



University of Dundee

Biosynthesis of the ZnO/SnO2 nanoparticles and characterization of their photocatalytic potential for removal of organic water pollutants

Sayadi, Mohammad Hossein; Ghollasimood, Sholeh; Ahmadpour, Najmeh; Homaeigohar, Shahin

Published in: Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry

DOI 10.1016/j.jphotochem.2021.113662

Publication date: 2022

Licence: CC BY-NC-ND

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Sayadi, M. H., Ghollasimood, S., Ahmadpour, N., & Homaeigohar, S. (2022). Biosynthesis of the ZnO/SnO2 nanoparticles and characterization of their photocatalytic potential for removal of organic water pollutants. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry, 425, Article 113662. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2021.113662

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Biosynthesis of the ZnO/SnO2 nanoparticles and characterization of their photocatalytic
2	potential for removal of organic water pollutants
3	
4	Mohammad Hossein Sayadi ^{*a,b} , Sholeh Ghollasimood ^c , Najemeh Ahmadpour ^a , Shahin
5	Homaeigohar ^d
6	^a Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environment,
7	University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran
8	^b Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources,
9	Ardakan University, Ardakan, Iran
10	* Pasture and Watershed Dep., Faculty of Natural Resource and Environment, University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran
11	^d School of Science and Engineering, University of Dundee, DD1 4HN, UK
12	Corresponding author: mh_sayadi@birjand.ac.ir
13	

15 Abstract

Plants are rich sources of antioxidant compounds including phenols and flavonoids. These 16 antioxidants are crucial elements in diet and protect the body against oxidative stress. In this 17 18 study, antioxidant activity of the phenolic compounds and flavonoids of mistletoe (Viscum album), as a substituent for synthetic antioxidants, was quantitively investigated. The plant 19 20 alcoholic (ethanol) extract was used for biosynthesis of the ZnO/SnO₂ composite nanoparticles 21 that were employed for photodegradation of three organic pollutants (Congo red (CR), Biphenyl 22 A (BPA), and tetracycline (TC)) under solar light. The photodegradation tests were performed considering the effect of pH, photocatalyst dosage, temperature, and initial concentration of the 23 pollutants. The obtained data were analyzed by a Pseudo-first-order kinetic model. The most 24 optimum conditions for photodegradation of the organic pollutants were found to be: 5 mg/l 25 initial pollutant concentration, 0.5 g/l photocatalyst dosage, and acidic pH. The reusability test 26 implied that the nanoparticles remain stable and can perform well even after 4 cycles of reuse. 27

Conclusively, *Viscum album* extract offers promising antioxidant properties and allows for
biosynthesis of the composite nanoparticles that can optimally photodegrade organic pollutants
of wastewater under solar light.

31

Keywords: antioxidants, *Viscum album*, photocatalytic degradation, biosynthesis, composite
 nanoparticles.

35 **1. Introduction**

Rapid urbanization and industrialization across the world have led to vast environmental 36 pollution, threatening the health of human kind. Human activities result in the release of over 37 300 million tons of organic and toxic pollutants into water streams each year, thereby 38 endangering the health of human being and other creatures [1]. Due to the growing concern 39 40 about the presence of organic pollutants in water, quality control against hazardous pollutants has become more stringent in many countries [2]. In addition, many new uncontrollable organic 41 42 pollutants are continuously discharged into the environment [3], and can be found even in the drinking water. Therefore, development of novel, environmentally friendly methods to eliminate 43 such organic pollutants is of utmost importance. In this regard, advanced and cost-effective 44 technologies are required to remove these contaminants from wastewater [4]. Advanced 45 46 Oxidation Processes (AOPs) are of the recommended technologies for the removal of a wide range of resistant and non-biodegradable organic pollutants. These processes can be also used as 47 48 a pre-treatment method to increase the biodegradability of wastewater pollutants. Organic pollutants are mainly degraded by the hydroxyl radicals generated during the AOPs, that are 49 50 highly reactive and selectively degrade almost all organic matters in water [5, 6]. As a wellknown AOP, photodegradation involves the use of photocatalysts such as ZnO nanoparticles. 51 52 This type of photocatalyst has been widely used for photodegradation of organic pollutants, 53 mainly due to its non-toxicity, optimum electronic and catalytic properties, chemical stability, 54 and the ability to transform solar energy to chemical energy for water treatment [7]. The use of ZnO nanoparticles has been widely reported due to its wide energy gap and higher efficiency in 55 electron production, leading to photogeneration of holes for the efficient treatment of organic 56 pollutants [8, 9]. However, due to rapid recombination of charge carriers, the photocatalytic 57 58 activity of ZnO is limited. To address this problem, ZnO is typically coupled with other 59 semiconductor materials, thereby lowering the electron-hole recombinant rate and increasing its photocatalytic efficiency [10]. The simultaneous use of two semiconductors such as $TiO_2 - ZnO$ 60 [11], ZnO-CuS [12], ZnO -WO₃ [13], and ZnO-CdS [14] has been already studied. Among the 61 studied metal oxides, tin dioxide (SnO₂) has been shown to be a better electron receptor than 62 ZnO due to its more positive conduction edge [15]. When coupled, it might reduce the electron-63 hole recombination rate of ZnO and thus improve its photocatalytic activity [16, 17]. 64

ZnO based photocatalytic nanocomposites have been traditionally produced through 65 synthetic approaches involving the potentially hazardous chemicals. For instance, ZnO-Mg [18], 66 Co₃O₄–ZnO [19], CuO/ZnO [20], CeO₂–ZnO [21], ZnO–SnO₂ [22], WO₃/SnO₂, TiO₂/SnO₂ [23], 67 V_2O_5/ZnO , and ZnO/γ -Mn₂O₃ nanocomposites [24, 25] have been synthesized through thermal 68 decomposition, sol-gel, and hydrothermal method. To avoid the adverse consequences of the use 69 70 of chemicals, in the recent years, nanocomposite photocatalysts have been innovatively created by employing the environmentally friendly, green materials [26]. Particularly, ZnO nanoparticles 71 72 have been recently biosynthesized by implementation of fungi, bacteria, plants, algae, among others. Among the mentioned bioreactors, plant extracts are highly favorable due to their 73 negligible toxicity, low cost, and controllability of structural properties of the biosynthesized 74 nanoparticles, thus assuring larger economic and ecological benefits [26]. Plant extracts contain 75 76 various phytochemicals such as polyphenolic and flavonoids which can reduce metal cations to zerovalent metal nanoparticles and inhibit their agglomeration. In this regard, the extract of 77 78 several plants such as Eucalyptus globulus [27], Carica papaya[28], Nephelium lappaceum L [29], Lycopersicon esculentum [30], and Corymbia citriodora [31] has been already studied for 79 80 the purpose of biosynthesis of ZnO nanoparticles.

For the first time, in the current study, we employ the extract of a particular species of 81 82 mistletoe called as Viscum album L for biosynthesis of the ZnO-SnO₂ nanocomposite 83 nanoparticles. Viscum album L, is one of the evergreen and semi-parasitic plants that grows on 84 different host trees, especially on deciduous trees [32]. This plant is used to treat and prevent various diseases such as diabetes, atherosclerosis, stroke, and hypertension [33]. It shows 85 antiviral, antibacterial, and anti-cancer activities, and thus is widely used as an antioxidant and 86 an immune system regulator [34]. The Viscum album extract has been utilized to lower the 87 88 harmful, mutagenic effects of the radiation-induced oxygen free radicals produced during 89 radiotherapy and chemotherapy [34]. Originating from its phenolic compounds and flavonoids, in this study, the antioxidant activity of *Viscum album's* alcoholic extracts will be quantified. 90 Subsequently, the alcoholic extracts will be used in the biosynthesis of the ZnO/SnO₂ composite 91 nanoparticles that will be later employed for the purpose of photodegradation of Congo red (CR), 92 93 Biphenyl A (BPA), and tetracycline (TC).

94

95 **2. Materials and methods**

96 **2.1.Preparation of plant samples**

97 Vegetative tissues of *Viscum album* were collected from adult stands of different hosts in 98 Birjand, Iran. The samples were dried and ground, and their extracts were obtained using a 99 soxhlet extraction apparatus (Electro Thermal, UK). To do so, 50 g of the *Viscum album* powder 100 was placed in the soxhlet extraction device and then 500 ml of ethanol, methanol, and acetone 101 were added separately. After 2 h, the extracts corresponding to each alcoholic solvent were 102 separated by centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 15 min and kept in a fridge at 4 ° C.

103

104 **2.2. Measurement of the phenol content**

105 The Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was applied to evaluate the total amount of phenol. To do so, 106 5 ml of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added to the extract and the obtained solution was 107 diluted with distilled water and stored in the dark. In the next step, 4 ml of sodium carbonate 108 (Na₂CO₃) was added to the solution and placed in a bain-marie bath in the dark. The phenol 109 concentration was measured by a spectrophotometer at the wavelength of 760 nm. To prepare the 110 standard calibration curve, different concentrations of gallic acid (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 111 mg/l) were prepared and their corresponding light absorption intensity was determined [35].

112

113 **2.3. Measurement of the flavonoid content**

Initially, 0.1 ml of aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) (10%) and potassium acetate (CH₃CO₂K 1M) were mixed with 2.8 ml of deionized water. In the next step, 0.5 ml of each extract solution was mixed with 1.5 ml of ethanol (96%) and kept at the ambient temperature for 30 min. Then, the absorbance of each compound was calculated at the wavelength of 415 nm by a spectrophotometer. The standard calibration curve was plotted based on different concentrations of quercetin and the amount of flavonoids equivalent to quercetin per mg of each extract was measured.

121

122 **2.4. Measurement of antioxidant activity**

First, 3×10^{-4} DPPH (diphenylpicrylic hydrazyl, 95%) was added to 2.5 ml of the extract ethanol solution. The as-prepared solution was then shaken vigorously for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. Eventually, the absorption intensities were measured at the wavelength of 515 nm. The percentage of the entrapped DPPH free radicals, representing antioxidant activity, wascalculated using the following equation (1):

128 $I\% = \left[(A_{blank} - A_{sample}) / A_{blank} \right] \times 100 \tag{1}$

129 A _{blank}: Absorption of the control sample (without the plant extract)

130 A _{sample}: Sample absorption

131

132 2.5. Synthesis of the ZnO nanoparticles

To prepare the ZnO nanoparticles, first, 1 mM zinc nitrate [Zn (NO₃)₂.6H₂O] (with chemical purity of 98%) was dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water and stirred for 1 h. Afterwards, 20 ml of NaOH (96%) solution was added dropwise to the zinc nitrate solution. Eventually, 26 ml of the *Viscum album* extract was included in the final solution that was later stirred for 3 h until it turned yellowish, indicating the formation of the ZnO nanoparticles. The resulting precipitate was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 15 min and then dried at 70 ° C for 4 h [36].

139

140 **2.6.** Synthesis of the SnO₂ nanoparticles

For the preparation of the SnO_2 nanoparticles, 2 g of tin chloride ($SnCl_2 2H_2O$, 98%) was added to 43 ml of the *Viscum album* extract solution. The as-prepared solutions were placed in a water bath at 60 ° C, and subsequently calcined for 60 min at 400 ° C. At the end, a dark gray material remained which was eventually pulverized [37].

145

146 2.7. Synthesis of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles

To prepare the ZnO-SnO₂ composite nanoparticles with a 1:1 molar ratio, 0.1 ml of zinc nitrate [Zn(NO₃) 2.6H₂O, 98%] was mixed with 50 ml of the *Viscum album* alcoholic extract and then 1 ml of NaOH was added dropwise to the solution to adjust the pH at 7. After stirring for 2 h, 100 ml of 0.02 M tin chloride [SnCl₂. 2H₂O, 98%] was added to the solution and stirred for another 2 h at 80 °C. The final solution was then centrifuged and the washed with distilled water and ethanol, and dried in an oven at 80 °C for 4 h. Eventually, the nanoparticles were calcined at 800 °C for 1 h [22].

154

155 **2.8.** Characterization of the composite nanoparticles

The crystalline structure of the nanoparticles was determined by X-ray diffraction Rigaku 156 MiniFlex 600 (XRD) using Cu-Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm). Fourier transform infrared 157 (FTIR) spectra of the composite nanoparticles were determined by scanning at 400-4000 cm⁻¹ 158 (Shimadzo, FT_IR1650 spectrophotometer, Japan). Elemental analysis was carried out using an 159 energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) coupled with FESEM. The UV-Vis (DRS) spectra 160 of the samples were determined by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, UV-2550, Japan). 161 The morphology of the nanoparticles was monitored using a Field Emission Scanning Electron 162 Microscopy (FESEM) (TE-SCAN, MIRA3 FESEM model). Particle size distribution of the 163 nanoparticles was determined via Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) using Zetasizer 3000HS. X-164 ray photoelectron spectroscopy was performed using (XPS VG, Esca Microprob 2000 quantum 165 physical electrons, using Al Ka radiation) to chemically analyze the samples. The size and 166 167 structure of the nanoparticles were precisely characterized via the TEM analysis (Philips EM208S 100KV). 168

169

170 **2.9. Photocatalytic degradation experiments**

171 Photocatalytic activity the composite nanoparticles was employed for the purpose of degradation of Congo red (CR), Bisphenol A (BPA), and tetracycline (TC). To do so, a 350 W 172 xenon lamp (λ > 420 nm) with the intensity of 34.8mW cm⁻² was used as the solar light simulator 173 source and placed 15 cm away from the reactor. 15 mg of the photocatalyst was mixed with 30 174 175 ml of the aqueous solutions containing the mentioned pollutants (20 mg/l). The pH of the solutions was adjusted by adding HCl and NaOH (0.1 mM). In this experiment, an aeration pump 176 177 and magnetic stirrer were used to supply oxygen in the solution. Prior to irradiation, the solution was homogenized in the dark for 30 min to obtain a stable suspension (achieving a balance 178 179 between the pollutant solution and the photocatalyst). The effect of temperature (15, 25, 35 and 45 °C), pH (2, 6, 4, 7, 9 and 11), catalyst dosages (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, and 1 g/l), and initial 180 concentrations of pollutants (5, 10, 20, 30, and 40 mg/l) was evaluated. After irradiation for a 181 given time (5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min), 5 ml of the suspension was removed from the 182 183 reactor and centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 15 min to separate the catalyst. The final concentration 184 of the pollutants was analyzed by a spectrophotometer at the wavelengths of 502, 227 and 357 nm for CR, BPA and TC, respectively. The photodegradation efficiency of the composite 185 nanoparticles for CR, BPA and TC was calculated using the following equation (2) [38]: 186

Removal (%) =
$$\left(\frac{C_{0-C_t}}{C_0}\right) \times 100$$
 (2)

188 Where, C_0 and C_t are the initial and momentary concentration of CR, BPA and TC, 189 respectively. The amount of total organic carbon (TOC) was also measured by a Schimadzu 190 TOC-Total Organic Carbon Analyzer [39].

191

192 **2.10.Statistical analysis**

Three replicas were used for each measurement to evaluate the reproducibility of the obtained data that were subsequently analyzed using the SPSS software. Statistical differences between the control and treated samples were identified via the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Student-Newman-Keuls test. The difference was statistically meaningful when p < 0.05.

198

199 3. Results and discussion

200 **3.1 Antioxidant activities**

The phenolic compounds of a series of plants (such as Plums, kiwi, Black grapes, Artemisia leaves, Rhubarb, Parsley, among others) show antioxidant effect and thus are vital for diet and medicine [40]. Such pharmaceutically active compounds include caffeic, ferulic, chlorogenic acid, and coumarin acid [41, 42]. The phenolic content of the *Viscum album* alcoholic extracts is shown in Table 1.

206

207 Table 1. The amount of the phenolic and flavonoid compounds in the alcoholic extracts of *Viscum album*

The extract	phenolic compounds (mg GAE/g dry	flavonoid compounds (mg QE /g dry
solvent	sample)	sample)
ethanol	21.26 ±0.003	22.36 ±0.45
methanol	23.51 ±0.002	19.38 ±0.35
acetone	18.79 ±0.002	15.79 ±0.5

208

As can be seen in table 1, the largest amount of phenolic compounds belongs to the *Viscum album* methanol extract (23.51 \pm 0 0.002 mg/g extract), followed by the ethanol (21.26 \pm 0.003 mg/g) and acetone extract (18.79 \pm 0.002 mg/g). As a fact, alcoholic solvents are able to

extract the largest amount of phenolic compounds from the plant extracts [43]. For instance, 212 Katalinic et al. reported that the highest total phenol content of T. argentea was found in the 213 214 acetone extract, followed by methanol extract and then pure water extract [44]. There is also a direct relationship between the amount of the phenolic compounds of the plant extracts and their 215 antioxidant properties. Considering the abundance of the phenol compounds in plants and their 216 217 notable antioxidant potential, efficient production of plant extracts has been extensively studied [45]. The phenolic compounds of a mistletoe plant act as a hydrogen donor, thereby offering 218 219 outstanding antioxidant activity [45, 46].

Alike phenolic compounds, flavonoids are one of the most abundant natural compounds that are capable of absorbing free radicals [47]. Table 1 shows the total content of flavonoid available in the *Viscum album* alcoholic extracts. According to this table, the highest amount of flavonoid (22.36 \pm 0.45 mg QE/g) is found in the ethanol extract, followed by the methanol (19.38 \pm 0.35 mg QE/g) and acetone extract (15.79 \pm 0.5 mg QE/g), respectively.

The antioxidant compounds of plants such as flavonoids protect their cells against oxidative stress. Flavonoids also show anti-inflammatory, anti-diabetic, and anti-viral properties [48]. Under oxidative stress, these compounds attach to membrane phospholipids via hydrogen bonding and accumulate on the internal and external areas of the membrane and protect it [49].

Figure 1 shows the scavenging activity of the extracts reflected in their DPPH free radicals inhibition degree. In these measurements, ascorbic acid was used as the control. As can be seen in this Figure, the density of free radicals declines with increasing the concentration of the extract. The highest free radical scavenging activity was achieved by the ethanol extract (83%) and the lowest by the acetone (64%). The free radical scavenging activity of the methanol extract was 75%. Among the alcoholic extracts, the ethanol extract with the concentration of 50 µg/ml showed the best results, therefore it was based for the main experiments.



236

Fig 1. a) DPPH scavenging activity of the alcoholic extracts of *Viscum album*, Particle size
distribution of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles synthesized using: b) ethanol, c) methanol, and d)
acetone extracts of *Viscum album*.

To calculate the extract concentration providing 50% inhibition (IC₅₀), the inhibition power calibration curve (I%) versus the extract concentration (μ g/ml) was constructed. According to this curve, IC₅₀ for the ethanol extract was determined to be 154.64 μ g/ml. Larger extract concentrations provide a higher number of electrons for inactivation of free radicals and thus a more profound inhibitory effect [50].



Variables	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Average of squares	The value of F test
The amount of extract	5	0.895	0.179	84.71
consumed				

Error	12	0.0304	0.0025	
Total	17	0.9254		

249 **3.2.**Characterization of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles

250 **3.2.1. Particle size distribution**

The DLS analysis indicated that among the nanoparticles synthesized using the three 251 alcoholic extracts, those biosynthesized using the ethanol extract were smallest and almost 252 253 monodisperse (a low polydispersity index) (Fig. 1b-c). The smaller the particle size, the higher 254 the photoabsorption intensity and thus the photodegradation efficiency is. As seen in Fig. 1a, the nanoparticles derived from the ethanol extract are as small as less than 100 nm and relatively 255 monodisperse, thereby providing uniform photocatalytic properties with high efficiency [3]. 256 257 Recalling the most optimum scavenging activity of the ethanol extract and also the uniform small size of the nanoparticles biosynthesized using this type of extract, the photodegradation 258 259 efficiency tests were conducted using these nanoparticles. It is worthy to note that ethanol is one of the most widely used organic solvents for the preparation of plant extracts. It dissolves a wide 260 261 range of materials, is low cost and eco-friendly, and shows relatively low toxicity [50].

262

263 **3.2.2.** Crystallinity

The XRD patterns of ZnO, SnO₂ and ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 2a. 264 265 According to these spectra, the crystalline structure of ZnO is hexagonal with characteristic peaks at 20 of 31.81°, 34.63°, 36.35°, 47.52°, 56.74°, 62.55°, and 68.10° which correspond to 266 267 the crystalline planes of (100), (002), (102), (110), (103), (112) and (201), respectively (JCPDS # 00-036- 1451) [22]. With respect to the ZnO/SnO_2 nanoparticles, additional peaks appear at 2 Θ 268 269 of 26.65°, 34.62°, 37.90°, 51.37°, 53.55°, 57.82°, 65.78° and 78.85°, which are attributed to the SnO₂ crystalline planes of (110), (002), (111), (211), (220), (002), (301) and (321), respectively 270 (JCPDS # 00-041-1445). The diffraction patterns of both ZnO and SnO₂ notably changed in the 271 272 composite nanoparticles in terms of phases and peak positions. However, co-existence of the 273 XRD peaks related to ZnO and SnO₂, though with a minor loss of intensity, confirmed the 274 successful formation of a heterojunction between the two metal oxides.

Comparing the full-width half-maximum of the characteristic peaks of SnO_2 in the pure and composite form, it can be concluded that the mean grain size of SnO_2 declines after hybridization. On the other hand, the diffraction peaks of ZnO nanocrystals in the ZnO/SnO₂
sample remain intact, though with a lower intensity, implying the presence of highly crystalline
ZnO in the nanocomposite nanoparticles.

Crystallite size generally correlates with the coherent volume in the material for the respective diffraction peak. Scherrer's equation is applied for determination of the crystallite size, as following:

$$D = \frac{0.98\lambda}{\beta \cos\theta} \tag{3}$$

Where D is the crystallite size, λ is the X-ray wavelength, B is the full width at half the maximum (FWHM) and θ is in accordance with peak position. According to the above mentioned equation, the crystallite size of the biosynthesized nanocomposite nanoparticles was calculated to be 48.15 nm.



Fig 2. a) XRD, b) FTIR, and c) UV-Vis (DRS) absorption spectra for the photocatalytic
nanoparticles made of ZnO, SnO₂, and ZnO/SnO₂

292

3.2.3. Surface Chemistry

The FTIR spectra of the biosynthesized ZnO, SnO₂, and ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles are 294 shown in Fig. 2b. With respect to ZnO, tensile vibration of the Zn-O bond is reflected at the peak 295 located at 555 cm⁻¹ [51]. The absorption peak at 3431 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the OH tensile 296 vibration mode. The absorption peaks located below 2000 cm⁻¹ including the one at 1634 cm⁻¹ 297 emerge due to the bending vibrations of molecular water adsorption. Regarding SnO₂, the peak at 298 659 cm^{-1} is attributed to the Sn-O tensile vibration [52]. On the other hand, the peaks appearing 299 at 1105 and 1462 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the tensile vibration of O–C due to the presence of Viscum 300 *album* extracts [52, 53]. The peaks at 2347, 1380, and 875 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the symmetrical 301 tensile vibrations of C=O and C-H [54]. The peaks at 2934 and 3740 cm⁻¹ are related to the O-H 302 tensile vibration. These results imply the presence of the organic phase of the Viscum album 303 extract as well as successful biosynthesis of ZnO and SnO₂ for samples. 304

305 3.2.4. Optical properties

The optical properties of the biosynthesized nanoparticles were characterized via UV-306 307 Vis spectroscopy. Fig. 2c displays the UV-Vis (DRS) absorption spectra for the ZnO, SnO₂, ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles. As can be seen in the figure, ZnO nanoparticles show a sharp increase 308 309 in the absorption intensity within the UV region. In the case of SnO₂, increase in the UV absorption intensity is correlated to electron transition between the valence band (VB) and the 310 311 conduction band (CB), and is known as the optical absorption edge. The highest UV light absorption intensity belonged to ZnO nanoparticles. The UV absorption edge of the ZnO/SnO₂ 312 313 nanoparticles gradually declined and shifted to the visible light area due to the insignificant 314 crystallization of these nanoparticles. The occurrence of the absorption edge at higher wavelengths implies that these heterogeneous composite nanoparticles can offer an improved 315 photocatalytic activity when excited by visible light [5, 55]. 316

317

318 **3.2.5. Surface chemical composition**

The surface chemistry of the ZnO/SnO₂ composite nanoparticles was studied by XPS analysis. The XPS spectra validated the presence of O, C, Sn, and Zn. According to Fig. 3a, the Zn and Sn peaks are evident in the high- resolution spectrum of the composite nanoparticles. The peaks emerging at 1020.6 eV and 1044 eV, Fig. 3b, correspond to Zn 2p3/2 and Zn 2p1/2 [3, 51]. The peaks seen at 486.3 eV and 494.4 eV, Fig. 3c, can be assigned to Sn3d5/2 and Sn3d3/2 Sn (IV), respectively, which point out to the coupling energy of Sn⁴ + ion in SnO₂ [56]. The O1 spectrum is characterized by the energy coupling peaks at 530.2, 530.7 and 531.5 eV, Fig. 3d. In this spectrum, the coupling peaks appearing at 530.2 eV and 530.7 eV are attributed to oxygen at the Sn-O and Zn-O bonds, respectively [57, 58].

328





Fig 3. XPS analysis of the ZnO/SnO₂ biosynthesized nanoparticles: (a) general XPS spectrum,
(b) Zn2p, (c) Sn3d, and (d) O1s spectrum of the composite nanoparticles.

333

334 **3.2.6.** Morphological characteristics

Fig. 4a-c shows the FESEM images of the ZnO/SnO₂ biosynthesized nanoparticles. The nanoparticles are uniform and spherical. These images show that using the extract as a surfactant leads to formation of the nanoparticles with larger sizes in an almost monodisperse manner. The nanoparticles are aggregated most likely due to heterogeneity of the their suspensions before imaging [5, 59].



340

Fig 4. FESEM images show the biosynthesized ZnO/SnO_2 nanoparticles at different magnifications (scale bars represent 1µ (a), 500 nm (b) and 200 nm (c), respectively).

343

Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis was successful in validation of the presence of Zn, Sn, O, and C in the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles. The carbon and oxygen originate from the phenolic compounds of the remaining *Viscum album* extract, Fig. 5a. Fig. 5b and c show TEM images of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles at different magnifications. As seen in the TEM images, spherical nanoparticles are fused to each other, forming clusters made of ZnO/SnO₂.



350

Fig 5. a) EDS spectrum and (b) TEM images of the biosynthesized ZnO/SnO₂ composite nanoparticles.

354 3.3. Photodegradation of the organic pollutants

355 **3.3.1. Photolysis and adsorption**

To conduct the photolysis experiments, the pollutant (CR, BPA, and TC) containing aqueous solutions (10 mg/l and pH7) were irradiated with a Xenon lamp for 1 hr. In the case of adsorption measurements, the above conditions were repeated, while adding the composite nanoparticles. The adsorption extent of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles (q_t) was determined at various time intervals through the following equation (4) [60]:

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m} \tag{4}$$

Where C_0 is the initial concentration of the pollutant and C_t is the concentration of the pollutant at time *t* (mg/l). *V* is the volume of the CR, BPA and TC pollutants containing solutions (ml) and *m* is the mass of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles (mg). The equilibrium adsorption capacity of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles, q_e (mg/g) was measured via the following equation (5) [60]:

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m} \tag{5}$$

367

Where C_0 and C_e are the initial and equilibrium concentration of the pollutant (mg/l), respectively. *V* is the bulk volume of the pollutant containing aqueous solution (ml) and *m* is the mass of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles (mg). As shown in Fig. 6a, degradation percentage of the organic pollutants, i.e., CR, BPA, and TC, due to photolysis was 13.08%, 10.61%, and 8.4%, respectively. These results match well with those reported by Rosenfeldt et al. [61] and Garg et al. [60] implying that photolysis of BPA by short time light irradiation was negligible. On the
other hand, removal percentage of the organic pollutants by the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles in the
dark solely due to adsorption was 26.55%, 23.64%, and 20.87%, for CR, BPA, and TC,
respectively.



Fig 6. (a) Photolysis and (b) adsorption behavior of CR, BPA, and TC on the ZnO/SnO₂
 nanoparticles.

380

377

381 3.3.2. Photodegradation analysis

The solar light induced photocatalytic activity of the biosynthesized nanoparticles of 382 ZnO, SnO₂ and ZnO/SnO₂ in the presence of the studied organic pollutants is demonstrated in 383 Fig. 7. As shown in this figure, photodegradation percentage of CR, BPA, and TC by the 384 ZnO/SnO_2 nanoparticles is significantly higher compared to that by the ZnO and SnO_2 385 nanoparticles alone. SnO₂ possesses electrons with higher mobility away from the valence band 386 towards the entrapping surfaces, thus can act as electron storage and create more electron and 387 388 hole pairs to produce hydroxyl radicals [3]. In other words, coupling of ZnO and SnO₂ leads to an increase in the electron transfer rate through the interface and prevents the recombination of 389 390 electrons and holes, thereby enhancing the photocatalytic activity of the ZnO/SnO₂ nanoparticles.



391

Fig 7. Comparison of the photodegradation efficiency of the ZnO, SnO₂ and ZnO/SnO₂
biosynthesized nanoparticles for: a) CR, b) BPA and c) TC.

- 394
- **395 3.3.3.** The effect of the photocatalyst dosage

To determine the effect of the photocatalyst dosage on photodegradation of the organic 396 pollutants, different dosages of photocatalysts (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1 g/l) were employed and 397 their contribution to removal of CR, BPA and TC was explored. The other experimental 398 conditions included: pH7, 10 mg/l initial concentration of the pollutants and temperature of 399 25°C. As shown in Fig. 8, after 2 hr (120 min) irradiation, based on the photocatalyst dosage of 400 401 0.5 g/l, the highest photodegradation efficiency for CR, BPA, and TC was measured to be as 402 large as 88.14%, 85.82%, and 81.39%, respectively. There is an increasing trend for the photodegradation efficiency with the photocatalyst dosage up to 0.5 g/l. The larger the 403 photocatalyst dosage, the higher the light absorption intensity and the number of active sites and 404 thus the higher pollutant removal rate will be [62-64]. However, over this critical dosage, 405

406 photodegradation efficiency drops, most likely due to turbidity of the solution being treated. 407 Turbidity hampers light penetration into the solution and thereby hinders the generation of 408 reactive OH radicals that are responsible for photodegradation of the organic pollutants. The 409 other reason for loss of the photodegradation efficiency at high photocatalyst dosages could be 410 aggregation of the nanoparticles that reduces the number of the available active sites.





412

Fig 8. The relationship between the photocatalyst dosage and photodegradation efficiency for: a)
CR, b) BPA and c) TC.

415

416 **3.3.4. The effect of pH**

The photocatalytic reactions are mediated by pH, organic pollutant content, and adsorption capacity of the photocatalyst surface [62]. Therefore, pH plays a vital role for efficient photodegradation of organic pollutants. In order to determine the optimum pH required

for removal of CR, BPA, and TC, the photodegradation experiments were performed under a 420 fixed concentration of the pollutants (10 mg/l) and the photocatalyst (0.5 g/l), but at different pH 421 422 values of 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 11. As shown in Fig. 9, the highest degradation rate for the three pollutants was achieved under mild acidic conditions and was recorded as 94.25% (for CR at pH 423 6), 87.36% (for BPA at pH4), and 83.76% (for TC at pH4). As verified earlier, pH is the most 424 influential parameter in the degradation process of many biological and chemical substances 425 [65]. Our study showed that the most efficient degradation takes place at the acidic pHs of 4 and 426 6, due to the high concentration of hydroxyl radicals in the solution, which play a significant role 427 in the oxidation of organic pollutants [66]. Also, at acidic pHs, the surface of the biosynthesized 428 nanoparticles (ZnO/SnO₂) gets protonated, thus driving an electrostatic interaction with CR, 429 BPA, and TC anionic pollutants [64]. In contrary, at alkaline pHs, anionic CR, BPA and TC are 430 431 repelled from the hydroxylated surface of the nanoparticles and thus adsorption level remains insignificant. Under acidic conditions, the adsorbed CR, BPA and TC molecules can be readily 432 oxidized by OH radicals created upon light irradiation, leading to their further removal [3]. 433



Fig 9. The effect of pH on photocatalytic degradation of: a) CR, b) BPA and c) TC, over a 120
min light irradiation cycle.

437

438 **3.3.5.** The effect of temperature

The effect of different temperatures (15, 25, 35, and 45 °C) on the degradation level of 439 CR, BPA and TC catalyzed by the composite nanoparticles was investigated. For this 440 experiment, the testing conditions included: catalyst dosage of 0.5 g/l, pollutant concentration of 441 10 mg/l, and optimal pH of 4 for BPA and TC, and of 6 for CR. As shown in Fig. 10, the higher 442 the temperature, the larger the photodegradation efficiency is. Raising the temperature from 15 to 443 45 °C, degradation efficiency increases up to 90.43%, 83.22%, and 85% for CR, BPA and TC, 444 respectively. This improvement stems from this fact that at higher temperatures, a larger number 445 of OH radicals are generated that can notably degrade the organic pollutants. 446

447



Fig 10. The effect of temperature on photodegradation efficiency of the biosynthesizedcomposite nanoparticles for: a) CR, b) BPA, and c) TC.

451

452 **3.3.6.** The effect of initial pollutant concentration

As shown in Fig. 11, photodegradation efficiency for CR, BPA and TC declines with 453 increasing their initial concentration. Regardless of the pollutant type, the highest 454 photodegradation efficiency was obtained at the initial concentration of 5 mg/l and with further 455 increase of the initial concentration, photodegradation efficiency declined. It is assumed that with 456 enhancement of the initial concentration, a larger number of the pollutant molecules sticks on the 457 surface of the photocatalyst, thereby reducing the production of hydroxyl radicals (OH) [59, 67, 458 68]. This loss in the photodegradation efficiency might be also related to blockage of light 459 460 penetration at higher concentrations of the pollutants and thus generation of a lower density of the reactive radicals [69]. 461



464 Fig 11. The effect of the initial pollutant concentration on photodegradation efficiency of the
465 biosynthesized composite nanoparticles for: a) CR, b) BPA, and c) TC.

466

467 **3.4. Photodegradation kinetics**

The photocatalytic degradation of CR, BPA, and TC by the biosynthesized nanoparticles (ZnO/SnO₂) was consistent with the pseudo-first-order kinetic model. The rate constant (K_{app}) of the reactions was determined through the pseudo-first order kinetic equation (6)[5]:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -K_{app}C \tag{6}$$

472 Where *C* is the pollutant concentration and *t* is the reaction time. The equation 5 can be 473 converted to the following equation (7):

474
$$Ln(\frac{C_0}{C_t}) = K_{app} \times t \tag{7}$$

475

Here C_0 and C_t are the initial concentration and momentary concentration of the 476 pollutant, respectively. As shown in Fig. 12a-c, there is a linear relationship between C_0/C_t and 477 irradiation time, implying that degradation of the pollutants (CR, BPA, and TC) follows the 478 pseudo-first-order kinetic model. On the other hand, the regression coefficient and the degree of 479 correlation obtained from Fig. 12a-c confirm that the degradation behavior complies well with 480 the L-H model. The initial reaction rates and constants for photodegradation of CR, BPA, and 481 TC are tabulated in Table 3. As seen in this table, R^2 values were close to 1 but declined with 482 increasing the pollutants rate [5]. In fact, the photodegradation rate decreased with rising the 483 484 pollutants concentration. As shown in Table 3, it turns out that the photodegradation rate 485 constant reduces with increment of the pollutants concentration, most likely due to formation of intermediate products that neutralize the hydroxyl radicals. 486



487

Fig 12. Kinetic plots of the photodegradation process of: a) CR, b) BPA, and c) TC, in thepresence of the biosynthesized composite nanoparticles with varying dosages.

491 Table 3. The first-order kinetic model parameters for the photocatalytic degradation process of492 the organic pollutants

CR Concentration (mg/L)	Reaction Rate (k)	Correlation Coefficient	Fitting Equation
	(min ⁻¹)	(R ²)	
5	0.0228	0.09891	Y=0.0228x+1.7363
10	0.0189	0.996	Y=0.0189x+1.4455
20	0.0145	0.9848	Y=0.0145x+1.0745
30	0.0078	0.9824	Y=0.0078x+0.9006
40	0.0067	0.9865	Y=0.0067x+0.6009
BPA Concentration (mg/L)	Reaction Rate (k)	Correlation Coefficient	Fitting Equation
	(min ⁻¹)	(R ²)	

5	0.0223	0.9831	Y=0.0223x+1.4658
10	0.0192	0.9907	Y=0.0192x+1.1183
20	0.014	0.9762	Y=0.014x+01.9466
30	0.0091	0.9694	Y=0.0091x+0.6376
40	0.0071	0.963	Y=0.0071x+0.4652
TC Concentration (mg/L)	Reaction Rate (k)	Correlation Coefficient	Fitting Equation
		(\mathbf{R}^2)	
	(min ⁻¹)	(A)	
5	(min ⁻¹) 0.0139	0.978	Y=0.0139x+1.6725
5	(min ⁻¹) 0.0139 0.0124	0.978	Y=0.0139x+1.6725 Y=0.0124x+1.2975
5 10 20	(min ⁻¹) 0.0139 0.0124 0.01	0.978 0.9766 0.9640	Y=0.0139x+1.6725 Y=0.0124x+1.2975 Y=0.01x+1.0327
5 10 20 30	(min ⁻¹) 0.0139 0.0124 0.01 0.0093	0.978 0.9766 0.9640 0.958	Y=0.0139x+1.6725 Y=0.0124x+1.2975 Y=0.01x+1.0327 Y=0.0093x+0.6076
5 10 20 30 40	(min ⁻¹) 0.0139 0.0124 0.01 0.0093 0.0006	0.978 0.9766 0.9640 0.958 0.9197	Y=0.0139x+1.6725 Y=0.0124x+1.2975 Y=0.01x+1.0327 Y=0.0093x+0.6076 Y=0.0006x+0.2358

To investigate the mineralization of CR, BPA, and TC pollutants and their optimum photodegradation by the composite nanoparticles, total organic carbon (TOC) value was quantified, Fig. 13. The degradation (removal) of TOC can properly indicate the mineralization of the organic pollutants. The TOC removal in the first 30 minutes of the reaction was about 54.23%, 56.78%, and 55.14% for CR, BPA, and TC, respectively. After 120 minutes, the TOC degradation percentage raised up to 78.26%, 80.15%, and 75% for CR, BPA, and TC, respectively.



Fig 13. The TOC degradation percentage over the irradiation time for: a) CR, b) BPA, and c)
 TC.

501

505 **3.5.** Stability and recyclability of the photocatalyst nanoparticles

506 The recyclability and stability of photocatalysts are crucial for their industrial applications and for wastewater treatment [59]. To determine the reusability of the photocatalyst 507 (ZnO/SnO₂), the photodegradation process was repeated for four successive cycles. To do so, 508 after each cycle, the nanoparticles were collected via filtration and used for a new 509 510 photodegradation process. As shown in Fig. 14, photodegradation efficiency after four successive cycles declined from 93.44% to 85.36% (CR), from 90.12% to 82.49% (BPA), and 511 from 85.5% to 73.51% (TC). Therefore, it can be confidently stated that the biosynthesized 512 nanoparticles are sufficiently stable and recyclable. The insignificant loss in the 513 photodegradation efficiency might originate from occupation of the surface active sites of the 514

515 photocatalyst by CR, BPA, and TC molecules, reducing the photogeneration of holes and 516 electrons.



517

Fig 14. Stability and reusability of the biosynthesized nanoparticles for photodegradation of: a)
CR, b) BPA, and c) TC.

520

521 **3.6. Photodegradation mechanism**

Fig. 15 schematically demonstrates the charge transfer process by the ZnO and SnO₂ 522 523 nanoparticles. When the biosynthesized ZnO-SnO₂ nanoparticles are irradiated, electrons (e⁻) are transferred from the ZnO conduction band (CB) to the SnO₂ valence band (VB). The holes also 524 simultaneously shift from the VB of SnO₂ to the VB of ZnO. In other words, supposing that the 525 diversity between the conduction band edge and the flat band potential is insignificant, the ZnO 526 and SnO₂ valence band edges can be found by adding more band gap energy to the flat band 527 potential rate. And since the ZnO band gap energy is lower than that of SnO₂, electrons are 528 shifted from the ZnO's CB to the SnO2's VB. The photogenerated electrons can react with the 529 molecule of oxygen, and the holes are trapped by OH, resulting in the formation of a •OH radical 530

and a superoxide anion radical (O_2^{-}). In other words, electrons (e^{-}) can reduce the molecular 531 oxygen adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalyst creating a superoxide anion ($O_2 \rightarrow$) and this 532 superoxide anion can further produce •OH radicals through the formation of HOO• radicals and 533 H_2O_2 . These radicals are able to oxidize CR, BPA, and TC molecules and thus photodegrade the 534 organic pollutants [70]. Accordingly, effective charge segregation enhances the longevity of 535 charge carriers and decreases the recombination rate of the electron-hole pairs by formation of 536 the Schottky barriers conduction band for trapping electrons and thus improves the 537 photocatalytic potential. 538

The outstanding photocatalytic activity of the ZnO-SnO₂ nanoparticles compared to the 539 pure oxides can be attributed to co-existence of two semiconductors with different CB and VB 540 energy levels. Depending on the potentials of the photogenerated electrons (e⁻) and holes (h⁺), 541 542 vectorial transfer of charge carriers from a semiconductor to another is realized. As a result, electrons and holes are more efficiently separated and a higher photocatalytic activity is achieved 543 544 [71]. The ZnO and SnO_2 nanoparticles are n-type semiconductors and the difference between the CB edge and the flat band potential is negligible. Therefore, it can be determined the valence 545 546 band edge of ZnO and SnO₂ by adding the bandgap energy to the flat band potential value [22]. The VB of the ZnO nanoparticles ($E_{VB} = 2.84 \text{ eV}$) is less than that of the SnO₂ nanoparticles 547 $(E_{VB} = 3.44 \text{eV})$. Similarly, the CB of the ZnO nanoparticles $(E_{CB} = -0.36 \text{ eV})$ is inferior to that of 548 the SnO₂ nanoparticles ($E_{CB} = -0.11$ eV), Fig.15. When the ZnO/SnO₂ nanocomposites are 549 550 irradiated by the solar light, electrons (e) can shift from the more cathodic CB of ZnO to the more anodic CB of SnO₂. Similarly, holes can move from the VB of SnO₂ to the VB of ZnO. 551 552 The effective charge separation can increase the lifetime of the charge carriers and thus promote the interfacial charge transfer to the adsorbed substrates. 553

554





Fig 15. Schematic diagram of the charge transfer routes between the ZnO/SnO₂ phases

559 **4. Conclusion**

560 In this study, we experimentally validated that the Viscum album extract offers outstanding 561 antioxidant properties, thanks to its abundant phenolic and flavonoid compounds. We benefitted 562 from the Viscum album alcoholic extract to synthesize the ZnO/SnO₂ composite nanoparticles 563 in an eco-friendly manner. The as-synthesized nanoparticles (ZnO/SnO_2) were subsequently used for photodegradation of three organic pollutants commonly found in wastewater streams 564 including CR, BPA, and TC. Thanks to exceptional optical properties of the nanocomposite 565 566 nanoparticles, a high photodegradation efficiency of 93.44% (CR), 90.12% (BPA), and 85.5% (TC) under solar light was achieved. The biosynthesized nanoparticles showed promising 567 stability after 4 reuse cycles and were able to still optimally photodegrade the organic 568 569 pollutants. Conclusively, the biosynthesized photocatalytic nanoparticles can be used as a promising material that can remove organic pollutants from wastewater in a sustainable and 570 energy efficient way. 571

572 **Conflicts of interest**

573 There are no conflicts to declare.

575 Acknowledgments: M.H.S. gratefully acknowledges the Research Council of Ardakan

- 576 University. Furthermore, they appreciate the assistance of laboratories in the Faculty of Natural
- 577 Resources and Environment, University of Birjand, during the course of the experiments and
- 578 analysis.
- 579

580 **References**

- [1] M. Palaniappan, P.H. Gleick, L. Allen, M.J. Cohen, J. Christian-Smith, C. Smith, N. Ross, Clearing the
 waters: a focus on water quality solutions, 2010.
- 583 [2] M. Pera-Titus, V. García-Molina, M.A. Baños, J. Giménez, S. Esplugas, Degradation of chlorophenols
- 584 by means of advanced oxidation processes: a general review, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 47(4) 585 (2004) 219-256.
- 586 [3] N. Ahmadpour, M.H. Sayadi, S. Sobhani, M. Hajiani, A potential natural solar light active
- 587 photocatalyst using magnetic ZnFe₂O₄@ TiO₂/Cu nanocomposite as a high performance and recyclable
- platform for degradation of naproxen from aqueous solution, Journal of Cleaner Production 268 (2020)122023.
- 590 [4] L. Dong, T. Xu, W. Chen, W. Lu, Synergistic multiple active species for the photocatalytic degradation
- of contaminants by imidazole-modified g-C₃N₄ coordination with iron phthalocyanine in the presence of peroxymonosulfate, Chemical Engineering Journal 357 (2019) 198-208.
- 593 [5] N. Ahmadpour, M.H. Sayadi, S. Sobhani, M. Hajiani, Photocatalytic degradation of model
- 594 pharmaceutical pollutant by novel magnetic TiO2@ ZnFe2O4/Pd nanocomposite with enhanced
- photocatalytic activity and stability under solar light irradiation, Journal of Environmental Management
 271 (2020) 110964.
- [6] B. Poornaprakash, U. Chalapathi, M.C. Sekhar, V. Rajendar, S.P. Vattikuti, M.S.P. Reddy, Y. Suh, S.-H.
- Park, Effect of Eu³⁺ on the morphology, structural, optical, magnetic, and photocatalytic properties of
 ZnO nanoparticles, Superlattices and Microstructures 123 (2018) 154-163.
- 600 [7] A. Di Paola, E. García-López, G. Marcì, L. Palmisano, A survey of photocatalytic materials for
- environmental remediation, Journal of hazardous materials 211 (2012) 3-29.
- 602 [8] B. Poornaprakash, U. Chalapathi, B.P. Reddy, S.P. Vattikuti, M.S.P. Reddy, S.-H. Park, Elemental,
- morphological, structural, optical, and magnetic properties of erbium doped ZnO nanoparticles,
 Materials Research Express 5(3) (2018) 035018.
- 605 [9] B. Poornaprakash, U. Chalapathi, K. Subramanyam, S.P. Vattikuti, S.-H. Park, Wurtzite phase Co-
- 606 doped ZnO nanorods: Morphological, structural, optical, magnetic, and enhanced photocatalytic
- 607 characteristics, Ceramics International 46(3) (2020) 2931-2939.
- 608 [10] D. Štrbac, C.A. Aggelopoulos, G. Štrbac, M. Dimitropoulos, M. Novaković, T. Ivetić, S.N.
- 609 Yannopoulos, Photocatalytic degradation of Naproxen and methylene blue: comparison between ZnO,
- TiO₂ and their mixture, Process Safety and Environmental Protection 113 (2018) 174-183.
- 611 [11] H.B. Hadjltaief, M.B. Zina, M.E. Galvez, P. Da Costa, Photocatalytic degradation of methyl green dye
- 612 in aqueous solution over natural clay-supported ZnO–TiO₂ catalysts, Journal of Photochemistry and
- 613 Photobiology A: Chemistry 315 (2016) 25-33.
- [12] A.A.M. Sakib, S.M. Masum, J. Hoinkis, R. Islam, M. Molla, A. Islam, Synthesis of CuO/ZnO
- nanocomposites and their application in photodegradation of toxic textile dye, Journal of Composites
- 616 Science 3(3) (2019) 91.
- 617 [13] R. Ebrahimi, A. Maleki, Y. Zandsalimi, R. Ghanbari, B. Shahmoradi, R. Rezaee, M. Safari, S.W. Joo, H.
- Daraei, S.H. Puttaiah, Photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes using WO₃-doped ZnO nanoparticles

- fixed on a glass surface in aqueous solution, Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 73 (2019)297-305.
- 621 [14] K.A. Adegoke, M. Iqbal, H. Louis, O.S. Bello, Synthesis, characterization and application of CdS/ZnO
- 622 nanorod heterostructure for the photodegradation of Rhodamine B dye, Materials Science for Energy
- 623 Technologies 2(2) (2019) 329-336.
- 624 [15] S. Vattikuti, Y.-J. Baik, C. Byon, Enhanced photocatalytic activity of MoO₃-supported SnO₂ composite
- 625 synthesized by a wet chemical method, Materials Express 6(2) (2016) 161-174.
- 626 [16] K. Devarayapalli, S.P. Vattikuti, K.S. Yoo, P. Nagajyothi, J. Shim, Rapid microwave-assisted
- 627 construction of ZIF-8 derived ZnO and ZnO@ Ta2O5 nanocomposite as an efficient electrode for
- methanol and urea electro-oxidation, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 878 (2020) 114634.
- 629 [17] S.P. Vattikuti, P.A.K. Reddy, J. Shim, C. Byon, Visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of SnO₂–ZnO
- quantum dots anchored on g-C₃N₄ nanosheets for photocatalytic pollutant degradation and H_2
- 631 production, ACS omega 3(7) (2018) 7587-7602.
- [18] J. Vidic, S. Stankic, F. Haque, D. Ciric, R. Le Goffic, A. Vidy, J. Jupille, B. Delmas, Selective antibacterial
 effects of mixed ZnMgO nanoparticles, Journal of Nanoparticle Research 15(5) (2013) 1-10.
- 634 [19] M. Hassanpour, H. Safardoust-Hojaghan, M. Salavati-Niasari, Degradation of methylene blue and
- Rhodamine B as water pollutants via green synthesized Co₃O₄/ZnO nanocomposite, Journal of Molecular
- 636 Liquids 229 (2017) 293-299.
- 637 [20] P. Lu, W. Zhou, Y. Li, J. Wang, P. Wu, Abnormal room temperature ferromagnetism in CuO/ZnO
- 638 nanocomposites via hydrothermal method, Applied Surface Science 399 (2017) 396-402.
- 639 [21] M. Hassanpour, M. Salavati-Niasari, S.A. Mousavi, H. Safardoust-Hojaghan, M. Hamadanian,
- 640 CeO₂/ZnO ceramic nanocomposites, synthesized via microwave method and used for decolorization of 641 dye, Journal of Nanostructures 8(1) (2018) 97-106.
- 642 [22] A. Hamrouni, N. Moussa, F. Parrino, A. Di Paola, A. Houas, L. Palmisano, Sol–gel synthesis and
- photocatalytic activity of ZnO–SnO₂ nanocomposites, Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 390
 (2014) 133-141.
- [23] R. Malik, P.S. Rana, V. Chaudhary, V.K. Tomer, S. Nehra, S. Duhan, Nanostructured WO₃/SnO₂ and
- TiO₂/SnO₂ Heterojunction with Enhanced Photocatalytic Performance, Energy and Environment Focus
 5(2) (2016) 108-115.
- 648 [24] R. Saravanan, V. Gupta, V. Narayanan, A. Stephen, Visible light degradation of textile effluent using
- novel catalyst ZnO/γ-Mn₂O₃, Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers 45(4) (2014) 1910 1917.
- 651 [25] R. Saravanan, S. Karthikeyan, V. Gupta, G. Sekaran, V. Narayanan, A. Stephen, Enhanced
- 652 photocatalytic activity of ZnO/CuO nanocomposite for the degradation of textile dye on visible light
- 653 illumination, Materials Science and Engineering: C 33(1) (2013) 91-98.
- [26] S. Zinatloo-Ajabshir, M.S. Morassaei, O. Amiri, M. Salavati-Niasari, L.K. Foong, Nd₂Sn₂O₇
- 655 nanostructures: green synthesis and characterization using date palm extract, a potential
- electrochemical hydrogen storage material, Ceramics International 46(11) (2020) 17186-17196.
- 657 [27] B. Siripireddy, B.K. Mandal, Facile green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles by *Eucalyptus globulus*
- and their photocatalytic and antioxidant activity, Advanced Powder Technology 28(3) (2017) 785-797.
- 659 [28] R. Rathnasamy, P. Thangasamy, R. Thangamuthu, S. Sampath, V. Alagan, Green synthesis of ZnO
- 660 nanoparticles using *Carica papaya* leaf extracts for photocatalytic and photovoltaic applications, Journal
- of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics 28(14) (2017) 10374-10381.
- 662 [29] T. Karnan, S.A.S. Selvakumar, Biosynthesis of ZnO nanoparticles using rambutan (**Nephelium**
- 663 **lappaceumL.**) peel extract and their photocatalytic activity on methyl orange dye, Journal of molecular
- 664 Structure 1125 (2016) 358-365.

- [30] C. Soto-Robles, O. Nava, A. Vilchis-Nestor, A. Castro-Beltrán, C. Gómez-Gutiérrez, E. Lugo-Medina,
- A. Olivas, P. Luque, Biosynthesized zinc oxide using *Lycopersicon esculentum* peel extract for methylene
- blue degradation, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics 29(5) (2018) 3722-3729.
- 668 [31] Y. Zheng, L. Fu, F. Han, A. Wang, W. Cai, J. Yu, J. Yang, F. Peng, Green biosynthesis and
- 669 characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticles using Corymbia citriodora leaf extract and their
- 670 photocatalytic activity, Green Chemistry Letters and Reviews 8(2) (2015) 59-63.
- [32] S. Erentürk, E. Malkoç, Removal of lead (II) by adsorption onto *Viscum album L*.: Effect of
- temperature and equilibrium isotherm analyses, Applied surface science 253(10) (2007) 4727-4733.
- [33] K. Kolon, A. Samecka-Cymerman, A. Klink, A.J. Kempers, Viscum album versus host (Sorbus
- aucuparia) as bioindicators of urban areas with various levels of pollution, Journal of Environmental
 Science and Health, Part A 48(2) (2013) 205-210.
- 676 [34] M.A. Soobrattee, V.S. Neergheen, A. Luximon-Ramma, O.I. Aruoma, T. Bahorun, Phenolics as
- potential antioxidant therapeutic agents: mechanism and actions, Mutation Research/Fundamental and
 Molecular mechanisms of mutagenesis 579(1-2) (2005) 200-213.
- [35] S. Aryal, M.K. Baniya, K. Danekhu, P. Kunwar, R. Gurung, N. Koirala, Total phenolic content,
- flavonoid content and antioxidant potential of wild vegetables from Western Nepal, Plants 8(4) (2019)96.
- [36] J. Santhoshkumar, S.V. Kumar, S. Rajeshkumar, Synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using plant leaf
- extract against urinary tract infection pathogen, Resource-Efficient Technologies 3(4) (2017) 459-465.
- [37] N. Verma, S. Yadav, B. Marí, A. Mittal, J. Jindal, Synthesis and charcterization of coupled ZnO/SnO₂
- photocatalysts and their activity towards degradation of cibacron red dye, Transactions of the Indian
 Ceramic Society 77(1) (2018) 1-7.
- [38] N. Ahmadpour, M.H. Sayadi, V. Anoop, B. Mansouri, Ultrasonic degradation of ibuprofen from the
- aqueous solution in the presence of titanium dioxide nanoparticles/hydrogen peroxide, Desalination and
 Water Treatment 145 (2019) 291-299.
- 690 [39] W.-D. Oh, Z. Dong, G. Ronn, T.-T. Lim, Surface–active bismuth ferrite as superior peroxymonosulfate
- 691 activator for aqueous sulfamethoxazole removal: performance, mechanism and quantification of sulfate 692 radical, Journal of hazardous materials 325 (2017) 71-81.
- [40] F. Shahidi, P. Ambigaipalan, Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant
 activity and health effects–A review, Journal of functional foods 18 (2015) 820-897.
- [41] A. Karagöz, E. Önay, N. Arda, A. Kuru, Antiviral potency of mistletoe (*Viscum album ssp. album*)
- 696 extracts against human parainfluenza virus type 2 in Vero cells, Phytotherapy Research 17(5) (2003) 560-697 562.
- [42] S. Žilić, Phenolic compounds of wheat. Their content, antioxidant capacity and bioaccessibility, MOJ
 Food Processing & Technology 2(3) (2016) 00037.
- 700 [43] W. Pietrzak, R. Nowak, M. Olech, Effect of extraction method on phenolic content and antioxidant
- 701 activity of mistletoe extracts from *Viscum album* subsp. abietis, Chemical Papers 68(7) (2014) 976-982.
- [44] V. Katalinic, M. Milos, T. Kulisic, M. Jukic, Screening of 70 medicinal plant extracts for antioxidant
 capacity and total phenols, Food chemistry 94(4) (2006) 550-557.
- 704 [45] J. Piechocka, K. Szymandera-Buszka, J. Kobus-Cisowska, A. Gramza-Michałowska, A. Jędrusek-
- Golińska, The effect of thiamine concentration on the antioxidative activity indices in *tea* extracts,
- 706 Antioxidants 8(11) (2019) 555.
- 707 [46] M. Gulluce, F. Sahin, M. Sokmen, H. Ozer, D. Daferera, A. Sokmen, M. Polissiou, A. Adiguzel, H.
- Ozkan, Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of the essential oils and methanol extract from *Mentha longifolia L.* ssp. longifolia, Food chemistry 103(4) (2007) 1449-1456.
- 710 [47] R. Karamian, M. Asadbegy, Antioxidant activity, total phenolic and flavonoid contents of three
- 711 *Onobrychis* species from Iran, Pharmaceutical sciences 22(2) (2016) 112-119.

- 712 [48] K. Saeedi, R. Omidbaigi, Determination of phenolics, soluble carbohydrates, carotenoid contents
- and minerals of dog rose (*Rosa canina L.*) fruits grown in south-west of Iran, Iranian Journal of Medicinal
 and Aromatic Plants 25(2) (2009) 203-215.
- [49] K. Asha, G. Sucheta, M. Kavita, D. Nirmala, S. Jyoti, Quantification of phenolics and flavonoids by
- spectrophotometer from-Juglans regia, International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences 1(3) (2010).
- 717 [50] Q.D. Do, A.E. Angkawijaya, P.L. Tran-Nguyen, L.H. Huynh, F.E. Soetaredjo, S. Ismadji, Y.-H. Ju, Effect
- of extraction solvent on total phenol content, total flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of
- *Limnophila aromatica*, Journal of food and drug analysis 22(3) (2014) 296-302.
- 720 [51] M.T. Uddin, Y. Nicolas, C. Olivier, T. Toupance, L. Servant, M.M. Muller, H.-J. Kleebe, J. Ziegler, W.
- 721 Jaegermann, Nanostructured SnO₂–ZnO heterojunction photocatalysts showing enhanced
- photocatalytic activity for the degradation of organic dyes, Inorganic chemistry 51(14) (2012) 77647773.
- [52] D. Chen, L. Gao, Novel synthesis of well-dispersed crystalline SnO₂ nanoparticles by water-in-oil
- microemulsion-assisted hydrothermal process, Journal of colloid and interface science 279(1) (2004)
 137-142.
- 727 [53] D.J. Sornalatha, P. Murugakoothan, Room temperature synthesis of ZnO nanostructures using CTAB
- assisted sol-gel method for application in solar cells, International journal of emerging technology and
 advanced engineering 3(9) (2013) 414-418.
- 730 [54] P. Jamdagni, P. Khatri, J. Rana, Green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using flower extract of
- 731 *Nyctanthes arbor-tristis* and their antifungal activity, Journal of King Saud University-Science 30(2)
- 732 (2018) 168-175.
- 733 [55] C. Shifu, C. Lei, G. Shen, C. Gengyu, The preparation of coupled WO₃/TiO₂ photocatalyst by ball
- 734 milling, Powder technology 160(3) (2005) 198-202.
- 735 [56] A. Babar, S. Shinde, A. Moholkar, C. Bhosale, J. Kim, K. Rajpure, Structural and optoelectronic
- properties of antimony incorporated tin oxide thin films, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 505(2) (2010)416-422.
- 738 [57] G. Ballerini, K. Ogle, M.-G. Barthés-Labrousse, The acid–base properties of the surface of native zinc
- oxide layers: an XPS study of adsorption of 1, 2-diaminoethane, Applied surface science 253(16) (2007)
 6860-6867.
- [58] G. Li, M.K. Leung, Template-free synthesis of hierarchical porous SnO₂, Journal of sol-gel science and
 technology 53(3) (2010) 499-503.
- 743 [59] N. Ahmadpour, M.H. Sayadi, S. Homaeigohar, A hierarchical Ca/TiO₂/NH₂-MIL-125 nanocomposite
- photocatalyst for solar visible light induced photodegradation of organic dye pollutants in water, RSC
 Advances 10(50) (2020) 29808-29820.
- [60] A. Garg, T. Singhania, A. Singh, S. Sharma, S. Rani, A. Neogy, S.R. Yadav, V.K. Sangal, N. Garg,
- Photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol-A using N, Co Codoped TiO₂ catalyst under solar light, Scientific
 reports 9(1) (2019) 1-13.
- 749 [61] E.J. Rosenfeldt, K.G. Linden, Degradation of endocrine disrupting chemicals bisphenol A, ethinyl
- estradiol, and estradiol during UV photolysis and advanced oxidation processes, Environmental Science
 & Technology 38(20) (2004) 5476-5483.
- 752 [62] F. Poorsajadi, M.H. Sayadi, M. Hajiani, M.R. Rezaei, Synthesis of CuO/Bi₂O₃ nanocomposite for
- rts3 efficient and recycling photodegradation of methylene blue dye, International Journal of Environmental
- 754 Analytical Chemistry (2020) 1-14.
- 755 [63] M.H. Sayadi, N. Ahmadpour, S. Homaeigohar, Photocatalytic and Antibacterial Properties of Ag-
- 756 CuFe2O4@ WO3 Magnetic Nanocomposite, Nanomaterials 11(2) (2021) 298.
- 757 [64] M. Thomas, G.A. Naikoo, M.U.D. Sheikh, M. Bano, F. Khan, Effective photocatalytic degradation of
- 758 Congo red dye using alginate/carboxymethyl cellulose/TiO₂ nanocomposite hydrogel under direct
- sunlight irradiation, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 327 (2016) 33-43.

- 760 [65] M. Dehghani, S. Nasseri, M. Ahmadi, M.R. Samaei, A. Anushiravani, Removal of penicillin G from
- aqueous phase by Fe⁺³-TiO₂/UV-A process, Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering
 12(1) (2014) 1-7.
- 763 [66] S.H. Borji, S. Nasseri, A.H. Mahvi, R. Nabizadeh, A.H. Javadi, Investigation of photocatalytic
- degradation of phenol by Fe (III)-doped TiO₂ and TiO₂ nanoparticles, Journal of Environmental Health
- 765 Science and Engineering 12(1) (2014) 1-10.
- 766 [67] J. Grzechulska, A.W. Morawski, Photocatalytic labyrinth flow reactor with immobilized P25 TiO₂ bed
- for removal of phenol from water, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 46(2) (2003) 415-419.
- 768 [68] M.H. Sayadi, S. Sobhani, H. Shekari, Photocatalytic degradation of azithromycin using GO@
- 769 Fe3O4/ZnO/SnO2 nanocomposites, Journal of Cleaner Production 232 (2019) 127-136.
- 770 [69] A. Takdastan, B. Kakavandi, M. Azizi, M. Golshan, Efficient activation of peroxymonosulfate by using
- 771 ferroferric oxide supported on carbon/UV/US system: a new approach into catalytic degradation of
- bisphenol A, Chemical Engineering Journal 331 (2018) 729-743.
- 773 [70] F. Liu, T. He, Q. Cao, Y. Xu, W. Liu, W. Zhou, Structure and Property of Nano-TiO₂ Doped with Ag⁺
- 774 Membrane Photocatalyst, Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology 15(4) (2015) 2726-2732.
- 775 [71] W. Ali, H. Ullah, A. Zada, M.K. Alamgir, W. Muhammad, M.J. Ahmad, A. Nadhman, Effect of
- calcination temperature on the photoactivities of ZnO/SnO₂ nanocomposites for the degradation of
- methyl orange, Materials Chemistry and Physics 213 (2018) 259-266.