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#### Abstract

Neutrosophic set, proposed by Smarandache considers a truth membership function, an indeterminacy membership function and a falsity membership function. Soft set, proposed by Molodtsov is a mathematical framework which has the ability of independency of parameterizations inadequacy, syndrome of fuzzy set, rough set, probability. Those concepts have been utilized successfully to model uncertainty in several areas of application such as control, reasoning, game theory, pattern recognition, and computer vision. Nonetheless, there are many problems in real-world applications containing indeterminate and inconsistent information that cannot be effectively handled by the neutrosophic set and soft set. In this paper, we propose the notation of bipolar neutrosophic soft sets that combines soft sets and bipolar neutrosophic sets. Some algebraic operations of the bipolar neutrosophic set such as the complement, union, intersection are examined. We then propose an aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator of a bipolar neutrosophic soft set and develop a decision making algorithm based on bipolar neutrosophic soft sets. Numerical examples are given to show the feasibility and effectiveness of the developed approach.
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## 1. Introduction

To handle uncertainty, Zadeh [34] proposed fuzzy set which is characterized by a membership degree with range in the unit interval $[0,1]$. From several decades, this novel concept is utilized successfully to model uncertainty in several areas of application such as control, reasoning, game theory, pattern recognition, and computer vision. Fuzzy sets, especially, become an important area for the research in medical diagnosis, engineering, social sciences etc. Since in fuzzy set, the degree of association of an element is single value in the unit interval [ 0,1 ], it may not be adequate that the non-association of an element

[^0]is equal to 1 minus the association degree due to the existence of hesitation degree. Thus Atanassov [4] coined intuitionistic fuzzy set in 1986 to overcome this issue by incorporating the hesitation degree socalled hesitation margin which is define by 1 minus the sum of association degree and non-association degree. Consequently the intuitionistic fuzzy set captured an association degree as well as non-association degree which became the generalization of fuzzy set.

To judge the human decision making ability based on positive and negative effects, Bosc and Pivert [5] said that bipolarity provides the propensity of the human mind to reason and make decisions that depends on positive and negative effects. They argued that both positive information depicts what is possible, satisfactory, permitted, desired, or considered as being acceptable while the negative statements express what is impossible, restricted, rejected, or
forbidden and negativity of choices correspond to constraints, since they particularize that what kind of values or objects have to be rejected (i.e., those that do not satisfy the constraints or totally opposite), whereas positive preferences correspond to wishes, as they specify which objects are more desirable than others (i.e., satisfy user wishes) without rejecting those that do not meet the wishes. To utilize this idea, Lee [24, 25] defined bipolar fuzzy sets which generalizes the concept fuzzy sets. Kang and Kang [23] applied the bipolar fuzzy set theory to sub-semigroups with operators in semigroups.

Smarandache [32] in 1998, introduced neutrosophic set and neutrosophic logic by considering a truth membership function, an indeterminacy membership function and a falsity membership function. Neutrosophic set has the ability to generalize classical sets, fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Smarandache [32] and Wang et al. [33] further developed single valued neutrosophic sets in order to use them in an easy way in scientific and engineering fields. Then, Deli et al. [16] developed bipolar neutrosophic sets and study their application in decicion making. Ali et al. [2] proposed neutrosophic cubic set with application in pattern recognition. Broumi et al. [36, 37] introduced Bipolar Single Valued Neutrosophic Graph theory and its Shortest Path problem. Recently, Ali and Smarandache [1] define complex neutrosophic set to represent the uncertain. Some more literature on neutrosophic set and applications can be found in [7, 8, 17-20, 38-58].

Molodtsov [29] proposed soft set to handle uncertainty in a parameterized way. Soft set is a mathematical framework which has the ability of independency of parameterizations inadequacy, syndrome of fuzzy set, rough set, probability etc.. Soft set applied successfully in several fields to tackle the issues and problems such as smoothness of functions, game theory, operation reaserch, Riemann integration, Perron integration, and probability. Also, Karaaslan and Karatas [22] Aslam et al. [3] studied bipolar soft sets and bipolar fuzzy soft sets, respectively. A huge amount of research work on soft set theory can be seen in $[9-12,14,21,26,30]$. Also, some authors studied concept of neutrosophic soft set in $[6,13,15,27,28]$.

This paper is dedicated to propose bipolar neutrosophic set which is a hybrid structure of soft set and bipolar neutrosophic set. Firstly, we introduce the bipolar neutrosophic soft set and discuss some basic properties with illustrative examples adopting from Kang and Kang [23]. Then, we study some algebraic
operations of the bipolar neutrosophic set such as the complement, union, intersection etc. We then propose an aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator of a bipolar neutrosophic soft set and develop a decision making algorithm based on bipolar neutrosophic soft sets. Numerical examples are given to show the feasibility and effectiveness of the developed approach.
The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we presented the relevant literature review. Section 2 is dedicated to the fundamental concepts. In Section 3, bipolar neutrosophic set has been presented. We also studied core properties in the same section. Section 4 is about aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator of a bipolar neutrosophic soft set. In this section the proposed algorithm based on aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator of a bipolar neutrosophic soft set is presented with a numerical example. Conclusion is given in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminary

In this section, we give the basic definitions and results of neutrosophic set theory [32], soft set theory [29], neutrosophic soft set theory [13], bipolar fuzzy set [24], bipolar fuzzy soft set [3] and bipolar neutrosophic set [16] that are useful for subsequent discussions.

Definition 1. [32] Let $U$ be a universe. A neutrosophic sets (NS) $K$ in $U$ is characterized by a truth-membership function $T_{K}$, an indeterminacymembership function $I_{K}$ and a falsity-membership function $F_{K} . T_{K}(x) ; I_{K}(x)$ and $F_{K}(x)$ are real standard or non-standard elements of $] 0^{-}, 1^{+}$. It can be written as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K=\left\{<x,\left(T_{K}(x), I_{K}(x), F_{K}(x)\right)>: x \in U,\right. \\
\left.T_{K}(x), I_{K}(x), F_{K}(x) \in\right]^{-} 0,1\left[^{+}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

There is no restriction on the sum of $T_{K}(x), I_{K}(x)$ and $F_{K}(x)$, so $0^{-} \leq T_{K}(x)+I_{K}(x)+F_{K}(x) \leq 3^{+}$.

Definition 2. [33] Let $E$ be a universe. A single valued neutrosophic sets (SVNS) A, which can be used in real scientific and engineering applications, in $E$ is characterized by a truth-membership function $T_{A}$, a indeterminacy-membership function $I_{A}$ and a falsitymembership function $F_{A} \cdot T_{A}(x), I_{A}(x)$ and $F_{A}(x)$ are real standard elements of $[0,1]$. It can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left\{<x,\left(T_{A}(x), I_{A}(x), F_{A}(x)\right)>: x \in E,\right. \\
\left.T_{A}(x), I_{A}(x), F_{A}(x) \in[0,1]\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 3. [29] Let $U$ be a universe, $E$ be a set of parameters that describe the elements of $U$, and $A \subseteq E$. Then, a soft set $F_{A}$ over $U$ is a set defined by a set valued function $f_{A}$ representing a mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A}: E \rightarrow P(U) \text { s.t } f_{A}(x)=\emptyset \text { if } x \in E-A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{A}$ is called approximate function of the soft set $F_{A}$. In other words, the soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set $U$, and therefore it can be written a set of ordered pairs

$$
F_{A}=\left\{\left(x, f_{A}(x)\right): x \in E, f_{A}(x)=\emptyset \text { if } x \in E-A\right\}
$$

Definition 4. [13] Let $U$ be a universe, $N(U)$ be the set of all neutrosophic sets on $U, E$ be a set of parameters that are describing the elements of $U$. Then, a neutrosophic soft set $N$ over $U$ is a set defined by a set valued function $f_{N}$ representing a mapping

$$
f_{N}: E \rightarrow N(U)
$$

where $f_{N}$ is called an approximate function of the neutrosophic soft set $N$. For $x \in E$, the set $f_{N}(x)$ is called $x$-approximation of the neutrosophic soft set $N$ which may be arbitrary, some of them may be empty and some may have a nonempty intersection. In other words, the neutrosophic soft set is a parameterized family of some elements of the set $N(U)$, and therefore it can be written a set of ordered pairs,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\left\{\left(x,\left\{<u, T_{f_{N}(x)}(u), I_{f_{N}(x)}(u),\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.F_{f_{N}(x)}(u)>: x \in U\right\}: x \in E\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
T_{f_{N}(x)}(u), I_{f_{N}(x)}(u), F_{f_{N}(x)}(u) \in[0,1]
$$

Definition 5. [13] Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be two neutrosophic soft sets over neutrosophic soft universes $(U, A)$ and $(U, B)$, respectively.

1. $N_{1}$ is said to be neutrosophic soft subset of $N_{2}$ if $A \subseteq B$ and $T_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u) \leq T_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)$, $I_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u) \leq I_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u), \quad F_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u) \geq F_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)$, $\forall x \in A, u \in U$.
2. $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are said to be equal if $N_{1}$ neutrosophic soft subset of $N_{2}$ and $N_{2}$ neutrosophic soft subset of $N_{2}$.

Definition 6. [13] Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be two neutrosophic soft sets. Then,

1. The complement of a neutrosophic soft set $N_{1}$ denoted by $N_{1}^{c}$ and is defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{1}^{c}=\left\{\left(x,\left\{<u, F_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), 1-I_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u),\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.T_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u)>: x \in U\right\}: x \in E\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. The union of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is denoted by $N_{3}=$ $N_{1} \tilde{\cup} N_{2}$ and is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{3}=\left\{\left(x,\left\{<u, T_{f_{N_{3}(x)}}(u), I_{f_{N_{3}(x)}}(u),\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.F_{f_{N_{3}(x)}}(u)>: x \in U\right\}: x \in E\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{f_{N_{3}(x)}}(u) & =\max \left(T_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), T_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)\right) \\
I_{f_{N_{3}(x)}}(u) & =\min \left(I_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), I_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)\right) \\
F_{f_{N_{3}(x)}}(u) & =\min \left(F_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), F_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The intersection of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is denoted by $N_{4}=N_{1} \tilde{\cap} N_{2}$ and is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{4}=\left\{\left(x,\left\{<u, T_{f_{N_{4}(x)}}(u), I_{f_{N_{4}(x)}}(u),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad F_{f_{N_{4}(x)}}(u)>: x \in U\right\}: x \in E\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{f_{N_{4}(x)}}(u) & =\min \left(T_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), T_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)\right) \\
I_{f_{N_{4}(x)}}(u) & =\max \left(I_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), I_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)\right) \\
F_{f_{N_{4}(x)}}(u) & =\max \left(F_{f_{N_{1}(x)}}(u), F_{f_{N_{2}(x)}}(u)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 7. [24] Let $U$ be a universe. A bipolar fuzzy set $\Lambda$ in $U$ is defined as;

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\left(u, T^{+}(u), T^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}
$$

where $T^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $T^{-} \rightarrow[-1,0]$. The positive membership degree $T^{+}(u)$, denotes the truth membership corresponding to a bipolar fuzzy set $\Lambda$ and the negative membership degree $T^{-}(u)$ denotes the truth membership of an element $u \in U$ to some implicit counter-property corresponding to a bipolarfuzzy set $\Lambda$.

Definition 8. [3] Let $U$ be a universe and $E$ be a set of parameters that are describing the elements of $U$. A bipolar fuzzy soft set $\Theta$ in $U$ is defined as;

$$
\Theta=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T^{+}(u), T^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}
$$

where $T^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $T^{-} \rightarrow[-1,0]$. The positive membership degree $T^{+}(u)$, denotes the truth membership corresponding to a bipolar fuzzy soft set $\Theta$ and the negative membership degree $T^{-}(u)$ denotes the truth membership of an element $u \in U$ to some implicit counter-property corresponding to a bipolar
fuzzy soft set $\Theta$.
Definition 9. [16] Let $U$ be a universe. A bipolar neutrosophic set $\mathbb{A}$ in $U$ is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}= & \left\{\left(u, T^{+}(u), I^{+}(u), F^{+}(u),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.T^{-}(u), I^{-}(u), F^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T^{+}, I^{+}, F^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $T^{-}, I^{-}, F^{-} \rightarrow$ $[-1,0]$. The positive membership degree $T^{+}(u)$, $I^{+}(u), F^{+}(u)$, denotes the truth membership, indeterminate membership and false membership of an element corresponding to a bipolar neutrosophic set $\mathbb{A}$ and the negative membership degree $T^{-}(u), I^{-}(u), F^{-}(u)$ denotes the truth membership, indeterminate membership and false membership of an element $u \in U$ to some implicit counter-property corresponding to a bipolar neutrosophic set $\mathbb{A}$.

## 3. Bipolar neutrosophic soft sets

In this section, we propose the concept of neutrosophic soft sets and their operations.

Definition 10. Let $U$ be a universe and $E$ be a set of parameters that are describing the elements of $U$. A bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}$ in $U$ is defined as;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{B}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T^{+}(u), I^{+}(u), F^{+}(u), T^{-}(u),\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.\left.I^{-}(u), F^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $T^{+}, I^{+}, F^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $T^{-}, I^{-}, F^{-} \rightarrow$ $[-1,0]$. The positive membership degree $T^{+}(u)$, $I^{+}(u), F^{+}(u)$, denotes the truth membership, indeterminate membership and false membership of an element corresponding to a bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}$ and the negative membership degree $T^{-}(u), I^{-}(u), F^{-}(u)$ denotes the truth membership, indeterminate membership and false membership of an element $u \in U$ to some implicit counter-property corresponding to a bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}$.

Example 1. Let $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}, E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Then, bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{2}$ over $U$ is given as, respectively;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{1}=\{ & \left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.5,0.8,0.1,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.6,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.6,0.8,0.1,-0.5,-0.8,-0.8\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.8,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.4,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.7,0.8,0.1,-0.4,-0.7,-0.4\right)\right\}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{2}=\{ & \left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.4,0.8,0.5,-0.6,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.3,0.6,0.7,-0.3,-0.7,-0.2\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.6,0.2,0.6,-0.5,-0.5,-0.3\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.1,0.8,0.7,-0.2,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.1,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.5,-0.5\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.7,0.6,0.1,-0.4,-0.7,-0.3\right)\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 11. An empty bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}^{6}$ in $U$ is defined as;

$$
\left.\mathbb{B}^{\emptyset}=\{(e,\{(u, 0,0,1,-1,0,0)): u \in U\}): e \in E\right\}
$$

Definition 12. An absolute bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}$ in $U$ is defined as;
$\left.\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}=\{(e,\{(u, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1)): u \in U\}): e \in E\right\}$
It is noted that the empty and absolute neutrosophic soft sets form the unit to the proposed system.

Example 2. Let $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}, E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. Then,

1. Empty bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}^{\natural}$ in $U$ is given as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{B}^{\emptyset}=\left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left(u_{2}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right), \\
&\left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right)\right\}\right), \\
&\left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right),\right.\right. \\
&\left(u_{2}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right), \\
&\left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right)\right\}\right), \\
&\left(e_{3},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right),\right.\right. \\
&\left(u_{2}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right), \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0,0,1,-1,0,0\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Absolute bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}$ in $U$ is given as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}=\{ & \left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{3},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 1,1,0,0,-1,-1\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 13. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2$ be two bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, $\mathbb{B}_{1}$ is bipolar neutrosophic soft subset of $\mathbb{B}_{2}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{2}$, if $T_{1}^{+}(u) \leq T_{2}^{+}(u), I_{1}^{+}(u) \geq$ $I_{2}^{+}(u), F_{1}^{+}(u) \geq F_{2}^{+}(u), T_{1}^{-}(u) \geq T_{2}^{-}(u), I_{1}^{-}(u) \leq$ $I_{2}^{-}(u)$ and $F_{1}^{-}(u) \leq F_{2}^{-}(u)$ for all $(e, u) \in E \times U$.

Example 3. Let $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}, E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{1}=\{ & \left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.7,0.8,0.2,-0.5,-0.9,-0.3\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{2}, 0.6,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.8,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(u_{2}, 0.4,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{2}=\{( & e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.8,0.1,0.2,-0.6,-0.8,-0.3\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{2}, 0.9,0.2,0.3,-0.9,-0.7,-0.2\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.9,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(u_{2}, 0.5,0.8,0.7,-0.8,-0.7,-0.1\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, we have $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{2}$.
Definition 14. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2$ be two bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, $\mathbb{B}_{1}$ is bipolar neutrosophic soft equal to $\mathbb{B}_{2}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{1}=\mathbb{B}_{2}$, if $T_{1}^{+}(u)=T_{2}^{+}(u), I_{1}^{+}(u)=$ $I_{2}^{+}(u), F_{1}^{+}(u)=F_{2}^{+}(u), T_{1}^{-}(u)=T_{2}^{-}(u), I_{1}^{-}(u)=$ $I_{2}^{-}(u)$ and $F_{1}^{-}(u)=F_{2}^{-}(u)$ for all $(e, u) \in E \times U$.

Definition 15. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, the complement of a bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}^{c}$, is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{B}^{c}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, F^{+}(u), 1-I^{+}(u), T^{+}(u), F^{-}(u),\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.-1-I^{-}(u), T^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4. Consider the Example 1. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{B}^{c}=\left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.1,0.2,0.5,-0.2,-0.3,-0.5\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
\\
\left(u_{2}, 0.7,0.2,0.6,-0.2,-0.3,-0.5\right) \\
\\
\left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.1,0.2,0.6,-0.8,-0.2,-0.5\right)\right\}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e_{2},\left(u_{1}, 0.7,0.2,0.8,-0.2,-0.3,-0.5\right),\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.7,0.2,0.4,-0.2,-0.3,-0.5\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.1,0.2,0.7,-0.4,-0.3,-0.4\right)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 16. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2$ be two bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, the union of $\mathbb{B}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{2}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{\nVdash} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{\nvdash}$, is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup & \mathbb{B}_{2} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E, \text { and } i=1,2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 5. Consider the Example 1. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup & \mathbb{B}_{2} \\
= & \left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.5,0.8,0.1,-0.6,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.6,0.6,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.6,0.2,0.1,-0.5,-0.5,-0.3\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left(e_{2},\left(u_{1}, 0.8,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.4,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.7,0.6,0.1,-0.4,-0.7,-0.3\right)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 17. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be $n$ bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, the union of $n$ bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}_{i}$, is denoted by $\sqcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{B}_{i}$, is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqcup_{i=1}^{n} & \mathbb{B}_{i} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, n\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 18. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2$ be two bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, the intersection of $\mathbb{B}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{2}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}$, is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{1} & \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E, i=1,2\right\}
$$

Example 6. Consider the Example 1. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap & \mathbb{B}_{2} \\
= & \left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.4,0.8,0.5,-0.5,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.3,0.8,0.7,-0.3,-0.7,-0.2\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.6,0.8,0.6,-0.5,-0.8,-0.8\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left(e_{2},\left(u_{1}, 0.1,0.8,0.7,-0.2,-0.7,-0.2\right),\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.1,0.8,0.7,-0.5,-0.7,-0.5\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{3}, 0.7,0.8,0.1,-0.4,-0.7,-0.4\right)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 19. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be $n$ bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, the intersection of $n$ bipolar neutrosophic soft set $\mathbb{B}_{i}$, is denoted by $\Pi_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{B}_{i}$, is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{B}_{i}= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
& : e \in E, \text { and } i=1,2, \ldots, n\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 1. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2,3$ be three bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{2}=\mathbb{B}_{2} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{1} \\
& \text { 2. } \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}=\mathbb{B}_{2} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{1} \\
& \text { 3. } \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup\left(\mathbb{B}_{2} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{3} \\
& \text { 4. } \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap\left(\mathbb{B}_{2} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \sqcap N_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proofs can be easily obtained since the max functions and min functions are commutative and associative.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathbb{B}_{1}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{1}^{+}(u), I_{1}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{1}^{+}(u), T_{1}^{-}(u), I_{1}^{-}(u), F_{1}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ be a bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then,

1. $\left(\mathbb{B}_{1}^{c}\right)^{c}=\mathbb{B}_{1}$
2. $\left(\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}\right)^{c}=\mathbb{B}^{\emptyset}$
3. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}$
4. $\mathbb{B}^{\emptyset} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{1}$
5. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{1}$

Proposition 3. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2,3$ be three bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over U. Then,

1. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{2} \wedge \mathbb{B}_{2} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{3} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{3}$
2. $\mathbb{B}_{1}=\mathbb{B}_{2} \wedge \mathbb{B}_{2}=\mathbb{B}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{1}=\mathbb{B}_{3}$
3. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{2} \wedge \mathbb{B}_{2} \sqsubseteq \mathbb{B}_{1} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{1}=\mathbb{B}_{2}$

Proposition 4. Let $\mathbb{B}_{1}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{1}^{+}(u), I_{1}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{1}^{+}(u), T_{1}^{-}(u), I_{1}^{-}(u), F_{1}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ be a bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then,

```
1. }\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{1}{}\sqcup\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{1}{}=\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{1}{
2. }\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{1}{}\sqcup\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{}{\emptyset}=\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{1}{
3. }\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{1}{}\sqcup\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{}{\mathbb{U}}=\mp@subsup{\mathbb{B}}{}{\mathbb{U}
```

Proposition 5. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ be a bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then,

1. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{1}=\mathbb{B}_{1}$
2. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}^{\emptyset}=\mathbb{B}^{\emptyset}$
3. $\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{U}}=\mathbb{B}_{1}$

Proposition 6. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2$ be two bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, De Morgan's laws are valid

1. $\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{2}\right)^{c}=\mathbb{B}_{1}^{c} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}^{c}$
2. $\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}\right)^{c}=\mathbb{B}_{1}^{c} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{2}^{c}$
3. $\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}\right)^{c}=\mathbb{B}_{1}^{c} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{2}^{c}$

## Proof. $i$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{1}\right)^{c} \\
&=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
&\left.\left.\left.\max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
&: e \in E, \text { and } i=1,2\}^{c} \\
&=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, 1-\min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
&\left.\left.\left.-1-\max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
&\left.: e \in E, \operatorname{and}^{\prime}=1,2\right\} \\
&=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{1-I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
&\left.\left.\left.\min _{i}\left\{-1-I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
&: e \in E, \text { andi=1,2\}\{(e,\{(u,T} T_{1}^{+}(u), \\
& I_{1}^{+}(u), F_{1}^{+}(u), T_{1}^{-}(u), I_{1}^{-}(u), \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{1}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}^{c} \sqcap \\
&\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{2}^{+}(u), I_{2}^{+}(u), F_{2}^{+}(u),\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.T_{2}^{-}(u), I_{2}^{-}(u), F_{2}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}{ }^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\mathbb{B}_{1}^{c} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}^{c}
$$

ii.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap\right. & \left.\mathbb{B}_{1}\right)^{c} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
& : e \in E, \text { and } i=1,2\}^{c} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& 1-\max _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \\
& \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\},-1-\min _{i}\left\{I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
& : e \in E, \text { and } i=1,2\} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, \max _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{+}(u)\right\},\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \min _{i}\left\{1-I_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \min _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{+}(u)\right\}, \\
& \min _{i}\left\{F_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \max _{i}\left\{-1-I_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\max _{i}\left\{T_{i}^{-}(u)\right\}\right): u \in U\right\}\right) \\
& : e \in E, \text { and } i=1,2\} \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{1}^{+}(u), I_{1}^{+}(u),\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.F_{1}^{+}(u), T_{1}^{-}(u), I_{1}^{-}(u), F_{1}^{-}(u)\right) \\
& : u \in U\}): e \in E\}^{c} \sqcup \\
= & \left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{2}^{+}(u), I_{2}^{+}(u),\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.F_{2}^{+}(u), T_{2}^{-}(u), I_{2}^{-}(u), F_{2}^{-}(u)\right) \\
& : u \in U\}): e \in E\}^{c} \\
& (u),
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 7. Let $\mathbb{B}_{i}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T_{i}^{+}(u), I_{i}^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F_{i}^{+}(u), T_{i}^{-}(u), I_{i}^{-}(u), F_{i}^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}$ for $i=1,2,3$ be three bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over U. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap\left(\mathbb{B}_{2} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \sqcup\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{3}\right) \\
& \text { 2. } \mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup\left(\mathbb{B}_{2} \sqcap \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \sqcap\left(\mathbb{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator

In this section, we propose an aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator of a bipolar neutrosophic soft sets. Also, we develope an algorithm based on bipolar neutrosophic soft sets and give numerical
examples to show the feasibility and effectiveness of the developed approach.

Definition 20. Let $\mathbb{B}=\left\{\left(e,\left\{\left(u, T^{+}(u), I^{+}(u)\right.\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.F^{+}(u), T^{-}(u), I^{-}(u), F^{-}(u)\right): u \in U\right\}\right): e \in E\right\}=$ $\left\{\left\{\left(u, T_{e}^{+}(u), I_{e}^{+}(u), F_{e}^{+}(u), T_{e}^{-}(u), I_{e}^{-}(u), F_{e}^{-}(u)\right):\right.\right.$ $u \in U\}: e \in E\}$ be a bipolar neutrosophic soft sets over $U$. Then, aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator, denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}$, is defined as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{a g g}= & \left\{\mu_{\mathbb{B}}(u) / u: u \in U\right\} \\
\mu_{\mathbb{B}}(u)= & \frac{1}{2|E \times U|} \sum_{e \in E}\left(\mid 1-I_{e}^{+}(u)\left(T_{e}^{+}(u)-F_{e}^{+}(u)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+I_{e}^{-}(u)\left(T_{e}^{-}(u)-F_{e}^{-}(u)\right) \mid\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|E \times U|$ is the cardinality of $E \times U$.
Now we give a decision algorithm for bipolar neutrosophic soft sets.

## Algorithm.

1. Construct the bipolar neutrosophic soft set on $U$.
2. Compute the aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator.
3. Find an optimum alternative set on $U$.

Example 7. (It is adopted from [14]) Assume that that a workplace wants to fill a position. There are 5 candidates who fill in a form in order to apply formally for the position. There is a decision maker (DM), that is from the department of human resources.

He want to interview the candidates, but it is very difficult to make it all of them. Therefore, by using the bipolar neutrosophic soft decision making method, the number of candidates are reduced to a suitable one. Assume that the set of candidates $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}\right\}$ which may be characterized by a set of parameters $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ which is " $e_{1}=$ experience", " $e_{2}=$ technical information" and " $e_{3}=a g e$ ". Now, we can apply the method as follows:

1. DM constructs a bipolar neutrosophic soft $\mathbb{B}$ over the alternatives set $U$ as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}= & \left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.8,0.9,0.4,-0.5,-0.7,-0.6\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.5,0.4,0.8,-0.5,-0.7,-0.5\right) \\
& \left(u_{3}, 0.5,0.5,0.8,-0.5,-0.8,-0.9\right) \\
& \left(u_{4}, 0.9,0.8,0.3,-0.5,-0.2,-0.7\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{5}, 0.5,0.5,0.4,-0.9,-0.8,-0.8\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.8,0.4,0.7,-0.4,-0.2,-0.6\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{2}, 0.5,0.3,0.7,-0.9,-0.7,-0.8\right), \\
& \left.\left(u_{3}, 0.5,0.9,0.8,-0.5,-0.7,-0.6\right)\right), \\
& \left(u_{4}, 0.5,0.7,0.8,-0.9,-0.3,-0.7\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(u_{5}, 0.4,0.1,0.8,-0.5,-0.8,-0.9\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{3},\left\{\left(u_{1}, 0.7,0.8,0.6,-0.5,-0.1,-0.8\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(u_{2}, 0.8,0.9,0.4,-0.5,-0.4,-0.8\right), \\
& \left(u_{3}, 0.2,0.9,0.5,-0.1,-0.9,-0.4\right), \\
& \left(u_{4}, 0.5,0.4,0.2,-0.5,-0.6,-0.9\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(u_{5}, 0.9,0.8,0.8,-0.5,-0.7,-0.1\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. DM finds the aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator $\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}$ of $\mathbb{B}$ as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{a g g}= & \left\{0.0793 / u_{1}, 0.0923 / u_{2}, 0.1010 / u_{3},\right. \\
& \left.0.0797 / u_{4}, 0.0983 / u_{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Finally, DM chooses $u_{3}$ for the position from $\mathbb{B}_{a g g}$ since it has the maximum degree 0.1010 among the others.

Example 8. (It is adopted from [31]) Let $U=$ $\left\{o_{1}, o_{2}, o_{3}, o_{4}, o_{5}, o_{6}\right\}$ be the set of objects having different colors, sizes and surface texture features. The parameter set, $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ in which " $e_{1}=$ color space", " $e_{2}=$ size" and " $e_{3}=$ surface texture". We can apply the algorithm as follows:

1. DM constructs a bipolar neutrosophic soft $\mathbb{B}$ over the alternatives set $U$ as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}= & \left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(o_{1}, 0.3,0.4,0.6,-0.3,-0.5,-0.4\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left(o_{2}, 0.3,0.9,0.3,-0.6,-0.7,-0.4\right), \\
& \left(o_{3}, 0.4,0.5,0.8,-0.5,-0.6,-0.7\right), \\
& \left(o_{4}, 0.8,0.2,0.4,-0.7,-0.3,-0.5\right), \\
& \left(o_{5}, 0.7,0.3,0.6,-0.7,-0.6,-0.6\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(o_{6}, 0.9,0.2,0.4,-0.7,-0.6,-0.6\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(o_{1}, 0.4,0.2,0.8,-0.6,-0.4,-0.8\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(o_{2}, 0.8,0.6,0.3,-0.7,-0.5,-0.6\right), \\
& \left(o_{3}, 0.6,0.4,0.4,-0.3,-0.7,-0.8\right), \\
& \left(o_{4}, 0.9,0.8,0.2,-0.7,-0.5,-0.6\right), \\
& \left(o_{5}, 0.2,0.1,0.9,-0.3,-0.6,-0.7\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(o_{6}, 0.3,0.2,0.8,-0.3,-0.5,-0.7\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{3},\left\{\left(o_{1}, 0.3,0.4,0.1,-0.7,-0.3,-0.6\right),\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(o_{2}, 0.8,0.9,0.4,-0.5,-0.4,-0.8\right) \\
& \left(o_{3}, 0.5,0.6,0.3,-0.3,-0.7,-0.6\right) \\
& \left(o_{4}, 0.7,0.6,0.6,-0.3,-0.4,-0.7\right), \\
& \left(o_{5}, 0.6,0.8,0.5,-0.3,-0.5,-0.3\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(o_{6}, 0.8,0.7,0.7,-0.3,-0.5,-0.3\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. DM finds the aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator $\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}$ of $\mathbb{B}$ as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{a g g}= & \left\{0.1007 / o_{1}, 0.0803 / o_{2}, 0.0773 / o_{3},\right. \\
& \left.0.0750 / o_{4}, 0.0927 / o_{5}, 0.930 / o_{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Finally, DM chooses $o_{1}$ for the position from $\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}$ since it has the maximum degree 0.1007 among the others.

Example 9. (It is adopted from [27]) We consider the problem to select the most suitable house which Mr. X is going to choose on the basis of his $m$ number of parameters out of $n$ number of houses (we choose $n=5$ and $m=5$ ). Let $U=$ $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}, h_{5}\right\}$ be the set of houses having different features $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$ in which in which " $e_{1}=$ beautiful", " $e_{2}=$ cheap", " $e_{3}=$ in good repairing", " $e_{4}=$ moderate" and " $e_{5}=$ wooden". We can apply the algorithm as follow:

1. DM constructs a bipolar neutrosophic soft $\mathbb{B}$ over the alternatives set $U$ as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}= & \left\{\left(e_{1},\left\{\left(h_{1}, 0.6,0.3,0.8,-0.5,-0.7,-0.6\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left(h_{2}, 0.7,0.2,0.6,-0.5,-0.7,-0.5\right), \\
& \left(h_{3}, 0.8,0.3,0.4,-0.5,-0.8,-0.9\right), \\
& \left(h_{4}, 0.7,0.5,0.6,-0.5,-0.2,-0.7\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(h_{5}, 0.8,0.6,0.7,-0.9,-0.8,-0.8\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{2},\left\{\left(h_{1}, 0.5,0.2,0.6,-0.4,-0.2,-0.6\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(h_{2}, 0.6,0.3,0.7,-0.9,-0.7,-0.8\right), \\
& \left.\left(h_{3}, 0.8,0.5,0.1,-0.6,-0.8,-0.6\right)\right), \\
& \left(h_{4}, 0.6,0.8,0.7,-0.9,-0.3,-0.7\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(h_{5}, 0.5,0.6,0.8,-0.5,-0.8,-0.9\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left(e_{3},\left\{\left(h_{1}, 0.7,0.3,0.4,-0.5,-0.1,-0.8\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(h_{2}, 0.7,0.5,0.6,-0.5,-0.4,-0.8\right), \\
& \left(h_{3}, 0.3,0.5,0.6,-0.1,-0.9,-0.4\right), \\
& \left(h_{4}, 0.7,0.6,0.8,-0.5,-0.6,-0.9\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(h_{5}, 0.8,0.7,0.6,-0.5,-0.7,-0.1\right)\right\}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e_{4},\left\{\left(h_{1}, 0.8,0.5,0.6,-0.5,-0.1,-0.8\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(h_{2}, 0.6,0.8,0.3,-0.5,-0.4,-0.8\right), \\
& \left(h_{3}, 0.7,0.2,0.1,-0.1,-0.9,-0.4\right), \\
& \left(h_{4}, 0.8,0.3,0.6,-0.5,-0.6,-0.9\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left(h_{5}, 0.7,0.8,0.3,-0.5,-0.7,-0.1\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \left(e_{5},\left\{\left(h_{1}, 0.6,0.7,0.2,-0.5,-0.1,-0.8\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left(h_{2}, 0.8,0.1,0.8,-0.5,-0.4,-0.8\right), \\
& \left(h_{3}, 0.7,0.2,0.6,-0.1,-0.9,-0.4\right), \\
& \left(h_{4}, 0.8,0.3,0.8,-0.5,-0.6,-0.9\right), \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(h_{5}, 0.7,0.2,0.6,-0.5,-0.7,-0.1\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. DM finds the aggregation bipolar neutrosophic soft operator $\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}$ of $\mathbb{B}$ as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}= & \left\{0.1470 / h_{1}, 0.1477 / h_{2}, 0.1137 / h_{3},\right. \\
& \left.0.1443 / h_{4}, 0.1747 / h_{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Finally, DM chooses $h_{5}$ for the position from $\mathbb{B}_{\text {agg }}$ since it has the maximum degree 0.1747 among the others.

It has been observed in Examples 7-9 that the proposed method requires less steps of computation than the relevant works in [14, 27, 31] whilst provides more information on membership degrees (positive and negative) for decision.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the bipolar neutrosophic soft set that combines soft sets and bipolar neutrosophic sets. Some new operations on bipolar neutrosophic soft sets were designed. We developed a decision making method based on bipolar neutrosophic soft sets. Numerical examples taken from the existing works $[14,27,31]$ were performed to show the feasibility and electiveness of the developed approach. For further study, we will apply our work to real world problems with realistic data and extend proposed algorithm to other decision making models with vagueness and uncertainty. An extension from Bipolar to Tripolar Neutrosophic Soft Sets and even Multipolar Neutrosophic Soft Sets as inspired in [35] will be our next targets.

## Acknowledgments

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 102.01-2017.02.

## References

[1] M. Ali and F. Smarandache, Complex neutrosophic set, Neural Computing and Applications 25 (2016), 1-18.
[2] M. Ali and F. Smarandache, The theory of neutrosophic cubic sets and their applications in pattern recognition, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 30(4) (2016), 1957-1963
[3] M. Aslam, S. Abdullah and K. Ullah, Bipolar Fuzzy Soft Sets And Its Applications in Decision Making Problem, arXiv:1303.6932v1 [cs. AI] 23, 2013.
[4] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Pysica-Verlag A Springer-Verlag Company, New York, 1999.
[5] P. Bosc and O. Pivert, On a fuzzy bipolar relational algebra, Information Sciences 219 (2013), 1-16.
[6] S. Broumi, I. Deli and F. Smarandache, Relations on interval valued neutrosophic soft sets, Journal of New Results in Science 5 (2014), 1-20.
[7] S. Broumi and I. Deli, Correlation measure for neutrosophic refined sets and its application in medical diagnosis, Palestine Journal of Mathematics 5(1) (2016), 135-143.
[8] S. Broumi, I. Deli and F. Smarandache, N-valued Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Their Application in Medical Diagnosis, Critical Review, Center for Mathematics of Uncertainty, Creighton University, USA, 10, 2015, pp. 46-69.
[9] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, Soft set theory and uniint decision making, European Journal of Operational Research 207 (2010), 848-855.
[10] N. Çağman, Contributions to the theory of soft sets, Journal of New Results in Science 4 (2014), 33-41.
[11] N. Çağman and I. Deli, Means of FP-Soft sets and its applications, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 41(5) (2012), 615-625.
[12] N. Çağman and I. Deli, Product of FP-soft sets and its applications, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics $41(3)$ (2012), 365-374.
[13] I. Deli and S. Broumi, Neutrosophic soft matrices and NSM decision making, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 28(5) (2015), 2233-2241.
[14] I. Deli and N. Cagman, Intuitionistic fuzzy parameterized soft set theory and its decision making, Applied Soft Computing 2 (2015), 109-113.
[15] I. Deli and S. Broumi, Neutrosophic soft relations and some properties, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 9(1) (2015), 169-182.
[16] I. Deli, M. Ali and F. Smarandache, Bipolar Neutrosophic Sets and Their Application Based on Multi-Criteria Decision Making Problems, Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems, Beijing, China, 2015.
[17] I. Deli, Interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets and its decision making, International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics. DOI: 10.1007/s13042-015-0461-3
[18] I. Deli, S. Broumi and S.F. Smarandache, On neutrosophic refined sets and their applications in medical diagnosis, Journal of New Theory 6 (2015), 88-98.
[19] Ý. Deli, NPN-soft sets theory and applications, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 10(6) (2015), 847-862.
[20] I. Deli and Y. Subas, A ranking method of single valued neutrosophic numbers and its applications to multiattribute decision making problems, International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics (2015). DOI: 10.1007/s13042-016-0505-3
[21] F.Feng, C. Li, B. Davvaz, M. Irfan and M. Ali, Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: A tentative approach, Soft Computing 14 (2010), 899-911.
[22] F. Karaaslan and S. Karatas, A new approach to bipolar soft sets and its applications, Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications (2015). DOI: 10.1142/ S1793830915500548
[23] M.K. Kang and J.G. Kang, Bipolar fuzzy set theory applied to sub-semigroups with operators in semigroups, J Korean Soc Math Educ Ser B Pure Appl Math 19(1) (2012), 23-35.
[24] K.M. Lee, Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations, Proc Int Conf on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand, 2000, pp. 307-312.
[25] K.J. Lee, Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Bull Malays Math Sci Soc 32(3) (2009), 361-373.
[26] P.K. Maji, A.R. Roy and R. Biswas, Intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 9(3) (2001), 677-692.
[27] P.K. Maji, A neutrosophic soft set approach to a decision making problem, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 3(2) (2012), 313-319.
[28] P.K. Maji, Neutrosophic soft set, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 5(1) (2013), 157-168.
[29] D.A. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Comput Math Appl 37 (1999), 19-31.
[30] J.I. Mondal and T.K. Roy, Some properties on intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrices, International Journal of Mathematics Research 5(2) (2013), 267-276.
[31] A.R. Roy and P.K. Maji, A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems, J Comput Appl Math 203 (2007), 412-418.
[32] F. Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, Rehoboth: American Research Press, 1998.
[33] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Q. Zhang and R. Sunderraman, Single valued neutrosophic sets, Multispace and Multistructure 4 (2010), 410-413.
[34] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
[35] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Overset, Neutrosophic Underset, and Neutrosophic Offset. Similarly for Neutrosophic Over-/Under-/Off-Logic, Probability, and Statistics, arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.00234, 2016.
[36] S. Broumi, F. Smarandache, M. Talea and A. Bakali, An introduction to bipolar single valued neutrosophic graph theory, Applied Mechanics and Materials 841 (2016), 184-191.
[37] S. Broumi, A. Bakali, M. Talea, F. Smarandache and M. Ali, Shortest path problem under bipolar neutrosphic setting, Applied Mechanics and Materials 859 (2016), 59-66.
[38] L.H. Son, B.C. Cuong and H.V. Long, Spatial interaction modification model and applications to geo-demographic analysis, Knowledge-Based Systems 49 (2013), 152-170.
[39] L.H. Son, N.D. Linh and H.V. Long, A lossless DEM compression for fast retrieval method using fuzzy clustering and MANFIS neural network, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 29 (2014), 33-42.
[40] L.H. Son, Enhancing clustering quality of geo-demographic analysis using context fuzzy clustering type-2 and particle swarm optimization, Applied Soft Computing 22 (2014), 566-584.
[41] L.H. Son, HU-FCF: A hybrid user-based fuzzy collaborative filtering method in recommender systems, Expert Systems With Applications 41(15) (2014), 6861-6870.
[42] L.H. Son, DPFCM: A novel distributed picture fuzzy clustering method on picture fuzzy sets, Expert Systems With Applications 42(1) (2015), 51-66.
[43] L.H. Son and N.T. Thong, Intuitionistic fuzzy recommender systems: An effective tool for medical diagnosis, Knowledge-Based Systems 74 (2015), 133-150.
[44] N.T. Thong and L.H. Son, HIFCF: An effective hybrid model between picture fuzzy clustering and intuitionistic fuzzy recommender systems for medical diagnosis, Expert Systems With Applications 42(7) (2015), 36823701.
[45] L.H. Son, HU-FCF++: A novel hybrid method for the new user cold-start problem in recommender systems, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 41 (2015), 207-222.
[46] L.H. Son, A novel kernel fuzzy clustering algorithm for geodemographic analysis, Information Sciences 317 (2015), 202-223.
[47] L.H. Son and T.M. Tuan, A cooperative semi-supervised fuzzy clustering framework for dental X-ray image segmentation, Expert Systems With Applications 46 (2016), 380-393.
[48] L.H. Son, Dealing with the new user cold-start problem in recommender systems: A comparative review, Information Systems 58 (2016), 87-104.
[49] A.W. Wijayanto, A. Purwarianti and L.H. Son, Fuzzy geographically weighted clustering using artificial bee colony: An efficient geo-demographic analysis algorithm and applications to the analysis of crime behavior in population, Applied Intelligence 44(2) (2016), 377-398.
[50] P.H. Thong and L.H. Son, Picture fuzzy clustering: A new computational intelligence method, Soft Computing 20(9) (2016), 3549-3562.
[51] L.H. Son and P.V. Hai, A novel multiple fuzzy clustering method based on internal clustering validation measures with gradient descent, International Journal of Fuzzy Systems 18(5) (2016), 894-903.
[52] T.M. Tuan, T.T. Ngan and L.H. Son, A novel semisupervised fuzzy clustering method based on interactive fuzzy satisficing for dental X-Ray image segmentation, Applied Intelligence 45(2) (2016), 402-428.
[53] L.H. Son and P.H. Phong, On the performance evaluation of intuitionistic vector similarity measures for medical diagnosis, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 31 (2016), 1597-1608.
[54] L.H. Son, Generalized picture distance measure and applications to picture fuzzy clustering, Applied Soft Computing 46 (2016), 284-295.
[55] P.H. Thong and L.H. Son, A novel automatic picture fuzzy clustering method based on particle swarm optimization and picture composite cardinality, Knowledge-Based Systems 109 (2016), 48-60.
[56] P.H. Thong and L.H. Son, Picture fuzzy clustering for complex data, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 56 (2016), 121130.
[57] T.T. Ngan, T.M. Tuan, L.H. Son, N.H. Minh and N. Dey, Decision making based on fuzzy aggregation operators for medical diagnosis from dental X-ray images, Journal of Medical Systems 40 (12) (2016), 1-7.
[58] L.H. Son and P.H. Thong, Some novel hybrid forecast methods based on picture fuzzy clustering for weather nowcasting from satellite image sequences, Applied Intelligence 46(1) (2017), 1-15.


[^0]:    *Corresponding author. Le Hoang Son, 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, Hanoi, Vietnam. Tel.: +84 904171 284; E-mail: sonlh@vnu.edu.vn.

