

## BETTI NUMBERS OF BUCHSBAUM COMPLEXES

ANDERS BJÖRNER and TAKAYUKI HIBI

### Abstract.

Given non-negative integers  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  we construct a  $d$ -dimensional Buchsbaum complex  $\Delta$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\beta_i}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . This demonstrates (via [6]) the existence of Stanley-Reisner rings with arbitrarily prescribed Betti numbers for local cohomology.

Let  $\Delta$  be a finite simplicial complex of dimension  $d$ ,  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; F)$  the  $i$ th reduced simplicial homology group of  $\Delta$  over a field  $F$ , and  $\beta_i$  the dimension of  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; F)$  as a vector space over  $F$ . The (reduced) *Betti number sequence* of  $\Delta$  over  $F$  is  $\beta(\Delta; F) := (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ .

It is not difficult to prove that, for every sequence  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  of non-negative integers, there exists a  $d$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $\Delta$  such that  $\beta(\Delta; F) = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$  for all fields  $F$ . Here we should remark that if, moreover,  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum, then  $\tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta; F)$  is isomorphic to the  $i$ -th local cohomology module of the Stanley-Reisner ring  $F[\Delta]$  of  $\Delta$  over  $F$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ), see Schenzel [6, p. 134].

On the other hand, given integers  $d \geq 0$  and  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d \geq 0$ , there exists a Buchsbaum local ring  $A$  of dimension  $d + 1$  with maximal ideal  $m$  such that the dimension of the  $i$ th local cohomology module  $H_m^i(A)$  as a vector space over  $A/m$  is equal to  $\beta_i$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq d$  (cf. Goto [3]). Thus it seems to be reasonable to expect that, for every sequence  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  of non-negative integers, there exists a  $d$ -dimensional Buchsbaum complex  $\Delta$  such that  $\beta(\Delta; F) := (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$  for all fields  $F$ . It is the purpose of this paper to prove that this is indeed the case.

**THEOREM.** *Given non-negative integers  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  there exists a  $d$ -dimensional Buchsbaum complex  $\Delta$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\beta_i}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . (It follows that  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum over  $F$  and has Betti number sequence  $\beta(\Delta; F) = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$  for every field  $F$ .)*

Now, let us recall some fundamental definitions on simplicial complexes. All simplicial complexes  $\Delta$  considered in this paper are finite. Each element  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta$  is

called a *face*. The *dimension* of  $\Delta$ , denoted by  $\dim \Delta$ , is  $\max \{ \#(\sigma); \sigma \in \Delta \} - 1$ , where  $\#(\sigma)$  is the cardinality of  $\sigma$  as a set. We say that  $\Delta$  is *pure* if every facet (maximal face) of  $\Delta$  has the same cardinality. The *link* of a face  $\sigma \in \Delta$  is the subcomplex  $\text{link}_\Delta(\sigma) := \{ \tau \in \Delta; \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset, \sigma \cup \tau \in \Delta \}$ .

Let  $F$  be a field. A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called *Buchsbaum* over  $F$  if

- (i)  $\Delta$  is pure (cf. Remark 1 below), and
- (ii)  $\tilde{H}_i(\text{link}_\Delta(\sigma); F) = 0$  for every non-empty face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta$  and for every  $i \neq \dim(\text{link}_\Delta(\sigma))$ .

Moreover, we can define Buchsbaum complex over  $\mathbb{Z}$  (the set of integers) in the obvious way. Note that  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum over  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum over every field  $F$ . For example, if the geometric realization of  $\Delta$  is a manifold (with or without boundary), then  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For the ring-theoretic background to the Buchsbaum property, see [5] or [6].

In what follows the Buchsbaum property and all homology groups will be considered over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  are Buchsbaum complexes of dimension  $d$ . Then  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$  is also a Buchsbaum complex of dimension  $d$ . Furthermore, if  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  is acyclic then  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) \cong \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_2)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*

PROOF. The simple argument is based on the (reduced) Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence:

$$(*) \quad \dots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

Consider  $\text{link}_{\Delta_1}(\sigma)$  and  $\text{link}_{\Delta_2}(\sigma)$  instead of  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  in (\*), and the vanishing of lower-dimensional homology on  $\text{link}_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}(\sigma)$  follows immediately, since

$$\text{link}_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}(\sigma) = \text{link}_{\Delta_i}(\sigma), \text{ if } \sigma \in \Delta_i - \Delta_{3-i} \text{ (} i = 1, 2\text{),}$$

$$\text{link}_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}(\sigma) = \text{link}_{\Delta_1}(\sigma) \cup \text{link}_{\Delta_2}(\sigma), \text{ if } \sigma \in \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2,$$

$$\text{link}_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2}(\sigma) = \text{link}_{\Delta_1}(\sigma) \cap \text{link}_{\Delta_2}(\sigma), \text{ if } \sigma \in \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2.$$

If  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = 0$  for every  $i$ , then (\*) gives  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) \cong \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_2)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$  as required.

LEMMA 2. *For every  $0 \leq k \leq d$ , there exists a  $d$ -dimensional Buchsbaum complex  $\Gamma_k$  such that*

$$\tilde{H}_i(\Gamma_k) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $\Gamma_k$  be any triangulation of the space  $S^k \times I^{d-k}$ , the product of the  $k$ -sphere with  $d - k$  copies of the unit interval. Since  $S^k \times I^{d-k}$  is a manifold (with boundary if  $k \neq d$ ), the simplicial complex  $\Gamma_k$  is a Buchsbaum complex. Further-

more,  $\Gamma_k$  has the same homology as  $S^k$ . (In fact,  $S^k$  is a strong deformation retract of  $\Gamma_k$ .)

We are now in the position to prove the theorem. First note that a  $d$ -simplex  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum over  $Z$  with  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; Z) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Thus, it suffices to show that if there exists a  $d$ -dimensional Buchsbaum complex  $\Delta$  with  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; Z) \cong Z^{\beta_i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), and if  $0 \leq k \leq d$ , then there exists a  $d$ -dimensional Buchsbaum complex  $\Delta'$  with  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta'; Z) \cong Z^{\beta_i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d, i \neq k$ ) and  $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta'; Z) \cong Z^{\beta_k + 1}$ . For this, choose any facet  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta$  and any facet  $\tau$  of the simplicial complex  $\Gamma_k$  of Lemma 2. Identifying  $\sigma$  with  $\tau$  yields a new complex  $\Delta'$  which is Buchsbaum over  $Z$  with  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta'; Z) \cong Z^{\beta_i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d, i \neq k$ ) and  $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta'; Z) \cong Z^{\beta_k + 1}$  by Lemma 1, as desired.

REMARK 1. (On the definition of Buchsbaum complexes). Let us call a finite simplicial complex  $\Delta$  *locally Cohen-Macaulay* if  $\text{link}_\Delta(v)$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every vertex (0-dimensional face)  $v$  of  $\Delta$ , cf. [1]. The definition given before Lemma 1 can then be restated as follows:  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum (over  $F$ ) if and only if  $\Delta$  is pure and locally Cohen-Macaulay (over  $F$ ). The purity condition has been overlooked by several authors on Buchsbaum complexes, and its necessity was pointed out by Miyazaki [5, Remark, p. 251]. We want to remark that for connected complexes the purity condition is not needed.

LEMMA 3. *A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is Buchsbaum if and only if  $\Delta$  is locally Cohen-Macaulay and all connected components have the same dimension.*

PROOF. Assume that  $\Delta$  is locally Cohen-Macaulay and that all connected components of  $\Delta$  are  $d$ -dimensional. We must show that they are pure. This comes down to showing that a connected locally Cohen-Macaulay complex is pure, which can be done with the standard argument for showing that a Cohen-Macaulay complex is pure: Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be facets of  $\Delta$ , and pick  $x \in \sigma, y \in \tau$ . Since  $\Delta$  is connected there exists an edge path  $x = x_0 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_{n-1} - x_n = y$ . Let  $\sigma_0 = \sigma, \sigma_{n+1} = \tau$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let  $\sigma_i$  be a facet containing  $\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ . Since  $\text{link}_\Delta(x_i)$  is pure,  $\dim(\sigma_i) = \dim(\sigma_{i+1})$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

The argument just given can also be adapted to prove that every pair of facets in a connected Buchsbaum complex can be connected by a sequence of facets with successive intersections of codimension one (a "dual path").

REMARK 2. (On  $f$ -vectors of Buchsbaum complexes). The sequences (3, 1) and (4, 4, 1) are  $f$ -vectors of unique simplicial complexes. The first of these complexes is locally Cohen-Macaulay but not pure, the second is not even locally Cohen-Macaulay. This shows that not all possible  $f$ -vectors and  $(f, \beta)$ -pairs [2] can be realised by Buchsbaum (or locally Cohen-Macaulay) complexes. It would, of course, be of great interest to find a characterization of  $f$ -vectors and  $(f, \beta)$ -pairs for Buchsbaum complexes (and for the more general classes of pure complexes (cf.

[4]) and of locally Cohen-Macaulay complexes). Stanley [7] has characterized the  $f$ -vectors (and hence also the  $(f, \beta)$ -pairs) for Cohen-Macaulay complexes.

REMARK 3. (A strengthening of the main result). For good choices of the triangulations  $\Gamma_k$ , the complex  $\Delta$  constructed to prove the Theorem can be made "homotopy Buchsbaum" in the following sense:  $\text{link}_\Delta(\sigma)$  is topologically  $(d - 1 - \#(\sigma))$ -connected for every non-empty face  $\sigma \in \Delta$ , and  $\Delta$  is homotopy equivalent to a  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ -wedge of spheres.

REMARK 4. It seems difficult to strengthen the main result in other directions than as in Remark 3. For instance, it cannot for any  $d \geq 1$  be asserted that  $\Delta$  is a manifold. One obvious constraint for this is Poincaré duality, which for a connected manifold with  $\beta_d = 1$  forces a symmetry condition  $\beta_i = \beta_{d-i}$ ,  $0 < i < d$ . If  $d$  is odd then Poincaré symmetry is both necessary and sufficient for nonnegative integers  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  to be the Betti number sequence of some connected closed orientable manifold. However, if  $d$  is even special constraints on the middle Betti number  $\beta_{d/2}$  may exist, depending on  $d$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Baclawski, *Cohen-Macaulay ordered sets*, J. Algebra 63 (1980), 226–258.
2. A. Björner and G. Kalai, *An extended Euler-Poincaré theorem*, Acta Math. 161 (1988), 279–303.
3. S. Goto, *On Buchsbaum rings*, J. Algebra 67 (1980), 272–279.
4. T. Hibi, *What can be said about pure 0-sequences?*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 50 (1989), 319–322.
5. M. Miyazaki, *Characterizations of Buchsbaum complexes*, Manuscripta Math. 63 (1989), 245–254.
6. P. Schenzel, *On the number of faces of simplicial complexes and the purity of Frobenius*, Math. Z. 178 (1981), 125–142.
7. R. Stanley, *Cohen-Macaulay complexes*, in *Higher Combinatorics* (M. Aigner, ed.), Reidel, Boston, 1977, pp. 51–62.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
S-100 44 STOCKHOLM  
SWEDEN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
NAGOYA UNIVERSITY  
CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA 464-01  
JAPAN