REVIEW



Biodiversity of methylotrophic microbial communities and their potential role in mitigation of abiotic stresses in plants

Manish Kumar¹ • Divjot Kour² • Ajar Nath Yadav² • Raghvendra Saxena¹ • Pankaj Kumar Rai^{3,4} • Anurag Jyoti¹ • Rajesh Singh Tomar¹

Received: 2 August 2018 / Accepted: 4 January 2019 / Published online: 29 January 2019 © Institute of Molecular Biology, Slovak Academy of Sciences 2019

Abstract

Methylotrophic bacterial community is very important group of bacteria utilizing reduced carbon compounds and plays significant role in plant growth promotion (PGP), crop yield and soil fertility for sustainable agriculture. Abiotic and biotic stresses are very important factors affecting PGP in agriculture. A vast number of microbial communities play an important role in abiotic stress tolerance. The PGP methylotrophic microbes have been reported well enough to mitigate different types of biotic and abiotic stresses. The abiotic stress tolerance was well documented by several methylotrophic bacterial communities such as Hyphomicrobium, Methylarcula, Methylobacillus, Methylobacterium, Methylocapsa, Methylocella, Methyloferula, Methylohalomonas, Methylomonas, Methylophilus, Methylopila, Methylosinus, Methylotenera, Methylovirgula and Methylovorus. The abiotic stress tolerance ability of different methylotrophs and their colonization in different parts of plants under severe low temperature, high temperature, drought and salt stress conditions have been investigated in various studies. The methylotrophic communities help in proliferation of plant directly through solubilization of phosphorus, potassium and zinc, production of phytohormones viz., auxins and cytokinins; production of Fe-chelating compound, biological nitrogen fixation and ACC-deaminase activities or indirectly through productions of ammonia, siderophores and secondary metabolites. The auxin and cytokinin secreted by methylotrophs influence seed germination and plant root growth and help plants to endure water stress. On the plant surface, the abundant methylotrophs exude osmo-protectants such as sugars and alcohols which ultimately help to protect the plants from desiccation and excessive radiations. The utilisation of these potent methylotrophic strains may facilitate proper crop production, PGP by ameliorating abiotic stresses.

Keywords Abiotic stress \cdot Biodiversity \cdot Methylotrophs \cdot Plant growth promotion \cdot PPFMs (Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylotrophs)

Introduction

Plants are sensitive to the environmental changes and therefore their growth and development are greatly affected by

Ajar Nath Yadav ajarbiotech@gmail.com

- ¹ Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University, Gwalior, India
- ² Department of Biotechnology, Akal College of Agriculture, Eternal University, Baru Sahib, India
- ³ Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics Centre, Barkatullah University, Bhopal, India
- ⁴ Depatment of Biotechnology, Invertis University, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

several biotic and abiotic factors. Several microbial communities prevalent in soil, facilitate plants to grow in adverse environmental conditions. This plant-microbe interaction is very beneficial and climate resilient through which plant growth is not affected under biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Therefore, the natural microbial communities inhabiting inside the soil ecosystem make the agriculture sector more sustainable (Kumar et al. 2017; Kumar et al. 2016; Rana et al. 2018). Out of these diverse microbial communities, methylotrophs are another separate large bacterial population. Methylotroph is a unique group of microorganisms, which consume methane and its derivatives, such as; methanol, methylamine, etc. Methylotrophic bacteria are well enough to survive in all types of environmental conditions including low temperature (Romanovskaia et al. 2005; Sapp et al. 2018; Schouten et al. 2000; Yadav 2015; Yadav et al. 2017d); high

temperature (Amin et al. 2017; Bodrossy et al. 1997, 1999; Trotsenko et al. 2009; Verma et al. 2016b); hyper saline (Doronina et al. 2003b, 2013, 2000b; Poroshina et al. 2013; Shmareva et al. 2018); drought (Kerry et al. 2018; Sivakumar et al. 2017; Verma et al. 2014); acidic habitats (Dedysh et al. 2000; Röling et al. 2006; Verma et al. 2013; Vorob'ev et al. 2009) and alkaline habitats (Doronina et al. 2003a, 2005, 2003b; Shmareva et al. 2018; Trotsenko et al. 2007). Plants receive valuable nutrients from this sub-population in stress and therefore plants acquire abiotic stress tolerance.

The global necessity to increase agricultural production from a decreasing land resource base has placed considerable strain on the fragile agro-ecosystems. Soil and plant microbiomes are considered vital for maintaining the sustainability of agriculture production systems. There are many links between microbial diversity and ecosystem processes (Yadav et al. 2018a). The plant growth promoting (PGP) methylotrophic microbes help plant for growth, yield and adaptation under diverse unfavourable environmental conditions. The plant microbiomes (rhizospheric, epiphytic and endophytic) play a vital role in plant growth and adaptations. The subpopulation of pink pigmented facultative methylotrophic (PPFMs) bacteria is abundantly present as plant epiphytes and endophytes as well rhizospheric and has been reported worldwide (Verma et al. 2016b, 2015; Yadav 2009). The methylotrophic microbes present in rhizospheric zone of plants are influenced by root exudates (Meena et al. 2012). A number of novel methylotrophic bacteria have been sorted out allied with the plant rhizosphere as rhizospheric methylotrophs e.g. Methylobacterium soli, Methylobacterium goesingense, Methylobacterium variabile, Methylobacterium suomiense, Methylopila helvetica, Methylobacterium thiocyanatum, Methylopila capsulata and Methylobacterium aminovorans (Cao et al. 2011; Doronina et al. 1998, 2002; 2000c; Gallego et al. 2005c; Idris et al. 2006; Urakami et al. 1993; Wood et al. 1998); from the phyllosphere as epiphytic methylotrophs e.g. Methylobacterium cerastii, Methylobacterium gossipiicola, Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae, Methylobacterium phyllostachyos, Methylobacterium platani, Methylobacterium pseudosasicola, Methylobacterium thuringiense and Methylobacterium trifolii (Kang et al. 2007; Madhaiyan and Poonguzhali 2014; Madhaiyan et al. 2009, 2012; Wellner et al. 2013; Wellner et al. 2012) and from internal part of plant as endophytic methylotrophs Methylobacterium nodulans and Methylobacterium populi (Jourand et al. 2004; Van Aken et al. 2004). The methylotrophic microbial communities have been sorted out as most ubiquitous as plant microbiomes in form of phyllospheric, rhizospheric and endophytic. Along with plant microbiomes several novel methylotrophs have reported from diverse natural habitats (Gallego et al. 2005a; Gallego et al. 2006; Kalyuzhnaya et al. 2006; Kato et al. 2008; Patt et al. 1976).

Methylotrophs, being associated with plants have the ability to enhance the plant growth and improve the soil health. Methylotrophs perform different functions for improvement of crop yield and quality. Various biological processes including Nitrogen-fixation (Jourand et al. 2004; Raja et al. 2006; Rekadwad 2014; Sy et al. 2001); P, K and Zn solubilization (Agafonova et al. 2013; Jayashree et al. 2011b; Verma et al. 2013, 2014, 2016b); production of Fe-chelating compounds (Lacava et al. 2008; Verma et al. 2014, 2016b; Verma et al. 2015); production of PGP hormones such gibberellic acids, auxin and cytokinin (Chanratana et al. 2017; Ivanova et al. 2001; Meena et al. 2012; Omer et al. 2004; Pattnaik et al. 2017; Trotsenko et al. 2001) and ACC deaminase activities (Abeles et al. 1992; Chinnadurai et al. 2009; Madhaiyan et al. 2007a, 2006a, 2007b) are performed by methylotrophs. The methylotrophic microbes act as biocontrol agents against diverse plant pathogenic microbes through in-direct PGP attributes of siderophores, ammonia, HCN and diverse groups of secondary metabolites including extracellular hydrolytic enzymes (Madhaiyan et al. 2004, 2006b).

The methylotrophic microbes associated with crops may promote plant growth in terms of increased biomass, chlorophyll content, germination rates, hydraulic activity, leaf area, nitrogen content, Fe and Zn content, protein content, roots and shoot length, yield and tolerance to abiotic stresses like acidic and alkaline, draught, flood, radiation, salinity and temperature. The PGP methylotrophs as single bioinoculants or with co-inoculated with others beneficial PGP microbes (Arthrobacter, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Rhizobium, Burkholderia, Serratia, Azotobacter, Azospirillum) as microbial consortium may be used as bioinoculants/biofertilizers of biocontrol agents for enhanced crops production and soil fertility for sustainable agriculture (Verma et al. 2016a; Yadav et al. 2017a, b, c). The present critical review describes the different types of association between plant microbiome and environments. Further, the phydiological, biochemical and molecular aspects are also explored. This review may help in the development of biotechnological applications of plantmicrobe interaction and particularly, methylotrophs-plant interaction in plant growth development and crop improvement under natural and abiotic stress environment.

Enumeration and characterization of methylotrophic bacterial communities

Plant microbiomes, specially the rhizospheric microbes are influenced by substances or roots exudates surrounding the host plants. To know the population of methylotrophic bacterial communities associated with crops, different techniques may be used. Methylotrophic microbes may be isolated from rhizosphere by serial dilution and standard spread/pour plate technique and ammonium minerals salt (0.70 g K₂HPO₄; 300 µg H₃BO₃; 0.5 g NH₄Cl; 0.54 g KH₂PO₄; 0.2 g CaCl₂.2H₂O; 10 µg CuCl₂.2H₂O; 30 µg MnCl₂.4H₂O; 200 µg CoCl₂.6H₂O; 20 µg NiCl₂.6H₂O; 60 µg Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O 1 g MgSO₄.7H₂O; 4.0 mg FeSO₄.7H₂O; ZnSO₄.7H₂O per litre composition) as a selective media (Corpe 1985). The epiphytic methylotrophic bacteria may be isolated by leaf imprinting technique (Holland et al. 2000). In the leaf imprinting method, the leaves should be pressed on the solidified plates of the ammonium mineral salt medium. After 30-45 min of imprinting the leaf should be removed from the plates and plates should be incubated at the 5-55 °C in the BOD incubator for 7-30 days for isolation of psychrophilic, mesophilic and thermophilic bacteria. For isolation of endophytic methylotrophic population, surface sterilization techniques are followed. Plant samples (root, stem or leave) should be sterilized for 1-3 min with 70% C₂H₅OH followed by 3-5 min with 1-3% NaOCl and finally residual NaOCl is removed by repeated washing with sterile double distilled water (Suman et al. 2016). Various growth conditions were used for the development of abiotic stress tolerant methylotrophic bacteria. This includes growth of halophilic methylotrophs in AMS (Ammonium mineral salt) media supplemented with 5-20% NaCl concentration; growth of drought tolerant methylotrophs in 7-10% PEG (Polyethylene Glycol); growth of alkaliphilic methylotrophs in pH from 8 to 11; growth of acidophilic methylotrophs in pH 3-5; growth of psychrophilic methylotrophs in low temperature (>5 °C) and growth of thermophilic methylotrophs in high temperature (>45 °C).

Identification of methylotrophic bacteria is confirmed by molecular method, Genomic DNA of bacteria is extracted and purified using well established method. The isolated genomic DNA is analysed by agarose gel-electrophoresis techniques using 0.8% agarose and quantified by spectrophotometry techniques. The purified genomic DNA may be amplified using the universal primers pA (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTG GCTCAG-3') and pH (5'-AAGGAGGTGATCCA GCCGCA-3') (Edwards et al. 1989). The amplicon of 16S rRNA gene may be analysed through electrophoresis techniques using 1.2% agarose gel and purified. The technique amplified rDNA restriction analysis (ARDRA) may be used for reduction of numbers of methylotrophic microbial population using three different restriction endonucleases Msp I, Alu I, and Hae III. After the ARDRA, the clustering analysis may be done using NTSYS-2.02e software package (Numerical taxonomy analysis program package, Exeter software, USA), and dendrogram should be constructed for selection of representative strains. PCR amplified 16S rRNA gene product may be purified and sequenced and the partial 16S rRNA gene sequences should be analysed with Codon Code Analyser and compared with sequences available in the NCBI

GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The phylogenetic tree can be constructed to know the taxonomical affiliations of methylotrophic communities using MEGA 4.0.2 software (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0) (Fig. 1). The PPFMs may be screened for the presence of methanol dehydrogenase (*mxa*F gene) using specific primers 1003f (5'-GCG GCA CCA ACT GGG GCT GGT-3') and 1561r (5'-GGG CAG CAT GAA GGG CTC CC-3') (McDonald et al. 1995). The amplified *mxa*F gene product may be sequenced and should be compared with GenBank database and the phylogenetic tree may be constructed using MEGA 4.0.2 software (Fig. 2).

The methylotrophic bacteria from diverse habitats may have potential application in industry, agriculture and medicine. The methylotrophic bacteria may be screened for different hydrolytic enzymes production using standard protocols included1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase activities (Jacobson et al. 1994); ammonia production (Cappucino and Sherman 1992), biological N₂-fixation (Boddey et al. 1995); gibberellins production (Brown and Burlingham 1968), HCN production (Bakker and Schippers 1987), phosphorus solubilization (Pikovskaya 1948), Ksolubilization (Hu et al. 2006), production of phytohormones indole-3-acetic acid (Bric et al. 1991), Fe-chelating compounds production (Schwyn and Neilands 1987); Znsolubilization (Fasim et al. 2002); biocontrol against different fungal pathogens (Sijam and Dikin 2005).

Biodiversity of methylotrophic bacteria

Different classes of methylotrophic bacteria have been reported from diverse extreme habitats as plant microbiomes. The methylotrophic bacterial communities belong to diverse classes of proteobacteria namely α , β and γ -proteobacteria. The class *α*-proteobacteria has been reported as most dominant followed by β -proteobacteria (Fig. 3). In a review on seven different families of plant associated methylotrophs, namely Beijerinckiaceae, Hyphomicrobiaceae, Methylobacteriaceae, Methylococcaceae, Methylocystaceae, Methylophilaceae and Rhodobacteraceae have been sorted out (Fig. 3b). The methylotrophic bacterial communities belong to 15 different genera such as Hyphomicrobium, Methylarcula, Methylobacillus, Methylobacterium, Methylocapsa, Methylocella, Methyloferula, Methylohalomonas, Methylomonas, Methylophilus, Methylopila, Methylosinus, Methylotenera, Methylovirgula and Methylovorus (Fig. 3c).

Bassalik (1913) described first *Methylobacterium* in the literature, which was isolated from earthworm. Kouno and Ozaki (1975) isolated and characterized 59 different methylotrophs from soil and water samples. Patt et al. (1976) described a new genus of methane-oxidizing bacteria

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic profiling of methylotrophic bacterial communities using 16S rRNA genes obtained from NCBI GenBank database



and named it *Methylobacterium organophilum* XX (= ATCC 27886). *M. organophilum* is rod-shaped, methane-oxidizing bacteria. Wood et al. (1998) isolated and characterized a novel species of pink-pigmented methylotroph, *Methylobacterium thiocyanatum*. Balachandar et al. (2008) reported prevalence of several epiphytic strains of methylotrophs found in cotton, maize and sunflower phyllosphere. The phyllospheric microbes are reported as niche-specific such as, *Methylobacterium extorquens* C5, *Methylobacterium thiocyanatum* C1 from cotton; *Methylobacterium aminovorans* M4, *Methylobacterium extorquens* M3,

Methylobacterium fujisawaense M2 and Methylobacterium thiocyanatum M1 from maize; Methylobacterium aminovorans S4, Methylobacterium suomiense S2, Methylobacterium thiocyanatum S1 and Methylobacterium zatmanii S9 from sunflower. The studies on methylobacterial community are necessary to explore the complexity of interaction between these Methylobacterium and host plants. Twelve PPFM bacterial strains have been isolated and identified as M. Variabile and M. aquaticum using 16S rDNA sequencing. Sahin et al. (2008) have reported the taxonomical variability within the genus Methylobacterium. Fig. 2 Phylogenetic profiling of methylotrophic bacterial communities using *max*F obtained from NCBI GenBank database



Raja et al. (2008) have reported the Methylobacterium from phyllosphere of cotton, maize, sunflower, soybean, and mentha plants using culturable and 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequencing techniques. The pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs (PPFMs) isolated from leaf samples have been identified and reported as Methylobacterium populi, Methylobacterium thiocyanatum, Methylobacterium suomiense, M. aminovorans, and Methylobacterium fujisawaense. Jayashree et al. (2011a) have isolated methylotrophic bacterial communities from water samples of Cooum and Adyar and soil samples in Tamil Nadu. Subhaswaraj et al. (2017) have reported the isolation and characterization of IAA and cytokinins producing epiphytic methylotrophs from the phyllosphere of Brassica niagra and identified as Methylobacterium extorquens MM2 using maxF gene analysis. In another study by Kaparullina et al. (2017a), the methylotrophic bacterial communities have been identified from herbs, shrub, and trees in Pushchino. Methylobacterium and other genera such as Methylophilus, Methylobacillus, Hansschlegelia, Methylopila, Xanthobacter

and *Paracoccus* have been identified using sequencing of the 16S rRNA genes.

Novel methylotrophs from diverse sources

A huge number of methylotrophic microbes, belonging to different classes and families have been reported from diverse habitats worldwide. These novel methylotrophs have been isolated from diverse habitats such as acidic soil, arid soil, air, freshwater, leaf surface and rhizospheric soil (Table 1).

Genome sequencing of methylotrophic bacteria

In the last few decades, the genome sequencing has been done for methylotrophic bacterial isolates from diverse habitats worldwide (Table 2). The complete genome information of various methylotrophic bacterial strains are available, such



Fig. 3 a Abundance of methylotrophic bacterial communities belonging to different classes, **b** methylotrophic bacterial communities belonging to different families, **c** Distribution and abundance of different predominant genera of methylotrophic bacterial communities isolated from diverse habitats worldwide. **Sources:** Low temperature (Romanovskaia et al. 2005; Sapp et al. 2018; Schouten et al. 2000; Yadav 2015, 2017d); High temperature (Amin et al. 2017; Bodrossy et al. 1997, 1999; Rekadwad 2014; Trotsenko et al. 2009; Verma et al. 2016b); Hyper saline (Doronina et al. 2003b, 2013, 2000b; Poroshina et al. 2013; Shmareva et al. 2018); Drought (Kerry et al. 2018; Sivakumar et al. 2017; Verma et al. 2014; Veyisoglu et al. 2013); Acidic habitats (Dedysh et al. 2002, 2000; Dunfield et al. 2003; Röling et al. 2006; Verma et al. 2013;

as Methylobacterium populi BJ001 (Van Aken et al. 2004), Methylovorus glucosetrophus SIP3-4 (Lapidus et al. 2011), Methylobacterium extorquens CM4 (Marx et al. 2012), Methylobacterium nodulans ORS 2060 (Marx et al. 2012), Methylobacterium mesophilicum SR1.6/6 (Marinho Almeida et al. 2013), Methylobacterium aquaticum MA-22A (Tani et al. 2015), Methylobacterium radiotolerans JCM 2831 (Eevers et al. 2015), Methyloferula stellata AR4 (Dedysh et al. 2015), Methylotenera mobilis JLW8 (McTaggart et al. 2015), Methylotenera versatilis 301 (McTaggart et al. 2015),

Vorob'ev et al. 2009; Vorobev et al. 2011); Alkaline habitats (Doronina et al. 2003a, 2005, 2003b; Shmareva et al. 2018; Trotsenko et al. 2007); Phyllosphere (Balachandar et al. 2008; Kang et al. 2007; Madhaiyan et al. 2014; Madhaiyan and Poonguzhali 2014; Madhaiyan et al. 2009, 2012; Raja et al. 2008; Subhaswaraj et al. 2017; Tani and Sahin 2013; Tani et al. 2012a, b; Wellner et al. 2013, 2012); Rhizospheric (Cao et al. 2011; Doronina et al. 1998, 2002; 2000c; Gallego et al. 2005c; Idris et al. 2006; Kouno and Ozaki 1975; Urakami et al. 1993; Wood et al. 1998; Yadav and Yadav 2018a, b); Endophytic (Jourand et al. 2004; Prombunchachai et al. 2017; Sy et al. 2001; Van Aken et al. 2004); Drinking water (Gallego et al. 2005b, 2006; Kato et al. 2008)

Methylobacterium indicum SE2.11 (Chaudhry et al. 2016) and *Methylobacterium* sp. AMS5 (Minami et al. 2016). A number of informations can be deciphered from the complete genome sequence of novel methylotrophs.

The complete genome of three representatives viz. *Methylovorus glucosetrophus* SIP3–4, *Methylotenera versatilis* 301 and *Methylotenera mobilis* JLW8, of *Methylophilaceae* family *have been isolated from* Lake Washington, Seattle, WA (Lapidus et al. 2011). The PPFMs in the Rhizobiales are widespread in the environment, and

Novel Methylotrophs

Table 1	Biodiversity of novel	methylotrophs repor	ted from diverse	e habitats worldwide
---------	-----------------------	---------------------	------------------	----------------------

C-Source

Habitats

	References
ng ge	Lee and Jeon (2018) Kaparullina et al. (201

Methylobacterium frigidaeris IER25–16 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Air conditioning	Lee and Jeon (2018)
Methylobacillus methanolivorans Z^{T}	CH ₃ OH	Activated sludge	Kaparullina et al. (2017b)
Methylocapsa palsarum $NE2^T$	CH4/ CH ₃ OH	Palsa soil	Dedysh et al. 2015a)
Methylobacterium phyllostachyos BL47 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Bamboo leaf	Madhaiyan and Poonguzhali (2014)
Methylobacterium pseudosasicola BL36 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Bamboo leaf	Madhaiyan and Poonguzhali (2014)
<i>Methylobacterium brachythecii</i> 99b ^T	CH ₃ OH	Bryophytes	Tani and Sahin (2013)
<i>Methylobacterium haplocladii</i> 87e ^T	CH ₃ OH	Bryophytes	Tani and Sahin (2013)
<i>Methylobacterium tarhaniae</i> $N4211^{T}$	CH ₃ OH	Arid soil	Veyisoglu et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium thuringiense $C34^{T}$	CH ₃ OH	Leaf surfaces	Wellner et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium trifolii TA73 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Leaf surfaces	Wellner et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium cerastii C44	CH ₃ OH	Leaf surfaces	Wellner et al. (2012)
<i>Methylobacterium gnaphalii</i> 23e ^T	CH ₃ OH	Cudweed	Tani et al. (2012a)
<i>Methylobacterium gossipiicola</i> Gh-105 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Cotton leaf	Madhaiyan et al. (2012)
Methylobacterium oxalidis $35a^{T}$	CH ₃ OH	Oxalis corniculata	Tani et al. (2012b)
Methylotenera versatilis 301^{T}	CH ₃ NH ₂	Lake Washington	Kalyuzhnaya et al. (2012)
<i>Methylobacterium marchantiae</i> $JT1^{T}$	CH ₃ OH	liverwort Thallus	(Schauer et al. (2011)
<i>Methylobacterium soli</i> YIM 48816 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Soil	Cao et al. (2011)
<i>Methyloferula stellata</i> AR4 ^T	CH4/ CH ₃ OH	Acidic Sphagnum	Vorobev et al. (2011)
<i>Methylovorus menthalis</i> VKM B-2663 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Corn mint	Doronina et al. (2011)
<i>Methylocapsa aurea</i> KYG ^T	CH ₄	Forest Soil	Dunfield et al. (2010)
Methylovirgula ligni BW863 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Beechwood	Vorob'ev et al. (2009)
Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae B27 ^T	CH2O	Leaf tissues of rice	Madhaiyan et al. (2009)
Methylobacterium brachiatum B0021 ^T	CH ₃ OH	freshwater	Kato et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium gregans 002-074 ^T	CH ₃ OH	freshwater	Kato et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium komagatae 002-079 ^T	CH ₃ OH	freshwater	Kato et al. (2008)
<i>Methylobacterium persicinum</i> 002-165 ^T	CH ₃ OH	freshwater	Kato et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium tardum RB677 ^T	CH ₃ OH	freshwater	Kato et al. (2008)
<i>Methylobacterium iners</i> 5317S-33 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Air sample	Weon et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium aerolatum 5413S-11 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Air sample	We n et al. (2008)
$Methylobacterium orvzae CBMB20^{T}$	CH ₂ OH	Rice	Madhaiyan et al. (2007a)
Methylobacterium platani PMB02 ^T	СН₂ОН	Platanus leaf	Kang et al. (2007)
$Methylobacterium salsuginis MR^{T}$	СН ₂ О	Sea water	Wang et al. (2007)
Methylobacterium ieotgali S2R03-9 ^T	CH4	Seafood	Aslam et al. (2007)
Methylobacterium goesingense iFII3	CH ₂ OH	Soil	Idris et al. 2006)
Methylotenera mobilis II W8 ^T	CH ₂ NH ₂	Lake Washington	Kalvuzhnava et al. (2006)
Methylobacterium adhaesiyum $AR27^{T}$	CH ₂ OH	drinking water	Gallego et al. (2006)
Methylobacterium aquaticum GR16 ^T	СН-ОН	Water	Gallego et al. (2005)
Methylobacterium hispanicum GP34 ^T	СН-ОН	Water	Gallego et al. (2005a)
Methylobacterium variabile GP3 ^T	СН.ОН	soil	Gallego et al. (2005c)
$Methylobacterium isbiliansa AP24^{T}$	СН ОН	drinking water	Gallego et al. (2005b)
Methylobacillus protonsis $E21^{T}$		Moodow gross	Derening et al. (20050)
Methylobachius pratensis F51	СП3ОП	Custolaria	Lower d et al. (2004)
Methylobacterium nodauians OKS 2000 1		United to at	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Jouranu et al. (2004)} \\ \text{A posti at al. (2004)} \end{array}$
Methoda hardenium poaarium	CH OH	Human 100t	Anesu et al. (2004)
Methoda hardwine washing 2000 T	CH ₃ UH	Populus	van Aken et al. (2004)
weinyiobacterium noaulans 2060 1	CH ₃ OH	Legume root	Jourand et al. (2004)

Table 1 (continued)

Novel Methylotrophs	C-Source	Habitats	References
Methylocella silvestris BL2 ^T	CH ₄	Acidic forest Soil	Dunfield et al. (2003)
Methylobacterium lusitanum RXM ^T	CH ₃ OH	Sewage	Doronina et al. (2002)
Methylobacterium suomiense, F20 ^T	CH ₃ OH	Soil	Doronina et al. (2002)
Methylocapsa acidiphila B2 ^T	CH ₄	Acidic Sphagnum	Dedysh et al. (2002)
$Hyphomicrobium\ chloromethanicum\ CM2^T$	CH ₃ Cl	Petroleum soil	McDonald et al. (2001)
Methylobacterium chloromethanicum CM4^{T}	CH ₃ Cl	Petroleum soil	McDonald et al. (2001)
Methylobacterium dichloromethanicum	CH_2Cl_2	Water	Doronina et al. (2000c)
Methylocella palustris KT	CH4	Acidic soil	Dedysh et al. (2000)
Methylovorus mays	CH ₃ OH	Maize	Doronina et al. (2000a)
Methylopila helvetica VKMB-189	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Soil	Doronina et al. (2000c)
<i>Methylarcula marina</i> $h1^T$	CH ₃ NH ₂	Sea estuary	Doronina et al. (2000b)
Methylarcula terricola $h37^{T}$	CH ₃ NH ₂	Black Sea	Doronina et al. (2000b)
Methylobacterium thiocyanatum	CH ₃ OH	Soil	Wood et al. (1998)
Methylopila capsulata $IM1^T$	CH ₃ OH	soil	Doronina et al. (1998)
Methylobacterium aminovorans TH-1	CH ₃ OH	Soil	Urakami et al. (1993)
Methylovorus glucosotrophus 6B1	CH ₃ OH	waste water	Govorukhina and Trotsenko (1991)
Methylobacillus glycogens T-11	CH ₃ OH	Decaying tomato	Yordy and Weaver (1977)
Methylobacterium organophilum XX	CH ₄	Lake	Patt et al. (1976)

many plant growth-promoting substances *Methylobacterium* have been characterized (Kwak et al. 2014). Some endophytic methylotrophs have been reported from rice ecosystem and soybean stem. The whole genome sequence of rice endophyte *Methylobacterium oryzae* CBMB20^T has been done by Kwak et al. (2014) whereas, the complete genome sequence of soybean endophyte *Methylobacterium* sp. AMS5 was reported by Minami et al. (2016). The complete genomic information of methylotrophic communities is useful to understand plant microbe-interaction and mechanism of plant growth promotion and adaptations of methylotrophic communities under diverse abiotic stress conditions (Table 2).

Plant growth promoting attributes of methylotrophs

The plant associated methylotrophs can promote the plant growth, enhance crop productivities and help adaption in diverse abiotic stresses of heat, pH and salinity. A huge diversity of methylotrophic bacterial community has been sorted out from different plants as epiphytic, endophytic and rhizospheric and from diverse extreme habitats of high/ low temperature, salinity, drought, acidic and alkaline soil. The methylotrophs have been shown to PGP directly, e.g. by nitrogen-fixation; P, K and Zn-solubilization; production of Fe-chelating compounds; production of cytokinin, auxin and gibberellins and plant hormones and ACC deaminase activities. Several methylotrophs support PGP indirectly, via production of ammonia, HCN, siderophores, secondary metabolites, extra cellular hydrolytic enzymes and antagonistic substances, which inhibits the growth of different plant pathogen (Verma et al. 2017a, b; Yadav 2017; 2018a; b) (Table 3). The methylotrophic microbes when inoculated and bio-inoculants of biofertilizers, they promote the growth of plants in a number of ways through increased biomass, chlorophyll, germination rates, hydraulic activity, leaf area; nitrogen content, protein content; Fe content, Zn content, yield and tolerance to abiotic stresses like draught, temperature, salinity, pH etc., thus the diverse groups of methylotrophic communities enhanced crops productivities and soil fertility through one or more mechanisms for sustainable agriculture as long-term eco-friendly technology.

Production of phytohormones and Fe-chelating compounds

Plant-associated methylotrophs produce PGP phytohormones such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokinin. The gibberellins production is most typical for the rhizospheric methylotrophs whereas, auxins production is common to all the plant associated methylotrophs. Among indole derivative auxins, indole-

acetic acid (IAA) is the most and well characterized from methylotrophic bacteria and other predominant genera such as *Arthrobacter, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Serratia, Burkholderia,* and *Azospirillum.* The methylotrophic microbial communities producing IAA, gibberellins and cytokinins may potentially be used to promote plant growth under normal as well as abiotic stress conditions. Phytohormones are produced by a number of methylotrophs; to name a few: *Methylobacterium extorquens* IIWP-43, *Methylobacterium extorquens* MP1, *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* B-2143, *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* HHS1-36, *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* IIWP-45, Methylobacterium mesophilicum NIAW1-41, Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae HHS2-67, Methylobacterium radiotolerans HHS1-45, Methylobacterium radiotolerans IHD-35, Methylobacterium sp. ABR-48, Methylobacterium sp. CBMB20, Methylobacterium sp. Mb10, Methylobacterium sp. NIAW2-37, Methylobacterium sp. THD-35 and Methylobacterium zatmanii MS4 (Chanratana et al. 2017; Ivanova et al. 2001; Meena et al. 2012; Omer et al. 2004; Pattnaik et al. 2017; Trotsenko et al. 2001; Verma et al. 2015).

Ivanova et al. (2001) have reported the isolation of obligate and facultative methylotrophic bacteria, having ability to

Methylotrophs	Size (Mb)	GC%	Number of Proteins	Number of Genes	Reference
Methylobacillus flagellatus KT	2.97	55.7	2715	2815	NCBI
Methylobacillus glycogenes JCM 2850	3.25	53.4	2433	634	NCBI
Methylobacillus rhizosphaerae Ca-68	2.37	52.4	2277	2356	NCBI
Methylobacterium aquaticum MA-22A	5.35	71.1	4674	4913	Tani et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium brachiatum 3.1 M4	5.81	69.8	5511	5583	NCBI
Methylobacterium extorquens CM4	5.78	68.2	5156	5400	Marx et al. (2012)
Methylobacterium frigidaeris IER25–16	6.4	70.5	6433	6964	NCBI
Methylobacterium gossipiicola Gh-105	4.52	68.7	4224	4291	NCBI
Methylobacterium indicum SE2.11	6.93	70.5	5677	6404	Chaudhry et al. (2016)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum SR1.6/6	6.21	69.5	5945	6052	Marinho Almeida et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium nodulans ORS 2060	7.77	68.9	7020	7471	Marx et al. (2012)
Methylobacterium oryzae	6.29	69.8	5530	5771	Kwak et al. (2014)
Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae CBMB27	6.08	69.8	5355	5548	NCBI
Methylobacterium phyllostachyos BL47	6.02	68.7	5790	5863	NCBI
Methylobacterium platani PMB02	7.02	71.1	6062	6402	NCBI
Methylobacterium populi BJ001	5.8	69.4	5240	5427	Van Aken et al. (2004)
Methylobacterium pseudosasicola BL36	6.85	68.4	6795	6870	NCBI
Methylobacterium radiotolerans JCM 2831	6.08	71.5	5600	5756	Eevers et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium rhodinum JCM 2811	3.82	67.9	_	_	NCBI
Methylobacterium salsuginis CC 1.6474	5.32	69.6	5012	5082	NCBI
Methylobacterium sp. AMS5	5.44	68.5	4670	4917	Minami et al. (2016)
Methylobacterium tarhaniae DSM 25844	6.74	70.4	5746	6174	NCBI
Methylobacterium thiocyanatum	3.9	67.3	-	_	NCBI
Methylobacterium variabile DSM 16961	7.43	70.7	6441	6913	NCBI
Methylobacterium zatmanii	1.870	47.91	_	_	NCBI
Methylocapsa acidiphila B2	3.92	61.9	3520	3656	NCBI
Methylocapsa aurea KYG T	0.7	61.3	647	669	NCBI
Methylocapsa palsarum NE2	4.11	61.8	3820	3885	NCBI
Methyloferula stellata AR4	4.24	59.6	3877	3961	Dedysh et al. (2015b)
Methylotenera mobilis JLW8	2.55	45.5	2326	2396	McTaggart et al. (2015)
Methylotenera versatilis 301	3.06	42.6	2762	2842	McTaggart et al. (2015)
Methylovorus glucosetrophus SIP3–4	3	54.9	2795	2867	Lapidus et al. (2011)

Table 3Stress adaptive methylotrophs with multifarious PGP attributes for alleviation of diverse abiotic stresses in plants (P- Phosphate solubilisation;IAA- Indol acetic Acid production;Siderophores production;GA-Gibberellic acid production;ACC- ACC deaminase production; N_2F-N_2 Fixation)

Methylotrophs	Р	IAA	Sid	ACC	GA	N_2F	Reference
Methylobacillus arboreus Iva	+	_	_	_	_	_	Agafonova et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium extorquens G10	+	-	-	-	_	_	Agafonova et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium extorquens IIWP-43	+	+	+	-	_	_	Verma et al. (2014)
Methylobacterium extorquens MP1	_	+	_	-	-	_	Pattnaik et al. (2017)
Methylobacterium fujisawaense	_	_	_	+	-	_	Madhaiyan et al. (2006a)
Methylobacterium lusitanum MSF 32	+	_	-	-	_	-	Jayashree et al. (2011b)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum AR5.1	_	_	+	_	_	_	Lacava et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum B-2143	_	+		-	_	+	Ivanova et al. (2001)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum HHS1-36	_	+	+	+	+		Verma et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum IIWP-45	+	+	+	-	_	_	Verma et al. (2014)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum NIAW1–41	+	+	+	+	_	_	Verma et al. (2016b)
Methylobacterium nodulans 2060 T	_	-	_	-	_	+	Jourand et al. (2004)
Methylobacterium oryzae CBMB20	_	_	_	+	_	_	Chinnadurai et al. (2009)
Methylobacterium oryzae CBMB20 ^T	_	_	_	+	_	_	Madhaiyan et al. (2007a)
Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae HHS2–67	_	+	+	+	+	_	Verma et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium populi TNAU1	_	_	_	+	_	_	Raja et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium radiotolerans COLR1	_	-	_	+	_	_	Chinnadurai et al. (2009)
Methylobacterium radiotolerans HHS1–45	_	+	+	+	+	_	Verma et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium radiotolerans IHD-35	+	+	+	-	_	_	Verma et al. (2014)
Methylobacterium sp. ABR-48	_	+	_	-	_	_	Yadav et al. (2015a)
Methylobacterium sp. CBMB20	_	+	_	-	_	+	Lee et al. (2006)
Methylobacterium sp. HHS2–69	_	_	+	+	+		Verma et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium sp. Mb10	_	+	_	—	_	_	Omer et al. (2004)
Methylobacterium sp. NIAW2-37	+	+	+	+	-		Verma et al. (2016b)
Methylobacterium sp. THD-35	+	+	+	-	-	+	Verma et al. (2013)
Methylobacterium sp. WP1	_		-	+	-		Chinnadurai et al. (2009)
Methylobacterium zatmanii MS4	_	+	_	-	_	_	Pattnaik et al. (2017)
Methylopila musalis MUSA	+	_	_	_	_	—	Agafonova et al. (2013)
Methylovorus menthalis MM	+	-	_	_	-	-	Agafonova et al. (2013)

produce plant growth promoter IAA (3–100 μ g/mL). Omer et al. (2004) reported the presence of IAA in supernatants of PPFMs microbial cultures, three out of the 16 isolates tested showed a positive reaction in a colorimetric assay. The presence was further unambiguously confirmed by highperformance liquid chromatography in combination with NMR. The IAA production was significantly stimulated by L-tryptophan. These results prove that PPFM bacteria are able to produce the plant hormone IAA.

Pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophs are prevalent aerobic bacteria colonizing the phyllosphere of various plant species (Pattnaik et al. 2017). PPFMs have the ability to utilize plant-derived methanol as an energy substrate when plants are being colonized under stress. PPFMs were isolated from the phyllosphere of peach (*Prunus persica* L.) and strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* L.) by the leaf imprint method. The 16S rRNA gene sequences demonstrated that the isolates MP₁ and MS₄ were *Methylobacterium extorquens* and *Methylobacterium zatmanii*, respectively. High-performance thin-layer chromatographic analysis indicated production of indole acetic acid by *M. extorquens* MP₁ and *M. zatmanii* MS₄. The amount of IAA produced was 10.353 and 8.473 μ g·mL⁻¹ for *M. extorquens* MP₁ and *M. zatmanii* MS₄, respectively. The increased production of IAA and subsequent enhancement in growth-promoting traits indicates that methylotrophs from diverse plant species can be used to improve early plant development in tomato under controlled conditions.

Lacava et al. have reported the isolation of Methylobacterium spp., as endophyte from citrus plant; which canproduce iron chelating compounds (Lacava et al. 2008). The Fe-chelating compounds production of Methylobacterium strains were tested using chromeazurol agar assay test (CAS), Csáky test (hydroxamate-type) and Arnow test (catechol-type). All 37 strains of Methylobacterium sp. tested were CAS-positive for Fe-chelating compounds production. Methylobacterium sp. produced hydroxamate-type, but not catechol-type siderophores. In vitro growth of Xfp was stimulated by the presence of supernatant siderophores of endophytic Methylobacterium mesophilicum. A number of studies have been reported mentioning the siderophores production by methylotrophic bacteria such as Methylobacterium extorquens IIWP-43, Methylobacterium mesophilicum AR5.1, Methylobacterium mesophilicum HHS1-36, Methylobacterium mesophilicum IIWP-45, Methylobacterium mesophilicum NIAW1-41, Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae HHS2-67, Methylobacterium radiotolerans HHS1-45, Methylobacterium radiotolerans IHD-35, Methylobacterium sp. HHS2-69, Methylobacterium sp. NIAW2-37and Methylobacterium sp. THD-35 (Lacava et al. 2008; Verma et al. 2014, 2016b, 2015).

N₂-fixation by methylotrophic microbes

Nitrogen is the major limiting factor for plant growth, the application of N₂-fixing microbes as biofertilizers has emerged as one of the most efficient and environmentally sustainable methods for increasing the growth and yield of crop plants under the natural and abiotic stress conditions. N₂-fixation by methylotrophic microbes is one of the possible biological alternatives to N-fertilizers and could lead to more productive and sustainable agriculture and act as ecofriendly technology. Many plant associated methylotrophs have been reported to fix N₂ for availability to the host plants. A variety of nitrogen fixing methylotrophs Methylobacterium mesophilicum B-2143, Methylobacterium nodulans 2060 T, Methylobacterium sp. CBMB20 and Methylobacterium sp. THD-35 have been isolated from the rhizosphere of various crops, which contribute fixed nitrogen to the associated plants (Jourand et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2006; Madhaiyan et al. 2015, 2014; Raja et al. 2006; Rekadwad 2014; Sy et al. 2001).

Sy et al. (2001) isolated N₂-fixing *Methylobacterium* nodulans a facultative methylotroph from Crotalaria legumes. Rekadwad (2014), isolated N₂- fixing methylotrophs from mud near hot springs, Unkeshwar, Maharashtra, India and which has been identified as *Methylobacterium organophilum* using morphological and biochemical tests. The isolated methylotrophic bacteria were found to enhanced plant growth and yield when inoculated with *Vigna radiate*. Raja et al. (2006), reported 11 nitrogen fixing methylotrophic microbes out of 250 *Methylobacterium* studied. In another study by Madhaiyan et al. (2014), *Methylobacterium* sp. L2–4 is reported as nitrogen fixing *Methylobacterium* isolated from leaf *Jatropha curcas*.

P-solubilization

Phosphorus (P) is major essential macronutrients for plant growth and development. The Methylotrophs have capabilities to solubilise inorganic form of soil P and make it available to the host plants. Some methylotrophic bacteria can convert insoluble phosphorus to soluble orthophosphate and make available to the plants in rhizosphere region (Kumar et al. 2016). The rhizospheric methylotrophic microbial communities possessing P-solubilizing attributes could be used as bioinoculants or biofertilizers and act as ecofriendly bioresources for replacements of chemical phosphorus fertilizers. Psolubilization by methylotrophic communities is common PGP traits which help the crops for plant growth and development under the normal as well as abiotic stress conditions. A vast number of PGP methylotrophs with P-solubilizing ability have been sorted out including the members such as Methylobacillus arboreus Iva, Methylobacterium extorquens G10, Methylobacterium extorquens IIWP-43, Methylobacterium lusitanum MSF 32, Methylobacterium mesophilicum IIWP-45, Methylobacterium mesophilicum NIAW1-41, Methylobacterium radiotolerans IHD-35, Methylobacterium sp. NIAW2-37, Methylobacterium sp. THD-35, Methylopila musalis MUSA and Methylovorus menthalis MM (Agafonova et al. 2013; Javashree et al. 2011b; Verma et al. 2013, 2014, 2016b). Microbial strains solubilize Phosphorus, probably by producing the organic acids such as acetate, ketogluconate, oxalate, citrate, glycolate, succinate, gluconate, lactate and tartarate (Stella and Halimi 2015; Yadav et al. 2015b).

P-solubilizing activity was found in 14 strains of plantassociated aerobic methylobacteria belonging to the five genera Methylophilus, Methylobacillus, Methylovorus, Methylopila and Methylobacterium with 12 distinct species Methylobacillus arboreus, Methylobacterium extorquens, Methylobacterium extorguens, Methylobacterium nodulans, Methylophilus flavus, Methylopila capsulata, Methylopila capsulate, Methylopila musalis, Methylopila turkiensis, Methylovorus fructose, Methylovorus mays, Methylovorus menthalis (Agafonova et al. 2013). The growth of Methylobacterium on medium with methanol as the carbon and energy source and insoluble tricalcium phosphate as the phosphorus source was accompanied by a decrease in pH due to the accumulation of up to 7 mM formic acid as a methanol oxidation intermediate and by release of 120-280 µM phosphate ions, which can be used by both bacteria and plants. Thirteen PO₄-solubilization PPFM isolates were reported from Adyar and Cooum river, Chennai and forest soil Tamilnadu, India (Jayashree et al. 2011b) and they were identified as Methylobacterium extorquens, Methylobacterium

komagatae, *Methylobacterium gregans*, and *Methylobacterium organophilum*. The higher phosphate solubilization was observed in four strains 202 mg l^{-1} by MSF 34, 279 mg l^{-1} by *Methylobacterium komagatae*, 301 mg l^{-1} by MDW 80 and 415 mg l^{-1} by MSF 32, after 7 days of incubation.

In research by Verma et al. (2013), the acid tolerant methylotrophic P-solubilizing bacteria have been isolated from wheat growing in acidic soil of southern hill zone of India and found that Methylobacterium sp. IARI-THD-35 and Methylobacterium radiotolerans IARI-THW-31 solubilized $3.6.35 \pm 1.0$ and $21.35 \pm 1.0 \ \mu g \ mg^{-1} \ day^{-1}$ respectively under the abiotic stress of low pH. The Acid olerant methylotrophic microbes may have application as bioinoculants or biofertilizers and biocontrol agents in crops growing under acidic conditions. In another study by Verma et al. (2014) the thermotolerant methylotrophic microbes have been isolated from wheat growing in sub-arid region as central zone of India. The P-solubilizing attributes were found in three Methylobacterium as Methylobacterium extorquens IARI-IIWP-43 (23.6 \pm 1.0 µg mg⁻¹ day⁻¹), Methylobacterium mesophilicum IARI-IIWP-45 (12.6 \pm 1.5 μ g mg⁻¹ day⁻¹) and *Methylobacterium radiotolerans* IARI-IHD-35(14.6 \pm 1.2 µg mg⁻¹ day⁻¹) under abiotic stress of high temperature. These promising isolates showing a range of useful PGP attributes may be explored for agricultural applications. The biodiversity of wheat associated bacteria were deciphered from peninsular zone of India for their potential application for plant growth under the high temperature conditions (Verma et al. 2016b). Among the 264 bacterial isolates, two Methylobacterium were found to solubilize phosphorus as *Methylobacterium* sp. IARI-NIAW2-37 (41.6 \pm 0.1 mg L^{-1}) and Methylobacterium mesophilicum IARI-NIAW1-41(43.2 \pm 1.1 mg L⁻¹) isolated from wheat.

Alibrandi et al. (2018) isolated several *Methylobacterium* exhibiting both PGP and antimicrobial activities from seed endosphere of *Anadenanthera colubrine*. The isolates were able to solubilize organic phosphate and can grow without inducing a colour change, thus suggesting an enzymatic mechanism of phosphate solubilisation. The activities have been shown by four strains namely *Methylobacterium indicum* SE2. 11, *Methylobacterium extorquens* IAM 12631, *Methylobacterium hispanicum* DSM 16372 and *Methylobacterium rhodesianum* DSM 5687.

ACC deaminase activity

Ethylene is one of the most important plant hormones which is usually found in the gaseous form and is produced endogenously. It is efficient at low concentrations controlling various activities such as growth, cellular metabolism and even senescence. The methylotrophic bacteria possess an enzyme ACC deaminase which converts ACC, the immediate precursor of ethylene to α -ketobutyrate and ammonium thus lowering the concentration of the ethylene during the stress conditions and stimulating the growth of the plants. The ACC deaminase activity has been reported in Methylobacterium fujisawaense (Madhaiyan et al. 2006a), Methylobacterium mesophilicum HHS1-36 (Verma et al. 2015), Methylobacterium mesophilicum NIAW1-41 (Verma et al. 2016b), Methylobacterium oryzae CBMB20 (Chinnadurai et al. 2009), Methylobacterium oryzae CBMB20^T (Madhaiyan et al. 2007a), Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae HHS2-67 (Verma et al. 2015), Methylobacterium populi TNAU1 (Raja et al. 2008), Methylobacterium radiotolerans COLR1 (Chinnadurai et al. 2009), Methylobacterium radiotolerans HHS1-45 (Verma et al. 2015), Methylobacterium sp. HHS2-69 (Verma et al. 2015), Methylobacterium sp. NIAW2-37 (Verma et al. 2016b) and Methylobacterium sp. WP1 (Chinnadurai et al. 2009). Joe et al. (2014), reported Azospirillum brasilense CW903 and Methylobacterium orvzae CBMB20 showing ACC deaminase activity reduced ethylene levels in plants. Rhizobial strains possessing ACC deaminase activity have been known to be 40% more proficient in forming nitrogen-fixing nodules as compared to strains lacking this activity (Ma et al. 2004, 2003). The phytohormone ethylene plays an important role in PGP and development including fruit ripening, germination, leaf and flower senescence and abscission, root-hair initiation, nodulation and response to wide variety of stresses (Abeles et al. 1992).

Madhaiyan et al. (2006a), reported the presence of ACC deaminase in Methylobacterium fujisawaense and its lowering of ethylene levels and promotion of root elongation in canola seedlings under gnotobiotic conditions. Chinnadurai et al. (2009), isolated epiphytic Methylobacterium radiotolerans from rice and characterized for their PGP attributes of ACC deaminase and its role in regulating plant ethylene level. Foliar spray of ACC deaminase enhanced the shoot and root length of rice under the gnotobiotic condition. The possible interaction of the plant hormones auxin and ethylene and the role of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase containing bacteria on ethylene production in canola (Brassica campestris) in the presence of inhibitory concentrations of growth regulators were investigated by Madhaiyan et al. (2007b). In another research of Madhaiyan et al. (2007a), A pink-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium, strain CBMB20^T, isolated from stem tissues of rice, was analysed by a polyphasic approach. Verma et al. (2015), isolated and characterized the ACC deaminase in Methylobacterium mesophilicum IARI-HHS1-36, Methylobacterium radiotolerans IARI-HHS1-45, Methylobacterium sp. IARI-HHS2-69 and Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae IARI-HHS2-67 from wheat (Triticum aestivum) from the northern hills zone of India. Prombunchachai et al. (2017) evaluated the production

of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase enzyme from endophytic *Methylobacterium radiotolerans* ED5–9. Activity of *ACC deaminase* enzyme was observed at 365.05 ± 90.51 nmol of a-ketobutyrate/mg of protein/h. The ACC deaminase determines the ability of bacteria to increase the resistance of plants to various types of stress. The genes of ACC deaminase (*acdS*) and the closely related enzyme D-cysteine desulfhydrase (*dcyD*) were searched in type strains of various representatives of the genus *Methylobacterium* by Ekimova et al. (2018).

Abiotic stress and microbial responses

Under stressed conditions, the microbes change their physiology and metabolic activities according to the environment. These environmental stimuli induce the methylotrophic physiology in response to various stress environments. This is therefore called as microbial stress responses (Boylan et al. 1993; Gaidenko and Price 1998). Biotic and abiotic factors have major impact on a plant that lead to significant losses in crop productivity. Several abiotic factors are responsible for changes in environmental balance that ultimately affecting the plant productivity. Agriculture sector is mostly affected by numerous abiotic factors such as water stress, salinity stress, temperature stress and drought stress. The microbial entities present on earth are most abundant and fundamental living system, present naturally in soil ecosystem. The microbial life is affecting plant growth development as interacting with plant as a part of their metabolism in soil. To fight against abiotic stresses, microbial system associated with plants is providing basic defence to plants combating diseases by providing essential nutrients (Turner et al. 2013) (Fig. 4).

To avoid and to accommodate under abiotic stress condition, plants are fighting with their intrinsic metabolic activities for the improvement of plant growth and development. Moreover, microorganisms are those cosmopolitan natural inhabitants, helping plants to mitigate abiotic stresses by exploring their metabolic capabilities. In the natural ecosystem, microbial interaction with plant is beneficial that enhances the local and systemic metabolic mechanisms providing strength to plant system under unfavourable conditions. This beneficial interaction comprises a very tedious plant cellular mechanism. A number of molecular and biochemical approaches are being used to resolve and to understand the complex pathways and processes inside the cell. The understanding of complex cellular processes along with physiological aspects provides the interpretation of plant-microbe association and defence mechanism against abiotic stresses. Further it is required to look into deeper insights to understand the mitigation mechanism of abiotic stresses in crop plants for their translation in enhance crop productivity. This is achieved by various 'multiomics' approaches such as genomics, proteomics, transcriptomics and metabolomic studies on crop-microbe interaction and their impact on external environment (Meena et al. 2017). Microbial system interacting with plants induces various local and systemic responses, triggering plant metabolic activities to sustain and to accommodate them under unfavourable abiotic stress condition (Nguyen et al. 2016). Apart from epiphytic microorganisms, endophytic bacteria and fungi are also reported to survive in extreme climatic condition within healthy crop plants inside the tissues and enhance plant growth and development under stress environment such as drought, salinity, heat and nutrient deficient environment (Fig. 4). The endophytic microbial communities are reported to utilise their molecular mechanism for increasing stress tolerance along with antioxidant activities like reactive oxygen species scavenging (Rana et al. 2018; Lata et al. 2018).

Salt stress tolerance and mitigation

One very important group of methylotrophs i.e. PPFM is reported to survive in extreme saline environment and colonization of methylobacterium strain with plant root was

Fig. 4 Abiotic stress mitigation by methylotrophic bacterial community



analysed. Egamberdieva et al. (2015) has shown that Methylotrophic strain *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* has the ability to survive in higher saline condition and was able to colonize plant roots and shoot under extreme salt and drought environment. In a gnotobiotic sand system, the survival of bacterial strain along with different salt concentrations added was investigated and analysed in a pot experiment. Even in saline soil, *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* strain was well enough to colonize plant root and shoot. In salt-free environment the bacterial population was observed to be 6.4×10^4 while under saline environment it was found to be 2.6×10^4 CFU/g root. In the study, the *Methylobacterium* strain was found to be antibiotic resistant also and that may be a probable reason for facilitating microbial colonization with plants such as cucumber, tomato and paprika (Egamberdieva et al. 2015).

In a very interesting report, Gourion et al. (2008) demonstrated the necessity of PhyR (for phyllosphere-induced regulator) coding gene expression in Methylobacterium extorquens AM1 for the stress tolerance of most of the plants under multiple stress conditions such as oxidative stress, osmotic stress, drought stress water stress and others. They emphasised that during Methylobacterium-plant interaction in various stress environment, the protein coding PhyR gene is synthesized more that is facilitating the microbial colonization with the plant. This microbial colonization further induces the tolerance in plant cells by triggering several protecting metabolic machinery (Gourion et al. 2008). During induction of physiological pathways inside the plants, several proteins were expressed and synthesised such as catalase (KatA), lactoylglutathione lyase (GloA), a heat shock protein (Hsp20) and DNA protection protein (Dps).

A tolerance towards acidic environment was observed by Dedysh et al. (2004), which showed the isolation and identification of three different methanotrophic bacterial strains (T4^T, TCh1 and TY1). After molecular characterization these acid tolerant methylotrophic strains were identified as *Methylocella* sp., *Methylocella palustris* and *Methylocella silvestris*, respectively. Out of these three, first strain T4^T was reported a novel strain as *Methylocella tundra* isolated from Sphagnum tundra peatlands in acidic environment (Table 4).

One of the earlier investigations reported extremophilic bacteria from sediment samples of soda lake Magadi in Kenya and the chloride–sulfate lakes in Kulunda Steppe (Russia). Study reported the isolation and identification of halophilic and salt tolerant obligate methylotrophic strain (Sorokin et al. 2007). This obligate methylotrophic strain was declared as a novel strain *Methylohalomonas lacus* gen. nov., sp. nov., HMT 1^T. From the sediment, two other restricted facultative methylotrophic strains (AMT 1^T and AMT 3) were obtained that were identified as a member of family Ectothiorhodospiraceae.

For the sustainable agriculture system, various PGP microbes are being utilized since several years back (Kumar et al. 2015a, b, 2016; Madhaiyan et al. 2011; Yadav and Saxena 2018). In the recent investigation, it was found that the PGP methylotrophic strain *Methylobacterium oryzae* CBMB20 was able to tolerate salt stress and desiccation, heat, UV irradiation, different temperature regimes, oxidative stress, starvation condition. In exposure to various NaCl concentrations, the ACC deaminase activity was also observed along with gradual and drastic reduction in aggregated and

Methylotrophs	Stress	Sources	Reference
Methanotrophs	Water	Upland soil	Von Fischer et al. (2009)
Methylobacterium extorquens	Multiple	Common Plants	Gourion et al. (2008)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum	Salt	Tomato	Egamberdieva et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium mesophilicum	Drought	Cucumber	Egamberdieva et al. (2015)
Methylobacterium oryzae	Salt	Paddy field	Chanratana et al. (2017)
Methylobacterium sp.	Drought	Coastal sage	Irvine et al. (2012)
Methylocella tundrae	pН	Tundra Peatlands	Dedysh et al. (2004)
Methylomonas lacus	Salt	Hypersaline lake	Sorokin et al. (2007)
Methylonatrum kenyense	Salt	Hypersaline lake	Sorokin et al. (2007)
Methylosinus trichosporium	Water	Forest soil	Schnell and King (1996)
Methylomonas rubra	Water	Forest soil	Schnell and King (1996)
PPFMs	Drought	Tomato plant	Chanratana et al. (2017)
PPFMs	Drought	Tomato plant	Sivakumar et al. (2017)
Type I, II methanotrophs	Drought	Paddy field	Collet et al. (2015)
Type II methanotrophs	Water	Sphagnum mosses	Putkinen et al. (2012)

Table 4Methylotrophs fromdiverse sources and theirapplication in mitigation ofabiotic stresses in plants

non-aggregated methylotrophic bacterial cells over increased salt concentrations (Chanratana et al. 2017).

Drought stress tolerance and mitigation

A major abiotic stress i.e. drought is considered as a great challenge for the growth and development of crop plants, inhibiting the proper seed germination and seedling growth under drought condition. Drought is considered as a limiting factor for the growth and development of crop plants in dry ecosystem (Brown et al. 1985; Daneshian and Zare 2005). Several study reported the involvement of bacterial communities (specially plant growth promoting bacteria) in alleviation of drought stress (Saikia et al. 2018; Ngumbi and Kloepper 2016; Yadav and Yadav 2018a, b). Like other bacterial communities, methylotrophs are very important group of microbes alleviating drought stress and facilitating proper plant growth and development.

The genus Methylobacterium is represented by a subpopulation of methylotrophs, PPFMs (Pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs) (Green and Bousfield 1983) and this subpopulation is very peculiar group of bacteria mitigating the unfavourable and adverse abiotic stress such as drought stress in agriculture. The application of PPFMs improves the plant growth and development (Hayat et al. 2010). They are very helpful in making agriculture sustainable by protecting plants against abiotic and biotic stresses (Van Loon et al. 1998).

In a recent research outcome, it was emphasized that PPFM (Pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs) along with other PGPR (Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria) helps in alleviating drought stress in tomato plant in early growth stage. Study reveals that, the co-inoculation of PGPR and PPFM improves the seed germination related characters along with stress tolerant index (Sivakumar et al. 2017). The PPFM (2%) in combination with PGPR enhanced the antioxidant activity also under drought stress. The impact of PPFMs and PGPRs in alleviation of drought stress was analysed by conducting a pot culture experiment with tomato plant varieties. The pot experiment was carried out with foliar spray of different plant growth regulators like salicylic acid, gibberellic acid and PPFMs. Data suggested that PPFMs foliar spray was able to mitigate drought stress significantly (Sivakumar et al. 2017).

In another study in California, the abiotic stress tolerance ability of PPFM was elaborated in which PPFM abundance was assessed in the root zone of five different invasive plant species, ranging from 10^2 to 10^5 CFU/g dry soil. In annual and biennial plant species the PPFM abundance was found more as compared to perennial plant species. The abundant root of coastal sage scrub plants colonised with PPFMs was influenced by surrounding and immediate plant communities. In this natural ecosystem PPFMs may be utilized as a good target for the alleviation of abiotic and biotic stress (Irvine et al. 2012). From air dried paddy field soil several methanotrophs were reported in a recent investigation (Collet et al. 2015). The methanotrophic community and their resistance were examined in a dry paddy field soil stored for 1 to 18 years and their drought tolerance was documented. In this investigation, Type II methanotrophic community was found to be abundant as compared to Type I methanotrophs (Collet et al. 2015).

Water stress tolerance and mitigation

The water stress tolerance was observed well enough by the methanotrophic bacterial communities in several studies. One of the investigations revealed the effect of water stress mitigation by Type II MOB (Methanotrophs oxidizing bacteria) in Sphagnum mosses (Putkinen et al. 2012). It was concluded in a study Van Winden et al. (2010) that peatland water Table (WT) play an important factor influencing activity of methane oxidizing bacterial community in mosses. Due to natural fluctuation in WT level, the Sphagnum associated methanotrophs also get fluctuated i.e. either deactivated or reactivated. In the study it was emphasized that water serve as an important route for the methanotroph abundance in Sphagnum-methanotroph association. Peatland drainage can change the methanotroph community composition (Jaatinen et al. 2005) and Sphagnum coverage is reduced consequently affecting Mosses-Methanotrophs association (Yrjälä et al. 2011). An experiment conducted in upland (well drained, oxic) ecosystem described the decrease in methanotroph activity, suggesting that the diminished activity of methanotroph community resulted from water stress to methanotrophs but presence of some resistance strains also (Von Fischer et al. 2009).

In a chamber based approach soil methanotroph activity was measured on the basis of measures of soil diffusivity. The experiment showed that the rate of methane consumption is proportional to change in methanotroph activity and diffisivity. The field experiment over a seven week period represents soil moisture fell from 38% to 15% water-filled pore spaces, and diffusivity doubled as the larger soil pores drained of water. However, methane consumption was reduced by 40%, following a huge decrease (about 90%) in methanotroph activity, suggesting that the decline in methanotroph activity resulted from water stress to methanotrophs (Von Fischer et al. 2009). The fluctuations in the atmospheric methane utilization rate were documented under high water contents and low water contents situations. At a 25% soil water content and with 20.2 MPa water potential the maximum atmospheric methane consumption was reported. The uptake rates were highest at soil water content 38% with 20.03 water potential in the presence of 200 ppm initial methane. The experiment results showed that atmospheric and elevated methane consumption was decreased with decrease in water potential on addition of ionic solutes to soil. In soil samples, the methane consumption was not seen effective but the methanotrophic isolates (*Methylosinus trichosporium* from a freshwater peat and *Methylomonas rubra* from an intertidal marine mudflat) have shown a great potential to survive and to consume methane in adverse water stress condition (Schnell and King 1996).

Conclusion and future scope

Generally, abiotic stresses have shown a reciprocal relationship with bacterial survival but a number of bacterial entities are reported to tolerate stresses by manipulating its physiology to accommodate. Here, in the current review it was emphasised to show the ability of a particular group of bacteria i.e. methylotrophs that how they cope with the stresses in the environment and how they can be utilised further as bioinoculants. Under abiotic stress condition such as salt stress, physiological changes occur inside the methylotrophic cells such as increased extracellular polysachharides production, increased cell hydrohobocity, formation of biofilm and accumulation of osmolytes like proline. The changes facilitate the growth of methylotrophs in several harsh environments. The methanotrophic community comprises methanotrophs Type I and methanotrophs Type II methylotrophs, actively reported to tolerate stresses like drought and water. Interestingly, different methanotrophs were reported from the soil samples of a barren paddy field. This review is emphasizing not only the diversity of abiotic stress tolerant methylotrophic community but also their exploitation and application in future for the sustainability.

Acknowledgements We are very thankful to Amity University, Gwalior and Hon'ble Dr. Ashok K. Chauhan, Founder President, Amity University for their continuous support.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Abeles F, Morgan P, Saltveit M (1992) Ethylene in plant biology, 2nd edn. Academic Press, New York. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-03226-7
- Agafonova N, Kaparullina E, Doronina N, Trotsenko YA (2013) Phosphate-solubilizing activity of aerobic methylobacteria. Microbiology 82:864–867. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S0026261714010020

- Alibrandi P, Cardinale M, Rahman MM, Strati F, Ciná P, de Viana ML, Giamminola EM, Gallo G, Schnell S, De Filippo C (2018) The seed endosphere of *Anadenanthera colubrina* is inhabited by a complex microbiota, including *Methylobacterium* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. with potential plant-growth promoting activities. Plant Soil 422:81–99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3182-4
- Amin A, Ahmed I, Salam N, Kim B-Y, Singh D, Zhi X-Y, Xiao M, Li W-J (2017) Diversity and distribution of thermophilic bacteria in hot springs of Pakistan. Microb Ecol 74:116–127. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00248-017-0930-1
- Anesti V, Vohra J, Goonetilleka S, McDonald IR, Sträubler B, Stackebrandt E, Kelly DP, Wood AP (2004) Molecular detection and isolation of facultatively methylotrophic bacteria, including *Methylobacterium podarium* sp. nov., from the human foot microflora. Environ Microbiol 6:820–830. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00623.x
- Aslam Z, Lee CS, Kim K-H, Im W-T, Ten LN, Lee S-T (2007) *Methylobacterium jeotgali* sp. nov., a non-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium isolated from jeotgal, a traditional Korean fermented seafood. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:566–571. https:// doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64625-0
- Bakker AW, Schippers B (1987) Microbial cyanide production in the rhizosphere in relation to potato yield reduction and *Pseudomonas* SPP-mediated plant growth-stimulation. Soil Biol Biochem 19:451– 457. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90037-X
- Balachandar D, Raja P, Sundaram S (2008) Genetic and metabolic diversity of pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophs in phyllosphere of tropical plants. Braz J Microbiol 39:68–73. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S1517-838220080001000017
- Bassalik K (1913) Uber die Verarbeitung der Oxalsaure durch *Bacillus* extorquens n. sp. J Wiss Bot 53:255
- Boddey R, De Oliveira O, Urquiaga S, Reis V, De Olivares F, Baldani V, Döbereiner J (1995) Biological nitrogen fixation associated with sugar cane and rice: contributions and prospects for improvement. Plant Soil 174:195–209. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF0003224
- Bodrossy L, Holmes EM, Holmes AJ, Kovács KL, Murrell JC (1997) Analysis of 16S rRNA and methane monooxygenase gene sequences reveals a novel group of thermotolerant and thermophilic methanotrophs, *Methylocaldum* gen. nov. Arch Microbiol 168:493– 503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002030050527
- Bodrossy L, Kovács KL, McDonald IR, Murrell JC (1999) A novel thermophilic methane-oxidising γ-Proteobacterium. FEMS Microbiol Lett 170:335–341. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968. 1999.tb13392.x
- Boylan SA, Redfield AR, Brody MS, Price CW (1993) Stress-induced activation of the sigma B transcription factor of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 175:7931–7937. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.175.24.7931-7937.1993
- Bric JM, Bostock RM, Silverstone SE (1991) Rapid in situ assay for indoleacetic acid production by bacteria immobilized on a nitrocellulose membrane. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:535–538 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC182744/. Accessed 17 January 2019
- Brown ME, Burlingham SK (1968) Production of plant growth substances by Azotobacter chroococcum. J Gen Microbiol 53:135– 144. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-53-1-135
- Brown E, Caviness C, Brown D (1985) Response of selected soybean cultivars to soil moisture deficit 1. Agron J 77:274–278. https://doi. org/10.2134/agronj1985.00021962007700020022x
- Cao Y-R, Wang Q, Jin R-X, Tang S-K, Jiang Y, He W-X, Lai H-X, Xu L-H, Jiang C-L (2011) *Methylobacterium soli* sp. nov. a methanolutilizing bacterium isolated from the forest soil. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 99:629–634. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-010-9535-0

- Cappucino JC, Sherman N (1992) Nitrogen cycle. In: Microbiology: a laboratory manual, 4th edn. Benjamin/Cumming Pub. Co., New York, pp 311–312
- Chanratana M, Han GH, Roy Choudhury A, Sundaram S, Halim MA, Krishnamoorthy R, Kang Y, Sa T (2017) Assessment of *Methylobacterium oryzae* CBMB20 aggregates for salt tolerance and plant growth promoting characteristics for bio-inoculant development. AMB Express 7:208. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-017-0518-7
- Chaudhry V, Baindara P, Pal VK, Chawla N, Patil PB, Korpole S (2016) *Methylobacterium indicum* sp. nov., a facultative methylotrophic bacterium isolated from rice seed. Syst Appl Microbiol 39:25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2015.12.006
- Chinnadurai C, Balachandar D, Sundaram S (2009) Characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase producing methylobacteria from phyllosphere of rice and their role in ethylene regulation. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:1403–1411. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-0027-1
- Collet S, Reim A, Ho A, Frenzel P (2015) Recovery of paddy soil methanotrophs from long term drought. Soil Biol Biochem 88:69– 72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.04.016
- Corpe WA (1985) A method for detecting methylotrophic bacteria on solid surfaces. J Microbiol Meth 3:215–221. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0167-7012(85)90049-1
- Daneshian J, Zare D (2005) Diversity for resistance drought on soybean. J Agric Sci 1:23–50
- Dedysh SN, Liesack W, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Semrau JD, Bares AM, Panikov NS, Tiedje JM (2000) *Methylocella palustris* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new methaneoxidizing acidophilic bacterium from peat bogs, representing a novel subtype of serine-pathway methanotrophs. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:955–969. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-50-3-955
- Dedysh SN, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Semrau JD, Liesack W, Tiedje JM (2002) *Methylocapsa acidiphila* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel methane-oxidizing and dinitrogen-fixing acidophilic bacterium from Sphagnum bog. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52: 251–261. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-52-1-251
- Dedysh SN, Berestovskaya YY, Vasylieva LV, Belova SE, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Liesack W, Zavarzin GA (2004) *Methylocella tundrae* sp. nov., a novel methanotrophic bacterium from acidic tundra peatlands. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54:151– 156. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02805-0
- Dedysh SN, Didriksen A, Danilova OV, Belova SE, Liebner S, Svenning MM (2015a) *Methylocapsa palsarum* sp. nov., a methanotroph isolated from a subArctic discontinuous permafrost ecosystem. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:3618–3624. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem. 0.000465
- Dedysh SN, Naumoff DG, Vorobev AV, Kyrpides N, Woyke T, Shapiro N, Crombie AT, Murrell JC, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Smirnova AV, Dunfield PF (2015b) Draft genome sequence of *Methyloferula stellata* AR4, an obligate Methanotroph possessing only a soluble methane monooxygenase. Genome Announc 3:e01555–e01514. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01555-14
- Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Krausova VI, Boulygina ES, Tourova TP (1998) *Methylopila capsulata* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel nonpigmented aerobic facultatively methylotrophic bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 48:1313–1321. https://doi.org/10.1099/ 00207713-48-4-1313
- Doronina NV, Kudinova LV, Trotsenko YA (2000a) Methylovorus mays sp. nov.: a new species of aerobic, obligately methylotrophic bacteria associated with plants. Microbiology 69:599–603. https://doi. org/10.1007/bf02756815
- Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Tourova TP (2000b) *Methylarcula marina* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Methylarcula terricola* sp. nov.: novel aerobic, moderately halophilic, facultatively methylotrophic bacteria

from coastal saline environments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50: 1849–1859. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-50-5-1849

- Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Tourova TP, Kuznetsov BB, Leisinger T (2000c) Methylopila helvetica sp. nov. and Methylobacterium dichloromethanicum sp. nov. — novel aerobic facultatively methylotrophic bacteria utilizing dichloromethane. Syst Appl Microbiol 23:210–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(00) 80007-7
- Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Kuznetsov BB, Tourova TP, Salkinoja-Salonen MS (2002) Methylobacterium suomiense sp. nov. and Methylobacterium lusitanum sp. nov., aerobic, pink-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52: 773–776. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02014-0
- Doronina N, Darmaeva T, Trotsenko Y (2003a) Methylophaga natronica sp. nov., a new alkaliphilic and moderately halophilic, restrictedfacultatively methylotrophic bacterium from soda lake of the southern Transbaikal region. Syst Appl Microbiol 26:382–389. https:// doi.org/10.1078/072320203322497419
- Doronina NV, Darmaeva TD, Trotsenko YA (2003b) *Methylophaga alcalica* sp. nov., a novel alkaliphilic and moderately halophilic, obligately methylotrophic bacterium from an east Mongolian saline soda lake. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53:223–229. https://doi.org/10. 1099/ijs.0.02267-0
- Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Kolganova TV, Tourova TP, Salkinoja-Salonen MS (2004) *Methylobacillus pratensis* sp. nov., a novel non-pigmented, aerobic, obligately methylotrophic bacterium isolated from meadow grass. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54:1453–1457. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02956-0
- Doronina N, Lee TD, Ivanova E, Trotsenko YA (2005) Methylophaga murata sp. nov.: a haloalkaliphilic aerobic methylotroph from deteriorating marble. Microbiology 74:440–447 Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16211855. Accessed 17 January 2019
- Doronina NV, Kaparullina EN, Trotsenko YA (2011) Methylovorus menthalis, a novel species of aerobic obligate methylobacteria associated with plants. Microbiology 80:713. https://doi.org/10.1134/ s0026261711050043
- Doronina NV, Poroshina MN, Kaparullina EN, Ezhov VA, Trotsenko YA (2013) *Methyloligella halotolerans* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Methyloligella solikamskensis* sp. nov., two non-pigmented halotolerant obligately methylotrophic bacteria isolated from the Ural saline environments. Syst Appl Microbiol 36:148–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2012.12.001
- Dunfield PF, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Dedysh SN (2003) *Methylocella silvestris* sp. nov., a novel methanotroph isolated from an acidic forest cambisol. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 1231–1239. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02481-0
- Dunfield PF, Belova SE, Vorob a, ev AV, Cornish SL, Dedysh SN (2010) Methylocapsa aurea sp. nov., a facultative methanotroph possessing a particulate methane monooxygenase, and emended description of the genus Methylocapsa. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60:2659–2664. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.020149-0
- Edwards U, Rogall T, Blöcker H, Emde M, Böttger EC (1989) Isolation and direct complete nucleotide determination of entire genes. Characterization of a gene coding for 16S ribosomal RNA. Nucleic Acids Res 17:7843–7853 Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2798131 Accessed 17 January 2019
- Eevers N, Van Hamme JD, Bottos EM, Weyens N, Vangronsveld J (2015) Draft genome sequence of *Methylobacterium radiotolerans*, a DDEdegrading and plant growth-promoting strain isolated from Cucurbita pepo. Genome Announc 3:e00488–e00415. https://doi. org/10.1128/genomeA.00488-15
- Egamberdieva D, Wirth S, Alqarawi AA, Abd_Allah E (2015) Salt tolerant *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* showed viable colonization abilities in the plant rhizosphere. Saudi J Biol Sci 22:585–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2015.06.029

- Ekimova GA, Fedorov DN, Tani A, Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA (2018) Distribution of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase and d-cysteine desulfhydrase genes among type species of the genus *Methylobacterium*. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 111:1723–1734. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-018-1061-5
- Fasim F, Ahmed N, Parsons R, Gadd GM (2002) Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from the air environment of a tannery. FEMS Microbiol Lett 213:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968. 2002.tb11277.x
- Gaidenko TA, Price CW (1998) General stress transcription factor çB and sporulation transcription factor çH each contribute to survival of *Bacillus subtilis* under extreme growth conditions. J Bacteriol 180: 3730–3733 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 9658024 Accessed 17 January 2019
- Gallego V, García MT, Ventosa A (2005a) *Methylobacterium hispanicum* sp. nov. and *Methylobacterium aquaticum* sp. nov., isolated from drinking water. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55:281–287. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.63319-0
- Gallego V, García MT, Ventosa A (2005b) *Methylobacterium isbiliense* sp. nov., isolated from the drinking water system of Sevilla, Spain. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55:2333–2337. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs. 0.63773-0
- Gallego V, García MT, Ventosa A (2005c) *Methylobacterium variabile* sp. nov., a methylotrophic bacterium isolated from an aquatic environment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55:1429–1433. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/ijs.0.63597-0
- Gallego V, García MT, Ventosa A (2006) *Methylobacterium adhaesivum* sp. nov., a methylotrophic bacterium isolated from drinking water. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:339–342. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. 63966-0
- Gourion B, Francez-Charlot A, Vorholt JA (2008) PhyR is involved in the general stress response of *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1. J Bacteriol 190:1027–1035 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01483-07
- Govorukhina NI, Trotsenko YA (1991) Methylovorus, a new genus of restricted Facultatively methylotrophic Bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 41:158–162. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-41-1-158
- Green P, Bousfield I (1983) Emendation of Methylobacterium Patt, Cole, and Hanson 1976; Methylobacterium rhodinum (Heumann 1962) comb. nov. corrig.; Methylobacterium radiotolerans (Ito and Iizuka 1971) comb. nov. corrig.; and Methylobacterium mesophilicum (Austin and Goodfellow 1979) comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 33:875–877. https://doi.org/10.1099/ 00207713-33-4-875
- Hayat Q, Hayat S, Irfan M, Ahmad A (2010) Effect of exogenous salicylic acid under changing environment: a review. Environ Exp Bot 68:14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.08.005
- Holland MA, Davis R, Moffitt S, O'Laughlin K, Peach D, Sussan S, Wimbrow L, Tayman B (2000) Using "leaf prints" to investigate a common bacterium. Am Biol Teach 62:128–131 Available at: https://www.jstor.org/stable/4450852 Accessed 17 January 2019
- Hu X, Chen J, Guo J (2006) Two phosphate- and potassium-solubilizing bacteria isolated from Tianmu Mountain, Zhejiang, China. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 22:983–990. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-006-9144-2
- Idris R, Kuffner M, Bodrossy L, Puschenreiter M, Monchy S, Wenzel WW, Sessitsch A (2006) Characterization of Ni-tolerant methylobacteria associated with the hyperaccumulating plant Thlaspi goesingense and description of *Methylobacterium* goesingense sp. nov. Syst Appl Microbiol 29:634–644. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.syapm.2006.01.011
- Irvine IC, Brigham CA, Suding KN, Martiny JB (2012) The abundance of pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophs in the root zone of plant species in invaded coastal sage scrub habitat. PLoS One 7: e31026. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031026

- Ivanova E, Doronina N, Trotsenko YA (2001) Aerobic methylobacteria are capable of synthesizing auxins. Microbiology 70:392–397. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010469708107
- Jaatinen K, Tuittila E-S, Laine J, Yrjälä K, Fritze H (2005) Methaneoxidizing bacteria in a Finnish raised mire complex: effects of site fertility and drainage. Microb Ecol 50:429–439. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00248-005-9219-x
- Jacobson CB, Pasternak J, Glick BR (1994) Partial purification and characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase from the plant growth promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* GR12-2. Can J Microbiol 40:1019–1025. https://doi.org/10.1139/ m94-162
- Jayashree S, Lalitha R, Vadivukkarasi P, Kato Y, Seshadri S (2011a) Cellulase production by pink pigmented facultative methylotrophic strains (PPFMs). Appl Biochem Biotechnol 164:666–680. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12010-011-9166-6
- Jayashree S, Vadivukkarasi P, Anand K, Kato Y, Seshadri S (2011b) Evaluation of pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophic bacteria for phosphate solubilization. Arch Microbiol 193:543–552. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00203-011-0691-z
- Joe MM, Saravanan V, Islam MR, Sa T (2014) Development of alginatebased aggregate inoculants of *Methylobacterium* sp. and *Azospirillum brasilense* tested under in vitro conditions to promote plant growth. J Appl Microbiol 116:408–423. https://doi.org/10. 1111/jam.12384
- Jourand P, Giraud E, Béna G, Sy A, Willems A, Gillis M, Dreyfus B, de Lajudie P (2004) *Methylobacterium nodulans* sp. nov., for a group of aerobic, facultatively methylotrophic, legume root-noduleforming and nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54: 2269–2273. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02902-0
- Kalyuzhnaya MG, Bowerman S, Lara JC, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L (2006) Methylotenera mobilis gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligately methylamine-utilizing bacterium within the family Methylophilaceae. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:2819–2823. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64191-0
- Kalyuzhnaya MG, Beck DAC, Vorobev A, Smalley N, Kunkel DD, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L (2012) Novel methylotrophic isolates from lake sediment, description of *Methylotenera versatilis* sp. nov. and emended description of the genus Methylotenera. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:106–111. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.029165-0
- Kang Y-S, Kim J, Shin H-D, Nam Y-D, Bae J-W, Jeon CO, Park W (2007) *Methylobacterium platani* sp. nov., isolated from a leaf of the tree *Platanus orientalis*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:2849– 2853. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65262-0
- Kaparullina EN, Doronina NV, Mustakhimov II, Agafonova NV, Trotsenko YA (2017a) Biodiversity of aerobic methylobacteria associated with the phyllosphere of the southern Moscow region. Microbiology 86:113–118. https://doi.org/10.1134/ s0026261717010076
- Kaparullina EN, Trotsenko YA, Doronina NV (2017b) Methylobacillus methanolivorans sp. nov., a novel non-pigmented obligately methylotrophic bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67:425–431. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001646
- Kato Y, Asahara M, Goto K, Kasai H, Yokota A (2008) Methylobacterium persicinum sp. nov., Methylobacterium komagatae sp. nov., Methylobacterium brachiatum sp. nov., Methylobacterium tardum sp. nov. and Methylobacterium gregans sp. nov., isolated from freshwater. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 1134–1141. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65583-0
- Kerry RG, Patra S, Gouda S, Patra JK, Das G (2018) Microbes and their role in drought tolerance of agricultural food crops. In: Microbial biotechnology. Springer, pp 253–273. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7140-9 12
- Kouno K, Ozaki A (1975) Distribution and identification of methanolutilizing bacteria. Microbial growth on C. J Gen Appl Microbiol 19: 11–21

- Kumar M, Singh D, Prabha R, Sharma AK (2015a) Role of cyanobacteria in nutrient cycle and use efficiency in the soil. In: Nutrient use efficiency: from basics to advances. Springer, pp 163–171. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2169-2_10
- Kumar M, Srivastava AK, Pandey AK (2015b) Biocontrol activity of some potent Methylotrophs isolated from Bhitarkanika mangrove sediment. Int J Curr Res Biosci Plant Biol 2:101–106
- Kumar M, Tomar RS, Lade H, Paul D (2016) Methylotrophic bacteria in sustainable agriculture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 32:120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-016-2074-8
- Kumar M, Saxena R, Tomar RS (2017) Endophytic microorganisms: promising candidate as biofertilizer. In: Microorganisms for green revolution. Springer, pp 77–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6241-4 4
- Kwak M-J, Jeong H, Madhaiyan M, Lee Y, Sa T-M, Oh TK, Kim JF (2014) Genome information of *Methylobacterium oryzae*, a plantprobiotic methylotroph in the phyllosphere. PLoS One 9:e106704. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0106704
- Lacava PT, Silva-Stenico ME, Araújo WL, Simionato AVC, Carrilho E, Tsai SM, Azevedo JL (2008) Detection of siderophores in endophytic bacteria *Methylobacterium* spp. associated with *Xylella fastidiosa* subsp. pauca. Pesq Agrop Brasileira 43:521–528
- Lapidus A, Clum A, LaButti K, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Lim S, Beck DAC, Glavina del Rio T, Nolan N, Mavromatis K, Huntemann M et al (2011) Genomes of three Methylotrophs from a single niche reveal the genetic and metabolic divergence of the Methylophilaceae. J Bacteriol 193:3757–3764. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00404-11
- Lata R, Chowdhury S, Gond SK, White JF Jr (2018) Induction of abiotic stress tolerance in plants by endophytic microbes. Lett Appl Microbiol 66:268–276. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12855
- Lee Y, Jeon CO (2018) Methylobacterium frigidaeris sp. nov., isolated from an air conditioning system. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 68:299– 304. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002500
- Lee HS, Madhaiyan M, Kim CW, Choi SJ, Chung KY, Sa TM (2006) Physiological enhancement of early growth of rice seedlings (*Oryza sativa* L.) by production of phytohormone of N₂-fixing methylotrophic isolates. Biol Fert Soils 42:402–408
- Ma W, Guinel FC, Glick BR (2003) *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase promotes nodulation of pea plants. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:4396–4402. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.8.4396-4402.2003
- Ma W, Charles TC, Glick BR (2004) Expression of an exogenous 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase gene in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* increases its ability to nodulate alfalfa. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:5891–5897. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.70.10.5891-5897.2004
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S (2014) Methylobacterium pseudosasicola sp. nov. and Methylobacterium phyllostachyos sp. nov., isolated from bamboo leaf surfaces. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:2376– 2384. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.057232-0
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Senthilkumar M, Seshadri S, Chung H, Jinchul Y, Sundaram S, Tongmin S (2004) Growth promotion and induction of systemic resistance in rice cultivar co-47 (*Oryza sativa* L.) by *Methylobacterium* spp. Bot Bull Acad Sin 45:315–324
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Ryu J, Sa T (2006a) Regulation of ethylene levels in canola (*Brassica campestris*) by 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase-containing Methylobacterium fujisawaense. Planta 224:268–278. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-005-0211-y
- Madhaiyan M, Suresh Reddy BV, Anandham R, Senthilkumar M, Poonguzhali S, Sundaram SP, Sa T (2006b) Plant growth– promoting *Methylobacterium* induces defense responses in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) compared with rot pathogens. Curr Microbiol 53:270–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-005-0452-9
- Madhaiyan M, Kim B-Y, Poonguzhali S, Kwon S-W, Song M-H, Ryu J-H, Go S-J, Koo B-S, Sa T-M (2007a) *Methylobacterium oryzae* sp.

nov., an aerobic, pink-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic, 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase-producing bacterium isolated from rice. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:326–331. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.64603-0

- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Sa T (2007b) Characterization of 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase containing *Methylobacterium oryzae* and interactions with auxins and ACC regulation of ethylene in canola (*Brassica campestris*). Planta 226: 867–876. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-007-0532-0
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Kwon S-W, Sa T-M (2009) Methylobacterium phyllosphaerae sp. nov., a pink-pigmented, facultative methylotroph from the phyllosphere of rice. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59:22–27. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.001693-0
- Madhaiyan M, Chauhan PS, Yim WJ, Boruah HPD, Sa TM (2011) Diversity and beneficial interactions among *Methylobacterium* and plants. In: Bacteria in agrobiology: plant growth responses. Springer, pp 259–284. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20332-9 12
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Senthilkumar M, Lee J-S, Lee K-C (2012) Methylobacterium gossipiicola sp. nov., a pink-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium isolated from the cotton phyllosphere. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:162–167. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.030148-0
- Madhaiyan M, Chan KL, Ji L (2014) Draft genome sequence of *Methylobacterium* sp. strain L2-4, a leaf-associated endophytic N-fixing bacterium isolated from Jatropha curcas L. Genome Announc 2:e01306–e01314. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01306-14
- Madhaiyan M, Alex THH, Ngoh ST, Prithiviraj B, Ji L (2015) Leafresiding *Methylobacterium* species fix nitrogen and promote biomass and seed production in Jatropha curcas. Biotechnol Biofuels 8:222. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-015-0404-y
- Marinho Almeida D, Dini-Andreote F, Camargo Neves AA, Jucá Ramos RT, Andreote FD, Carneiro AR, Oliveira de Souza Lima A, Caracciolo Gomes de Sá PH, Ribeiro Barbosa MS, Araújo WL, Silva A (2013) Draft genome sequence of *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* strain SR1.6/6, isolated from Citrus sinensis. Genome Announc 1:e00356–e00313. https://doi.org/10.1128/ genomeA.00356-13
- Marx CJ, Bringel F, Chistoserdova L, Moulin L, Haque MFU, Fleischman DE, Gruffaz C, Jourand P, Knief C, Lee M-C (2012) Complete genome sequences of six strains of the genus *Methylobacterium*. J Bacteriol 194:4746. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.01009-12
- McDonald I, Kenna E, Murrell J (1995) Detection of methanotrophic bacteria in environmental samples with the PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol 61:116–121 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pmc/articles/PMC167268/ Accessed 17 January 2019
- McDonald IR, Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, McAnulla C, Murrell JC (2001) Hyphomicrobium chloromethanicum sp. nov. and *Methylobacterium chloromethanicum* sp. nov., chloromethaneutilizing bacteria isolated from a polluted environment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 51:119–122 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PMC167268/ Accessed 17 January 2019
- McTaggart TL, Benuska G, Shapiro N, Woyke T, Chistoserdova L (2015) Draft genome sequences of five new strains of Methylophilaceae isolated from Lake Washington sediment. Genome Announc 3: e01511–e01514. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01511-14
- Meena KK, Kumar M, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Yandigeri MS, Singh DP, Saxena AK, Arora DK (2012) Epiphytic pink-pigmented methylotrophic bacteria enhance germination and seedling growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by producing phytohormone. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 101:777–786. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-011-9692-9
- Meena KK, Sorty AM, Bitla UM, Choudhary K, Gupta P, Pareek A, Singh DP, Prabha R, Sahu PK, Gupta VK (2017) Abiotic stress responses and microbe-mediated mitigation in plants: the omics

strategies. Front Plant Sci 8:172. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017. 00172

- Minami T, Ohtsubo Y, Anda M, Nagata Y, Tsuda M, Mitsui H, Sugawara M, Minamisawa K (2016) Complete genome sequence of *Methylobacterium* sp. strain AMS5, an isolate from a soybean stem. Genome Announc 4:e00144–e00116. https://doi.org/10.1128/ genomeA.00144-16
- Ngumbi E, Kloepper J (2016) Bacterial-mediated drought tolerance: current and future prospects. Appl Soil Ecol 105:109–125. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.04.009
- Nguyen D, Rieu I, Mariani C, van Dam NM (2016) How plants handle multiple stresses: hormonal interactions underlying responses to abiotic stress and insect herbivory. Plant Mol Biol 91:727–740. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11103-016-0481-8
- Omer Z, Tombolini R, Broberg A, Gerhardson B (2004) Indole-3-acetic acid production by pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophic bacteria. Plant Growth Reg 43:93–96. https://doi.org/10.1023/B: GROW.0000038360.09079.ad
- Patt T, Cole G, Hanson R (1976) *Methylobacterium*, a new genus of facultatively methylotrophic bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 26: 226–229. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-26-2-226
- Pattnaik S, Rajkumari J, Paramanandham P, Busi S (2017) Indole acetic acid production and growth-promoting activity of *Methylobacterium extorquens* MP1 and *Methylobacterium zatmanii* MS4 in tomato. Int J Veg Sci 23:321–330. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 19315260.2017.1283381
- Pikovskaya R (1948) Mobilization of phosphorus in soil in connection with vital activity of some microbial species. Mikrobiologiya 17: 362–370
- Poroshina M, Doronina N, Kaparullina E, Kovalevskaya N, Trotsenko YA (2013) Halophilic and halotolerant aerobic methylobacteria from the technogenic Solikamsk biotopes. Microbiology 82:490– 498. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261713040097
- Prombunchachai T, Nakaew N, Chidburee A, Sarin S (2017) Effect of Methylobacterium radiotolerans ED5-9 with capability of producing Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and 1-Aminocyclopropane-1carboxylic acid deaminase on the growth and development of Murdannia loriformis (Hassk.) Rolla Rao & Kammathy under In Vitro condition. Naresuan Uni. J Sci Technol 25:21–31
- Putkinen A, Larmola T, Tuomivirta T, Siljanen HM, Bodrossy L, Tuittila E-S, Fritze H (2012) Water dispersal of methanotrophic bacteria maintains functional methane oxidation in *Sphagnum* mosses. Front Microbiol 3:15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00015
- Raja P, Uma S, Sundaram S (2006) Non-nodulating pink-pigmented facultative *Methylobacterium* sp. with a functional nifH gene. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 22:1381–1384. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11274-006-9199-0
- Raja P, Balachandar D, Sundaram SP (2008) Genetic diversity and phylogeny of pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophic bacteria isolated from the phyllosphere of tropical crop plants. Biol Fertil Soils 45: 45–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0306-2
- Rana KL, Kour D, Sheikh I, Yadav N, Yadav AN, Kumar V, Singh BP, Dhaliwal HS, Saxena AK (2018) Biodiversity of endophytic fungi from diverse niches and their biotechnological applications. In: Singh BP (ed) Advances in endophytic fungal research. Springer, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03589-1
- Rekadwad BN (2014) Growth promotion of crop plants by Methylobacterium organophilum: efficient bio-inoculant and biofertilizer isolated from mud. Res Biotechnol 5:1–6
- Röling W, Ortega-Lucach S, Larter S, Head I (2006) Acidophilic microbial communities associated with a natural, biodegraded hydrocarbon seepage. J Appl Microbiol 101:290–299. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02926.x
- Romanovskaia V, Shilin S, Chernaia N, Tashirev A, Malashenko I, Rokitko P (2005) Search for psychrophilic methylotrophic bacteria in biotopes of the Antarctica. Mikrobiol Z 67:3–8 Available at:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16018200 Accessed 17 January 2019

- Sahin N, Kato Y, Yilmaz F (2008) Taxonomy of oxalotrophic *Methylobacterium* strains. Naturwissenschaften 95:931–938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-008-0405-9
- Saikia J, Sarma RK, Dhandia R, Yadav A, Bharali R, Gupta VK, Saikia R (2018) Alleviation of drought stress in pulse crops with ACC deaminase producing rhizobacteria isolated from acidic soil of Northeast India. Sci Rep 8:3560. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21921-w
- Sapp A, Huguet-Tapia JC, Sánchez-Lamas M, Antelo GT, Primo ED, Rinaldi J, Klinke S, Goldbaum FA, Bonomi HR, Christner BC (2018) Draft genome sequence of *Methylobacterium* sp. strain V23, isolated from accretion ice of the Antarctic subglacial Lake Vostok. Genome Announc 6:e00145–e00118. https://doi.org/10. 1128/genomeA.00145-18
- Schauer S, Kämpfer P, Wellner S, Spröer C, Kutschera U (2011) Methylobacterium marchantiae sp. nov., a pink-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium isolated from the thallus of a liverwort. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61:870–876. https://doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.021915-0
- Schnell S, King G (1996) Responses of methanotrophic activity in soils and cultures to water stress. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:3203–3209 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC1388933/ Accessed 17 January 2019
- Schouten S, Bowman JP, Rijpstra WIC, Sinninghe Damsté JS (2000) Sterols in a psychrophilic methanotroph, *Methylosphaera hansonii*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 186:193–195. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb09103.x
- Schwyn B, Neilands J (1987) Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Anal Biochem 160:47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(87)90612-9
- Shmareva M, Doronina N, Tarlachkov S, Vasilenko O, Trotsenko YA (2018) Methylophaga muralis Bur 1, a haloalkaliphilic methylotroph isolated from the Khilganta soda lake (southern Transbaikalia, Buryat Republic). Microbiology 87:33–46. https:// doi.org/10.1134/S0026261718010162
- Sijam K, Dikin A (2005) Biochemical and physiological characterization of Burkholderia cepacia as biological control agent. Int J Agr Biol 7: 385–388
- Sivakumar R, Nandhitha G, Chandrasekaran P, Boominathan P, Senthilkumar M (2017) Impact of pink pigmented facultative Methylotroph and PGRs on water status, photosynthesis, proline and NR activity in tomato under drought. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 6:1640–1651. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.606.192
- Sorokin DY, Trotsenko YA, Doronina NV, Tourova TP, Galinski EA, Kolganova TV, Muyzer G (2007) *Methylohalomonas lacus* gen. Nov., sp. nov. and *Methylonatrum kenyense* gen. nov., sp. nov., methylotrophic gammaproteobacteria from hypersaline lakes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:2762–2769. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. 64955-0
- Stella M, Halimi M (2015) Gluconic acid production by bacteria to liberate phosphorus from insoluble phosphate complexes. J Trop Agric Fd Sc 43:41–53
- Subhaswaraj P, Jobina R, Parasuraman P, Siddhardha B (2017) Plant growth promoting activity of pink pigmented facultative methylotroph–*Methylobacterium extorquens* MM2 on *Lycopersicon esculentum* L. J Appl Biol Biotechnol 5:42–46. https://doi.org/10.7324/JABB.2017.50107
- Suman A, Yadav AN, Verma P (2016) Endophytic microbes in crops: diversity and beneficial impact for sustainable agriculture. In: Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity, vol.1, Research Perspectives. (eds Singh DP, Abhilash PC, Prabha R), pp.117–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13213-014-1027-4
- Sy A, Giraud E, Jourand P, Garcia N, Willems A, De Lajudie P, Prin Y, Neyra M, Gillis M, Boivin-Masson C (2001) Methylotrophic

Methylobacterium bacteria nodulate and fix nitrogen in symbiosis with legumes. J Bacteriol 183:214–220. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB. 183.1.214-220.2001

- Tani A, Sahin N (2013) Methylobacterium haplocladii sp. nov. and Methylobacterium brachythecii sp. nov., isolated from bryophytes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63:3287–3292. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs. 0.048215-0
- Tani A, Sahin N, Kimbara K (2012a) Methylobacterium gnaphalii sp. nov., isolated from leaves of Gnaphalium spicatum. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:2602–2607. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. 037713-0
- Tani A, Sahin N, Kimbara K (2012b) Methylobacterium oxalidis sp. nov., isolated from leaves of Oxalis corniculata. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:1647–1652. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.033019-0
- Tani A, Ogura Y, Hayashi T, Kimbara K (2015) Complete genome sequence of *Methylobacterium aquaticum* strain 22A, isolated from *Racomitrium japonicum* Moss. Genome Announc 3:e00266– e00215. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00266-15
- Trotsenko YA, Ivanova E, Doronina N (2001) Aerobic methylotrophic bacteria as phytosymbionts. Microbiology 70:623–632. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1013167612105
- Trotsenko YA, Doronina N, Li TD, Reshetnikov A (2007) Moderately haloalkaliphilic aerobic methylobacteria. Microbiology 76:253– 265. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261707030010
- Trotsenko YA, Medvedkova K, Khmelenina V, Eshinimayev BT (2009) Thermophilic and thermotolerant aerobic methanotrophs. Microbiology 78:387-401. https://doi.org/10.1134/ S0026261709040018
- Turner TR, James EK, Poole PS (2013) The plant microbiome. Genome Biol 14:209. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-6-209
- Urakami T, Araki H, Suzuki K-I, Komagata K (1993) Further studies of the genus *Methylobacterium* and description of *Methylobacterium aminovorans* sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 43:504–513
- Van Aken B, Peres CM, Doty SL, Yoon JM, Schnoor JL (2004) Methylobacterium populi sp. nov., a novel aerobic, pinkpigmented, facultatively methylotrophic, methane-utilizing bacterium isolated from poplar trees (Populus deltoides × nigra DN34). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54:1191–1196. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02796-0
- Van Loon L, Bakker P, Pieterse C (1998) Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol 36:453–483. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.36.1.453
- Van Winden JF, Kip N, Reichart G-J, Jetten MS, den Camp HJO, Damsté JSS (2010) Lipids of symbiotic methane-oxidizing bacteria in peat moss studied using stable carbon isotopic labelling. Organic Geochem 41:1040–1044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem. 2010.04.015
- Verma P, Yadav AN, Kazy SK, Saxena AK, Suman A (2013) Elucidating the diversity and plant growth promoting attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) associated acidotolerant bacteria from southern hills zone of India. Natl J Life Sci 10:219–227
- Verma P, Yadav AN, Kazy SK, Saxena AK, Suman A (2014) Evaluating the diversity and phylogeny of plant growth promoting bacteria associated with wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) growing in central zone of India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 3:432–447
- Verma P, Yadav AN, Khannam KS, Panjiar N, Kumar S, Saxena AK, Suman A (2015) Assessment of genetic diversity and plant growth promoting attributes of psychrotolerant bacteria allied with wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) from the northern hills zone of India. Ann Microbiol 65:1885–1899. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-014-1027-4
- Verma P, Yadav AN, Khannam KS, Kumar S, Saxena AK, Suman A (2016a) Molecular diversity and multifarious plant growth promoting attributes of bacilli associated with wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) rhizosphere from six diverse agro-ecological zones of India. J Basic Microbiol 56:44–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201500459

- Verma P, Yadav AN, Khannam KS, Mishra S, Kumar S, Saxena AK, Suman A (2016b) Appraisal of diversity and functional attributes of thermotolerant wheat associated bacteria from the peninsular zone of India. Saudi J Biol Sci. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2016. 01.042
- Verma P, Yadav AN, Khannam KS, Saxena AK, Suman A (2017a) Potassium-solubilizing microbes: diversity, distribution, and role in plant growth promotion. In: Panpatte DG, Jhala YK, Vyas RV, Shelat HN (eds) Microorganisms for Green revolution-volume 1: microbes for sustainable crop production. Springer, Singapore, pp 125–149. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6241-4_7
- Verma P, Yadav AN, Kumar V, Singh DP, Saxena AK (2017b) Beneficial plant-microbes interactions: biodiversity of microbes from diverse extreme environments and its impact for crops improvement. In: Singh DP, Singh HB, Prabha R (eds) Plant-microbe interactions in agro-ecological perspectives. Springer Nature, Singapore, pp 543– 580. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6593-4 22
- Veyisoglu A, Camas M, Tatar D, Guven K, Sazak A, Sahin N (2013) Methylobacterium tarhaniae sp. nov., isolated from arid soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63:2823–2828. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. 049551-0
- Von Fischer JC, Butters G, Duchateau PC, Thelwell RJ, Siller R (2009) In situ measures of methanotroph activity in upland soils: a reactiondiffusion model and field observation of water stress. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 114:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000731
- Vorobev AV, Baani M, Doronina NV, Brady AL, Liesack W, Dunfield PF, Dedysh SN (2011) *Methyloferula stellata* gen. nov., sp. nov., an acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium that possesses only a soluble methane monooxygenase. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61: 2456–2463. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.028118-0
- Vorob'ev AV, de Boer W, Folman LB, Bodelier PLE, Doronina NV, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Dedysh SN (2009) *Methylovirgula ligni* gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligately acidophilic, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium with a highly divergent *mxaF* gene. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59:2538–2545. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. 010074-0
- Wang X, Sahr F, Xue T, Sun B (2007) Methylobacterium salsuginis sp. nov., isolated from seawater. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:1699– 1703. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64877-0
- Wellner S, Lodders N, Kämpfer P (2012) Methylobacterium cerastii sp. nov., isolated from the leaf surface of Cerastium holosteoides. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:917–924. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. 030767-0
- Wellner S, Lodders N, Glaeser SP, Kämpfer P (2013) Methylobacterium trifolii sp. nov. and Methylobacterium thuringiense sp. nov., methanol-utilizing, pink-pigmented bacteria isolated from leaf surfaces. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63:2690–2699. https://doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.047787-0
- Weon H-Y, Kim B-Y, Joa J-H, Son J-A, Song M-H, Kwon S-W, Go S-J, Yoon S-H (2008) *Methylobacterium iners* sp. nov. and *Methylobacterium aerolatum* sp. nov., isolated from air samples in Korea. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:93–96. https://doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.65047-0
- Wood AP, Kelly DP, McDonald IR, Jordan SL, Morgan TD, Khan S, Murrell JC, Borodina E (1998) A novel pink-pigmented facultative methylotroph, *Methylobacterium thiocyanatum* sp. nov., capable of growth on thiocyanate or cyanate as sole nitrogen sources. Arch Microbiol 169:148–158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002030050554
- Yadav AN (2009) Studies of Methylotrophic Community from the Phyllosphere and Rhizosphere of Tropical Crop Plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Bundelkhand University, pp. 66, https://doi.org/10.13140/ 2.1.5099.0888
- Yadav AN (2015) Bacterial diversity of cold deserts and mining of genes for low temperature tolerance. Ph.D. Thesis, IARI, New Delhi/BIT, Ranchi pp. 234, https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2948.1283/2

- Yadav AN (2017) Agriculturally important microbiomes: biodiversity and multifarious PGP attributes for amelioration of diverse abiotic stresses in crops for sustainable agriculture. Biomed J Sci Tech Res 1:1–4. https://doi.org/10.26717/BJSTR.2017.01.000321
- Yadav AN, Saxena AK (2018) Biodiversity and biotechnological applications of halophilic microbes for sustainable agriculture. J Appl Biol Biotechnol 6:1–8. https://doi.org/10.7324/JABB.2018.60109
- Yadav AN, Yadav N (2018a) Stress-adaptive microbes for plant growth promotion and alleviation of drought stress in plants. Acta Sci Agric 2:85–88
- Yadav N, Yadav AN (2018b) Biodiversity and biotechnological applications of novel plant growth promoting methylotrophs. J Appl Biotechnol Bioeng 5:342–344. https://doi.org/10.15406/jabb.2018. 05.00162
- Yadav AN, Sachan SG, Verma P, Saxena AK (2015a) Prospecting cold deserts of north western Himalayas for microbial diversity and plant growth promoting attributes. J Biosci Bioeng 119:683–693. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2014.11.006
- Yadav AN, Sharma D, Gulati S, Singh S, Kaushik R, Dey R, Pal KK, Saxena AK (2015b) Haloarchaea endowed with phosphorus solubilization attribute implicated in phosphorus cycle. Sci Rep 5:12293. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12293
- Yadav AN, Kumar R, Kumar S, Kumar V, Sugitha T, Singh B, Chauhan VS, Dhaliwal HS, Saxena AK (2017a) Beneficial microbiomes: biodiversity and potential biotechnological applications for sustainable agriculture and human health. J Appl Biol Biotechnol 5:1–13. https://doi.org/10.7324/JABB.2017.50607
- Yadav AN, Verma P, Kour D, Rana KL, Kumar V, Singh B, Chauahan VS, Sugitha T, Saxena AK, Dhaliwal HS (2017b) Plant microbiomes and its beneficial multifunctional plant growth promoting attributes. Int J Environ Sci Nat Resour 3:1–8. https://doi. org/10.19080/IJESNR.2017.03.555601

- Yadav AN, Verma P, Kumar R, Kumar V, Kumar K (2017c) Current applications and future prospects of eco-friendly microbes. EU Voice 3:21–22
- Yadav AN, Verma P, Kumar V, Sachan SG, Saxena AK (2017d) Extreme cold environments: a suitable niche for selection of novel psychrotrophic microbes for biotechnological applications. Adv Biotechnol Microbiol 2:1–4. https://doi.org/10.19080/AIBM.2017. 02.555584
- Yadav AN, Kumar V, Prasad R, Saxena AK, Dhaliwal HS (2018a) Microbiome in crops: diversity, distribution and potential role in crops improvements. In: Prasad R, Gill SS, Tuteja N (eds) Crop improvement through microbial biotechnology. Elsevier, USA, pp 305–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-0-444-63987-5.00015-3
- Yadav AN, Verma P, Kumar S, Kumar V, Kumar M, Singh BP, Saxena AK, Dhaliwal HS (2018b) Actinobacteria from rhizosphere: molecular diversity, distributions and potential biotechnological applications. In: Singh B, Gupta V, Passari A (eds) New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering. USA, pp 13–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63994-3. 00002-3
- Yordy JR, Weaver TL (1977) Methylobacillus: a new genus of obligately methylotrophic bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 27:247–255. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-27-3-247
- Yrjälä K, Tuomivirta T, Juottonen H, Putkinen A, Lappi K, Tuittila ES, Penttilä T, Minkkinen K, Laine J, Peltoniemi K (2011) CH4 production and oxidation processes in a boreal fen ecosystem after long-term water table drawdown. Glob Chang Biol 17:1311–1320. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02290.x