

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1021/IC50215A068

## **Bonding in metal-borohydride complexes** — [Source link](#)





Norman M. Edelstein

**Published on:** 01 Jan 1981 - Inorganic Chemistry (American Chemical Society)

**Topics:** Borohydride, Ligand, Denticity, Coordination number and Steric effects

Related papers:

- [Covalent transition metal, lanthanide, and actinide tetrahydroborate complexes](#)
- [Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides](#)
- [Synthesis, Structure, and Reactions of Hydride, Borohydride, and Aluminohydride Compounds of the f-Elements.](#)
- [Phase annealing in SHELX-90: direct methods for larger structures](#)
- [Structure and dynamics in metal tetrahydroborates. II. Vibrational spectra and structures of some transition metal and actinide tetrahydroborates](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/bonding-in-metal-borohydride-complexes-2mv1wqwzqm>

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

**Title**

BONDING IN METAL-BOROHYDRIDE COMPLEXES

**Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0px1q04w>

**Author**

Edelstein, N.

**Publication Date**

1980-04-01



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## Materials & Molecular Research Division

Submitted to Inorganic Chemistry

BONDING IN METAL-BOROHYDRIDE COMPLEXES

Norman Edelstein

April 1980


RECEIVED  
LAWRENCE  
BERKELEY LABORATORY

JUN 20 1980

LIBRARY AND  
DOCUMENTS SEC

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.*



LBL-10855c.2

## DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## Bonding in Metal-Borohydride Complexes

Norman Edelstein

Materials and Molecular Research Division  
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720

April 1980

The borohydride ligand has been shown in various structural studies to bond to a wide variety of metal ions via bidentate,<sup>1-23</sup> tridentate,<sup>10,16,21-31</sup> and (in one instance) monodentate hydrogen bridges.<sup>32</sup> Vibrational spectroscopy also provides data which can be used to determine the metal-borohydride coordination geometry in a large number of compounds.<sup>33,34</sup> Bernstein et al.,<sup>21</sup> in their discussion of the neutron diffraction structure of  $U(BH_4)_4$ , which has both double and triple-hydrogen-bridged metal boron bonds, proposed using the metal-boron bond length as a criterion for determining whether the metal ion is bonded by two or three bridging hydrogen atoms to the boron atom. This idea implies one can assign an ionic radius to the borohydride ion. Bernstein et al. determined the values  $\sim 1.3\text{\AA}$  and  $\sim 1.6\text{\AA}$  for the ionic radii of triple hydrogen bridge and a double hydrogen bridge  $BH_4^-$  groups, respectively.

Raymond and coworkers<sup>35,36</sup> have recently systematized the structural criteria for ionic bonding in  $(C_5H_5)^-$ ,  $(C_8H_8)^-$  and  $N[Si(CH_3)_3]_2^-$  metal complexes and have followed Pauling's approach<sup>37</sup> to calculate corrections for differences in coordination number for a particular ion. Following Raymond's criteria we have correlated the structural data for doubly and

triply hydrogen bridged metal-boron bonds with ionic radii for the metal ions obtained from Shannon's table.<sup>38</sup>

Table 1 and Table 2 list the available data for the compounds structurally characterized. The ionic radii as given in Tables 1 and 2 were obtained from Shannon's table for the particular oxidation state of the metal ion with the coordination number closest to that found in the metal-borohydride complex. Corrections for coordination number were made as described by Raymond.<sup>35</sup> Plots of ionic radius vs. metal-boron distance are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The lines in Figures 1 and 2 represent a linear least squares fit to the data which were all assigned equal weight. For bidentate coordination the slope was equal to .97 with a correlation coefficient of .98. Note that both types of bidentate coordination (polymeric type and with terminal H atoms) were included in Figure 1 and Table 1. For tridentate coordination (Figure 2, not including the Th point), the slope was equal to 1.02 with a correlation coefficient of .94.

Considering the uncertainties in the metal ion-boron bond lengths, the different methods, temperatures, and phases for which structures were determined, the large range of coordination number and of the periodic table covered, plus the variety of other ligands attached to the metal atom, the correlation between ionic radius and the metal-boron bond length is remarkably good. For most molecules the metal-boron bond distance can be calculated with reasonable accuracy using the ionic bonding model. Clearly, it is not possible to draw conclusions about covalent bonding between the metal atom and the borohydride group solely from structural considerations based on the metal-boron distance.

The one compound which does not fit this correlation is  $[\text{((CH}_3)_3\text{Si)}_2\text{N}]_3\text{ThBH}_4$ .<sup>28</sup> The Th ion is formally six coordinate in this molecule, and the ionic radius obtained for the triple-hydrogen bridged  $\text{BH}_4^-$  group (unambiguously assigned from the infra-red spectrum)<sup>28</sup> is much too large (Figure 2). The bistrimethylsilylamide group is an extremely bulky ligand which is known to form low coordination number complexes with metal ions of large ionic radii such as the lanthanides.<sup>39</sup> Although this ligand acts in a monodentate manner, it appears to have a much larger effective coordination number due to its steric bulk. From Figure 2 one can extrapolate an effective coordination number  $\geq 12$  for the Th ion in  $[\text{((CH}_3)_3\text{Si)}_2\text{N}]_3\text{ThBH}_4$ .

From the present tabulation it appears that an ionic radius of  $\sim 1.6 \pm .1 \text{ \AA}$  can be assigned to the borohydride ligand when it forms a bidentate bridge to the metal atom, and  $\sim 1.36 \pm .06 \text{ \AA}$  when it forms a tridentate bridge to the metal atom. The difference in energy between the various possible modes of bonding is small and the coordination number of the metal ion and the steric hindrance by other ligands appear to determine which mode of attachment will prevail for the borohydride ligand.

I would like to thank D.H. Templeton, S.J. Simpson, R.A. Andersen, A. Zalkin, and K.N. Raymond for helpful discussions.

This work was supported by the Division of Nuclear Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

REFERENCES

1. Margnick, D.S.; Lipscomb, W.N. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 820.
2. Morosin, B.; Howatson, J. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1979, 41, 1667.
3. Bartell, L.S.; Carroll, B.L. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 1135.
4. Smith, H.W.; Lipscomb, W.N. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 43, 1060.
5. Almendinger, A.; Gundersen, G.; Haaland, A. Acta. Chem. Scand. 1968, 22, 328.
6. Lobkovskii, E.B.; Dorosinskii, A.L.; Semenenko, K.N. J. Struct. Chem. 1974, 15, 70.
7. Bailey, N.A.; Bird, P.H.; Wallbridge, M.G.H. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7, 1575.
8. Semenenko, K.N.; Lobkovskii, E.B.; Tamopol'skii, B.L.; Simonov, M.A. J. Struct. Chem. 1976, 17, 1076.
9. Barlow, M.T.; Downs, A.J.; Thomas, P.D.P.; Rankin, D.W.H. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 1979, 1793.
10. Lobkovskii, E.B.; Kravchenko, S.E.; Semenenko, K.N. J. Struct. Chem. 1977, 18, 389.
11. Melmed, K.M.; Coucouvanis, D.; Lippard, S.J. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 232.
12. Mamaeva, G.I.; Hargittai, I.; Spiridonov, V.P. Inorg. Chim. Acta. 1977, 25, L123.
13. Dapporto, P.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A.; Sacconi, L. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 2768.
14. Nakajima, M.; Moriyama, H.; Kobayashi, A.; Saito, T.; Sasaki, Y. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1975, 80.
15. Lippard, S.J.; Melmed, K.M. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 2223.
16. Segal, B.G.; Lippard, S.J. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 844.
17. Kirilova, N.I.; Guser, A.I.; Struchkov, Y.T. J. Struct. Chem. 1974, 15, 622.
18. Kirtley, S.W.; Andrews, M.A.; Bau, R.; Grynkewich, G.W.; Marks, T.J.; Tipton, D.L.; Whittlesey, B.R. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 7154.
19. Atwood, J.L.; Hunter, W.E.; Carmona-Guzman, E.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 1980, 467.
20. Johnson, P.L.; Williams, J.M.; Cohen, S.A.; Marks, T.J. Abstracts, American Crystallographic Association Meeting, Michigan State University, 1977, PC 12, p. 79.



REFERENCES (continued)

21. Bernstein, E.R.; Hamilton, W.C.; Keiderling, T.A.; LaPlaca, S.J.; Lippard, S.J.; Mayerle, J.J. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 3009.
22. Rietz, R.R.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D.H.; Edelstein, N.M.; Templeton, L.K. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 653.
23. Zalkin, A.; Rietz, R.R.; Templeton, D.H. Edelstein, N.M. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 661.
24. Semenenko, K.N.; Lobkovskii, E.B.; Shumakov, A.I. J. Struct. Chem., 1976, 17, 1073.
25. Plato, V.; Hedberg, K. Inorg. Chem., 1971, 10, 590.
26. Bird, P.H.; Churchill, M.R. Chem. Com. 1967, 403.
27. Broach, R.S.; Chuang, I.S.; Williams, J.M.; Marks, T.J. private communication, 1979.
28. Turner, H.W.; Andersen, R.A.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D.H. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1221.
29. Zanella, P.; DePaoli, G.; Bombieri, G. J. Organometal. Chem., 1977, 142, C21.
30. Rietz, R.R.; Edelstein, N.M.; Ruben, H.W.; Templeton, D.H.; Zalkin, A. Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 658.
31. Banks, R.H.; Edelstein, N.M.; Spencer, B.; Templeton, D.H.; Zalkin, A. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 620.
32. Atwood, J.L.; Rogers, R.D.; Kutal, C.; Grutsch, P.A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1977, 593.
33. Marks, T.J.; Kennelly, W.J.; Kolb, J.R.; Shimp, L.A. Inorg. Chem., 1972, 11, 2540.
34. For a recent review of metal borohydride complexes see Marks, T.J.; Kolb, J.R. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 263.
35. Raymond, K.N. In "Organometallics of the f Elements", Marks, T.J., Fischer, R.D., Eds., D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Boston, 1979; p. 249.
36. Raymond, K.N.; Eigenbrot, Jr., C.W. manuscript submitted to Accounts of Chemical Research, 1980.
37. Pauling, L. "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", 3rd Ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, N.Y., 1960; pp. 537-540.
38. Shannon, R.D. Acta Crys. 1976, A32, 751.
39. Andersen, R.A.; Templeton, D.H.; Zalkin, A. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2317.

TABLE 1

## Compounds with Metal-Boron Bidentate Hydrogen Bridges

Compound	M <sup>Z+</sup> Coord. No.	M <sup>Z+</sup> IR (Å) <sup>a</sup>	M-B (Å)	(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>-</sup> IR (Å) <sup>b</sup>	Ref.	Comments <sup>c</sup>
Be(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	6	.45	1.918(4)	1.47	1	RT, X-ray, crys.
Be(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	6	.45	2.001(4)(db) <sup>d</sup>	1.55	1	RT, X-ray, crys.
[(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CO]Be <sub>3</sub> (BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	4	.27	1.93(1)	1.66	2	RT, X-ray, crys.
B <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	4	.11	1.775(3)	1.67	3	25°C, ED, gas
B <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	4	.11	1.776(10)	1.67	4	-190°C, X-ray, crys.
Al(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	6	.535	2.143(3)	1.61	5	20°C, ED, gas
Al(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·NH <sub>3</sub>	7	.58	2.229(8)	1.65	6	RT, X-ray, crys.
Al(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	7	.58	2.24(1)	1.66	7	-160°C, X-ray, crys.
Al(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	7	.58	2.19(2)	1.61	7	25°C, X-ray, crys.
[Al(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	6	.535	2.19(1)	1.66	8	RT, X-ray, crys.
Al(BH <sub>4</sub> )(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	4	.39	2.128(8)	1.74	9	RT, ED, gas
Sc(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (OC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	10	.95	2.551(5)	1.60	10	RT, X-ray, crys.
(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ti(BH <sub>4</sub> )	8	.76	2.37(1)	1.61	11	23°C, X-ray, crys.
(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ti(BH <sub>4</sub> )	8	.76	2.31(4)	1.55	12	RT, ED, gas
Co(p <sub>3</sub> )(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>e</sup>	5	f	2.21(3)		13	22°C, X-ray, crys. Co <sup>+</sup> , high spin d <sup>8</sup>
[CoH(BH <sub>4</sub> ){P(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> ]	5	.59	2.13(1)	1.54	14	RT, X-ray, crys. Co <sup>2+</sup> , low spin d <sup>7</sup>
[(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> P] <sub>2</sub> Cu(BH <sub>4</sub> )	4	.64	2.184(9)	1.54	15	RT, X-ray, crys.

Table 1 (continued)

Compound	M <sup>Z+</sup> Coord. No.	M <sup>Z+</sup> IR(Å)	M-B(Å)	(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>-</sup> IR(Å)	Ref.	Comments
Ga(BH <sub>4</sub> )(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	4	.47	2.163(8)	1.69	9	RT, ED, gas
Y(BH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (OC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	11	1.14	2.68(2)	1.54	16	23°C, X-ray, crys.
(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Nb(BH <sub>4</sub> )	8	.81	2.26(6)	1.45	17	RT, X-ray, crys.
{[(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> P] <sub>2</sub> N}[Mo(CO) <sub>4</sub> BH <sub>4</sub> ]	6	f	2.413(20)		18	RT, X-ray, crys.
MoH(BH <sub>4</sub> )(P(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	6	f	2.468(12)		19	RT, X-ray, crys.
(CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Hf(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	10	.89	2.553(6)	1.66	20	RT, ND, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	14	1.22	2.86(4)(db)	1.64	21	RT, ND, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	14	1.22	2.89(1)	1.67	22	21°C, X-ray, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	14	1.22	2.89(1)	1.67	22	23°C, X-ray, crys.
[U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	13	1.20	2.90(4)(db) <sup>g</sup>	1.70	23	22°C, X-ray, crys.
[U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	14	1.22	2.84(5)(db) <sup>g</sup>	1.62	23	22°C, X-ray, crys.

a. From Shannon (Ref. 35) corrected for coordination no.; IR = ionic radius.

b. Obtained by subtraction of column 3 from column 4.

c. RT, room temperature; x-ray, x-ray diffraction; ND, neutron diffraction; ED, electron diffraction; crys, single crystal; gas, gaseous sample.

d. All metal bidentate hydrogen boron bridges have two terminal hydrogen atoms unless (db = double bridge) indicated.

e. p<sub>3</sub> = 1,1,1-tris (diphenylphosphinomethyl) ethane.

f. Ionic radius not available from Shannon.

g. Unsymmetrical dimer with one double bridge bidentate borohydride group between the U atoms.

TABLE 2

## Compounds with Metal-Boron Tridentate Hydrogen Bridges

Compound	M <sup>Z+</sup> Coord. No.	M <sup>Z+</sup> IR(Å) <sup>a</sup>	M-B(Å)	(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>-</sup> IR(Å) <sup>b</sup>	Ref.	Comments <sup>c</sup>
Sc(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (OC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	10	.95	2.33(1)	1.38	10	RT, X-ray, crys.
[C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> TiCl(BH <sub>4</sub> )] <sub>2</sub>	8	.76	2.17(1)	1.41	24	RT, X-ray, crys.
Y(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (OC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	11	1.14	2.58(1)	1.44	16	23°C, X-ray, crys.
Zr(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	12	.98	2.308(10)	1.33	25	RT, ED, gas
Zr(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	12	.98	2.34(3)	1.36	26	-160°C, X-ray, crys.
Hf(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	12	.95	2.28(1)	1.33	27	ND, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	14	1.22	2.52(1)	1.30	21	RT, ND, crys.
Th[N(Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>3</sub> BH <sub>4</sub>	6	.94	2.61(3)	1.67	28	22°C, X-ray, crys.
(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	12	1.17	2.61(8)	1.44	29	RT, X-ray, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> (OC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	14	1.22	2.56(4)	1.34	30	23.5°C, X-ray, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	14	1.22	2.53(2)	1.31	22	21°C, X-ray, crys.
U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	14	1.22	2.53(2)	1.31	22	23°C, X-ray, crys.
[U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	13	1.20	2.51(5)	1.31	23	22°C, X-ray, crys.
[U(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·O(n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	14	1.22	2.52(5)	1.30	23	22°C, X-ray, crys.
Np(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	12	1.10	2.46(3)	1.36	31	-143°C, X-ray, crys.

a. From Shannon (Ref. 35) corrected for coordination no.; IR = ionic radius.

b. Obtained by subtraction of column 3 from column 4.


c. RT, room temperature; x-ray, x-ray diffraction; ND, neutron diffraction; ED, electron diffraction; crys, single crystal; gas, gaseous sample.

d. Unsymmetrical dimer.

## Figure Captions

Figure 1. Metal ionic radius vs. M-B bond length for compounds with metal-boron bidentate hydrogen bridges.

Figure 2. Metal ionic radius vs. M-B bond length for compounds with metal-boron tridentate hydrogen bridges.






Figure 2.

XBL 804-645

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.



TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT  
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720