

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.10.04.463018

Bottom-up and top-down effects of temperature on body growth, population size spectra and yield in a size-structured food web — Source link \square

Max Lindmark, Asta Audzijonyte, Julia L. Blanchard, Anna Gårdmark Institutions: Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, University of Tasmania Published on: 05 Oct 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) Topics: Global warming, Population, Biomass (ecology) and Food web

Related papers:

- Experimental evidence of gradual size-dependent shifts in body size and growth of fish in response to warming
- Resistance of the size structure of the fish community to ecological perturbations in a large river ecosystem
- Impacts of deforestation-induced warming on the metabolism, growth and trophic interactions of an afrotropical stream fish
- Experimental whole-stream warming alters community size structure
- · Warming temperatures and smaller body sizes : synchronous changes in growth of North Sea fishes



1 Bottom-up and top-down effects of temperature on body growth, population size spectra

2 and yield in a size-structured food web

- 3
- 4 Max Lindmark^{a,1}, Asta Audzijonyte^b, Julia Blanchard^c, Anna Gårdmark^d
- 5 ^aSwedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of
- 6 Coastal Research, Skolgatan 6, Öregrund 742 42, Sweden
- ⁷ ^bInstitute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Battery Point, TAS 7001,
- 8 Australia
- 9 ^cInstitute for Marine and Antarctic Studies and Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of
- 10 Tasmania, 20 Castray Esplanade, Battery Point, Hobart, TAS 7000, Australia
- ¹¹ ^dSwedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Skolgatan 6,
- 12 SE-742 42 Öregrund, Sweden
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 21
- 25

26 Abstract

27 Resolving the combined effect of climate warming and exploitation in a food web context is 28 key for predicting future biomass production, size-structure, and potential yields of marine 29 fishes. Previous studies based on mechanistic size-based food web models have found that 30 bottom-up processes are important drivers of size-structure and fisheries yield in changing 31 climates. However, we know less about the joint effects of 'bottom-up' and 'top-down' effects 32 of temperature: how do temperature effects propagate from individual-level physiology 33 through food webs and alter the size-structure of exploited species in a community? Here we 34 assess how a species-resolved size-based food web is affected by warming through both these 35 pathways, and by exploitation. We parameterize a dynamic size spectrum food web model 36 inspired by the offshore Baltic Sea food web, and investigate how individual growth rates, size-37 structure, relative abundances of species and yields are affected by warming. The magnitude 38 of warming is based on projections by the regional coupled model system RCA4-NEMO and 39 the RCP 8.5 emission scenario, and we evaluate different scenarios of temperature dependence 40 on fish physiology and resource productivity. When accounting for temperature-effects on 41 physiology in addition to on basal productivity, projected size-at-age in 2050 increases on 42 average for all fish species, mainly for young fish, compared to scenarios without warming. In 43 contrast, size-at-age decreases when temperature affects resource dynamics only, and the 44 decline is largest for young fish. Faster growth rates due to warming, however, do not always 45 translate to larger yields, as lower resource carrying capacities with increasing temperature tend 46 to result in declines in the abundance of larger fish and hence spawning stock biomass – the 47 part of the population exposed to fishing. These results show that to understand how global 48 warming impacts the size structure of fish communities, both direct metabolic effects and 49 indirect effects of temperature via basal resources must be accounted for.

51 Key words

- 52 Body size, climate change, fisheries yield, food web, metabolic theory, multi species, size
- 53 spectrum

- -

- *,* .

76 Introduction

77 Climate change affects aquatic food webs directly by affecting species' distribution (Pinsky et 78 al. 2013), abundance (McCauley et al. 2015), body size (Daufresne et al. 2009; Baudron et al. 79 2014), and ecosystem function (Pontavice et al. 2019). Global retrospective analysis of 80 warming and fish population dynamics has revealed that productivity (population growth at a 81 given biomass) of scientifically assessed fish populations across ecoregions has already 82 declined by ~4% on average between 1930 and 2010 due to climate change (Free et al. 2019). 83 These results are also matched in magnitude and direction by projections from an ensemble of 84 mechanistic ecosystem models, which predict ~5% decline in animal biomass for every 1 °C of 85 warming, especially at higher trophic levels (Lotze et al. 2019). Across a range of processbased ecosystem models, declines in productivity of fish stocks and abundance of large fish, 86 87 have been mostly linked to changes in primary production or zooplankton abundance 88 (Blanchard et al. 2012; Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 2013, 2015; Barange et al. 2014; Lotze et 89 al. 2019). However, even in areas where warming is predicted to have positive effects on 90 primary production, fish productivity does not appear to increase (Free et al. 2019). This 91 suggests that fish population dynamics might be strongly influenced by other factors, such as 92 temperature-driven changes in recruitment, mortality or somatic growth (Free et al. 2019), yet 93 the driving mechanisms remain poorly understood.

Global warming is also predicted to cause reductions in the adult body size of organisms, and this is often referred to as the third universal response to warming (Daufresne *et al.* 2009; Sheridan & Bickford 2011; Forster *et al.* 2012). It is often attributed to the temperature-size rule (TSR) is observed in a wide range of ectotherms (Forster *et al.* 2012). This is an intraspecific rule stating that individuals reared at warmer temperatures develop faster, mature earlier but reach smaller adult body sizes (Atkinson 1994; Ohlberger 2013). In line with TSR expectations, faster growth rates or larger size-at-age of young life stages are commonly found

101 in both experimental, field data and modelling studies (Thresher et al. 2007; Neuheimer et al. 102 2011; Ohlberger et al. 2011; Neuheimer & Grønkjaer 2012; Baudron et al. 2014; Huss et al. 103 2019; Van Dorst et al. 2019). Similarly, declines in maximum or asymptotic body size of fish 104 have been reported to correlate with warming trends for a number of commercially exploited 105 marine fishes (Baudron et al. 2014; van Rijn et al. 2017; Ikpewe et al. 2020). However, in 106 intensively fished stocks, observed adult body sizes can decrease also for other reasons, 107 including direct removals of large fish, or evolution towards earlier maturing and fast growth 108 in response to fishing (Jorgensen et al. 2007; Audzijonyte et al. 2013). Moreover, decreasing 109 adult fish size in warming waters is by far not universal. For example, no clear negative effects 110 of warming on the body size or growth of large fish could be found in a recent experimental 111 study (Barneche et al. 2019), or in a semi-controlled lake heating experiment (Huss et al. 2019). 112 Similarly, across 335 coastal fish species mean species body size was similarly likely to be 113 larger or smaller in warmer waters (Audzijonyte et al. 2020). Also Tu et al. (2018) found that 114 temperature had a relatively minor effect on fish size structure, and even when combined with 115 fishing, only 44% of variation in size structure could be explained. Thus, the effects of 116 temperature on body sizes may be more complex than often depicted, and we still do not fully 117 understand the mechanisms by which temperature affects growth and body size over ontogeny 118 (Ohlberger 2013; Audzijonyte et al. 2019). Increasing our understanding of these mechanisms 119 is important because body size is a key trait in aquatic ecosystems (Andersen et al. 2016) and 120 warming-induced changes in growth and size-at-age of fish populations could have 121 implications not only for biomass and productivity, but also ecosystem structure and stability 122 (Audzijonyte et al. 2013).

123 Physiologically structured models can address the complex interplay of direct and indirect 124 temperature impacts on food webs, as they account for the food and size dependence of body 125 growth through ecological interactions using bioenergetic principles. Recent applications have

demonstrated decreasing maximum body sizes in fish communities due to changes in plankton
abundance or size (Woodworth-Jefcoats *et al.* 2019). Similar body size responses emerge in
models that focus on temperature-dependence of physiological processes, such as metabolism
and feeding rates (Lefort *et al.* 2015; Woodworth-Jefcoats *et al.* 2019), but it remains unclear
to what extent these community body size shifts are driven by declining abundance of large
fish versus changes in size-at-age across a range of ages.

132 To explore how direct and indirect effects of warming impact marine food web size structure 133 and fisheries yields, we evaluate the impacts of temperature-driven changes in resource 134 productivity and individual fish physiology using an example case of the Baltic Sea. The Baltic 135 Sea constitutes a great example system, as it is a relatively well understood and species poor 136 system (Mackenzie et al. 2007; Casini et al. 2009) that also is one of the warming hotspots 137 globally (Belkin 2009). By using a temperature-dependent size spectrum model we analyse a 138 set of different scenarios where either fish physiology, basal resources, or both depend on 139 temperature, and contrast these scenarios to one another and to non-warming scenarios. We 140 investigate the mechanisms of warming effects on body growth trajectories, average body 141 sizes, population size-structure and fisheries reference points and find that not only the 142 magnitude of projected fish size-at-age, but also the effect of size, depend on whether 143 temperature-dependence of physiological processes is accounted for or not. Most importantly, 144 increased growth rates (mainly for juveniles) generally do not compensate for lower overall adult biomasses that is due to declining resource levels, such that warming often causes 145 146 declining mean body sizes of fish populations and lower fisheries yields.

147

148 Materials and Methods

149 Food web

150 We developed a multi-species size spectrum model (MSSM) (Scott et al. 2014), parameterized 151 to represent a simplified version of the food web in the offshore pelagic south-central Baltic 152 Sea ecosystem (Baltic proper) (ICES sub divisions 25-29+32, Fig. S2, Supporting 153 *Information*). This size structured food web is here characterized by three fish species: Atlantic 154 cod (Gadus morhua), sprat (Sprattus sprattus) and herring (Clupea harengus), and two 155 dynamic background resource spectra constituting food for small fish (pelagic and benthic 156 resources). In this part of the Baltic Sea, these fish species are dominant in terms of biomass, 157 they are the most important species commercially and they all have analytical stock 158 assessments (ICES 2021). The pelagic background resource spectrum represents mainly phyto-159 and zooplankton while the benthic background resource spectrum represents benthic 160 invertebrates, gobiidaes and small flatfish.

161

162 Size spectrum model

The model is based on source code for the multi-species implementation of size spectrum models in the 'R'-package *mizer* (v1.1) (Blanchard *et al.* 2014; Scott *et al.* 2014; R Core Team 2020), which has been extended to include multiple background resources (Audzijonyte et al., *unpublished*) and temperature-scaling of key physiological processes. In this section we describe the key elements of the MSSM using the same notation when possible as in previous multispecies *mizer* models for consistency (Blanchard *et al.* 2014; Scott *et al.* 2014, 2018).

In MSSMs, individuals are characterized by their weight (*w*) and species identity (*i*). The core equation is the McKendrik-von Foerster equation, which here describes the change in abundance-at-size through time, from food dependent somatic growth and mortality, based on bioenergetic principles:

173
$$\frac{\partial N_i(w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial g_i(w)N_i(w)}{\partial w} = -\mu_i(w)N_i(w)$$
(1)

174 where $g_i(w)$ (g year⁻¹) is somatic growth (dependent on the availability of food) and $\mu_i(w)$ 175 (year⁻¹) is total mortality. At the boundary weight (w_0 , egg size), the influx of individuals is 176 given by recruitment. Total mortality is the sum of the background-, starvation-, fishing-, and 177 predation mortality. The constant species-specific allometric background mortality ($\mu_{bac,i}$) 178 depends on the asymptotic weight of a species W_i^{n-1} and is given by:

179
$$\mu_{bac,i} = \mu_0 W_i^{n-1}$$
(2)

180 where *n* is the mass-exponent of maximum consumption rate (Hartvig *et al.* 2011) and μ_0 is an 181 allometric constant. Starvation mortality ($\mu_{stv,i}$) is assumed to be proportional to energy 182 deficiency (defined in Eq. 11) and inversely proportional to body mass (weight, *w*), and is 183 defined as:

184
$$\mu_{stv,i}(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha f_i(w)h_iw^n > k_{met,i}w^p \\ \frac{k_{met,i}w^p - \alpha f_i(w)h_iw^n}{\xi w} & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(3)

185 where ξ , the fraction of energy reserves, is 0.1 (Hartvig *et al.* 2011). Instantaneous fishing 186 mortality ($\mu_{fis,i}$) (year⁻¹) is defined as:

187
$$\mu_{fis,i}(w_i) = S_i(w)F_i \tag{4}$$

188 where S_i is the selectivity (by default knife-edge selectivity is assumed with a weight at first 189 catch corresponding to weight at maturation), and F_i is fishing mortality. Predation mortality 190 $(\mu_{pre,j})$ for a prey species (or resource) *j* with weight w_j equals the amount consumed by 191 predator species *i* with weight w_i :

192
$$\mu_{pre,j}(w_j) = \sum_i \int \phi_i\left(\frac{w_j}{w_i}\right) \left(1 - f_i(w_i)\right) \gamma_i w_i^q \theta_{i,j} N_i(w_i) dw$$
(5)

193 where $\theta_{i,j}$ is the non-size based preference of species *i* on species *j*, and ϕ_j describes the 194 weight-based preference from the log-normal selection model (see below) (Ursin 1973). 195 Satiation is represented in the model with a Holling functional response type II, which 196 determines the feeding level $f_i(w)$:

197
$$f_i(w) = \frac{E_{enc,i}(w)}{E_{enc,i}(w) + h_i w^n}$$
(6)

describing the level of satiation (between 0 and 1). $h_i w^n$ is the allometric maximum consumption rate and $E_{enc,i}(w)$ is the encountered food (mass per time). The amount of encountered food for a predator of body weight w is given by the available food in the system multiplied with the search volume, γ_i . Here, available food, $E_{ava,i}$, is the integral of the biomass of all prey species (j) and background resources (R) that falls within the prey preference $(\theta_{i,j}, \theta_{i,R})$ and size-selectivity (ϕ_i) of predator species i:

204
$$E_{ava,i}(w) = \int \left(\sum_{R} \theta_{i,R} N_R(w_R) + \sum_{j} \theta_{i,j} N_j(w_j)\right) \phi_i\left(\frac{w_j}{w_i}\right) w_j dw_p$$
(7)

205 where w_i is the weight of prey, $\theta_{i,R}$ is the preference of species *i* for resource *R*, and *j* indicates 206 prey (fish) species. Note that in contrast to other MSSMs (Blanchard et al. 2014) species have 207 a preference for the background resources to account for them feeding differently on benthic 208 and pelagic resources, but assume no preference in terms of the interactions among the size-209 structured fish species (all terms in the interaction matrix are identical and equal to 1). This is 210 for simplicity, as the body size-only prey selection and encounter rate capture the important 211 predation interactions in this system (as inferred from independent stomach data, see model 212 calibration, Supporting Information), the species largely occupy similar spatial areas within the 213 study area during the time period of the model calibration (Fig. S2), and we do not explicitly 214 model any other prey sources these species could select. The size-selectivity of feeding, $\phi_i\left(\frac{w_j}{w_i}\right)$, is given by a log-normal selection function (Ursin 1967): 215

216
$$\phi_i\left(\frac{w_j}{w_i}\right) = \exp\left[\frac{-\left(ln\left(\frac{w_i}{(w_j\beta_i)}\right)\right)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right]$$
(8)

where parameters β_i and σ_i are the preferred predator-prey mass ratio and the standard deviation of the log-normal distribution, respectively. The amount of available prey of suitable sizes (Eq. 7) is multiplied with the allometric function describing the search volume ($\gamma_i w^q$), where the allometric coefficient is calculated as:

221
$$\gamma_i(f_0) = \frac{f_0 h_i \beta_i^{2-\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda-2)^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}\right)}{(1-f_0)\sqrt{2\pi}\kappa \sigma_i}$$
(9)

(Andersen & Beyer 2006; Scott *et al.* 2018), to give the actual biomass of food encountered, *E_{enc.i}(w)*:

224
$$E_{enc,i}(w) = \gamma_i w^q E_{ava,i}(w) \tag{10}$$

where q is the size-scaling exponent of the search volume. The rate at which food is consumed is given by the product $f_i(w)h_iw^n$, which is assimilated with efficiency α and used to cover metabolic costs. Metabolic costs scale allometrically as $k_{met,i}w^p$. The net energy, $E_{net,i}$, is thus:

229
$$E_{net.i}(w) = \max(0, \alpha f_i(w)h_iw^n - k_{met,i}w^p)$$
(11)

which is allocated to growth or reproduction. The allocation to reproduction (ψ_i) increases smoothly from 0 around the weight maturation, $w_{mat,i}$, to 1 at the asymptotic weight, W_i , according to the function:

233
$$\psi_i = \left[1 + \left(\frac{w}{w_{mat,i}}\right)^{-m}\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{w}{W_i}\right)^{1-n}$$
(12)

234 (Andersen 2019). This function results in the growth rate, $g_i(w)$,

235
$$g_i(w) = E_{net,i}(w) (1 - \psi_i(w))$$
(13)

which approximates a von Bertalanffy growth curve when the feeding level is constant (Hartvig *et al.* 2011; Andersen 2019). Reproduction is given by the total egg production in numbers,
which is the integral of the energy allocated to reproduction multiplied by a reproduction

efficiency factor (ϵ , *erepro*) divided by the egg weight, w_0 , and the factor 2, assuming only females reproduce:

241
$$R_{phy,i} = \frac{\epsilon}{2w_0} \int N_{i(w)} E_{net,i}(w) \psi_i(w) dw$$
(14)

This total egg production (or physiological recruitment, $R_{phy,i}$) results in recruits via a Beverton-Holt stock recruit relationship, such that recruitment approaches a maximum recruitment for a species *i* ($R_{max,i}$), as the egg production increases,

245
$$R_{i} = R_{max,i} \frac{R_{P,i}}{R_{P,i} + R_{max,i}}$$
(15)

where $R_{max,i}$ is treated as a free parameter and is estimated in the calibration process by minimizing the residual sum of squares between spawning stock biomass from stock assessments and the MSSM. The calibration also ensures that the species coexist in the model (Jacobsen *et al.* 2017).

250 The temporal dynamics of the background resource (N_R) spectra (benthic and pelagic) are 251 defined as:

252
$$\frac{\partial N_R(w,t)}{\partial t} = r_o w^{p-1} \left[\kappa w^{-\lambda}(w) - N_R(w,t) \right] - \mu_{p,R}(w) N_R(w,t)$$
(16)

where $r_o w^{p-1}$ is the population regeneration rate, $\kappa w^{-\lambda}$ is the carrying capacity of the background resource and $\mu_{pre,R}$ is predation mortality on resource spectrum *R*, and λ is defined as -2 - q + n (Andersen 2019).

256

257 Temperature dependence

Temperature affects the rate of metabolism (Clarke & Johnston 1999; Gillooly *et al.* 2001), and thus also other biological rates (Englund *et al.* 2011; Rall *et al.* 2012; Thorson *et al.* 2017). We scale rates of individual metabolism $(k_{met.i}w^p)$ (in *mizer*, this represents all metabolic costs, i.e., standard, activity and digestion. Henceforth, we assume $k_{met.i}w^p$ scales as standard

metabolic rate and refer to it as metabolism or metabolic rate), maximum consumption $(h_i w^n)$, search volume $(\gamma_i w^q)$ and background mortality $(\mu_0 W_i^{n-1})$ with temperature using an Arrhenius temperature correction factor:

265
$$r(T) = e^{\frac{A_{\nu}(T-T_{ref})}{kTT_{ref}}}$$
(17)

where A_v is the activation energy (eV) for individual rate v, T is temperature (K), T_{ref} is the 266 reference temperature (here 283.27 K, the temperature where the Arrhenius correction factor 267 equals 1), and k is Boltzmann's constant in eV K⁻¹ (= 8.617×10^{-5} eV K⁻¹). We chose an 268 exponential temperature dependence as it provides a good statistical fit to data, is widely 269 270 adopted, and because we assume that the projected change in ocean temperature in the studied 271 time range does not lead to temperatures above physiological optima (e.g. (Righton et al. 2010) 272 as an example for cod), where physiological rates might be expected to decline. While 273 temperature likely affects other physiological processes as well (such as cost of growth 274 (Barneche et al. 2019) or food conversion efficiency (Handeland et al. 2008)), we focus on 275 metabolism, maximum consumption, search volume and mortality, as their temperature 276 dependencies are relatively well documented (Pauly 1980; Brown et al. 2004; Dell et al. 2011; 277 Englund et al. 2011; Thorson et al. 2017; Lindmark et al. 2021).

278 Temperature also affects the amount of available background resources, via the same type 279 of individual-level processes. In most size spectrum models to date, climate affects primary 280 production (and in some cases zooplankton), and this is modelled by forcing the background 281 spectra to observed abundance-at-size of plankton from either remotely sensed variables such 282 as chlorophyll-a or from output from earth-system models (Blanchard et al. 2012; Barange et 283 al. 2014; Canales et al. 2016; Reum et al. 2019; Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 2019). An 284 alternative approach is to force simulation with the growth rate or net primary production 285 (Jennings & Collingridge 2015; Galbraith et al. 2017). These differences have been highlighted 286 as a key source of ecosystem model uncertainties observed in global applications of size-287 structured models (Lotze et al. 2019; Heneghan et al. 2021). In order to integrate the emergent 288 responses of climate warming effects on "bottom-up" (e.g., background spectra) and "top-289 down" (physiology) processes, we apply the temperature scaling to the terms of the background 290 resource's semi-chemostat growth equation (Eq. 16), i.e., their biomass regeneration rate and 291 carrying capacity. We use the same Arrhenius correction factor with activation energy A_r , 292 where r refers to background resource parameter. We assume that as temperature goes up, the carrying capacity (κw^{λ}) declines at the same rate as population regeneration $(r_0 w^{p-1})$ rate 293 294 increases (Savage et al. 2004; Gilbert et al. 2014), i.e. κ scales with temperature in proportion

to $e^{\frac{-A_r(T-T_{ref})}{kTT_{ref}}}$. This is based on the metabolic theory of ecology (MTE), which predicts that if 295 296 nutrient levels are constant, higher respiration rates lead to lower biomasses at carrying 297 capacity (Savage et al. 2004; Bernhardt et al. 2018). Corroborating this assumption is the 298 common prediction that primary production overall declines under global warming (though the 299 trends vary regionally) (Steinacher et al. 2010; Heneghan et al. 2019; Kwiatkowski et al. 2019). 300 Our implementation of temperature impacts on the background spectrum assumes that its size 301 structure is not affected by the temperature (the slope of the spectrum does not change) – only 302 the overall level of background resources, in order to simplify the analyses. As an example, 303 using the average activation energy for resource carrying capacity (see next paragraph), the 304 elevation of our background resource spectra (abundance at the geometric mean weight, 305 (Heneghan et al. 2019)), declines with 8.7% with a 1°C increase in temperature, which is line 306 with previous studies (Free et al. 2019; Heneghan et al. 2019; Lotze et al. 2019).

307 As activation energies vary substantially between processes, species and taxonomic groups 308 and are estimated with uncertainty, we parameterized 200 projections of the food web model 309 using randomly sampled activation energies from normal distributions with rate-specific means 310 and standard deviations. For metabolism and maximum consumption, we acquired means and 311 standard deviations from the posterior distributions in (Lindmark et al. 2021) (note we assume 312 search volume scales identically as maximum consumption and mortality as metabolism). The 313 normal distributions describing activation energies for background resource parameters were 314 defined by a mean equal to the point estimate from a linear regression of natural log of growth rate as a function of Arrhenius temperature $(1/kT [eV^{-1}])$ from experimental data in Savage 315 316 et al. (2004) (pooling protists, algae and zooplankton, extracted using the software 317 WebPlotDigitizer v. 4.1 (Rohatgi 2012)). We approximated a standard deviation by finding the 318 value that resulted in 95% of the normal distribution being within the confidence interval of 319 the linear regression. For each of the 200 parameter combinations, each of the six rate activation 320 energy parameters was sampled independently from their respective distribution and the model 321 was projected to 2050. We then quantified the overall mean response and the ranges of 322 predictions resulting from 200 randomly parameterised simulations and visualized it for the 323 analysis of growth and mean size.

We acknowledge that these scenarios are very simplified for evaluating changes in resource productivity versus physiology with warming, and that they do not necessarily reflect the predicted conditions in the Baltic Sea, nor all the potential pathways by which climate changes affects the environmental conditions in the Baltic Sea. However, the simplicity allows us to contrast effects of warming on basal food resources versus individual physiology of fish.

329

330 Model calibration

We estimated species-specific von Bertalanffy growth and length-weight parameters from scientific trawl survey data (for details, see *Supporting Information*, Table S1). Next, the model was calibrated to average spawning stock biomasses (SSB_i) from stock assessment data for cod, herring and sprat (ICES 2013, 2015) in 1992-2002, using average fishing mortalities (F_i) 335 in the same time frame. Ideally, the period for calibration should exhibit relative stability, but 336 such periods do not exist in the Baltic Sea, which is greatly influenced by anthropogenic 337 activities and has undergone dramatic structural changes over the last four decades (Möllmann 338 et al. 2009). We chose to calibrate our model to the time period of 1992-2002 as in Jacobsen 339 et al. (2017), which is a post-regime shift period characterized by high fishing mortality on 340 cod, low cod and herring abundance and high sprat abundance (Gårdmark et al. 2015) (Fig. 341 S4). The cut-off at 2002 also ensured that we did not calibrate the model to the period starting 342 from mid 2000's when the growth capacity, condition, proportion of large fish in the 343 population, and reproductive capacity of cod started to decline rapidly (Svedäng & Hornborg 344 2014; Casini et al. 2016; Mion et al. 2018, 2021; Neuenfeldt et al. 2020).

345 Model calibration was done by tuning the maximum recruitment parameter (R_{max}) for the 346 three fish species to minimize the residual sum of squares between the natural log of spawning 347 stock biomass estimated in stock assessment output (ICES 2013, 2015) and those emergent in the model for the years 1992-2002, while holding temperature constant at T_{ref} . We used the 348 "L-BFGS-B" algorithm (Byrd et al. 1995) in the 'R'-optimization function 'optim'. We ensured 349 350 that individual growth rates were close to observed by comparing the growth curves emerging 351 in the model to the von Bertalanffy curves fitted to trawl survey data (Fig. S6), which they were 352 after a stepwise manual increase of the constant in the allometric maximum-consumption rate 353 (h_i) (Supporting Information). The level of density dependence imposed by the stock-354 recruitment function (see Eq. 14-15) was also evaluated by assessing the ratio of the 355 physiological recruitment, $R_{phy,i}$, to the recruitment R_i (Jacobsen *et al.* 2017) (Supporting 356 Information). These final values mean that stock recruitment is sensitive to the stock biomass, 357 but there is some density dependence limiting recruitment (i.e., not all spawn produced become recruits). A detailed description of the step-by-step calibration protocol used in this study can 358 359 be found in Fig. S4-S9, Supporting Information.

360

361 Analysis of responses to warming

Models were projected with historical fishing mortalities (1974-2014) (ICES 2013, 2015) and 362 363 centred sea surface temperature trends (1970-2050, acquired from the regional coupled model 364 system RCA4-NEMO under the RCP 8.5 scenario) (Dieterich et al. 2019; Gröger et al. 2019). To ensure steady state was reached before time-varying fishing mortality and temperature was 365 366 introduced (1974 and 1970, respectively), we applied a 100-year burn-in period using the first 367 fishing mortality and temperature value in the respective time series (Fig. S12). For each species, we used the fishing mortality at maximum long-term ('sustainable') yield, F_{MSY} , from 368 369 the size spectrum model as fishing mortality in the years 2014-2050 (Fig. S12). We evaluated 370 the effects of warming on weight-at-age, population mean weight and abundance-at-weight by 371 species. This was done for both absolute values, and by comparing warming food webs to a 372 baseline scenario where no warming occurred post 1997 (the mid-point of calibration time 373 window, where temperature averages T_{ref}) (Fig. S12). In this way the three scenarios 374 considered contrast the effects of temperature affecting fish physiology, their resources or both. Finally, to explore the effect of temperature on fisheries yield and F_{MSY} , we specified a range 375 376 of constant (not time-varying) temperatures and fishing mortalities, expressed as proportions of T_{ref} and F_{MSY} at the reference temperature $(F_{MSY,T_{ref}})$, respectively, and projected the 377 378 models to steady state (200 years).

379

380 Results

381 *Effects of warming on size-at-age depend on physiological temperature-dependence*

The inclusion of temperature effects on fish physiological processes ("top-down" effects of warming) has a strong influence on the projected size-at-age in 2050 under the RCP 8.5 emission scenario, relative to the baseline projection (no warming) (Fig. 1). Including 385 temperature dependence of metabolism, maximum consumption, search volume and mortality, 386 with or without temperature-dependent background resource dynamics, leads to warming 387 having positive effects on size-at-age (Fig. 1). In contrast, the scenarios without temperature-388 dependent physiological processes all lead to size-at-age decreasing with warming (Fig. 1). In 389 scenarios with temperature-dependent physiological processes, the effects on size-at-age are 390 positive and declines with age. When only resources are affected by temperature, small 391 individuals have the largest relative decrease in size-at-age, and this negative effect of warming 392 declines with age (Fig. 1).

Despite the relatively narrow range of activation energies for physiological rates considered here (Fig. S3; Table S3), the uncertainty in projected size-at-age associated with variation in the activation energies is large (Fig. 1). In the scenario where both physiology and resources are affected by temperature, the range of predicted changes in size-at-age vary at approximately +10% to +40% (Fig. 1). Changes in size-at-age seem to be driven by the temperaturedependence of maximum consumption rate ($h_i w^n(T)$) increasing the actual consumption rates $(f_i(w)h_iw^n(T))$, and not due to increased feeding levels (Eq. 6; Fig. S13).

400

401 *Fewer large individuals cause reductions in mean population body size*

Increases in size-at-age (Fig. 1) do not always lead to increased mean body size in the populations (Fig. 2), due to changes in the population size structure, i.e., relative abundances at weight (Fig. 3). These changes in the size-structure vary between species, and there is no consistent pattern across species for each scenario.

The only scenario where mean body weight on average increases is where temperature only affects physiology and not the resource (Fig. 2), and this occurs only for cod and sprat. For cod this increase is strong and is driven by both faster growth rates and large increases in the abundance of large fish (\sim 10 kg) (Figs. 1, 3). For sprat the mean body weight in the populations

410 increased only marginally and is mostly driven by faster growth rates and relative abundance 411 of fish above 10 g (Figs. 1, 3). In contrast, scenarios where only resources are affected by 412 temperature, relative numbers of large individuals and therefore mean body size of all species 413 goes down. For herring, all scenarios lead to smaller mean body sizes in the population, and 414 the relative (to non-warming simulation) abundance-at-weight declines with mass in most of 415 the size range, with increases only in the very smallest size classes (< 1g; Fig. 3).</p>

416

417 Temperature and fishing: higher sustained exploitation rates but reduced yields in warmer 418 environments

419 Our simulations applying a range of stable (not time-varying) temperature and fishing scenarios 420 showed that warming led to higher or equal F_{MSY} (i.e., the fishing mortality leading to 421 maximum sustainable yield) (Fig. 4) in six out of nine species × scenario combinations. The increase in F_{MSY} is likely due to the enhanced growth rates (size-at-age), which allow higher 422 423 fishing mortalities without impairing population growth. Cod in the scenario with only 424 physiological scaling is the exemption, with higher yields as temperature increase, due to the 425 increase in growth rate, average size and relative abundance of large individuals in that 426 warming scenario for cod (See Figs. 1-3).

While F_{MSY} generally increases, it results it lower yields in eight out of nine species × scenario combinations (Fig. 5). In general, the highest relative yield is found at the coolest temperatures and *F* slightly lower than F_{MSY} at the reference temperature (Fig. 5). The decline in relative yields of herring and sprat in all scenarios (Fig. 4) is likely driven by the warming-induced decline in abundance, due to resource limitation (Fig. 3). In all scenarios where only the resource is temperature dependent, the corresponding maximum sustainable yield (*MSY*) declines with warming (Fig. 4).

435 Discussion

436 Combined temperature impacts on fish growth rates, body size and fisheries yield

437 Using a size-structured and species-resolved food web model, we demonstrate how climate 438 warming affects growth rates, population mean size and size-structure of interacting exploited 439 fish species and assess its implications for fisheries yield. We contrasted the effects of warming 440 on resource productivity and individual level physiology (metabolism, feeding and background 441 mortality) of fish, and found that including temperature-dependence of physiological rates 442 generally led to increased size-at-age of fishes with warming, whereas when temperature 443 affects only the background resource species, size-at-age declines for fish of all sizes. The 444 increase in size-at-age when including temperature dependence of physiological processes is 445 strongest in juveniles of all three fish species, yet, despite increased growth rates, in most cases 446 warming leads to smaller mean body size in the population, lower spawning stock biomass 447 (biomass of mature fish) and reduced yields. This is because the carrying capacity of lower 448 trophic level resources declines and fish mortality (background and to some extent predation 449 mortality, see Fig. S14) increases with warming, which shifts the population size structure 450 towards smaller individuals.

451 Mechanistic models exploring warming-driven declines in community-wide average body size often find these declines to be driven by lower food abundance or decreased energy transfer 452 453 efficiency in the food web, due to a combination of declines in plankton density and shifts 454 towards dominance of smaller plankton at higher temperatures (Lefort et al. 2015; Woodworth-455 Jefcoats et al. 2015, 2019). This leads to a community wide decline in mean size of fish, where 456 large bodied species become relatively fewer. The cause of these community-level changes are 457 different from those expected at an individual species level, where temperature can either lead 458 to size-at-age changes over ontogeny (in accordance with the temperature-size rule), or a 459 change in the relative abundance of small vs large individuals. TSR predicts higher growth

460 rates and thus size-at-age of juveniles, but smaller adults body sizes (Atkinson 1994), although 461 the physiological processes that lead to these changes remain debated (Audzijonyte et al. 2019). 462 In our model, we include scenarios that reflect both warmer temperatures impact on food 463 abundance as well physiological changes in metabolism and food intake rates. Scenarios with 464 only temperature dependence of resource dynamics lead to declines in size-at-age (that in 465 addition are strongest in young fish). This does not match general observations and predictions 466 of how body growth is affected by warming (Thresher et al. 2007; Morita et al. 2010; Huss et 467 al. 2019; Lindmark et al. 2021), and is not in accordance with the TSR. In contrast, inclusion 468 of physiological temperature dependence leads to projections more in line with general observations from field data, which often find increased size-at-age that is strongest and 469 470 positive for small individuals, and that this effect diminishes over ontogeny (Thresher et al. 2007; Huss et al. 2019). 471

472 The general increase in body growth is, however, in general not sufficient for maintaining 473 similar mean population body sizes and size-structure if resource carrying capacities decline 474 with warming, because this causes declines in the relative abundance of large fish. Mean body 475 size in the population and yields therefore decline in the scenario with temperature dependence 476 of both resource dynamics and physiology. These predictions on the net effect of warming are in line with similar models using empirically derived static plankton spectra (Blanchard et al. 477 478 2012; Canales et al. 2016; Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 2019), and empirical studies (Van Dorst 479 et al. 2019). If, however, resource carrying capacity would not decline with temperature, our 480 results show that the increased body growth potential in fish due to faster metabolic and feeding 481 rates can lead to changes towards dominance of larger fish in some populations. This is 482 important to consider, given that predictions about effects of climate change on primary 483 production are uncertain and show large regional variability (Steinacher et al. 2010). These 484 results show that it is important to account for both direct and indirect effects of temperature

in order to explain results such as increased growth rates and size-at-age but overall smallerbodied populations, as also found in (Ohlberger *et al.* 2011; Ohlberger 2013; Neubauer &
Andersen 2019; Gårdmark & Huss 2020). Focusing on changes only in bottom-up processes
can therefore risk missing the potential for fish to increase their growth rates with initial
warming, and how that response varies over ontogeny.

490 In fisheries stock assessment, plastic body growth is generally thought to be less important 491 for stock dynamics than environmentally driven recruitment variation, density dependence at 492 early life stages and mortality (Hilborn & Walters 1992; Lorenzen 2016). Due to the 493 accumulating evidence of time-varying and climate-driven changes in vital rates (survival, 494 growth and reproduction), their relative importance for fisheries reference points and targets 495 are now becoming acknowledged (Thorson et al. 2015; Lorenzen 2016). In our modelling 496 system, we find that maximum sustainable yields (MSY) and the fishing mortality leading to MSY, i.e., F_{MSY} , vary with both temperature and between modelling scenarios and that the 497 498 effect can largely be predicted from changes in growth and abundance-at-size. When 499 temperature affects both the background resources (mainly declining carrying capacity) and fish physiology, warming tends to increase F_{MSY} , but the yield (MSY) derived at this 500 501 exploitation rate is lower. The decline in yields with warming is due to reduced resource 502 availability, lowering overall fish abundance, and is in line with earlier studies (Blanchard et 503 al. 2012; Lotze et al. 2019). In addition, the warming-induced decline in relative abundance of 504 fish above minimum size caught in fisheries further decreases yields in our model. At the same 505 time, faster growth rates (size-at-age), occurring when temperature affects vital rates in fish, 506 can cause F_{MSY} to increase with warming. These reference levels should not be viewed as 507 absolute reference points, and the specific results may depend on the model calibration 508 procedure. However, our findings suggest that climate change predictions on fisheries 509 productivity must consider both temperature impacts on vital rates, in particular body growth,

as well as bottom-up processes and their effects on both the overall abundance and sizestructure of the stock. It also indicates that because productivity may decline with warming in large parts of the oceans (Lotze *et al.* 2019; Heneghan *et al.* 2021) (although there is large variation in these predictions across ecosystems (Steinacher *et al.* 2010)), reduced fisheries yields may be common in a warming world.

515

516 **Parameterizing and modelling temperature effects**

517 Including physiological temperature-dependence can strongly influence predictions of 518 warming-effects and it allows for detailed understanding of temperature effects on populations 519 and food webs via both individual bioenergetics and the emerging responses in fish body 520 growth rates. However, it also requires more parameters, which in turn may vary across species. 521 This could reduce generality of predictions and increased challenges in parameterizing models 522 of data poor systems. We approached this by applying random parameterization, rather than 523 fixed values of temperature dependence, by sampling parameters from distributions based on 524 estimates of activation energies of physiological rates in the literature (Lindmark et al. 2021), 525 to capture the uncertainty in these parameters. This approach revealed that in terms of body 526 growth and mean body size in populations, the combination of activation energies can 527 determine whether the mean size increases or decreases with warming, and at what age body 528 sizes decline relative to the current temperatures (degree of decline in size-at-age). Hence, 529 better knowledge of the temperature-dependence of rates of biological processes is needed and 530 these parameters should be chosen carefully, and their uncertainty acknowledged in future modelling studies. 531

532 To disentangle temperature effects on background resources and physiological processes, 533 we modelled temperature dependence of resources by scaling their parameters with the same 534 general Arrhenius equation (Gillooly *et al.* 2001) that we used to scale the physiological

535 processes in fish. Other similar studies using size spectrum models with physiological 536 temperature-dependence instead import the plankton spectra from climate and earth systems 537 models (Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 2019) or from satellite data (Canales et al. 2016). Such 538 approaches may lead to predictions that are more relevant for a specific system. However, it 539 also becomes more difficult to separate the mechanisms behind the observed changes, as the 540 resource dynamics then are externally forced and cannot respond to changes in the modelled 541 food web. Moreover, populating a resource size spectrum based on observed data can be 542 difficult as observed spectra result from both predation and bottom-up processes. As an 543 alternative, our approach of directly scaling the carrying capacity or turnover rates of 544 background resources with temperature provides a coherent way to model temperature-545 dependencies across trophic levels. The resource dynamics are then impacted by any warming-546 driven changes in predators, as well as inherent temperature-dependent dynamics, rather than 547 driven by external data (Canales et al. 2016) or models (e.g., Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 2019). 548 On the downside, this approach means relying on many major simplifications with respect to 549 resource dynamics. In addition, our scenarios only include identical temperature dependencies 550 and baseline carrying capacity of pelagic and benthic resources, and only negative effects of 551 temperature on resource carrying capacity. These may not reflect the actual situation in the Baltic Sea and might better reflect the global decline in primary production (Steinacher et al. 552 553 2010) commonly predicted by coupled climate models (but see e.g. Flombaum et al. (2020)), 554 which has been linked to declines in community-level body sizes (Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 555 2019). It would be straightforward to model increases in productivity, as predicted on average 556 by Sarmiento et al. (2004), with our approach by using positive activation energies. It is also 557 possible to include temperature-effects of the slope of the size spectrum, as this is often found 558 to be negatively related to temperature (e.g., (Morán et al. 2010; Yvon-Durocher et al. 2011; 559 Canales et al. 2016; Woodworth-Jefcoats et al. 2019), but see also Barnes et al. (2011)).

560

561 Conclusion

562 Ecological forecasting is inherently difficult, and climate change alters the already complex 563 causal pathways that drive ecosystem dynamics. Size spectrum models have successfully been 564 used to evaluate size-based mechanisms and structuring forces in ecosystems (Andersen & 565 Pedersen 2009; Szuwalski et al. 2017; Reum et al. 2019). In this study, we have highlighted 566 the important role of explicitly modelling temperature effects on individual-level metabolism 567 and feeding rates. This not only makes predictions about temperature effects on size-at-age 568 more in line with general observations and predictions (e.g., with the TSR), but also affects the 569 levels of exploitation that leads to maximum sustainable yields, and the corresponding yields. 570 Hence, accounting for temperature-dependence of both ecological and physiological processes 571 underlying population dynamics is important for increasing our understanding of how and by 572 which processes climate change affects individuals in food webs and resulting effects on 573 fisheries yields, which is needed to generalize across systems and into novel conditions.

574

575 Acknowledgements

Thanks to Romain Forestier and Jonatan Reum for contributing to developing code on temperature-dependence in *mizer* during a workshop, Ken Haste Andersen for helpful discussion on model calibration, Christian Dietrich for providing temperature data, ICES staff and all involved in all stages of data collections, the helpful *mizer* community, Elizabeth Duskey and Magnus Huss for providing useful input. This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council FORMAS (no. 217 - 2013 - 1315) and the Swedish Research Council (no. 2015 - 03752) (both to AG).

583

584 Author contributions

585	The code was first developed from <i>mizer</i> (Scott et al. 2019) by AA to include multiple
586	background resources, all authors contributed to developing the code to include temperature.
587	ML conceived the idea. All authors contributed to study design. ML parameterized the model
588	with input from AG. ML performed analysis and wrote the first draft. All authors contributed
589	to writing the paper and to revisions.
590	
591	Data availability
592	All model code (parameterization, calibration and analysis) and data are available on GitHub
593	(<u>https://github.com/maxlindmark/mizer-rewiring/tree/rewire-temp/baltic</u>) and will be
594	deposited on Zenodo upon publication.
595	

596 References

- Andersen, K.H. (2019). Fish Ecology, Evolution, and Exploitation: A New Theoretical
 Synthesis. Princeton University Press.
- Andersen, K.H., Berge, T., Gonçalves, R.J., Hartvig, M., Heuschele, J., Hylander, S., *et al.*(2016). Characteristic Sizes of Life in the Oceans, from Bacteria to Whales. *Ann Rev Mar Sci*, 8, 217–241.
- Andersen, K.H. & Beyer, J.E. (2006). Asymptotic Size Determines Species Abundance in the
 Marine Size Spectrum. *The American Naturalist*, 168, 8.
- Andersen, K.Haste. & Pedersen, M. (2009). Damped trophic cascades driven by fishing in
 model marine ecosystems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 277, 795–802.
- Atkinson, D. (1994). Temperature and organism size—A biological law for ectotherms? In:
 Advances in Ecological Research. Elsevier, pp. 1–58.
- Audzijonyte, A., Barneche, D.R., Baudron, A.R., Belmaker, J., Clark, T.D., Marshall, C.T., et *al.* (2019). Is oxygen limitation in warming waters a valid mechanism to explain
 decreased body sizes in aquatic ectotherms? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28,
 64–77.
- Audzijonyte, A., Kuparinen, A., Gorton, R. & Fulton, E.A. (2013). Ecological consequences
 of body size decline in harvested fish species: positive feedback loops in trophic
 interactions amplify human impact. *Biology Letters*, 9, 20121103.
- Audzijonyte, A., Richards, S.A., Stuart-Smith, R.D., Pecl, G., Edgar, G.J., Barrett, N.S., *et al.*(2020). Fish body sizes change with temperature but not all species shrink with
 warming. *Nat Ecol Evol*, 4, 809–814.

Barange, M., Merino, G., Blanchard, J.L., Scholtens, J., Harle, J., Allison, E.H., *et al.* (2014). Impacts of climate change on marine ecosystem production in societies dependent on fisheries. *Nature Clim Change*, 4, 211–216.

- Barneche, D.R., Jahn, M. & Seebacher, F. (2019). Warming increases the cost of growth in a
 model vertebrate. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 1256–1266.
- Barnes, C., Irigoien, X., De Oliveira, J.A.A., Maxwell, D. & Jennings, S. (2011). Predicting
 marine phytoplankton community size structure from empirical relationships with
 remotely sensed variables. *J Plankton Res*, 33, 13–24.
- Baudron, A.R., Needle, C.L., Rijnsdorp, A.D. & Marshall, C.T. (2014). Warming
 temperatures and smaller body sizes: synchronous changes in growth of North Sea
 fishes. *Global Change Biology*, 20, 1023–1031.
- Belkin, I.M. (2009). Rapid warming of large marine ecosystems. *Progress in Oceanography*,
 81, 207–213.
- Bernhardt, J.R., Sunday, J.M. & O'Connor, M.I. (2018). Metabolic Theory and the
 Temperature-Size Rule Explain the Temperature Dependence of Population Carrying
 Capacity. *The American Naturalist*, 192, 687–697.
- Blanchard, J.L., Andersen, K.H., Scott, F., Hintzen, N.T., Piet, G. & Jennings, S. (2014).
 Evaluating targets and trade-offs among fisheries and conservation objectives using a multispecies size spectrum model. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 51, 612–622.
- Blanchard, J.L., Jennings, S., Holmes, R., Harle, J., Merino, G., Allen, J.I., *et al.* (2012).
 Potential consequences of climate change for primary production and fish production in large marine ecosystems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences*, 367, 2979–2989.
- Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M. & West, G.B. (2004). Toward a
 metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, 85, 1771–1789.
- Byrd, R.H., Lu, Peihuang., Nocedal, Jorge. & Zhu, Ciyou. (1995). A Limited Memory
 Algorithm for Bound Constrained Optimization. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 16, 1190–
 1208.
- 647 Canales, T.M., Law, R. & Blanchard, J.L. (2016). Shifts in plankton size spectra modulate
 648 growth and coexistence of anchovy and sardine in upwelling systems. *Canadian*649 *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 73, 611–621.
- Casini, M., Hjelm, J., Molinero, J.-C., Lövgren, J., Cardinale, M., Bartolino, V., *et al.* (2009).
 Trophic cascades promote threshold-like shifts in pelagic marine ecosystems.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA, 106, 197–202.
- Casini, M., Käll, F., Hansson, M., Plikshs, M., Baranova, T., Karlsson, O., *et al.* (2016).
 Hypoxic areas, density-dependence and food limitation drive the body condition of a heavily exploited marine fish predator. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3, 160416.
- 656 Clarke, A. & Johnston, N.M. (1999). Scaling of metabolic rate with body mass and
 657 temperature in teleost fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 68, 893–905.
- Daufresne, M., Lengfellner, K. & Sommer, U. (2009). Global warming benefits the small in
 aquatic ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 106,
 12788–12793.
- Dell, A.I., Pawar, S. & Savage, V.M. (2011). Systematic variation in the temperature
 dependence of physiological and ecological traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 10591–10596.
- 664 Dieterich, C., Wang, S., Schimanke, S., Gröger, M., Klein, B., Hordoir, R., *et al.* (2019).
 665 Surface Heat Budget over the North Sea in Climate Change Simulations. *Atmosphere*,
 666 10, 272.
- Englund, G., Öhlund, G., Hein, C.L. & Diehl, S. (2011). Temperature dependence of the
 functional response. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 914–921.
- Flombaum, P., Wang, W.-L., Primeau, F.W. & Martiny, A.C. (2020). Global
 picophytoplankton niche partitioning predicts overall positive response to ocean
 warming. *Nat. Geosci.*, 13, 116–120.

- Forster, J., Hirst, A.G. & Atkinson, D. (2012). Warming-induced reductions in body size are
 greater in aquatic than terrestrial species. *PNAS*, 109, 19310–19314.
- Free, C.M., Thorson, J.T., Pinsky, M.L., Oken, K.L., Wiedenmann, J. & Jensen, O.P. (2019).
 Impacts of historical warming on marine fisheries production. *Science*, 363, 979–983.
- Galbraith, E.D., Carozza, D.A. & Bianchi, D. (2017). A coupled human-Earth model
 perspective on long-term trends in the global marine fishery. *Nat Commun*, 8, 14884.
- 678 Gårdmark, A., Casini, M., Huss, M., van Leeuwen, A., Hjelm, J., Persson, L., *et al.* (2015).
 679 Regime shifts in exploited marine food webs: detecting mechanisms underlying
 680 alternative stable states using size-structured community dynamics theory. *Phil.*681 *Trans. R. Soc. B*, 370, 20130262.
- 682 Gårdmark, A. & Huss, M. (2020). Individual variation and interactions explain food web
 683 responses to global warming. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*684 *Biological Sciences*, 375, 20190449.
- 685 Gilbert, B., Tunney, T.D., McCann, K.S., DeLong, J.P., Vasseur, D.A., Savage, V.M., *et al.*686 (2014). A bioenergetic framework for the temperature dependence of trophic
 687 interactions. *Ecology Letters*, 17, 902–914.
- 688 Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., West, G.B., Savage, V.M. & Charnov, E.L. (2001). Effects of
 689 size and temperature on metabolic rate. *Science*, 2248-2251.
- 690 Gröger, M., Arneborg, L., Dieterich, C., Höglund, A. & Meier, H.E.M. (2019). Summer
 691 hydrographic changes in the Baltic Sea, Kattegat and Skagerrak projected in an
 692 ensemble of climate scenarios downscaled with a coupled regional ocean–sea ice–
 693 atmosphere model. *Clim Dyn*, 53, 5945–5966.
- Handeland, S.O., Imsland, A.K. & Stefansson, S.O. (2008). The effect of temperature and
 fish size on growth, feed intake, food conversion efficiency and stomach evacuation
 rate of Atlantic salmon post-smolts. *Aquaculture*, 283, 36–42.
- Hartvig, M., Andersen, K.H. & Beyer, J.E. (2011). Food web framework for size-structured
 populations. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 272, 113–122.
- Heneghan, R.F., Galbraith, E., Blanchard, J.L., Harrison, C., Barrier, N., Bulman, C., *et al.*(2021). Disentangling diverse responses to climate change among global marine
 ecosystem models. *Progress in Oceanography*, 198, 102659.
- Heneghan, R.F., Hatton, I.A. & Galbraith, E.D. (2019). Climate change impacts on marine
 ecosystems through the lens of the size spectrum. *Emerging Topics in Life Sciences*,
 3, 233–243.
- Hilborn, R. & Walters, C.J. (1992). *Quantitative Fisheries Stock Assessment: Choice, Dynamics and Uncertainty.* Springer, Norwell MA, USA.
- Huss, M., Lindmark, M., Jacobson, P., Van Dorst, R.M. & Gårdmark, A. (2019).
 Experimental evidence of gradual size-dependent shifts in body size and growth of fish in response to warming. *Glob Change Biol*, 25, 2285–2295.
- ICES. (2013). *Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS)* (No.
 ICES CM 2013/ACOM:10.). 10-17 April 2013 ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen.
- 712 ICES. (2015). Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS) (No.
 713 ICES CM 2015/ACOM:10). 14-21 April 2015 ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen.
- 714 ICES. (2021). Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS) (No.
 715 3:53).
- 716 Ikpewe, I.E., Baudron, A.R., Ponchon, A. & Fernandes, P.G. (2020). Bigger juveniles and
 717 smaller adults: Changes in fish size correlate with warming seas. *Journal of Applied* 718 *Ecology*, Early View.
- Jacobsen, N.S., Burgess, M.G. & Andersen, K.H. (2017). Efficiency of fisheries is increasing
 at the ecosystem level. *Fish and Fisheries*, 18, 199–211.

721	Jennings, S. & Collingridge, K. (2015). Predicting Consumer Biomass, Size-Structure,
722	Production, Catch Potential, Responses to Fishing and Associated Uncertainties in the
723	World's Marine Ecosystems. PLOS ONE, 10, e0133794.
724	Jorgensen, C., Enberg, K., Dunlop, E.S., Arlinghaus, R., Boukal, D.S., Brander, K., et al.
725	(2007). Ecology: managing evolving fish stocks. Science, 318, 1247–1248.
726	Kwiatkowski, L., Aumont, O. & Bopp, L. (2019). Consistent trophic amplification of marine
727	biomass declines under climate change. Global Change Biology, 25, 218–229.
728	Lefort, S., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Arsouze, T., Gehlen, M. & Maury, O. (2015). Spatial and
729	body-size dependent response of marine pelagic communities to projected global
730	climate change. Global Change Biology, 21, 154–164.
731	Lindmark, M., Ohlberger, J. & Gårdmark, A. (2021). Optimum growth temperature declines
732	with body size within fish species. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 2021.01.21.427580.
733	Lorenzen, K. (2016). Toward a new paradigm for growth modeling in fisheries stock
734	assessments: Embracing plasticity and its consequences. Fisheries Research, Growth:
735	theory, estimation, and application in fishery stock assessment models, 180, 4–22.
736	Lotze, H.K., Tittensor, D.P., Bryndum-Buchholz, A., Eddy, T.D., Cheung, W.W.L.,
737	Galbraith, E.D., et al. (2019). Global ensemble projections reveal trophic
738	amplification of ocean biomass declines with climate change. Proceedings of the
739	National Academy of Sciences, 116, 12907–12912.
740	Mackenzie, B.R., Gislason, H., Möllmann, C. & Köster, F.W. (2007). Impact of 21st century
741	climate change on the Baltic Sea fish community and fisheries. Global Change
742	<i>Biology</i> , 13, 1348–1367.
743	McCauley, D.J., Pinsky, M.L., Palumbi, S.R., Estes, J.A., Joyce, F.H. & Warner, R.R.
744	(2015). Marine defaunation: Animal loss in the global ocean. Science, 347.
745	Mion, M., Haase, S., Hemmer-Hansen, J., Hilvarsson, A., Hüssy, K., Krüger-Johnsen, M., et
746	al. (2021). Multidecadal changes in fish growth rates estimated from tagging data: A
747	case study from the Eastern Baltic cod (Gadus morhua, Gadidae). Fish and Fisheries,
748	22, 413–427.
749	Mion, M., Thorsen, A., Vitale, F., Dierking, J., Herrmann, J.P., Huwer, B., et al. (2018).
750	Effect of fish length and nutritional condition on the fecundity of distressed Atlantic
751	cod <i>Gadus morhua</i> from the Baltic Sea: POTENTIAL FECUNDITY OF BALTIC G.
752	MORHUA. Journal of Fish Biology, 92, 1016–1034.
753	Möllmann, C., Diekmann, R., Müller-Karulis, B., Kornilovs, G., Plikshs, M. & Axe, P.
/54	(2009). Reorganization of a large marine ecosystem due to atmospheric and
/55	anthropogenic pressure: a discontinuous regime shift in the Central Baltic Sea. Global
/56	Change Biology, 15, $13/7-1393$.
/5/	Moran, X.A.G., Lopez-Urrutia, A., Calvo-Diaz, A. & Li, W.K.W. (2010). Increasing
/58	importance of small phytoplankton in a warmer ocean. Global Change Biology, 16,
/39	115/-1144. Marita K. Eulymuska M. Tanimata N. & Vanamura O. (2010). Size denou dant thermal
760	morita, K., Fukuwaka, M., Taninata, N. & Fananura, O. (2010). Size-dependent thermal
762	Neubouar P & Anderson K H (2010) Thermal performance of fish is explained by an
762	internal to hot was a hybrid and hot was a hot
764	Neuenfaldt S. Pertolino V. Orio A. Anderson K.H. Anderson N.C. Nijronon S. et al.
765	(2020) Ecoding and growth of Atlantic cod (<i>Cadus markua</i> L) in the asstern Police
765	(2020). Focume and growin of Atlantic Cod (Outurs Mornua L.) In the eastern Ballic Sea under environmental change ICES Journal of Marina Science 77, 624, 622
767	Neuheimer A B & Grankiger P (2012) Climate effects on size at age; growth in warming
768	waters compensates for earlier maturity in an exploited marine fish <i>Global Change</i>
769	Riology 18 1812–1822
107	$D_{1010} \xi y, 10, 1012 - 1022.$

- Neuheimer, A.B., Thresher, R.E., Lyle, J.M. & Semmens, J.M. (2011). Tolerance limit for
 fish growth exceeded by warming waters. *Nature Climate Change*, 1, 110–113.
- Ohlberger, J. (2013). Climate warming and ectotherm body size from individual physiology
 to community ecology. *Functional Ecology*, 27, 991–1001.
- Ohlberger, J., Edeline, E., Vollestad, L.A., Stenseth, N.C. & Claessen, D. (2011).
 Temperature-driven regime shifts in the dynamics of size-structured populations. *The American Naturalist*, 177, 211–223.
- Pauly, D. (1980). On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and
 mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*,
 39, 175–192.
- Pinsky, M.L., Worm, B., Fogarty, M.J., Sarmiento, J.L. & Levin, S.A. (2013). Marine Taxa
 Track Local Climate Velocities. *Science*, 341, 1239–1242.
- Pontavice, H. du, Gascuel, D., Reygondeau, G., Maureaud, A. & Cheung, W.W.L. (2019).
 Climate change undermines the global functioning of marine food webs. *Global Change Biology*.
- R Core Team. (2020). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- Rall, B.C., Brose, U., Hartvig, M., Kalinkat, G., Schwarzmuller, F., Vucic-Pestic, O., *et al.*(2012). Universal temperature and body-mass scaling of feeding rates. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences*, 367,
 2923–2934.
- Reum, J.C.P., Blanchard, J.L., Holsman, K.K., Aydin, K. & Punt, A.E. (2019). Species specific ontogenetic diet shifts attenuate trophic cascades and lengthen food chains in
 exploited ecosystems. *Oikos*, 128, 1051–1064.
- Righton, D.A., Andersen, K.Haste., Neat, F., Thorsteinsson, V., Steingrund, P., Svedäng, H.,
 et al. (2010). Thermal niche of Atlantic cod Gadus morhua: limits, tolerance and
 optima. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 420, 1–13.
- van Rijn, I., Buba, Y., DeLong, J., Kiflawi, M. & Belmaker, J. (2017). Large but uneven
 reduction in fish size across species in relation to changing sea temperatures. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 3667–3674.
- Rohatgi, A. (2012). WebPlotDigitalizer: HTML5 based online tool to extract numerical data
 from plot images. Version 4.1. [WWW document] URL
- 802 *https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer (accessed on January 2019).*
- Sarmiento, J.L., Slater, R., Barber, R., Bopp, L., Doney, S.C., Hirst, A.C., *et al.* (2004).
 Response of ocean ecosystems to climate warming. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18, n/a-n/a.
- Savage, V.M., Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., West, G.B. & Charnov, E.L. (2004). Effects of
 body size and temperature on population growth. *The American Naturalist*, 163, 429–
 441.
- Scott, F., Blanchard, J. & Andersen, K. (2019). *mizer: Multi-Species sIZE Spectrum Modelling in R.* R. .
- Scott, F., Blanchard, J.L. & Andersen, K.H. (2014). mizer: An R package for multispecies,
 trait-based and community size spectrum ecological modelling. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 1121–1125.
- Scott, F., Blanchard, J.L. & Andersen, K.Haste. (2018). Multispecies, trait and community
 size spectrum ecological modelling in R (mizer), 1–87.
- Sheridan, J.A. & Bickford, D. (2011). Shrinking body size as an ecological response to
 climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 1, 401–406.

- Steinacher, M., Joos, F., Frolicher, T.L., Bopp, L., Cadule, P., Cocco, V., *et al.* (2010).
 Projected 21st century decrease in marine productivity: a multi-model analysis. *Biogeosciences*, 7.
- Svedäng, H. & Hornborg, S. (2014). Selective fishing induces density-dependent growth.
 Nature Communications, 5, 4152.
- Szuwalski, C.S., Burgess, M.G., Costello, C. & Gaines, S.D. (2017). High fishery catches
 through trophic cascades in China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
 114, 717–721.
- Thorson, J.T., Monnahan, C.C. & Cope, J.M. (2015). The potential impact of time-variation
 in vital rates on fisheries management targets for marine fishes. *Fisheries Research*,
 169, 8–17.
- Thorson, J.T., Munch, S.B., Cope, J.M. & Gao, J. (2017). Predicting life history parameters
 for all fishes worldwide. *Ecological Applications*, 27, 2262–2276.
- Thresher, R.E., Koslow, J.A., Morison, A.K. & Smith, D.C. (2007). Depth-mediated reversal
 of the effects of climate change on long-term growth rates of exploited marine fish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 104, 7461–7465.
- Tu, C.-Y., Chen, K.-T. & Hsieh, C. (2018). Fishing and temperature effects on the size
 structure of exploited fish stocks. *Sci Rep*, 8, 7132.
- Ursin, E. (1967). A Mathematical Model of Some Aspects of Fish Growth, Respiration, and
 Mortality. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, 24, 2355–2453.
- Ursin, E. (1973). On the prey size preferences of cod and dab. *Meddelelser fra Danmarks Fiskeri-og Havun- dersgelser*, 7:8598.
- Van Dorst, R.M., Gårdmark, A., Svanbäck, R., Beier, U., Weyhenmeyer, G.A. & Huss, M.
 (2019). Warmer and browner waters decrease fish biomass production. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 1395–1408.
- Woodworth-Jefcoats, P.A., Blanchard, J.L. & Drazen, J.C. (2019). Relative Impacts of
 Simultaneous Stressors on a Pelagic Marine Ecosystem. *Frontiers in Marine Science*,
 6.
- Woodworth-Jefcoats, P.A., Polovina, J.J., Dunne, J.P. & Blanchard, J.L. (2013). Ecosystem
 size structure response to 21st century climate projection: large fish abundance
 decreases in the central North Pacific and increases in the California Current. *Global Change Biology*, 19, 724–733.
- Woodworth-Jefcoats, P.A., Polovina, J.J., Howell, E.A. & Blanchard, J.L. (2015). Two takes
 on the ecosystem impacts of climate change and fishing: Comparing a size-based and
 a species-based ecosystem model in the central North Pacific. *Progress in Oceanography*, 138, 533–545.
- Yvon-Durocher, G., Montoya, J.M., Trimmer, M. & Woodward, G. (2011). Warming alters
 the size spectrum and shifts the distribution of biomass in freshwater ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 1681–1694.
- 857 858
- 859
- 860
- 861
- 862



863

Scenario — Physio. — Physio. + Resource — Resource

Figure 1. Individual growth trajectories of sprat, herring, and cod from model projections to year 2050 assuming warming according to RCP 8.5 while keeping fishing mortality at F_{MSY} levels from the size spectrum model. Top row shows size-at-age and bottom row shows size-at-age relative to a nonwarming scenario. The dashed line in the top row depicts projections assuming a non-warming scenario and thus constitutes a baseline prediction. Colours indicate different temperature-scaling scenarios. Shaded areas encompass the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles from the set of 200 simulations with randomly assigned activation energies.

871

872



874

Figure 2. Mean weight across all individuals in the populations of sprat, herring and cod from model projections to year 2050 assuming warming according to RCP 8.5 while keeping fishing mortality at F_{MSY} levels from the size spectrum model. The dashed horizontal line depicts projections assuming no temperature increase and thus constitutes a baseline prediction. Each dot represents one of the 200 simulations, each with randomly assigned activation energies. Boxplots depict 25%, 50% and 75% quantiles of the 200 simulations in each scenario.

881

882



884

Figure 3. Projected abundance-at-weight by species for different scenarios of temperature scaling indicated by colours (and line types in the right column due to overplotting) in 2050 assuming fishing mortality held at F_{MSY} levels from the size spectrum model. The left column shows abundance-at-weight relative to a non-warming scenario and the right column shows absolute abundance-at-weight with the non-warming scenario shown in black. Vertical red dotted line indicates weight-at-maturation and horizontal black dotted lines indicate the baseline projection (no warming). Only mean activation energies are used (Table S3, Supporting Information).

892



Metric = Physio. = = Physio. + Resource - Resource Scenario -T_{ref}+2°C 894 T_{ref} 895 Figure 4. Steady state biomass yield assuming knife edge selectivity at maturation size under two 896 constant temperature simulations and three scenarios for temperature dependence. Colours indicate 897 temperature, where blue means $T = T_{ref}$ (i.e., no temperature effects), and red depicts warm 898 temperature, here $T = T_{ref} + 2^{\circ}$ C. Dashed lines correspond to resource dynamics being temperature 899 dependent, dotted lines correspond to physiological rates and resource dynamics being temperature 900 dependent and solid lines depicts only physiological temperature scaling. Arrows indicate fishing 901 mortality (F) that leads to maximum sustainable yield (F_{MSY}). F is held constant at the mean F during 902 calibration (mean 1992-2002) for the two other species while estimating yield curves for one species. 903 Note the different scales between species. Only mean activation energies are used (Table S3, Supporting 904 Information). 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913



915 Figure 5. Example of fisheries yield at steady state relative to MSY at T_{ref} (no effect of temperature) 916 from simulations with constant (not time-varying) temperatures with the three temperature dependence 917 scenarios (columns). The y-axis shows fishing mortality, F, as a proportion to F_{MSY} (as estimated from 918 the size spectrum model) at T_{ref} and the x-axis shows temperature as a proportion of T_{ref} . The other 919 two species are held at their F_{MSY} when one species' F is varied. White lines are 0.95, 1 and 1.05 yield 920 isoclines (for example, the 1 isocline shows F and T-values where yield is equal to MSY without any 921 warming). The fact that isoclines ≥ 1 occur at relative temperatures below 1 in eight out of nine panels 922 shows that any warming will reduce the MSY. Grey points show at which F yields are maximized across 923 all temperatures (y-values). In three out of nine panels, F_{MSY} declines with warming (for herring when 924 only resource are temperature dependent, and for sprat whenever resources are temperature 925 dependent). Only mean activation energies are used (Table S3, Supporting Information).