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#### Abstract

Let $A=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field $K$, let $\mathscr{M}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be the graded maximal ideal and $I$ a graded ideal of $A$. For each $i$ the Betti numbers $\beta_{i}\left(I^{k}\right)$ of $I^{k}$ are polynomial functions for $k \gg 0$. We show that if $I$ is $\mathscr{M}$-primar, then these polynomial functions have the same degree for all $i$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field $K$ and $I \subset A$ be a graded ideal. Many authors studied the resolution of the graded ideal $I^{k}, k>0$. More precisely they are interested to the total Betti numbers, the graded Betti numbers and the regularity of $I^{k}$ as a function of $k$, see ([3]) for a survey on these results. To study these invariants one considers the Rees algebra $\mathscr{R}(I)$ of $I$, since $I^{k}$ is its $k$ th graded component. Let $S=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]$ be a bigraded polynomial ring over the field $K$ with $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=(1,0)$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ and $\operatorname{deg} y_{j}=\left(d_{j}, 1\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. The Rees algebra $\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{R}(I)=$ $\oplus_{k \geq 0} I^{k} t^{k} \subset S[t]$ is a finitely generated bigraded module over $S$, and $\mathscr{R}(I)_{(*, k)}=$ $\oplus_{j} \mathscr{R}(I)_{(j, k)}=I^{k}$. In our paper, we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the total Betti numbers of $I^{k}$. Kodiyalam [2] proved that there are polynomials $P_{i}(t)$ with $P_{i}(k)=\beta_{i}\left(I^{k}\right)$ for $k \gg 0$, and Singla [3] showed $\operatorname{deg} P_{i+1}(t) \leq \operatorname{deg} P_{i}(t)$
for any $i \geq 0$. Now we prove that, if $I$ is an $\mathscr{M}$-primary graded ideal of $A$ where $\mathscr{M}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the graded maximal ideal of $A$, then $\operatorname{deg} P_{i+1}(t)=\operatorname{deg} P_{i}(t)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

## 2. Notation and Results

Let $A=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field $K$, and let $\mathscr{M}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \subset A$ be the graded maximal ideal of $A$. Let $I \subset A$ be a graded ideal, minimally generated by the homogeneous elements $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{s}$ with $\operatorname{deg} f_{i}=d_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$, and let $\mathscr{R}(I)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I^{k} t^{k} \subset S[t]$ be the Rees algebra of $I$.

Let $S=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]$ be a bigraded polynomial ring over the field $K$ with $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=(1,0)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\operatorname{deg} y_{j}=\left(d_{j}, 1\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Then the $K$-algebra homomorphism $S \rightarrow \mathscr{R}(I)$ induced by $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}$ and $y_{j} \mapsto f_{j} t$ is a surjective homomorphism of bigraded $K$-algebras (provided we assign to an element $f t^{k} \in \mathscr{R}(I)$ the natural bidegree $(\operatorname{deg} f, k)$ ). Thus $\mathscr{R}(I)$ may be viewed as bigraded $S$-module.

Let $W$ by a graded $A$-module. The numbers $\beta_{i, j}(W)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}(K, W)_{j}$ are called the graded Betti numbers of the module $W$, and the numbers

$$
\beta_{i}(W)=\sum_{j} \beta_{i j}(W)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}(K, W)
$$

are called the total Betti number of $W$.
Now let $N$ be any finitely generated bigraded $S$-module. We set

$$
N^{(k)}=\bigoplus_{i} N_{(i, k)}
$$

Then for each $k, N^{(k)}$ is a graded $A$-module. In the special case $\mathrm{m} N=\mathscr{R}(I)$, we have $\mathscr{R}(I)^{(k)}=I^{k}$.

We quote the following results from [2] and [3, Theorem 2.2.4]:
Theorem 2.1. With the assumptions and notation introduced one has:
(a) (Kodiyalam) There exist polynomials $P_{i}^{N}$ such that $P_{i}^{N}(k)=\beta_{i}\left(N^{(k)}\right)$ for all $k \gg 0$.
(b) (Singla) $\operatorname{deg} P_{i+1}^{N} \leq \operatorname{deg} P_{i}^{N}$ for all $i \geq 0$.

In this note we show
Theorem 2.2. Let $I \subset A=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a $\mathscr{M}$-primary ideal. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg} P_{0}^{I}=\operatorname{deg} P_{1}^{I}=\cdots=\operatorname{deg} P_{n-1}^{I}=n-1
$$

For the proof of the theorem we need the following simple

Lemma 2.3. Let $(R, \mathscr{N})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension 1, and let $I \subset \mathscr{N}$ be a nonzero ideal. Then $R: I \neq R$.

Proof. The assumptions imply that $R / I$ is a local ring of dimension 0 . Therefore, there exists an integer $k>0$ such that $(\mathscr{N} / I)^{k}=(0)$. This implies that $\mathscr{N}^{k} \subset I$. Hence $R: \mathscr{N} \subset R: \mathscr{N}^{k} \subset R: I$, and it is enough to prove that $R$ is a proper subset of $R: \mathscr{N}$. In order to see this, consider the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{N} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / \mathscr{N} \rightarrow 0
$$

This sequence yields the exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / \mathscr{N}, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathscr{N}, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R / \mathscr{N}, R) \rightarrow 0$.
Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / \mathscr{N}, R)=0$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R, R)=R$, it follows that $(R: \mathscr{N}) / R \cong$ Ext ${ }^{1}(R / \mathscr{N}, R)$, and since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(R / \mathscr{N}, R) \neq 0$, we conclude that $R \neq R: \mathscr{N}$, as desired.

Now we are ready to prove our main result.
Proof of 2.2. We observe that $\beta_{0}\left(I^{k}\right)=\mu\left(I^{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(I^{k} / \mathscr{M} I^{k}\right)$, where $\mu\left(I^{k}\right)$ is the minimal number of generators of $I^{k}$. Thus $\beta_{0}\left(I^{k}\right)$ is the Hilbert function of $\overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}=\mathscr{R}(I) / \mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)$, and hence $\beta_{0}\left(I^{k}\right)$ is a polynomial function for $k \gg 0$ (which we denoted by $P_{0}^{I}$ ), whose degree is $\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}-1$. Since $I$ is $\mathscr{M}$-primary, one has, according to $[1,4.6 .13]$, that $\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}=n$, so that $\operatorname{deg} P_{0}^{I}=n-1$.

By Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{deg} P_{0}^{I} \geq \operatorname{deg} P_{1}^{I} \geq \cdots \geq \operatorname{deg} P_{n-1}^{I}$. Thus it remains to prove that $\operatorname{deg} P_{n-1}^{I}=n-1$.

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n-1}\left(I^{k}\right) & =\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; I^{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Tor}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; A / I^{k}\right) \\
& \left.=\operatorname{dim}_{K} H_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; A / I^{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(I^{k}: \mathscr{M}\right) / I^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $P_{n-1}^{I}$ is the Hilbert polynomial of the graded $\overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}$-module

$$
(\mathscr{R}(I): \mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)) / \mathscr{R}(I),
$$

and hence $\operatorname{deg} P_{n-1}^{I}=d-1$, where $d=\operatorname{dim}(\mathscr{R}(I): \mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)) / \mathscr{R}(I)$.
In order to complete the proof of the theorem, we have to show that $d=n$. It is clear that $d \leq n$. Suppose $d<n$; then there exists a prime ideal $\mathscr{Q}$ of $\overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathscr{R}(I)} / \mathscr{Q}=\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}=n$, and such that $(\mathscr{R}(I): \mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)) / \mathscr{R}(I))_{\mathscr{Q}}=0$. Let $\mathscr{P} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{R}(I))$ be the preimage of $\mathscr{Q}$ under the canonical epimorphism $\mathscr{R}(I) \rightarrow \overline{\mathscr{R}(I)}$. Then $\mathscr{P}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $\mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)$ of height 1 , since

$$
\text { height } \mathscr{P}=\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{R}(I)-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{R}(I) / \mathscr{P}=
$$

$$
=(n+1)-\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathscr{R}(I)} / \mathscr{Q}=(n+1)-n=1
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{R}(I)_{\mathscr{P}}=1$, and $\left.(\mathscr{R}(I): \mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)) / \mathscr{R}(I)\right)_{\mathscr{Q}}=0$ implies that $\mathscr{R}(I)_{\mathscr{P}}: \mathscr{M} \mathscr{R}(I)_{\mathscr{P}}=\mathscr{R}(I)_{\mathscr{P}}$, contradicting Lemma 2.3.
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