

## Bicrossproduct structure of $\kappa$ -Poincare group and non-commutative geometry

MAJID, Shahn, RUEGG, Henri

MAJID, Shahn, RUEGG, Henri. Bicrossproduct structure of  $\kappa$ -Poincare group and non-commutative geometry. *Physics Letters. B*, 1994, vol. 334, no. 3-4, p. 348-354

DOI : 10.1016/0370-2693(94)90699-8

Available at:

<http://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:115288>

Disclaimer: layout of this document may differ from the published version.





ELSEVIER

18 August 1994

PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 334 (1994) 348–354

# Bicrossproduct structure of $\kappa$ -Poincare group and non-commutative geometry

S. Majid<sup>a,1</sup>, H. Ruegg<sup>b,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics & Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9EW, UK

<sup>b</sup> Département de Physique théorique, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

Received 29 May 1994

Editor: L. Alvarez-Gaumé

## Abstract

We show that the  $\kappa$ -deformed Poincaré quantum algebra proposed for elementary particle physics has the structure of a Hopf algebra bicrossproduct  $U(so(1,3)) \bowtie T$ . The algebra is a semidirect product of the classical Lorentz group  $so(1,3)$  acting in a deformed way on the momentum sector  $T$ . The novel feature is that the coalgebra is also semidirect, with a backreaction of the momentum sector on the Lorentz rotations. Using this, we show that the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré acts covariantly on a  $\kappa$ -Minkowski space, which we introduce. It turns out necessarily to be deformed and non-commutative. We also connect this algebra with a previous approach to Planck scale physics.

1. This is a note on the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra as introduced in [1,2] and studied extensively with a view to applications in elementary particle physics [3–7]. The idea behind this particular deformation, which is obtained by contraction [8], is that it is one of the weakest possible deformations of the usual Poincaré group as a Hopf algebra. Hence it provides an ideal testing-ground for possible applications in particle physics. The momenta remain commutative

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0 \quad (1)$$

and the rotation part of the Lorentz sector is also not deformed. Because of the mildness of the deformation, many particle constructions and predictions can be obtained easily.

Here we want to argue that in spite of this success, any application of the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré group to physics leads necessarily into non-commutative geometry. This is because until now it has not been possible to define an algebra of Minkowski space co-ordinates  $\{x_\mu\}$  on which the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré acts as a Hopf algebra. Recall that when usual groups act on algebras, one has

$$g \triangleright (ab) = (g \triangleright a)(g \triangleright b), \quad g \triangleright 1 = 1 \quad (2)$$

and the natural analogue of this for Hopf algebras is

$$h \triangleright (ab) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright a)(h_{(2)} \triangleright b), \quad h \triangleright 1 = \epsilon(h)1 \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta h = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} = \sum_i h_{(1)i} \otimes h_{(2)i}$  is the co-product. Without such a covariant action, one cannot make any products of the space-time generators  $x_\mu$  in a  $\kappa$ -Poincaré invariant way. This affects not only the many-particle theory but any expressions involving, for example,  $x^2$ . It means that until now, the actual coproduct structure has only been applied in con-

<sup>1</sup> SERC Fellow and Fellow of Pembroke College, Cambridge.

<sup>2</sup> Partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

nection with momentum space and not spacetime itself. Since the coproduct of the  $\kappa$ -Poincare is non-cocommutative, one cannot expect that it acts on the usual commutative algebra of functions on Minkowski space: it needs to be non-commutative or ‘quantum’.

Here we provide the correct notion of  $\kappa$ -Minkowski space and the action of  $\kappa$ -Poincare on it. We also understand the structure of the  $\kappa$ -Poincare as a deformation of the usual semidirect product structure. This then makes tractable the problem of representing it covariantly on the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski.

The abstract structure of the  $\kappa$ -Poincare turns out to be an example of a class of non-commutative non-cocommutative Hopf algebras (quantum groups) introduced some years ago by the first author in an algebraic approach to Planck-scale physics [9–11]. The context here was quite different, namely the Hopf algebra of observables of a quantum system rather than as a symmetry object. Thus we find in fact that the  $\kappa$ -Poincare algebra  $P_\kappa$  has two different physical interpretations, one as a quantum symmetry group and the other as a quantised phase space. Thus, we find

$$P_\kappa = U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)) \bowtie T = U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)) \bowtie \mathbb{C}(X) \quad (4)$$

where in the first picture  $T$  is the  $\kappa$ -deformed enveloping algebra of the momentum sector of the Poincare. In the second picture it is the algebra of functions of a classical but curved position part  $X$  of phase space. This second point of view is recalled briefly in the last section of this note.

**2.** The  $\kappa$ -Poincare algebra  $P_\kappa$ , (generators of translations  $P_\mu$ , rotations  $M_i$  and boosts  $\bar{N}_i$ ;  $\kappa$  real;  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ ;  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) is [2] :

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0, \quad [M_i, M_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} M_k, \quad (5)$$

$$[M_i, P_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} P_k, \quad [M_i, P_0] = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$[M_i, \bar{N}_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{N}_k, \quad (7)$$

$$[\bar{N}_i, P_0] = P_i, \quad [\bar{N}_i, P_j] = \delta_{ij} \kappa \sinh \frac{P_0}{\kappa}, \quad (8)$$

$$[\bar{N}_i, \bar{N}_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} (M_k \cosh \frac{P_0}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} P_k P M). \quad (9)$$

The coproducts are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_0 &= P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, \\ \Delta M_i &= M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta P_i = P_i \otimes e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} \otimes P_i, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \bar{N}_i &= \bar{N}_i \otimes e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} \otimes \bar{N}_i \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{2\kappa} (P_j \otimes M_k e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} M_j \otimes P_k). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The starting point of our structure theorem is the observation that  $P_\kappa$  contains  $T = \{P_\mu\}$  as a sub-Hopf algebra and projects onto  $U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3))$  also as a Hopf algebra map:

$$T \xrightarrow{i} P_\kappa \xrightarrow{\pi} U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)). \quad (13)$$

The map  $\pi$  consists of setting  $P_\mu = 0$  and mapping  $M_i$  and  $\bar{N}_i$  to their classical counterparts in the Lorentz group. It is easy to see that  $i, \pi$  are classical counterparts as

$$\pi(M_i) = M_i, \quad \pi(\bar{N}_i) = N_i. \quad (14)$$

To this, we add now the maps

$$\begin{aligned} T \xleftarrow{p} P_\kappa \xleftarrow{j} U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)), \\ \pi \circ j = \text{id}, \quad p \circ i = \text{id} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $j$  is an algebra homomorphism and  $p$  is a linear map which is a coalgebra homomorphism

$$(p \otimes p) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ p, \quad \epsilon \circ p = \epsilon. \quad (16)$$

Moreover,

$$(\text{id} \otimes j) \circ \Delta = (\pi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta \circ j \quad (17)$$

which says that  $j$  intertwines the coaction of  $U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3))$  on itself by  $\Delta$  and its coaction on  $P_\kappa$  by  $(\pi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta$ . Likewise

$$p(a)t = p(ai(t)), \quad a \in P_\kappa, \quad t \in T \quad (18)$$

which says that  $p$  intertwines the action of  $T$  on itself by right-multiplication, with its action on  $P_\kappa$  by  $i$  and multiplication in  $P_\kappa$ .

Indeed, we define

$$\begin{aligned} j(N_i) &\equiv \mathcal{N}_i = \bar{N}_i e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} - \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{2\kappa} M_j P_k e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}}, \\ j(M_i) &= M_i \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which one can show to be an algebra homomorphism. The new generators  $\mathcal{N}_i$  have coproducts

$$\Delta \mathcal{N}_i = \mathcal{N}_i \otimes 1 + e^{-\frac{r_0}{\kappa}} \otimes \mathcal{N}_i + \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{\kappa} P_j e^{-\frac{r_0}{2\kappa}} \otimes M_k \quad (20)$$

after which (17) is clear. We also define  $p$  as the map that sets  $M_i = \tilde{N}_i = 0$  and the properties (16), (18) are then clear.

Now, the data (13)–(18) say precisely that  $P_\kappa$  is a Hopf algebra extension of  $U(so(1, 3))$  by  $T$ . The general theory of Hopf algebra extensions has been introduced in [11–13] (the latter two covered the general case) and one knows that such extensions are semidirect products. There is also the possibility of cocycles but these vanish when  $j$  is an algebra homomorphism and  $p$  a coalgebra one, as in our case. We deduce from this theory that (a) the classical Lorentz algebra acts on  $T$  from the right by

$$t \triangleleft h = j(S h_{(1)}) t j(h_{(2)}), \quad \forall t \in T, \quad h \in U(so(1, 3)) \quad (21)$$

and (b)  $T$  coacts back on  $U(so(1, 3))$  from the left by

$$\beta(\pi(a)) = p(a_{(1)}) S p(a_{(3)}) \otimes \pi(a_{(2)}), \quad \forall \pi(a) \in U(so(1, 3)). \quad (22)$$

In both formulae  $S$  denotes the appropriate antipode while  $\Delta^2 a = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(3)}$  in the second formula. In both cases, the formulae are not obviously well-defined, but  $t \triangleleft h$  as stated necessarily lies in (the image under  $i$  of)  $T$ , while  $\beta$  does not depend on  $a \in P_\kappa$  but only its image  $\pi(a)$ .

In our case we have

$$P_0 \triangleleft M_i = 0, \quad P_i \triangleleft M_j = \epsilon_{ijk} P_k, \quad P_0 \triangleleft N_i = -P_i e^{-\frac{r_0}{2\kappa}} \equiv -\mathcal{P}_i, \quad (23)$$

the generators  $\mathcal{P}_i = P_i e^{-\frac{r_0}{2\kappa}}$  are quite natural here, and in terms of these the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i \triangleleft M_j &= \epsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{P}_k, \\ \mathcal{P}_i \triangleleft N_j &= -\delta_{ij} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 - e^{-\frac{2r_0}{\kappa}}) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \mathcal{P}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

as computed for other reasons in [14]. Our present point of view is not that this is the quantum adjoint

action in  $P_\kappa$  but simply that the classical  $U(so(1, 3))$  acts on the Hopf algebra  $T$  in this way. Meanwhile, the coaction comes out as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(M_i) &= 1 \otimes M_i, \\ \beta(N_i) &= e^{-\frac{r_0}{\kappa}} \otimes N_i + \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{\kappa} \mathcal{P}_j \otimes M_k \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

on the generators. Here  $\beta$  is not an algebra homomorphism but its values on products of generators can be computed too from (22).

Finally, the general extension theory says that our  $P_\kappa$  is built up in its structure from this data  $(T, U(so(1, 3)), \triangleleft, \beta)$ . Namely, its algebra is a semidirect product defined abstractly by  $i(T)$  and  $j(U(so(1, 3)))$  as subalgebras and cross relations

$$\begin{aligned} i(t) j(h) &= j(h_{(1)}) i(t \triangleleft h_{(2)}), \\ \forall h \in U(so(1, 3)), \quad t \in T. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Its coalgebra is defined in a dual way as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta i(t) &= i(t_{(1)}) \otimes i(t_{(2)}), \\ \Delta j(h) &= j(h_{(1)}) (i \otimes j) \circ \beta(h_{(2)}). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In our case the cross relations become

$$\begin{aligned} [P_0, M_i] &= P_0 \triangleleft M_i, \quad [P_i, M_j] = P_i \triangleleft M_j, \\ [P_0, \mathcal{N}_i] &= P_0 \triangleleft N_i, \quad [P_i, \mathcal{N}_j] = P_i \triangleleft N_j \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which, combined with  $i, j$  above being algebra homomorphisms, gives our  $\kappa$ -Poincare algebra as

$$\begin{aligned} [P_0, \mathcal{P}_i] &= 0, \quad [M_i, M_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} M_k, \\ [\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{N}_j] &= -\epsilon_{ijk} M_k \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$[P_0, M_i] = 0, \quad [P_i, M_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{P}_k \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [P_0, \mathcal{N}_i] &= -\mathcal{P}_i, \\ [\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}_j] &= -\delta_{ij} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} (1 - e^{-\frac{2r_0}{\kappa}}) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \mathcal{P}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which is analogous to [14]. The coproducts become

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{N}_i &= \mathcal{N}_i \otimes 1 + e^{-\frac{r_0}{\kappa}} \otimes \mathcal{N}_i + \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{\kappa} \mathcal{P}_j \otimes M_k, \\ \Delta M_i &= M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In terms of  $\mathcal{P}_i$  the coproduct structure of  $T$  itself is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_0 &= P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, \\ \Delta P_i &= P_i \otimes 1 + e^{-\frac{h_0}{\kappa}} \otimes P_i. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Thus the new generators  $\{P_0, P_i, \mathcal{N}_i, M_i\}$  provide a natural description of  $P_\kappa$  as a Hopf algebra bicrossproduct  $U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)) \bowtie T$  according to the general construction introduced in [11]. The symbol  $\bowtie$  denotes that one factor acts on the other and the other coacts back on the first. Usually in the theory of groups and Hopf algebras one considers only an action or coaction, but it was argued in [11] that in physics actions tend to have ‘reactions’ and this turns out to be the case here when  $\kappa < \infty$ .

Indeed, in [9,15] one finds an example of the form  $U(\mathfrak{su}(2)) \bowtie T$  where  $T$  is the Hopf algebra of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with a deformed coproduct corresponding to curvature from the point of view there, and the action is a deformation of the usual rotations of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This was one of the first non-trivial non-commutative non-cocommutative Hopf algebras, though not as widely known as the celebrated Hopf algebras of Drinfeld and Jimbo. The  $P_\kappa$  is quite similar to this but deformed in the action of the boosts rather than of rotations.

3. We are now in a position to introduce a natural notion of  $\kappa$ -Minkowski space on which our  $P_\kappa$  acts covariantly. Indeed, since  $T$  is the enveloping algebra of translations, it is natural to take for  $\kappa$ -Minkowski its dual  $T^*$  which will also be an algebra and on which  $T$  necessarily acts covariantly as quantum vector fields. We then show that the whole of  $P_\kappa$  acts on it.

The structure of  $T^*$  is completely determined by the axioms of a Hopf algebra duality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t, xy \rangle &= \langle t_{(1)}, x \rangle \langle t_{(2)}, y \rangle, \\ \langle ts, x \rangle &= \langle t, x_{(1)} \rangle \langle s, x_{(2)} \rangle, \\ \forall t, s \in T, \quad x, y \in T^* \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Indeed,  $T$  is the (commutative limit) of the borel subalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$  and, as is well-known in that context, its dual is of the same form [16]. Thus, we take for  $T^*$  the generators  $x_\mu$  and relations and coproduct

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, x_j] &= 0, \quad [x_i, x_0] = \frac{x_i}{\kappa}, \\ \Delta x_\mu &= x_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

For  $T$  we again prefer the generators  $\mathcal{P}_i, P_0$  and then the duality pairing can be written compactly as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\mathcal{P}_i, P_0), : \psi(x_i, x_0) : \rangle \\ = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\right) \psi(0, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where  $: \psi(x_i, x_0) :$  denotes a function  $\psi$  of the generators with all powers of  $x_0$  to the right. One can see [17] for the usefulness of this way of working with this kind of Hopf algebra. Apart from this ordering, we see that the pairing is completely along the classical lines of the pairing of the enveloping algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with the Hopf algebra of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , which is by letting the translation generators act and evaluating at zero.

Now the canonical action of  $T$  on  $T^*$  is

$$t \triangleright x = \langle x_{(1)}, t \rangle x_{(2)}, \quad \forall x \in T^*, \quad t \in T \tag{37}$$

which in our case works out as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i \triangleright : \psi(x_i, x_0) : &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \psi(x_i, x_0) :, \\ P_0 \triangleright : \psi(x_i, x_0) : &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \psi(x_i, x_0) : \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

i.e. by the classical way but remembering the Wick-ordering.

Next,  $U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3))$  also acts on  $T^*$ . This is because it acts from the right on  $T$  and this action therefore dualises to an action from the left on  $T^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t, h \triangleright x \rangle &= \langle t \triangleleft h, x \rangle, \\ \forall t \in T, \quad h \in U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)), \quad x \in T^* \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

which computes in our case as

$$\begin{aligned} M_i \triangleright x_j &= \epsilon_{ijk} x_k, \quad M_i \triangleright x_0 = 0, \\ N_i \triangleright x_j &= -\delta_{ij} x_0, \quad N_i \triangleright x_0 = -x_i. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

It is not obvious, but the general theory of bicrossproduct Hopf algebras ensures that the canonical action of  $T$  on itself by multiplication and  $U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3))$  by  $\triangleleft$  generates an action of the semidirect product algebra  $P_\kappa$  on  $T$ . This therefore dualises to an action on  $T^*$  generated by the actions of these subalgebras. So  $P_0, \mathcal{P}_i$  as above and  $M_i, \mathcal{N}_i$  acting like  $M_i, N_i$  in (40). are a canonical representation of the  $P_\kappa$  on  $\kappa$ -Minkowski. Their extension to products

of the spacetime co-ordinates is via the covariance condition (3) using the coproducts  $\Delta M_i, \Delta \mathcal{N}_i$  etc. from (32)–(33). Thus,

$$M_i \triangleright x_j = \epsilon_{ijk} x_k, \quad M_i \triangleright x_0 = 0, \\ \mathcal{N}_i \triangleright x_0 = -x_i, \quad \mathcal{N}_i \triangleright x_j = -\delta_{ij} x_0, \tag{41}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i \triangleright (x_j x_0) = -\delta_{ij} x_0^2 - x_j x_i, \tag{42}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i \triangleright (x_0 x_j) = -\delta_{ij} x_0^2 - x_i x_j + \frac{1}{\kappa} \delta_{ij} x_0, \tag{43}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i \triangleright (x_j x_k) = -\delta_{ij} x_0 x_k - \delta_{ik} x_j x_0 \\ + \frac{1}{\kappa} (\delta_{ik} x_j - \delta_{jk} x_i), \tag{44}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i \triangleright (x_0^2) = -x_i x_0 - x_0 x_i + \frac{1}{\kappa} x_i. \tag{45}$$

The Lorentz-invariant metric turns out as

$$x_0^2 - \mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{3}{\kappa} x_0 \tag{46}$$

This covariant action of  $P_\kappa$  on  $\kappa$ -Minkowski space  $T^*$  is our main result of this section. It appears to be rather non-trivial to verify it directly. Note that covariance is always true for  $T$  on  $T^*$  and since  $T$  is a subhopf algebra of  $P_\kappa$ , it remains true as its translation sector. The classical boosts do not act covariantly on  $T^*$  but their coproduct is different in  $P_\kappa$  due to the coaction  $\beta$ . This modification of the coproduct is just what is needed for the construction to work. The proof is straightforward using the abstract Hopf algebra theory of Section 2.

We therefore have the correct basis for wavefunctions  $\psi$  on  $\kappa$ -Minkowski space and can proceed with various constructions, retaining at all time covariance under  $P_\kappa$ . This will be explored elsewhere.

**4.** Our structure theorem for the  $P_\kappa$  has many other consequences for the theory. The first of them is that the theory of bicrossproducts is completely symmetric under the process of taking duals (reversing the roles of products and coproducts). This remarkable ‘input-output’ symmetry was the main physical motivation for the introduction of the bicrossproduct construction in [11,9] and several other papers by the first author.

Thus we can compute the function algebra dual to  $P_\kappa$  at once. It is the bicrossproduct

$$\mathbb{C}(SO(1,3)) \hookrightarrow T^* \blacktriangleleft \mathbb{C}(SO(1,3)) \rightarrow T^* \tag{47}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}(SO(1,3))$  is the usual commutative algebra of functions on the Lorentz group, and  $T^*$  is our algebra of functions on  $\kappa$ -Minkowski. Thus, this Hopf algebra is a deformation of the algebra of functions on the Poincare group. The maps and action/coaction for this dual construction are given in [11] by dualising the above  $\beta, \triangleleft$  respectively according to

$$\langle h, x \triangleright \Lambda \rangle = \langle \beta(h), x \otimes \Lambda \rangle, \\ \forall h \in U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)), x \in T^*, \\ \Lambda \in \mathbb{C}(SO(1,3)) \tag{48}$$

$$\langle t \otimes h, \beta(x) \rangle = \langle t \triangleleft h, x \rangle, \\ \forall t \in T, x \in T^*, h \in U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3)). \tag{49}$$

The resulting  $\kappa$ -Poincare function Hopf algebra will be developed in detail elsewhere. It can perhaps be compared with a  $\kappa$ -Poincare Hopf algebra proposed in another context in [18,19]. In our approach it necessarily comes with a duality pairing with  $P_\kappa$  given by (36), the usual pairing between  $\mathbb{C}(SO(1,3))$  and  $U(\mathfrak{so}(1,3))$ , and the trivial pairing (provided by the counits) between translation and Lorentz sectors.

We conclude with some remarks about the physical interpretation of bicrossproducts in [9] as quantum systems. Returning to our enveloping algebra  $P_\kappa$  we can develop quite a different physical picture. Namely, we think of  $T$  not as the enveloping algebra of deformed translations but as the perfectly classical Hopf algebra of *functions* on a classical nonAbelian group  $X$ ,

$$T = \mathbb{C}(X) \tag{50}$$

where  $X$  is the group given by exponentiating the Lie algebra  $\Xi$  defined by

$$[x_i, x_0] = \frac{x_i}{\kappa}, \quad [x_i, x_j] = 0. \tag{51}$$

These are just the relations of  $T^*$  in Section 3 but we think of them no longer as generating the co-ordinates of some non-commutative space but as generating a Lie algebra. It is easy to exponentiate the Lie algebra to a group  $X$  described as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with a  $\kappa$ -deformed (non-Abelian) addition law. In other words,  $\kappa$  controls now the curvature of our space  $X$ . We take this  $X$  as the position space (configuration space) of a quantum system.

Next, the Lie algebra  $\Xi$  and the Lie algebra  $so(1, 3)$  fit together to form a ‘matched pair’ of Lie algebras. The concept (due to the first author in [11,9]) is that each Lie algebra acts on the other in a matching way. In our case  $so(1, 3)$  acts by  $\triangleright$ , say, on  $\Xi$  via usual infinitesimal Lorentz transformation and  $\Xi$  acts back from the right by dualising  $\beta$  from (25) according to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \triangleleft x_\mu &= \langle \beta(\xi), x_\mu \otimes \text{id} \rangle, \\ \forall \xi \in so(1, 3), x_\mu \in \Xi. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Remember that the output of  $\beta$  has its first tensor factor in  $T$ , which we evaluate against the generators  $x_i, x_0$  using the pairing (36). The two actions fit together as required for a right-left matched pair:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \triangleright [x_\mu, x_\nu] &= [\xi \triangleright x_\mu, x_\nu] + [x_\mu, \xi \triangleright x_\nu] \\ &+ (\xi \triangleleft x_\mu) \triangleright x_\nu - (\xi \triangleleft x_\nu) \triangleright x_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi, \eta] \triangleleft x_\mu &= [\xi \triangleleft x_\mu, \eta] + [\xi, \eta \triangleleft x_\mu] \\ &+ \xi \triangleleft (\eta \triangleright x_\mu) - \eta \triangleleft (\xi \triangleright x_\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in so(1, 3)$ . In our case, we can compute  $\triangleleft$  explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} M_i \triangleleft x_0 &= 0, \quad M_i \triangleleft x_j = 0, \\ N_i \triangleleft x_0 &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} N_i, \quad N_i \triangleleft x_j = \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ijk} M_k \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

and verify (53)-(54) directly for these Lie algebra representations  $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ . The  $N_i, M_i$  here are the classical  $so(1, 3)$  generators .

The theory of Lie algebras acting on each other in such a way is a rich one [11] and tells us among other things that there is a Lie algebra double semidirect sum  $\Xi \bowtie so(1, 3)$  containing  $\Xi, so(1, 3)$  and cross relations

$$[\xi, x_\mu] = \xi \triangleright x_\mu + \xi \triangleleft x_\mu. \tag{56}$$

Moreover, there are theorems that, at least locally, the Lie algebra matched pair exponentiates into a Lie group matched pair  $X, SO(1, 3)$  acting on each other in a suitable way. The procedure and general formulae (which are non-linear) have been introduced in [12]. There is also a double cross product group  $X \bowtie SO(1, 3)$ , at least locally.

Now, the action of  $SO(1, 3)$  on  $X$  has orbits. Consider particles constrained to move on such orbits. The position observables are  $\mathbb{C}(X)$ , the momentum observables are the Lie algebra  $so(1, 3)$  since its elements generate the flows. The natural quantisation of particles on such homogeneous spaces according to the standard Mackey scheme [20,21] is the cross product algebra  $U(so(1, 3)) \bowtie \mathbb{C}(X)$ . This can be made precise using the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras. The point is that this cross product contains the algebra of  $so(1, 3)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(X)$ , with cross-relations which are the natural covariant form of Heisenberg’s commutation relations. Our  $P_\kappa$  is this quantum algebra of observables.

Moreover, the dual of the bicrossproduct is also a bicrossproduct: it is the quantisation of particles moving on the homogeneous spaces which are the orbits in  $SO(1, 3)$  under the action of  $X$ , i.e. precisely with the roles of position and momentum reversed. Thus models of this class, demonstrated here by  $P_\kappa$  exhibit a quantum version of Born reciprocity and are interesting for this reason [9,11]. Moreover, this structure generally forces the action to be deformed, often with event-horizon-like singularities. For example, it was shown in [9] that the extensions of  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  (the classical phase-space in one-dimensions) of this bicrossproduct type had just two free parameters, which we identified heuristically as  $\hbar$  and  $G$ , the gravitational coupling constant. This work was perhaps one of the first serious attempts to apply Hopf algebras and non-commutative geometry to Planck scale physics, and it is interesting that  $P_\kappa$  has an interpretation in these terms as well as a symmetry in particle physics. This picture of the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra will be developed in detail elsewhere.

## References

- [1] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy,  $q$ -Deformation of Poincaré algebra, Phys. Lett. B 268 (1991) 331-338.
- [2] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, New quantum Poincaré algebra and  $\kappa$ -deformed field theory, Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 344-352.
- [3] A. Nowicki, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, The quantum deformed Dirac equation from the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra, Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993) 419-422.
- [4] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W. Rühl, From  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra to  $\kappa$ -Lorentz quasigroup. A deformation of relativistic symmetry, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993) 357-366.

- [5] L.C. Biedenharn, B. Mueller and M. Tarlini, The Dirac-Coulomb problem for the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré quantum group, *Phys. Lett. B* 318 (1993) 613–616.
- [6] G. Domokos and S. Kovési-Domokos, Astrophysical limit on the deformation of the Poincaré group, Preprint JHK-TIPAC-920027/Rev 1993.
- [7] H. Ruegg, q-Deformation of semisimple and nonsemisimple Lie algebras, *Integrable systems, quantum groups and quantum field theories* L.A. Ibort and M.A. Rodríguez ed., Kluwer, Dordrecht 1993, pp. 45–81.
- [8] E. Celeghini, E. Giachetti, R. Sorace and M. Tarlini, Three-dimensional quantum groups from contractions of  $SU(2)_q$ , *J. Math. Phys.* 31 (1990) 2548–2551.
- [9] S. Majid, Hopf algebras for physics at the Planck scale, *J. Classical and Quantum Gravity* 5 (1988) 1587–1606, from PhD Thesis, Harvard, 1988.
- [10] S. Majid, Principle of representation-theoretic self-duality, *Phys. Essays* 4(3) (1991) 395–405.
- [11] S. Majid, Physics for algebraists: Non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction, *J. Algebra* 130 (1990) 17–64, from PhD Thesis, Harvard, 1988.
- [12] S. Majid, Matched pairs of Lie groups associated to solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations, *Pac. J. Math.* 141 (1990) 311–332, from PhD Thesis, Harvard, 1988.
- [13] W. Singer, Extension theory for connected Hopf algebras, *J. Alg.* 21 (1972) 1–16.
- [14] H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, Representation theory of the quantized Poincaré algebra. Tensor operators and their applications to one-particle systems, Preprint UGVA-DPT 1993/07-828.
- [15] S. Majid, Hopf-von Neumann algebra bicrossproducts, Kac algebra bicrossproducts and the classical Yang-Baxter equations, *J. Funct. Analysis* 95 (1991) 291–319, from PhD Thesis, Harvard, 1988.
- [16] V.G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, In A. Gleason, editor, *Proceedings of the ICM*, pages 798–820, Rhode Island, 1987. AMS.
- [17] S. Majid, On q-regularization, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 5(24) (1990) 4689–4696.
- [18] S. Zakrzewski, Quantum Poincaré group related to the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra, *J. Phys. A* 27 (1994) 2075–2082.
- [19] Ph. Zaugg, The quantum two dimensional Poincaré group from quantum group contraction, Preprint MIT-CTP-2294, 1994.
- [20] G.W. Mackey, *Induced Representations*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [21] H.D. Doebner and J. Tolar, Quantum mechanics on homogeneous spaces, *J. Math. Phys.* 16 (1975) 975–984.