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#### Abstract

Sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of bounded and periodic solutions of linear and weakly non-linear differential equations with impulse effect in a Banach space on the axis or the semi-axis. The main results are new for equations in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ as well.


1. Introduction. Differential equations with impulse effect describe the evolution of systems subject to perturbations of negligible duration. Systems with a finite number of degrees of freedom were considered in $[1,2]$ and a number of subsequent works by many authors.

In the present paper sufficient conditions are given for the existence of bounded and periodic solutions of linear and weakly non-linear differential equations with impulse effect in a Banach space on the axis or semi-axis. Moreover, the main results obtained are new for equations in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ as well.
2. Preliminary notes. Let $X$ be a complex Banach space, $L(X)$ be the set of all linear bounded operators $X \rightarrow X$. Consider the equation with impulse effect

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=A x+F(t, x)+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[B x+H_{j}(x)\right] \delta\left(t-t_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\delta$ is Dirac's delta-function; the points $t_{j}$ are fixed so that

$$
t_{j}<t_{j+1}(j \in \mathbf{Z}), \quad t_{j} \rightarrow \pm \infty(j \rightarrow \pm \infty)
$$
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the function $F:\left(\mathbf{R} \backslash\left\{t_{j}\right\}\right) \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuously continuable in each layer $\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right] \times$ $X$ and satisfies there the local Lipschitz condition on $x$; the functions $H_{j}: X \rightarrow X$ are continuous; $A, B \in L(X)$ and there exists an operator $S \in L(X)$, such that

$$
e^{S}=I+B\left(I=I d_{x}\right), \quad A S=S A
$$

The solution $x(\cdot): J \rightarrow X$ of equation (1) (we denote $x \in(1)_{J}$ ) will be assumed defined in some interval $J$, continuously differentiable except for the points $t_{j}$ in which it may have discontinuities of first type, left continuous and moreover we assume that it satisfies the relation

$$
\Delta x\left(t_{j}\right):=x\left(t_{j}\right)-x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)=B x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)+H_{j}\left(x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)\right)
$$

Under the conditions formulated and an arbitrary initial condition $x\left(t^{0}\right)=x^{0}$, the solution exists and is unique in some right half-neighbourhood of the point $t^{0}$. For the solution which exists in the interval $\left[t^{0}, \infty\right)$ the definitions of stability and asymptotic stability by Lyapunov are extended in a natural way.

Denote by $C B(J, X)$ the Banach space of the bounded piecewise continuous functions $x: J \rightarrow X$ with possible discontinuities of first type in the points $t_{j}$ and with the norm $\left\|\left|x\left\||=\||x||{ }_{J}\right.\right.\right.$. Along with equation (1) we consider the respective linear non-homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=A x+f(t)+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(B x+h_{j}\right) \delta\left(t-t_{j}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(f \in C B(\mathbf{R}, X), h_{j} \in X\right)$ and homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d t}=A y+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} B y \cdot \delta\left(t-t_{j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of equation (2) with initial condition $x\left(t^{0}\right)=x^{0}$ exists and is unique for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and the assertion about its stability (asymptotic stability) does not depend on the choice of $t^{0}, x^{0}, f$ and $\left\{h_{j}\right\}$; that is why we shall speak of stability (asymptotic stability) of equation (2).

## 3. Main results.

3.1. Existence of a bounded solution of equation (2) on the axis. Denote by $\nu(t, \tau)$ for $t \leq \tau$ the number of points $t_{j}$ in the interval $(t, \tau]$; for $t>\tau$ we set $\nu(t, \tau)=-\nu(\tau, t)$.
Theorem 1. Let the following conditions be fulfilled:
(1) Uniformly on $t \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists

$$
p:=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu(t, t+T)}{T}<\infty
$$

(2) The spectrum $\sigma(\Lambda)$ of the operator $\Lambda:=A+p S$ has no points on the imaginary axis.
(3) $\sup _{j \in \mathbf{Z}}\left\|h_{j}\right\|<\infty$.

Then equation (2) has in $C B(\mathbf{R}, X)$ a unique solution $x(\cdot)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||x \|| \leq C \max \left\{\left|\|f \mid\|, \sup _{j}\left\|h_{j}\right\|\right\}\right.\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C$ does not depend on $f$ and $\left\{h_{j}\right\}$.
Proof: From condition (2) it follows that $\sigma(\Lambda)=\sigma_{+}(\Lambda) \cup \sigma_{-}(\Lambda)$ where $\sigma_{+}(\Lambda)\left(\sigma_{-}(\Lambda)\right)$ is the part of $\sigma(\Lambda)$ which lies in the right (left) half-plane. Accordingly, $X$ splits up into a direct sum of the subspaces $X_{+}$and $X_{-}$which generates projectors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{ \pm}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{ \pm}} R_{\lambda} d \lambda \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{ \pm}$are contours surrounding $\sigma_{ \pm}(\Lambda)$ in the respective half-planes and $R_{\lambda}$ is the resolvent of the operator $\Lambda$. Moreover, all operators $P_{ \pm}, A, S, \Lambda$ commute two by two.

Introduce the operator-valued function $G: \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow L(X)$ by the formula

$$
G(t, \tau)= \begin{cases}-e^{(t-\tau) A-\nu(t, \tau) S} P_{+}, & -\infty<t \leq \tau<\infty \\ e^{(t-\tau) A-\nu(t, \tau) S} P_{-}, & -\infty<\tau \leq t<\infty\end{cases}
$$

It is continuous in the whole plane $t, \tau$ except for discontinuities of first type on the straight lines $t=t_{j}$. It is not difficult to prove the following properties of this function:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d G(t, \tau)}{d t}=A G(t, \tau), & \left(\forall t \bar{\in}\left\{t_{j}\right\}, \tau \neq t\right) ; \\
G\left(t_{j}^{+}, \tau\right)-G\left(t_{j}^{-}, \tau\right)=B G\left(t_{j}^{-}, \tau\right), & \left(\forall j \in \mathbf{Z}, \tau \neq t_{j}\right) ; \\
G\left(t^{+}, t\right)-G\left(t^{-}, t\right)=I, & \left(\forall t \bar{\in}\left\{t_{j}\right\}\right),
\end{array}
$$

(here it is necessary to use the equality $P_{+}+P_{-}=I$ );

$$
\begin{gather*}
G\left(t_{j}^{+}, t_{j}\right)-G\left(t_{j}^{-}, t_{j}\right)=B G\left(t_{j}^{-}, t_{j}\right)+I, \\
\exists K>0, \mu>0:\|G(t, \tau)\| \leq K e^{-\mu|t-\tau|} \quad(\forall j \in \mathbf{Z}) ;  \tag{6}\\
\exists K, \tau \in \mathbf{R}) ;
\end{gather*}
$$

here the exponentially decreasing estimate of $\left\|e^{t \Lambda} P_{ \pm}\right\|$for $t \rightarrow \mp \infty$ is used which follows from (5) and the representation

$$
(t-\tau) A+\nu(\tau, t) S=(t-\tau) \Lambda+\mathrm{o}(t-\tau) S \quad(|t-\tau| \rightarrow \infty)
$$

The assertion of theorem 1 follows from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, \tau) f(\tau) d \tau+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} G\left(t, t_{j}\right) h_{j} \quad(t \in \mathbf{R}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Last integral and series are convergent because of esimate (6) and in view of the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then

$$
(7) \Longleftrightarrow\left(x \in C B(\mathbf{R}, X) \quad \text { and } \quad x \in(2)_{\mathbf{R}}\right) .
$$

Proof: For (7) the inclusion $x \in C B(\mathbf{R}, X)$ (and estimate (4)) follow from (6) and assertion $x \in(2)_{\mathbf{R}}$ follows from the representation

$$
x(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} G(t, \tau) f(\tau) d \tau+\int_{t}^{\infty} G(t, \tau) f(\tau) d \tau+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} G\left(t, t_{j}\right) h_{j}
$$

and the properties of the operator-valued function $G$. Inversely, let $\tilde{x} \in C B(\mathbf{R}, X), \tilde{x} \in(2)_{\mathbf{R}}$. We define $x$ by formula (7) and denote $y=\tilde{x}-x$. Then $y \in C B(\mathbf{R}, X), y \in(3)_{\mathbf{R}}$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) A+\nu\left(t_{0}, t\right) S} y\left(t_{0}\right) \quad(\forall t \in \mathbf{R}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{t \Lambda} y\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|\left\{\exp \left[p-\frac{\nu\left(t_{0}, t\right)}{t-t_{0}} t S+\frac{t_{0} \nu\left(t_{0}, t\right)}{t-t_{0}} S+t_{0} A\right]\right\} y(t)\right\|=e^{\mathrm{o}(t)}(t \rightarrow \pm \infty) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is why $P_{ \pm} y\left(t_{0}\right)=0$; i.e, $y\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, hence $y=0$.
Lemma 1, and together with it, Theorem 1, is proved.
Corollary 1. If the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and $\sigma_{+}(\Lambda)=\emptyset$, then equation (2) is asymptotically stable.

In fact, it suffices to consider equation (3). We write down formula (8) in the form

$$
y(t)=e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \Lambda} e^{\left[\nu\left(t_{0}, t\right)-p\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right] S} y\left(t_{0}\right) .
$$

The assertion of Corollary 1 follows from the last formula and the fact that $\nu\left(t_{0}, t\right)-P(t-$ $\left.t_{0}\right)=\mathrm{o}(t)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.
3.2. Existence of a periodic solution of periodic equation (2). We shall call equation (2) $T$-periodic ( $T>0$ ), if $f(t+T) \equiv f(t)$ and there exists a number $r \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j+r}=t_{j}+T, \quad h_{j+r}=h_{j}(\forall j \in \mathbf{Z}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. If for the $T$-periodic equation (2)

$$
\frac{2 k \pi i}{T} \bar{\epsilon} \sigma(\Lambda) \quad\left(\forall k \in \mathbf{Z} ; \quad \Lambda=-A+p S, p=\frac{r}{T}\right)
$$

then it has a unique $T$-periodic solution.
Proof: We choose $x\left(t_{0}\right)$ and by induction obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(t)=e^{t A+k S}\left[e^{-t_{0} A} x\left(t_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} e^{-j S} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} e^{-\tau A} f(\tau) d \tau\right. \\
\left.+e^{-k S} \int_{t_{k}}^{t} e^{-\tau A} f(\tau) d \tau+\sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{-t_{j} A-j S} h_{j}\right] \\
\left(t_{k} \leq t<t_{k+1} ; \quad k=0,1 \ldots\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The condition $x(t+T) \equiv x(t)$ is equivalent to the relation $x\left(t_{r}\right)=x\left(t_{0}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{T A+r S} x\left(t_{0}\right)+e^{t_{r} A} & \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} e^{(r-j) S} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} e^{-\tau A} f(\tau) d \tau \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{r} e^{\left(t_{r}-t_{j}\right) A+(k-j) S} h_{j}=x\left(t_{0}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $e^{T A+r S}=e^{T \Lambda}$ and the operator $I-e^{T \Lambda}$ in view of the conditions of the theorem is invertible, then equation (11) is satisfied by a unique value of $x\left(t_{0}\right)$ which proves the theorem.
3.3. Existence of a family of bounded solutions of equation (2) on the semiaxis. Assume that $t_{0}=0$ and consider equation (2) on $R_{+}:=[0, \infty)$.
Theorem 3. Let the following conditions be fulfilled:
(1) The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ has no points on the imaginary axis. Analogously to §3.1, we obtain $\sigma(A)=\sigma_{+}(A) \cup \sigma_{-}(A)$ and introduce the corresponding direct decomposition $X=X_{1} \dot{+} X_{2}$, the projectors $P_{ \pm}$and the operators $A_{ \pm}:=P_{ \pm} A$ which satisfy for some $N_{ \pm}, \mu_{ \pm}>0$ the estimates

$$
\left\|e^{A-t}\right\| \leq N_{-} e^{-\mu_{-} t}, \quad\left\|e^{-A+t}\right\| \leq N_{+} e^{-\mu_{+} t}\left(\forall t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right)
$$

(2) $S X_{ \pm} \subseteq X_{ \pm}$,
(3) $\theta:=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)>0$,
(4) $\left\|B_{-}\right\|<\frac{1}{N_{-}} e^{\mu_{-} \theta}-1, \quad\left\|\left(I+B_{+}\right)^{-1}\right\|<e^{\mu_{+} \theta}, \quad\left\|B_{+}\right\|<\frac{1}{N_{+}}\left(1-e^{\mu_{+} \theta}\right)$ where $B_{ \pm}:=P_{ \pm} B$.
(5) $\sup _{j \in \mathbf{N}}\left\|h_{j}\right\|<\infty$.

Then $\forall x_{0} \in X, \exists!x \in C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X\right):\left(x \in(2)_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}, P_{-} x(0)=x_{0}\right)$.
Proof: The components $x_{ \pm}(\cdot)$ of any $x \in(2)_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}$satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{ \pm}}{d t}=A_{ \pm} x_{ \pm}+f_{ \pm}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(B_{ \pm} x_{ \pm}+h_{j \pm}\right) \delta\left(t-t_{j}\right) \quad\left(t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

considered respectively in the spaces $X_{ \pm}$. Moreover,

$$
\sigma\left(A_{ \pm}\right)=\sigma_{ \pm}(A), \quad f_{ \pm}:=P_{ \pm} f, \quad h_{ \pm}:=P_{ \pm} h_{j} .
$$

Equation (2)_ for any initial condition $x_{-}(0)=x_{0} \in X_{-}$has a solution $x_{-}(\cdot)$ satisfying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{-}(t)=e^{t A_{-}} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-\xi) A_{-}} f_{-}(\xi) d \xi+\sum_{0<t_{j} \leq t} e^{\left(t-t_{j}\right) A_{-}}\left[B_{-} x_{-}\left(t_{j^{-}}\right)+h_{j^{-}}\right] \quad\left(t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove that $x_{-} \in C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X_{-}\right)$. In fact, $\forall j \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

$$
x_{-}\left(t_{j+1}\right)=\left(I+B_{-}\right)\left[e^{\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right) A_{-}} x_{-}\left(t_{j}\right)+\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} e^{\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right) A_{-}} f_{-}(\tau) d \tau+h_{(j+1)^{-}}\right]
$$

whence, $\forall \epsilon>0$, for all $j$ large enough we obtain

$$
\left\|x_{-}\left(t_{j+1}\right)\right\| \leq\left(1+\left\|B_{-}\right\|\right) N_{-} e^{-\mu_{-}(\theta-\epsilon)}\left\|x_{-}\left(t_{j}\right)\right\|+\left(1+\left\|B_{-}\right\|\right) \frac{N_{-}}{\mu_{-}}\left\|f_{-} \mid\right\|+\sup _{j}\left\|h_{j-}\right\|
$$

Hence, by condition 4 of theorem 3 we obtain boundedness of the sequence $\left\{x_{-}\left(t_{j}\right)\right\}$, therefore of the solution $x_{-}(\cdot)$ too.

Equation (2) $)_{+}$may have in $C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X_{+}\right)$no more than one solution. In fact, the difference $y_{+}(\cdot)$ of two such solutions can be represented in the form

$$
y_{+}(t)=e^{t A_{+}}\left(I+B_{+}\right)^{\nu(0, t)} y_{+}(0),
$$

whence if $y_{+} \in C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X\right)$, by condition 4 of theorem 3 we obtain that $\forall \epsilon>0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\left\|y_{+}(0)\right\|=\left\|e^{-t A_{+}}\left(I+B_{+}\right)^{-\nu(0, t)} y_{+}(t)\right\|=\mathrm{o}\left(e^{-\mu_{+} t}\left\|\left(I+B_{+}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{t /(\theta-\epsilon)}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

if $\epsilon$ is small enough, i.e., $y_{ \pm}(t) \equiv 0$.
In order to prove the solvability of equation $(2)_{+}$in $C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X_{+}\right)$we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{+}(t)=-\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{(t-\xi) A_{+}} f_{+}(\tau) d \tau-\sum_{t<t_{j}<\infty} e^{\left(t-t_{j}\right) A_{+}}\left[B_{+} x_{+}\left(t_{j-}\right)+h_{j+}\right] \quad\left(t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, provided that $x_{+} \in C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X_{+}\right)$, is equivalent to (2) $)_{+}$. Consider equation (13) on $\left[t_{k}, \infty\right)$, denote its right-hand side by $\left(Q x_{+}\right)(t)$ and obtain that $\forall \epsilon>0, k \in \mathbf{N}, x_{+}^{1}$, $x_{+}^{2} \in C B\left(\left[t_{k}, \infty\right), X_{+}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left|Q x_{+}^{1}-Q x_{+}^{2}\right|\right\| & \leq \sup _{t_{k} \leq t<\infty^{\prime}} \sum_{t<t_{j}<\infty} N_{+} e^{-\mu_{+}\left(t_{j}-t\right)}\left\|B_{+}\right\| \cdot\left\|\left|x_{+}^{1}-x_{+}^{2}\right|\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{N_{+}\left\|B_{+}\right\|}{1-e^{-\mu_{+}(\theta-\epsilon)}}\left\|\mid x_{+}^{1}-x_{+}^{2}\right\| \|
\end{aligned}
$$

From condition 4 of theorem 3 it follows that to equation (13) considered on $\left[t_{k}, \infty\right)$, we can apply the theorem of Banach of the contractive mappings. That is why it and, along with it equation (13), have in $C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X\right)$ a unique solution.

Adding the functions constructed $x_{-}(\cdot)$ and $x_{+}(\cdot)$, we obtain the assertion of theorem 3 .
Remark 1. Introduce the operator-valued function $D: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow L(x)$ by the formula

$$
D(t)= \begin{cases}P_{-} e^{t A_{-}}, & t \geq 0 \\ -P_{+} e^{t A_{+}}, & t<0\end{cases}
$$

Then from (12) and (13) we obtain that the constructed solution $x$ satisfies the relation

$$
x(t)=D(t) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{\infty} D(t-\tau) f(\tau) d \tau+\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} D\left(t-t_{j}\right)\left[B x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)+h_{j}\right] \quad\left(t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right)
$$

which, provided that $x \in C B\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, X\right)$, is equivalent to equation (2) with condition $P_{-} x(0)=$ $x_{0}$.
3.4. Existence of a bounded and periodic solution of equation (1) on the axis. We shall write (1) $\in(M, q, \rho)$, if for $t \in \mathbf{R},\|x\|,\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq \rho, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|F(t, x)\|+\left\|H_{j}(x)\right\| \leq M \\
\left\|F(t, x)-F\left(t, x_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|H_{j}(x)-H_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \leq q\left\|x-x_{1}\right\| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4. Let conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 1 hold. Then $\forall \rho>0, \exists M>0, q>0$ ( $M$, $q$ depend on $\left.A, B,\left\{t_{j}\right\}, \rho\right)$ such that

$$
(1) \in(M, q, \rho) \Rightarrow \exists!x(: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X): x \in(1), \quad\|\mid x\|_{\mathbf{R}} \leq \rho
$$

Proof: From lemma 1 it follows that for $(1) \in(M, q, \rho),\|\mid x\|_{\mathbf{R}} \leq \rho$ equation (1) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, \tau) F(\tau, x(\tau)) d \tau+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} G\left(t, t_{j}\right) H_{j}\left(x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)\right) \quad(t \in \mathbf{R}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From estimate (6) and condition 1 of Theorem 1 it follows that if the number $\ell>0$ is chosen so that $(1 / \ell) \nu(t, t+\ell)<p+1(\forall t \in \mathbf{R})$, then for $\|x\| \leq \rho$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t, \tau) F(\tau, x(\tau)) d \tau+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} G\left(t, t_{j}\right) H_{j}\left(x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq M\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K e^{-\mu|t-\xi|} d \tau+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} K e^{-\mu\left|t-t_{j}\right|}\right) \\
& \quad \leq M K\left(\frac{2}{\mu}+\frac{2(p+1) \ell}{1-e^{-\mu \ell}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for

$$
M \leq p\left[K\left(\frac{2}{\mu}+\frac{2(p+1) \ell}{1-e^{-\mu \ell}}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

the operator defined by the right-hand side of equation (15) maps the ball $\||x|\| \leq \rho$ into itself. In an analogous way it is verified that for $q$ small enough this operator is contractive. This completes the proof of theorem 4.

Corollary 2. If in the conditions of Theorem 4 it is given that $F(t, 0) \equiv 0$ and $H_{j}(0)=0$, then the only solution of equation (1) for $\||x|\| \leq \rho$ is the solution $x(t) \equiv 0$.
Corollary 3. If in the conditions of Theorem 4 equation (1) is T-periodic (the respective definition is analogous to the one given in §3.2), then the solution of the equation considered in the theorem is T-periodic too.

In fact, if $t \mapsto x(t)$ is this solution, then the function $t \mapsto x(t+T)$ is a solution of equation (1) too and $x(t+T) \equiv x(t)$.
3.5. Existence of a family of bounded solutions of equation (1) on the semiaxis. As in $\S 3.3$ we assume that $t_{0}=0$.

Theorem 5. Let an operator $A$ and points $\left\{t_{j}\right\}$ be given so that conditions 1 and 3 of Theorem 3 hold. Then $\forall \rho>0, \rho_{1} \in\left(0, \rho \max \left\{N_{-}, N_{+}\right\}\right)^{-1} \exists M, q, \beta>0:$ if $B, F,\left\{H_{j}\right\}$ are given so that conditions 2 and 4 of Theorem 3 are fulfilled, $\|B\| \leq \beta$ and $(1) \in(M, q, \rho)$, then $\forall x_{0} \in X_{-}:\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \rho_{1}, \exists!x\left(: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow X\right): x \in(1),\||x|\|_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \leq \rho, P_{-} x(0)=x_{0}$.
Proof: From Remark 1 it follows that for $(1) \in(M, q, \rho),\||x|\|_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \leq \rho$ equation (1) with condition $P_{-} x(0)=x_{0} \in X_{-}\left(\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \rho\right)$ is equivalent to the equation
$x(t)=D(t) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{\infty} D(t-\tau) F(\tau, x(\tau)) d \tau+\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} D\left(t-t_{j}\right)\left[B x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)+H_{j}\left(x\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)\right)\right] \quad\left(t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$.
For $\|x\|_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \leq \rho,\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \rho_{1}(\leq \rho),(1) \in(M, q, \rho)$ the norm of the right-hand side of the above equation does not exceed

$$
\max \left\{N_{-}, N_{+}\right\} \rho_{1}+M\left(\frac{N_{-}}{\mu_{-}}+\frac{N_{+}}{\mu_{+}}\right)+\left(\|B\|_{\rho}+M\right)\left(\frac{N_{-}}{1-e^{-\mu_{-} \theta_{1}}}+\frac{N_{+}}{1-e^{-\mu_{+} \theta_{1}}}\right)
$$

where $\theta_{1}:=\inf _{j \in \mathbf{N}}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)$. We choose $\beta$ so that

$$
\max \left\{N_{-}, N_{+}\right\} \rho_{1}+\beta \rho\left(\frac{N_{-}}{1-e^{-\mu_{-} \theta_{1}}}+\frac{N_{+}}{1-e^{-\mu_{+} \theta_{1}}}\right)<\rho .
$$

After that the proof of the theorem is completed in the same way as the proof of Theorem 4.
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