

 Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/099374

Biofilms Confer Resistance to Simulated Extra-terrestrial Geochemical Extremes

— [Source link](#) 

Adam R. H. Stevens, Delma S. Childers, Mark Fox-Powell, Charles S. Cockell

Institutions: University of Aberdeen, University of St Andrews

Published on: 10 Jan 2017 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Labs Journals)

Related papers:

- [A New Record for Microbial Perchlorate Tolerance: Fungal Growth in NaClO₄ Brines and its Implications for Putative Life on Mars](#)
- [Viable metabolisms in a simulated martian chemical environment](#)
- [In situ environment rather than substrate type dictates microbial community structure of biofilms in a cold seep system.](#)
- [NaCl-saturated brines are thermodynamically moderate, rather than extreme, microbial habitats.](#)
- [Could microorganisms be preserved in Mars gypsum? Insights from terrestrial examples](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/biofilms-confer-resistance-to-simulated-extra-terrestrial-2ysghbvkgq>

1 Biofilms Confer Resistance to 2 Simulated Extra-terrestrial 3 Geochemical Extremes

4 Adam H. Stevens*¹, Delma Childers^{1,2}, Mark Fox-Powell^{1,3}, Charles S. Cockell¹

5 1. UK Centre for Astrobiology, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, UK

6 2. MRC Centre for Medical Mycology at the University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen Fungal Group,
7 Institute of Medical Sciences, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, UK

8 3. Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St. Andrews, UK

9 *Corresponding author: adam.stevens@ed.ac.uk ORCID 0000-0001-8335-4143

10 **1 ABSTRACT**

11 Biofilms improve microbes' resistance to a variety of extreme physical and chemical conditions on
12 Earth. The discovery of putative aqueous environments on other planetary bodies such as Mars
13 motivates an interest in understanding the viability of life, and the potential role of biofilms, in
14 previously unexplored geochemical extremes. We investigated the loss of viability of planktonic cells
15 and biofilms of *Sphingomonas desiccabilis* (a Gram-negative, desiccation resistant, soil crust-forming
16 organism) to simulated Martian brines. These brines were produced from geochemical modelling of
17 past aqueous environments on Mars, and their high sulfate concentrations make them different to
18 most terrestrial brines, although similar briny environments have been found in locations such as the
19 Basque Lakes in Canada or in deep subsurface groundwater systems. Biofilms grown on basaltic
20 scoria were subjected to the simulated martian brines and the viability of cells was measured over
21 time and compared to equivalent planktonic cultures. Crystal violet assay was used to measure how
22 the biomass of the biofilms changed over time in response to the brines. While certain brines were
23 highly hostile to microbial viability, we found that biofilms that were desiccated prior to being
24 treated with brines maintained viability over a longer treatment period when compared to
25 planktonic cells. Our results show that biofilms confer short-term protection to the harsh osmotic,
26 ionic, and acidic conditions of Mars-relevant brines. However, in the most extreme simulated brines,
27 even biofilms eventually lost viability. By demonstrating that biofilms confer protection to conditions
28 that are potentially analogous to current day recurrent slope lineae (thought to be produced by the
29 flow of briny fluids) on Mars, our results show that contaminant biofilm-forming microorganisms
30 may have a greater chance of surviving in so-called 'Special Regions' on Mars, with implications for
31 planetary protection in missions that aim to explore these regions.

32 2 INTRODUCTION

33 Biofilms are produced by a variety of organisms and generally consist of cells attached to a surface
34 (and each other) in an exuded matrix of extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) [1]. Biofilms can be
35 formed by single species or complex microbial communities and are generally produced in response
36 to the presence of a surface or external cues and stressors. Microbial biofilms increase the resistance
37 of the inhabitants to a number of extreme conditions including immunological aggression [2],
38 antibiotics [3], bactericidal chemicals [4], rapid changes in temperature and pH [5], UV radiation [6]
39 and salinity [7,8]. Biofilm-producing microorganisms are therefore present and thrive in a range of
40 environments on Earth and may have been involved at an early stage as one of the steps in the
41 development of the first cells [9].

42 Although biofilms confer resistance to extremes found on Earth, their ability to provide protection
43 against entirely novel chemical conditions found on other planetary bodies remains unknown. The
44 search for extra-terrestrial habitable environments centres on the availability of liquid water, and
45 aqueous environments are now known to exist on a number of planetary bodies including Mars,
46 Europa, Enceladus and Titan [10-14]. Many of these aqueous environments contain combinations of
47 anions that are rare on Earth. For example, the high concentrations of sulfate and other divalent ions
48 in Martian brines, a consequence of the specific geological history of that planet, have consequences
49 for habitability, making ionic strength an important determinant of whether the brines will support
50 life [15]. Recent observations suggest that recurrent slope lineae (RSL) features on Mars are created
51 by the action of flowing water which is saturated with dissolved perchlorate salts [16]. Such brine
52 compositions have not been found on Earth. In this study, we investigated the resistance of biofilms
53 to aqueous environments analogous to environments on Mars such as RSL currently potentially
54 active [16] or in quasi-tidal areas that existed during the phase of the planet's history when it lost its
55 surface water to atmospheric escape or to retreat into subsurface ice [17,18], but also have

56 implications for the icy moons in the outer solar system, which are thought to have high levels of
57 dissolved salts in their liquid water interiors [19].

58 We hypothesise that production of biofilms might protect microorganisms and allow them to
59 maintain viability following exposure to compositionally diverse brines with high levels of dissolved
60 salts. Our results have implications for the adaptations that would be required by any putative life in
61 extra-terrestrial environments and for planetary protection, *i.e.* whether terrestrial organisms
62 accidentally introduced into extra-terrestrial brines could persist.


63 **3 RESULTS**

64 **3.1 VIABILITY AFTER BRINING**

65 Figures 1-4 show the viability of *S. desiccabilis* biofilms over time when immersed in Mars-analogue
66 brines. Each time point was an independent culture (triplicate pieces of scoria with biofilm on them)
67 exposed to brine for a given length of time. Experimental replicates are collected on the same axes.
68 Error bars are the standard error of the biological triplicates from each experiment. Best-fit lines
69 were calculated using a linear regression of all experimental replicates.


70 In Type I brines (Fig. 1) there was only minor decreases in viability over more than 300 minutes when
71 dried or when hydrated. In some cases there appears to be growth during brine immersion (Ib). In
72 brine IIa (Fig. 2a), viability was maintained for over 300 minutes whether the biofilms had been dried
73 or not. In brine IIb (Fig 2b) the dried biofilms showed a decrease in viability, decreasing to below
74 detection levels after around 240 minutes. Hydrated biofilms showed a more rapid decrease in
75 viability, as viable cells were unrecoverable after less than 30 minutes of brine treatment. In type III
76 brines (Fig. 3), viability of cells in the hydrated biofilms reduced to below detection limits after
77 around 30 minutes, which was the shortest timepoint measured. There was more variation in the
78 maintenance of viability of dessicated biofilms treated with the type III brines. For brine IIIa there

79 was a reduction in cell viability over the time course, but not to below detection limits, and not in a
80 linear fashion. In brine IIIb there was a rapid loss of viability to below detection levels within
81 approximately the first 60 minutes, though individual cultures in some experimental replicates
82 showed some measured viability at longer timescales. Brine IIIb has the lowest water activity, the
83 lowest pH and highest ionic strength of all the brines tested. There was a rapid and complete loss of
84 viability in around 100 minutes with both desiccated and hydrated biofilms in type IV brine (Figure
85 4).




86

87 *Figure 1 – Viability of S. desiccabilis cells in desiccated and hydrated biofilms after periods of immersion in Type I brines,*
88 *measured by counting colony forming units (CFU). Each data point is a biological triplicate result, with standard error bars,*
89 *and trend lines are plotted as linear regressions of data from several systematic replicates.*




90

91 *Figure 2 - Viability of S. desiccabilis cells in desiccated and hydrated biofilms after periods of immersion in Type II brines,*
92 *measured by counting colony forming units (CFU). Where no CFUs were countable, results are plotted at 10⁰. Each data*
93 *point is a biological triplicate result, with standard error bars, and trend lines are plotted as linear regressions of data from*
94 *several systematic replicates.*



95

96 *Figure 3 - Viability of S. desiccabilis cells in desiccated and hydrated biofilms after periods of immersion in Type III brines,*
97 *measured by counting colony forming units (CFU). Where no CFUs were countable, results are plotted at 10⁰. Each data*
98 *point is a biological triplicate result, with standard error bars, and trend lines are plotted as linear regressions of data from*
99 *several systematic replicates.*



100

101 Figure 4 - Viability of *S. desiccabilis* cells in desiccated and hydrated biofilms after periods of immersion in Type IV brine,
102 measured by counting colony forming units (CFU). Where no CFUs were countable, results are plotted at 10^0 . Each data
103 point is a biological triplicate result, with standard error bars, and trend lines are plotted as linear regressions of data from
104 several systematic replicates.


105 Planktonic cultures of *S. desiccabilis* showed broadly similar responses to the 'weaker' brines but far
106 stronger responses to the 'harsher' brines compared to biofilm cultures. There was no decrease in
107 viability over several hours of immersion in brines Ia, Ib and IIa. In brines IIb, IIIa, and IV there was a
108 total loss in viability within less than 10 minutes (the shortest timepoint measured). In brine IIIb it
109 was not possible to recover any planktonic cells into a pellet, suggesting they had lysed within 10
110 minutes.

111 To provide a more quantitative comparison of viability in the different brines, we calculated a linear
112 regression for the results of each experiment and measured the gradient. Table 2 shows the gradient
113 of these regression fits and the corresponding R^2 value to assess the quality of the fits. A larger
114 negative gradient implies a more rapid loss of viability, and a smaller R^2 value suggests more
115 variation in biofilm structure and growth between experimental replicates. The two instances of an
116 R^2 value of 1 are for experiments with only one measureable time point after the initial controls and
117 so the fit is only for two points. These values are graphed in Figure 5. Brines IIb, IIIa, IIIb and IV show
118 the most rapid loss of viability and there is a clear difference between desiccated and hydrated

119 biofilms, with the latter losing viability ~2-10 orders of magnitude more rapidly when subjected to
 120 these brines.

Brine	Rate of viability loss / log(cfu l ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)			
	Desiccated		Hydrated	
	Fit gradient	R ²	Fit gradient	R ²
Ia	-0.0018	0.098	-0.0036	0.362
Ib	0.0022	0.095	0.0033	0.210
IIa	0.0002	0.083	0.0045	0.301
IIb	-0.0411	0.770	-0.1679	0.643
IIIa	-0.0271	0.497	-0.2346	1.000
IIIb	-0.0407	0.358	-0.2697	0.869
IV	-0.1147	0.709	-0.2081	1.000

121 *Table 1 - Rate of viability loss of S. desiccabilis cells in desiccated and hydrated biofilms when immersed in Brines Ia to IV,*
 122 *measured from linear regressions of the curves shown in Figures 2-5.*




123
 124 *Figure 5 –Rate of viability loss of S. desiccabilis cells in desiccated and hydrated biofilms when immersed in Brines Ia to IV,*
 125 *measured from linear regressions of the curves shown in Figures 1-4.*

126 **3.2 REPEATED BRINING CYCLES**

127 We also tested the viability of biofilms on scoria after repeated cycles of brining and desiccation,
128 repeating the process used in Section 3.1. Viability was tested after two to five cycles of brine
129 immersion. CFU counts after the extraction procedure were negative for all cycles tested.

130 **3.3 BIOMASS ASSAY**

131 As Type I brines had little effect on the viability of cells in biofilms, these were not included in CV
132 assays. Figure 6 shows the relative biomass present after a 24 hour incubation in the Type II, III and
133 IV brines for both desiccated and hydrated biofilms. All of the hydrated biofilms showed a reduction
134 in biomass after 24 hours, suggesting the biofilms were broken down by the brines. In the case of
135 the desiccated biofilms, there was also a reduction in biomass after 24 hours in brines IIa and IV, but
136 in brines IIb, IIIa and IIIb, the desiccated biofilms showed an increase in presumptive biomass over 24
137 hours, by up to 250%.



138

139 *Figure 6 – Percentage biomass remaining after immersion in brines IIa to IV for 24 hours for desiccated and hydrated*

140 *biofilms. Error bars are calculated from biological and systematic triplicates.*


141 To investigate this increase in biomass, we also performed a time series using the same

142 experimental procedure, with brine IIb over another 24 hour period (Fig. 7). During incubation in the

143 brine there was an initial reduction in biomass followed by an increase over several hours, which

144 peaked at around 6 hours after exposure to brine. After this increase, the biomass began to reduce,

145 from a peak of 200% to an average of around 100% after 24 hours.



146

147 *Figure 7 – Biomass remaining over time for desiccated biofilms in Brine IIb. Two experiments were performed.*

148 **4 DISCUSSION**

149 Other planetary bodies in the solar system harbour aqueous environments that differ markedly in
150 geochemical composition from aqueous environments on the Earth. These differences are a
151 consequence of contrasting geological histories, which have produced the rocks and atmospheres
152 that ultimately determine water chemistry.

153 In this study we sought to address the hypothesis that as on Earth, specific adaptations of microbial
154 growth would influence the extent to which organisms could persist in extreme extraterrestrial
155 aqueous environments. In particular, biofilms are known to provide resistance to chemical extremes
156 [e.g. 4,7]. These data have implications for planetary protection that is concerned with whether
157 terrestrial microorganisms could grow in extraterrestrial aqueous environments into which they are

158 inadvertently introduced and, more speculatively, the adaptations that would be required for
159 extraterrestrial life, if it exists, to grow in these alien geochemical extremes.

160 We found that combined physicochemical extremities of the brines had a significant effect on
161 microbial survival. Generally, those brines with high water activity, low ionic strength and moderate
162 pH show little effect on the viability of *S. desiccabilis* cells, whether grown in a planktonic state or in
163 a biofilm.

164 Brines with low pH and high ionic strength generally had a large impact on viability, making
165 planktonic cells unrecoverable in less than 10 minutes. Our data suggest that water activity is one
166 factor that influences the ability of biofilms to survive brine exposure. For example, brine IIIa has a
167 high water activity, low pH and high ionic strength and had a lesser impact on viability than brine IIb,
168 which has a similar similar pH and ionic strength, but lower water activity. This observation is
169 supported by the rapid loss of cell viability for planktonic cells and biofilms immersed in brine IIIb,
170 which has the lowest water activity of the brines tested.

171 However, factors other than water activity also appear to play a role in loss of viability in biofilms.
172 Brine IV caused a more rapid loss in viability than Brine IIb, although it has a higher water activity,
173 more moderate pH and lower ionic strength. Brine IV is the only solution with perchlorate ions,
174 which may suggest that these ions may be deleterious to cells, perhaps because of the oxidizing
175 capability of perchlorate [20].

176 The resistance to loss of viability caused by the extreme brines is likely to be caused by a
177 combination of physical protection and metabolic adaptation. Biofilms are known to provide a
178 barrier between cells and the external hostile environments [3,21], in this case providing protection
179 against the physicochemical extremes of the brine.

180 As shown by the more rapid reduction in viability measured with hydrated biofilms compared to
181 desiccated biofilms, the physical protection offered by the biofilm is not the only factor that allows

182 the cells to remain viable for longer in the brine. Desiccation adds yet another level of protection
183 against extreme brine exposure.

184 The crystal violet assay suggested that when desiccated, biofilms showed an increase in presumptive
185 biomass after several hours in the brine, a result not seen with hydrated biofilms and repeated
186 consistently in desiccated biofilms. One biological explanation for these observations might be that
187 desiccated biofilms are able to begin production of metabolites (such as membrane-stabilising
188 disaccharides) or additional EPS during initial exposure to osmotic stress. Production of EPS is a
189 known response to osmotic stress/desiccation [22]. Since matric (drying) water stress is equivalent
190 to osmotic water stress from high concentration solutes [22], by drying the biofilms before brining,
191 this process might act to 'prepare' the organisms by signalling the production of osmotic-resistance
192 metabolites (potentially changing the composition of the biofilm), which might continue during
193 exposure to brine prior to loss of viability. These observations merit further study.

194 Whilst our experiments show that under the most extreme brines, biofilms confer resistance to loss
195 of viability, we were unable to recover viable cells after 5 hours in the harsher brines (Brines IIb, IIIa,
196 IIIb and IV). We cannot rule out that other organisms or communities might be able to adapt to
197 these extreme conditions, but our results do not show long-term survival. Furthermore, we tested
198 the hypothesis that in the desiccated state, biofilms would enhance survival in transient aqueous
199 systems, such as those proposed to occur in Martian RSLs. Under repeated cycles of desiccation and
200 exposure to brine, which simulated the conditions that might occur in RSL, we found that viability
201 was completely lost after the first cycle in all cases.

202 We have shown that biofilms formed by microorganisms offer protection against the extreme
203 conditions of Mars-analogue brine solutions. Cells in biofilms desiccated before being immersed in
204 brines remained viable for orders of magnitude longer than planktonic cells or hydrated biofilms
205 under the same conditions. Some of these brines had low water activity, low pH and high ionic
206 content that would otherwise make them uninhabitable [15], but *S. desiccabilis* biofilms retained

207 viability in them for short periods of time, despite them quickly destroying *S. desiccabilis* cells in the
208 planktonic state. Even though *S. desiccabilis* is not an extreme halophile, nor is it known to possess
209 exceptional tolerance to osmotic shock, its biofilm forming capability provides resistance to high
210 levels of dissolved salts. It was selected here for this ability to form biofilms and known desiccation
211 tolerance. Halophilic biofilm-forming organisms that are exposed to geochemical regimes similar to
212 those investigated here would likely possess even greater resistance.

213 These results have implications for the designation of RSL as ‘special regions’ for planetary
214 protection purposes [23]. Given that terrestrial spacecraft almost certainly carry a bioload, some
215 portion of which may be in biofilm form, even the transient aqueous environment suggested by RSL
216 could present an environment that hardy terrestrial microbes could exploit or leave contaminating
217 material in, especially if protected by a biofilm.

218 Finally, our results add to the expanding body of literature showing the protective properties of
219 biofilms in extreme environments. Prior studies have shown biofilms’ capabilities to protect resistant
220 cells against osmotic stress, but not with brines with properties as different or as extreme as those
221 used in our study, such as concentrated sulfate brines. Although these brines are more relevant to
222 extra-terrestrial environments, they are also relevant to some terrestrial locations such as the
223 Basque lakes of British Columbia, which are sulfate-rich water bodies [24], or deep subsurface
224 groundwater systems [25].

225 **5 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

226 **5.1 STRAINS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS**

227 This study examined viability and cell biomass in the organism *Sphingomonas desiccabilis* (*S.*
228 *desiccabilis*), a Gram negative, rod-shaped, chemoheterotrophic, strictly aerobic bacterium originally
229 isolated from a soil crust in the Colorado Plateau, USA [26]. Biofilms were cultured on pieces of

230 basaltic scoria (highly vesiculated Icelandic lava (*Bar-Be-Quick Barbeque Products, Burnley, UK*) see
231 Figure 8), chosen as an analogue for martian regolith and to provide a surface for the biofilm to form
232 on. Overnight cultures of *S. desiccabilis* grown in R2A medium at 20°C [27], were normalised by cell
233 density using OD₆₀₀ measurements and inoculated onto ~5 mm pieces of scoria at a concentration of
234 50 µl in 4 ml of R2A medium and grown for one week at 20°C. Biofilm formation during this period
235 was confirmed by visual inspection.

236



237

238 *Figure 8 - Basaltic scoria used as a Mars analogue growth substrate a) larger piece and b) broken down to ~5 mm piece for*
239 *use in well plates.*

240 After a week of growth, the supernatant was removed from the scoria. The biofilm-covered scoria
241 pieces were then either a) dried in a laminar flow hood overnight or b) had their media replaced
242 with PBS in order to halt growth but prevent desiccation. This allowed us to compare the response
243 of desiccated biofilms to hydrated biofilms.

244 $1-2 \times 10^7$ cells planktonic cells were collected from 500 µl of the same normalised overnight cultures
245 (incubated with shaking to reduce biofilm growth) for planktonic brine exposure experiments.

246 5.2 EXPOSURE TO BRINES

247 The biofilm inoculated scoria was then treated with Mars-analogue brines over a time course. The
 248 brines were synthesised based on computational reconstructions of putative martian brines by Tosca
 249 et al. [28], as used by Fox-Powell et al. [15], and include four types at two stages in their evaporation
 250 sequence (a and b). Type Ia and Ib are alkaline carbonate-chloride brines, which are analogous to the
 251 conditions in Gale Crater approximately 3.7 billion years ago [29] and the fluids that interacted with
 252 the Nakhla martian meteorite [30]. Type IIa and IIb are Mg-SO₄-Cl dominated brines, characteristic of
 253 widespread Hesperian evaporite deposits such as those at Meridiani Planum [31]. Type IIIa and IIIb
 254 are similar in composition to Type II but contain higher levels of dissolved iron, and are extremely
 255 acidic.

256 In addition to the six Mars analogue brines, a magnesium perchlorate solution was also included
 257 (Type IV), given observations of magnesium perchlorate in the martian polar regolith by the Phoenix
 258 lander [32] and more recently associated with RSL following spectral observations [16]. This brine
 259 was a solution of magnesium perchlorate with a concentration to give a water activity matching that
 260 of brine IIb in order to investigate any differences caused by the chemical properties of the
 261 perchlorate salt. The molar recipes used to produce these brines are shown in Table 1, along with
 262 measurements of their physicochemical properties.

Brine type	Ia	IIa	IIIa	Ib	IIb	IIIb	IV
NaHCO ₃	0.126						
KHCO ₃	0.028	0.041		2.237			
KCl	0.022	0.020	0.075	3.776	1.033	1.142	
MgCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	0.001	0.056			1.154	3.007	
NaCl		0.154	0.189	1.266	2.265	1.036	
MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O		2.068	3.066		2.550		
FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O			1.225			2.313	
FeCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O			0.208			0.985	
HCl					0.038	0.113	
Mg(ClO ₄) ₂							3.097
Water activity	0.97	0.92	0.90	0.80	0.63	0.56	0.67
pH	8.2	6.2	1.8	8.3	2	0.6	5.5
Ionic Strength	0.18	8.5	12	5	11.6	13	9.29

mol/liter							
-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

263 *Table 2 - Salts added to mix Mars analogue brines. Concentrations are in moles litre⁻¹. Adapted from Fox-Powell et al. [15]*
264 *and originally calculated from Tosca et al. [28].*

265 Repeated brining was tested by removing the brines from the scoria after an hour. They were then
266 dried in a sterile flow hood until there was no visible surface moisture on the scoria, and the process
267 repeated.

268 **5.3 VIABILITY AFTER BRINING**

269 After being immersed in the brine, the scoria was washed twice in 1X PBS (Phosphate Buffered
270 Saline) and then suspended in PBS. The suspension was vortexed briefly and sonicated for two
271 minutes to extract cells from the biofilms. Planktonic cells that had been brined in the same manner
272 were centrifuged at 8 g for 10 minutes, the brine removed and the cells re-suspended in 1 ml of PBS.
273 Cell suspensions were plated onto nutrient agar plates in serial dilution and the CFUs quantified
274 after two days of growth at 20°C to give a measure of viability after immersion after a given amount
275 of time in the different brines. Results were collected for each brine for both dried and hydrated
276 biofilms in biological triplicate and systematic replicate at different time points.

277 **5.4 DETERMINATION OF BIOMASS**

278 We performed a crystal violet (CV) staining to investigate how the brines affect the overall biomass
279 of the biofilms. Biofilms were grown using the same method as described above and stained using a
280 protocol adapted from Childers et al. [33]. The biofilm-coated scoria were incubated in the different
281 brines, or PBS as a control for 24 hours, and then washed three times in PBS. Each scoria piece was
282 then stained with 0.4% crystal violet solution for 15 minutes at room temperature, washed three
283 times with PBS and destained for 15 minutes in 1 mL of 33% acetic acid solution. The optical density
284 of the destain solution was used to quantify the total biomass of biofilm left on each piece of scoria

285 after brining, with the PBS incubation forming the 'zero brine' control. The OD₅₇₀ was measured by
286 spectrophotometer with 33% acetic acid as the blank.

287

288 6 REFERENCES

- 289 1. Hall-Stoodley L, Costerton JW, Stoodley P (2004) Bacterial biofilms: from the Natural environment
290 to infectious diseases. *Nat Rev Micro* 2: 95-108.
- 291 2. Davies D (2003) Understanding biofilm resistance to antibacterial agents. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2:
292 114-122.
- 293 3. Tseng BS, Zhang W, Harrison JJ, Quach TP, Song JL, et al. (2013) The extracellular matrix protects
294 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms by limiting the penetration of tobramycin. *Environmental*
295 *Microbiology* 15: 2865-2878.
- 296 4. Luppens SBI, Reij MW, van der Heijden RWL, Rombouts FM, Abee T (2002) Development of a
297 Standard Test To Assess the Resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilm Cells to
298 Disinfectants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68: 4194-4200.
- 299 5. Koerdt A, Gödeke J, Berger J, Thormann KM, Albers S-V (2010) Crenarchaeal Biofilm Formation
300 under Extreme Conditions. *PLoS ONE* 5: e14104.
- 301 6. Niemira BA, Solomon EB (2005) Sensitivity of Planktonic and Biofilm-Associated *Salmonella* spp. to
302 Ionizing Radiation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71: 2732-2736.
- 303 7. Zhang L, Gao G, Tang X, Shao K (2014) Impacts of different salinities on bacterial biofilm
304 communities in fresh water. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 60: 319-326.
- 305 8. Zhang W, Wang Y, Bougouffa S, Tian R, Cao H, et al. (2015) Synchronized dynamics of bacterial
306 niche-specific functions during biofilm development in a cold seep brine pool. *Environmental*
307 *Microbiology* 17: 4089-4104.
- 308 9. Trevors JT (2011) Hypothesized origin of microbial life in a prebiotic gel and the transition to a
309 living biofilm and microbial mats. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 334: 269-272.
- 310 10. Fortes AD (2000) Exobiological Implications of a Possible Ammonia–Water Ocean inside Titan.
311 *Icarus* 146: 444-452.
- 312 11. Kivelson MG, Khurana KK, Russell CT, Volwerk M, Walker RJ, et al. (2000) Galileo Magnetometer
313 Measurements: A Stronger Case for a Subsurface Ocean at Europa. *Science* 289: 1340-1343.
- 314 12. Mellon MT, Phillips RJ (2001) Recent gullies on Mars and the source of liquid water. *Journal of*
315 *Geophysical Research: Planets* 106: 23165-23179.
- 316 13. Squyres SW, Grotzinger JP, Arvidson RE, Bell JF, Calvin W, et al. (2004) In Situ Evidence for an
317 Ancient Aqueous Environment at Meridiani Planum, Mars. *Science* 306: 1709-1714.
- 318 14. Postberg F, Schmidt J, Hillier J, Kempf S, Srama R (2011) A salt-water reservoir as the source of a
319 compositionally stratified plume on Enceladus. *Nature* 474: 620-622.
- 320 15. Fox-Powell MG, Hallsworth JE, Cousins CR, Cockell CS (2016) Ionic Strength Is a Barrier to the
321 Habitability of Mars. *Astrobiology* 16, 427–442.
- 322 16. Ojha L, Wilhelm MB, Murchie SL, McEwen AS, Wray JJ, et al. (2015) Spectral evidence for
323 hydrated salts in recurring slope lineae on Mars. *Nature Geosci* advance online publication.
- 324 17. Schorghofer N, Forget F (2012) History and anatomy of subsurface ice on Mars. *Icarus* 220: 1112-
325 1120.
- 326 18. Rodriguez JAP, Fairén AG, Tanaka KL, Zarroca M, Linares R, et al. (2016) Tsunami waves
327 extensively resurfaced the shorelines of an early Martian ocean. *Scientific Reports* 6: 25106.
- 328 19. Hand KP, Carlson RW (2015) Europa's surface color suggests an ocean rich with sodium chloride.
329 *Geophysical Research Letters* 42: 3174-3178.

- 330 20. Oren A, Eleri Bardavid R, Mana L (2014) Perchlorate and halophilic prokaryotes: implications for
331 possible halophilic life on Mars. *Extremophiles* 18: 75-80.
- 332 21. Monroe D (2007) Looking for Chinks in the Armor of Bacterial Biofilms. *PLoS Biol* 5: e307.
- 333 22. Potts M (1994) Desiccation tolerance of prokaryotes. *Microbiological Reviews* 58: 755-805.
- 334 23. Rummel JD, Beatty DW, Jones MA, Bakermans C, Barlow NG, et al. (2014) A New Analysis of Mars
335 "Special Regions": Findings of the Second MEPAG Special Regions Science Analysis Group
336 (SR-SAG2). *Astrobiology* 14: 887-968.
- 337 24. Eugster HP, Hardie LA (1978) Saline lakes. *Lakes*: Springer. pp. 237-293.
- 338 25. Kietäväinen R, Ahonen L, Kukkonen IT, Hendriksson N, Nyyssönen M, et al. (2013)
339 Characterisation and isotopic evolution of saline waters of the Outokumpu Deep Drill Hole,
340 Finland – Implications for water origin and deep terrestrial biosphere. *Applied Geochemistry*
341 32: 37-51.
- 342 26. Reddy GSN, Garcia-Pichel F (2007) *Sphingomonas mucosissima* sp. nov. and *Sphingomonas*
343 *desiccabilis* sp. nov., from biological soil crusts in the Colorado Plateau, USA. *International*
344 *Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 57: 1028-1034.
- 345 27. Reasoner DJ, Geldreich EE (1985) A new medium for the enumeration and subculture of bacteria
346 from potable water. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 49: 1-7.
- 347 28. Tosca NJ, McLennan SM, Lamb MP, Grotzinger JP (2011) Physicochemical properties of
348 concentrated Martian surface waters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 116: n/a-n/a.
- 349 29. Lèveillé RJ, Bridges J, Wiens RC, Mangold N, Cousin A, et al. (2014) Chemistry of fracture-filling
350 raised ridges in Yellowknife Bay, Gale Crater: Window into past aqueous activity and
351 habitability on Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 119: 2398-2415.
- 352 30. Bridges JC, Schwenzer SP (2012) The nakhlite hydrothermal brine on Mars. *Earth and Planetary*
353 *Science Letters* 359–360: 117-123.
- 354 31. Knoll AH, Carr M, Clark B, Des Marais DJ, Farmer JD, et al. (2005) An astrobiological perspective
355 on Meridiani Planum. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 240: 179-189.
- 356 32. Hecht MH, Kounaves SP, Quinn RC, West SJ, Young SMM, et al. (2009) Detection of Perchlorate
357 and the Soluble Chemistry of Martian Soil at the Phoenix Lander Site. *Science* 325: 64-67.
- 358 33. Childers BM, Van Laar TA, You T, Clegg S, Leung KP (2013) MrkD1P from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*
359 Strain IA565 Allows for Coexistence with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Protection from
360 Protease-Mediated Biofilm Detachment. *Infection and Immunity* 81: 4112-4120.

361