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#### Abstract

Let $0<\theta<1$ be an irrational number of bounded type. We prove that for any map in the family $\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta} z+\alpha z^{2}\right) e^{z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the boundary of the Siegel disk, with fixed point at the origin, is a quasicircle passing through one or both of the critical points.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of holomorphic functions fixing the origin. If $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is holomorphically conjugate on a neighborhood of the origin to an irrational rotation then the largest domain on which this conjugation is defined is called the Siegel Disk of $f$. The Siegel disk $\Delta_{f}$ belongs to the Fatou set and the boundary of $\Delta_{f}$ belongs to the Julia set of $f$. Two natural questions about the boundary of $\Delta_{f}$ are:

1. When is it a Jordan curve?
2. When does it contain a critical point of $f$ ?

Both these questions are far from solved for general families. Many authors have made contributions to these problems for various families. The reader is referred to [4], [5], [15], [9], and [20] for more details.

Suppose the multiplier of $f \in \mathcal{F}$ at the origin is $\lambda=e^{2 \pi i \theta}$. It is well known, ([5],[19]), that a sufficient condition for $f$ to have a Siegel disk at the origin is that $\theta$ be of bounded type. Under this condition, it was proved in [9] that the boundary of the Siegel disk must contain a critical point. This indicates that the answer to the first question might also always be positive if $\theta$ is of bounded type. In a recent unpublished manuscript, Shishikura proved that the boundary of a Siegel disk of a polynomial map of degree $\geq 4$ and $\theta$ of bounded type is always a quasi-circle. This, together with the results of Douady and Zakeri [5][17][20], imply that the answer to the first question is always positive for all such polynomial maps.

Theorem (Douady-Zakeri-Shishikura). Let $\theta$ be a bounded type irrational number and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then the boundary of the Siegel disk of

[^0]the polynomial map
$$
P(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} z^{n}, a_{n} \neq 0
$$
centered at the origin, is a quasi-circle passing through at least one critical point of $P(z)$.

It would be extremely interesting if the generalization of the above theorem to families of entire functions were true. In this paper, we restrict our attention to a narrow class of entire functions, namely, those functions which have the following form

$$
P(z) e^{z}=\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} z^{n}\right) e^{z}
$$

The reason that we consider such functions is that they are a rather simple class of entire functions of "finite type"; that is functions with finitely many critical and asymptotic values. In fact, they seem relatively close to polynomials in that they have only finitely many critical points and finitely many zeros. On this basis, we ask the following question:

Question. Let $\theta$ be an irrational number of bounded type and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then is the boundary of the Siegel disk of the entire map

$$
f(z)=\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} z^{n}\right) e^{z}
$$

centered at the origin, a quasi-circle passing through at least one critical point of $f(z)$ ?

In the case that $a_{2}=\cdots=a_{n}=0$, the answer was shown to be positive by Geyer:

Theorem (Geyer[7]). Let $\theta$ be a bounded type irrational number. Then the boundary of the Siegel disk of the entire map $e^{2 \pi i \theta} z e^{z}$, centered at the origin, is a quasi-circle passing through the unique critical point.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a similar theorem for entire maps with $P(z)$ quadratic:

Main Theorem. Let $\theta$ be a bounded type irrational number. Then for any entire map,

$$
f_{a}(z)=\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta} z+a z^{2}\right) e^{z}, a \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}
$$

the boundary of the Siegel disk centered at the origin is a quasi-circle passing through one or both the critical points of $f_{a}(z)$.

The main tool of the proof is to use techniques of quasi-conformal mappings presented in $[21]$ (see also $\S 3$ ) to construct a function with a Siegel disk from a function with an attracting fixed point. This construction is similar in spirit to the one introduced by Cheritat [4] where he uses a Blaschke product model. Our construction has the advantage that it automatically induces a surgery map $\mathbf{S}$ defined on a one-dimensional parameter space of functions with an
attracting fixed point. By using an argument of Zakeri([20]), we prove that the surgery map $\mathbf{S}$ is continuous. The proof of the Main Theorem is then completed by showing that the surgery map $\mathbf{S}$ is surjective.

Now let us sketch the proof. We fix a $\theta$ of bounded type once and for all and set $\lambda=e^{2 \pi i \theta}$. In $\S 2$, for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, we introduce the one complex dimensional parameter space $\Sigma_{t}$ as follows:

$$
\Sigma_{t}=\left\{f(z)=\left(t z+\alpha z^{2}\right) e^{\beta z} \mid f^{\prime}(1)=0, \alpha \beta \neq 0\right\}
$$

We mark the critical points and show that each $\Sigma_{t}$ can be parameterized by the value $\beta$, and that under this parametrization, $\Sigma_{t}$ is homeomorphic to the punctured sphere $S^{2}-\{0, \infty,-1,-2\}$ (Lemma 2.1).

We will be interested in two particular spaces: $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$ containing functions with an attracting fixed point and $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ which is the space of functions in our main theorem conjugated by the map $z \rightarrow \beta z$. To differentiate between functions in these spaces we will denote those in $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$ by $f_{\beta}$ and those in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ by $g_{\beta}$. It turns out that the two critical points of $f_{\beta}$ and $g_{\beta}$ are the same. We mark them and denote them by 1 and $c_{\beta}$.

For each $f_{\beta} \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$, we introduce a geometric object $D_{\beta}$, which is a simply connected domain containing the origin (Definition 2.1). The key property of $D_{\beta}$ is the following:

Theorem 2.1. $\partial D_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasi-circle that passes through at least one of the critical points of $f_{\beta}$. Moreover, $K$ is independent of $\beta$.

In $\S 3$, we study the topological structure of the parameter space $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$. The main purpose of that section is to prove the Structure Theorem for $t=1 / 2$ :
Theorem 3.1 (Structure Theorem for $\Sigma_{t}$ ). There is a simple closed curve $\gamma$ which separates $\{-2, \infty\}$ and $\{0,-1\}$ such that if $\beta$ lies in the component of $S^{2} \backslash \gamma$ containing $\{-2, \infty\}$ then $\partial D_{\beta}$ passes through the critical point $c_{\beta}$, but not the critical point 1, and if $\beta$ lies in the other component, $\partial D_{\beta}$ passes through the critical point 1 but not the critical point $\beta$. Moreover, $\gamma$ is invariant under the involution $\sigma: \beta \rightarrow-(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$ which interchanges the marked critical points.

The curve $\gamma$ separates $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$ into two components. We use $\Omega_{\text {int }}$ to denote the bounded component, and $\Omega_{\text {out }}$ the unbounded one.

In $\S 4$, we construct a surgery map $\mathbf{S}: \Omega_{i n t} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\lambda}$. In §5, adapting an argument of Zakeri, [20], we show that the map $\mathbf{S}$ can be continuously extended to $\overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}$ such that $\mathbf{S}(0)=0$ and $\mathbf{S}(-1)=-1$.

In $\S 6$, we prove that the image of $\gamma$ under the map $\mathbf{S}$ is a simple closed curve $\Gamma \subset \Sigma_{\lambda}$, which consists of all the maps for which the boundaries of the Siegel disks are quasi-circles passing through both of the critical points (Lemma 6.3). We use $\Theta_{\text {int }}$ to denote the bounded component of $\Sigma_{\lambda}-\Gamma$, and $\Theta_{\text {out }}$ the unbounded one. We prove that the space $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ is symmetric about
the curve $\Gamma$ under the map $\sigma: \beta \rightarrow-(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$ induced by the linear conjugation map $z \mapsto z / c_{\beta}$ and that the map $\mathbf{S}: \gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ has topological degree 1 , (Lemma 6.3 and 6.4). It follows that $\mathbf{S}: \Omega_{i n t} \rightarrow \Theta_{i n t}$ is surjective, which in turn implies the Main Theorem and the Structure Theorem for $\Sigma_{\lambda}$.

## 2. The Maximal Linearizable Domain $D_{\beta}$

2.1. The parameterization of $\Sigma_{t}$. For fixed $t \neq 0, \infty$, we use $\Sigma_{t}$ to denote the space of all entire maps of the form

$$
f(z)=\left(t z+\alpha z^{2}\right) e^{\beta z}
$$

such that $f^{\prime}(1)=0$ and $\alpha \beta \neq 0$. This normalization marks the critical points. For $f \in \Sigma_{t}$, to simplify the notation, we suppress the dependence of $f$ on $t$ and the dependence of $\alpha$ on $\beta$.

Lemma 2.1. The space $\Sigma_{t}$ is homeomorphic to the punctured sphere $S^{2} \backslash$ $\{-1,-2,0, \infty\}$.

Proof. For each $f \in \Sigma_{t}$, by definition, $f^{\prime}(1)=0$. By a simple calculation, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-t \frac{\beta+1}{\beta+2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\alpha$ is uniquely determined by $\beta$ and it follows that the map $\rho: f \rightarrow \beta$ is a homeomorphism from $\Sigma_{t}$ to $S^{2} \backslash\{-1,-2,0, \infty\}$.

Note that these functions fix the origin. Moreover, straight forward computations show that there are exactly two asymptotic values, the origin and infinity. There are only two zeros, the origin and $(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$. Every other point has infinitely many pre-images. Unless $\beta=-1 \pm i$, there are two distinct marked critical points, 1 and $c_{\beta}=-(\beta+2) / \beta(\beta+1)$ and two distinct critical values.

We will be interested in $\Sigma_{t}$ for two specific values of $t, t=1 / 2$ and $t=\lambda=$ $e^{2 \pi i \theta}$ where $\theta$ is the irrational of bounded type fixed in the introduction.

Remark 2.1. The functions in these spaces are of finite type; they have only finitely many singular values and in fact only finitely many critical points. The classification of their Fatou components is thus fairly simple. It is known, (see for example, [8]) that there are no wandering domains and no Baker domains for such entire functions. There is one grand orbit of components in the Fatou set with a forward invariant component containing the origin. For $t=1 / 2$ it is attracting and contains at least one critical point and for $t=\lambda$ it is a Siegel disk whose boundary contains the closure of the forward orbit of at least one critical point. In both cases, this grand orbit contains the asymptotic value at the origin.

There can be at most one other grand orbit of components and it will contain the orbit of the "other critical point". This cycle can only be attracting, superattracting, parabolic or contain another cycle of Siegel disks. In this paper, this potential second cycle will not play a role.
2.2. The maximal linearizable domain $D_{\beta}$. Let us fix $t=1 / 2$ throughout this section. From now on, we will identify the space $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$ with the parameter space $S^{2} \backslash\{-1,-2,0, \infty\}$. For each $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$, let us denote

$$
f_{\beta}(z)=\left(z / 2+\alpha z^{2}\right) e^{\beta z}
$$

where $\alpha$ is given by formula (1) with $t=1 / 2$.
Now for each $\beta$ we define a domain $D_{\beta}$ as follows. Let $\Delta$ denote the unit disk and $L_{1 / 2}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ denote the contraction map defined by $z \rightarrow$ $z / 2$. Because the origin is an attracting fixed point with multiplier $1 / 2, f_{\beta}$ is holomorphically conjugate to $L_{1 / 2}$ in a neighborhood of the origin.

Definition 2.1. For each $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$ we define $D_{\beta}$ to be the maximal subdomain of the immediate attracting basin of the origin on which $f_{\beta}$ is holomorphically conjugate to the linear map $L_{1 / 2}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$.

The main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. There is a constant $K>1$ such that for all $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}, \partial D_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasi-circle that passes through at least one of the critical points of $f_{\beta}$.

We break the proof into a series of lemmas. In these we always have $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$ and the map $h_{\beta}: \Delta \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is always the unique holomorphic isomorphism such that $h_{\beta}(0)=0, h_{\beta}^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $h_{\beta}^{-1} \circ f_{\beta} \circ h_{\beta}(z)=L_{1 / 2}(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta$.
Lemma 2.2. $\partial D_{\beta}$ is a quasi-circle passing through one or both of the critical points of $f_{\beta}$.

Proof. Since the origin is an attracting fixed point of $f_{\beta}$, there must be a critical point in its immediate basin of attraction. By the maximality of $D_{\beta}$, it follows that $\partial D_{\beta}$ must pass through at least one critical point of $f_{\beta}$.

By the definition of $h_{\beta}$ we have

$$
f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)=f_{\beta} \circ h_{\beta}(\Delta)=h_{\beta} \circ L_{1 / 2}(\Delta) .
$$

Let $\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}=\{z| | z \mid=1 / 2\}$. It follows that $\partial\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)=\partial h_{\beta} \circ L_{1 / 2}(\Delta)=$ $h_{\beta}\left(\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}\right)$ is a real-analytic curve. Since $f_{\beta}$ has exactly one finite asymptotic value which is at the origin, and the origin is contained in the interior of $f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$, there are no asymptotic values of $f_{\beta}$ on $\partial f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$. Thus $\partial D_{\beta}$ is a bounded component of the lift of the real analytic curve $\partial f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ by $f_{\beta}^{-1}$ and is therefore a piecewise smooth curve with at most two corners at the critical points. It follows that $D_{\beta}$ is actually a quasi-circle with finite Euclidean length.

For any set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, define the Euclidean diameter of $X$ by

$$
\operatorname{Diam}(X)=\sup _{a, b \in X}|a-b|
$$

For a piecewise smooth arc segment $I \subset \mathbb{C}$, let $|I|$ denote the Euclidean length of $I$.

We will need to estimate the relative diameters and lengths of quantities defined for each $\beta$. For simplicity, and to avoid the need for many constants, we introduce the following notation. For two quantities $X=X(\beta)$ and $Y=$ $Y(\beta)$, we use the notation $X \preccurlyeq Y$ to mean that there is a constant $C>0$, independent of $\beta$, such that $X \leq C Y$. Similarly, we use $X>\asymp Y$ to mean that there exist constants $0<C<C^{\prime}$, independent of $\beta$, such that $C Y \leq$ $X \leq C^{\prime} Y$.

The next lemma is a technical lemma. Recall that $\Delta_{1 / 2}=\{z| | z \mid<1 / 2\}$ and that $\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}=\partial \Delta_{1 / 2}$. For readability we drop the subscript $\beta$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $h: \Delta \rightarrow D$ be a univalent map such that $h(0)=0$. Suppose that $x$ and $y$ are two distinct points on $h\left(\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}\right)$ which separate $h\left(\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}\right)$ into two disjoint arc segments $I$ and $J$ and suppose that $I$ is the shorter arc, $|I| \leq|J|$. Then $|I| \preccurlyeq|x-y|$ where the constant is independent of $\beta$ and the chosen points $x, y$.

Proof. Let $L$ be the straight segment which connects $x$ and $y$. We now have two cases to consider. In the first case, $L \subset D$. Then $L^{\prime}=h^{-1}(L) \subset \Delta$ is a smooth curve segment connecting two points $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}$. Suppose $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ separate $\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}$ into two arc segments $I^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(I^{\prime}\right)=I$ and $h\left(J^{\prime}\right)=J$. By the Köbe distortion theorem, and the assumption that $|I| \leq|J|$, we have $\left|I^{\prime}\right| \preccurlyeq\left|J^{\prime}\right|$ and hence $\left|I^{\prime}\right| \preccurlyeq\left|L^{\prime}\right|$. Note the distortion theorem implies that the constant is independent of $\beta$ and the points $x, y$.

Now there are two subcases. In the first subcase, there is an $r, 1 / 2<r<1$ such that $L^{\prime}$ is contained in $\Delta_{r}$. By Köbe's theorem and the fact that $\left|I^{\prime}\right| \preccurlyeq$ $\left|L^{\prime}\right|$, we deduce that $|I| \preccurlyeq|L|$. Here the constant depends on $r$ but not on $\beta$. In the second subcase there is no such $r$. Choose $r_{0}, 1 / 2<r_{0}<1$ and let $L^{\prime \prime} \subset L^{\prime} \cap \Delta_{r_{0}}$ be the component of $L^{\prime}$ that contains one of the end points of $L^{\prime}$, say $x^{\prime}$. Again we have $\left|I^{\prime}\right| \preccurlyeq\left|L^{\prime \prime}\right|$ and applying Köbe's theorem once more, we get $|I| \preccurlyeq\left|h\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \preccurlyeq|L|$. Here the constant depends on the choice of $r_{0}$ but not on $\beta$ or the points $x, y$.

In the second case, $L$ is not contained in $D$. Again choose $r_{0}, 1 / 2<r_{0}<1$, and let $L_{0}$ be the component of $L \cap D$ that contains one of the end points of $L$, say $x$. Then $h^{-1}\left(L_{0}\right) \subset \Delta$ and intersects $\mathbb{T}_{r_{0}}$. Since $h^{-1}(x) \in \mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}$, it follows that $\left|I^{\prime}\right| \preccurlyeq\left|h^{-1}\left(L_{0}\right)\right|$ and therefore by Köbe's theorem again, we get $|I| \preccurlyeq\left|L_{0}\right| \preccurlyeq|L|$. Here again the constant depends on $r_{0}$ but not on $\beta$ or the points $x, y$.

By Lemma 2.2, each $\partial D_{\beta}$ is a quasi-circle for some $K_{\beta}$. We now claim we can use the same constant for all $\beta$ in a compact subset of $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$.

Lemma 2.4. For any compact set $\Lambda \subset \Sigma_{1 / 2}$, there is a $K>1$, depending only on $\Lambda$, such that for every $\beta \in \Lambda, \partial D_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasi-circle.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex plane. First we claim that there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ depending only on $\Lambda$ such that $\overline{D_{\beta}} \subset E$ for every $\beta \in \Lambda$. If the claim were not true there would be a sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset \Lambda$ such that $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta \in \Lambda$ and such that $\operatorname{Diam}\left(\partial D_{\beta_{n}} \rightarrow \infty\right.$. Set $h_{n}=h_{\beta_{n}}$ and $h=h_{\beta}$. Then $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Delta$. Therefore, there is some compact set $W \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_{\beta_{n}}\left(\partial D_{\beta_{n}}\right)=h_{n}\left(\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}\right) \subset W$.

Now since the Euclidean diameter of $\partial D_{\beta_{n}}$ goes to infinity, it follows that when $n$ is large enough, there are arbitrarily long segments $A_{n}$ of $\partial D_{\beta_{n}}$ outside any fixed disk. Since $f_{\beta_{n}}\left(\partial D_{\beta_{n}}\right)$ is bounded away from zero and infinity, it follows that for all $z \in A_{n}$ the argument of $\beta_{n} z$ stays in a wedge about the imaginary axis. That is, given any $L>0$ there exist $R>0$ and $\operatorname{arcs} A_{n}$ of $\partial D_{\beta_{n}}$ outside $\Delta_{R}$ whose Euclidean diameter is greater than $L$ and such that one of the following two inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(\beta_{n} z\right)-\pi / 2\right|<\pi / 4 \text { or }\left|\arg \left(\beta_{n} z\right)+\pi / 2\right|<\pi / 4 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $z \in A_{n}$. This implies, however, by taking $L$ large enough, that as $z$ varies continuously along $A_{n}$, we can make $\arg e^{\beta_{n} z}$ vary from 0 to $2 \pi$ any number of times. On the other hand, as $z$ varies along $A_{n}$, it follows from inequalities (2) that the variation of $\arg \left(z / 2+\alpha_{\beta_{n}} z^{2}\right)$ remains bounded. Therefore, taking $n$ large enough we can make the image $f_{\beta_{n}}\left(A_{n}\right)$, which is a sub-arc of $h_{n}\left(\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2}\right)$, wind around the origin any number of times. This contradicts the fact that $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the compact set $\mathbb{T}_{1 / 2} \subset \Delta$, proving the claim.

Fix $\beta$ and let $x$ and $y$ be any two points on $\partial D_{\beta}$. Denote by $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ the two Jordan arcs they determine on $\partial D_{\beta}$ and label them so that $f_{\beta}(I)$ is shorter than $f_{\beta}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. Let $L$ be the straight segment joining $x$ and $y$. Since $\partial D_{\beta}$ is a quasi-circle, the quantity

$$
Q(\beta)=Q(I, L)=\operatorname{Diam}(I) /|L|
$$

is bounded for all pairs $(x, y)$ on $\partial D_{\beta}$. It will suffice to show that there is an upper bound on $Q(I, L)$ for all $\beta \in \Lambda$.

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right| \preccurlyeq\left|f_{\beta}(x)-f_{\beta}(y)\right| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and the definitions of Diam and length, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right| \preccurlyeq\left|f_{\beta}(x)-f_{\beta}(y)\right| \preccurlyeq \operatorname{Diam}\left(f_{\beta}(L)\right) \preccurlyeq\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q$ be a point on the closed segment $L$ such that $\max _{z \in L}\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)\right|$ is achieved so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(L) \leq\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|\right| L \mid . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now fix $R \geq 2$ and consider the annulus

$$
A_{R}=\{z|2 \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 3 R \leq|z-x| \leq 3 \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 4 R\}
$$

centered at the endpoint $x$ of $I$. Let $\hat{I}$ be one of the closed components of $I \cap A_{R}$ that connects the two boundary components of $A$. It follows that $|\hat{I}| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 12 R$.

Let $p$ be a point on $|\hat{I}|$ such that $\min _{z \in|\hat{I}|}\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)\right|$ is achieved so that
(6)

$$
\left|f_{\beta}(\hat{I})\right| \geq\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right||\hat{I}|
$$

Combining these relations we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|} \geq \frac{\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}(\hat{I})\right|} \frac{|\hat{I}|}{\operatorname{Diam}(I)} \frac{\operatorname{Diam}(I)}{|L|} \geq \frac{1}{12 R} \frac{\mid f_{\beta}(L)}{\left|f_{\beta}(\hat{I})\right|} Q(I, L) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by (4), we always have

$$
\left|f_{\beta}(\hat{I})\right| \preccurlyeq\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right| .
$$

Putting this into (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(I, L) \preccurlyeq \frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the first part of this proof we proved that $\overline{D_{\beta}}$ is contained in some compact set $E$ of the complex plane for every $\beta \in \Lambda$. From that it follows that $p$ and $q$ belong to a compact set of the complex plane, and hence the ratio $e^{\beta(p-q)}$ is bounded away from both zero and infinity. Therefore, from the formula $f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)=\alpha \beta(1-z)\left(c_{\beta}-z\right) e^{\beta z}$ we see that the size of the ratio $\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right| /\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|$ depends on how close the critical points are to $p$.

We claim that if neither critical point is close to $p$, the ratio $\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right| /\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|$ is bounded. To see this, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p-1| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 6 R \text { and }\left|p-c_{\beta}\right| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 6 R \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \in \hat{I}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 3 R \leq|p-x| \leq 3 \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 4 R \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and $|L| \leq|I|$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q-p| \leq|q-x|+|x-p| \leq|L|+|x-p| \preccurlyeq|I| . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (9) and (11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q-1| \leq|p-1|+|q-p| \preccurlyeq|p-1| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing 1 by $c_{\beta}$ in the relations above we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q-c_{\beta}\right| \leq\left|p-c_{\beta}\right|+|q-p| \preccurlyeq\left|p-c_{\beta}\right| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that if the quasi-conformal constants $K_{\beta}$ are unbounded, the constant $Q(\beta)$, and hence the ratio $\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right| / f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p) \mid$ can be made arbitrarily large by taking an appropriate $\beta \in \Lambda$. This, together with (12) and (13), implies that, for any choice of $R$, one of the inequalities in (9) does not hold for this $\beta \in \Lambda$. In other words, for any $R>0$, we can find $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that there is a critical point of $f_{\beta}$ within $\operatorname{Diam}(I) / 6 R$ of $p$. This critical point lies in the annulus

$$
B_{R}=\{z|\operatorname{Diam}(I) / 2 R<|z-x|<\operatorname{Diam}(I) / R\}
$$

Because $R$ was arbitrary in the above argument, we can take $\beta$ such that there are also critical points of $f_{\beta}$ in the annuli $B_{R / 2}$ and $B_{R / 4}$. These three annuli are disjoint however, so that $f_{\beta}$ must have at least three critical points. Since it only has two, we conclude that the $K_{\beta}$ are bounded.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 we turn our attention now to neighborhoods of the boundary points of $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$. It turns out to be more convenient to consider the family of functions $l_{\beta}(\xi)=\left(\xi / 2+\alpha \xi^{2} / \beta\right) e^{\xi}$ linearly conjugate to $f_{\beta}(z)$ by the map $\xi=\beta z$. Set $l_{\infty}(\xi)=\xi e^{\xi} / 2$; then $l_{\beta} \rightarrow l_{\infty}$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$.

Denote by $U_{\beta}$ and $U_{\infty}$ the maximal linearizable domains of $l_{\beta}(\xi)$ and $l_{\infty}(\xi)$ centered at the origin. Then we have

Lemma 2.5. For any $M>2$, consider the family

$$
\left\{l_{\beta}| | \beta \mid \geq M\right\} \cup\left\{l_{\infty}\right\}
$$

Then there is a constant $K>1$, depending only on $M$, such that for all functions in the family $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasi-circle.
Proof. Using the linear conjugation we see that $\partial D_{\beta}$ and $\partial U_{\beta}$ are quasi-circles with the same constant and both contain the same number of critical points. The argument of Lemma 2.2 applied to $l_{\infty}$ shows that $U_{\infty}$ is also a quasicircle. Since the family is compact, the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.4 can be applied to obtain the uniform constant of quasi-conformality.

As an immediate corollary we have
Corollary 2.1. There is a constant $K>1$ such that for all $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$ with $|\beta| \geq M, \partial D_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasi-circle containing at least one of the critical points. Moreover, for $|\beta|$ large, it contains only one, the critical point $c_{\beta}$.
Proof. The first statement follows directly from Lemma 2.5. For the second, by an argument similar to the first half of the proof of Lemma 2.4, it follows that for all $|\beta| \geq M, \overline{U_{\beta}}$ are contained in some compact set $E^{\prime}$. Suppose $|\beta|$ is so large that it does not belong to $E^{\prime}$. Then, since the critical points of $l_{\beta}$ are $\beta$ and $\beta c_{\beta}, \partial U_{\beta}$ can only contain the critical point $\beta c_{\beta}$.

Remark 2.2. The forward orbit of the critical point $\beta$ may, however, land inside $D_{\beta}$; for example if $\beta$ is large and negative.

Next, set $f_{0}(z)=z / 2-z^{2} / 4$ and note that $\alpha_{\beta} \rightarrow-1 / 4$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$; therefore, $f_{\beta} \rightarrow f_{0}$ uniformly on any compact set of the complex plane. It follows that for any $m<1$ the family

$$
\left\{f_{\beta} \mid \beta \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{z / 2-z^{2} / 4\right\}
$$

is a compact family. Moreover, the boundary of the maximal linearizable domain containing the origin of the function $z / 2-z^{2} / 4$ is a quasi-circle. We have

Corollary 2.2. There is a constant $K>1$ such that for all $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$ with $|\beta|<m, \partial D_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasi-circle containing at least one of the critical points. Moreover, for $|\beta|$ small, it contains only one, the critical point 1.

Proof. Applying the proof of Lemma 2.4 to this family we obtain uniformity of the quasi-conformal constant.

Let $D_{0}$ denote the maximal domain containing the origin on which $f_{0}$ is conjugate to a linear map; $\partial D_{0}$ must contain the unique critical point of $f_{0}$. Because $f_{\beta} \rightarrow f_{0}$ uniformly on compact sets, there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that, when $\beta$ is small enough, there are two open topological disks $0 \in U_{\beta} \subset V_{\beta} \subset E$ such that $f_{\beta}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow V_{\beta}$ is a polynomial-like map of degree 2 and therefore that $f_{\beta}$ is quasi-conformally conjugate to the quadratic polynomial $f_{0}$. For such $\beta$, there is only one critical point on $\partial D_{\beta}$ and this point lies inside $E$. When $|\beta|$ is small enough, $\left|c_{\beta}\right| \approx|2 / \beta|$ and is outside $E$. It follows that $\partial D_{\beta}$ contains only the critical point 1 of $f_{\beta}$.

Remark 2.3. Again, while the second critical point does not lie inside $D_{\beta}$, for some small values of $\beta$, its forward orbit may fall into $D_{\beta}$; for example if $\beta$ is small and real.

The corollaries give us uniformity of the quasi-conformal constant in neighborhoods of the boundary points 0 and $\infty$ of $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed by noting that the maps near $\infty$ and 0 are respectively conformally conjugate to the maps near -1 and -2 by the map $z \rightarrow z / c_{\beta}$. Therefore there is uniformity of the quasi-conformal constant and analogous behavior of the critical points on the boundary of $D_{\beta}$ in these neighborhoods as well.

## 3. The parameter space $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$

Let $\gamma \subset S^{2} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \infty\}$ be the set which consists of all the values $\beta$ for which $\partial D_{\beta}$ passes through both critical points of $f_{\beta}$.

Theorem 3.1. [Structure Theorem for $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$ ] The set $\gamma$ is a simple closed curve which separates $\{-2, \infty\}$ and $\{0,-1\}$, such that for every $\beta \in \Sigma_{1 / 2}$, if $\beta$ lies in the component of $S^{2} \backslash \gamma$ which contains $\{-2, \infty\}, \partial D_{\beta}$ passes
through the critical point $c_{\beta}$ but not the critical point 1 and if $\beta$ lies in the other component, $\partial D_{\beta}$ passes through the critical point 1 but not the critical point $c_{\beta}$. Moreover, $\gamma$ is invariant under the map $\beta \rightarrow-(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$.

A direct calculation shows
Lemma 3.1. $c_{\beta}=1$ if and only if $\beta=-1+i$ or $-1-i$.
To find points on the set $\gamma$, we consider any continuous curve $\eta:(0,1) \rightarrow$ $\Sigma_{1 / 2}-\{-1+i,-1-i$,$\} such that \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \eta(t)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \eta(t)=\infty$. Let

$$
t_{0}=\sup \left\{t \mid 0<t<1, \partial \Omega_{\eta(t)} \text { passes through } 1\right\}
$$

and set $\beta_{0}=\eta\left(t_{0}\right)$. By definition, $c_{\beta_{0}} \neq 1$.
Lemma 3.2. $\partial D_{\beta_{0}}$ passes through both $c_{\beta_{0}}$ and 1 .
Proof. By corollaries 2.1 and 2.2, there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the point $\beta_{0} \in E$ for any curve $\eta$. Therefore as $t \rightarrow t_{0}, \eta(t) \rightarrow \beta_{0}, f_{\eta(t)} \rightarrow f_{\beta_{0}}$ locally uniformly and $D_{\eta(t)} \rightarrow D_{\beta_{0}}$ in the sense of Carathéodory. Therefore if $d_{H}(A, B)$ denotes the Hausdorff distance between sets, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\partial D_{\eta(t)}$ and $\partial D_{\beta_{0}}$ are quasi-circles so that $d_{H}\left(\partial D_{\eta(t)}, \partial D_{\beta_{0}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0}$. Now by the definition of $t_{0}$, there is a sequence $t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0}^{-}$such that $\partial D_{\eta\left(t_{k}\right)}$ passes through 1 for every $k \geq 1$ and thus $1 \in \partial D_{\beta_{0}}$. Similarly, there is a sequence $t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0}^{+}$such that $\partial D_{\eta\left(t_{k}\right)}$ passes through $c_{\beta}$ for every $k \geq 1$ and thus $c_{\beta_{0}} \in \partial D_{\beta_{0}}$ also.

Lemma 3.3. For each $\beta \in \gamma$, there are exactly two components of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ each of which is attached to $\partial D_{\beta}$ at one of the two critical points $c_{\beta}$ and 1 . Moreover, one of them is bounded, and the other one is unbounded. In particular, both components are attached to 1 if $c_{\beta}=1$.

Proof. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{c}$ be the critical values $f(1)$ and $f\left(c_{\beta}\right)$ respectively. For $i=1, c$, draw paths $\sigma_{i}$ from $v_{i}$ to the origin. For each $i=1, c$, there two components of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ with endpoint at $i$. One connects $i$ to the origin and the other either connects it to the (unique) other pre-image of the origin or is an asymptotic path extending to infinity. In the first case, $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ is contained in the unique bounded component $U_{0}$ of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ and in the second, it is contained in an unbounded component $U_{\infty}$ of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ that, in turn, is contained in the asymptotic tract of the origin. Both these components lie outside $D_{\beta}$.

To see that the unbounded component $U_{\infty}$ is also unique, recall that there are only two asymptotic values, zero and infinity. Each has an asymptotic tract and these are separated by the two infinite rays $R_{\beta}^{ \pm}=\{z \mid \arg (\beta z)=$ $\pm \pi / 2\}$ whose arguments differ by $\pi$. These are therefore the only infinite rays $r(t)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} f_{\beta}(r(t)) \neq 0, \infty-$ that is, the Julia rays.

If there were an unbounded component $V_{\infty} \neq U_{\infty}$, then both $V_{\infty}$ and $U_{\infty}$ would lie in the asymptotic tract of zero. Since they are different components of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right), V_{\infty} \cap U_{\infty}=\emptyset$. The boundary of each would have to be asymptotic in one direction to some ray $r_{U}(t)$, respectively, $r_{V}(t)$, different from either of the rays $R_{\beta}^{ \pm}$. Since neither $r_{U}(t)$ nor $r_{V}(t)$ can belong to any component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ it must be one of $R_{\beta}^{ \pm}$, giving us a contradiction. Note that this argument also shows that the infinite ends of the boundary of $U_{\infty}$ are asymptotic respectively to the rays $R_{\beta}^{ \pm}$.

In the proof of Lemma 3.3, we saw that the boundary of the unbounded component $U$ is asymptotic to both of the rays $R_{\beta}^{ \pm}$. This implies that the Julia set of $f_{\beta}$ is thin at infinity. The forward orbits of both the critical points 1 and $c_{\beta}$ are attracted to the origin since they both lie on $\partial D_{\beta}$. Using a standard pull back argument (for instance, see the proof of Theorem 3.2.9 [13]), it is straight forward to prove
Lemma 3.4. For each $\beta \in \gamma$, the Julia set of $f_{\beta}$ has zero Lebesgue measure.
We now set up a parametrization of the set $\gamma$. Recall that for each $\beta \in \gamma$, $h_{\beta}: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$ is the univalent map such that $h_{\beta}(0)=0, h_{\beta}^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $h_{\beta}^{-1} \circ f_{\beta} \circ h_{\beta}(z)=z / 2$. Since $\partial D_{\beta}$ is a quasi-circle, it follows that $h_{\beta}$ can be homeomorphically extended to $\partial \Delta$.

Define the angle between $h_{\beta}^{-1}(1)$ and $h_{\beta}^{-1}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$ measured counter-clockwise by $A_{\beta}$. Then $0 \leq A_{\beta} \leq 2 \pi$. Define $\chi(\beta)=1$ if the bounded component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ is attached to 1 ; define $\chi(\beta)=-1$ otherwise. Identify the pair $(0,1)$ with the pair $(2 \pi,-1)$, and the pair $(0,-1)$ with the pair $(2 \pi, 1)$. Under this identification, to each $\beta \in \gamma$, we can assign a unique pair $\mathbf{I}_{\beta}=\left(A_{\beta}, \chi(\beta)\right)$. Since $c_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta$, we have

Proposition 3.1. The map $\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{\beta}$ is continuous on the set $\gamma$.
The next lemma says that the value $\beta \in \gamma$ is uniquely determined by the pair $\mathbf{I}_{\beta}=\left(A_{\beta}, \chi(\beta)\right)$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \gamma$. If $\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{1}}=\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{2}}$, then $f_{\beta_{1}}=f_{\beta_{2}}$ and therefore, $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$.

The idea of the proof is to show that if $\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{1}}=\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{2}}$ then $f_{\beta_{1}}$ is conformally conjugate to $f_{\beta_{2}}$. Note that since both critical points are attracted to the origin there is only one grand orbit of components of the Fatou set.
Proof. Let us give a description of the combinatorics of $f_{\beta_{1}}$; those for $f_{\beta_{2}}$ will be the same. For readability we omit the subscript. The description we give of the grand orbit of $D=D_{\beta}$ works for either $\beta=\beta_{1}$ or $\beta_{2}$. Let $U$ denote the unbounded component and $V$ the bounded component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}(D)\right)$ outside $D$. Assume that $U$ is attached to $c_{\beta}$ and $V$ is attached to 1 . The same argument can be applied in the other case.

Since the map $h_{\beta}$ can be continuously extended to a homeomorphism between $\bar{\Delta}$ and $\bar{D}$, we can define a continuous family of curves $\lambda_{r}, 0 \leq r \leq 1$, by

$$
\lambda_{r}(t)=h_{\beta}\left(r e^{i t}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Define $t_{0} \in[0,2 \pi)$ by $\lambda_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=c_{\beta}$.
Next we lift the curves $\lambda_{r}, 1 / 2<r \leq 1$, using a normalized inverse branch of $f_{\beta}$ taking $D$ to $U$, to get a continuous family of curves $\Lambda_{r}, 1 / 2<r \leq 1$,

$$
\Lambda_{r}(t)=f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{r}(t)\right), t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

From the continuity of $\Lambda_{r}(t)$ with respect to $r$, it follows that

$$
\Lambda_{1 / 2}=\left\{\Lambda_{1 / 2}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}=\partial D \cup \partial U \cup \partial V
$$

We normalize so that $\Lambda_{1 / 2}\left(f_{\beta}(1)\right)=1$; this determines the normalization for the curves when $r>1 / 2$.

The curves $\Lambda_{r}=\left\{\Lambda_{r}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ for $1 / 2<r<1$ lie outside $\overline{(D \cup U \cup V)}$ and are infinite curves asymptotic at one end to $R_{\beta}^{+}$and asymptotic at the other to $R_{\beta}^{-}$. The map $f_{\beta}$ from $\Lambda_{r}$ onto $\lambda_{r}$ is infinite to one.

It follows that $\Lambda_{1}=f_{\beta}^{-1}(\partial D)$ is a curve with the same asymptotic and covering properties. It thus separates $f_{\beta}^{-1}(D)$ from its complement. That is, both $f_{\beta}^{-1}(D)$ and its complement in $\mathbb{C}$ are simply connected. Note that $f_{\beta}^{-1}(D)$ contains $D \cup U \cup V$.

To keep track of the pre-images of $D, U$, and $V$ we need an addressing scheme similar to the one described for the model for quadratics in [14]. Here, the coverings are infinite to one. Let $y_{0}=\Lambda_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ where $t_{0}=\arg h_{\beta_{1}}^{-1}\left(c_{\beta_{1}}\right) \in$ $[0,2 \pi)$. The other pre-images are naturally labelled by $y_{n}=\Lambda\left(t_{0}+2 \pi n\right)$.

Denote the component of the complement of $f_{\beta}^{-1}(D)$ by $Y$. In $Y$, label by $U_{0}$ the component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}(U)$ attached to $\Lambda_{1}$ at $y_{0}$. Then label the components attached at $y_{n}$ by $U_{n}$.

There is a branch of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ between each pair $U_{i}$ and $U_{i+1}$; label it $\Lambda_{1, i}$; it extends to infinity in both directions and the map from $\Lambda_{1, i}$ to $\Lambda_{1}$ is one to one. It is the boundary of a simply connected component of the complement of $f_{\beta}^{-2}(D)$ that we label $Y_{i}$. Set $y_{i, 0}=f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$ and label the other pre-images accordingly.

In this way, increasing the number of subscripts at each stage, we label each of the components of $f_{\beta}^{-k}(D)$ and each of the components of its complement for all $k \geq 2$.

We now use subscripts and superscripts to differentiate between objects associated to $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$. For instance, $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are the maximal linearizable domains and $\Lambda_{r}^{1}$ and $\Lambda_{r}^{2}$ are used to denote the curve family $\Lambda_{r}$ for $f_{\beta_{1}}$ and $f_{\beta_{2}}$, respectively.

Let $H: D_{1} \rightarrow D_{2}$ be the univalent map defined by $f_{\beta_{1}} H=H f_{\beta_{2}}$ such that $H(1)=1$, and $H\left(c_{\beta_{1}}\right)=c_{\beta_{2}}$. Let $\phi_{0}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a quasi-conformal extension of $H$ such that $\phi_{0}(\infty)=\infty$. We will define a sequence of quasi-conformal maps $\phi_{n}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ inductively using the dynamics.

First let us define $\phi_{1}$ and show how the condition $\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{1}}=\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{2}}$ is used. Define $\phi_{1}=\phi_{0}=H$ on $D_{1}$. Using the addressing scheme to choose the appropriate inverse branch of $f_{\beta_{2}}$, we define $\phi_{1}: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}, V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ by $\phi_{1}=f_{\beta_{2}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{0} \circ f_{\beta_{1}}$. For a point in $f_{\beta_{1}}^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right) \backslash \overline{\left(D_{1} \cup U_{1} \cup V_{1}\right)}$ define

$$
\phi_{1}=f_{\beta_{2}}^{-1} \circ H \circ f_{\beta_{1}}
$$

where the inverse is chosen so that if $z=\Lambda_{r}^{1}(t)$ then $\phi_{1}(z)=\Lambda_{r}^{2}(t)$.
We now have a map $\phi_{1}: f_{\beta_{1}}^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow f_{\beta_{2}}^{-1}\left(D_{2}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{1}}=\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{2}}$, $\phi_{1}$ is continuous at both the critical points 1 and $c_{\beta_{1}}$ and hence holomorphic on $f_{\beta_{1}}^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$.

To extend $\phi_{1}$ to a quasi-conformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$, define $\phi_{1}$ on $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{1}}^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$ by $\phi_{1}=f_{\beta_{2}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{0} \circ f_{\beta_{1}}$. This is well defined because $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{1}}^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$ is simply connected, and there is no critical value of $f_{\beta_{2}}$ outside $D_{2}$.

Now let us assume that for every $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have a quasi-conformal homeomorphism $\phi_{k}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined so that $\phi_{k}: f_{\beta_{1}}^{-k}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow f_{\beta_{2}}^{-k}\left(D_{2}\right)$ is a holomorphic isomorphism, such that for all $z \in f_{\beta_{1}}^{-k}\left(D_{1}\right)$,

$$
f_{\beta_{1}}(z)=\phi_{k-1}^{-1} \circ f_{\beta_{2}} \circ \phi_{k}(z)
$$

Define $\phi_{n+1}$ as follows. Let $W$ be a component of $f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{1}\right)-f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n}\left(D_{1}\right)$, and let $\Lambda$ be a boundary component of $W$ which is also a boundary component of $f^{-n}\left(D_{1}\right)$. Define $\phi_{n+1}$ on $W$ by $\phi_{n+1}=f_{\beta_{2}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{n} \circ f_{\beta_{1}}$, where the inverse branch of $f_{\beta_{2}}$ is chosen respecting the addressing scheme so that on $\Lambda, \phi_{n+1}=$ $\phi_{n}$. Note that $\phi_{n+1}$ is well defined on $W$ because $W$ is simply connected and $\phi_{n}\left(f_{\beta_{1}}(W)\right)$ does not contain any critical values of $f_{\beta_{2}}$. Now we can define $\phi_{n+1}: f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow f_{\beta_{2}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{\beta_{2}}\right)$ to be a holomorphic isomorphism such that $\phi_{n+1}=\phi_{n}$ on $f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n}\left(D_{1}\right)$, and on $f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\beta_{1}}(z)=\phi_{n}^{-1} \circ f_{\beta_{2}} \circ \phi_{n+1}(z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows that the boundary of some component $Y$, of $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$, is mapped by $\phi_{n+1}$ to the boundary of some component $Y^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{2}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{\beta_{2}}\right)$ with the same address. Note that the component $Y$ is mapped by $f_{\beta_{1}}$ one to one and onto some component $Y_{i}$ of $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and similarly, the component $Y^{\prime}$ is mapped by $f_{\beta_{2}}$ one to one and onto some component $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{2}}^{-n}\left(D_{\beta_{2}}\right)$. By equation (14), it follows that $\phi_{n}\left(\partial Y_{i}\right)=\partial Y_{i}^{\prime}$ and therefore $\phi_{n+1}(\partial Y)=$ $\partial Y^{\prime}$. Now we define $\phi_{n+1}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ by setting $\phi_{n+1}=f_{\beta_{2}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{n} \circ f_{\beta_{1}}$. In this way we extend $\phi_{n+1}$ to all the components of $\mathbb{C}-f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and obtain a quasi-conformal homeomorphism $\phi_{n+1}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

By induction, we have a sequence of quasi-conformal homeomorphisms $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ of the complex plane such that each $\phi_{n}$ is conformal on $f_{\beta_{1}}^{-n}\left(D_{1}\right)$, and its Beltrami coefficient satisfies

$$
\left\|\mu_{\phi_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\mu_{\phi_{1}}\right\|_{\infty}<1
$$

Taking a convergent subsequence of $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$, we get a pair of limit quasi-conformal homeomorphisms of the sphere, $\phi$ and $\psi$, which fix 0,1 , and $\infty$ and satisfy the functional relation $f_{\beta_{1}}(z)=\phi^{-1} \circ f_{\beta_{2}} \circ \psi(z)$. It follows from the above construction that $\phi=\psi$ on the grand orbit of $D_{1}$. Since both critical points are attracted to the origin, by Remark 2.1, this grand orbit is the full Fatou set of $f_{\beta_{1}}$. Since the Fatou set of $f_{\beta_{1}}$ is dense on the complex plane, $\phi=\psi$ everywhere. Since $\phi$ is conformal on $\bigcup_{0 \leq k<\infty} f_{\beta_{1}}^{-k}\left(D_{1}\right)$, which, by Lemma 3.4, has full measure, it is conformal everywhere and must be the identity, completing the proof.

In the next lemma we show that $\mathbf{I}_{\beta}$ is surjective.
Lemma 3.6. For each pair $(\theta, \chi)$ where $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ and $\chi=1$ or -1 , there is a unique $\beta \in \gamma$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{\beta}=(\theta, \chi)$.
Proof. Recall that when $\beta=-1+i$ or $-1-i, c_{\beta}=1$. In both cases, the two components of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$, which are in the outside of $D_{\beta}$, are attached to $\partial D_{\beta}$ at 1: the configurations are complex conjugates of one another. These cases realize the combinatorial pairs $(0,+1)$, which is identified with $(2 \pi,-1)$, and $(0,-1)$, which is identified with $(2 \pi, 1)$.

Suppose now that $0<\theta<2 \pi$. Choose some curve $\eta$ as defined for Lemma 3.2 and let $\beta_{0}=\eta\left(t_{0}\right)$. Under conjugation by $z \mapsto z / c_{\beta}$, the sign of $\chi(\beta)$ will reverse, and $A(\beta)$ will become $2 \pi-A(\beta)$. We therefore restrict our consideration to the assumption that $\chi=\chi\left(\beta_{0}\right)$. We want to construct a function $f_{\beta}$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{\beta}=(\theta, \chi)$.

For $t>0$, set $\mathbb{D}_{t}=\{z| | z \mid<t\}$. Take $r$ small enough that $\mathbb{D}_{r}$ is contained in $f_{\beta_{0}}\left(D_{\beta_{0}}\right)$. Take any two points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \partial \mathbb{D}_{r}$ such that the counterclockwise angle from $x_{1}$ ro $x_{2}$ is equal to $\theta$. Define a quasi-conformal homeomorphism $g: D_{\beta_{0}}-\mathbb{D}_{r} \rightarrow f_{\beta_{0}}\left(D_{\beta_{0}}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{r / 2}$ such that

$$
g\left|\partial D_{\beta_{0}}=f_{\beta_{0}}\right| \partial D_{\beta_{0}}, \quad g \mid \partial \mathbb{D}_{r}(z)=z / 2
$$

and

$$
g^{2}(1)=x_{1}, \quad g^{2}\left(c_{\beta_{0}}\right)=x_{2}
$$

Such a $g$ obviously exists. Define

$$
F(z)= \begin{cases}f_{\beta_{0}}(z) & \text { for } z \notin D_{\beta_{0}}  \tag{15}\\ z / 2 & \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}_{r} \\ g(z) & \text { for } z \in D_{\beta_{0}} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{r}\end{cases}
$$



Figure 1. The curve $\gamma \subset \Sigma_{1 / 2}$
Now we can pull back the complex structure on $D_{\beta_{0}}$ and use the dynamics to obtain an $F$-invariant complex structure on the Riemann sphere. We identify the structure with the Beltrami differential $\mu=\partial \bar{F} / \partial F,\|\mu\|_{\infty}<1$. Let $\omega$ be the quasi-conformal map which solves the Beltrami equation with coefficient $\mu$ and which fixes 0,1 , and $\infty$. Then $G=\omega \circ F \circ \omega^{-1}$ is an entire function. Since $\omega$ and its inverse are Hölder continuous at infinity, it follows that $G$ is of finite order. Since $f_{\beta_{0}}$ has an asymptotic value, $G$ is transcendental. From the construction of $G$, it follows that $G$ has an asymptotic value at zero, has two zeros and two critical points, and has an attracting fixed point at the origin with multiplier $1 / 2 ; G$ therefore belongs to $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$. Moreover, both critical points lie in the boundary of the maximal linearizable domain of $G$ centered at the origin. It follows that there is a $\beta \in \gamma$ such that $G=f_{\beta}$. By construction, the map $f_{\beta}$ realizes the pair $(\theta, \chi)$ and by Lemma 3.5, $\beta$ is unique.

For each $0<\xi<2 \pi$, by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, there is a unique value, denoted by $\beta_{+}(\xi) \in \gamma$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{+}}=(\xi,+1)$, and a unique value, denoted by $\beta_{-}(\xi) \in \gamma$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{\beta_{-}}=(\xi,-1)$.

Lemma 3.7. The map $\beta_{+}, \beta_{-}:(0,2 \pi) \rightarrow S^{2} \backslash\{-1,-2,0, \infty\}$ are continuous.
Proof. We only prove the continuity of $\beta_{+}$. The same argument proves the continuity of $\beta_{-}$.

Assume $\beta_{+}$is not continuous at some $0<\xi<2 \pi$. Then there is a sequence $\xi_{n} \rightarrow \xi$ and some $\delta>0$ such that $\left|\beta_{+}\left(\xi_{n}\right)-\beta_{+}(\xi)\right|>\delta$. By Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 we see that, in a small neighborhood of each singularity of $\Sigma_{1 / 2}, \partial D_{\beta}$ contains exactly one critical point and therefore, that the sequence $\left\{\beta_{+}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right\}$ is contained in some compact set $K \subset S^{2} \backslash\{-1,-2,0, \infty\}$. Passing to a convergent subsequence, we may assume that $\beta_{+}\left(\xi_{n}\right) \rightarrow \beta$ for some $\beta$.

An argument similar to that of Lemma 3.2 proves, however, that $\partial D_{\beta}$ passes through both 1 and $c_{\beta}$ so that $\beta \in \gamma$. By Proposition 3.1, $\mathbf{I}_{\beta}=(\xi,+1)$ and by Lemma 3.5, $\beta_{+}(\xi)=\beta$. This contradiction completes the proof.

We now have all the ingredients to prove the Structure Theorem for $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. It is not difficult to see that $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0} \beta_{+}(\xi)=$ $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 2 \pi} \beta_{-}(\xi)=\beta_{1}, \lim _{\xi \rightarrow 2 \pi} \beta_{+}(\xi)=\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0} \beta_{-}(\xi)=\beta_{2}$ and $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}=$ $\{-1+i,-1-i\}$. In addition, by Lemma 3.5 , both $\beta_{+}$and $\beta_{-}$are injective. It follows that $\gamma=\beta_{+}([0,2 \pi]) \cup \beta_{-}([0,2 \pi])=\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{2}$ is a simple closed curve (see Figure 1). In fact, when $\beta$ varies along one of the curves of $\gamma_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}$, the component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ attached to 1 is bounded, and when $\beta$ varies along the other one, the component is unbounded.

Set $\sigma: \beta \rightarrow-(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$. The map $\xi=z / c_{\beta}$ conjugates $f_{\beta}$ to $f_{\sigma(\beta)}$ so that $\gamma$ is invariant under $\sigma$. In addition, any continuous curve in $\Sigma_{1 / 2}$ joining zero to infinity must intersect $\gamma$ by Lemma 3.2 so that $\gamma$ separates zero and infinity.

Let $\Omega_{\text {int }}, \Omega_{\text {out }}$ denote the bounded and unbounded components of $\Sigma_{1 / 2}-$ $\gamma$. It follows that zero is a puncture of $\Omega_{i n t}$ and infinity is a puncture of $\Omega_{\text {out }}$. Since $\sigma(0)=-2$, it follows that for $\beta$ in a small neighborhood of -2 , $\partial D_{\beta}$ passes through only $c_{\beta}$. The curve $\gamma$ thus must separate 0 and -2 and therefore -2 is a puncture of $\Omega_{\text {out }}$. Similarly, since $\sigma(-1)=\infty, \gamma$ separates -1 and infinity, and therefore, -1 is a puncture of $\Omega_{i n t}$. Since $\gamma$ is invariant under $\sigma, \sigma\left(\Omega_{\text {int }}\right)=\Omega_{\text {out }}$ and $\sigma\left(\Omega_{o u t}\right)=\Omega_{\text {int }}$.

## 4. The Surgery Map $\mathbf{S}$

In this section, we will define a surgery map $\mathbf{S}: \Omega_{i n t} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\lambda}$, which can then be continuously extended to $\overline{\Omega_{i n t}}$. The main idea is based on a construction from [21], which allows one to construct a Siegel disk from an attracting fixed point.

We begin by recalling some basic facts about real-analytic curves. A curve $\eta$ is called real-analytic, if for each $x \in \eta$, there is a domain $D$ with $x \in D$, and a univalent map $h$ defined on $D$ such that $h(D \cap \eta)$ is a segment of $\mathbb{R}$ (or equivalently a circle). We need the following generalized version of the Schwarz reflection principle, [1],
Lemma 4.1. Let $U$ be a domain such that $\eta \subset \partial U$ is an open and realanalytic curve segment. Suppose $f$ is a holomorphic function defined on $U$ such that $f$ can be continuously extended to $\eta$ and $f(\eta)$ is also a real-analytic curve segment. Then $f$ can be holomorphically continued to a larger domain which contains $\eta$ in its interior.

We now use $\beta \in \overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}$ to construct a real analytic circle homeomorphism. For $\beta \in \Omega_{\text {int }}$, let $U_{\beta}, V_{\beta}$ denote the unbounded components of $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}-\partial D_{\beta}$
and $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}-f_{\beta}\left(\partial D_{\beta}\right)$, respectively. Let $v_{\beta}=f_{\beta}(1) \in \partial V_{\beta}$. By the Riemann Mapping Theorem, for each $w \in \partial U_{\beta}$, there is a unique conformal isomorphism $\sigma_{\beta, w}: V_{\beta} \rightarrow U_{\beta}$ such that $\sigma_{\beta, w}\left(v_{\beta}\right)=w$ and $\sigma_{\beta, w}(\infty)=\infty$. Note that as $w$ varies on $\partial U_{\beta}$, the maps $\left\{\left(\sigma_{\beta, w} \circ f_{\beta}\right) \mid \partial U_{\beta}\right\}$ form a continuous and monotone family of topological circle homeomorphisms. By Proposition 11.1.9 of [11], it follows that there is a unique $w$, say $w_{\beta} \in \partial U_{\beta}$, such that the rotation number of ( $\sigma_{\beta, w_{\beta}} \circ f_{\beta} \mid \partial U_{\beta}$ ) is the $\theta$ we fixed in section 1 . To simplify the notation, we denote $\sigma_{\beta, w_{\beta}}$ by $\sigma_{\beta}$.

Let $\psi_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbf{C}}-\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow U_{\beta}$ be the Riemann map such that $\psi_{\beta}(\infty)=\infty$ and $\psi_{\beta}(1)=1$.

By Theorem 2.1, $\partial U_{\beta}=\partial D_{\beta}$ is a quasi-circle. The curve $\partial V_{\beta}=f_{\beta}\left(\partial D_{\beta}\right)$ is real-analytic since it is the $h_{\beta}$-image of the circle $\{z||z|=1 / 2\}$, where as usual, $h_{\beta}: \Delta \rightarrow \partial D_{\beta}$ is the univalent map that conjugates $f_{\beta}$ to the linear map $z \mapsto z / 2$.

Now define a real-analytic critical circle homeomorphism with rotation number $\theta$ by

$$
s_{\beta}=\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\beta}: \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta
$$

Lemma 4.2. The circle homeomorphism $s_{\beta}: \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta$ can be analytically extended to an open neighborhood of $\partial \Delta$. For $\beta \in \Omega_{\text {int }}, s_{\beta}$ has one double critical point at 1. For $\beta \in \gamma \subset \partial \Omega_{\text {int }}$, if $c_{\beta} \neq 1$, $s_{\beta}$ has two double critical points at 1 , and $\psi_{\beta}^{-1}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$; otherwise, $s_{\beta}$ has a critical point at 1 of local degree 5.

Proof. Assume first that $\beta \in \Omega_{\text {int }}$ so that $\partial D_{\beta}$ contains only the critical point 1 of $f_{\beta}$. Take $z \in \partial \Delta$. There are two cases.

In the first case, $z \neq 1$. Then $s_{\beta}$ is holomorphic in a half neighborhood $N^{\prime}$ of $z$ exterior to the unit circle. We can take $N^{\prime}$ small enough that $s_{\beta}$ maps $N^{\prime}$ homeomorphically to a half neighborhood $N_{2}^{\prime}$ of $s(z)$, also exterior to the unit circle. By the Schwarz reflection lemma, $s_{\beta}$ can be holomorphically extended to an open neighborhood $N$ of $z$ so that $s$ maps $N$ homeomorphically to some open neighborhood of $s(z)$. In the second case, $z=1$. Again take a small half neighborhood $N^{\prime}$ of 1 . Note that if $N^{\prime}$ is small enough, the boundary segment of $N^{\prime}$, which lies on the unit circle, is mapped by $f_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\beta}$ to a real-analytic curve segment on $\partial V_{\beta}$. Applying Lemma 4.1, $f_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\beta}$ can be holomorphically extended to an open neighborhood $N$ of 1 such that $f_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\beta}$ maps $N$ three to one to an open neighborhood $W$ of $v_{\beta}=\left(f_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\beta}\right)(1)$. We may take $N$ small enough so that the following holomorphic continuation is valid. Let $W^{\prime} \subset V_{\beta}$ be the half neighborhood of $W$. Note that the boundary segment of $W^{\prime}$ which lies on $\partial V_{\beta}$, is real-analytic and is mapped by $\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\beta}$ to a Euclidean arc segment. By Lemma 4.1 again, $\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\beta}$ can be holomorphically continued to $W$ and it maps $W$ homeomorphically onto some neighborhood of $s_{\beta}(1)$. It follows that $s_{\beta}$ is holomorphic at 1 and 1 is a double critical point of $s_{\beta}$.


Figure 2. The topological circle mapping $\sigma_{\beta, \omega} \circ f_{\beta}: \partial D_{\beta} \rightarrow \partial D_{\beta}$

Now assume $\beta \in \gamma \subset \partial \Omega_{\text {int }}$ so that by Theorem 3.1, $c_{\beta} \in \partial D_{\beta}$. If $c_{\beta} \neq 1$, then using the same argument for $\psi_{\beta}^{-1}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$ that we used above for $\psi_{\beta}^{-1}(1)$, we can deduce that $s_{\beta}$ has a double critical point at $\psi_{\beta}^{-1}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$ too. A similar argument also works in the case that $c_{\beta}=1$. We leave the details to the reader.

We now need the following theorem due to Herman and Swiatek ([H], $[\mathrm{Sw}]$ ),
Herman-Swiatek Theorem. Let $s: \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta$ be a real-analytic critical circle homeomorphism of rotation number $\theta$. Then s is quasi-symmetrically conjugate to the rigid rotation $R_{\theta}$ if and only if $\theta$ is of bounded type. Moreover, if $s$ belongs to some compact family $\mathcal{F}$ of real-analytic critical circle homeomorphisms of rotation number $\theta$, then the quasi-symmetric constant can be taken to depend only on $\mathcal{F}$.

From the Herman-Swiatek theorem, for each $\beta \in \Omega_{i n t}$, the circle homeomorphism $s_{\beta}$ defined in Lemma 4.2 is quasi-symmetrically conjugate to the rigid rotation $R_{\theta}$. Let us set $f_{0}(z)=z / 2-z^{2} / 4$ and $f_{-1}(z)=z e^{-z} / 2$. Then $\overline{\Omega_{i n t}}=\left\{f_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Omega_{i n t} \cup \gamma\right\} \cup\left\{f_{0}, f_{-1}\right\}$ is a compact family. From this and the proof of Lemma 4.2, it follows that the family $\left\{s_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \overline{\Omega_{i n t}}\right\}$ is a compact family of critical circle homeomorphisms. Applying the Herman-Swiatek theorem to this compact family, we have
Lemma 4.3. There exists a constant $K, 1<K<\infty$, such that for any $\beta \in \overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}$, there is a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism $p_{\beta}$ satisfying

1. $p_{\beta}(1)=1$,
2. $s_{\beta}=p_{\beta} \circ R_{\theta} \circ p_{\beta}^{-1}$,
3. the quasi-symmetric constant of $p_{\beta}$ is bounded by $K$.

In order to construct the surgery map $\mathbf{S}$, we will need to consider quasiconformal extensions of quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms of the circles $\partial \Delta$ and $\partial \Delta_{1 / 2}$. Such extensions can be defined using either the Beurling-Ahlfors or Douady-Earle extensions. For our purposes, however, it will be necessary to normalize the extensions so that they fix the origin.

To that end, we introduce a quasi-conformal map of the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ that is the identity on the real axis and sends the point $w=u+i v \in \mathbb{H}$ to $i$. One such map is

$$
T_{w}(x+i y)=(x-y u / v)+(y / v) i
$$

For $r=1 / 2$ or 1 , let $\tau_{r}: \Delta_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the conformal isomorphism such that $\tau_{r}(r)=0$ and $\tau_{r}(0)=i$. Then $\widetilde{T}_{\omega}=\tau_{r}^{-1} \circ T_{w} \circ \tau_{r}$ is a quasi-conformal map of $\bar{\Delta}_{r}$ that sends the point $\tau_{r}^{-1}(w)$ to the origin.

Thus given any quasi-conformal map $g_{r}$ of $\bar{\Delta}_{r}$ with $\omega=\tau_{r}\left(g_{r}(0)\right)$, the map

$$
\widetilde{g}_{r}=\widetilde{T}_{\omega} \circ g_{r}
$$

is a quasi-conformal map of $\bar{\Delta}_{r}$ that fixes the origin. If $g_{r}$ is the Douady-Earle extension of a quasi-symmetric map then $\widetilde{g}$ is the called normalized extension.

As before we let $L_{1 / 2}$ denote the linear map $z \rightarrow z / 2$; we denote by $h_{\beta}$ the univalent map with $h_{\beta}(0)=0$ and $h_{\beta}^{\prime}(0)>0$ conjugating the action of $L_{1 / 2}$ on $\Delta$ to the action of $f_{\beta}$ on $\bar{D}_{\beta}$; and we use $\psi_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}}-\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow U_{\beta}$ to denote the Riemann map such that $\psi_{\beta}(1)=1$ and $\psi_{\beta}(\infty)=\infty$.

Now, let $\phi_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbf{C}}-\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow V_{\beta}$ be the Riemann map such that $\phi_{\beta}(\infty)=\infty$ and $\phi_{\beta}^{\prime}(\infty)>0$.

By Theorem 2.1 and the fact that the family $\overline{\Omega_{i n t}}=\left\{f_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Omega_{i n t} \cup \gamma\right\} \cup$ $\left\{f_{0}, f_{-1}\right\}$ is a compact family, we have
Lemma 4.4. There is a positive constant $M$ such that for every $\beta \in \overline{\Omega_{i n t}}$, the maps

1. $\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ h_{\beta}: \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta$, and
2. $L_{1 / 2} \circ \phi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ h_{\beta}: \partial \Delta_{1 / 2} \rightarrow \partial \Delta_{1 / 2}$, and
3. $\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}: \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta$
are all $M$-quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms.
Each of these quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms has a normalized quasiconformal extension as does the map $p_{\beta}$. We denote them as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\beta}=\widetilde{\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ h_{\beta}}: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta} \\
\Phi_{\beta}=L_{1 / 2} \circ \phi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ h_{\beta}: \bar{\Delta}_{1 / 2} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}_{1 / 2}, \\
\Lambda_{\beta}=\psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
P_{\beta}=\widetilde{p_{\beta}}: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta} .
$$

From Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 it follows that the complex dilation of these maps is uniformly bounded. That is,

Lemma 4.5. There is a constant $0<k<1$ such that for every $\beta \in \overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}$,

$$
\left|\mu_{\Psi_{\beta}}(z)\right|<k,\left|\mu_{\Phi_{\beta}}(z)\right|<k,\left|\mu_{\Lambda_{\beta}}(z)\right|<k, \quad \text { and }\left|\mu_{P_{\beta}}(z)\right|<k
$$

hold for almost every point $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Now define $\widehat{\sigma}_{\beta}(z): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be the normalized quasi-conformal extension of $\sigma_{\beta}$ by setting

$$
\widehat{\sigma}_{\beta}(z)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{\beta}(z) & \text { for } z \in V_{\beta}  \tag{16}\\ h_{\beta} \circ \Psi_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \Lambda_{\beta} \circ L_{1 / 2}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{\beta} \circ h_{\beta}^{-1}(z) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Set $R_{\beta}=P_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\beta} \circ h_{\beta}^{-1}$. Define the model map $F_{\beta}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows,

$$
F_{\beta}(z)= \begin{cases}\widehat{\sigma}_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta}(z) & \text { for } z \in U_{\beta}  \tag{17}\\ R_{\beta}^{-1} \circ R_{\theta} \circ R_{\beta}(z) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 4.5 and the construction of $F_{\beta}$ we have,
Lemma 4.6. There is a constant $0<k<1$ such that for every $\beta \in \Omega_{\text {int }} \cup \gamma$,

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{C}}\left|\mu_{F_{\beta}}(z)\right| \leq k
$$

The support of $\mu_{F_{\beta}}$ is contained in $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta}^{-k}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$.
To obtain the surgery map we want to construct a map in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ from the model $F_{\beta}$. To do this we define a complex structure that we identify with the Beltrami differential $\mu_{\beta}$ on the Riemann sphere that is compatible with the dynamics as follows: For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $m \geq 0$ be the least integer such that $F_{\beta}^{m}(z) \in D_{\beta}$. If $m$ is finite define $\mu_{\beta}(z)$ to be the pull back of $\mu_{R_{\beta}}\left(F_{\beta}^{m}(z)\right)$ by $F_{\beta}^{m}$. Otherwise, set $\mu_{\beta}(z)=0$. In this way we get a $F_{\beta}$-invariant complex structure $\mu_{\beta}$ on the whole Riemann sphere satisfying $\left\|\mu_{\beta}\right\|_{\infty} \leq k<1$. Let $\omega_{\beta}$ be the quasi-conformal homeomorphism of the Riemann sphere solving the Beltrami equation with coefficient $\mu_{\beta}$ fixing 0,1 and $\infty$. Then $T_{\beta}(z)=$ $\omega_{\beta} \circ F_{\beta} \circ \omega_{\beta}^{-1}(z)$ is an entire function which has a Siegel disk of rotation number $\theta$. By the construction, the boundary of the Siegel disk is a quasi-circle passing through critical point 1.

Lemma 4.7. $T_{\beta} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$.

Proof. We first claim that $F_{\beta}$ has exactly two zeros which in turn implies that $T_{\beta}$ has exactly two zeros. From the construction, the origin is fixed and is the only zero in the complement of $U_{\beta}, \bar{D}_{\beta}$. In $U_{\beta}, f_{\beta}$ has exactly one zero and since $\widehat{\sigma}_{\beta}(0)=0$, this is a zero of $F_{\beta}$. Since $\widehat{\sigma}_{\beta}$ is a homeomorphism, this proves the claim.

The homeomorphism $\omega_{\beta}$ preserves the critical structure of $F_{\beta}$ so that $T_{\beta}$ has exactly two critical points, $\omega_{\beta}(1)$ and $\omega_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$, whose orders correspond to those of 1 and $c_{\beta}$ and these points coincide precisely when $c_{\beta}=1$. Because $\omega_{\beta}$ fixes 1 , it is a critical point of $T_{\beta}$.

We claim that the origin is an asymptotic value for $T_{\beta}$. Let $\eta(t)$ be an asymptotic path for $f_{\beta}$ so that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \eta(t)=\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} f_{\beta}(\eta(t))=0$. We may assume without loss of generality that $f_{\beta}(\eta(t))$ is not in $V_{\beta}$ so that $\widehat{\sigma}_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta}(\eta(t))$ is not in $U_{\beta}$. It follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} F_{\beta}(\eta(t))=0$ and that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} T_{\beta}(\eta(t))=0$ proving the claim.

Since $\omega_{\beta}$ is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism of the Riemann sphere, both it and its inverse are Hölder continuous at infinity. Therefore, because $f_{\beta}$ is an entire function of finite order, so is $T_{\beta}$.

By construction $T_{\beta}$ has a Siegel disk of rotation number $\theta$ centered at the origin, and $T_{\beta}^{\prime}(1)=0$. It must therefore be that $T_{\beta} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$.

Recall that we denote the map in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ corresponding to $\beta$ by $g_{\beta}$. We have therefore shown that $T_{\beta}=g_{\beta^{\prime}}$ for some $\beta^{\prime} \in \Sigma$. We thus define the surgery map

$$
\mathbf{S}: \overline{\Omega_{i n t}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\lambda}
$$

as follows:
To each $\beta \in \Omega_{\text {int }} \cup \gamma$, set

$$
\mathbf{S}(\beta)=T_{\beta}=g_{\beta^{\prime}},
$$

and for the two punctures $\{0,-1\}$ of $\Omega_{\text {int }}$, set

$$
\mathbf{S}(0)=0 \text { and } \mathbf{S}(-1)=-1
$$

In the next section, we will prove that $\mathbf{S}$ is continuous on $\overline{\Omega_{i n t}}$.

## 5. The Continuity of the Surgery Map S

The proof of the continuity of the surgery map is based on a similar proof in $\S 12$ of [20].

First though, we need a lemma about quasi-conformal conjugacy classes in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$. The proof holds just as well for $\Sigma_{t}$ for any $|t|<1$.

Lemma 5.1. The quasi-conformal conjugacy class $Q$ of $g_{\beta}$ in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ is an open set or a point. In particular, for $\beta \in \gamma$, the quasi-conformal conjugacy class of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ is a point.

Proof. Assume first that the critical points of $g_{\beta}$ are distinct and that $g_{\beta^{\prime}} \neq g_{\beta}$ belongs to $Q$. Then there is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism of the complex plane $\phi$ satisfying $\phi^{-1} \circ g_{\beta} \circ \phi=g_{\beta^{\prime}}$. Let $\mu_{\phi}$ be the Beltrami differential of $\phi$ corresponding to the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}$ invariant with respect to $g_{\beta}$. Then, using the "Bers $\mu$-trick" (see for example [6]), the structures corresponding to $t \mu$ for $t \in \Delta$ are all invariant with respect to $g_{\beta}$. If we denote the solutions to the Beltrami equations for $t \mu$ by $\phi_{t}$, then the maps $g_{t}=\phi_{t}^{-1} \circ g_{\beta} \circ \phi_{t}$ are all holomorphic. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 we deduce they are of the form $g_{\beta(t)}$ and define an open set in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$.

By the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem [3], the maps $\phi_{t}, g_{\beta(t)}, \beta(t)$ and $c_{\beta(t)}$ all depend holomorphically on $t$.

If $\beta_{0} \in \gamma$, the boundary of the Siegel disk of $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{0}\right)}$ contains two critical points but in any neighborhood of $\beta_{0}$ there are points $\beta$ for which the boundary of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ contains only one critical point so that $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{0}\right)}$ and $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ are not even topologically conjugate. The quasi-conformal class of $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{0}\right)}$ is therefore a single point.

Remark 5.1. The conjugacy classes depend on the orbit structure of the critical point which does not lie on the boundary of the Siegel disk.
Theorem 5.1. The surgery map $\mathbf{S}: \overline{\Omega_{i n t}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\lambda}$ defined in the last section is continuous.
Proof. We show first that if $\beta \in \overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}-\{0,-1\}$, $\mathbf{S}$ is continuous at $\beta$. It suffices to show that $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(\beta)$ if $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta$.

For each $n$ set $F_{n}=F_{\beta_{n}}$. By construction, it follows that $F_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta$ and therefore that $F_{\beta_{n}} \rightarrow F_{\beta}$ uniformly on compact subsets of the complex plane. Simplifying the notation of the previous section in the obvious way we have, $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\omega_{n} \circ F_{n} \circ \omega_{n}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{S}(\beta)=\omega_{\beta} \circ F_{\beta} \circ \omega_{\beta}^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.6, for all $n,\left\|\mu_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq k<1$ so that, passing to a convergent subsequence, we can find a quasi-conformal map $\omega$ such that $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega$ and

$$
\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow G=\omega \circ F_{\beta} \circ \omega^{-1}
$$

As before, $G \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ and by definition, $G$ is quasi-conformally conjugate to $\mathbf{S}(\beta)$. If $\mathbf{S}(\beta)=G$ there is nothing to prove so assume they are not equal.

Let $N$ be an neighborhood of $G$ in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ containing $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ for large $n$. By Lemma 5.1 it follows that $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ is quasi-conformally conjugate to $G$ and hence to $\mathbf{S}(\beta)$. It also follows that $F_{\beta_{n}}$ and $F_{\beta}$ are conjugate.

The theorem will follow if we can prove that $\omega=\omega_{\beta}$ so that $\mathbf{S}(\beta)=G$. This will follow by standard quasi-conformal theory (see for example [12]) if we can show that $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu_{\beta}$ in the $L^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ norm.

We use the notation $\operatorname{area}(E)$ to denote the Lebesgue area in the spherical metric of a measurable set $E$ in the sphere. From our discussion of the combinatorics of the sets $f_{\beta}^{-k}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ ) and the area distortion theorem of quasiconformal mappings (for example, Theorem 5.2, [12]), we see that for $N$ large
enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{area}\left(\bigcup_{k>N} F_{\beta}^{-k}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right) \leq \delta \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $F_{\beta_{n}}$ and $F_{\beta}$ are quasi-conformally conjugate by maps with uniformly bounded dilatation we also have, for large enough $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{area}\left(\bigcup_{k>N} F_{\beta_{n}}^{-k}\left(\Omega_{\beta_{n}}\right)\right) \leq \delta \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $B$ be an open topological disk such that $\bar{B} \subset D_{\beta_{n}} \cap D_{\beta}$ for all $n$ large enough, and such that for $N$ as above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{area}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta}^{-k}\left(D_{\beta}\right)-\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta}^{-k}(D)\right) \leq \delta \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{area}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{n}}^{-k}\left(\Omega_{\beta_{n}}\right)-\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta}^{-k}(D)\right) \leq \delta \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $\epsilon>0$, let

$$
Q_{n}^{\epsilon}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | \mu_{\beta_{n}}(z)-\mu_{\beta}(z) \mid>\epsilon\right\} .
$$

First we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\epsilon} \subset \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta_{n}}^{-k}\left(\Omega_{\beta_{n}}\right) \cup \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta}^{-k}\left(D_{\beta}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $z \notin \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta}^{-k}\left(\Omega_{\beta_{n}}\right) \cup \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta}^{-k}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$, then $\mu_{\beta_{n}}(z)=\mu_{\beta}(z)=0$, and hence $z \notin Q_{n}^{\epsilon}$.

Since $\bar{B} \subset D_{\beta_{n}} \cap D_{\beta}$ for all large $n$, it follows that on $\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta}^{-k}(B), \mu_{n}$ is defined by pulling back the complex structure of $R_{\beta_{n}}$ on $B$ by $F_{n}$, and $\mu_{\beta}$ is defined by pulling back the complex structure of $R_{\beta}$ on $B$ by $F_{\beta}$. Because, except for a set of measure zero, $F_{\beta}, \mu_{R_{\beta}}$ and $\mu_{F_{\beta}}$ depend continuously on $\beta$, it follows that for all large enough $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\epsilon} \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta}^{-k}(D)=\emptyset \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations(18) - (23), we derive that for all large enough $n$

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(Q_{n}^{\epsilon}\right) \leq 4 \delta
$$

This implies that $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu_{\beta}$ with respect to spherical measure. By Lemma 4.3 there is a uniform bound $k$ on all the $\left\|\mu_{\beta_{n}}\right\|_{\infty}$. Passing to a convergent subsequence, we conclude $\omega_{\beta_{n}} \rightarrow \omega_{\beta}$ uniformly on compact sets in the plane which is what we needed to prove.

Now let us show that $\mathbf{S}$ is continuous at the punctures 0 and -1 . We need only to show that $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{S}(\beta)=0$ and $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow-1} \mathbf{S}(\beta)=-1$.


Figure 3. The map $\mathbf{S}: \gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(\gamma)$

First let us prove that $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{S}(\beta)=0$. Let $z_{\beta}$ be the non-zero solution of $f_{\beta}\left(z_{\beta}\right)=0$; it is therefore also a solution of $F_{\beta}\left(z_{\beta}\right)=0$. As $\beta \rightarrow 0, z_{\beta} \rightarrow 2$. By Lemma 4.6, $\omega_{\beta}\left(z_{\beta}\right)$ stays bounded away from zero and infinity. As $\beta \rightarrow 0$, $c_{\beta} \rightarrow \infty$. Again by Lemma 4.6, $\omega_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, the zero of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ distinct from the origin, stays bounded away from the origin and infinity, and the critical point of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$, distinct from 1, approaches infinity. From the formula for $c_{\beta}$, it follows that $\mathbf{S}(\beta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$.

A similar argument proves that $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow-1} \mathbf{S}(\beta)=-1$. In fact, as $\beta \rightarrow-1$, $z_{\beta} \rightarrow \infty$, and $c_{\beta} \rightarrow \infty$ or, in other words, as $\beta \rightarrow-1$, the zero of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ distinct from the origin, and the critical point of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ distinct from 1, both approach infinity. From the formula for $z_{\beta}$, it follows that $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow-1} \mathbf{S}(\beta)=-1$.

## 6. The Proof of the Main Theorem

Recall that $\gamma$ is the union of two Jordan arcs, $\gamma_{+}$and $\gamma_{-}$, which connect $\beta_{1}=-1+i$ and $\beta_{2}=-1-i$, such that when $\beta$ varies along one of them, the component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(f_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$, which is attached to $\partial D_{\beta}$ at 1 is bounded, and when $\beta$ varies along the other one, the component is unbounded.

For $\beta \in \gamma$, denote the the Siegel disk of $\mathbf{S}(\beta)$ by $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$; it is a quasi-circle passing through both of the critical points 1 and $c_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$. Let $h_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ be the holomorphic conjugation map such that $h_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}(1)=1$. Define the angle from 1 to $c_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ to be the angle from $h_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}^{-1}(1)$ to $h_{\mathbf{s}(\beta)}^{-1}\left(c_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$ measured counterclockwise; denote it by $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$. By the construction of the surgery map $\mathbf{S}$ and Lemma 3.3, it follows that there is exactly one component of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}^{-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$ attached to $\partial \Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ at each of the critical points, 1 and $c_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$. Denote the component which is attached at 1 by $U_{\beta}$. Since $\mathbf{S}$ is continuous, it follows that $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ depends continuously on $\beta$. Therefore, as $\beta$ varies along
one of the curves of $\gamma_{ \pm}, A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ varies continuously from 0 to $2 \pi$ and $U_{\beta}$ is bounded, and as $\beta$ varies along the other one, $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ varies continuously from 0 to $2 \pi$ and $U_{\beta}$ is unbounded. As a direct consequence, we have

Corollary 6.1. $\mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \cap \mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\left\{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right\}$.
Lemma 6.1. For $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \gamma_{ \pm}$, if $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=A_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$, then $\mathbf{S}(\beta)=\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Since $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ belong to the same $\operatorname{arc} \gamma_{ \pm}$, both $U_{\beta}$ and $U_{\beta^{\prime}}$ are bounded or both are unbounded. This together with the condition $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=A_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$ imply that $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ and $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$ have the same combinatorial information.

Since $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=A_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$, there is a univalent map $\left.h: \Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)} \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ such that $h(1)=1, h\left(c_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)=h\left(c_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}\right)$, and $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=h^{-1} \circ g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} \circ h$.

Take $\phi_{0}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to be a quasi-conformal homeomorphism such that $\phi_{1} \mid \Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=h$, and $\phi_{0}(\infty)=\infty$. Since $\partial \Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ is a quasi-circle, this is always possible. Now let us define a sequence of quasi-conformal homeomorphisms of the sphere, $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$, by induction. We need a scheme to assign addresses to the components of $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}^{-k}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$ for each positive integer $k$. This may be done in essentially the same manner indicated in the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Given this symbolic description of the components we assume that now $\phi_{n}$ is defined and define $\phi_{n+1}$. the details here). First define $\phi_{n+1}=\phi_{n}$ on $g_{\mathbf{s}(\beta)}^{-n}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$. For each component $W$ of $g_{\mathbf{s}(\beta)}^{-n-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$, which is not a component of $g_{\mathbf{s}(\beta)}^{-n}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$ find the corresponding component $W^{\prime}$, of $g_{\mathbf{s}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}^{-n-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ that has the same address as $U$. Define $\phi_{n+1}: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ by $\phi_{n+1}(z)=$ $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \circ \phi_{n} \circ g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}(z)$. Now let $Y$ be a component of $\mathbb{C}-g_{\mathbf{s}(\beta)}^{-n-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$. It is not difficult to see that $W$ is simply connected and unbounded. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be the corresponding component of $\mathbb{C}-g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}^{-n-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ and define $\phi_{n+1}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ by $\phi_{n+1}(z)=g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \circ \phi_{n} \circ g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}(z)$.

This inductive process defines a sequence of quasi-conformal homeomorphisms, $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$, of the sphere. From the construction, it follows that for each $n \geq 1$, we have
(1) $\phi_{n}$ is holomorphic on $g_{\mathbf{s}(\beta)}^{-n}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$,
(2) $\phi_{n+1}=\phi_{n}$ on $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}^{-n}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}\right)$,
(3) $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=\phi_{n}^{-1} \circ g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} \circ \phi_{n+1}$,
(4) $\left\|\mu_{\phi_{n+1}}\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|\mu_{\phi_{n}}\right\|_{\infty}$,
(5) $\phi_{n}$ fixes 0,1 , and $\infty$.

From property (4), it follows that there is a constant $k<1$ such that $\left\|\mu_{\phi_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq k$ for all $n$. Passing to convergent subsequences, we get two quasiconformal homeomorphisms of the sphere, $\phi$ and $\psi$, fixing 0,1 , and $\infty$, such that the supports of $\mu_{\phi}$ and $\mu_{\psi}$ are contained in the grand orbit of the Siegel disk $\Delta_{g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}}$. Moreover, $\phi=\psi$ on this grand orbit. Since both $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ lie on $\gamma_{ \pm}$, both critical points are attracted to the origin. By Remark 2.1, the
complement of this grand orbit does not contain any other Fatou components and so is the Julia set. Thus $\phi=\psi$ on a dense set of the complex plane and therefore everywhere. It follows that $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}$ and $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$ are quasi-conformally conjugate to each other. By the second assertion of Lemma 5.1, we get $g_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=$ $g_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$.
Lemma 6.2. The sets $\mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{+}\right) \subset \Sigma_{\lambda}$ and $\mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{-}\right) \subset \Sigma_{\lambda}$ are simple Jordan arcs.
Proof. We show $\mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{+}\right)$is a simple Jordan arc. The same argument applies to $\mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{-}\right)$. By Lemma 6.1, we have a map $\chi:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{+}\right)$defined by assigning to each $\alpha \in[0,2 \pi]$, that $\beta \in \gamma_{+}$such that $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=\alpha$. Obviously the map $\chi$ is injective and surjective. Now let us show that it is continuous. Let $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$ be a sequence such that $\chi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$, and $\chi(\alpha)=$ $\mathbf{S}(\beta)$. Now $A_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=\alpha$ and $A_{\mathbf{S}(\beta)}=\alpha$. Lemma 6.1 implies $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{S}(\beta)$ so that $\chi$ is continuous at $\alpha$. This means that $\chi:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}\left(\gamma_{+}\right)$is a homeomorphism and the curves are simple as claimed.
Lemma 6.3. $\mathbf{S}(\gamma)$ is a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$, consisting of all maps $f$ in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$, such that the boundary of the Siegel disk of $f$ is a quasi-circle passing through both critical points. Moreover, the topological degree of the map $\mathbf{S}$ : $\gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(\gamma)$ is 1.
Proof. It follows from Corollary 6.1 and 6.2 that $\mathbf{S}(\gamma)$ is a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{\lambda}$.

Now suppose $\beta \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ is such that $\partial \Delta_{g_{\beta}}$ is a quasi-circle passing through both 1 and $c_{\beta}$. Then there is some $\beta^{\prime} \in \gamma$ such that the angle between the critical points of $g_{\beta}$ is the same as the angle between the critical points of $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ and such that the components $U_{\beta}$ and $U_{\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$ are either both bounded or are both unbounded. Then, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6.2 we deduce that $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $g_{\beta}$ are quasi-conformally conjugate to each other, and by the second assertion of Lemma 5.1, we get $\mathbf{S}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=g_{\beta}$. This implies that $\beta \in \mathbf{S}(\gamma)$.

To see the topological degree is one, note that by Lemma 6.2 each $\gamma_{ \pm}$is simple and on the endpoints

$$
\mathbf{S}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}\right\} \text { and } \mathbf{S}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right)=\left\{\beta_{2}\right\}
$$

Let $\Gamma=\mathbf{S}(\gamma)$. Recall that the linear conjugation $z \mapsto z / c_{\beta}$ induces a map $\sigma: \Sigma_{\lambda} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\lambda}: \beta \rightarrow-(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$.
Lemma 6.4. $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ is symmetric about $\Gamma$ under the map $\sigma$.
Proof. Since the map $\sigma: \beta \rightarrow-(\beta+1) /(\beta+1)$ is induced by the linear conjugation $z \mapsto z / c_{\beta}$, it follows that $\Gamma$ is invariant under the map $\sigma$, and moreover, $\sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a homeomorphism.

By Lemma 6.4, it follows that $\mathbf{S}\left(\overline{\Omega_{i n t}}\right)$ is a topological disk with boundary $\Gamma$. This implies that $\mathbf{S}\left(\overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}\right)$ is one of the components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}-\Gamma$. Let us denote the bounded component of $\Sigma_{\lambda}-\Gamma$ by $\Theta_{\text {int }}$ and the unbounded one by $\Theta_{\text {out }}$. Since $\mathbf{S}(0)=0, \mathbf{S}(-1)=-1$, and $\{-2, \infty\} \cap \mathbf{S}\left(\overline{\Omega_{\text {int }}}\right)=\emptyset$, it follows that $\Theta_{i n t}=\mathbf{S}\left(\Omega_{i n t}\right)$.

Because $\sigma$ maps the set $\{0,-1)$ to the set $\{-2, \infty\}$, we see that $\sigma\left(\Theta_{\text {int }}\right)=$ $\Theta_{\text {out }}$ and $\sigma\left(\Theta_{\text {out }}\right)=\Theta_{\text {int }}$.

We now have all the ingredients to prove the main theorem. We recall the statement.

Main Theorem. Let $\theta$ be a bounded type irrational number. Then for any $\beta \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \infty\}$, the boundary of the invariant Siegel disk of the entire map

$$
f_{\beta}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta}\left(z-\frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} z^{2}\right) e^{\beta z}
$$

is a quasi-circle passing through one or both the critical points of $f_{\beta}(z)$.
Proof. For $\beta \in \Theta_{i n t}$, the theorem is implied by the surjectivity of the surgery $\operatorname{map} \mathbf{S}: \Omega_{\text {int }} \rightarrow \Theta_{\text {int }}$. For $\beta \in \Theta_{\text {out }}$, by Lemma 6.4 , there is a $\beta^{\prime} \in \Theta_{\text {int }}$ such that $g_{\beta}$ and $g_{\beta^{\prime}}$ are linearly conjugate to each other.

The following theorem summarizes our results and is the structure theorem for $\Sigma_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 6.1. [Structure Theorem of $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ ] There is a simple closed curve $\Gamma \subset \Sigma_{\lambda}$ dividing it into two twice punctured disks such that for $\beta \in \Gamma$, the boundary of the Siegel disk passes through both critical points, for $\beta$ in the bounded component of $\Sigma_{\lambda}-\Gamma$, punctured at the points $\{0,-1\}$, the boundary of the Siegel disk contains the critical point 1 but not the critical point $c_{\beta}$ and for $\beta$ in the unbounded component of $\Sigma_{\lambda}-\Gamma$, punctured at the points $\{-2, \infty\}$, the boundary of the Siegel disk contains the critical point $c_{\beta}$ but not the critical point 1. Moreover, $\Gamma$ is invariant under the map $\beta \rightarrow-(\beta+2) /(\beta+1)$.
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