



Cite as  
Nano-Micro Lett.  
(2019) 11:2

Received: 22 October 2018  
Accepted: 28 November 2018  
Published online: 9 January 2019  
© The Author(s) 2019

# Bimetallic Nickel Cobalt Sulfide as Efficient Electrocatalyst for Zn–Air Battery and Water Splitting

Jingyan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaowan Bai<sup>2</sup>, Tongtong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wen Xiao<sup>3</sup>, Pinxian Xi<sup>4</sup>, Jinlan Wang<sup>2</sup>, Daqiang Gao<sup>1</sup> ✉, John Wang<sup>3</sup> ✉

Jingyan Zhang and Xiaowan Bai have contributed equally to this work.

✉ Daqiang Gao, [gaodq@lzu.edu.cn](mailto:gaodq@lzu.edu.cn); John Wang, [msewangj@nus.edu.sg](mailto:msewangj@nus.edu.sg)

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory for Magnetism and Magnetic Materials of MOE, Key Laboratory of Special Function Materials and Structure Design of MOE, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, People's Republic of China


<sup>3</sup> Department of Material Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, Engineering Drive 3, Singapore 117575, Singapore

<sup>4</sup> Key Laboratory of Nonferrous Metal Chemistry and Resources Utilization of Gansu Province and The Research Center of Biomedical Nanotechnology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Bimetallic nickel cobalt sulfide (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> nanosheet arrays were demonstrated as a multifunctional catalyst for OER, HER, and ORR.
- First principle calculations were performed to probe the rate-limiting step, which involves the formation of \*OOH from HO<sup>-</sup> on the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> surface.
- A water-splitting system was designed with the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> serving as both cathode and anode, and a Zn–air battery cathode electrocatalyst.

**ABSTRACT** The development of efficient earth-abundant electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction, oxygen evolution, and hydrogen evolution reactions (ORR, OER, and HER) is important for future energy conversion and energy storage devices, for which both rechargeable Zn–air batteries and water splitting have raised great expectations. Herein, we report a single-phase bimetallic nickel cobalt sulfide ((Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>) as an efficient electrocatalyst for both OER and ORR. Owing to the synergistic combination of Ni and Co, the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> exhibits superior electrocatalytic performance for ORR, OER, and HER in an alkaline electrolyte, and the first principle calculation results indicate that the reaction of an adsorbed O atom with a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule to form a \*OOH is the potential limiting step in the OER. Importantly, it could be utilized as an advanced air electrode material in Zn–air batteries, which shows an enhanced charge–discharge performance (charging voltage of 1.71 V and discharge voltage of 1.26 V at 2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), large specific capacity



(842 mAh  $g_{Zn}^{-1}$  at 5 mA  $cm^{-2}$ ), and excellent cycling stability (480 h). Interestingly, the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>-based Zn–air battery can efficiently power an electrochemical water-splitting unit with (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> serving as both the electrodes. This reveals that the prepared (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> has promising applications in future energy conversion and energy storage devices.

**KEYWORDS** (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> nanosheet arrays; DFT calculations; Zn–air batteries; Water splitting

## 1 Introduction

The ever-worsening environmental issues and non-renewability of fossil fuels have stimulated extensive investigations for the development of sustainable energy in future energy conversion and storage technology [1–3]. The high-rate oxygen reduction or evolution reaction (ORR or OER) and hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) at lower overpotentials are of great importance to the enhancement of energy utilization rate and output power in these green energy systems. At present, the bottleneck of both water-splitting technologies and rechargeable metal–air batteries is the availability of highly efficient and durable electrocatalysts. Zn–air batteries have the merits of high theoretical energy density, environmental friendliness, and high safety for the next-generation energy storage systems [4, 5], where its development is still hampered by a low working voltage owing to the sluggish rate of ORR/OER [6, 7]. Here, HER, which is a crucial electrochemical reaction in water splitting and requires highly efficient electrocatalysts, is equally important [8, 9]. Pt-based materials exhibit excellent catalytic efficiency for HER and ORR, while Ru- and Ir-based materials are the best electrocatalysts for OER reactions [10–12]. However, their high scarcity, high cost, and insufficient long-term stability are limiting the large-scale commercial applications [13, 14]. Therefore, earth-abundant, durable, and highly efficient trifunctional (ORR, OER, and HER) electrocatalysts are urgently required [15, 16].

As a class of low-cost alternatives, transition metal-based materials, such as transition metal phosphides [17, 18], oxides [19–21], sulfides [22, 23], selenides [24, 25], nitrides [26, 27], borides [28, 29], hydroxides [30, 31], and others [32–34], have attracted overwhelming research interests recently. In particular, transition metal sulfides, such as CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub>, are considered a group of low-cost and eco-friendly electrocatalysts for ORR, OER, and HER owing to their high electrocatalytic activity, high stability, and cost-effectiveness [35–37]. Substitution of the transition metals with other dopants (such as V, Mn, and Cu) has been proved

to enhance their electrocatalytic performance because of the synergistic effects among the metallic atoms [38–40]. Caban-Acevedo et al. [41] recently demonstrated that the replacement of S atom by P atom in CoS<sub>2</sub>, forming CoPS, could alter the electronic structure and dramatically enhance the HER performance. Liang et al. [42] also revealed that their bimetallic NiCoP nanostructures show superior catalytic activity toward both HER and OER in alkaline media compared to monometallic Ni<sub>2</sub>P. Although similar efforts are expected to be made for the bimetallic NiCoS, compared with the monometallic counterparts, challenges exist in the design of multifunctional catalysts.

As is known, both CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub> have the same crystal structure, and the chemical nature and atomic radius of Ni and Co atoms are very similar, which would enable the formation of bimetallic NiCoS. In this work, we present a detailed study on the synthesis of single-phase bimetallic nickel cobalt sulfide (denoted as (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>) nanosheets by the hydrothermal process and subsequent post-sulfuration. The resulting (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shows the desired trifunctional electrocatalytic activities in OER, ORR, and HER as an electrocatalyst, and therefore has promising potential as a cathode in Zn–air batteries and water-splitting catalysis. In addition, it demonstrates excellent OER activity with an overpotential of 270 mV at 10 mA  $cm^{-2}$  and a notable outstanding potential difference ( $\Delta E = E_{j=10} - E_{1/2}$ ) between  $E_{1/2}$  for ORR and  $E_{j=10}$  for OER of only 0.79 V, thus outperforming many of the bifunctional electrocatalysts. The air electrode made of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> nanosheets exhibited superior performance in both primary and rechargeable Zn–air batteries, showing a specific capacity of 842 mAh  $g_{Zn}^{-1}$  at 5 mA  $cm^{-2}$ , a high and stable open circuit potential of 1.48 V, a large peak power density of 152.70 mW  $cm^{-2}$ , and excellent cycling stability without any decrease in polarization even after 480 h. The rechargeable Zn–air batteries using (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> as the cathode could efficiently power an electrochemical water-splitting unit catalyzed by the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> nanosheets grown on a carbon cloth for both OER and HER, thus demonstrating its potential as an integrated green energy system.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Synthesis of NiS<sub>2</sub>, CoS<sub>2</sub>, and (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>

#### 2.1.1 Preparation of Precursors

Precursors for (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> were synthesized on a carbon cloth by modifying a reported procedure [39]. First, 1.5 mmol NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.0 mmol NH<sub>4</sub>F, 7.5 mmol (NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO, and 1.5 mmol Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in 50 mL deionized water. Then, 16 mL of the solution was transferred to a 23 mL PTFE-lined stainless steel autoclave containing the substrate leaning against the autoclave wall. The sealed autoclave was heated at 110 °C for 5 h. After cooling, the substrate was taken out, washed with water and ethanol, and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 30 min. The precursor of NiS<sub>2</sub> or CoS<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the same above-mentioned process, except without the addition of Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O or NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively.

#### 2.1.2 Thermal Conversion

A carbon cloth covered with the as-grown precursor was placed in the center of a fused silica tube in a tube furnace equipped with gas flow controllers. An alumina boat containing 10 mmol of sulfur powder was placed at the furthest upstream position within the reactor tube. The tube was then purged three times with argon gas and maintained at 101.3 kPa under a steady flow of Ar carrier gas (99.999%) at 25 sccm (standard cubic centimeter per minute). The temperature of the furnace was ramped to 500 °C and held for 60 min. After cooling under Ar flow, the sample was removed and rinsed with CS<sub>2</sub> (99.9%) for 10 min, then washed with ethanol, and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 1 h.

### 2.2 Preparation of Electrocatalyst Ink

The catalyst ink was typically made by dispersing 10 mg of the catalyst and 10 mg of carbon black (Vulcan XC72) in 50 mL petroleum ether, and then dropped them on a carbon cloth. After drying, 18 mg of catalyst, 90 μL Nafion-117 solution, and 4410 μL *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) were added into a 10 mL container and ultrasonicated for 30 min.

### 2.3 Calculation Details

The DFT calculations were performed by Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). The standard generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) in the form of the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange model was used. The energy cutoff for the plane-wave basis set and the convergence threshold to obtain the wave functions were 400 and 10<sup>-5</sup> eV, respectively. Further, 3*d* electrons of Ni were treated using the GGA + *U* method with a *U*<sub>eff</sub> (*U*–*J*) of 5.76 eV. Ionic relaxations were conducted until all the force components became < 0.02 eV Å<sup>-1</sup>. For the density of states (DOS), the Brillouin zone is represented by the set of 5 × 5 × 5 *k* points for geometry optimizations. A rectangular supercell of size 11.00 × 11.00 Å<sup>2</sup> was used to calculate the OER activity with active sites on the (100) surface.

## 3 Results and Discussion


The phase structure of each sample was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD). As shown in Fig. 1a, the XRD patterns of both NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>, which were fabricated as reference samples, correspond to a cubic structure with a *Pa*-3 space group (JCPDS No. 11-0099 for NiS<sub>2</sub> and No. 41-1471 for CoS<sub>2</sub>) with lattice constants of *a* = *b* = *c* = 5.567 Å and *a* = *b* = *c* = 5.538 Å, respectively. The XRD pattern of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is consistent with those of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> with its diffraction peaks located between those of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>, which can be clearly seen from the magnified area of the XRD pattern with the 2θ angles ranging from 30.5° to 33.5° (Fig. 1b). This confirms the formation of a single-phase crystal structure, where Co and Ni are alloyed in the bimetallic compound structure. Raman spectroscopy was employed to further confirm the formation of single-phase (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. For the monometallic samples, distinct peaks are observed at about 479 cm<sup>-1</sup> for NiS<sub>2</sub> and 391 cm<sup>-1</sup> for CoS<sub>2</sub> corresponding to the out-of-plane A<sub>g</sub> vibrational mode (Fig. 1c) [43, 44]. For (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, the peak of A<sub>g</sub> vibrational mode is located at 425 cm<sup>-1</sup> between those of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>, indicating that the atomic vibration in (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is a unified whole; this concurs with the XRD result. In addition, an obvious peak at 276 cm<sup>-1</sup> is observed for all the three samples, which corresponds to the in-plane vibration mode of E<sub>g</sub> for the cubic structure [45, 46]. The morphology was studied by both scanning electron microscopy (SEM)



and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure 1d shows that the as-synthesized (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> has the morphology of cactus-like nanosheets growing uniformly on the carbon cloth, which are nanoplates with some nanowires at the edges. It is not a combination of two morphologies but is a single morphology, which can be confirmed by subsequent high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) analysis. In contrast, NiS<sub>2</sub> has nanoplate morphology, while CoS<sub>2</sub> has nanowire morphology with a diameter of 50–100 nm (Fig. S1). The TEM images shown in Fig. 1e further confirm the formation of the observed nanosheet morphology with nanowires grown at the edges. Figure 1f, h shows the HRTEM images of the nanosheet and nanowire regions of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, where

lattices with spacings of 2.79 and 2.50 Å can be assigned to the (200) and (210) planes of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, respectively. These lattice spacing values are between those of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S2), which further reveals that (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is a single-phase structure. The energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum (EDS) shows that (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> has a Ni/Co atomic ratio of 1:1 (Fig. 1g). The element mapping images in Fig. 1i show that Ni, Co, and S elements are uniformly distributed in the selected area.

To further examine their composition and valence state, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed. The wide spectrum of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> reveals the presence of Ni, Co, and S elements (Fig. S3). The Co




**Fig. 1** **a** XRD spectra of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, NiS<sub>2</sub>, and CoS<sub>2</sub>. **b** High-resolution XRD spectra with 2 theta angles ranging from 30.5° to 33.5°. **c** Raman spectra of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, NiS<sub>2</sub>, and CoS<sub>2</sub>. **d** SEM image of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. The insert is high-magnification SEM image. **e** TEM image, **f**, **h** HRTEM images, and **g** EDS spectrum of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. **i** EDS mapping images of Ni, Co, and S elements in (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>

$2p$  spectrum in Fig. 2a shows the main peaks of Co  $2p_{3/2}$  and Co  $2p_{1/2}$  along with their satellite peaks. For CoS<sub>2</sub> and (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, two peaks appear at 778.5 and 781.6 eV, which belong to Co  $2p_{3/2}$  and indicate the presence of Co<sup>2+</sup> [47]. The Ni  $2p$  spectrum (Fig. 2b) shows  $2p_{3/2}$  and  $2p_{1/2}$  doublets due to spin–orbit coupling. The Ni  $2p$  spectra of both NiS<sub>2</sub> and (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> show two peaks at 854.7 and 856.3 eV corresponding to Ni  $2p_{3/2}$ , and a satellite peak at higher binding energies [48]. The S  $2p_{1/2}$  and S  $2p_{3/2}$  peaks for these three samples are located at 164.1 and 162.8 eV, respectively, corresponding to (S<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> (Fig. 2c) [49]. The binding energies of Ni  $2p$  and Co  $2p$  in (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> show no obvious shift compared with NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>, revealing that the Ni and Co atoms are uniformly distributed in the crystal structure. Thus, we demonstrated the formation of single-phase (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> in our case. Figure 2d shows the calculated DOS of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, NiS<sub>2</sub>, and CoS<sub>2</sub>. It can be seen that (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shows metallic nature with more electron-occupied states at the Fermi level, while CoS<sub>2</sub> shows metallicity and NiS<sub>2</sub> shows semiconductor characteristic (bandgap=0.6 eV). As shown by the schematic in Fig. S4 and the inset of Fig. 2e,

(Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> has a cubic crystal structure, with Ni atoms replacing half of the Co atoms, adopting the CoS<sub>2</sub> structure. The partial density of states (PDOS) curves of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shown in Fig. 2e indicate a strong hybridization of Ni  $3d$ , Co  $3d$ , and S  $2p$ , which combined with the charge density distribution results (Fig. 2f), suggest an outstanding electrical conductivity of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. The PDOS of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> are shown in the supporting information for comparison (Figs. S5, S6).


The performance of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> in electrocatalytic oxygen evolution is evaluated using a three-electrode configuration in 0.1 M KOH solution, where NiS<sub>2</sub>, CoS<sub>2</sub>, and commercial Ir/C catalysts are used as the control samples. The polarization curve is firstly obtained by linear voltammetry scanning (LSV). The original LSV curves for OER are shown in the supporting information (Fig. S7). After converting by the method detailed in the supporting information, the standard polarization curves are obtained. As shown in Fig. 3a, the onset overpotential of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is 1.47 V, which is lower than that of NiS<sub>2</sub> (1.57 V), CoS<sub>2</sub> (1.50 V), and commercial Ir/C (1.48 V). For NiS<sub>2</sub> and (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, there is a



**Fig. 2** High-resolution XPS spectra of **a** Co  $2p$ , **b** Ni  $2p$ , and **c** S  $2p$ . **d** Density of states (DOS) curves of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, NiS<sub>2</sub>, and CoS<sub>2</sub>. **e** Partial density of states (PDOS) curves of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. Insert shows the optimized crystal structure of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. **f** Charge density distribution of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>

visible oxidation peak at about 1.32 V, which is similar to that previously reported for Ni-based catalysts [48]. Upon deducting the thermodynamic water decomposition voltage of 1.23 V [50], (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shows an initial overpotential of 240 mV. When the current density reaches 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, the overpotential of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is 270 mV and compares favorably to 410, 350, and 310 mV for NiS<sub>2</sub>, CoS<sub>2</sub>, and Ir/C, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3b, the Tafel slope of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is 58 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, which is smaller than that of NiS<sub>2</sub> (123 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) and CoS<sub>2</sub> (107 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) and is close to that of Ir/C (77 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>). The reduced Tafel slope indicates that (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> exhibits a faster dynamics in the OER process [51]. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

was further employed to understand the interfacial electron transport between the electrolyte and catalyst at 1.45 V versus RHE from 10 kHz to 0.1 Hz. The curve fitting and equivalent circuit analysis results of the EIS data agree well with the (RQR) model. In Fig. 3c,  $R_s$  is the solution resistance (~3 Ω) and  $R_{ct}$  is the charge transfer resistance. A lower  $R_{ct}$  corresponds to a faster electronic transmission [52]. As illustrated in Fig. 3c, the EIS of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shows the smallest radius corresponding to the minimum  $R_{ct}$  value and indicates a faster reaction rate than those of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>. The double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) is obtained by cyclic voltammetry (CV) performed at different scan rates (in the range of 20–180 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. S8) to evaluate the electrochemical




**Fig. 3** a Polarization curves of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, NiS<sub>2</sub>, CoS<sub>2</sub>, and Ir/C (20% Ir) at 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 0.1 M KOH. b Tafel slopes obtained from the corresponding polarization curves. c EIS of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, NiS<sub>2</sub>, and CoS<sub>2</sub>. The inset is an analogue circuit diagram. d  $C_{dl}$  obtained by cyclic voltammetry at different scan rates. e  $I-t$  curves at 1.5 V versus RHE for  $7 \times 10^4$  s. f Schematic of Gibbs free energy changes in the four elementary steps during OER on (100) surface of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. g Proposed 4-step OER path presented using the model of (100) surface of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>

active sites [53]. Figure 3d shows that the  $C_{dl}$  of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is 41 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is larger than the  $C_{dl}$  of CoS<sub>2</sub> (30 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>) and two times the  $C_{dl}$  of NiS<sub>2</sub> (16 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>); this reveals that there are more electrochemical active sites in (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> for OER. The synergistic effect of Ni and Co in (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> activates new active sites, increasing the electrochemical active surface area (EASA). To further assess the OER catalytic rates, the turnover frequencies (TOFs) of the three electrocatalysts were estimated, assuming that all the metal ions in the electrocatalysts were catalytically active (Fig. S9) [54]. As shown in Fig. S9, at an overpotential of 1.55 V versus RHE, the TOF of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is 3.02 s<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the TOFs of CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub> are 0.65 and 0.22 s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This suggests that (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> has the fastest rate for OER catalysis. The OER parameters of the three catalysts and Ir/C are listed in Table S1 for comparison. As shown in Fig. 3e, even after testing for 70,000 s at 1.5 V, the current density of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> remains at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, revealing the outstanding stability of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> in OER compared with the degenerated current densities of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>. A comparison of the OER performance of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> with that of other typical catalysts is shown in Table S2. For comparison, the OER polarization curves of the physically mixed CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub> (named CoS<sub>2</sub> + NiS<sub>2</sub>) were also examined, which reveals that its performance is in between those of CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S10). Besides, the structure and morphology after the long cycling test and the structural evolution process are shown in Figs. S11–13. The diffraction peaks of the cycled (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> are similar to those of the fresh (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, indicating that it retains its phase even after prolonged tests. The surface of the nanosheets is constantly corroded during repeated charge and discharge tests, resulting in a coarse surface and some oxidation state. The entire OER progress can be summarized in four elementary reaction models consisting of three key intermediates: \*OH, \*O, and \*OOH (Fig. 3g) [55]. Each elementary step releases H<sup>+</sup> cation and electron. It is crucial for the intermediate to have an appropriate Gibbs free energy. Figure 3f shows the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) diagram for the (100) surface of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> with correlative intermediates at different reaction steps. It can be seen that the third step is the potential limiting step (PLS), where an adsorbed O atom reacts with a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule to form a \*OOH. The overpotential ( $\eta$ ) calculated by DFT calculations is 0.51 V for \*O + H<sub>2</sub>O (l) = \*OOH + H<sup>+</sup> + e<sup>-</sup>, which is smaller than that of pure CoS<sub>2</sub> ( $\eta$  = 0.54 V) and NiS<sub>2</sub> ( $\eta$  = 2.00 V) at the (001) surface (Figs. S14, S15). The

calculated results are consistent with the above experiment results, indicating that bimetallic (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> can be a better electrocatalyst than monometallic CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub>.

Oxygen reduction activities were studied to determine the suitability of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for both ORR and OER. It was examined with a rotating disk electrode (RDE) in 0.1 M aq. KOH electrolyte at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 4a, (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shows the highest onset overpotential of 0.82 V, while it is 0.79 and 0.76 V for CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The limiting current densities were measured as 4.2, 3.0, and 3.7 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, CoS<sub>2</sub>, and NiS<sub>2</sub>, respectively, at overpotential of 0.20 V. The half-wave potential of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> (0.71 V) is slightly smaller than that of Pt/C (0.78 V) but higher than that of CoS<sub>2</sub> (0.63 V) and NiS<sub>2</sub> (0.68 V). The physically mixed sample CoS<sub>2</sub> + NiS<sub>2</sub> was also measured. The results show that its ORR performance is between that of CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub>, which illustrates the importance of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> as a single-phase bimetallic catalyst (Fig. S16).

The CV scan results are shown in Fig. 4b. The curve measured in a N<sub>2</sub>-saturated electrolyte solution is smooth, indicating no oxygen reduction reaction. However, in the O<sub>2</sub>-saturated electrolyte solution, a sharp cathodic peak appeared at 0.75 V, revealing the occurrence of an ORR. Under the same test conditions, the oxygen reduction peaks of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> are 0.74 and 0.67 V, respectively (Fig. S17). To explore the reaction mechanism of oxygen reduction, LSV curves with various speeds (from 400 to 2400 rpm) were measured, and the results shown in Fig. 4c indicate that the current density increases with increasing O<sub>2</sub> diffusion rate. According to the *K*–*L* equation [56], the calculated electron transfer number (*n*) is 3.8, which indicates that a four-electron process dominates the oxygen reduction for (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>. Table S3 lists the ORR parameters of the three catalysts. The ORR path was further verified with a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) at 1.3 V at a rate of 2 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. As shown in Fig. 4d, the *n* value (3.9) thus estimated is consistent with the result obtained from the *K*–*L* equation. It clearly indicates that the oxygen reduction proceeds via an efficient four-electron pathway. A comparison of the ORR performance of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> with the performances of some of the reported catalysts is shown in Table S4. As a bifunctional electrocatalyst, the overall oxygen activity of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is evaluated by the potential difference ( $\Delta E = E_{j=10} - E_{1/2}$ ) between  $E_{1/2}$  for ORR and  $E_{j=10}$  for OER. In general, an efficient reversible oxidation




**Fig. 4** **a** ORR polarization curves of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ ,  $\text{NiS}_2$ ,  $\text{CoS}_2$ , and Pt/C (20% Pt) at  $2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  in  $0.1 \text{ M KOH}$  at  $1600 \text{ rpm}$ . **b** CVs of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  in  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$ -saturated  $0.1 \text{ M KOH}$  solution. **c** ORR polarization curves of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  at different rotation rates from  $400$  to  $2400 \text{ rpm}$ . The inset figure is the  $K$ - $L$  plots. **d** RRDE polarization curves of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  at  $1600 \text{ rpm}$ . The ring electrode was polarized at  $1.5 \text{ V}$  at a scan rate of  $2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . **e** Bifunctional electrocatalytic activities of various catalysts toward both ORR and OER

reaction requires a small  $\Delta E$ , with the  $\Delta E$  of commercial state-of-the-art electrocatalysts reported as  $0.94 \text{ V}$  for Pt/C and  $0.92 \text{ V}$  for Ir/C and Ru/C [57]. Figure 4e shows that the  $\Delta E$  of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  is  $0.79 \text{ V}$ , which is much lower than those of the reported precious electrocatalysts (Table S5) as well as the  $\Delta E$  of  $\text{NiS}_2$  ( $0.95 \text{ V}$ ) and  $\text{CoS}_2$  ( $0.94 \text{ V}$ ). This further indicates the excellent electrocatalytic characteristics of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  as a multifunctional electrocatalyst.

To demonstrate the application potential of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  nanosheets as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for a Zn–air battery, we first constructed primary Zn–air batteries by using  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  as the electrocatalyst. The schematic diagram of a two-electrode liquid rechargeable battery is shown in Fig. 5a. The  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ -based Zn–air battery shows an open cell voltage of  $1.48 \text{ V}$  at the beginning (Fig. 5b), which is similar to the result obtained by the multimeter test. After a continuous discharge of  $20 \text{ h}$ , the discharge voltage of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  remains at  $1.47 \text{ V}$ , which is an ideal and stable high discharge voltage, whereas the open cell voltages of  $\text{NiS}_2$ - and  $\text{CoS}_2$ -based Zn–air batteries are  $1.42$  and  $1.38 \text{ V}$ , respectively (Fig. S18). As shown in Fig. 5c,

the battery energy density of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ -based Zn–air battery is  $152.7 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ . A current density of  $170 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  was measured at an overpotential of  $0.40 \text{ V}$ . The charge and discharge cycle tests of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ -based Zn–air batteries were performed with  $20 \text{ min}$  cycles (charging for  $10 \text{ min}$  and discharging for  $10 \text{ min}$ ; Fig. 5d). At a current density of  $2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , it shows a stable charging voltage of  $1.71 \text{ V}$  and a discharge voltage  $1.26 \text{ V}$  with a very small charge–discharge gap of  $0.45 \text{ V}$ , which increases to  $0.46 \text{ V}$  after  $480 \text{ h}$  (Fig. 5e), revealing its superb stability. This value is much higher than that of many of the reported catalysts (Table S6). Similarly, the charge and discharge cycle curves were tested at a current density of  $6 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  for  $100 \text{ h}$  (Fig. S19). The results indicate that the  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ -based Zn–air battery has a large specific capacity of  $842 \text{ mAh g}_{\text{Zn}}^{-1}$  at a current density of  $5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  (Fig. 5f), which is larger than that of both  $\text{NiS}_2$  ( $732 \text{ mAh g}_{\text{Zn}}^{-1}$ ) and  $\text{CoS}_2$  ( $681 \text{ mAh g}_{\text{Zn}}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. S20). Besides, two liquid zinc–air batteries in series could power a red LED (Fig. 5g), while one liquid zinc–air battery could power an electronic watch successfully (Fig. 5h).






**Fig. 5** **a** Schematic illustration of rechargeable Zn–air battery. **b** Open cell voltage curve and **c** polarization and power density curves of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>-based primary Zn–air battery. **d** Galvanostatic discharge–charge cycling curves at 2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> of the rechargeable Zn–air battery. **e** Charge–discharge efficiency of the Zn–air battery at the beginning and end. **f** Long-time discharge curves of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>-based Zn–air battery at 5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. **g** Photograph of red LED powered by two (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>-based Zn–air batteries. **h** Photographs of an electronic watch powered by the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>-based Zn–air battery

We also characterized the electrocatalytic HER properties of the three samples. As shown in Fig. 6a, the onset overpotential of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> is 180 mV, which is lower than that of NiS<sub>2</sub> (~264 mV) and CoS<sub>2</sub> (~237 mV). When the current density reaches 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> require potentials of 298 and 254 mV, respectively, while (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> only requires 210 mV. In addition, the Tafel slope is 68 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> for (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>, which is smaller than that of NiS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 6b). Furthermore, (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> shows the largest C<sub>dl</sub> of 40 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> among the three samples and an excellent stability of more than 80,000 s for HER (Fig. S21, Table S7). Similarly, the HER polarization curve of CoS<sub>2</sub> + NiS<sub>2</sub> is given in Fig. S22. Unsurprisingly, the physically mixed sample CoS<sub>2</sub> + NiS<sub>2</sub> demonstrates an intermediate electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution efficiency. We also observed

that (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> exhibits the best electrocatalytic properties among the three samples. The excellent reversibility makes it a very promising multifunctional catalyst. Furthermore, we used it as both cathode and anode to fabricate a water-splitting device. Figure 6c shows the schematic diagram of the self-assembled water-splitting unit powered by the (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub>-based Zn–air battery. In this self-assembled device, the anode undergoes oxidation reaction to generate oxygen, and the cathode undergoes reduction reaction to produce hydrogen. As shown in Fig. 6d, the LSV curves of the overall water-splitting reactions of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> show a  $\Delta V$  ( $E_{\text{OER}} - E_{\text{HER}}$ ) of 1.71 and 1.80 V at 10 and 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, revealing a noticeable electrocatalytic performance of (Ni,Co)S<sub>2</sub> in a water-splitting energy installation compared to many reported catalysts (Table S8). Figure 6e



**Fig. 6** **a** HER polarization curves of  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ ,  $\text{NiS}_2$ , and  $\text{CoS}_2$  at  $5 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  in  $0.1 \text{ M KOH}$ . **b** Tafel slopes obtained from their polarization curves. **c** Schematic diagram of the self-assembled water-splitting system. **d** LSV curves of overall water splitting by  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  electrocatalyst in a two-electrode configuration at a scan rate of  $5 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . **e** Two electrodes after water splitting powered by two in-series  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$ -based Zn–air batteries. **f** Time dependence of the mole quantities of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  produced in the self-driven overall water-splitting unit

shows that the cathode reacts to produce hydrogen and the anode oxidizes to produce oxygen, and the  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  were collected by the drainage method. After 60 min of continuous reaction, the amounts of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  collected were 1.15 mmol and 0.57 mmol (the ratio is 2:1), respectively. The output voltage stability of the self-assembled device was tested using a multimeter (Fig. S23). It can be seen that the output voltage stabilized after 1 h and did not reduce in the next hour, which reveals its good stability.

## 4 Conclusions

In summary, single-phase bimetallic  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  nanosheets were successfully synthesized by a hydrothermal route followed by thermal conversion to sulfide. With the purposely tuned nanosheet morphology, electronic structure, enhanced electrical conductivity, and active sites in the bimetallic sulfides, the  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  nanosheets demonstrated a superior electrocatalytic performance for oxygen evolution, oxygen reduction, and hydrogen evolution in an alkaline electrolyte.

First principle calculation results indicate that the adsorption of  $\text{HO}^-$  to form  $^*\text{OOH}$  on the  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  surface is the potential limiting step in the OER. When used as an electrode in a Zn–air battery, it demonstrated a small charge/discharge voltage gap of 0.45 V at  $2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , a high peak power density of  $153.5 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ , a specific capacity of  $842 \text{ mAh g}_{\text{Zn}}^{-1}$  at  $5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , and excellent cycling stability even after 480 h. The high efficiency demonstrates the application potential of the rechargeable Zn–air battery in powering an electrochemical water-splitting unit made of the same  $(\text{Ni,Co})\text{S}_2$  nanosheets as both the electrodes, which exhibited a low cell voltage of 1.71 V at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ . This work is helpful for improving the Zn–air battery performance and the utilization of new energy in the future.

**Acknowledgements** This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11474137 and 11674143), Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT 16R35), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Nos. LZUMMM2018017, lzujbky-2018-121). John Wang acknowledges the support of Ministry of Education (MOE2016-T2-2-138,

Singapore), for research conducted at the National University of Singapore.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-018-0232-2>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

## References

- J.S. Lee, G. Nam, J. Sun, S. Higashi, H.W. Lee, S. Lee, W. Chen, Y. Cui, J. Cho, Composites of a prussian blue analogue and gelatin-derived nitrogen-doped carbon-supported porous spinel oxides as electrocatalysts for a Zn–air battery. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **6**(22), 1601052 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201601052>
- H. Wang, H.W. Lee, Y. Deng, Z. Lu, P.C. Hsu, Y. Liu, D. Lin, Y. Cui, Bifunctional non-noble metal oxide nanoparticle electrocatalysts through lithium-induced conversion for overall water splitting. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7261 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8261>
- J. Wang, W. Cui, Q. Liu, Z. Xing, A.M. Asiri, X. Sun, Recent progress in cobalt-based heterogeneous catalysts for electrochemical water splitting. *Adv. Mater.* **28**(2), 215–230 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201502696>
- F. Meng, H. Zhong, D. Bao, J. Yan, X. Zhang, In situ coupling of strung Co<sub>4</sub>N and intertwined N–C fibers toward free-standing bifunctional cathode for robust, efficient, and flexible Zn–air batteries. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**(32), 10226–10231 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b05046>
- C. Lin, S.S. Shinde, Y. Wang, Y. Sun, S. Chen, H. Zhang, J.H. Lee, Flexible and rechargeable Zn–air batteries based on green feedstocks with 75% round-trip efficiency. *Sustain. Energy Fuels* **1**(9), 1909–1914 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SE00346C>
- J.S. Lee, S. Tai Kim, R. Cao, N.S. Choi, M. Liu, K.T. Lee, J. Cho, Metal–air batteries with high energy density: Li–air versus Zn–air. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **1**(1), 34–50 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201000010>
- B.Y. Xia, Y. Yan, N. Li, H.B. Wu, X.W. Lou, X. Wang, A metal-organic framework-derived bifunctional oxygen electrocatalyst. *Nat. Energy* **1**(1), 15006 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2015.6>
- T. Liu, X. Ma, D. Liu, S. Hao, G. Du et al., Mn doping of cop nanosheets array: an efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction with enhanced activity at all pH values. *ACS Catal.* **7**(1), 98–102 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b02849>
- P.W. Menezes, A. Indra, C. Das, C. Walter, C. Göbel, V. Gutkin, D. Schmeißer, M. Driess, Uncovering the nature of active species of nickel phosphide catalysts in high-performance electrochemical overall water splitting. *ACS Catal.* **7**(1), 103–109 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b02666>
- L. Bu, N. Zhang, S. Guo, X. Zhang, J. Li et al., Biaxially strained PtPb/Pt core/shell nanoplate boosts oxygen reduction catalysis. *Science* **354**(6318), 1410–1414 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah6133>
- S.T. Hunt, M. Milina, A.C. Alba-Rubio, C.H. Hendon, J.A. Dumesic, Y. Román-Leshkov, Self-assembly of noble metal monolayers on transition metal carbide nanoparticle catalysts. *Science* **352**(6288), 974–978 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8471>
- J. Li, W. Xu, J. Luo, D. Zhou, D. Zhang, L. Wei, D. Yuan, Synthesis of 3D hexagram-like cobalt–manganese sulfides nanosheets grown on nickel foam: a bifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **10**(1), 6 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-017-0160-6>
- J. Masa, W. Xia, I. Sinev, A. Zhao, Z. Sun, S. Grutzke, P. Weide, M. Muhler, W. Schuhmann, Solution-cast metal oxide thin film electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**(41), 17253–17261 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja307507a>
- J. Yin, Y. Li, F. Lv, Q. Fan, Y.Q. Zhao et al., NiO/CoN porous nanowires as efficient bifunctional catalysts for Zn–air batteries. *ACS Nano* **11**(2), 2275–2283 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b00417>
- J.X. Feng, L.X. Ding, S.H. Ye, X.J. He, H. Xu, Y.X. Tong, G.R. Li, Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@PANI hybrid nanosheets with 3D networks as high-performance electrocatalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Adv. Mater.* **27**(44), 7051–7057 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201503187>
- H. Xia, J. Zhang, Z. Yang, S. Guo, S. Guo, Q. Xu, 2D MOF nanoflake-assembled spherical microstructures for enhanced supercapacitor and electrocatalysis performances. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **9**(4), 43 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-017-0144-6>
- H. Zhang, X. Li, A. Hähnel, V. Naumann, C. Lin, S. Azimi, R.B. Wehrspohn, Bifunctional heterostructure assembly of NiFe LDH nanosheets on NiCoP nanowires for highly efficient and stable overall water splitting. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**(14), 1706847 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201706847>
- Z. Liu, Y. Wang, R. Chen, C. Chen, H. Yang, J. Ma, S. Wang, Quaternary bimetallic phosphosulphide nanosheets derived from prussian blue analogues: origin of the ultra-high activity for oxygen evolution. *J. Power Sources* **403**, 90–96 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.09.078>
- Y. Liang, Y. Li, H. Wang, J. Zhou, J. Wang, T. Regier, H. Dai, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals on graphene as a synergistic catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *Nat. Mater.* **10**(10), 780–786 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3087>
- J. Wang, K. Li, H.X. Zhong, D. Xu, Z.L. Wang, Z. Jiang, X.B. Zhang, Synergistic effect between metal–nitrogen–carbon



- sheets and NiO nanoparticles for enhanced electrochemical water-oxidation performance. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**(36), 10530–10534 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201504358>
21. C. Lin, S.S. Shinde, Z. Jiang, X. Song, Y. Sun, L. Guo, J.H. Lee, In situ directional formation of Co@CoO<sub>x</sub> embedded 1D carbon nanotubes as an efficient oxygen electrocatalyst for ultra-high rate Zn–air batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**(27), 13994–14002 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA02215H>
  22. J. Jiang, M. Gao, W. Sheng, Y. Yan, Hollow chevrel-phase NiMo<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> for hydrogen evolution in alkaline electrolytes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**(49), 15240–15245 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201607651>
  23. G. Li, D. Zhang, Q. Qiao, Y. Yu, D. Peterson et al., All the catalytic active sites of MoS<sub>2</sub> for hydrogen evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**(51), 16632–16638 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b05940>
  24. L. Jia, X. Sun, Y. Jiang, S. Yu, C. Wang, A novel MoSe<sub>2</sub>-reduced graphene oxide/polyimide composite film for applications in electrocatalysis and photoelectrocatalysis hydrogen evolution. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **25**(12), 1814–1820 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201401814>
  25. F. Wang, Y. Li, T.A. Shifa, K. Liu, F. Wang, Z. Wang, J. He, Selenium-enriched nickel selenide nanosheets as a robust electrocatalyst for hydrogen generation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**(24), 6919–6924 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201602802>
  26. H. Yan, C. Tian, L. Wang, A. Wu, M. Meng, L. Zhao, H. Fu, Phosphorus-modified tungsten nitride/reduced graphene oxide as a high-performance, non-noble-metal electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**(21), 6325–6329 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201501419>
  27. B. Zhang, C. Xiao, S. Xie, J. Liang, X. Chen, Y. Tang, Iron-nickel nitride nanostructures in situ grown on surface-redox-etching nickel foam: efficient and ultrasustainable electrocatalysts for overall water splitting. *Chem. Mater.* **28**(19), 6934–6941 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b02610>
  28. L. Kuai, J. Geng, C. Chen, E. Kan, Y. Liu, Q. Wang, B. Geng, A reliable aerosol-spray-assisted approach to produce and optimize amorphous metal oxide catalysts for electrochemical water splitting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53**(29), 7547–7551 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201404208>
  29. P. Chen, K. Xu, T. Zhou, Y. Tong, J. Wu et al., Strong-coupled cobalt borate nanosheets/graphene hybrid as electrocatalyst for water oxidation under both alkaline and neutral conditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**(7), 2488–2492 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511032>
  30. Y. Jia, L. Zhang, G. Gao, H. Chen, B. Wang et al., Heterostructure coupling of exfoliated Ni–Fe hydroxide nanosheet and defective graphene as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting. *Adv. Mater.* **29**(17), 1700017 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201700017>
  31. Y. Wang, M. Qiao, Y. Li, S. Wang, Tuning surface electronic configuration of NiFe LDHs nanosheets by introducing cation vacancies (Fe or Ni) as highly efficient electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction. *Small* **14**(17), 1800136 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201800136>
  32. Z.L. Wang, X.F. Hao, Z. Jiang, X.P. Sun, D. Xu, J. Wang, X.B. Zhang, C and N hybrid coordination derived Co–C–N complex as a highly efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**(48), 15070–15073 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b09021>
  33. K.H. Liu, H.X. Zhong, S.J. Li, Y.X. Duan, M.M. Shi, X.B. Zhang, Q. Jiang, Advanced catalysts for sustainable hydrogen generation and storage via hydrogen evolution and carbon dioxide/nitrogen reduction reactions. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **92**, 64 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.09.001>
  34. D. Liu, L. Tao, D. Yan, Y. Zou, S. Wang, Recent advances on non-precious metal porous carbon-based electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction reaction. *Chem. Electro. Chem.* **5**(14), 1775–1785 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/celec.201800086>
  35. J. Yin, Y. Li, F. Lv, M. Lu, K. Sun et al., Oxygen vacancies dominated NiS<sub>2</sub>/CoS<sub>2</sub> interface porous nanowires for portable Zn–air batteries driven water splitting devices. *Adv. Mater.* **29**(47), 1704681 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201704681>
  36. N. Kornienko, J. Resasco, N. Becknell, C.M. Jiang, Y.S. Liu et al., Operando spectroscopic analysis of an amorphous cobalt sulfide hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**(23), 7448–7455 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b03545>
  37. H. Liu, Q. He, H. Jiang, Y. Lin, Y. Zhang, M. Habib, S. Chen, L. Song, Electronic structure reconfiguration toward pyrite NiS<sub>2</sub> via engineered heteroatom defect boosting overall water splitting. *ACS Nano* **11**(11), 11574–11583 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b06501>
  38. Y. Qu, M. Yang, J. Chai, Z. Tang, M. Shao et al., Facile synthesis of vanadium-doped Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> nanowire arrays as active electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **9**(7), 5959–5967 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b13244>
  39. J. Zhang, Y. Liu, C. Sun, P. Xi, S. Peng, D. Gao, D. Xue, Accelerated hydrogen evolution reaction in CoS<sub>2</sub> by transition-metal doping. *ACS Energy Lett.* **3**(4), 779–786 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acseenergylett.8b00066>
  40. J. Zhang, B. Xiao, X. Liu, P. Liu, P. Xi, W. Xiao, J. Ding, D. Gao, D. Xue, Copper dopants improved the hydrogen evolution activity of earth-abundant cobalt pyrite catalysts by activating the electrocatalytically inert sulfur sites. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**(33), 17601–17608 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA05433E>
  41. M. Caban-Acevedo, M.L. Stone, J.R. Schmidt, J.G. Thomas, Q. Ding, H.C. Chang, M.L. Tsai, J.H. He, S. Jin, Efficient hydrogen evolution catalysis using ternary pyrite-type cobalt phosphosulphide. *Nat. Mater.* **14**(12), 1245–1251 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4410>
  42. H. Liang, A.N. Gandi, D.H. Anjum, X. Wang, U. Schwingenschlöggl, H.N. Alshareef, Plasma-assisted synthesis of NiCoP for efficient overall water splitting. *Nano Lett.* **16**(12), 7718–7725 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.6b03803>

43. K. Liang, K. Marcus, S. Zhang, L. Zhou, Y. Li, S.T. De Oliveira, N. Orlovskaya, Y.H. Sohn, Y. Yang, NiS<sub>2</sub>/FeS holey film as freestanding electrode for high-performance lithium battery. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **7**(22), 1701309 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201701309>
44. H. Zhang, Y. Li, T. Xu, J. Wang, Z. Huo, P. Wan, X. Sun, Amorphous Co-doped MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheet coated metallic CoS<sub>2</sub> nanocubes as an excellent electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **3**(29), 15020–15023 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA03410H>
45. X. Zhang, W.P. Han, J.B. Wu, S. Milana, Y. Lu, Q.Q. Li, P.H. Tan, Shear and layer breathing modes in multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**(11), 1504–1509 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.115413>
46. J. Zhang, W. Xiao, P. Xi, S. Xi, Y. Du, D. Gao, J. Ding, Activating and optimizing activity of CoS<sub>2</sub> for hydrogen evolution reaction through the synergic effect of N dopants and S vacancies. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2**(5), 1022–1028 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acseenergylett.7b00270>
47. L. Hou, Y. Shi, C. Wu, Y. Zhang, Y. Ma, X. Sun, J. Sun, X. Zhang, C. Yuan, Monodisperse metallic NiCoSe<sub>2</sub> hollow sub-microspheres: formation process, intrinsic charge-storage mechanism, and appealing pseudocapacitance as highly conductive electrode for electrochemical supercapacitors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**(13), 1705921 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201705921>
48. X. Xu, H. Liang, F. Ming, Z. Qi, Y. Xie, Z. Wang, Prussian blue analogues derived penroseite (Ni,Co)Se<sub>2</sub> nanocages anchored on 3D graphene aerogel for efficient water splitting. *ACS Catal.* **7**(9), 6394–6399 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b02079>
49. D. Susac, L. Zhu, M. Teo, A. Sode, K.C. Wong, P.C. Wong, S.A. Campbell, Characterization of FeS<sub>2</sub>-based thin films as model catalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **111**(50), 18715–18723 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp073395i>
50. T. Meng, J. Qin, S. Wang, D. Zhao, B. Mao, M. Cao, In situ coupling of Co<sub>0.85</sub>Se and n-doped carbon via one-step selenization of metal–organic frameworks as a trifunctional catalyst for overall water splitting and Zn–air batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**(15), 7001–7014 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA01453H>
51. D. Yoon, B. Seo, J. Lee, K.S. Nam, B. Kim, S. Park, H. Baik, S. Hoon Joo, K. Lee, Facet-controlled hollow RhS<sub>2</sub> hexagonal nanoprisms as highly active and structurally robust catalysts toward hydrogen evolution reaction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **9**(3), 850–856 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE03456F>
52. J. Miao, F.X. Xiao, H.B. Yang, S.Y. Khoo, J. Chen, Z. Fan, B. Liu, Hierarchical Ni–Mo–S nanosheets on carbon fiber cloth: a flexible electrode for efficient hydrogen generation in neutral electrolyte. *Sci. Adv.* **1**(7), e1500259 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500259>
53. J. Xie, H. Zhang, S. Li, R. Wang, X. Sun, M. Zhou, J. Zhou, X.W. Lou, Y. Xie, Defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> ultrathin nanosheets with additional active edge sites for enhanced electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Adv. Mater.* **25**(40), 5807–5813 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201302685>
54. Y. Li, J. Yin, L. An, M. Lu, K. Sun, Y.Q. Zhao, P. Xi, Metallic CuCo<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> nanosheets of atomic thickness as efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for portable, flexible Zn–air batteries. *Nanoscale* **10**(14), 6581–6588 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NR01381K>
55. J. Rossmeisl, Z.W. Qu, H. Zhu, G.J. Kroes, J.K. Nørskov, Electrolysis of water on oxide surfaces. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **607**(1–2), 83–89 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2006.11.008>
56. P. Liu, D. Gao, W. Xiao, L. Ma, K. Sun, P. Xi, D. Xue, J. Wang, Self-powered water-splitting devices by core–shell NiFe@N-graphite-based Zn–air batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**(14), 1706928 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201706928>
57. C. Hu, L. Dai, Multifunctional carbon-based metal-free electrocatalysts for simultaneous oxygen reduction, oxygen evolution, and hydrogen evolution. *Adv. Mater.* **29**(9), 1604942 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201604942>