### BINDERS ALTERNATIVE TO PORTLAND CEMENT AND WASTE MANAGEMENT FOR

## **SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION - Part 1**

Luigi Coppola<sup>1</sup>, Tiziano Bellezze<sup>2</sup>, Alberto Belli<sup>2</sup>, Maria Chiara Bignozzi<sup>3</sup>, Fabio Bolzoni<sup>4</sup>, Andrea Brenna<sup>4</sup>, Marina Cabrini<sup>1</sup>, Sebastiano Candamano<sup>5</sup>, Marta Cappai<sup>6</sup>, Domenico Caputo<sup>7</sup>, Maddalena Carsana<sup>4</sup>, Ludovica Casnedi<sup>6</sup>, Raffaele Cioffi<sup>8</sup>, Ombretta Cocco<sup>6</sup>, Denny Coffetti<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Colangelo<sup>8</sup>, Bartolomeo Coppola<sup>9</sup>, Valeria Corinaldesi<sup>2</sup>, Fortunato Crea<sup>5</sup>, Elena Crotti<sup>1</sup>, Valeria Daniele<sup>10</sup>, Sabino De Gisi<sup>11</sup>, Francesco Delogu<sup>6</sup>, Luciano Di Maio<sup>9</sup>, Rosa Di Mundo<sup>11</sup>, Luca Di Palma<sup>12</sup>, Jacopo Donnini<sup>2</sup>, Ilenia Farina<sup>8</sup>, Claudio Ferone<sup>8</sup>, Patrizia Frontera<sup>13</sup>, Matteo Gastaldi<sup>4</sup>, Chiara Giosuè<sup>2</sup>, Loredana Incarnato<sup>9</sup>, Barbara Liguori<sup>7</sup>, Federica Lollini<sup>4</sup>, Sergio Lorenzi<sup>1</sup>, Stefania Manzi<sup>3</sup>, Ottavio Marino<sup>7</sup>, Milena Marroccoli<sup>14</sup>, Maria Cristina Mascolo<sup>15</sup>, Letterio Mavilia<sup>16</sup>, Alida Mazzoli<sup>2</sup>, Franco Medici<sup>12</sup>, Paola Meloni<sup>6</sup>, Glauco Merlonetti<sup>2</sup>, Alessandra Mobili<sup>2</sup>, Michele Notarnicola<sup>11</sup>, Marco Ormellese<sup>4</sup>, Tommaso Pastore<sup>1</sup>, Maria Pia Pedeferri<sup>4</sup>, Andrea Petrella<sup>11</sup>, Giorgio Pia<sup>6</sup>, Elena Redaelli<sup>4</sup>, Giuseppina Roviello<sup>8</sup>, Paola Scarfato<sup>9</sup>, Giancarlo Scoccia<sup>10</sup>, Giuliana Taglieri<sup>10</sup>, Antonio Telesca<sup>14</sup>, Francesca Tittarelli<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Todaro<sup>11</sup>, Giorgio Vilardi<sup>12</sup>, Fan Yang<sup>4</sup>

- Department of Engineering and Applied Sciences, University of Bergamo
  Department of Materials, Environmental Sciences and Urban Planning, Università
  Politecnica delle Marche
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Bologna
- Department of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials, Politecnico di Milano
  Department of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, University of Calabria
- Obepartment of Mechanical, Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Cagliari Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Naples Parthenope
  - <sup>8</sup> Department of Engineering, University of Naples Parthenope
  - <sup>9</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Salerno
  - 10 Department of Industrial and Information Engineering and Economics, University of L'Aquila
    - <sup>11</sup> Department of Civil, Environmental, Land, Building Engineering and Chemistry, Politecnico di Bari
- Department of Chemical Engineering, Materials and Environment, Sapienza University of Rome
  - <sup>13</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Energy, Environment and Materials, Mediterranea University of Reggio Calabria
    - <sup>14</sup> School of Engineering, University of Basilicata
- <sup>15</sup> Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, University of Cassino and Southern Lazio
- <sup>16</sup> Department of Heritage, Architecture and Urban Planning, University of Reggio Calabria

### **ABSTRACT**

The paper represents "a state of the art" on sustainability in construction materials. The authors propose different solutions to make the concrete industry environmentally friendly in order to reduce greenhouse gases emissions and consumption of non-renewable resources. The part 1 of present paper focuses on the use of binders alternative to Portland cement, including sulfoaluminate cements, alkali-activated materials and geopolymers. Part 2 will be dedicated to traditional Portland-free binders and waste management and recycling in mortar and concrete production.

#### Introduction

With the dawn of twenty-first century, the world has entered into an era of sustainable development. As a consequence of this, concrete industry has to face two antithetically needs: how it can feed the growing population needs being – at the same time - sustainable? Sustainability in construction industry can be achieved through three different routes (Fig.1): reduction in consumption of gross energy, in polluting emissions and in not renewable natural resources. Different strategies can be identified along these three routes to make concrete sector more environmentally friendly (Fig.2): a) using alternative fuels and raw materials to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to produce Portland cement; b) replacing Portland cement with low-carbon supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs); developing alternative low-carbon binders (alkali-activated materials, geopolymers and calcium sulphoaluminate cements); c) reducing natural resource consumption through to waste management and recycling (1–3).

The part 1 of present paper deals with use of binders alternative to Portland cement. Part 2 will be dedicated to waste management and recycling in mortar and concrete production.

## 1. Alternative binders to Portland cement

Different alternative binders to traditional Portland cement have been proposed: sulfoaluminate cements, activated alkaline binders and geopolymers.

# 1.1. Sulphoaluminate cements

Calcium SulphoAluminate cements (CSA) were applied since the end of the 1950's (4). Then in the mid-1970s, CSA cement was produced in an industrial scale in China by burning limestone, bauxite and gypsum at  $1300 \sim 1350^{\circ}$ C (5). In China, CSA cements are treated as a special binder with rapid setting, shrinkage compensation and high early-age strength. The main phase of CSA is tetracalcium trialuminate sulphate or ye'elimite (C<sub>4</sub>A<sub>3</sub> $\overline{S}$ ). The amount of ye'elimite in CSA cement usually varies from 20% to 70%. Apart

from ye'elimite, belite (C<sub>2</sub>S) is another main phase in CSA cement; while secondary phases may include C<sub>4</sub>AF, C<sub>3</sub>A, C<sub>12</sub>A<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>AF<sub>2</sub> (6). CSA cement is a sustainable cement when compared with OPC (7,8), since less limestone is required due to the low CaO content in ye'elimite phase (7,9,10). Moreover, more gypsum or anhydrite (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) is needed to prepare CSA cement; therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> released in CSA cement production process is much less than that for OPC production (11). Secondly, its calcination temperature is 100 ~ 150°C lower than that of OPC, which helps reduce 15% coal consumption with respect to OPC (12). Thirdly, CSA clinker is porous, which makes it easier to be ground (13) and this further reduces energy consumption. However, the use of CSA cement to replace OPC 100% might encounter some adversities such as over-short setting time (12), low pH (14), high price (15) and expansion risk (7). Thereby, blending CSA with OPC might combine their advantages and improve properties such as expansion and setting time (16), passivation ability of steel and porosity (14).

The hydration process of CSA cement has been studied (17–21). The first hydration reaction in the presence of gypsum (CSH<sub>2</sub>) is:

$$C_4A_3\overline{S} + 2C\overline{S}H_2 + 34H \rightarrow C_6A\overline{S}_3H_{32} + 2AH_3$$

The formation of ettringite (C<sub>6</sub>A\overline{S}\_3H\_{32}), AFt, mainly takes place in the first hours (22). When gypsum is depleted, ye'elimite forms monosulphate (C<sub>6</sub>A\overline{S}\_3H\_{12}): AFm. Hydration of belite occurs at later age due to its low reactivity. Because the hydration products of ye'elimite contain amorphous AH<sub>3</sub>, reaction of belite in the presence of AH<sub>3</sub> will form stratlingite (C<sub>2</sub>ASH<sub>8</sub>) rather than C-S-H (23). Most of the ye'elimite and gypsum react in first 7 days; while most of belite could be unhydrated even at 90 days (7). In case of blended CSA/OPC cement, their hydration products highly depend on the ratio of OPC/CSA. For low OPC/CSA ratio, the hydration of OPC takes place in several days later after casting (24). Alite (C<sub>3</sub>S) in OPC cement can react with AH<sub>3</sub>, that is the hydration product of ye'elimite at early stage, to form stratlingite (C<sub>2</sub>ASH<sub>8</sub>) and portlandite (CH) at early stage (16). For high OPC/CSA ratio,

alite can bring about C-S-H and portlandite; then the portlandite together with gypsum may change the hydration reaction of ye'elimite to form  $3C_6A\overline{S}_3H_{32}$ . The short setting time of CSA concrete is due to the quick and large formation of ettringite in the first hours (25). Content of anhydrite in CSA concrete can influence early-age compressive strength as well; increasing anhydrite content means more ye'elimite phase which reacts at early age and therefore forms more hydration products (26).

Study performed on CSA cement paste revealed that a bimodal pore distribution was developed since the very early age; lower porosity is dominant, but not connected with higher porosity (27). Moreover, the average pore size of CSA concrete is smaller than that of OPC. The porosity of CSA mortar decreased with the increase of anhydrite content and the decrease of w/c ratio (26). A series of factor can influence the expansion of CSA concrete (7,28), but ye'elimite content plays an important role. When the portion of ye'elimite is more than 50% in CSA cement, expansion, cracking and loss of strength appear at later age; the proper content of ye'elimite seems to range from 30% to 40% (29).

## 2.2 Alkali activated materials

Alkali-Activated Materials (AAMs) were developed starting from the 1940's (30). They are obtained by reaction of an alkali metal source with amorphous or vitreous calciumaluminosilicate precursors. The former is used to increase the pH of the reaction mixture thus accelerating the dissolution of the powders, while the composition of the latter determines physical-chemical processes that produce the hardening (31,32).Microstructures, workability, strength and durability of AAMs can be tuned by a proper combination of activators and precursors. Mix Design of AAMs includes materials from both natural sources (metakaolin: MK, pozzolans: P) and by-products (slag: GGBS, fly ash: FA and paper sludge: PS). AAMs can be classified on the nature of precursor (CaO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system) into two main categories: (a) high calcium and (b) low calcium. When aluminosilicate sources (MK, FA) are used, a (Na,K)<sub>2</sub>O-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system is generated. It can

be considered a subset of AAMs that is usually referred as geopolymers, characterized by a peculiar pseudo-zeolitic network structure (33–35). When slag is used as precursor, a (Na,K)<sub>2</sub>O-CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system is produced. It is activated under moderate alkaline conditions (36,37) and hardening is produced by the formation of a C-A-S-H gel. A combination of the preceding two systems is also possible (38,39) where hardening is due to the formation of C-A-S-H and (N,C)-A-S-H gels network (40).

The reactive powder used to produce the calcium-rich binder is blast furnace slag originated from the purification process of iron ore to iron (41). GGBFS is a mixture highly glassy phases with composition close to those of gehlenite and akermanite: (31-38%) SiO<sub>2</sub>, (38-44%) CaO, (9-13%) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (7-12%) MgO, and S, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MnO and K<sub>2</sub>O with percentages of less than 1%. When it is used to produce AAMs, parameters affecting GGBFS reactivity are the vitreous phase content (85–95%wt), its degree of depolymerization (DP from 1.3 to 1.5) and its specific surface (400–600 m<sup>2</sup>/kg) (31).

Slag alkaline activation consists (30–32) in dissolution of the glassy particles, nucleation and growth of the initial solid phases, interactions and mechanical binding at the boundaries of the phases formed, ongoing reaction via dynamic chemical equilibria and diffusion of reactive species through the reaction products formed at advanced times of curing (42,43). At the early ages, alkaline solution reacts with dissolved species generating the outer C-A-S-H. At longer ages, the inner C-A-S-H gel is produced by ongoing reactions of the undissolved portions of the slag particles through a diffusion mechanism (44).

Cations and anions of the activator play a specific role in the activation process. When hydroxides are used the OH<sup>-</sup> acts as a catalyst and it is responsible for the pH increase, thus allowing the precursor dissolution and the formation of stable hydrates (45). Slag-based binder can be prepared using 2–4 M solution with Na<sub>2</sub>O content less than 5% slag weight to guarantee mechanical properties and reduce efflorescence (46), (47,48)(49). When sodium silicate is used, the gel is characterized by lower Ca/Si and a less ordered structure. In both

the cases gel is composed by coexisting 11 and 14 Å desordered tobermorite-like phases (43), with Ca/Si ratio (0.9–1.2) lower than in hydrated Portland cement system. AFm type phases or strätlingite are formed when NaOH or silicate are respectively used (50,51). If raw materials contain high amount of MgO (52,53), Hydrotalcite (Mg<sub>6</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>16</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O) is produced, while in presence of low MgO (<5 %) and high Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contents zeolites are often found in the reaction products (54).

# 2.3 Metakaolin and fly-ash based geopolymers

As a general statement, metakaolin has been the "model system" for studying the activation process(55–63), of AAMs. MK (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:2SiO<sub>2</sub>) is a natural pozzolanic material obtained by the calcination of kaolin at 500-900 °C (64,65). MK consists of plate-like particles (66) with a specific surface area generally between 9 – 20 m<sup>2</sup>/g. MK pastes usually require a liquid/MK > 0.6 by mass (67) and MK mortars need ~1.0 (68,69). In general, MK geopolymers set within 24 h. Conversely, MK geopolymers have a higher reaction rate and a faster strength gain with respect to FA ones (70,71), because of the presence of secondary minerals in the kaolinite clay (72,73), the fineness of particles (74), and the reaction temperature (75,76). The hydrothermal ageing (95°C) because of the major formation of crystalline zeolite, is responsible for the strength loss (71). Moreover, the thermal treatment of MK mixtures at 80 °C accelerates the strength development, but the final strength is lower than that of specimens ambient cured (68). In geopolymers, the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>O influence mechanical properties. Compressive strength and Young's modulus were found to be dependent on alkali type (Na or K) and Si/Al ratio (77), however at the same compressive strength, the authors (70) found that the modulus of elasticity is lower in geopolymers than in OPC mortars. K-based geopolymers produce a higher compressive strength than Na-based ones (78) and the increase of SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increases also the mechanical strength. Davidovits indicated the optimum Na<sub>2</sub>O/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 1 and 4, respectively (79), while most researchers reported an optimum SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> of 3–3.8

(49,80). The increase of Si/Al ≥ 3 leads to chemical instability in air with efflorescence formation on the surface attributed to the high residual free alkali cations (81). Usually, increasing the Na<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>O leads to improved dissolution ability and mechanical strength development of clay-based geopolymers (69,78,82,83). Geopolymers prepared only with MK are highly susceptible to shrinkage both at room and elevated temperatures (67,84–88), because of their high water requirement.

Concerning the effect of aggressive/pollutant substances (89-92) on MK concretes durability, Palomo et al. (93) found that MK geopolymers were stable if immersed in seawater, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (4.4 %), and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (0.001 M) up to 90 days. On the contrary, Mobili et al. (70) noticed cracks formation on MK geopolymers exposed to Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (14 %), not present in FA ones with the same activators. Gao et al. (94-96) found that MK geopolymers remain sound after 28 days in HCl solution (pH 2). The capillary water absorption of MK-based geopolymers is higher than blended blast furnace slag, (97,98) FA or OPC ones (70). Currently, researchers are studying MK-based geopolymers also to produce non-structural plasters with lightweight aggregates for thermal insulation (99–104) and to be used as mortars able to adsorb Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) (105-109). Another trend is to produce geopolymers suitable for refractory applications, adding a foaming agent, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or Al powder (100,110,111). Results show that only Al-geopolymer are successfully converted to crack-free ceramics on heating (110). The partial substitution of MK with FA gives also positive influences both on thermal resistance (112) and compressive strength (100), thanks to the lower water demand and thus the lower free water evaporation. Foams have much lower thermal conductivity (0.15 – 0.4 W/m·K) than the solid geopolymer (0.6 W/m·K) (113). The thermal conductivity increases with the increase Si/Al ratio (114,115), because of the increased connectivity, reduced porosity and finer pore size distribution. Moreover, increasing the K/Al ratio also the foaming efficiency (final

volume/initial volume) increases (116). Geopolymers derived from a K-based activator were more ready to dissolve or degrade compared to an Na-based one both if foamed (117) or not (118–120).

Research field investigated "one-part" MK geopolymers, obtained by adding only water to the dry materials, avoiding the use of caustic solutions, by the calcination of the clay material with a powdered activator, such as NaOH or KOH (121), soluble sodium silicate (122), sodium carbonate (123) or by using an alkali-rich by-product, such as potassium-rich biomass ash (124).

FA is an industrial by-product derived from coal fired power stations with a highly variable composition, dependent on the coal source and burning conditions (125). Particle size distribution, chemical composition and crystalline/glassy phases of the precursor are key factors that need to be understood, since they control the precursor reactivity and solubility in alkaline solutions (126). It was found that the geopolymer microstructure is highly influenced by particle fineness, amorphous phase composition, oxides content (particularly Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO). The lower Na<sub>2</sub>O/SiO<sub>2</sub> molar the higher the performances in terms of water absorption, and mechanical properties. Geopolymers showed good thermal stability after firing due to the formation of new crystalline phases. Developing comprehensive knowledge of precursors is a fundamental and critical step in commercializing geopolymer products. For example, a preliminary study showed the use of geopolymer mortars for strengthening of concrete structures (127). As workability is one of the main requirements, the research on superplasticizers suitable for fly ash geopolymers needs be emphasized (128–135).

### 2.4 Clayey sediments and sludge for geopolymers

Geopolymers are attractive because natural and industrial silico-aluminates wastes may be used as precursors. The exploration for alternative low cost and easily available materials led among others to "normal clays". Clayey sediments consist of different clay minerals and

they are widely available all over the world, offering a significant reactivity after a thermal activation process (136). Among silico-alumina wastes, reservoir sediments are worthy of consideration. Sediments should be removed periodically to avoid reduction in reservoir capacity. There are more than 7000 large reservoirs in EU, of which 564 are in Italy. These data show that regular dredging operations can produce huge amounts of sediment. In this regard, some possibilities have been explored as raw materials in production of artificial aggregates, bricks and cement (137–142). The extension of these possibilities in the field of geopolymer materials has been studied in several papers (143–145).

SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are the main components in sediments, while CaO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are present in lower concentrations; K<sub>2</sub>O, MgO and Na<sub>2</sub>O are present in minimum percentages. The main mineralogical phases detected by XRD analysis are: quartz, calcite, clay phases and feldspars. A pre-treatment of the sediment is always necessary in order to enhance the reactivity in the alkaline environment. The optimal thermal treatment is at 750 °C, in fact the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR peak at 0 ppm related to octahedral Al (Figure 3) and absorbance FT-IR peaks at 3697, 3620 and 3415 cm<sup>-1</sup> were absent or greatly dampened evidencing the collapse of the ordered clay structure.

Thermally treated sediments were employed to manufacture geopolymer mortars and concrete blocks (146).

The prevailing chemical components of the sediments are silica and alumina, then making sediments good geopolymer precursors. However, within the wide range of natural and artificial silico–aluminates, the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in this case is quite high, such to make the alkali aluminate activation and/or the addition of alumina rich additives an interesting alternative. Aluminate activation was studied (147) with encouraging results to manufacture precast building blocks. As regard alumina-rich additives, water potabilization sludge is another key residue produced by the reservoir management activities. These wastes are based on flocculation-clarification processes using alumina coagulants (148). The amount of sludge

generated and its chemical composition depend mainly on chemical and physical characteristics of the water, the efficiency of the removal process and type and dose of coagulant. The amount of sludge can be roughly estimated in the range of 1-5% of the total amount of untreated water (148). This waste has been studied in literature only in a few studies, mainly with regard to potential reuse in construction industry (146,149–155).

The management of huge amount of sediments coming from dredged activities is an important issue to be solved in many countries worldwide. Clean dredged materials can be used for construction fill, brick or asphalt manufacturing, topsoil and marine projects. Recently, Lirer et al. (156) proposed dredged sediments with fly ashes in the production of geopolymers. Regarding the environmental impact, the values of hazardous elements classify geopolymers as non-dangerous materials. Therefore, these preliminary results suggest that this methodology could represent a starting point for the investigation of possible beneficial uses of polluted sediments in geopolymeric matrices.

# 2.5 Corrosion behavior in alternative binders-based matrix

Replacement of portland cement with alternative binders, especially CSA cements and AAMs, open the theme of protection of reinforcements in these new concretes (157–159). Data (23) seem to indicate the durability of CSA concretes is at least comparable to that of traditional Portland cement mixtures, but they also evidence the need to perform long-term tests in order to recognize the corrosion protection mechanism. The protective capacity of CSA-matrix (160) is confirmed by the positive experience on structures in China, in which no rebar corrosion occurred after 14 years of exposure. However, few information is given about the actual environmental aggressive conditions. Most of the works available are devoted to the study of hydration products in the very early period but only few papers address the corrosion behaviour of reinforcements by means of electrochemical techniques. Potential measurements performed in a few experimental works evidence difficulties in achieving proper values of passive rebars due to the low alkali content in pore water (161).

The studies on durability of mixtures manufactured with such binders address only few aspects – carbonation and chlorides – neglecting relevant aspects governing the corrosion process (12,27,162,163).

The main hydration product of CSA cement is ettringite that does not provide OH<sup>-</sup>. The pH of two pure CSA concretes with 0.5 w/c were respectively 10.23 and 10.53 after 90 days (14). In (161), w/c 0.45 CSA mortar showed low pH around 6. However, a high pH around 13, within first 60 days, has been observed (164) by using a CSA cement paste with w/c 0.8. An exhaustive investigation on two CSA cement pastes with w/c 0.72 and 0.8 revealed that: in the case of w/c 0.72 CSA cement paste, within the first hours, the pH was as low as 10.3 ~ 10.7 due to the fact that the initial saturated pore solution was dominated by aluminate, calcium and sulphate; after 16 hours, calcium and sulphate concentrations decreased noticeably due to the depletion of gypsum, thus pH went around 11.8; after 28 days, the pH value reaches 12.7 due to the ongoing release of alkali ions of CSA clinker and the increase of alkali concentrations caused by the consumption of the pore fluid by the formation of hydrates; while w/c 0.8 CSA cement paste showed a similar trend, but a slight higher pH at each stage (18).

Ettringite is susceptible to carbonation (12,13). It seems that the carbonation resistance of CSA concrete is weaker (Fig. 4) than that of OPC concrete (165). However, the investigation on two CSA concrete samples suggested that the carbonation resistance of CSA concrete is comparable with that of OPC concrete; high-strength CSA concrete has excellent carbonation resistance (166). It was found that the carbonation resistance of CSA mortar increased along with the anhydrite content, as well as the decrease of w/c; meanwhile it was also found that carbonation changed the strength performance of CSA mortar due to the modification of porosity caused by carbonation (26).

The lower chloride penetration resistance of CSA concrete when compared to OPC concrete was observed (12). Conversely, low chloride diffusion coefficients of CSA

concretes with different strengths when compared with their OPC counterparts were obtained (167). To enhance the chloride penetration resistance of CSA concrete, modifying AFm/AFt through varying the gypsum content with the hope to let more AFm bind chlorides, was carried out (168). Besides, the good sulphate resistance of CSA concrete was reported in (12–14). In case of blended CSA cement, it was shown that increasing OPC in blended CSA cement (15%-85%) is possible to improve the pH (169).

Currently, there is very few publications dealing with the passivation of steel embedded in CSA concrete. In reference (161), steel in CSA mortar showed a higher corrosion rate than steel in OPC mortar exposed to 3.5% NaCl solution. Half-cell potential measurement showed that steel embedded in CSA mortar was depassivated, showing high corrosion rate in 3.5% NaCl solution, due to the low pH (around 6) of pore solution of CSA mortar. However, corrosion potential and corrosion rate of steel embedded in w/c ratio 0.55 CSA concrete with a pH value of 11.5 showed the passivation of embedded steel, even in concrete exposed to an environment with 95% R.H. and 40°C or immersed in water (165). In reference (14), it's found that mortar made with 100% CSA cement (pH 11.88) was not capable of passivating steel; however, CSA cement blended with 15% OPC (pH 11.32) was enough to guarantee the passivation of steel.

The pH of alkali activated binders is very high at an initial stage due to the presence of activators, leading to the common conclusion that no corrosion issues can occur. However, the pH tends to decrease under endogenous conditions to values well below the limits for steel passivation in absence of chlorides (170) because such kind of binders consume alkalinity during the hydration process. In addition, very scattered pH values are reported and several doubts have still to be solved in terms of corrosion behaviour of reinforcement (171) due to the very different mineralogical composition of precursors. In addition, the role of alkalinity reservoir should be well taken into account for CSA and AAMs binders which are generally prone to consume calcium hydroxide rather than produce it as Portland cement

do (12). The protectiveness is not only attributable to the pH, but also to own ability of OPC concrete to bind chlorides leading to lower amount of free chlorides. The main factors influence the critical chlorides content for pitting initiation are the alkalinity and the concrete-reinforcement interface characteristics (172–175). The effect of alkalinity on localized corrosion initiation can be described in terms of chlorides-hydroxyl ions critical molar ratio, usually assumed equal to 0.6(157,174–183). The critical chloride threshold in OPC concretes is much higher due to oversaturation of calcium hydroxide (184). This lead to an increase in critical molar ratio at values exceeding 2 (173,185). This difference can be ascribed to the buffer ability by calcium hydroxide. The presence of this phase directly in contact with the carbon steel surface represents a reservoir of alkalinity, which contrasts the pH drop due to localized corrosion initiation.

On the contrary, lot of attention should be paid to innovative binders due to the great compositional variability of the raw materials, usually industrial by-products. Chloride contamination can be not negligible leading to an increased risk of localized corrosion especially in the first period when alkalinity has not yet reached a sufficient level to maintain stable passivity.

Mobili (70) studied also the corrosion behavior of carbon and galvanized bars (186,187) embedded in pure FA and MK geopolymers with the same strength class compared to OPC mortars. During the curing period, geopolymers prolong the active state of rebars, but after 10 days, corrosion rates (ν<sub>corr</sub>) decreased to moderate values (around 10 μm/year) in all mortars (70). During wet/dry (w/d) cycles in 3.5% NaCl solution (188), MK geopolymers showed the greatest corrosion of embedded rebars and the highest consumption of the galvanized coating because of the higher porosity compared to FA and OPC ones (189,190). Aguirre-Guerrero (191) studied the chloride-induced corrosion in OPC concrete coated with an alkali-activated mortar (90% MK (or FA) and 10% OPC); the MK geopolymer coating exhibited the best performances.

Accelerated carbonation ( $CO_2 = 3 \text{ vol.\%}$ ) on slag/MK geopolymers shows that carbonation occurs faster as MK content increases and leads to a reduction in compressive strength (192). Moreover, accelerated carbonation at 50%  $CO_2$  on MK-based geopolymers forms large amounts of sodium bicarbonate leading to a lower pH of the pore solution; while the formation of sodium carbonate in natural conditions does not lead to a pH below 10.5 after one year (193).

#### 2.5 Reinforcement less sensitive to corrosion

Carbonation or chloride-induced corrosion are the main issues in reinforced concrete structure manufactured with different types of binders. In carbonated concrete without chlorides, stainless steel rebars are passive (194,195). For galvanized steel, the presence of an external layer of pure zinc and its thickness is of primary importance to form a passive film; in contact with alkaline solutions, if the pH does not exceed 13.3, a layer of calcium hydroxyzincate is formed and zinc is passivated (196).

In chloride contaminated concrete, the onset of corrosion occurs if a chloride threshold is exceeded. Even though the measurement of this threshold is not easy, some major factors have been identified: the pH, the potential of the steel and voids at the steel/concrete interface (196). In the case of stainless steels, also chemical composition is important: corrosion resistance is improved by increasing Cr and Mo content, while probably the role of Ni is beneficial in alkaline environments and the Mn appears to have worsening effect (196–201). Galvanized steels has a good resistance to chloride-induced corrosion, even if not comparable to stainless steels: in aerated concrete the critical chloride content is maximum 1-1.5% (196).

Few papers have been published about performances of stainless or galvanized steels in new binders matrix (70,128,189,202,203). Moreover, results are not always consistent. Most of the researchers agree that the chloride concentration in alkali activated slag mortars is lower than in traditional mortars (128,189). This effect has been attributed to the lower porosity and the different chloride binding capacity: while in Portland cement mortars chlorides form low solubility calcium containing compounds, in geopolymers, since calcium content is very low the chloride binding effect is negligible.

pH of the pore solution is a matter of discussion. Some authors stated, without indicating any practical measurement, that pH is highly alkaline (202) or more alkaline that the traditional mortars (70). On the contrary, other authors reports pH value, measured by leaching method, for alkali activated mortars similar to that of CEM II A-L 42.5 R based mortars (between 12.8 and 13.2), but after exposure to 11 cycles of wetting with chloride solution and drying, the pH of alkali activated mortars was found 10.5-10.7 against 12.2 for cement based mortars, (128).

In (202), corrosion of low nickel (4.3%) manganese (7.2%) austenitic stainless steel with 16.5% Cr is compared with traditional stainless steel AISI 304 (1.4301 according to EN 10088-1) in alkali activated fly ash mortars characterized by high alkalinity (authors reported pH higher than 13, even if few details are provided). Both stainless steels exhibited passive behavior up to 2% of chloride content, while carbon steel suffered corrosion in 0.4% chlorides. In (203), stainless steels (traditional type AISI 304 and low nickel) in carbonated mortars subjected to accelerated chloride exposure suffered localized corrosion. Analysis of rebars after 2 year-exposure showed that in alkali activated slag mortars the behavior was better than in OPC mortars. The authors attributed the improvement to the higher concentration of inhibiting bicarbonate/carbonate ions present in these binders (203). The results are promising but not conclusive: on one hand, chloride content 2% in alkaline mortar (202) is not high enough to evaluate the long-term performance of stainless steel rebars. On the other hand, the results of the paper (203) show a little improvement of corrosion behavior in alkali activated mortars vs traditional ones. Concerning galvanized rebars, it has been

mentioned that geopolymeric mortars can have two opposite effects: a delay in the passivation due to the higher pH (potentially negative) and a reduction of corrosion rate after some cycles of wetting with 3.5% NaCl (70,189). Nevertheless, corrosion rate in alkali activated slag mortars were found to be 50  $\mu$ m/year. This value would lead to the consumption of the zinc layer (typically 150  $\mu$ m) in few years, so these results do not guarantee long term performance of the galvanized rebar in geopolymeric mortars.

## 2.6 Alkali activated materials in repair and conservation

The issue of retrofitting and seismic upgrade of existing masonry buildings and reinforced concrete structures, has become of primary interest, due to the huge architectural heritage all over the world. This topic is extremely complex, especially because of many compatibility issues between existing structures and Portland cement repair mortars (204–207). In fact, use of Portland cement mixtures on masonry structures can cause damages due to the presence of sodium and potassium ions that can promote alkali-aggregate reaction (208) or, in presence of wet environments and sulfur-rich natural stones (209), it could determine development of thaumasite and secondary ettringite, with expansion and cracking phenomena. Another key parameter for repair mortars is the elastic compatibility (210,211): if Young's modulus of repair material is different from substrate, it may create detachments and cracks. Finally, it is not possible to overlook the aesthetic compatibility between the original areas and those involved in maintenance works (212).

Currently, natural hydraulic lime (NHL) represents the only binder that can be used in these contexts due to their high compatibility with the substrates (213–215). However, due to their low mechanical strength, NHL-based mortars often do not meet the elasto-mechanical requirements and, for this reason, are very often mixed with Portland cement.

The use of cement-free alkali-activated materials (AAM), such as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), could also be a suitable alternative to Portland cement mixtures (216–219).

The key parameter that regulates most of properties of alkali-activated compounds is the precursor/activator ratio (220–225).

A key aspect for use in maintenance is the possibility to tailor the strength and stiffness with the activator/precursor (226–228); in particular, both the compressive strength and elastic modulus increased due to the high alkali-activator dosage in the mixture. Specifically (Figure 5), weakly alkali-activated GGBFS-based mortars can be used for plasters or masonry mortars while, in presence of high activator/precursor ratios, can be employed for seismic retrofitting or for reinforced concrete structures restoration.

Another key parameter of alkali-activated mortars is elastic modulus (Figure 5); several authors (31,50,229,230) showed less rigidity of GGBFS-based matrix respect to reference mixtures with ordinary Portland cement, at equal strength class. In particular, low activator/precursor determine Young's modulus ranging from 10 and 15 MPa while higher alkaline powders dosages cause an increase in GGBFS-based matrix-stiffness and, consequently, elastic modulus grows up to 20 MPa. This property, in presence of substrates restrain the dimensional contraction of repair mortar, determines the development of low internal tensile stresses and, thus, a lower cracking risk.

In general, alkali-activated mortars and concretes show very high free shrinkage compared to conglomerates manufactured with traditional binders (231). These problems are caused by the large amount of water not involved in the hydration reaction that, by evaporating, creates dimensional contraction and markedly porosity of matrix. Researchers (232) note that by increasing the water/binder, there is a growth in shrinkage due to two factors: the great amount of water able to evaporate and the increase of binder paste/aggregates. In addition, it is possible to note that shrinkage is also influenced by type and contents of

alkaline activators (233). Reduction of shrinkage can be achieved by optimizing the mix with ethylene glycole SRA or calcium oxide expansive agents. In addition, methyl cellulose and starch ether (M.S.) can also be added in order to reduce water evaporation at the fresh state (36,234,235). In particular, the addition of blends based on ethylene glycol and calcium oxide can reduce the free shrinkage about 40% compared to reference GGBFS- mortars without admixtures (Figure 6).

Another issue of alkali-activated materials is the efflorescence caused by excess of sodium oxide remaining unreacted in the material due to a disequilibrium in the mix towards the sodium-based activators. The parameter that influences the quantity of efflorescence is the Na/Al molar ratio; conglomerates with higher Na/Al molar ratios show a higher extent of alkali leaching, indicating a stronger tendency towards efflorescence (236,237).

In conclusion, from the analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of AAMs, it turns out that alkali-activated mortars and concretes can be a reasonable alternative to traditional Portland cement-based mixtures or natural hydraulic lime-based conglomerates for restoration of ancient buildings.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Coppola L, Coffetti D, Lorenzi S. For a sustainable development in construction industry: moving from the culture of "Not more than" to that of "Not less than." Struct 200. 2015;(28):1–11.
- 2. Gartner E, Hirao H. A review of alternative approaches to the reduction of CO2 emissions associated with the manufacture of the binder phase in concrete. Cem Concr Res. 2015 Dec;78:126–42.
- 3. Schneider M, Romer M, Tschudin M, Bolio H. Sustainable cement production-present and future. Cem Concr Res. 2011;41(7):642–50.
- 4. Budnikov P, Kravchenko I. Expansive cements. Proc 5th Int. Congr. Chem. Cem. In Tokyo (Japan); 1968. p. 5: 319-329.
- 5. Zhang L, Su M, Wang Y. Development of the use of sulfo- and ferroaluminate cements in China. Adv Cem Res. 1999 Jan 14;11(1):15–21.
- 6. Tang SW, Zhu HG, Li ZJ, Chen E, Shao HY. Hydration stage identification and phase transformation of calcium sulfoaluminate cement at early age. Constr Build Mater. 2015;75:11–8.
- 7. Chen IA, Hargis CW, Juenger MCG. Understanding expansion in calcium sulfoaluminate-belite cements. Cem Concr Res. 2012;42(1):51–60.
- 8. Pace ML, Telesca A, Marroccoli M, Valenti GL. Use of industrial byproducts as alumina sources for the synthesis of calcium sulfoaluminate cements. Environ Sci Technol. 2011;45(14):6124–8.
- 9. Telesca A, Marroccoli M, Tomasulo M, Valenti GL, Dieter H, Montagnaro F. Calcium looping spent sorbent as a limestone replacement in the manufacture of portland and calcium sulfoaluminate cements. Environ Sci Technol. 2015;49(11):6865–71.
- 10. Telesca A, Marroccoli M, Tomasulo M, Valenti GL, Dieter H, Montagnaro F. Low-CO2 Cements from Fluidized Bed Process Wastes and Other Industrial By-Products. Combust Sci Technol. 2016;188(4–5):492–503.
- 11. Sirtoli D, Tortelli S, Riva P, Marchi M, Cucitore R, Rose MN. Mechanical and environmental performances of sulpho-based rapid hardening concrete. In: American Concrete Institute, ACI Special Publication. 2015.
- 12. Quillin K. Performance of belite–sulfoaluminate cements. Cem Concr Res. 2001 Sep 1;31(9):1341–9.
- 13. Glasser F, Zhang L. High-performance cement matrices based on calcium sulfoaluminate—belite compositions. Cem Concr Res. 2001 Dec 1;31(12):1881–6.
- 14. Janotka I, Krajči L, Ray A, Mojumdar SC. The hydration phase and pore structure formation in the blends of sulfoaluminate-belite cement with Portland cement. Cem Concr Res. 2003;33(4):489–97.
- 15. Aranda MAG, De la Torre AG. Sulfoaluminate cement. In: Eco-Efficient Concrete. Elsevier; 2013. p. 488–522.
- 16. Trauchessec R, Mechling JM, Lecomte A, Roux A, Le Rolland B. Hydration of ordinary Portland cement and calcium sulfoaluminate cement blends. Cem Concr Compos. 2015;56:106–14.

- 17. Gastaldi D, Canonico F, Boccaleri E. Ettringite and calcium sulfoaluminate cement: Investigation of water content by near-infrared spectroscopy. J Mater Sci. 2009;44(21):5788–94.
- 18. Winnefeld F, Lothenbach B. Hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cements Experimental findings and thermodynamic modelling. Cem Concr Res. 2010 Aug 1;40(8):1239–47.
- 19. Telesca A, Marroccoli M, Tomasulo M, Valenti GL. Hydration Properties and Technical Behavior of Calcium Sulfoaluminate Cements. Spec Publ. 2015 Jun 1:303:237–54.
- 20. Telesca A, Marroccoli M, Pace ML, Tomasulo M, Valenti GL, Monteiro PJM. A hydration study of various calcium sulfoaluminate cements. Cem Concr Compos. 2014;53:224–32.
- 21. Canonico F, Bernardo G, Buzzi L, Paris M, Telesca A, Valenti GL. Microstructural Investigations on Hydrated High-Performance Cements Based on Calcium Sulfoaluminate. In: 12th International Congress on the Chemistry of Cement. Montreal (Canada); 2007. p. W3 11.4.
- 22. Kasselouri V, Tsakiridis P, Malami C, Georgali B, Alexandridou C. A study on the hydration products of a non-expansive sulfoaluminate cement. Cem Concr Res. 1995;25(8):1726–36.
- 23. Juenger M, Winnefeld F, Provis J, Ideker J. Advances in alternative cementitious binders. Cem Concr Res. 2011 Dec 1;41(12):1232–43.
- 24. Pelletier L, Winnefeld F, Lothenbach B. The ternary system Portland cement-calcium sulphoaluminate clinker-anhydrite: Hydration mechanism and mortar properties. Cem Concr Compos. 2010;32(7):497–507.
- 25. Hargis CW, Kirchheim AP, Monteiro PJM, Gartner EM. Early age hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate (synthetic ye'elimite, C 4A3S??) in the presence of gypsum and varying amounts of calcium hydroxide. Cem Concr Res. 2013;48:105–15.
- 26. Hargis CW, Lothenbach B, Müller CJ, Winnefeld F. Carbonation of calcium sulfoaluminate mortars. Cem Concr Compos. 2017;80:123–34.
- 27. Bernardo G, Telesca A, Valenti GL. A porosimetric study of calcium sulfoaluminate cement pastes cured at early ages. Cem Concr Res. 2006;36(6):1042–7.
- 28. Valenti GL, Marroccoli M, Pace ML, Telesca A. Discussion of the paper Understanding expansion in calcium sulfoaluminate-belite cements by I.A. Chen et al., Cem. Concr. Res. 42 (2012) 51-60. Cem Concr Res. 2012;42(11):1555–9.
- 29. Beretka J, Marroccoli M, Sherman N, Valenti GL. The influence of C4A3S?? content and W/S ratio on the performance of calcium sulfoaluminate-based cements. Cem Concr Res. 1996;26(11):1673–81.
- 30. Li C, Sun H, Li L. A review: The comparison between alkali-activated slag (Si + Ca) and metakaolin (Si + Al) cements. Cem Concr Res. 2010 Sep 1;40(9):1341–9.
- 31. Pacheco-Torgal F. Handbook of alkali-activated cements, mortars and concretes. 855 p.
- 32. Provis J, van Deventer J. Alkali Activated Materials State-of-the-Art Report. In: RILEM TC 224-AAM. Springer, London, UK; 2014. p. 59–85.
- 33. Lamuta C, Candamano S, Crea F, Pagnotta L. Direct piezoelectric effect in geopolymeric mortars. Mater Des. 2016;107:57–64.
- 34. Candamano S, Frontera P, Macario A, Crea F, Nagy JB, Antonucci PL. Preparation and characterization of active Ni-supported catalyst for syngas production. Chem Eng Res Des. 2015;96:78–86.

- 35. Candamano S, Frontera P, Macario A, Aloise A, Crea F. New material as Ni-support for hydrogen production by ethanol conversion. In: WIT Transactions on Engineering Sciences. 2014. p. 115–22.
- 36. Bakharev T, Sanjayan JG, Cheng YB. Effect of admixtures on properties of alkali-activated slag concrete. Cem Concr Res. 2000;30(9):1367–74.
- 37. Shi C, Krivenko P V., Roy DM. Alkali-activated cements and concretes. Taylor & Francis; 2006. 376 p.
- 38. García-Lodeiro I, Fernández-Jiménez A, Palomo A. Variation in hybrid cements over time. Alkaline activation of fly ash-portland cement blends. Cem Concr Res. 2013;52:112–22.
- 39. Garcia-Lodeiro I, Fernandez-Jimenez A, Palomo A. Hydration kinetics in hybrid binders: Early reaction stages. Cem Concr Compos. 2013;39:82–92.
- 40. García-Lodeiro I, Fernández-Jiménez A, Palomo A, MacPhee DE. Effect of calcium additions on N-A-S-H cementitious gels. J Am Ceram Soc. 2010;93(7):1934–40.
- 41. Balon I, Nikulin Y, Muravev V, Antipov N. Slag formation in production of steelmaking pigiron. Steel USSR. 1973;(4):268–73.
- 42. Fernández-Jiménez A, Puertas F. Alkali-activated slag cements: Kinetic studies. Cem Concr Res. 1997;27(3):359–68.
- 43. Bernal SA, Provis JL, Rose V, Mej??a De Gutierrez R. Evolution of binder structure in sodium silicate-activatnemaed slag-metakaolin blends. Cem Concr Compos. 2011;33(1):46–54.
- 44. Myers RJ, Bernal SA, San Nicolas R, Provis JL. Generalized structural description of calcium-sodium aluminosilicate hydrate gels: The cross-linked substituted tobermorite model. Langmuir. 2013;29(17):5294–306.
- 45. Puertas F. Escorias de alto horno: composición y comportamiento hidráulico. Mater Construcción. 1993;43:37–48.
- 46. Fernández Jiménez AM. Cementos de escorias activadas alcalinamente influencia de las variables y modelización del proceso. 2000;
- 47. Rajaokarivony-Andriambololona Z, Thomassin JH, Baillif P, Touray JC. Experimental hydration of two synthetic glassy blast furnace slags in water and alkaline solutions (NaOH and KOH 0.1 N) at 40?? C: structure, composition and origin of the hydrated layer. J Mater Sci. 1990;25(5):2399–410.
- 48. Roy A, Schilling PJ, Eaton HC, Malone PG, Brabston WN, Wakeley LD. Activation of Ground Blast-Furnace Slag by Alkali-Metal and Alkaline-Earth Hydroxides. J Am Ceram Soc. 1992 Dec 1;75(12):3233–40.
- 49. Duxson P, Provis JL, Lukey GC, Mallicoat SW, Kriven WM, Van Deventer JSJ. Understanding the relationship between geopolymer composition, microstructure and mechanical properties. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Asp. 2005 Nov 1;269(1–3):47–58.
- 50. Puertas F, Palacios M, Manzano H, Dolado JS, Rico A, Rodríguez J. A model for the C-A-S-H gel formed in alkali-activated slag cements. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2011 Oct 15;31(12):2043–56.
- 51. Lothenbach B, Gruskovnjak A. Hydration of alkali-activated slag: thermodynamic modelling. Adv Cem Res. 2007;19(2):81–92.

- 52. Fernandez-Jimenez A, Puertas F. Effect of activator mix on the hydration and strength behaviour of alkali-activated slag cements. Adv Cem Res. 2003;15(3):129–36.
- 53. Haha M Ben, Lothenbach B, Le Saout G, Winnefeld F. Influence of slag chemistry on the hydration of alkali-activated blast-furnace slag Part II: Effect of Al2O3. Cem Concr Res. 2012;42(1):74–83.
- 54. Zhang YJ, Zhao YL, Li HH, Xu DL. Structure characterization of hydration products generated by alkaline activation of granulated blast furnace slag. J Mater Sci. 2008;43(22):7141–7.
- 55. Bignozzi MC, Manzi S, Lancellotti I, Kamseu E, Barbieri L, Leonelli C. Mix-design and characterization of alkali activated materials based on metakaolin and ladle slag. Appl Clay Sci. 2013;73(1):78–85.
- 56. Provis JL, Bernal SA. Geopolymers and Related Alkali-Activated Materials. Annu Rev Mater Res. 2014 Jul;44(1):299–327.
- 57. Singh B, Ishwarya G, Gupta M, Bhattacharyya SK. Geopolymer concrete: A review of some recent developments. Constr Build Mater. 2015;85:78–90.
- 58. Provis JL, Palomo A, Shi C. Advances in understanding alkali-activated materials. Cem Concr Res. 2015;78:110–25.
- 59. Zhuang XY, Chen L, Komarneni S, Zhou CH, Tong DS, Yang HM, et al. Fly ash-based geopolymer: Clean production, properties and applications. J Clean Prod. 2016;125:253–67.
- 60. Bignozzi MC, Manzi S, Natali ME, Rickard WDA, Van Riessen A. Room temperature alkali activation of fly ash: The effect of Na 2O/SiO2 ratio. Constr Build Mater. 2014;69:262–70.
- 61. Natali Murri A, Rickard WDA, Bignozzi MC, Van Riessen A. High temperature behaviour of ambient cured alkali-activated materials based on ladle slag. Cem Concr Res. 2013;43(1):51–61.
- 62. Carabba L, Manzi S, Rambaldi E, Ridolfi G, Bignozzi MC. High-temperature behaviour of alkali-activated composites based on fly ash and recycled refractory particles. J Ceram Sci Technol. 2017;8(3):4416.
- 63. Colangelo F, Cioffi R, Roviello G, Capasso I, Caputo D, Aprea P, et al. Thermal cycling stability of fly ash based geopolymer mortars. Compos Part B Eng. 2017;129:11–7.
- 64. Zhang Z, Yao X, Zhu H, Hua S, Chen Y. Activating process of geopolymer source material: Kaolinite. J Wuhan Univ Technol Mater Sci Ed. 2009;24(1):132–6.
- 65. Ambroise J, Murat M, Pera J. Investigations on synthetic binders obtained by middle-temperature thermal dissociation of clay minerals. Silic Ind. 1986;7:99–107.
- 66. Singh PS, Trigg M, Burgar I, Bastow T. Geopolymer formation processes at room temperature studied by 29 Si and 27 Al MAS-NMR. Mater Sci Eng A. 2005;396:392–402.
- 67. Zuhua Z, Xiao Y, Huajun Z, Yue C. Role of water in the synthesis of calcined kaolin-based geopolymer. Appl Clay Sci. 2009 Feb 1;43(2):218–23.
- 68. Rovnaník P. Effect of curing temperature on the development of hard structure of metakaolin-based geopolymer. Constr Build Mater. 2010 Jul 1;24(7):1176–83.
- 69. Pacheco-Torgal F, Moura D, Ding Y, Jalali S. Composition, strength and workability of alkaliactivated metakaolin based mortars. Constr Build Mater. 2011 Sep 1;25(9):3732–45.
- 70. Mobili A, Belli A, Giosuè C, Bellezze T, Tittarelli F. Metakaolin and fly ash alkali-activated

- mortars compared with cementitious mortars at the same strength class. Cem Concr Res. 2016 Oct 1;88:198–210.
- 71. Provis JL, van Deventer JSJ. Geopolymers: Structures, Processing, Properties and Industrial Applications. Woodhead Publishing Limited; 2009. 461 p.
- 72. Zhang Z, Wang H, Yao X, Zhu Y. Effects of halloysite in kaolin on the formation and properties of geopolymers. Cem Concr Compos. 2012 May 1;34(5):709–15.
- 73. Zibouche F, Kerdjoudj H, de Lacaillerie JB d. E, Van Damme H. Geopolymers from Algerian metakaolin. Influence of secondary minerals. Appl Clay Sci. 2009 Mar 1;43(3–4):453–8.
- 74. MacKenzie KJD, Brown IWM, Meinhold RH, Bowden ME. Outstanding Problems in the Kaolinite-Mullite Reaction Sequence Investigated by 29Si and 27Al Solid-state Nuclear Magnetic Resonance: I, Metakaolinite. J Am Ceram Soc. 1985;68(6):293–301.
- 75. Rahier H, Denayer JF, Van Mele B. Low-temperature synthesized aluminosilicate glasses: Part IV. Modulated DSC study on the effect of particle size of metakaolinite on the production of inorganic polymer glasses. J Mater Sci. 2003;38(14):3131–6.
- 76. Zhang Z, Wang H, Provis JL, Bullen F, Reid A, Zhu Y. Quantitative kinetic and structural analysis of geopolymers. Part 1. the activation of metakaolin with sodium hydroxide. Thermochim Acta. 2012 Jul 10:539:23–33.
- 77. Duxson P, Mallicoat SW, Lukey GC, Kriven WM, van Deventer JSJ. The effect of alkali and Si/Al ratio on the development of mechanical properties of metakaolin-based geopolymers. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Asp. 2007 Jan 5;292(1):8–20.
- 78. Xu H, Van Deventer JSJ. The geopolymerisation of alumino-silicate minerals. Int J Miner Process. 2000 Jun 1;59(3):247–66.
- 79. Davidovits J. Mineral polymers and methods of making them. US Patent 4,349,386. 1982. p. 1–6.
- 80. Yun-Ming L, Cheng-Yong H, Al Bakri MM, Hussin K. Structure and properties of clay-based geopolymer cements: A review. Prog Mater Sci. 2016;83:595–629.
- 81. He P, Wang M, Fu S, Jia D, Yan S, Yuan J, et al. Effects of Si/Al ratio on the structure and properties of metakaolin based geopolymer. Ceramics International. 2016 Oct 1;14416–22.
- 82. Kamalloo A, Ganjkhanlou Y, Aboutalebi SH, Nouranian H. Modeling of compressive strength of metakaolin based geopolymers by the use of artificial neural network. Int J Eng A Basics. 2010;23(2):145–52.
- 83. Lizcano M, Kim HS, Basu S, Radovic M. Mechanical properties of sodium and potassium activated metakaolin-based geopolymers. J Mater Sci. 2012;47(6):2607–16.
- 84. Kuenzel C, Vandeperre LJ, Donatello S, Boccaccini AR, Cheeseman C. Ambient Temperature Drying Shrinkage and Cracking in Metakaolin-Based Geopolymers. Brown P, editor. J Am Ceram Soc. 2012 Oct 1;95(10):3270–7.
- 85. Kong DLY, Sanjayan JG, Sagoe-Crentsil K. Comparative performance of geopolymers made with metakaolin and fly ash after exposure to elevated temperatures. Cem Concr Res. 2007 Dec 1;37(12):1583–9.
- 86. Perera DS, Uchida O, Vance ER, Finnie KS. Influence of curing schedule on the integrity of geopolymers. J Mater Sci. 2007;42(9):3099–106.
- 87. He J, Zhang G, Hou S, Cai CS. Geopolymer-Based Smart Adhesives for Infrastructure Health Monitoring: Concept and Feasibility. J Mater Civ Eng. 2011 Feb;23(2):100–9.

- 88. Yang T, Zhu H, Zhang Z. Influence of fly ash on the pore structure and shrinkage characteristics of metakaolin-based geopolymer pastes and mortars. Constr Build Mater. 2017 Oct 30;153:284–93.
- 89. Ozga I, Ghedini N, Giosuè C, Sabbioni C, Tittarelli F, Bonazza A. Assessment of air pollutant sources in the deposit on monuments by multivariate analysis. Sci Total Environ. 2014 Aug 15;490:776–84.
- 90. Corinaldesi V, Moriconi G, Tittarelli F. Thaumasite: Evidence for incorrect intervention in masonry restoration. In: Cement and Concrete Composites. Elsevier; 2003. p. 1157–60.
- 91. Ozga I, Bonazza A, Bernardi E, Tittarelli F, Favoni O, Ghedini N, et al. Diagnosis of surface damage induced by air pollution on 20th-century concrete buildings. Atmos Environ. 2011 Sep 1;45(28):4986–95.
- 92. Tittarelli F, Moriconi G, Bonazza A. Atmospheric deterioration of cement plaster in a building exposed to a urban environment. J Cult Herit. 2008;9(2):203–6.
- 93. Palomo A, Blanco-Varela MT, Granizo ML, Puertas F, Vazquez T, Grutzeck MW. Chemical stability of cementitious materials based on metakaolin. Cem Concr Res. 1999 Jul 1;29(7):997–1004.
- 94. Gao XX, Michaud P, Joussein E, Rossignol S. Behavior of metakaolin-based potassium geopolymers in acidic solutions. J Non Cryst Solids. 2013 Nov 15;380:95–102.
- 95. Borges PHR, Banthia N, Alcamand HA, Vasconcelos WL, Nunes EHM. Performance of blended metakaolin/blastfurnace slag alkali-activated mortars. Cem Concr Compos. 2016 Aug 1;71:42–52.
- 96. Okada K, Ooyama A, Isobe T, Kameshima Y, Nakajima A, MacKenzie KJD. Water retention properties of porous geopolymers for use in cooling applications. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2009 Jul 1;29(10):1917–23.
- 97. Tittarelli F, Carsana M, Ruello ML. Effect of hydrophobic admixture and recycled aggregate on physical-mechanical properties and durability aspects of no-fines concrete. Constr Build Mater. 2014 Sep 15;66:30–7.
- 98. Tittarelli F. Effect of low dosages of waste GRP dust on fresh and hardened properties of mortars: Part 2. Constr Build Mater. 2013 Oct 1;47:1539–43.
- 99. Tittarelli F, Giosuè C, Mobili A, Di Perna C, Monosi S. Effect of Using Recycled Instead of Virgin EPS in Lightweight Mortars. In: Procedia Engineering. 2016.
- Arellano Aguilar R, Burciaga Díaz O, Escalante García JI. Lightweight concretes of activated metakaolin-fly ash binders, with blast furnace slag aggregates. Constr Build Mater. 2010 Jul 1;24(7):1166–75.
- 101. Duan P, Song L, Yan C, Ren D, Li Z. Novel thermal insulating and lightweight composites from metakaolin geopolymer and polystyrene particles. Ceram Int. 2017 Apr 15;43(6):5115–20.
- 102. Medri V, Papa E, Mazzocchi M, Laghi L, Morganti M, Francisconi J, et al. Production and characterization of lightweight vermiculite/geopolymer-based panels. Mater Des. 2015 Nov 15;85:266–74.
- 103. El-Naggar MR, El-Dessouky MI. Re-use of waste glass in improving properties of metakaolin-based geopolymers: Mechanical and microstructure examinations. Constr Build Mater. 2017 Feb 1;132:543–55.

- 104. Barnat-Hunek D, Siddique R, Łagód G. Properties of hydrophobised lightweight mortars with expanded cork. Constr Build Mater. 2017 Nov 30;155:15–25.
- 105. Giosuè C, Pierpaoli M, Mobili A, Ruello ML, Tittarelli F. Influence of binders and lightweight aggregates on the properties of cementitious mortars: From traditional requirements to indoor air quality improvement. Materials (Basel). 2017;10(8).
- Tittarelli F, Giosuè C, Mobili A, Ruello ML. Influence of binders and aggregates on VOCs adsorption and moisture buffering activity of mortars for indoor applications. Cem Concr Compos. 2015;57.
- 107. Giosuè C, Mobili A, Toscano G, Ruello ML, Tittarelli F. Effect of Biomass Waste Materials as Unconventional Aggregates in Multifunctional Mortars for Indoor Application. Procedia Eng. 2016;161:655–9.
- 108. Gasca-Tirado JR, Manzano-Ramírez A, Vazquez-Landaverde PA, Herrera-Díaz EI, Rodríguez-Ugarte ME, Rubio-Ávalos JC, et al. Ion-exchanged geopolymer for photocatalytic degradation of a volatile organic compound. Mater Lett. 2014 Nov;134:222–4.
- 109. Strini A, Roviello G, Ricciotti L, Ferone C, Messina F, Schiavi L, et al. TiO2-Based Photocatalytic Geopolymers for Nitric Oxide Degradation. Materials (Basel). 2016 Jun 24;9(7):513.
- 110. Bell JL, Kriven WM. Preparation of ceramic foams from metakaolin-based geopolymer gels. Ceram Eng Sci Proc. 2009;29(10):97–112.
- 111. Kamseu E, Nait-Ali B, Bignozzi MC, Leonelli C, Rossignol S, Smith DS. Bulk composition and microstructure dependence of effective thermal conductivity of porous inorganic polymer cements. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2012 Jul;32(8):1593–603.
- 112. Zhang HY, Kodur V, Cao L, Qi SL. Fiber reinforced geopolymers for fire resistance applications. In: Procedia Engineering. Elsevier; 2014. p. 153–8.
- 113. Zhang Z, Provis JL, Reid A, Wang H. Geopolymer foam concrete: An emerging material for sustainable construction. Vol. 56, Construction and Building Materials. Elsevier; 2014. p. 113–27.
- 114. Kamseu E, Ceron B, Tobias H, Leonelli E, Bignozzi MC, Muscio A, et al. Insulating behavior of metakaolin-based geopolymer materials assess with heat flux meter and laser flash techniques. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2012 Jun 5;108(3):1189–99.
- 115. Duxson P, Lukey GC, van Deventer JSJ. Thermal Conductivity of Metakaolin Geopolymers Used as a First Approximation for Determining Gel Interconnectivity. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2006 Nov;45(23):7781–8.
- 116. Prud'homme E, Michaud P, Joussein E, Peyratout C, Smith A, Arrii-Clacens S, et al. Silica fume as porogent agent in geo-materials at low temperature. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2010 May;30(7):1641–8.
- 117. Delair S, Prud'homme É, Peyratout C, Smith A, Michaud P, Eloy L, et al. Durability of inorganic foam in solution: The role of alkali elements in the geopolymer network. Corros Sci. 2012 Jun;59:213–21.
- 118. Abdul Rahim RH, Rahmiati T, Azizli KA, Man Z, Nuruddin MF, Ismail L. Comparison of using NaOH and KOH Activated Fly Ash-based Geopolymer on the Mechanical Properties. Mater Sci Forum. 2015;803:179–84.
- 119. P. Duxson †, G. C. Lukey †, F. Separovic ‡ and, J. S. J. van Deventer\* †. Effect of Alkali Cations on Aluminum Incorporation in Geopolymeric Gels. 2005;

- 120. P. Duxson, J. L. Provis, G. C. Lukey A, Deventer\* JSJ van, Separovic F, Gan ZH. 39K NMR of Free Potassium in Geopolymers. 2006;
- 121. Koloušek D, Brus J, Urbanova M, Andertova J, Hulinsky V, Vorel J. Preparation, structure and hydrothermal stability of alternative (sodium silicate-free) geopolymers. J Mater Sci. 2007 Sep 5;42(22):9267–75.
- 122. Peng MX, Wang ZH, Shen SH, Xiao QG. Synthesis, characterization and mechanisms of one-part geopolymeric cement by calcining low-quality kaolin with alkali. Mater Struct. 2014;48(3):699–708.
- 123. Feng D, Provis JL, Deventer JSJ. Thermal Activation of Albite for the Synthesis of One-Part Mix Geopolymers. Scherer G, editor. J Am Ceram Soc. 2012 Feb;95(2):565–72.
- 124. Peys A, Rahier H, Pontikes Y. Potassium-rich biomass ashes as activators in metakaolin-based inorganic polymers. Appl Clay Sci. 2016 Jan;119:401–9.
- 125. Marroccoli M, Pace ML, Telesca A, Valenti GL, Montagnaro F. Utilization of coal combustion ashes for the synthesis of ordinary and special cements. Combust Sci Technol. 2010;182(7):588–99.
- 126. Natali ME, White CE, Bignozzi MC. Elucidating the atomic structures of different sources of fly ash using X-ray and neutron PDF analysis. Fuel. 2016;177:148–56.
- 127. Carabba L, Santandrea M, Carloni C, Manzi S, Bignozzi MC. Steel fiber reinforced geopolymer matrix (S-FRGM) composites applied to reinforced concrete structures for strengthening applications: A preliminary study. Compos Part B Eng. 2017 Nov 1;128:83–90.
- 128. Monticelli C, Natali ME, Balbo A, Chiavari C, Zanotto F, Manzi S, et al. A study on the corrosion of reinforcing bars in alkali-activated fly ash mortars under wet and dry exposures to chloride solutions. Cem Concr Res. 2016;87:53–63.
- 129. Nematollahi B, Sanjayan J. Effect of different superplasticizers and activator combinations on workability and strength of fly ash based geopolymer. Mater Des. 2014;57:667–72.
- 130. Carabba L, Manzi S, Bignozzi MC. Superplasticizer addition to carbon fly ash geopolymers activated at room temperature. Materials (Basel). 2016;9(7):9070586.
- 131. Law DW, Adam AA, Molyneaux TK, Patnaikuni I, Wardhono A. Long term durability properties of class F fly ash geopolymer concrete. Mater Struct. 2015;48(3):721–31.
- 132. Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Kara P, Garlati S. Performance and compatibility of phosphonate-based superplasticizers for concrete. Buildings. 2017;7(3).
- 133. Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Garlati S, Kara P. The rheological and mechanical performances of concrete manufactured with blended admixtures based on phosphonates. Vol. 674, Key Engineering Materials. 2016.
- 134. Coppola L, Buoso A, Lorenzi S. Compatibility issues of NSF-PCE superplasticizers with several lots of different cement types (long-term results). Kuei Suan Jen Hsueh Pao/Journal Chinese Ceram Soc. 2010;38(9).
- 135. Monticelli C, Natali ME, Balbo A, Chiavari C, Zanotto F, Manzi S, et al. Corrosion behavior of steel in alkali-activated fly ash mortars in the light of their microstructural, mechanical and chemical characterization. Cem Concr Res. 2016;80:60–8.
- 136. He C, Osbaeck B, Makovicky E. Pozzolanic reactions of six principal clay minerals: Activation, reactivity assessments and technological effects. Cem Concr Res.

- 1995;25(8):1691-702.
- 137. Chen JH, Huang JS, Chang YW. A preliminary study of reservoir sludge as a raw material of inorganic polymers. Constr Build Mater. 2009;23(10):3264–9.
- De Vincenzo A, Jacopo Molino A, Molino B, Scorpio V. Reservoir rehabilitation: The new methodological approach of Economic Environmental Defence. Int J Sediment Res. 2017;32(2):288–94.
- 139. Chiang KY, Chien KL, Hwang SJ. Study on the characteristics of building bricks produced from reservoir sediment. J Hazard Mater. 2008;159(2–3):499–504.
- 140. Tang CW, Chen HJ, Wang SY, Spaulding J. Production of synthetic lightweight aggregate using reservoir sediments for concrete and masonry. Cem Concr Compos. 2011;33(2):292–300.
- 141. Liao YC, Huang CY. Effects of CaO addition on lightweight aggregates produced from water reservoir sediment. Constr Build Mater. 2011;25(6):2997–3002.
- 142. Liao Y-C, Huang C-Y. Effects of heat treatment on the physical properties of lightweight aggregate from water reservoir sediment. Ceram Int. 2011;37(8):3723–30.
- 143. Ferone C, Colangelo F, Cioffi R, Montagnaro F, Santoro L. Use of reservoir clay sediments as raw materials for geopolymer binders. Adv Appl Ceram. 2013;112(4):184–9.
- 144. Ferone C, Liguori B, Capasso I, Colangelo F, Cioffi R, Cappelletto E, et al. Thermally treated clay sediments as geopolymer source material. Appl Clay Sci. 2015;107:195–204.
- 145. Molino B, De Vincenzo A, Ferone C, Messina F, Colangelo F, Cioffi R. Recycling of clay sediments for geopolymer binder production. A new perspective for reservoir management in the framework of Italian Legislation: The Occhito reservoir case study. Materials (Basel). 2014;7(8):5603–16.
- 146. Messina F, Ferone C, Molino A, Roviello G, Colangelo F, Molino B, et al. Synergistic recycling of calcined clayey sediments and water potabilization sludge as geopolymer precursors: Upscaling from binders to precast paving cement-free bricks. Constr Build Mater. 2017;133:14–26.
- 147. Peirce S, Santoro L, Andini S, Montagnaro F, Ferone C, Cioffi R. Clay sediment geopolymerization by means of alkali metal aluminate activation. RSC Adv. 2015 Dec 17;5(130):107662–9.
- 148. Goosens A. Verwertung von Wasserwerksschlamm und deren Probleme. GWF-Wass Abwass. 1996;(137):17–20.
- 149. Huang C, Pan JR, Liu Y. Mixing Water Treatment Residual with Excavation Waste Soil in Brick and Artificial Aggregate Making. J Environ Eng. 2005 Feb;131(2):272–7.
- 150. Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Pellegrini S. Rheological and mechanical performances of concrete manufactured by using washing water of concrete mixing transport trucks. In: American Concrete Institute, ACI Special Publication. 2015.
- 151. Ramadan M, Fouad H, Hassanain A. Reuse of water treatment plant sludge in brick manufacturing. JApplSciRes. 2008;(4(10)):1223–9.
- 152. Hegazy BE-DE, Fouad HA, Hassanain AM. Incorporation of water sludge, silica fume, and rice husk ash in brick making. Adv Environ Res. 2012;1(1):83–96.
- 153. Husillos Rodríguez N, Martínez-Ramírez S, Blanco-Varela MT, Guillem M, Puig J, Larrotcha E, et al. Evaluation of spray-dried sludge from drinking water treatment plants as a prime

- material for clinker manufacture. Cem Concr Compos. 2011;33(2):267-75.
- 154. Huang CH, Wang SY. Application of water treatment sludge in the manufacturing of lightweight aggregate. Constr Build Mater. 2013;43:174–83.
- 155. Zamora RMR, Alfaro OC, Cabirol N, Ayala FE, Moreno AD. Valorization of Drinking Water Treatment Sludges as Raw Materials to Produce Concrete and Mortar. Am J Environ Sci. 2008;4(3):223–8.
- 156. Lirer S, Liguori B, Capasso I, Flora A, Caputo D. Mechanical and chemical properties of composite materials made of dredged sediments in a fly-ash based geopolymer. J Environ Manage. 2017;191:1–7.
- 157. Cabrini M, Lorenzi S, Pastore T, Pellegrini S, Pesenti Bucella D. EIS and voltammetry study of passive film formation on steel bar embedded in Portland cement and innovative cementitious binder. In: in 10yh International Symposium on Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. Toxa; 2016.
- 158. Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Pastore T. QUALIFICAZIONE DEI NUOVI LEGANTI PER IL CONFEZIONAMENTO DI CALCESTRUZZI IN RELAZIONE ALLA PROTEZIONE DELLE ARMATURE IN ACCIAIO. Aim Nuovi Orizz della Ric LEGANTI, CALCESTRUZZI E Mater Innov PER Costr SOSTENIBILE, Onore di Giuseppe Frigione, Cosenza. 2015;
- 159. Cabrini M, Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Pastore T, Pellegrini S. Valutazione del comportamento a corrosione i calcestruzzi confezionati con leganti innovativi. Giornate Naz sulla Corros e Prot XI Ed. 2015;
- 160. Glasser FP, Zhang L. High-performance cement matrices based on calcium sulfoaluminate-belite compositions. Cem Concr Res. 2001;31(12):1881–6.
- 161. Kalogridis D, Kostogloudis G, Ftikos C, Malami C. A quantitative study of the influence of non-expansive sulfoaluminate cement on the corrosion of steel reinforcement. Cem Concr Res. 2000 Nov 1;30(11):1731–40.
- 162. Gastaldi D, Canonico F, Capelli L, Boccaleri E, Milanesio M, Palin L, et al. In situ tomographic investigation on the early hydration behaviors of cementing systems. Constr Build Mater. 2012 Apr 1;29:284–90.
- 163. Zhang D, Xu D, Cheng X, Chen W. Carbonation resistance of sulphoaluminate cement-based high performance concrete. J Wuhan Univ Technol Mater Sci Ed. 2009;24(4):663–6.
- 164. Andac M, Glasser FP. Pore solution composition of calcium sulfoaluminate cement. Adv Cem Res. 1999 Jan 14;11(1):23–6.
- 165. Carsana M, Bianchi M, Canonico F, Buzzi L, Capelli L, Bertolini L. Corrosion behaviour of steel embedded in calcium sulphoaluminate-cement concrete. In: 14th Int Congr Chem Cem. 2015.
- 166. Zhang L, Glasser FP. Investigation of the microstructure and carbonation of CSA-based concretes removed from service. Cem Concr Res. 2005;35(12):2252–60.
- 167. Zhao J, Cai G, Gao D, Zhao S. Influences of freeze-thaw cycle and curing time on chloride ion penetration resistance of Sulphoaluminate cement concrete. Constr Build Mater. 2014;53:305–11.
- 168. Jen G, Stompinis N, Jones R. Chloride ingress in a belite-calcium sulfoaluminate cement matrix. Cem Concr Res. 2017;98:130–5.
- Janotka I, Krajči L. An experimental study on the upgrade of sulfoaluminate—belite cement

- systems by blending with Portland cement. Adv Cem Res. 1999 Jan 14;11(1):35-41.
- 170. Pouhet R, Cyr M. Carbonation in the pore solution of metakaolin-based geopolymer. Cem Concr Res. 2016 Oct 1;88:227–35.
- 171. Babaee M, Castel A. Chloride-induced corrosion of reinforcement in low-calcium fly ash-based geopolymer concrete. Cem Concr Res. 2016 Oct 1;88:96–107.
- 172. Angst U, Elsener B, Larsen C, Vennesland O. Critical chloride content in reinforced concrete A review. Cem Concr Res. 2009 Dec 1;39(12):1122–38.
- 173. PAGE CL. Mechanism of corrosion protection in reinforced concrete marine structures. Nature. 1975 Dec 11;258(5535):514–5.
- 174. Cabrini M, Lorenzi S, Pastore T. Cyclic voltammetry evaluation of inhibitors for localised corrosion in alkaline solutions. Electrochim Acta. 2014 Apr 1;124:156–64.
- 175. Cabrini M, Lorenzi S, Pastore T. Studio della corrosione localizzata degli acciai per armature in soluzioni alcaline inibite 39BRG. La Metall Ital. 2013;105:21–31.
- 176. Hausmann DA. STEEL CORROSION IN CONCRETE -- HOW DOES IT OCCUR? Mater Prot. 1967 Nov;
- 177. Gouda VK. Corrosion and Corrosion Inhibition of Reinforcing Steel: I. Immersed in Alkaline Solutions. Br Corros J. 1970 Sep 20;5(5):198–203.
- 178. Goni S, Andrade C. Synthetic concrete pore solution chemistry and rebar corrosion rate in the presence of chlorides. Cem Concr Res. 1990 Jul 1;20(4):525–39.
- 179. Wedding P, Diamond S. Chloride Concentrations in Concrete Pore Solutions Resulting from Calcium and Sodium Chloride Admixtures. Cem Concr Aggregates. 1986 Jan 1;8(2):97.
- 180. Yonezawa T, Ashworth V, Procter RPM. Pore Solution Composition and Chloride Effects on the Corrosion of Steel in Concrete. CORROSION. 1988 Jul 13;44(7):489–99.
- 181. Alonso MC, Sanchez M. Analysis of the variability of chloride threshold values in the literature. Mater Corros. 2009;60(8):631–7.
- 182. Bolzoni F, Coppola L, Goidanich S, Lazzari L, Ormellese M, Pedeferri MP. Corrosion inhibitors in reinforced concrete structures Part 1: Preventative technique. Corros Eng Sci Technol. 2004;39(3).
- 183. Pastore T, Cabrini M, Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Marcassoli P, Buoso A. Evaluation of the corrosion inhibition of salts of organic acids in alkaline solutions and chloride contaminated concrete. Mater Corros. 2011;62(2).
- 184. De Weerdt K, Colombo A, Coppola L, Justnes H, Geiker MR. Impact of the associated cation on chloride binding of Portland cement paste. Cem Concr Res. 2015;68.
- 185. Glass G, Buenfeld N. The presentation of the chloride threshold level for corrosion of steel in concrete. Corros Sci. 1997 May 1;39(5):1001–13.
- 186. Carsana M, Tittarelli F, Bertolini L. Use of no-fines concrete as a building material: Strength, durability properties and corrosion protection of embedded steel. Cem Concr Res. 2013 Jun 1;48:64–73.
- 187. Tittarelli F, Carsana M, Bellezze T. Corrosion behavior of reinforced no-fines concrete. Corros Sci. 2013 May 1;70:119–26.
- 188. Mobili A, Belli A, Giosuè C, Bellezze T, Tittarelli F. Corrosion behaviour of bare and

- galvanized steel in geopolymeric and cementitious mortars with the same strength class exposed to chlorides. Corros Sci. 2016;major rev.
- 189. Mobili A, Belli A, Giosuè C, Bellezze T, Tittarelli F. Corrosion behavior of galvanized steel reinforcements in geopolymeric and cementitious mortars at the same strength class | [Comportamento a corrosione di armature zincate in malte geopolimeriche e cementizie a parità di classe di resistenza]. Metall Ital. 2017;109(7–8):47–50.
- 190. Mobili A, Giosuè C, Belli A, Bellezze T, Tittarelli F. Geopolymeric and cementitious mortars with the same mechanical strength class: Performances and corrosion behaviour of black and galvanized steel bars. In: American Concrete Institute, ACI Special Publication. 2015.
- 191. Aguirre-Guerrero AM, Robayo-Salazar RA, de Gutiérrez RM. A novel geopolymer application: Coatings to protect reinforced concrete against corrosion. Appl Clay Sci. 2017 Jan 1;135:437–46.
- 192. Bernal SA, Provis JL, Brice DG, Kilcullen A, Duxson P, Van Deventer JSJ. Accelerated carbonation testing of alkali-activated binders significantly underestimates service life: The role of pore solution chemistry. Cem Concr Res. 2012 Oct 1;42(10):1317–26.
- 193. Cyr M, Pouhet R. Carbonation in the pore solution of metakaolin-based geopolymer. Cem Concr Res. 2016 Oct 1;88:227–35.
- 194. Cabrini M, Lorenzi S, Pastore T. Studio elettrochimico della formazione del film di passività sulle armature nel calcestruzzo. In: Giornate Nazionali della Corrosione. Napoli; 2013.
- 195. Cabrini M, Lorenzi S, Pastore T. Steel damaging in flowing mortar. Corros Eng Sci Technol. 2016;51(8):596–605.
- 196. Bertolini L, Elsener B, Redaelli E, Polder R. Corrosion of Steel in Concrete: Prevention, Diagnosis, Repair: Second Edition. Corrosion of Steel in Concrete: Prevention, Diagnosis, Repair: Second Edition. 2013.
- 197. BERTOLINI L, BOLZONI F, PASTORE T, PEDEFERRI P. Behaviour of stainless steel in simulated concrete pore solution. Br Corros J. 1996 Jan;31(3):218–22.
- 198. Bertolini L, Gastaldi M. Corrosion resistance of low-nickel duplex stainless steel rebars. Mater Corros. 2011;62(2):120–9.
- 199. Gastaldi M, Bertolini L. Effect of temperature on the corrosion behaviour of low-nickel duplex stainless steel bars in concrete. Cem Concr Res. 2014;56:52–60.
- 200. Bertolini L, Gastaldi M, Pedeferri P, Redaelli E. Factors influencing the corrosion resistance of austenitic and duplex stainless steel bars in chloride bearing concrete in: 15th International Corrosion Congress. 2002. 382 p.
- 201. Coppola L, Cadoni E, Forni D, Buoso A. Mechanical Characterization of Cement Composites Reinforced with Fiberglass, Carbon Nanotubes or Glass Reinforced Plastic (GRP) at High Strain Rates. Appl Mech Mater. 2011 Jul;82:190–5.
- 202. Criado M, Bastidas DM, Fajardo S, Fernández-Jiménez A, Bastidas JM. Corrosion behaviour of a new low-nickel stainless steel embedded in activated fly ash mortars. Cem Concr Compos. 2011;33(6):644–52.
- 203. Monticelli C, Criado M, Fajardo S, Bastidas JM, Abbottoni M, Balbo A. Corrosion behaviour of a Low Ni austenitic stainless steel in carbonated chloride-polluted alkali-activated fly ash mortar. Cem Concr Res. 2014;55:49–58.
- 204. Morgan DR. Compatibility of concrete repair materials and systems. Constr Build Mater.

- 1996;10(1 SPEC. ISS.):57-67.
- 205. Schueremans L, Cizer Ö, Janssens E, Serré G, Balen K Van. Characterization of repair mortars for the assessment of their compatibility in restoration projects: Research and practice. Constr Build Mater. 2011;25(12):4338–50.
- 206. Tc R, Mortars O. Introduction to requirements for and functions and properties of repair mortars. Mater Struct. 2005;38(282):781–5.
- 207. Bertolini L, Coppola L, Gastaldi M, Redaelli E. Electroosmotic transport in porous construction materials and dehumidification of masonry. Constr Build Mater. 2009;23(1).
- 208. Fournier B, Bérubé M-A. Alkali-aggregate reaction in concrete: a review of basic concepts and engineering implications. Can J Civ Eng. 2000 Apr;27(2):167–91.
- 209. Collepardi M. Thaumasite formation and deterioration in historic buildings. Cem Concr Compos. 1999 Apr 1;21(2):147–54.
- 210. Veiga MR, Velosa AL, Magalhães AC. Evaluation of mechanical compatibility of renders to apply on old walls based on a restrained shrinkage test. Mater Struct. 2007;40(10):1115–26.
- 211. Lanas J, Alvarez JI. Masonry repair lime-based mortars: Factors affecting the mechanical behavior. Cem Concr Res. 2003 Nov 1;33(11):1867–76.
- 212. Fernanda Carvalho JAMRVASS. Methodologies for characterisation and repair of mortars of ancient buildings. Hist Constr Int Semin. 2001;(January):353–62.
- 213. Maravelaki-Kalaitzaki P, Bakolas A, Karatasios I, Kilikoglou V. Hydraulic lime mortars for the restoration of historic masonry in Crete. Cem Concr Res. 2005 Aug 1;35(8):1577–86.
- 214. Lanas J, Bernal JLP, Bello MA, Galindo JIA. Mechanical properties of natural hydraulic lime-based mortars. Cem Concr Res. 2004 Dec 1;34(12):2191–201.
- 215. Lanas J, Sirera R, Alvarez JI. Study of the mechanical behavior of masonry repair lime-based mortars cured and exposed under different conditions. Cem Concr Res. 2006 May 1;36(5):961–70.
- 216. Roy DM. Alkali-activated cements: Opportunities and challenges. Cem Concr Res. 1999 Feb 1;29(2):249–54.
- 217. Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Buoso A. Electric Arc Furnace Granulated Slag as a Partial Replacement of Natural Aggregates for Concrete Production. In: Second International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies. 2010.
- 218. Coppola L, Buoso A, Coffetti D, Kara P, Lorenzi S. Electric arc furnace granulated slag for sustainable concrete. Constr Build Mater. 2016 Oct 1;123:115–9.
- 219. Coppola L, Lorenzi S, Marcassoli P, Marchese G. Concrete production by using cast iron industry by-products | Impiego di sottoprodotti dell'industria siderurgica nel confezionamento di calcestruzzo per opere in c.a. e c.a.p. Ind Ital del Cem. 2007;77(836).
- 220. Wang SD, Scrivener KL, Pratt PL. Factors affecting the strength of alkali-activated slag. Cem Concr Res. 1994 Jan 1;24(6):1033–43.
- 221. Wang S-D, Pu X-C, Scrivener KL, Pratt PL. Alkali-activated slag cement and concrete: a review of properties and problems. Adv Cem Res. 1995 Jul 14;7(27):93–102.
- 222. Pal SC, Mukherjee A, Pathak SR. Investigation of hydraulic activity of ground granulated blast furnace slag in concrete. Cem Concr Res. 2003 Sep 1;33(9):1481–6.

- 223. Escalante-García JI, Fuentes AF, Gorokhovsky A, Fraire-Luna PE, Mendoza-Suarez G. Hydration Products and Reactivity of Blast-Furnace Slag Activated by Various Alkalis. J Am Ceram Soc. 2003;86(12):2148–53.
- 224. Brough AR, Atkinson A. Sodium silicate-based, alkali-activated slag mortars Part I. Strength, hydration and microstructure. Cem Concr Res. 2002 Jun 1;32(6):865–79.
- 225. Collins FG, Sanjayan JG. Workability and mechanical properties of alkali activated slag concrete. Cem Concr Res. 1999 Mar 1;29(3):455–8.
- 226. Bernal S, San Nicolas R, Provis J, van Deventer JSJ. Alkali-activated slag cements produced with a blended sodium carbonate / sodium silicate activator. Adv Cem Res. 2015;28(4):1–12.
- 227. Long W-J, Wei J-J, Gu Y-C, Xing F. Research on dynamic mechanical properties of alkali activated slag concrete under temperature-loads coupling effects. Constr Build Mater. 2017 Nov 15;154:687–96.
- 228. Provis JL. Alkali-activated materials. Cem Concr Res. 2016;
- 229. Wongpa J, Kiattikomol K, Jaturapitakkul C, Chindaprasirt P. Compressive strength, modulus of elasticity, and water permeability of inorganic polymer concrete. Mater Des. 2010 Dec 1;31(10):4748–54.
- 230. Oh JE, Clark SM, Monteiro PJM. Does the Al substitution in C-S-H(I) change its mechanical property? Cem Concr Res. 2011;41(1):102–6.
- 231. Lee NK, Jang JG, Lee HK. Shrinkage characteristics of alkali-activated fly ash/slag paste and mortar at early ages. Cem Concr Compos. 2014 Oct 1;53:239–48.
- 232. Ye H, Cartwright C, Rajabipour F, Radli?ska A. Understanding the drying shrinkage performance of alkali-activated slag mortars. Cem Concr Compos. 2017;76:13–24.
- 233. Ye H, Radlińska A. Shrinkage mechanisms of alkali-activated slag. Cem Concr Res. 2016;88:126–35.
- 234. Palacios M, Puertas F. Effect of shrinkage-reducing admixtures on the properties of alkaliactivated slag mortars and pastes. Cem Concr Res. 2007;37(5):691–702.
- 235. Bilim C, Karahan O, Atiş CD, Ilkentapar S. Influence of admixtures on the properties of alkali-activated slag mortars subjected to different curing conditions. Mater Des. 2013;44:540–7.
- 236. Najafi Kani E, Allahverdi A, Provis JL. Efflorescence control in geopolymer binders based on natural pozzolan. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2012;34:25–33.
- 237. Allahverdi A, Najafi Kani E, Shaverdi B. Carbonation Versus Efflorescence in Alkali-Activated Blast-Furnace Slag in Relation with Chemical Composition of Activator. Int J Civ Eng. 2017 Jun 15;15(4):565–73.

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

- Fig. 1 Main strategies to make concrete sector more environmentally friendly
- Fig. 2 Reduction of energy and pollutants emission in construction materials production
- Fig. 3  $^{27}$ Al NMR resonance spectra of Occhito sediment before thermal treatment (a), after thermal treatment at 650°C for 1 h (b), at 650°C for 2h(c), at 750°C for 1 h (d), at 750°C for 2h (e)
- Fig. 4 Time evolution of carbonation depth of CSA and reference OPC concrete under accelerated test (on the left) and indoor exposure (on the right)
- Fig. 5 Compressive strength and Elastic modulus of GGBFS-based mortars manufactured with blend of sodium metasilicate, potassium hydroxide and sodium carbonate (7:3:1) at different activator/precursor ratios
- Fig. 6 Free shrinkage of GGBFS-based mortars manufactured with blend of sodium metasilicate, potassium hydroxide and sodium carbonate (7:3:1) at activator/precursor ratio equal to 0.12 with different type of admixtures (M.S. = methyl cellulose and starch ether; SRA: glycole ethylene-based SRA; CaO: calcium oxide-based expansive agent)