

# Boldness and exploration vary between shell morphs but not environmental contexts in the snail Cepaea nemoralis

Maxime Dahirel, Valentin Gaudu, Armelle Ansart

## ▶ To cite this version:

Maxime Dahirel, Valentin Gaudu, Armelle Ansart. Boldness and exploration vary between shell morphs but not environmental contexts in the snail Cepaea nemoralis. Ethology, Wiley, 2021, 127 (4), pp.321-333. 10.1111/eth.13129. hal-03127137

## HAL Id: hal-03127137 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03127137

Submitted on 22 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1	Boldness and exploration vary between shell morphs but not environmental			
2	contexts in the snail <i>Cepaea nemoralis</i>			
3	Running title: Personality and shell morph in snails			
4	Maxime Dahirel* <sup>1,2</sup> ; Valentin Gaudu <sup>1</sup> ; Armelle Ansart <sup>1</sup>			
5	*corresponding author: maxime.dahirel@yahoo.fr			
6 7	<sup>1</sup> Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution) - UMR 6553, F-35042 Rennes,			
8	<sup>2</sup> INRAE, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, ISA, F-06903 Sophia-Antipolis, France			
9				
10	Acknowledgments			
11	We thank Youn Henry and Kévin Tougeron for helpful pointers on the link between behavior and			
12	thermal tolerance, as well as two anonymous referees and the editor for their comments on previous			
13	versions of this article.			
14	Conflicts of interest			
15	The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.			
16	Data accessibility			
17	Data and code to reproduce all analyses are available on GitHub			
18	(https://github.com/mdahirel/cepaea-personality-2017) and archived in Zenodo (DOI:			
19	10.5281/zenodo.3899042; version 1.1).			
20				

Abstract

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Understanding the maintenance of among-individual behavioral variation in populations, and predicting its consequences, are key challenges in behavioral ecology. Studying the association between repeatable behaviors and other traits under selection may shed light on the underlying selective pressures. We used the model snail Cepaea nemoralis to examine whether individual behavior is associated with shell morph, a key trait that has been extensively studied in the context of thermal tolerance and predator avoidance, and which is known to be under strict genetic control in this species. We quantified proxies of boldness and exploration in snails of three morphs coming from two habitats with different thermal contexts. We show that both behaviors were repeatable at the among-individual level (within-state Rboldness = 0.22 [95% credible interval: 0.15, 0.29]; Rexploration = 0.20 [0.15, 0.25]). Behavior was associated with shell morph, with the darker morph (five-banded) being consistently shyer and slower to explore. There was no evidence that thermal environment of origin influenced behavior. Snails became faster when test temperature increased; we found no evidence morphs differed in their thermal response. Boldness and exploration were correlated among individuals, forming a syndrome (r = 0.28 [0.10, 0.46]). We discuss what these results may tell us about the type of selection exerted by predators. We also detail how our results hint to a genetic link between shell morph and behavior, and the evolutionary implications of such a link. Finally, we discuss how our findings combined with decades of evolutionary research make C. nemoralis a very valuable model to study the evolution of behavior in response to environmental changes.

Keywords animal personality; behavioral syndromes; Gastropoda; multivariate multilevel model;

shell color; temperature

#### Introduction

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

A key question in behavioral ecology, and more broadly in evolutionary ecology, is how to explain the persistence of variation in phenotypic traits. Although behavior is often seen as highly labile and dynamically adjustable to experienced conditions, individuals of many animal species exhibit "personalities", i.e. behave consistently across time and contexts, and differ consistently from each other (Kralj-Fišer & Schuett, 2014; Réale, Reader, Sol, McDougall, & Dingemanse, 2007; Sih, Bell, Johnson, & Ziemba, 2004). This among-individual variation persists even when better adjustments of behaviors to environmental conditions would be adaptive, and the ability to tune behavior to conditions may itself vary among individuals (variation in "behavioral reaction norms"; Dingemanse, Kazem, Réale, & Wright, 2010). Moreover, behaviors are often correlated with each other and with other traits, forming multivariate syndromes (Réale et al., 2007; Royauté, Berdal, Garrison, & Dochtermann, 2018; Santostefano, Wilson, Niemelä, & Dingemanse, 2017), further constraining the range of behavioral phenotypes that are on display in populations (Dochtermann & Dingemanse, 2013). State-dependent behavior is often invoked as one of the key mechanisms/frameworks potentially explaining both adaptive correlations/feedbacks between behaviors and other traits, and the maintenance of among-individual variation (Sih et al., 2015; Wolf & McNamara, 2012; Wolf & Weissing, 2010). Individuals can differ in morphology, size, past experienced environment, or any other so-called "state variables", typically less labile than behavior or even fixed at the individual level. If the costs and benefits of behaviors vary depending on these state variables, then we should expect individuals differing in state to adaptively differ in behaviors as well (Wolf & Weissing, 2010). The pace-of-life hypothesis, which ties several axes of behavioral variation to underlying differences in life history and metabolism along a fast-slow axis (Réale et al., 2010; Wolf & McNamara, 2012; Wright, Bolstad, Araya-Ajoy, & Dingemanse, 2019), can be seen under this lens. Other examples include cases of phenotypic compensation, where predation risk can either be mitigated by

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

behavioral changes or morphological defenses, leading to a positive association between risk-taking behavior and defenses (e.g. Ahlgren, Chapman, Nilsson, & Brönmark, 2015; but see De Winter, Ramalho Martins, Trovo, & Chapman, 2016 for a contradictory example). In some cases, quantitative genetics and/or experimental evolution approaches may provide evidence of the evolution of statebehavior associations (e.g. Kern, Robinson, Gass, Godwin, & Langerhans, 2016). In other cases in which this may be difficult, we believe that studying the association between personality and state traits can still provide valuable insights, especially if (i) the state trait is known to be fully genetically determined with little to no plasticity, (ii) we are able to study behavioral variation across a range of environments known to select on the state variable. The grove snail Cepaea nemoralis (Linnaeus 1758) (family Helicidae) is a simultaneous hermaphrodite, medium-sized land gastropod common in western Europe (adult shell diameter 18-25mm; Welter-Schultes, 2012). It has a long history as a model in evolutionary biology, due to its conspicuous shell polymorphism (reviewed by Jones, Leith, & Rawlings, 1977; Ożgo, 2009)(Fig. 1A-B). Genetic variation in shell background color (from pale yellow to brown, but usually divided in yellow, pink, and brown; Davison, Jackson, Murphy, & Reader, 2019) and in the number or width of dark bands has been well described (Jones et al., 1977). Shell polymorphism is governed by a limited number of loci with a limited number of alleles (Richards et al., 2013), and by all evidence shows no phenotypic Modern genomics studies now aim to pinpoint the actual plasticity. molecular/physiological underpinnings of shell color (Kerkvliet, Boer, Schilthuizen, & Kraaijeveld, 2017; Richards et al., 2013). In C. nemoralis, lighter-colored shells absorb less heat and allow snails to maintain a lower body temperature (Heath, 1975) and higher water content (Chang, 1991). Many studies have shown that lighter (vs. darker) snails have a selective advantage in hotter/sunnier (vs. colder/shaded) environments, whether one looks at continental-scale latitudinal clines (Jones et al., 1977; Silvertown et al., 2011), local-scale habitat comparisons (Kerstes, Breeschoten, Kalkman, & Schilthuizen, 2019;

Ozgo & Kinnison, 2008; Schilthuizen, 2013), or historical comparisons in the context of climate change (Ożgo, Liew, Webster, & Schilthuizen, 2017; Ożgo & Schilthuizen, 2012). Local variations in morph frequencies have also been linked to predation pressure, generally in the context of visual selection (frequency-dependent selection and/or crypsis; Jones et al., 1977; Surmacki, Ożarowska-Nowicka, & Rosin, 2013, and references therein; but see Cook, 2008), but morph differences in shell resistance to crushing have also been described (Rosin, Kobak, Lesicki, & Tryjanowski, 2013). Although this has been much less studied, shell morphs also vary in their behavior (Chang, 1991; Jones, 1982; Ożgo & Kubea, 2005; Rosin et al., 2018). Part of this variation is likely the direct consequence of differences in shell thermal properties and thus effective body temperature, as demonstrated by experiments that created "artificial" morphs by painting shells (Tilling, 1983). However, they probably also reflect, at least partly, intrinsic physiological differences: preferred temperatures can be altered using opioid agonists or antagonists, but banded snails are less responsive to this pharmaceutical manipulation (Kavaliers, 1992). Existing studies, however, have several major shortfalls for our understanding of the association between Cepaea morphology and behavioral syndromes. In particular, individuals are generally assayed once, which means separating within- from among-individual variation is impossible (Dingemanse & Wright, 2020; Niemelä & Dingemanse, 2018). This also means the level of total among-individual variation, and how it compares with among-morph variation, has remained to our knowledge unstudied. Additionally, all snails are often sampled from the same habitat, or habitat information is not used in behavioral analyses, meaning there is often no way to determine how behavior responds to selection pressures on shell color. In this context, we investigated the existence and magnitude of personality variation and behavioral syndromes in C. nemoralis, how behavior is linked to shell variation, and how it is influenced by the environment of origin (sun-exposed or shaded) and currently experienced conditions. More specifically, we make the following hypotheses:

5

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

(i) Exploration and boldness (risk-taking behavior) are both repeatable in this species, and positively correlated in a behavioral syndrome (Réale et al., 2010). (ii) As boldness may increase predation risk (e.g. Hulthén et al., 2017), we may expect phenotypic compensation through shell characteristics to be present in bolder individuals. This would lead to correlations between morph and behavior, the direction of which providing insights into the dominant selection pressures. (iii) As snails are ectotherms, exploration should increase with temperature due to increased metabolism (over the range of temperatures suitable to movement; Abram, Boivin, Moiroux, & Brodeur, 2017; Cloyed, Dell, Hayes, Kordas, & O'Gorman, 2019). We expect this temperatureexploration reaction norm should vary both in its slope and average value according to shell morph and habitat of origin. Populations having evolved in sun-exposed habitats, and lighter (unbanded) snails should be better adapted to maintain activity in the face of high temperatures (e.g. Cloyed et al., 2019), at the possible costs of lower activity at lower temperatures (Tilling, 1983). Methods Sampled sites and snail maintenance under laboratory conditions Snails were sampled in fall 2016 in and close to the village of Arçais, France (Fig. 1C), roughly in the middle of the recorded latitudinal range of Cepaea nemoralis (GBIF Secretariat, 2020). We studied two sites located about 2 km apart and differing in terms of vegetation cover. One was a garden with few isolated trees, and thus under relatively direct sun exposure all year long (hereafter the "open habitat"; approximate location: 46° 17' 50"N, 0° 41' 30" W, Fig. 1D). The other was a 200 by 150 m deciduous forested lot, and thus fully shaded a large part of the year, especially the hottest spring and summer months ("shaded habitat"; approximately 46° 18' 01" N, 0° 42' 56" W, Fig. 1E). Only adult snails were selected (recognizable by a reflected "lip" on their shell opening), as a way to partly control for age. We only sampled snails with the three most abundant shell banding patterns: shells

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

with no bands, three bands on the lower side of the shell, and five bands (Fig. 1B). Following previous authors (e.g. Kavaliers, 1992), we here focused for simplicity solely on band presence, and thus sampled only snails with yellow background shells, which are the most common in the study region (Silvertown et al., 2011; personal observations) and on which the contrast between shell background and dark bands is the strongest. We acknowledge that this may prevent us from fully generalizing, for now, to natural populations, as the effect of darker background color is not always the same as the effect of increased shell banding (e.g. Kerstes et al., 2019). Snails were hand-collected during the day, their period of inactivity, both by simplicity and to avoid skewing our sample towards more active individuals. If there were nonetheless a bias towards catching more conspicuous/ less likely to hide snails, we believe it would have artificially reduced, rather than increased, our effect sizes: we would have sampled the most active morph and the most active individuals from the least active morph, reducing mean morph differences. Sampling for the present study was targeted and adjusted in the field to obtain roughly equal numbers of each banding pattern from both landscapes; it therefore did not allow us to make inferences on their relative abundances. The same sites were however sampled again in 2018 for a separate experiment, this time with random sampling relative to banding pattern. As in previous studies (e.g. Schilthuizen, 2013) and reflecting potential thermal selection, the darker five-banded snails were more frequent in the shaded habitat than in the open habitat (22.3 vs. 13.5 %; see Supplementary Material S1). We transferred snails to the lab and kept them under dormancy conditions (6 ± 1°C, no light, food or water sources) until March 2017, about 3 weeks before the start of the experiment. We then divided them into groups of 15 individuals from the same landscape, five (randomly chosen) of each shell phenotype. Comparing group size to natural densities is difficult, due to the way natural densities are often reported in the literature (averages over entire habitats, including empty areas). However, groups of 10-20 individuals are commonly seen in the wild (personal observations) and are also often

7

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

used in experiments (Oosterhoff, 1977; Rosin et al., 2018; Wolda, 1967). Groups were kept under controlled conditions (20 ± 1°C, L:D 16:8) in 8.5 × 15 × 12 cm polyethylene boxes lined with 1-2 cm of soil kept humid at the bottom. Snails had *ad libitum* access to prepared snail food (cereal flour supplemented with calcium, Hélinove, Saint Paul en Pareds, France) in a Petri dish. We gave each snail a unique ID written on the side of their shell with a paint marker (uni Posca, Mitsubishi Pencil Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan; Henry & Jarne, 2007). A total of 360 snails (60 for each habitat × shell phenotype combination) were used in the experiments described below. By necessity, the observer (see below) was not blind to individual habitat of origin/phenotype; note that the analyst (MD) did not contribute to the actual observations.

Behavioral tests: boldness

We studied boldness using simulated predator attacks as in Dahirel et al. (2017). All tests were done by the same operator (VG) to avoid effects of inter-experimenter variability. Snails were assayed individually during the last four hours of the photophase, i.e. the early part of the daily activity period. Like other helicids, *Cepaea nemoralis* is nocturnal but tends to start activity sometime before dark (Cameron, 1970). To stimulate activity, we first placed them in a Petri dish with water for 5 minutes, before putting them on individual clean glass plates. After snails had moved at least one shell length (≈20 mm) from their starting position, the operator used a pipette tip to pinch them for 5 seconds on the right side of the foot. Preliminary tests confirmed that this was the shortest time needed to ensure all snails retracted fully in their shell. We then recorded the time snails took to exit the shell and resume activity after the attack (from retraction to the full extension of all tentacles out of the shell), as our measure of boldness (snails with shorter latencies being considered bolder). We stopped observations after 20 min if snails did not exit the shell. Snails from the same test box were tested on the same day, and placed back in their box after testing. To estimate the repeatability of boldness, snails were tested a second time after seven days, using the same protocol. The initial

order in which groups were tested within a sequence was random; this order was conserved for all subsequent tests. Behavioral tests: exploration/speed We studied snail movement at four temperatures within the activity range of *C. nemoralis* (Cameron, 1970): 15, 18, 22, and 25 °C. All tests were again performed by the same operator (VG), and again during the last four hours of the photophase each day. Movement tests started 7 days after the last boldness test for a given individual, successive movement tests were separated by 24h. Half of the boxes, equally distributed between landscapes of origin, were tested in increasing temperature order (from 15 to 25 °C), the other half in decreasing order (25°C to 15°). Twenty-four hours before a given test, we placed snails and their rearing box at the testing temperature for habituation, using temperature-controlled cabinets (ET 619-4, Lovibond, Dortmund, Germany). For testing, each snail was placed individually at the center of a clean 25 × 25 cm polyethylene box (height: 9 cm) and left free to move. Snails were deemed active once they had moved more than 2 cm away from their starting point. We used the time snails took to move more than 10 cm from their starting point, minus the time taken to start activity, as our exploration metric (with lower values for snails that moved away faster). We stopped observations after 20 min post-activity initiation. This metric was chosen for its ease of implementation; we acknowledge that it conflates exploration of the environment with movement speed (as both slow-moving individuals and thorough explorers would have higher first-passage times). Ethical note and compatibility with reporting guidelines This study complies with all relevant national and international laws, and the ASAB/ABS Guidelines for the use of animals (2020) were adhered to as closely as possible. Potentially stressful experimental treatments (boldness experiment) were limited to the shortest possible time to elicit the behaviors of interest. No ethical board recommendation or administrative authorization was needed to work on or sample Cepaea nemoralis. The marking method used is non-invasive and has

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

minimal to no documented effects on life-history traits (Henry & Jarne, 2007). We do not believe there is any potential for bias due to social background, self-selection, experience or other factors indicated in the STRANGE framework (Webster & Rutz, 2020). To the best of our knowledge, the studied individuals are representative of the local populations studied, except for the two constraints explicitly imposed on collection by our experimental design (only adults, equal numbers of a few morphs of interest). All individuals were subjected to the same experimental conditions once collected. Statistical analyses We analyzed snail behavioral data in a Bayesian multilevel/mixed model framework, using the Stan language (Carpenter et al., 2017), with R (version 4.0; R Core Team, 2020) and the brms R package (Bürkner, 2017) as frontends. Scripting, analysis, and plotting relied on the tidybayes, bayesplot, and patchwork packages, as well as the tidyverse family of packages (Gabry, Simpson, Vehtari, Betancourt, & Gelman, 2019; Kay, 2019; Pedersen, 2019; Wickham et al., 2019). We used a bivariate generalized linear multilevel model to estimate the effect of shell phenotype, habitat and temperature on behavior, quantify behavioral (co)variances and partition them across hierarchical levels (among-box, among-individual and within-individual variation) (Dingemanse & Dochtermann, 2013; Houslay & Wilson, 2017). We did not estimate within-individual trait correlations, as exploration and boldness were tested independently at the within-individual level (that is, boldness measure 1 had no stronger "link" to exploration measure 1 than boldness measure 2; scenario 4 of table 2 in Dingemanse & Dochtermann, 2013). We present a full write-up of the model as Supplementary Material S2; a general description follows below. Boldness and exploration were analyzed assuming a lognormal distribution to account for the skewed distribution of time to event data. We accounted for the fact that monitoring was stopped before some individuals could express the behavior of interest by including a censored data indicator in the model. Fixed effects for both behaviors included shell banding (three-level categorical

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

variable), landscape of origin (binary variable), and their interaction, as well as test order (1 or 2 for boldness, 1 to 4 for exploration). The model for exploration additionally included a test temperature effect as well as its interactions with shell banding and landscape. Categorical variables (shell banding, landscape of origin) were converted to centered dummy variables, and numeric variables (test order, temperature) were centered, following Schielzeth (2010)(temperature was additionally scaled to unit 1SD). This has two benefits. First, it makes main effect coefficients directly interpretable even in the presence of interactions (Schielzeth, 2010). Second, for categorical variables, having the intercept on an "average" rather than on one arbitrary default category avoids the problem of putting a more precise prior on an arbitrary reference category (which would be defined by the intercept only) than on the others (which would be defined by the intercept and one or several other coefficients)(McElreath, 2020). Morph-specific coefficients (intercepts, slopes) remain easy to obtain post-fitting, by simply adding the relevant posterior coefficients. Random effects included box-level and individual-level intercepts as well as, in the case of exploration, the associated slopes for temperature. This allowed us to estimate among-box and among-individual variation in mean behavior and thermal behavioral reaction norms as well as the box- and individuallevel covariances among them (Dingemanse & Dochtermann, 2013). We used a Normal( $\mu = \ln(400)$ ,  $\sigma = 0.5$ ) prior for the fixed effects intercepts (mean log- latencies), so that ~99% of the probability mass was within the range of latencies that was observable during the experiment (i.e. 0 to 1200 sec, see above), but not excluding larger values, because of censoring. We set the other priors to be weakly informative and follow some suggestions by McElreath (2020): a Normal(0,1) prior for the other fixed effects, a half-Normal(0,1) prior for both random effect and distributional standard deviations. For the random effects correlation matrices, we use an LKJ( $\eta = 3$ ) prior, as it helps reach convergence faster than McElreath (2020)'s  $\eta = 2$  default. Note that our choice here is more skeptical of high correlations and thus penalizes against our hypotheses of interest (there are detectable correlations).

11

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

We partitioned total phenotypic variation  $V_P$  for each behavior into the following components:  $V_P =$  $V_F + V_I + V_B + V_D$ , where  $V_F$  is the fixed effect variation, including  $V_{F(state)}$  the portion of fixed-effect variance attributable to known individual state (banding pattern, environment of origin), i.e. excluding experimental effects (test order, temperature) (estimated following de Villemereuil, Morrissey, Nakagawa, & Schielzeth, 2018);  $V_i$ the average among-individual variation (including the effect of random temperature slope, estimated following Johnson, 2014), with  $V_{l[intercept]}$  the amongindividual variation at the average test temperature ( $V_I = V_{I(intercept)}$  for boldness);  $V_B$  and  $V_{B(intercept)}$  are the equivalent box-level variances; and  $V_D$  is the distributional, or residual, variation. As pointed by Wilson (2018) and de Villemereuil et al. (2018), there is in most cases no one "true" repeatability estimate just as there is no one "true" way of partitioning the phenotypic variance pie; several estimates with differing interpretations can be presented. Therefore, both absolute variance components and analytical choices regarding repeatabilities should be made explicit. We estimated the following two unadjusted repeatabilities (i.e. including the entirety of  $V_P$  in the denominator; Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2010): within-state repeatability  $R_{(within-state)} = V_{(lintercept)}/V_P$ , and what we term total repeatability,  $R_{(total)}$  = (  $V_{I(intercept)}$  +  $V_{Flstate}$ ) )/ $V_P$ . The proportion of persistent amongindividual variation that is attributable to individual state (banding and landscape of origin) is then denoted by  $V_{F(state)}$  / ( $V_{I(intercept)} + V_{F(state)}$ ). Variance components and repeatabilities are presented on the observed data scale (sensu de Villemereuil, Schielzeth, Nakagawa, & Morrissey, 2016). Variance components on the latent log scale (i.e. directly using model coefficients) led to qualitatively and quantitatively similar results. We ran four chains for 12000 iterations, with the first 2000 iterations of each chain used for warmup. We checked mixing graphically and confirmed chain convergence using the improved  $\widehat{R}$  statistic by Vehtari et al. (2020). The chains were run longer than the default number of iterations to ensure the effective sample size was satisfactory for all parameters (both bulk- and tail-effective sample sizes sensu Vehtari et al., 2020 at least > 400, here > 1000). All posterior summaries are given as mean [95% highest posterior density interval].

12

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

Results

Exploration was related to shell morph (Table 1, Fig. 2), with morph-specific intercepts, i.e. mean loglatencies, for 0, 3 and 5-banded snails of 6.65 [6.59, 6.69], 6.64 [6.59, 6.69] and 6.71 [6.66, 6.76], respectively. Five-banded snails were on average slower to explore their surroundings than either three-banded or unbanded snails (in both cases, mean difference: 0.07 [0.01, 0.13])(Fig. 2) Snails also became slower as tests went on (Table 1). We found no clear evidence of an effect of the landscape of origin on exploration. Snails explored faster with increasing temperature (Table 1, Fig. 2; temperature slopes for 0, 3, and 5-banded snails: -0.12 [-0.16,-0.07], -0.11 [-0.16, -0.07], -0.10 [-0.15,-0.06]). There was however no clear evidence that the slope of the temperature reaction norm varied between the three morphs, or between snails coming from different landscapes (Table 1; credible intervals for all interactions largely overlap 0). Morphs also varied in average boldness (Table 1, Fig. 2), with morph-specific intercepts for 0, 3 and 5-banded snails of 2.84 [2.65, 3.04], 2.99 [2.79, 3.18] and 3.10 [2.90, 3.30], respectively. Unbanded snails were bolder than five-banded snails (mean difference: -0.26 [-0.47, -0.05]); three-banded snails presenting intermediate values, with no clear difference with either extreme morph. Again, there was no evidence for landscape or landscape × morph effects. Both exploration and boldness were repeatable at the individual level, with average repeatabilities in the same range for both behaviors (Table 2). Including fixed effect variation due to individual state (morph and landscape of origin) in the calculation only slightly increased repeatabilities. Indeed, the proportion of persistent among-individual variation attributable to fixed effects was different from zero but small, with over 90% of individual-level variation attributable to other, unmeasured sources (Fig. 3, Table 2). Among-individual variation in temperature slopes was minimal, with variation in intercepts explaining 98% [89%, 100%] of the average exploration  $V_i$  (Fig. 3, Table 2). Accordingly, we find no clear evidence that the level of among-individual variation changes across the temperature gradient; following equations in Brommer (2013), the ratio between latent-scale  $V_i$  at the lowest and

highest tested temperatures is not different from 1 (0.76 [0.39, 1.13]). We also found no evidence of widespread rank switching across the temperature gradient (faster than average individuals in one environment remained overall faster across contexts): indeed, the cross-environmental correlation, which is higher the more predicted individual rankings stay consistent across environmental gradients (Brommer, 2013), was close to 1 when comparing the two extremes of the thermal gradient (0.85 [0.62, 1.00] on the latent scale).

Variation among boxes was small but non-negligible, in the same range as the proportion of variation explained by fixed effects for both behaviors. Average exploration and boldness were positively correlated at the individual level (Table 3, Fig. 4). There was no evidence that among-individual variation in responses to temperature was correlated with either mean exploration times or mean boldness (Table 3). There was no evidence for box-level correlations among traits (Table 3).

#### Discussion

By showing that behaviors linked to boldness and exploration are repeatable in *Cepaea nemoralis*, we add to a growing list of personality studies in gastropods, highlighting the usefulness of this taxon to address key questions in behavioral ecology (see e.g. Ahlgren et al., 2015; Cornwell, McCarthy, & Biro, 2020; Cornwell, McCarthy, Snyder, & Biro, 2019; Dahirel et al., 2017; Goodchild, Schmidt, & DuRant, 2020; Seaman & Briffa, 2015). We note however that this list is biased towards freshwater and marine gastropods; more studies are needed to understand among-individual variation in behavior in land mollusks. Additionally, we demonstrated that boldness and exploration are positively correlated in a common syndrome and that their expression varies depending on shell banding, a trait under strictly genetic determinism (little to no plasticity) that has been the focus of a lot of research in this species (Ożgo, 2009; Richards et al., 2013). Given how behavior can shape effective thermal tolerance (Abram et al., 2017) or vulnerability to predation (e.g. Hulthén et al., 2017), we believe these behavioral differences must be taken into account when discussing the evolution of shell color in this model species.

Unbanded snails were both bolder and explored faster than five-banded snails (Table 1, Fig. 2). Three-banded snails behaved similarly to unbanded snails for exploration (but were intermediate between unbanded and five-banded snails for boldness). This shows the "effectively unbanded" category sometimes used in Cepaea studies (Cain & Sheppard, 1954; Ożgo & Schilthuizen, 2012) has at least some behavioral relevance (that category groups together snails with little to no banding on the side of their shell exposed to the sun). Exploration and boldness were positively correlated both at the among-individual (Table 2) and among-morph levels (the shyest morph was also the slowest, Fig. 2). At the individual level, while some clutches were laid during the experiments, we were not able to test if this behavioral syndrome was integrated into a broader pace of life syndrome sensu Réale et al. (2010) by linking behavioral and life-history variation. Indeed, we were unable to ascertain the maternal and especially paternal origin of most clutches, and were not able to follow snail fecundity or longevity over their entire life. There are however some indications in the literature that more active/mobile snails are faster-growing (Oosterhoff, 1977), as the pace-of-life syndrome hypothesis would predict. Five-banded snails were on average shyer than unbanded snails (Fig. 2). Birds, thrushes in particular (genus Turdus), are key predators of Cepaea nemoralis (Rosin, Lesicki, Kwieciński, Skórka, & Tryjanowski, 2017; Rosin, Olborska, Surmacki, & Tryjanowski, 2011). Historically, both frequencydependent predation and direct visual selection due to crypsis have been invoked as explanations for predator-dependent morph variation in Cepaea (Jones et al., 1977; Ożgo, 2009), but discussions often used human vision as a baseline. More recently, crypsis explanations have received increased support from an experiment using models of avian vision to more rigorously test how thrushes see different shell morphs (Surmacki et al., 2013). In both our test sites, the boldest morph (unbanded shell) is the least conspicuous (based on Surmacki et al., 2013), not the rarest. Building on the phenotypic compensation hypothesis (i.e. that risk-taking individuals should be better defended; Ahlgren et al., 2015; Kuo, Irschick, & Lailvaux, 2015), this result then adds support to crypsis-based explanations of Cepaea morph variation. However, phenotypic compensation is not a hard rule, and

15

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

risk-taking individuals are sometimes less defended than risk-avoiding ones (De Winter et al., 2016; Goodchild et al., 2020). Besides, snails are also predated by rodents (Rosin et al., 2011), and shell morphs differ in shell strength in ways that go counter to the phenotypic compensation hypothesis (5-banded shells being stronger; Rosin et al., 2013). The combined effect of color and shell thickness/strength on predation risk remains to be studied. Finally, we must remember that (i) our knowledge of how avian predators perceive snails is very limited (Surmacki et al., 2013), (ii) we only tested a small set of the available morphs, which do not include the rarest background colors (pink and brown), and (iii) shell banding is a trait under multiple selection pressures, including thermal selection (see below). Exploration speed was temperature-dependent: as expected from an ectothermic species, snails were on average faster at higher temperatures (Fig. 2). The temperature reaction norm of exploration was remarkably conserved among individuals (the near-totality of the among-individual variance  $V_i$  was due to differences in average behavior, rather than in temperature slopes; Table 2, Fig. 3). In addition, there was surprisingly no evidence that behavioral differences among morphs are influenced by the thermal environment, whether we consider the environment of origin (no habitat  $\times$ morph interaction) or the current environment (no effect of morph identity on thermal reaction norms) (Table 1). This is despite abundant evidence in the literature for thermal selection on shell morphs, based on both field comparisons (e.g. Richardson, 1974; Schilthuizen, 2013; Kerstes et al., 2019; for this study, see Methods), and experiments (Lamotte, 1959; Tilling, 1983; Wolda, 1967). Studies giving snails a choice between multiple temperatures show snail morphs do have different thermal preferences that align with expectations based on thermal selection (Kavaliers, 1992). Some studies suggest that snails use shade and humidity just as much (and potentially more) as temperature as cues to adjust their behavior to microclimate (Ożgo & Kubea, 2005; Rosin et al., 2018). Our exploration tests were short, under standardized lighting conditions and with no water, and snails were brought back to favorable humidity soon after. It is possible longer experiments, or experiments comparing the responses of snails from different habitats to realistic climate variation

16

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

(including shade and/or humidity) would yield different responses. Maybe more importantly, we only tested temperatures favorable for activity, i.e. the limited part of the thermal niche closer to the optimum. Morph differences in behavior might be stronger closer to critical minimal or maximal temperature thresholds (Tilling, 1983). This can be investigated by using a wider range of temperatures and expanding the reaction norm approach used here to either a character state approach (e.g. Houslay, Earley, Young, & Wilson, 2019) or a non-linear reaction norm approach (Arnold, Kruuk, & Nicotra, 2019); both would account for the typical non-linearity of complete thermal performance curves (Arnold et al., 2019). It is very important to note, however, that these results do not mean populations from landscapes differing in sun exposure are identical in behavior, even for the range of situations we tested. Indeed, because morphs differ in their behavior, and because morph frequencies differ among landscapes (see Supplementary Material S1), the average snail from a sun-exposed population may well be bolder and more active than its counterpart from a shaded population. In any case, the links between behaviors and morphs we observed are conserved across contexts, despite (apparent) selection on shell morph. While this is not a definite proof by itself, we consider this a first hint in favor of a genetic association between morphs and behaviors that cannot be easily broken by environmental changes. In addition to studies aiming to confirm these behavioral traits are heritable, further research into the physiological underpinnings of behavioral differences between morphs (building on e.g. Kavaliers, 1992) and of shell color and pattern determination (Kerkvliet et al., 2017) should help confirm (or infirm) this putative genetic correlation and elucidate its proximate basis. Assuming this genetic link is confirmed, any discussion about how selection on morph may influence the evolution of behavior (or vice versa) must be tempered by one fact: the greater part of the repeatable among-individual variation in behavior was not explained by shell morph (see Fig. 3, Table 1, and the fact that morph differences are hard to see from raw data in Fig. 2). It is in a way

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

unsurprising, as we did not expect a single discrete trait to entirely constrain individual behavioral variation. Indeed, the expression of animal personalities can be influenced by many unobserved drivers and state variables which should have a priori limited links to shell morph and its drivers (Burns et al., 2012; Petelle, Martin, & Blumstein, 2019; Sih et al., 2015; Wright et al., 2019). This includes for instance sex or reproductive history (DiRienzo & Aonuma, 2017; Kralj-Fišer, Hebets, & Kuntner, 2017), predation risk (Goodchild et al., 2020), age or life stage (Dahirel et al., 2017), or body size (Santostefano et al., 2017). Snail behavior is particularly sensitive to population density including during development (Cameron & Carter, 1979; Oosterhoff, 1977), an environmental axis we ignored in the present study. Also, our study focused on relatively short-term repeatability; it is possible that over larger time scales, the variance component related to morph differences plays a more important role. In a fish community, for instance, some differences among species are detectable over long but not short time scales (Harrison et al., 2019). Finally, some level of stochastic behavioral individuality is inevitable even in the total absence of meaningful genetic and environmental variation (Bierbach, Laskowski, & Wolf, 2017). What must be noted, though, is that some of this "remaining" among-individual variation may still, actually, relate to shell morph. Indeed, because of dominance within loci and especially epistatic relationships among loci, individuals that share the same shell phenotype may actually vary greatly in terms of underlying shell genotype (e.g. having one dominant allele at the « band presence » locus leads to total band absence and masks variation at all other banding genes; Jones et al., 1977). However, while much is known about among-morph variation in thermal tolerance, life history, physiology (Kavaliers, 1992; Kerstes et al., 2019; Lamotte, 1959; Oosterhoff, 1977; Richardson, 1974; Tilling, 1983; Wolda, 1967), we know nothing, as far as we can tell, about within-morph, but among-genotype variation. Investigations using individuals of known genotype obtained through repeated crosses or, as our knowledge of the actual molecular underpinnings increases, through direct genotyping (Gonzalez, Aramendia, & Davison, 2019; Kerkvliet et al., 2017), may shed light on this "hidden" genetic variation and whether it contributes to the persistence of morph-related behavior differences.

18

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

Increased boldness and exploration have been tied to a higher probability of dispersal in many species (Cote, Clobert, Brodin, Fogarty, & Sih, 2010), including land snails (Dahirel et al., 2017), and non-random dispersal is now acknowledged as a potentially widespread force behind population phenotypic divergence (Edelaar & Bolnick, 2012; Jacob, Bestion, Legrand, Clobert, & Cote, 2015). Bolder animals are often thought to trade increased success against a greater predation risk (Hulthén et al., 2017; but see Moiron, Laskowski, & Niemelä, 2020); predation is generally considered a key driver of morphological differences in Cepaea, and plays a key role in dispersal across taxa (Fronhofer et al., 2018). Although active dispersal can safely be dismissed as a driver of continental-scale differences in morph frequencies, our results point to Cepaea as a good model to understand how existing behavioral differences may drive local-scale morphological differences (and vice versa). By building on, and complementing, a decades-long history of evolutionary research, this will help us better understand the role of behavior, and constraints on behavioral variation, in shaping responses to rapid environmental changes (Candolin & Wong, 2012), including landscape alteration and climate change. References Abram, P. K., Boivin, G., Moiroux, J., & Brodeur, J. (2017). Behavioural effects of temperature on ectothermic animals 12: Unifying thermal physiology and behavioural plasticity. Biological

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

Reviews, 92(4), 185921876. doi: 10.1111/brv.12312 Ahlgren, J., Chapman, B. B., Nilsson, P. A., & Brönmark, C. (2015). Individual boldness is linked to protective shell shape in aquatic snails. Biology Letters, 11(4), 20150029. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0029 Arnold, P. A., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Nicotra, A. B. (2019). How to analyse plant phenotypic plasticity in response to a changing climate. New Phytologist, 222(3), 1235 21241. doi:

10.1111/nph.15656

ASAB. & ABS. (2020). Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. Animal Behaviour, 159, I2XI. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.11.002 Bierbach, D., Laskowski, K. L., & Wolf, M. (2017). Behavioural individuality in clonal fish arises despite near-identical rearing conditions. Nature Communications, 8, 15361. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15361 Brommer, J. E. (2013). Variation in plasticity of personality traits implies that the ranking of personality measures changes between environmental contexts 2: Calculating the crossenvironmental correlation. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 67(10), 1709 21718. doi: 10.1007/s00265-013-1603-9 Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms

: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. Journal of Statistical Software, 80(1), 1228. doi: 10.18637/jss.v080.i01 Burns, J. G., Svetec, N., Rowe, L., Mery, F., Dolan, M. J., Boyce, W. T., & Sokolowski, M. B. (2012). Gene-environment interplay in *Drosophila melanogaster* (2): Chronic food deprivation in early life affects adult exploratory and fitness traits. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109(Suppl 2), 17239 217244. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1121265109 Cain, A. J., & Sheppard, P. M. (1954). Natural selection in Cepaea. Genetics, 39(1), 892116. Cameron, R. A. D. (1970). The effect of temperature on the activity of three species of helicid snail (Mollusca ?: Gastropoda). Journal of Zoology, 162(3), 303 2315. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1970.tb01267.x Cameron, R. A. D., & Carter, M. A. (1979). Intra- and interspecific effects of population density on growth and activity in some helicid land snails (Gastropoda 12: Pulmonata). Journal of Animal Ecology, 48(1), 2372246. doi: 10.2307/4111 Candolin, U., & Wong, B. B. M. (Éds.). (2012). Behavioural responses to a changing world?: Mechanisms and consequences (1st edition). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

494 Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M. D., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M., ... Riddell, A. (2017). 495 Stan 2: A probabilistic programming language. Journal of Statistical Software, 76(1), 1232. 496 doi: 10.18637/jss.v076.i01 497 Chang, H.-W. (1991). Activity and weight loss in relation to solar radiation in the polymorphic land 498 snail Cepaea nemoralis. Journal of Zoology, 225(2), 213 2225. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-499 7998.1991.tb03812.x 500 Cloyed, C. S., Dell, A. I., Hayes, T., Kordas, R. L., & O'Gorman, E. J. (2019). Long-term exposure to 501 higher temperature increases the thermal sensitivity of grazer metabolism and movement. 502 Journal of Animal Ecology, O(0). doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12976 503 Cook, L. M. (2008). Variation with habitat in Cepaea nemoralis : The Cain & Sheppard diagram. 504 Journal of Molluscan Studies, 74(3), 239 243. doi: 10.1093/mollus/eyn011 505 Cornwell, T. O., McCarthy, I. D., & Biro, P. A. (2020). Integration of physiology, behaviour and life 506 history traits 12: Personality and pace of life in a marine gastropod. Animal Behaviour, 163, 507 1552162. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.03.009 508 Cornwell, T. O., McCarthy, I. D., Snyder, C. R. A., & Biro, P. A. (2019). The influence of environmental 509 gradients on individual behaviour?: Individual plasticity is consistent across risk and 510 temperature gradients. Journal of Animal Ecology, 88(4), 5112520. doi: 10.1111/1365-511 2656.12935 512 Cote, J., Clobert, J., Brodin, T., Fogarty, S., & Sih, A. (2010). Personality-dependent dispersal2: 513 Characterization, ontogeny and consequences for spatially structured populations. 514 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 365(1560), 515 406524076. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0176 516 Dahirel, M., Vong, A., Ansart, A., & Madec, L. (2017). Individual boldness is life stage-dependent and 517 linked to dispersal in a hermaphrodite land snail. Ecological Research, 32, 751 2755. doi: 518 10.1007/s11284-017-1484-x

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Davison, A., Jackson, H. J., Murphy, E. W., & Reader, T. (2019), Discrete or indiscrete? Redefining the colour polymorphism of the land snail Cepaea nemoralis. Heredity, 1. doi: 10.1038/s41437-019-0189-z De Winter, G., Ramalho Martins, H., Trovo, R. A., & Chapman, B. B. (2016). Knights in shining armour are not necessarily bold?: Defensive morphology correlates negatively with boldness, but positively with activity, in wild threespine stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 17, 1212. Dingemanse, N. J., & Dochtermann, N. A. (2013). Quantifying individual variation in behaviour: Mixed-effect modelling approaches. Journal of Animal Ecology, 82(1), 39254. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12013 Dingemanse, N. J., Kazem, A. J., Réale, D., & Wright, J. (2010). Behavioural reaction norms : Animal personality meets individual plasticity. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 25(2), 81289. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.07.013 Dingemanse, N. J., & Wright, J. (2020). Criteria for acceptable studies of animal personality and behavioural syndromes. *Ethology*, 126(9), 8652869. doi: 10.1111/eth.13082 DiRienzo, N., & Aonuma, H. (2017). Individual differences are consistent across changes in mating status and mediated by biogenic amines. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 71(8), 118. doi: 10.1007/s00265-017-2345-x Dochtermann, N. A., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2013). Behavioral syndromes as evolutionary constraints. Behavioral Ecology, 24(4), 8062811. doi: 10.1093/beheco/art002 Edelaar, P., & Bolnick, D. I. (2012). Non-random gene flow: An underappreciated force in evolution and ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 27(12), 6592665. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.009 Fronhofer, E. A., Legrand, D., Altermatt, F., Ansart, A., Blanchet, S., Bonte, D., ... Cote, J. (2018). Bottom-up and top-down control of dispersal across major organismal groups. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2(12), 1859 21863. doi: 10.1038/s41559-018-0686-0

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M., & Gelman, A. (2019). Visualization in Bayesian workflow. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society), 182(2), 3892402. doi: 10.1111/rssa.12378 GBIF Secretariat. (2020). Cepaea nemoralis (Linnaeus, 1758) in GBIF Backbone Taxonomy. Checklist dataset accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-08-11. Consulté à l'adresse https://doi.org/10.15468/39omei Gonzalez, D. R., Aramendia, A. C., & Davison, A. (2019). Recombination within the Cepaea nemoralis supergene is confounded by incomplete penetrance and epistasis. Heredity, 1. doi: 10.1038/s41437-019-0190-6 Goodchild, C. G., Schmidt, L. M., & DuRant, S. E. (2020). Evidence for the 'behavioural character' hypothesis Does boldness programme disparate antipredator strategies? Animal Behaviour, 164, 123 2132. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.04.010 Harrison, P. M., Keeler, R. A., Robichaud, D., Mossop, B., Power, M., & Cooke, S. J. (2019). Individual differences exceed species differences in the movements of a river fish community. Behavioral Ecology, arz076. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arz076 Heath, D. J. (1975). Colour, sunlight and internal temperatures in the land-snail Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Oecologia, 19(1), 29238. doi: 10.1007/BF00377587 Henry, P.-Y., & Jarne, P. (2007). Marking hard-shelled gastropods: Tag loss, impact on life-history traits, and perspectives in biology. *Invertebrate Biology*, 126(2), 1382153. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7410.2007.00084.x Houslay, T. M., Earley, R. L., Young, A. J., & Wilson, A. J. (2019). Habituation and individual variation in the endocrine stress response in the Trinidadian guppy (Poecilia reticulata). General and Comparative Endocrinology, 270, 1132122. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2018.10.013 Houslay, Thomas M., & Wilson, A. J. (2017). Avoiding the misuse of BLUP in behavioural ecology. Behavioral Ecology, 28(4), 9482952. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arx023

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

570 Hulthén, K., Chapman, B. B., Nilsson, P. A., Hansson, L.-A., Skov, C., Brodersen, J., ... Brönmark, C. 571 (2017). A predation cost to bold fish in the wild. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1239. doi: 572 10.1038/s41598-017-01270-w 573 Jacob, S., Bestion, E., Legrand, D., Clobert, J., & Cote, J. (2015). Habitat matching and spatial 574 heterogeneity of phenotypes 2: Implications for metapopulation and metacommunity 575 functioning. Evolutionary Ecology, 29(6), 8512871. doi: 10.1007/s10682-015-9776-5 576 Johnson, P. C. D. (2014). Extension of Nakagawa & Schielzeth's R<sup>2</sup><sub>GLMM</sub> to random slopes models. 577 Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 5(9), 944@946. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12225 578 Jones, J. S. (1982). Genetic differences in individual behaviour associated with shell polymorphism in 579 the snail Cepaea nemoralis. Nature, 298(5876), 7492750. doi: 10.1038/298749a0 580 Jones, J. S., Leith, B. H., & Rawlings, P. (1977). Polymorphism in Cepaco (2): A problem with too many 581 solutions? Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 8, 1092143. JSTOR. Consulté à 582 l'adresse JSTOR. 583 Kavaliers, M. (1992). Opioid systems, behavioral thermoregulation and shell polymorphism in the 584 land snail, Cepaea nemoralis. Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 162(2), 172 178. doi: 585 10.1007/BF00398344 586 Kay, M. (2019). tidybayes<sup>®</sup>: Tidy data and geoms for Bayesian models. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1308151 587 Kerkvliet, J., Boer, T. de, Schilthuizen, M., & Kraaijeveld, K. (2017). Candidate genes for shell colour 588 polymorphism in Cepaea nemoralis. PeerJ, 5, e3715. doi: 10.7717/peerj.3715 589 Kern, E. M. A., Robinson, D., Gass, E., Godwin, J., & Langerhans, R. B. (2016). Correlated evolution of 590 personality, morphology and performance. Animal Behaviour, 117, 79 286. doi: 591 10.1016/j.anbehav.2016.04.007 592 Kerstes, N. A. G., Breeschoten, T., Kalkman, V. J., & Schilthuizen, M. (2019). Snail shell colour 593 evolution in urban heat islands detected via citizen science. Communications Biology, 2(1), 594 264. doi: 10.1038/s42003-019-0511-6

Kralj-Fišer, S., Hebets, E. A., & Kuntner, M. (2017). Different patterns of behavioral variation across and within species of spiders with differing degrees of urbanization. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 71(8), 125. doi: 10.1007/s00265-017-2353-x Kralj-Fišer, S., & Schuett, W. (2014). Studying personality variation in invertebrates ?: Why bother? Animal Behaviour, 91, 41252. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.02.016 Kuo, C.-Y., Irschick, D. J., & Lailvaux, S. P. (2015). Trait compensation between boldness and the propensity for tail autotomy under different food availabilities in similarly aged brown anole lizards. Functional Ecology, 29(3), 3852392. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12324 Lamotte, M. (1959). Polymorphism of natural populations of Cepaea nemoralis. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology, 24(0), 65286. doi: 10.1101/SQB.1959.024.01.009 McElreath, R. (2020). Statistical rethinking: A Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan (2nd edition). Boca Raton, USA: Chapman and Hall/CRC. Moiron, M., Laskowski, K. L., & Niemelä, P. T. (2020). Individual differences in behaviour explain variation in survival: A meta-analysis. Ecology Letters, 23(2), 399 2408. doi: 10.1111/ele.13438 Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2010). Repeatability for Gaussian and non-Gaussian data 12: A practical guide for biologists. Biological Reviews, 85(4), 9352956. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00141.x Niemelä, P. T., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2018). On the usage of single measurements in behavioural ecology research on individual differences. Animal Behaviour, 145, 992 105. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.09.012 Oosterhoff, L. M. (1977). Variation in growth rate as an ecological factor in the landsnail Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Netherlands Journal of Zoology, 27(1), 12 132. doi: 10.1163/002829677X00072 Ożgo, M. (2009). Current problems in the research of Cepaea polymorphism. Folia Malacologica, 16(2), 55260. doi: 10.12657/folmal.016.009

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

Ozgo, M., & Kinnison, M. T. (2008). Contingency and determinism during convergent contemporary evolution in the polymorphic land snail, Cepaea nemoralis. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 10, 721<sup>2</sup>733. Ożgo, M., & Kubea, A. (2005). Humidity and the effect of shell colour on activity of Cepaea nemoralis (Linnaeus, 1758). Folia Malacologica, 13(3). Ożgo, M., Liew, T.-S., Webster, N. B., & Schilthuizen, M. (2017). Inferring microevolution from museum collections and resampling 2: Lessons learned from Cepaea. PeerJ, 5, e3938. doi: 10.7717/peerj.3938 Ożgo, M., & Schilthuizen, M. (2012). Evolutionary change in Cepaea nemoralis shell colour over 43 years. Global Change Biology, 18(1), 74281. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02514.x Pedersen, T. L. (2019). patchwork: The composer of plots. Consulté à l'adresse https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=patchwork Petelle, M. B., Martin, J. G. A., & Blumstein, D. T. (2019). Mixed support for state maintaining risky personality traits in yellow-bellied marmots. Animal Behaviour, 150, 177 2188. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.02.008 R Core Team. (2020). R: a language and environment for statistical computing (Version 4.0.0). Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Consulté à l'adresse https://www.Rproject.org/ Réale, D., Garant, D., Humphries, M. M., Bergeron, P., Careau, V., & Montiglio, P.-O. (2010). Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 365(1560), 405124063. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0208 Réale, D., Reader, S. M., Sol, D., McDougall, P. T., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2007). Integrating animal temperament within ecology and evolution. Biological Reviews, 82(2), 2912318. doi:

10.1111/j.1469-185X.2007.00010.x

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

Richards, P. M., Liu, M. M., Lowe, N., Davey, J. W., Blaxter, M. L., & Davison, A. (2013), RAD-Seq. derived markers flank the shell colour and banding loci of the Cepaea nemoralis supergene. Molecular Ecology, 22(11), 307723089. doi: 10.1111/mec.12262 Richardson, A. M. M. (1974). Differential climatic selection in natural population of land snail Cepaea nemoralis. Nature, 247(5442), 572. doi: 10.1038/247572a0 Rosin, Z. M., Kobak, J., Lesicki, A., & Tryjanowski, P. (2013). Differential shell strength of Cepaea *nemoralis* colour morphs—Implications for their anti-predator defence. Naturwissenschaften, 100(9), 843 2851. doi: 10.1007/s00114-013-1084-8 Rosin, Z. M., Kwieciński, Z., Lesicki, A., Skórka, P., Kobak, J., Szymańska, A., ... Tryjanowski, P. (2018). Shell colour, temperature, (micro)habitat structure and predator pressure affect the behaviour of Cepaea nemoralis. The Science of Nature, 105(5), 35. doi: 10.1007/s00114-018-1560-2 Rosin, Z. M., Lesicki, A., Kwieciński, Z., Skórka, P., & Tryjanowski, P. (2017). Land snails benefit from human alterations in rural landscapes and habitats. Ecosphere, 8(7), e01874. doi: 10.1002/ecs2.1874 Rosin, Z. M., Olborska, P., Surmacki, A., & Tryjanowski, P. (2011). Differences in predatory pressure on terrestrial snails by birds and mammals. Journal of Biosciences, 36(4), 691 2699. doi: 10.1007/s12038-011-9077-2 Royauté, R., Berdal, M. A., Garrison, C. R., & Dochtermann, N. A. (2018). Paceless life? A metaanalysis of the pace-of-life syndrome hypothesis. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 72(3), 64. doi: 10.1007/s00265-018-2472-z Santostefano, F., Wilson, A. J., Niemelä, P. T., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2017). Behavioural mediators of genetic life-history trade-offs: A test of the pace-of-life syndrome hypothesis in field crickets. Proc. R. Soc. B, 284(1864), 20171567. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2017.1567 Schielzeth, H. (2010). Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 1(2), 103 © 113. doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00012.x

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

Schilthuizen, M. (2013). Rapid, habitat-related evolution of land snail colour morphs on reclaimed land. Heredity, 110(3), 247-252. Seaman, B., & Briffa, M. (2015). Parasites and personality in periwinkles (Littorina littorea) 12: Infection status is associated with mean-level boldness but not repeatability. Behavioural Processes, 115, 1322134. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2015.03.014 Sih, A., Bell, A. M., Johnson, J. C., & Ziemba, R. E. (2004). Behavioral syndromes 2: An integrative overview. The Quarterly Review of Biology, 79(3), 2412277. doi: 10.1086/422893 Sih, A., Mathot, K. J., Moirón, M., Montiglio, P.-O., Wolf, M., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2015). Animal personality and state-behaviour feedbacks12: A review and guide for empiricists. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 30(1), 50260. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2014.11.004 Silvertown, J., Cook, L., Cameron, R., Dodd, M., McConway, K., Worthington, J., ... Juan, X. (2011). Citizen science reveals unexpected continental-scale evolutionary change in a model organism. *PLOS ONE*, 6(4), e18927. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018927 Surmacki, A., Ożarowska-Nowicka, A., & Rosin, Z. M. (2013). Color polymorphism in a land snail Cepaea nemoralis (Pulmonata 12: Helicidae) as viewed by potential avian predators. Die Naturwissenschaften, 100(6), 5332540. doi: 10.1007/s00114-013-1049-y Tilling, S. M. (1983). An experimental investigation of the behaviour and mortality of artificial and natural morphs of Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 19(1), 35250. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1983.tb00775.x Vehtari, A., Gelman, A., Simpson, D., Carpenter, B., & Bürkner, P.-C. (2020). Rank-normalization, folding, and localization 2: An improved \widehat \{R\} for assessing convergence of MCMC. Bayesian Analysis. doi: 10.1214/20-BA1221 Villemereuil, P. de, Morrissey, M. B., Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2018). Fixed-effect variance and the estimation of repeatabilities and heritabilities 12: Issues and solutions. Journal of

Evolutionary Biology, 31(4), 621@632. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13232

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

Villemereuil, Pierre de, Schielzeth, H., Nakagawa, S., & Morrissey, M. (2016). General methods for 697 evolutionary quantitative genetic inference from Generalized Mixed Models. Genetics, 698 204(3), 128121294. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.186536 699 Webster, M. M., & Rutz, C. (2020). How STRANGE are your study animals? Nature, 582(7812), 700 3372340. doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01751-5 701 Welter-Schultes, F. (2012). European non-marine molluscs, a guide for species identification. 702 Göttingen: Planet Poster Editions. 703 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., ... Yutani, H. (2019). 704 Welcome to the Tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(43), 1686. doi: 705 10.21105/joss.01686 706 Wilson, A. J. (2018). How should we interpret estimates of individual repeatability? Evolution Letters, 707 2(1), 428. doi: 10.1002/evl3.40 708 Wolda, H. (1967). The effect of temperature on reproduction in some morphs of the landsnail 709 Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Evolution, 21(1), 1172129. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1967.tb00135.x 710 Wolf, M., & McNamara, J. M. (2012). On the evolution of personalities via frequency-dependent 711 selection. The American Naturalist, 179(6), 6792692. doi: 10.1086/665656 712 Wolf, M., & Weissing, F. J. (2010). An explanatory framework for adaptive personality differences. 713 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1560), 395923968. 714 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0215 715 Wright, J., Bolstad, G. H., Araya-Ajoy, Y. G., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2019). Life-history evolution under 716 fluctuating density-dependent selection and the adaptive alignment of pace-of-life 717 syndromes. Biological Reviews, 94(1), 2302247. doi: 10.1111/brv.12451 718 719

Tables

**Table 1:** Estimated fixed effect parameters of the model explaining exploration and boldness latencies (mean and 95% credible intervals). Continuous explanatory variables are centered and scaled, and categorical variables converted to centered dummy variables; the intercept then refers to the behavior of a hypothetical "average" snail.

	Mean effect (95% credible interval)		
	Exploration	Boldness	
Fixed effects: main effects			
Intercept (log-latency of an "average" individual)	6.67 (6.63 ; 6.70)	2.98 (2.83 ; 3.13)	
banding = 3 bands	-0.00 (-0.06 ; 0.06)	0.15 (-0.06 ; 0.36)	
banding = 5 bands	0.07 (0.01 ; 0.13)	0.26 (0.05 ; 0.47)	
landscape of origin = shaded	0.06 (-0.02; 0.13)	0.20 (-0.10 ; 0.50)	
test temperature	-0.11 (-0.15 ; -0.07)		
test order	0.04 (0.00 ; 0.07)	0.08 (-0.04 ; 0.19)	
Fixed effects: interactions			
banding = 3bands × landscape = shaded	-0.07 (-0.19 ; 0.05)	0.16 (-0.25 ; 0.56)	
banding = 5bands × landscape = shaded	-0.01 (-0.13 ; 0.11)	0.24 (-0.16 ; 0.65)	
banding = 3bands × temperature	0.00 (-0.04 ; 0.04)		
banding = 5bands × temperature	0.01 (-0.03 ; 0.05)	<del></del>	
landscape = shaded × temperature	-0.05 (-0.13 ; 0.03)	<del></del>	
banding = 3bands × landscape = shaded ×	0.00 / 0.10 . 0.05		
temperature	-0.02 (-0.10 ; 0.06)	<del></del>	
banding = 5bands × landscape = shaded ×	0.00 / 0.75 . 7.75		
temperature	0.02 (-0.06 ; 0.10)	<del></del>	

**Table 2.** Variance partitioning and repeatabilities. Estimated variances and 95% credible interval are obtained from a multivariate mixed model. Variances are estimated on the observed scale *sensu* de Villemereuil et al (2016). Variances are rounded to the nearest unit. Readers looking at the data may note that the total variances  $V_P$  are higher than the empirically observed variances. This is because the latter are underestimated, due to censoring.

	Posterior mean (95% credible interval)							
	Exploration	Boldness						
Variance partitioning (observed scale, in sec²)								
Fixed effects $V_F$	13556 (6349 ; 21112)	49 (6 ; 110)						
- explained by state	2185 (329 ; 4360)	46 (4 ; 105)						
Among boxes $V_{B}$	8468 (2984 ; 15132)	128 (12; 307)						
- random intercept only	3102 (0 ; 7101)	128 (12; 307)						
Among individuals $V_l$	25677 (17966 ; 33628)	542 (263; 875)						
- random intercept only	24346 (17322 ; 32035)	542 (263; 875)						
Residual $V_{\scriptscriptstyle D}$	73976 (63439 ; 84520)	1795 (966 ; 2816)						
Total variance $V_P$	121676 (102632 ; 141659)	2513 (1334 ; 3960)						
Repeatabilities (observed scal	е)							
R <sub>(within-state)</sub>	0.20 (0.15 ; 0.25)	0.22 (0.15 ; 0.29)						
$R_{(total)}$	0.22 (0.17 ; 0.27)	0.24 (0.17 ; 0.31)						
$R_{(within-state)} / R_{(total)}$	0.92 (0.84 ; 0.98)	0.92 (0.84 ; 0.99)						

#### Table 3. Random effect correlation matrices (latent scale, mean and 95% credible intervals). Box-

### level correlations are above the diagonal, individual-level correlations below the diagonal.

	Boldness, intercept	Exploration, intercept	Exploration, temperature slope
Boldness, intercept		0.12 (-0.42 ; 0.63)	0.38 (-0.05 ; 0.79)
Exploration, intercept	0.28 (0.10 ; 0.46)		-0.19 (-0.69 ; 0.33)
Exploration,			
temperature slope	-0.19 (-0.67 ; 0.32)	0.29 (-0.24 ; 0.76)	

Figure legends Figure 1. Study species and sites. (A) An unbanded yellow Cepaea nemoralis, showing the position of individual paint marks on the shell (B) Representative shells of the three studied morphs as seen from above: yellow unbanded, three-banded, and five-banded snails (C) Study sites location in France; the open habitat (D) and the shaded habitat (E) are separated by about 2 km. Photographs were taken during winter, when snails were collected. Figure 2. (A; B) posterior distributions of median boldness (A) and exploration (B) in relation to shell morph. The model estimates the mean log-latencies, which correspond to the medians on the observed latencies scale. (C) Mean and 95% credible band for the relationship between exploration latency and test temperature. Grey lines connect trials from the same individual. Values are plotted on a log-transformed axis. N = 360 individuals (60 per landscape × morph combination) Figure 3. Mean (points) and posteriors for the proportion of variance explained by the different variance components. For boldness,  $V_B$  and  $V_{B(intercept)}$  are exactly equal by definition (same for  $V_I$  and  $V_{l(intercept)}$ ; see Methods and Table 2 for details on this and the names of the variance components. Figure 4. Correlation between individual-level random effects for boldness and exploration, illustrated by plotting their respective Best Linear Unbiased Predictors and 95% credible intervals. Inset: posterior distribution of the correlation coefficient. BLUPs are plotted, and correlation estimated, on the latent log scale.

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754







