on ( $a, x_{n-2}$ ] and strictly increasing on $\left[x_{n-2}, b\right)$. Then (16) leads to the conclusion that there exists $x_{n-3} \in(a, b)$ such that $f_{n-3}(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(a, x_{n-3}\right)$ and $f_{n-3}(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(x_{n-3}, b\right)$.

Making use of (16) and the same method as above we know that for $0 \leq i \leq n-4$ there exists $x_{i} \in(a, b)$ such that $f_{i}(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(a, x_{i}\right)$ and $f_{i}(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(x_{i}, b\right)$.

Lemma 2. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x, p)= & p x^{4 p+10}-2(p+1) x^{4 p+8} \\
& +2(3 p-1) x^{4 p+6}-2(p+1) x^{4 p+4} \\
& -(3 p+2) x^{4 p+2}-p(2 p+1) x^{2 p+10} \\
& +\left(2 p^{2}+5 p+4\right) x^{2 p+8}+4(1-p) x^{2 p+6} \\
& +4(1-p) x^{2 p+4}+\left(2 p^{2}+5 p+4\right) x^{2 p+2}  \tag{18}\\
& -p(2 p+1) x^{2 p}-(3 p+2) x^{8} \\
& -2(p+1) x^{6}+2(3 p-1) x^{4} \\
& -2(p+1) x^{2}+p .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the following statements are true:
(1) if $p=2$, then $f(x, p)>0$ for all $x \in(1, \infty)$;
(2) if $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$ and $p=p_{0}$, then there exists $\lambda \in(1, \infty)$ such that $f(x, p)<0$ for $x \in(1, \lambda)$ and $f(x, p)>0$ for $x \in(\lambda, \infty)$.

Proof. For part (1), if $p=2$, then (18) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, p)=2(x-1)^{6}(x+1)^{6}\left(x^{2}+1\right)^{3} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, part (1) follows from (19).
For part (2), let $p=p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty), f_{1}(x, p)=(1 / 2 x) \partial f(x, p) / \partial x, f_{2}(x, p)=(1 /$ $2 x) \partial f_{1}(x, p) / \partial x, f_{3}(x, p)=(1 / 2 x) \partial f_{2}(x, p) / \partial x, f_{4}(x, p)=$ $(1 / 2 x) \partial f_{3}(x, p) / \partial x, f_{5}(x, p)=\left(x^{9-2 p} / 2 p\right) \partial f_{4}(x, p) / \partial x, f_{6}(x$, $p)=(1 / 2(p+1) x) \partial f_{5}(x, p) / \partial x, f_{7}(x, p)=(1 / 8 x) \partial f_{6}(x$, $p) / \partial x, f_{8}(x, p)=(1 / 2 x) \partial f_{7}(x, p) / \partial x$, and $f_{9}(x, p)=$ $(1 / 2 x) \partial f_{8}(x, p) / \partial x$. Then elaborated computations lead to
$f\left(1^{-}, p\right)=0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{1}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{1}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{2}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{3}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{3}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{4}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=-48 p^{2}(2-p)(p+1)<0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{4}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{5}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=-120 p(p+1)(2-p)(2 p+1)<0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{5}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{6}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=-80 p(p+1)\left(-7 p^{2}+8 p+8\right)<0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{6}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{7}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=5 p(p+1)\left(44 p^{3}+16 p^{2}-47 p-58\right)$
$<0$,
$\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{7}(x, p)=+\infty$,
$f_{8}\left(1^{-}, p\right)=p\left(288 p^{5}+606 p^{4}+1009 p^{3}+1676 p^{2}\right.$ $+1571 p+250)>0$,
$f_{9}(x, p)=(p+2)^{2}(p+3)(p+4)(p+5)(2 p+1)$

$$
\cdot(2 p+3)(2 p+5) x^{2 p+2}-4(p+1)^{2}(p+2)^{2}(p
$$

$$
+3)(p+4)(2 p+1)(2 p+3) x^{2 p}+2 p(p+1)(p
$$

$$
+2)(p+3)\left(4 p^{2}-1\right)(2 p+3)(3 p-1) x^{2 p-2}
$$

$$
-4 p\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}(p+2)\left(4 p^{2}-1\right) x^{2 p-4}-p(p
$$

$$
-1)^{2}(p-2)(2 p-3)\left(4 p^{2}-1\right)(3 p+2) x^{2 p-6}
$$

$$
-30(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)(p+5)(2 p+1) x^{2}
$$

$$
+6(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)\left(2 p^{2}+5 p+4\right)>(p
$$

$$
+2)(p+3)(p+4)(2 p+1)[(p+2)(p+5)
$$

$$
\cdot(2 p+3)(2 p+5)-4(p+1)^{2}(p+2)(2 p+3)
$$

$$
-30(p+5)] \times x^{2 p+2}+[2 p(p+1)(p+2)
$$

$$
\cdot(p+3)\left(4 p^{2}-1\right)(2 p+3)(3 p-1)
$$

$$
-4 p\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}(p+2)\left(4 p^{2}-1\right)-p(p-1)^{2}
$$

$$
\cdot(p-2)(2 p-3)\left(4 p^{2}-1\right)(3 p+2)+6(p+2)
$$

$$
\left.\cdot(p+3)(p+4)\left(2 p^{2}+5 p+4\right)\right] x^{2 p-4}=(p+2)
$$

$$
\cdot(p+3)(p+4)(2 p+1)\left[-4 p^{4}+79 p^{2}+159 p\right.
$$

$$
-24] x^{2 p+2}+\left(8 p^{8}+428 p^{7}+694 p^{6}+781 p^{5}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+100 p^{4}+163 p^{3}+1250 p^{2}+1400 p+576\right) x^{2 p-4}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(1, \infty)$.

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from Lemma 1 and (20).

## 3. Main Result

Theorem 3. The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(a, b)<U(a, b)<L_{q}(a, b) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq p_{0}$ and $q \geq 2$, where $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Since $U(a, b)$ and $L_{p}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we assume that $a=x^{2}>1$ and $b=1$. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \neq 0,-1$. Then (1) and (11) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log [U(a, b)]-\log \left[L_{p}(a, b)\right]=\log \left[U\left(x^{2}, 1\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\log \left[L_{p}\left(x^{2}, 1\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\log \left[\frac{x^{2}-1}{\sqrt{2} \arctan \left(\left(x^{2}-1\right) / \sqrt{2} x\right)}\right]-\frac{1}{p}  \tag{22}\\
& \quad \cdot \log \left[\frac{x^{2 p+2}-1}{(p+1)\left(x^{2}-1\right)}\right]=: F(x, p), \\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 1} F(x, p)=0,  \tag{23}\\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x, p)=\frac{1}{p} \log (p+1)+\frac{1}{2} \log 2-\log \pi  \tag{24}\\
& \frac{\partial F}{\partial(x, p)} \\
& \partial x \tag{25}
\end{align*} \quad=\frac{2(p+1) x\left(x^{2 p}-1\right)}{p\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{2 p+2}-1\right) \arctan \left(\left(x^{2}-1\right) / \sqrt{2} x\right)} .
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(x, p) \\
& \quad=\arctan \left(\frac{x^{2}-1}{\sqrt{2} x}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& \quad-\frac{p\left(x^{4}-1\right)\left(x^{2 p+2}-1\right)}{\sqrt{2}(p+1) x\left(x^{4}+1\right)\left(x^{2 p}-1\right)} \\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 1} F_{1}(x, p)=0  \tag{27}\\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F_{1}(x, p)=-\infty  \tag{28}\\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F_{1}(x, p)}{\partial x}
\end{aligned} \\
& \quad=-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2(p+1) x^{2}\left(x^{4}+1\right)^{2}\left(x^{2 p}-1\right)^{2}} f(x, p) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(x, p)$ is defined by (15).

We divide the proof into four cases.
Case $1(p=2)$. Then from Lemma 2(1) and (29) we clearly see that the function $x \rightarrow F_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1, \infty)$. Then (27) leads to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(x, p)<0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(1, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(a, b)<L_{2}(a, b) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows easily from (22), (23), (25), and (30).
Case $2\left(p=p_{0}\right)$. Then from Lemma 2(2) and (29) we know that there exists $\lambda \in(1, \infty)$ such that the function $x \rightarrow$ $F_{1}(x, p)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \lambda]$ and strictly decreasing on $[\lambda, \infty)$.

It follows from (25)-(28) and the piecewise monotonicity of the function $x \rightarrow F_{1}(x, p)$ that there exists $\lambda^{*} \in(1, \infty)$ such that the function $x \rightarrow F(x, p)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(1, \lambda^{*}\right]$ and strictly decreasing on $\left[\lambda^{*}, \infty\right)$.

Note that (24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x, p)=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(a, b)>L_{p_{0}}(a, b) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows easily from (22), (23), and (32) together with the piecewise monotonicity of the function $x \rightarrow F(x, p)$.

Case $3(p<2)$. Let $x>0$ and $x \rightarrow 0$; then making use of Taylor expansion we get

$$
\begin{align*}
U(1 & +x, 1)-L_{p}(1+x, 1) \\
= & \frac{x}{\sqrt{2} \arctan (x / \sqrt{2(1+x)})}  \tag{34}\\
& -\left[\frac{(1+x)^{p+1}-1}{(p+1) x}\right]^{1 / p}=\frac{2-p}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (34) implies that there exists small enough $\delta \in$ $(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(1+x, 1)>L_{p}(1+x, 1) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(0, \delta)$.
Case $4\left(p>p_{0}\right)$. Then from (24) and the fact that the function $p \rightarrow \log (p+1) / p$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x, p)<\frac{1}{p_{0}} \log \left(1+p_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log 2-\log \pi=0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (22) and inequality (36) imply that there exists large enough $X>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x^{2}, 1\right)<L_{p}\left(x^{2}, 1\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in(X, \infty)$.

## 4. Applications

As applications of Theorem 3 in engineering problems, we present several sharp inequalities involving the trigonometric, hyperbolic, and inverse trigonometric functions in this section.

From (1) and (11) together with Theorem 3 we get Theorem 4 immediately.

Theorem 4. Let $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{6}(a-b)}{2 \sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}}} & <\arctan \left(\frac{a-b}{\sqrt{2 a b}}\right) \\
& <\frac{\pi(a-b)^{1+1 / p_{0}}}{2\left(a^{p_{0}+1}-b^{p_{0}+1}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Let $t>0, b=1$, and $a=t^{2}+t \sqrt{t^{2}+2}+1$. Then Theorem 4 leads to the following.

Theorem 5. Let $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\sqrt{6}\left(t^{2}+t \sqrt{t^{2}+2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2 t^{4}+5 t^{2}+\left(2 t^{3}+3 t\right) \sqrt{t^{2}+2}+3}}<\arctan (t) \\
<\frac{\pi\left(t^{2}+t \sqrt{t^{2}+2}\right)^{1+1 / p_{0}}}{2\left[\left(t^{2}+t \sqrt{t^{2}+2}\right)^{1+p_{0}}-1\right]^{1 / p_{0}}} \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

holds for all $t>0$.
Let $a>b>0, x=\log \sqrt{a / b} \in(0, \infty)$. Then (1) and (11) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{L_{p}(a, b)}{\sqrt{a b}}=\left[\frac{\sinh (p+1) x}{(p+1) \sinh x}\right]^{1 / p} \\
& \frac{U(a, b)}{\sqrt{a b}}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \sinh x}{\arctan (\sqrt{2} \sinh x)} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Theorem 3 and (40) that one has the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{6} \sinh x}{\sqrt{3+4 \sinh ^{2} x}} & <\arctan (\sqrt{2} \sinh x) \\
& <\pi\left(\frac{\sinh x}{\sinh \left(p_{0}+1\right) x}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $x>0$.

Let $a>b>0, x=\arcsin [(a-b) /(a+b)] \in(0, \pi / 2)$. Then (1) and (11) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{L_{p}(a, b)}{\sqrt{a b}} \\
& =\left[\frac{((1+\sin x) / \cos x)^{p+1}-(\cos x /(1+\sin x))^{p+1}}{2(p+1) \tan x}\right]^{1 / p},  \tag{42}\\
& \frac{U(a, b)}{\sqrt{a b}}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \tan x}{\arctan (\sqrt{2} \tan x)}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3 and (42) lead to the following.
Theorem 7. Let $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{6} \sin x}{\sqrt{3+\sin ^{2} x}} & <\arctan (\sqrt{2} \tan x) \\
& <\frac{2^{1 / p_{0}} \pi \sin ^{1+1 / p_{0}} x}{\left[(1+\sin x)^{1+p_{0}}-(1-\sin x)^{1+p_{0}}\right]^{1 / p_{0}}} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $x \in(0, \pi / 2)$.
Let $a>b>0, x=\arctan ((a-b) /(a+b)) \in(0, \pi / 4)$, $y=\sinh ^{-1}((a-b) /(a+b)) \in(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$. Then from (1) and (11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{L_{p}(a, b)}{\sqrt{a b}}=\left(\frac{1}{2(p+1)}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos (2 x)}}\left[\frac{(\cos x+\sin x)^{p+1}-(\cos x-\sin x)^{p+1}}{\sin x}\right]^{1 / p} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{2(p+1)}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{44}\\
& \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sinh ^{2}(y)}}\left[\frac{(1+\sinh y)^{p+1}-(1-\sinh y)^{p+1}}{\sinh y}\right]^{1 / p}, \\
& \frac{U(a, b)}{\sqrt{a b}}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \sin x / \sqrt{\cos (2 x)}}{\arctan (\sqrt{2} \sin x / \sqrt{\cos (2 x)})} \\
& \quad=\frac{\sqrt{2} \sinh y / \sqrt{1-\sinh ^{2} y}}{\arctan \left(\sqrt{2} \sinh y / \sqrt{1-\sinh ^{2} y}\right)} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

From (44), (45), and Theorem 3 one has the following.
Theorem 8. Let $p_{0}=0.5451 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{2} \pi / 2$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sqrt{6} \sin x}{\sqrt{2+\cos (2 x)}}<\arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \sin x}{\sqrt{\cos (2 x)}}\right) \\
& <\frac{2^{1 / p_{0}} \pi \sin ^{1+1 / p_{0}} x}{\left[(\cos x+\sin x)^{1+1 / p_{0}}-(\cos x-\sin x)^{1+1 / p_{0}}\right]^{1 / p_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sqrt{6} \sinh y}{\sqrt{3+\sinh ^{2} y}}<\arctan \left(\frac{2 \sinh y}{\sqrt{3-\cosh (2 y)}}\right) \\
& <\frac{2^{1 / p_{0}} \pi \sinh ^{1+1 / p_{0}} y}{\left[(1+\sinh y)^{1+1 / p_{0}}-(1-\sinh y)^{1+1 / p_{0}}\right]^{1 / p_{0}}} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $x \in(0, \pi / 4)$ and $y \in(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$.
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