

# Research Article Bioinformatics Study of Structural Patterns in Plant MicroRNA Precursors

# J. Miskiewicz,<sup>1</sup> K. Tomczyk,<sup>1</sup> A. Mickiewicz,<sup>2</sup> J. Sarzynska,<sup>2</sup> and M. Szachniuk<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Computing Science and European Centre for Bioinformatics and Genomics, Poznan University of Technology, Poznan, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poznan, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Szachniuk; marta.szachniuk@cs.put.poznan.pl

Received 10 August 2016; Revised 18 December 2016; Accepted 12 January 2017; Published 9 February 2017

Academic Editor: Yudong Cai

Copyright © 2017 J. Miskiewicz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

According to the RNA world theory, RNAs which stored genetic information and catalyzed chemical reactions had their contribution in the formation of current living organisms. In recent years, researchers studied this molecule diversity, i.a. focusing on small non-coding regulatory RNAs. Among them, of particular interest is evolutionarily ancient, 19–24 nt molecule of microRNA (miRNA). It has been already recognized as a regulator of gene expression in eukaryotes. In plants, miRNA plays a key role in the response to stress conditions and it participates in the process of growth and development. MicroRNAs originate from primary transcripts (pri-miRNA) encoded in the nuclear genome. They are processed from single-stranded stem-loop RNA precursors containing hairpin structures. While the mechanism of mature miRNA production in animals is better understood, its biogenesis in plants remains less clear. Herein, we present the results of bioinformatics analysis aimed at discovering how plant microRNAs are recognized within their precursors (pre-miRNAs). The study has been focused on sequential and structural motif identification in the neighbourhood of microRNA.

## 1. Introduction

For many years, computational methods have been applied to support wet-lab experiment in biologically oriented study. *In silico* methods can help in discriminating ineffective experimental approaches or indicate the most promising ones. On the other hand, *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments enable validation of hypotheses proposed in computational phase and may confirm or contradict them.

Solving biological problems with the use of computational methods appeared successful in various domains [1–11]. In our work, we consider an issue of microRNA recognition and we apply bioinformatics methods aiming to explain how this molecule is formed within living organisms of the plant kingdom.

MicroRNAs constitute a group of small, non-coding single-stranded RNAs of ~22 nt in length, involved in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression [6, 12–15]. These molecules are widespread in genomes of animals and plants [6, 16]. Their biogenesis is a complex process

which differs between organisms. The biogenesis of miRNA starts in the nucleus, with transcription process of primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) performed by RNA polymerase II [17, 18]. The transcript forms long hairpin loop structure which consists of single- and double-stranded regions. In double-stranded regions, single mismatches (i.e., noncomplementary nucleotides), internal loops, and bulges can be found in numerous locations [19, 20]. In animals, primiRNA is further processed by Drosha enzyme (ribonuclease III endonuclease). In collaboration with the RNA-binding protein, Drosha cleaves primary transcript of miRNA to the ~70 nt long precursor (pre-miRNA) [17, 21, 22]. For animals, this is the last step of the nuclear stage. Created stemloop structure is transferred to the cytoplasm where another enzyme, called Dicer, cleaves miRNA:miRNA\* duplex out of pre-miRNA [22-24]. Ribonuclease Dicer acts as a molecular ruler: it counts the distance between 3' or 5' end and the cleavage site; next, it performs the cut which releases the miRNA:miRNA\* duplex [25-27]. In plants, the maturation process of miRNA is slightly different. After creation of plant pri-miRNA, a complex consisting of Dicer Like 1 enzyme (DCL1), the double-stranded RNA-binding protein HYL1 (hyponastic leaves 1), and the zinc-finger protein SE (serrate), with an assistance of the nuclear cap-binding complex, cleaves pri-miRNA to pre-miRNA [22, 28]. Before being transported to the cytoplasm, DCL1 performs at least two cleavages to release miRNA:miRNA\* duplex from the precursor structure. There are two miRNA cleavage mechanisms in plants. In base-to-loop cleavage mechanism, the first cut is done in the lower stem region and the second cut in the upper (loop) region. In loop-to-base mechanism, DCL1 cuts in the opposite direction. After being cleaved, the duplex is transferred outside the nucleus. This doublestranded miRNA:miRNA\* duplex is the result of a primary transcript maturation process. miRNA constitutes one strand and miRNA\* is located on the complementary one. These two strands are next separated by Argonaute (AGO) protein, the main part of RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [17, 23, 28]. In most cases, miRNA\* is degraded after its separation from miRNA [17, 28]. Thus, activated molecular version of miRNA molecule is a single-stranded RNA. After creation of single-stranded mature miRNA and embedding it to the complex (given a mi-RISC complex in result), miRNA guides this complex to mRNA with the complementary sequence. mi-RISC enables degradation of the target mRNA or inhibition of the translation process [29–32].

Compared to the biogenesis of miRNA in animals, which is better understood, maturation of plant miRNA still has some unresolved issues. One of them is recognition of the miRNA:miRNA\* duplex in miRNA precursor by a microprocessor complex, containing DCL1, HYL1, and SE proteins. This microprocessor complex performs at least two cuts in order to release the miRNA:miRNA\* duplex (miRNA on one strand and complementary sequence of miRNA\* on the other strand) from pre-miRNA molecule. Yet, it has not been discovered how this duplex is recognized within the precursor structure. It is supposed that some structural motifs, appearing in the vicinity of microRNA [20, 33-35], guide the DCL1 enzyme where to perform the cutting. The importance of miRNA neighbouring regions where the DCL1 enzyme starts cleaving has been already experimentally confirmed on the secondary structure level [20, 33-38]. Thus, we assume that irregulations in primary and secondary structures may be the signal for DCL1 where to starts cleaving.

Recent research concerning miRNAs has suggested the role of proteins in microprocessor complex (HYL1 and SE) in miRNA recognition. The proper selection of cutting sites is poorly understood in both pri-miRNA and pre-miRNA, but most probably it depends on HYL1 [39–41]. The importance of mismatches occurring in double-stranded regions of miRNA:miRNA\* duplex was also revealed. Mismatches can influence the length of the mature microRNA, producing either longer [42–44] or shorter molecules [19]. It has been proven that miRNA genes can contain introns which are strictly correlated with biogenesis and proper functioning of their host miRNAs [12]. However, in spite of all this information about plant miRNAs, we still do not know how microprocessor complex enzyme recognizes the miRNA:miRNA\* duplex within its precursor.

The presented analysis, aimed at helping in answering this issue, was performed according to the following steps: (i) creating a set of plant miRNA sequences with experimentally confirmed cleavage mechanisms, (ii) downloading precursor sequences of selected miRNAs and supplementing them to the desired length, (iii) analysing sequences using WebLOGO [45] and MEME Suite [46], (iv) predicting secondary structures of miRNA precursors by RNAstructure [4] and mfold [9], (v) choosing the best secondary structures for further analysis, and (vi) analysing predicted secondary structures in the search for structural patterns. In the paper, we present consecutive analytical steps, starting from Section 2. In Section 3, we present the results of our work. Finally, the discussion of results and future plans are presented.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

In our research, we have decided to analyse microRNA precursors in plants on two structural levels, the sequence and the secondary structure, using selected bioinformatic tools. The sequences of pre-miRNAs were derived from publicly available data sources. First, 50 plant miRNAs with experimentally confirmed cleavage mechanism (base-to-loop or loop-to-base) were selected based on [37]. This preliminary dataset S1 consisted of

- (i) 38 miRNAs with base-to-loop mechanism: mir164b, mir165a, mir165b, mir167a, mir167b, mir167d, mir168a, mir168b, mir169a, mir170, mir171a, mir172a, mir172b, mir172d, mir172e, mir390a, mir390b, mir391, mir393a, mir393b, mir395a, mir395b, mir395c, mir396b, mir397a, mir398b, mir398c, mir399b, mir399c, mir408, mir827, ymir158a, ymir403, ymir771, ymir824, ymir864, ymir161, ymir400, ymir825, and mir164c,
- (ii) 12 miRNAs with loop-to-base mechanism: ymir400, ymir825, mir156a, mir156b, mir156c, mir156d, mir156h, mir160a, mir160b, mir160c, mir171b, and mir171c.

Next, precursors including sequences from the preliminary set S1 were searched in miRBase [47]. Sequences of these pre-miRNAs were downloaded for further analysis and collected in set S2. Taking into account previous results [20, 33-35], we have assumed that a region recognized by the microprocessor complex is located in the closest vicinity of the miRNA:miRNA\* duplex. Thus, we planned to analyse ca 22 nt-long fragments neighbouring miRNA from both the base and the loop side, and we needed all pre-miRNA sequences in S2 to have at least 22 nucleotides in every strand of the region between miRNA:miRNA<sup>\*</sup> and 3'/5'ends. Some sequences that did not satisfy this condition were supplemented based on [37] and Arabidopsis thaliana genome stored completely in the TAIR database [48]. After collecting in S2 sequences of the required length, miRNA vicinity was annotated in each instance. In lower stem, we distinguished regA region in 5' strand and regD in the opposite strand. Upper stem included *regB* region in 5' strand and *regC* in 3' strand (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1: Schematic view of pre-miRNA with annotated miRNA:miRNA<sup>\*</sup> vicinity regions. *regA* and *regD* are located in the lower stem and *regB* and *regC* in the upper stem.



FIGURE 2: Consecutive steps of bioinformatics analysis.

The first analytical step was done using WebLOGO [45] and MEME Suite [46]. WebLOGO tool finds the relative frequency of particular nucleotide type at each position of the sequence within multiple sequence alignment. MEME Suite is a motif-based sequence analysis tool. MEME was run with default parameter values, except for minimum width set to 3 and maximum width set to 4. Thus, MEME was tuned to look for 3-4-nucleotide-long sequence motifs [49, 50]. Next, the secondary structures of pre-miRNAs from S2 were predicted using RNAstructure [4] and mfold [9]. For both programs default parameter values were applied, except for the temperature in RNAstructure that was set to 295.15 K (22°C). Finally, the secondary structures were processed using own script that searched for predefined structural patterns, like bulges and symmetric and asymmetric loops. Structural pattern (motif) of our interest was defined as double-stranded structure fragment closed by canonical base-pairs on both ends, having up to 10 nucleotides in each strand and including at least one unpaired nucleotide (in any strand).

Figure 2 presents the main steps of our bioinformatic analysis.

#### 3. Results

In the preparation step preceding an analysis, we have collected basic information about primary structures of plant miRNAs. Next, set S2 was created and subjected to processing by bioinformatics tools. The set contained precursor sequences derived from *Arabidopsis thaliana* for which cleavage sites and cleavage mechanisms were identified and confirmed experimentally [37]. As a reference, we prepared a set of 50 random sequences, each of 22-nucleotide length, which correspond to miRNA:miRNA\* vicinity regions.

The analysis started from running MEME Suite aimed at searching for sequence patterns. Sequences were encoded using 4-letter alphabet A,C,G,U representing four nucleotides building up RNA molecule: Adenine, Cytosine, Guanine, and Uracil, respectively. It has been decided to look for 3-4-nucleotide-long sequence motifs located between the 1st and the 22nd nucleotide beyond the miRNA:miRNA<sup>\*</sup> duplex. Motifs were searched in the first-cut regions, that is, *regA* and *regD* in sequences with base-to-loop mechanism and *regC* and *regB* in loop-to-base sequences. We

TABLE 1: MEME results for regAC, regBD, and random sequences.

Region	Sequence motif	Occurrence
regAC	UCUC	11 (22%)
regBD	AACA	9 (18%)
	GUGG	6 (12%)
	ACGG	5 (10%)
Random	GUGU, GUUC, GUUU	2 (4%)



FIGURE 3: WebLOGO plots of nucleotide frequency in miRNA:miRNA\* vicinity. First-cut region: (a) *regAC*, (b) *regBD*, and (c) random sequences.

combined these regions based on the cleavage sites and 5'-3' strand orientation. The numbering of nucleotides in miRNA vicinity goes from -1 to -22, where nucleotide -1 is the first nucleotide beyond miRNA. The number of each motif at particular position of the sequence is shown in Figure S2 (in Supplementary Material available online at https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6783010).

MEME results obtained for the sequences including firstcut regions are displayed in Table 1. It appears that no motif occurs in more than 25% of these sequences, but a comparison to random sequences shows significant differences between the sets.

The next analytical step concerned again regA and regC (further treated as single set and denoted as regAC), regB and regD (further denoted as regBD), and random sequences. We used WebLOGO tool to receive the information about nucleotide frequencies at particular position in miRNA vicinity. Nucleotides represented by respective letters positioned on top are the most frequent on associated positions (Figure 3 for first-cut regions, Figure S1 (Supplementary Material) for

the second-cut regions). The general information concerning particular nucleotide occurrence in entire region(s) is provided in Table 2. From diagram *a* in Figure 3, showing the results for *regAC*, we can observe that U and C dominate at position -5. In the analogical region, for second cutting, the same position is occupied by A and U. Diagram *b* in Figure 3 with the results for *regBD* shows many pyrimidines (U or C). Particularly, C and U dominate positions 1–3 of the 3' overhang. Purines (A and G) are overrepresented only at position 5. Despite these observations, WebLOGO results for *regAC* and *regBD* seemed similar to the results obtained for random sequences. Thus, two Student's *t*-tests of pairedsamples were applied for

- (i) each nucleotide occurrence (percentage values) at positions -22 to -1 in *regAC* and in random sequences,
- (ii) each nucleotide occurrence (percentage values) at positions −22 to −1 in *regBD* and in random sequences.

The resulting *p* values obtained for both tests were equal to 1.00. This revealed no significant difference between values for *regAC/regBD* and values for the random set. Percentages of each nucleotide occurrence in the first-cut regions provided by WebLOGO are displayed in Tables S1–S3 (Supplementary Material).

In the next step, the secondary structures were predicted from sequences of 50 pre-miRNAs from set S2. Every sequence was processed by RNAstructure and mfold which generated several output structures. The most compact structure was selected for every input sequence and passed for further analysis. In the majority of cases, the most compact was the structure displaying the minimum free energy.

Consequently, bulges and internal loops were searched and subjected to an analysis by self-developed script PatternSearch. Bulge is a structural motif formed in a doublestranded fragment where at least one nucleotide of one strand is unpaired. Internal loop has unpaired nucleotides in both strands. If the number of unpaired nucleotides is equal for both strands, the motif is known as symmetric internal loop. Otherwise, asymmetric internal loop is formed [51, 52]. In the manuscript, we use the following notation to encode secondary structure motif. Each motif is described by a pair of numbers U-W, which specify how many unpaired nucleotides are found in each strand of the double-stranded fragment. If U is equal to 0 (no unpaired nucleotide in one of the strands) and W is between 1 and 10, the corresponding motif is a Wnucleotide bulge. If both U and W are greater than 0, the corresponding motif is an internal loop. For example, 2-3 loop describes a motif composed of two strands, where there are 2 unpaired nucleotides in one of the strands (either 5'-3' or 3'-5') and 3 unpaired nucleotides in the other strand.

In this paper, we focused on regions where DCL1 performs the first cutting within the precursor structure, that is, lower stem (regAD) in structures with base-to-loop mechanism and upper stem (regBC) in case of loop-tobase mechanism. With regard to these vicinity regions, we have searched 50 secondary structures of pre-miRNAs for

	First-cu	it region		Second-cut region			
	regAC	regBD	regAC	regBD	Random		
А	26%	24%	30%	29%	26%		
С	17%	26%	14%	21%	26%		
G	26%	18%	21%	17%	25%		
U	31%	33%	35%	34%	23%		

TABLE 2: Percentage of each nucleotide occurrence in regAC, regBD, and random sequences.

TABLE 3: Number of relevant secondary structure motifs found in miRNA vicinity.

	Secondary structure motif								
	1-1	2-2	3-3	1-2	1-3	2-3	0-1	0-2	0-3
Base-to-loop									
Lower stem	42	12	8	13	3	8	16	6	3
Upper stem	26	9	5	7	10	2	9	4	7
Loop-to-base									
Lower stem	10	1	1	5	2	4	3	0	0
Upper stem	14	3	2	2	1	1	2	1	4

an occurrence of bulges and internal loops with different sizes (up to 10 nt on one of the strands). Table 3 presents most numerous motifs found by the script. The numbers of particular secondary structure motifs (bulges and internal loops), with respect to the first-cut and the second-cut region, are presented in Figure S3 (Supplementary Material). The other motifs' total occurrence has not exceeded 10 in the whole dataset of secondary structures; thus, they were considered irrelevant.

An analysis of *PatternSearch* output suggested that an arrangement of bulges (0-1, 0-2, and 0-3 in Table 3) within pre-miRNA was random. Our study also shows that significantly more symmetric internal loops occur in the first-cut regions than in the region of the second cutting. Symmetric internal 1-1 loops (one unpaired nucleotide in each strand of the loop) appeared 3 times more often than bulges. In contrast to bulge arrangement, small internal loops demonstrated the tendency to locate in specific regions of the structure. In 90% of the analysed structures, we found symmetric internal 1-1 and 2-2 loops in the closest vicinity of miRNA:miRNA\* duplex, that is, 1-5 nucleotides beyond the duplex (c.f. Table 4).

We investigated the number of unpaired regions in *regAC* and *regBD* from first-cut mechanism (Figure 4). Thus, in the case of *regAC* analysis, we used *regA* of base-to-loop structures and *regC* of loop-to-base structures. In the case of *regBD*, we took *regB* of loop-to-base structures and *regD* of base-to-loop structures. In *regAC*, the most paired are positions -8, -9, and -15 (80%). On the three farthest positions mismatches are most frequently occurring (60%). On the other positions, the frequency of mismatches is approximately 30%. In contrast to *regAC*, where either regions with high paring or high mismatch level appear, in *regBD* the frequency of mismatches is very similar at each position.

An occurrence of small symmetric loops complies with the small number of mismatches distorting the stem in the region of the first cut performed by DCL1. This indicates potential structural pattern recognized by this enzyme. Occurrence of unpaired residues located further than 5 nt beyond miRNA:miRNA\* duplex can also indicate potential position for the first cut.

#### 4. Conclusions

In the paper, we focused on discovering motifs in primary and secondary structures of selected plant pre-microRNAs in order to answer the question how microprocessor composed of DCL1, HYL1, and SE recognizes the borders of microRNA:microRNA\* duplex. The set of 50 sequences with experimentally confirmed cleavage mechanism was tested by selected bioinformatic tools. Sequence analysis was done using MEME Suite and WebLOGO tool. The results from MEME suggest that potential sequence motifs are UCUC in regAC and AACA, GUGG, and ACGG in regBD. This indicates that the sequence motifs could consist of either pyrimidines only (in *regAC*) or three purines and only one pyrimidine (in regBD). The results from WebLOGO tool were considered nonsignificant. An analysis of the secondary structure shows that the region in the vicinity of the first cut forms well defined stem comparing to the region of the second cut. However, it has been found that small symmetric internal loops 1-1 and 2-2 appear in up to 5 nt distance from the duplex. This constitutes a derogation from the results obtained for the experimentally solved RNA structures where 0-1 bulges are more common than internal loops 1-1 [53, 54]. These defined sequence and secondary structure patterns can play a key role in recognizing the location of miRNA:miRNA\* duplex by DCL1 enzyme. To verify this theory, biochemical experiments involving artificially designed pre-miRNA [11] should be performed, which is planned to be done in the nearest future. Moreover, our future plans include prediction of the 3D structures of premiRNAs and their analysis with respect to characteristic structural features. For this purpose, computational tools like RNAComposer [3], MCQ4Structres [8], and PyMOL [55] will be applied. The generated 3D models will be evaluated based on their adjustment to the model of DCL1 structure. Finally, the analysis concerning three structural levels is going to be extended for all sequences of plant pre-miRNAs deposited in publicly available databases. However, it should be mentioned that for the majority of these sequences the cleavage mechanism has not been recognized yet.



FIGURE 4: Percentage of unpaired nucleotides at specified positions in the first-cut regions: (a) regAC and (b) regBD.

TABLE 4: Small internal loops found in close miRNA:miRNA\* vicinity. The table shows the number and percentage of structures that have 1-1 and 2-2 loops at specified positions in miRNA:miRNA\* vicinity.

Secondary structure motif			1-1 loop			2-2 loop		
Distance from miRNA [nt]	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3
Number and percentage	19	5	6	4	2	4	2	3
of structures with motif	38%	10%	12%	8%	4%	8%	4%	6%

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was carried out in the European Centre for Bioinformatics and Genomics (Poznan, Poland) and supported by the Leading National Research Centre Program (KNOW) granted by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

## References

- M. Antczak, M. Popenda, T. Zok et al., "New functionality of RNAComposer: an application to shape the axis of miR160 precursor structure," *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 737–744, 2016.
- [2] J. Blazewicz, W. Frohmberg, P. Gawron et al., "DNA sequence assembly involving an acyclic graph model," *Foundations of Computing and Decision Sciences*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 25–34, 2013.
- [3] M. Popenda, M. Szachniuk, M. Antczak et al., "Automated 3D structure composition for large RNAs," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. 14, article e112, 2012.
- [4] J. S. Reuter and D. H. Mathews, "RNAstructure: software for RNA secondary structure prediction and analysis," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 11, article no. 129, 2010.
- [5] M. Szachniuk, M. Malaczynski, E. Pesch, E. K. Burke, and J. Blazewicz, "MLP accompanied beam search for the resonance assignment problem," *Journal of Heuristics*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 443–464, 2013.
- [6] K. L. Tkaczuk, A. Obarska, and J. M. Bujnicki, "Molecular phylogenetics and comparative modeling of HEN1, a methyltransferase involved in plant microRNA biogenesis," *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 6, article 6, 2006.
- [7] P. Wojciechowski, W. Frohmberg, M. Kierzynka, P. Zurkowski, and J. Blazewicz, "G-MAPSEQ—a new method for mapping

reads to a reference genome," *Foundations of Computing and Decision Sciences*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 123–142, 2016.

- [8] T. Zok, M. Popenda, and M. Szachniuk, "MCQ4Structures to compute similarity of molecule structures," *Central European Journal of Operations Research*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 457–473, 2014.
- [9] M. Zuker, "Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 31, no. 13, pp. 3406–3415, 2003.
- [10] J. Wiedemann and M. Miłostan, "StructAnalyzer—a tool for sequence versus structure similarity analysis," *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 753–757, 2016.
- [11] A. Mickiewicz, A. Rybarczyk, J. Sarzynska, M. Figlerowicz, and J. Blazewicz, "AmiRNA Designer—new method of artificial miRNA design," *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 71– 77, 2016.
- [12] D. Bielewicz, M. Kalak, M. Kalyna et al., "Introns of plant primiRNAs enhance miRNA biogenesis," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 622–628, 2013.
- [13] M. S. Ebert and P. A. Sharp, "Roles for microRNAs in conferring robustness to biological processes," *Cell*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 515– 524, 2012.
- [14] R. Sunkar, Y.-F. Li, and G. Jagadeeswaran, "Functions of microRNAs in plant stress responses," *Trends in Plant Science*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 196–203, 2012.
- [15] K. Wu, C. Zhu, Y. Yao, X. Wang, J. Song, and J. Zhai, "MicroRNA-155-enhanced autophagy in human gastric epithelial cell in response to Helicobacter pylori," *The Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 30–36, 2016.
- [16] T. Conrad and U. A. Ørom, "Insight into miRNA biogenesis with RNA sequencing," *Oncotarget*, vol. 6, no. 29, pp. 26546– 26547, 2015.
- [17] T. Du and P. D. Zamore, "microPrimer: the biogenesis and function of microRNA," *Development*, vol. 132, no. 21, pp. 4645– 4652, 2005.
- [18] M. Ha and V. N. Kim, "Regulation of microRNA biogenesis," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 509– 524, 2014.
- [19] W.-C. Lee, S.-H. Lu, M.-H. Lu, C.-J. Yang, S.-H. Wu, and H.-M. Chen, "Asymmetric bulges and mismatches determine 20-nt

microRNA formation in plants," *RNA Biology*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 1054–1066, 2015.

- [20] B. C. Meyers, S. A. Simon, and J. Zhai, "MicroRNA processing: battle of the bulge," *Current Biology*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. R68–R70, 2010.
- [21] Y. Wang, R. Medvid, C. Melton, R. Jaenisch, and R. Blelloch, "DGCR8 is essential for microRNA biogenesis and silencing of embryonic stem cell self-renewal," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 380–385, 2007.
- [22] J.-K. Zhu, "Reconstituting plant miRNA biogenesis," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 105, no. 29, pp. 9851–9852, 2008.
- [23] U. Rosani, A. Pallavicini, and P. Venier, "The miRNA biogenesis in marine bivalves," *PeerJ*, vol. 4, article no. e1763, 2016.
- [24] Z. Szweykowska-Kulinska, A. Jarmolowski, and F. Vazquez, "The crosstalk between plant microRNA biogenesis factors and the spliceosome," *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, vol. 8, no. 11, Article ID e26955, 2013.
- [25] R. W. Carthew and E. J. Sontheimer, "Origins and mechanisms of miRNAs and siRNAs," *Cell*, vol. 136, no. 4, pp. 642–655, 2009.
- [26] T. Doran and C. Helliwell, *RNA Interference: Methods for Plants and Animals*, CABI, Oxfordshire, UK, 2009.
- [27] J. Starega-Roslan, P. Galka-Marciniak, and W. J. Krzyzosiak, "Nucleotide sequence of miRNA precursor contributes to cleavage site selection by Dicer," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 43, no. 22, pp. 10939–10951, 2015.
- [28] H. J. Debat and D. A. Ducasse, "Plant microRNAs: recent advances and future challenges," *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1257–1269, 2014.
- [29] D. P. Bartel, "MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function," *Cell*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 281–297, 2004.
- [30] K. J. Beezhold, V. Castranova, and F. Chen, "Microprocessor of microRNAs: regulation and potential for therapeutic intervention," *Molecular Cancer*, vol. 9, article no. 134, 2010.
- [31] G. Meister, M. Landthaler, A. Patkaniowska, Y. Dorsett, G. Teng, and T. Tuschl, "Human Argonaute2 mediates RNA cleavage targeted by miRNAs and siRNAs," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 185–197, 2004.
- [32] Z. Xie, K. D. Kasschau, and J. C. Carrington, "Negative feedback regulation of *Dicer-Likel* in *Arabidopsis* by microRNA-guided mRNA degradation," *Current Biology*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 784–789, 2003.
- [33] J. T. Cuperus, T. A. Montgomery, N. Fahlgren et al., "Identification of MIR390a precursor processing-defective mutants in *Arabidopsis* by direct genome sequencing," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 466–471, 2010.
- [34] J. L. Mateos, N. G. Bologna, U. Chorostecki, and J. F. Palatnik, "Identification of microRNA processing determinants by random mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis MIRI72a* precursor," *Current Biology*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 49–54, 2010.
- [35] H. Zhu, Y. Zhou, C. Castillo-González et al., "Bidirectional processing of pri-miRNAs with branched terminal loops by Arabidopsis Dicer-likel," *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 1106–1115, 2013.
- [36] L. Song, M. J. Axtell, and N. V. Fedoroff, "RNA secondary structural determinants of miRNA precursor processing in *Arabidopsis*," *Current Biology*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 37–41, 2010.
- [37] N. G. Bologna, A. L. Schapire, J. Zhai et al., "Multiple RNA recognition patterns during microRNA biogenesis in plants," *Genome Research*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1675–1689, 2013.

- [38] W. Kim, H.-E. Kim, A. R. Jun et al., "Structural determinants of miR156a precursor processing in temperature-responsive flowering in Arabidopsis," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 67, no. 15, pp. 4659–4670, 2016.
- [39] X. Yang, W. Ren, Q. Zhao, P. Zhang, F. Wu, and Y. He, "Homodimerization of HYL1 ensures the correct selection of cleavage sites in primary miRNA," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 42, no. 19, pp. 12224–12236, 2014.
- [40] S. W. Yang, H. Y. Chen, J. Yang, S. Machida, N. H. Chua, and Y. A. Yuan, "Structure of Arabidopsis HYPONASTIC LEAVES1 and its molecular implications for miRNA processing," *Structure*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 594–605, 2010.
- [41] S. Baranauske, M. Mickute, A. Plotnikova et al., "Functional mapping of the plant small RNA methyltransferase: HEN1 physically interacts with HYL1 and DICER-LIKE 1 proteins," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 2802–2812, 2015.
- [42] H.-M. Chen, L.-T. Chen, K. Patel, Y.-H. Li, D. C. Baulcombe, and S.-H. Wu, "22-nucleotide RNAs trigger secondary siRNA biogenesis in plants," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 34, pp. 15269–15274, 2010.
- [43] J. T. Cuperus, A. Carbonell, N. Fahlgren et al., "Unique functionality of 22-nt miRNAs in triggering RDR6-dependent siRNA biogenesis from target transcripts in *Arabidopsis*," *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 997–1003, 2010.
- [44] J. Starega-Roslan, J. Krol, E. Koscianska et al., "Structural basis of microRNA length variety," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 257–268, 2011.
- [45] G. E. Crooks, G. Hon, J.-M. Chandonia, and S. E. Brenner, "WebLOGO: a sequence logo generator," *Genome Research*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1188–1190, 2004.
- [46] T. L. Bailey, J. Johnson, C. E. Grant, and W. S. Noble, "The MEME suite," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. W39– W49, 2015.
- [47] A. Kozomara and S. Griffiths-Jones, "MiRBase: annotating high confidence microRNAs using deep sequencing data," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. D68–D73, 2014.
- [48] P. Lamesch, T. Z. Berardini, D. Li et al., "The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): improved gene annotation and new tools," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. D1202– D1210, 2012.
- [49] W. Fang and D. P. Bartel, "The menu of features that define primary MicroRNAs and enable de novo design of MicroRNA genes," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 131–145, 2015.
- [50] V. C. Auyeung, I. Ulitsky, S. E. McGeary, and D. P. Bartel, "Beyond secondary structure: Primary-sequence determinants license Pri-miRNA hairpins for processing," *Cell*, vol. 152, no. 4, pp. 844–858, 2013.
- [51] B. Tian, P. C. Bevilacqua, A. Diegelman-Parente, and M. B. Mathews, "The double-stranded-RNA-binding motif: interference and much more," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 1013–1023, 2004.
- [52] M. Popenda, M. Szachniuk, M. Blazewicz et al., "RNA FRABASE 2.0: an advanced web-accessible database with the capacity to search the three-dimensional fragments within RNA structures," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 11, article no. 231, 2010.
- [53] P. L. Vanegas, G. A. Hudson, A. R. Davis, S. C. Kelly, C. C. Kirkpatrick, and B. M. Znosko, "RNA CoSSMos: characterization of secondary structure motifs—a searchable database of secondary structure motifs in RNA three-dimensional structures," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. D439–D444, 2012.

- [54] M. Popenda, M. Błaźewicz, M. Szachniuk, and R. W. Adamiak, "RNA FRABASE version 1.0: an engine with a database to search for the three-dimensional fragments within RNA structures," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. D386–D391, 2008.
- [55] "The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System," Version 1.8 Schrodinger, LLC.



BioMed Research International







International Journal of Genomics





The Scientific World Journal







Submit your manuscripts at https://www.hindawi.com



Genetics Research International

Archaea



Anatomy Research International





International Journal of Microbiology



International Journal of Evolutionary Biology



Biochemistry Research International



Molecular Biology International



Advances in Bioinformatics



Journal of Marine Biology