

Bounded VC-Dimension Implies a Fractional Helly Theorem

Jiří Matoušek*

Department of Applied Mathematics and
Institute of Theoretical Computer Science (ITI), Charles University,
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Praha 1, Czech Republic
matousek@kam.mff.cuni.cz

Abstract. We prove that every set system of bounded VC-dimension has a fractional Helly property. More precisely, if the dual shatter function of a set system \mathcal{F} is bounded by $o(m^k)$, then \mathcal{F} has fractional Helly number k . This means that for every $\alpha > 0$ there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that if $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ are sets with $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset$ for at least $\alpha \binom{n}{k}$ sets $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of size k , then there exists a point common to at least βn of the F_i . This further implies a (p, k) -theorem: for every \mathcal{F} as above and every $p \geq k$ there exists T such that if $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a finite subfamily where among every p sets, some k intersect, then \mathcal{G} has a transversal of size T . The assumption about bounded dual shatter function applies, for example, to families of sets in \mathbf{R}^d definable by a bounded number of polynomial inequalities of bounded degree; in this case we obtain fractional Helly number $d+1$.

1. Introduction

The well-known theorem of Helly states that if \mathcal{C} is a finite family of convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d such that any $d+1$ or fewer of the sets of \mathcal{C} intersect, then $\bigcap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$; we say that the d -dimensional convex sets have *Helly number* $d+1$. A vast number of Helly-type results are known; see, e.g., [8].

Here we consider fractional Helly-type theorems. We introduce them briefly; they are discussed more leisurely in [12], together with other topics of this paper, such as VC-dimension and (p, q) -theorems.

The original fractional Helly theorem for convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d , asserts the following (here and in what follows, we use the notation $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\binom{X}{k}$ for the system of all k -element subsets of X):

* Supported by Project LN00A056 of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic. Part of this research was done during a visit to Technion in Haifa.

Theorem 1 [10]. *For every $d \geq 1$ and every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exists a $\beta = \beta(d, \alpha) > 0$ with the following property. Let C_1, \dots, C_n be convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \neq \emptyset$ for at least $\alpha \binom{n}{d+1}$ index sets $I \in \binom{[n]}{d+1}$. Then there exists a point contained in at least βn of the C_i .*

Let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary set system. For sets $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and an index set $I \subseteq [n]$, we write F_I for $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$. We say that \mathcal{F} has *fractional Helly number* k if for every $\alpha > 0$ there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that if n is any natural number and $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ are sets such that $F_I \neq \emptyset$ for at least $\alpha \binom{n}{k}$ sets $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$, then there exists a point common to at least βn of the F_i . We say that \mathcal{F} has the *fractional Helly property* if it has a finite fractional Helly number.

Note that this definition formally makes sense only for infinite set systems \mathcal{F} ; if \mathcal{F} is finite, then the fractional Helly number is trivially 1, since β can be chosen in dependence on the number of sets in \mathcal{F} . However, in concrete examples, we usually also have an explicit dependence of β on α , and so we can make conclusions about finite set systems too.

Although the fractional Helly property appears less intuitive than the Helly property, and its conclusion is weaker, it seems *much better behaved and more robust in general than the Helly property*. Here are some examples:

- There is a fractional Helly theorem for hyperplane transversals of convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d [1] although there is no finite Helly number.
- For convex lattice sets in \mathbf{Z}^d (i.e., intersections of convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d with the d -dimensional integer lattice), the Helly number is 2^d , anomalously large, but the fractional Helly number is only $d + 1$ [4].
- If a family \mathcal{F} has fractional Helly number k then the family $\{F_1 \cup F_2 : F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}\}$, too, has fractional Helly number k , as is easily checked; for the Helly number this, of course, fails badly.

In this paper we further support the above thesis by adding a wide class of examples with the fractional Helly property: all set systems of bounded VC-dimension.

The VC-dimension of a set system \mathcal{F} on a ground set X is the maximum size of a set $A \subseteq X$ that is shattered by \mathcal{F} , meaning that $\{A \cap F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 2^A$. Examples of set systems with bounded VC-dimension abound in geometry; see, e.g., [11] for a wider background. The *dual shatter function* of \mathcal{F} is a function $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^* : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m)$ is the maximum number of nonempty fields of the Venn diagram of m sets of \mathcal{F} . More formally, we call two points $x, y \in X$ *equivalent* with respect to sets F_1, \dots, F_m if $\{i \in [m] : x \in F_i\} = \{i \in [m] : y \in F_i\}$, and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m)$ is the maximum possible number of classes of this equivalence over all choices of $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{F}$. The *dual VC-dimension* of \mathcal{F} is the maximum possible number of sets in \mathcal{F} with a complete Venn diagram, i.e., $\max\{k : \pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(k) = 2^k\}$. It is well known that if the dual VC-dimension is d^* , then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d^*} \binom{m}{i}$. Moreover, $d^* \leq 2^d$, where d is the VC-dimension, and, in particular, the VC-dimension is finite iff the dual VC-dimension is.

The dual shatter function seems to be a crucial quantitative parameter of geometric set systems; for example, it is relevant to the performance of range-searching data structures [7], and in many cases it essentially determines the discrepancy of the set system [11].

The following theorem shows a similar phenomenon for the fractional Helly number.

Theorem 2 (Fractional Helly for Bounded VC-Dimension). *Let \mathcal{F} be a set system whose dual shatter function satisfies $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) = o(m^k)$ (that is, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m)/m^k = 0$), where k is a fixed integer (in particular, this holds if the dual VC-dimension of \mathcal{F} is at most $k-1$). Then \mathcal{F} has fractional Helly number k .*

In contrast, bounded VC-dimension does not guarantee any Helly property. A very simple example is the system $\{[n] \setminus \{i\} : i \in [n]\}$, and more complicated examples will be mentioned later.

We note that the original Katchalski–Liu theorem (Theorem 1) is not a special case of Theorem 2, since convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d have infinite VC-dimension.

A primary example of geometric families of bounded VC-dimension are semialgebraic sets in \mathbf{R}^d of bounded description complexity. We recall that a set $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is *semialgebraic* if it can be defined by a Boolean combination of polynomial inequalities; that is, if $A = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \Phi(p_1(x) \geq 0, p_2(x) \geq 0, p_r(x) \geq 0)\}$, where Φ is a Boolean formula and $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ are polynomials. (The definition of a semialgebraic set may also involve quantifiers. However, by a well-known result of Tarski, quantifiers can be eliminated, and so each such set has an equivalent quantifier-free definition; see, e.g., [6] for a discussion of semialgebraic sets and quantifier elimination.) We call the number $\max(d, r, D)$, where D is the maximum degree of the p_i , the *description complexity* of A . Standard estimates on the number of sign patterns of real polynomials (due to Oleinik, Petrovskii, Milnor, Thom; see, e.g., [5] for precise results and references) imply that if \mathcal{F} is the family of all semialgebraic sets in \mathbf{R}^d of description complexity at most B , then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) \leq Cm^d$ for some $C = C(B)$ and all m . More generally, if \mathcal{F} is as before and $\mathcal{F}' = \{F \cap V : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, where V is a k -dimensional algebraic variety in \mathbf{R}^d , then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}'}^*(m) \leq C'm^k$, $C' = C'(B, k)$ [5]. We thus have:

Corollary 3. *For every fixed B , the family of all semialgebraic subsets of \mathbf{R}^d of description complexity at most B has fractional Helly number $d + 1$. The system of all intersections of sets of this family with a fixed k -dimensional algebraic variety has fractional Helly number $k + 1$.*

Here is a nice more concrete example. If $F \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is a semialgebraic set of bounded description complexity, then the set of all j -flats in \mathbf{R}^d intersecting F can be represented by a semialgebraic subset of the affine Grassmannian, which is a $(j+1)(d-j)$ -dimensional algebraic variety. Consequently, there is a fractional Helly theorem: If \mathcal{F} is the family all semialgebraic subsets of \mathbf{R}^d of description complexity at most B , $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$, and at least $\alpha \binom{n}{k}$ of the k -tuples of the F_i have a j -flat transversal, where $k = (j+1)(d-j)$, then there is a j -flat intersecting at least βn of the F_i . In particular, for line transversals for semialgebraic sets of bounded description complexity in \mathbf{R}^3 we obtain the fractional Helly number 5. (In contrast, there is no fractional Helly theorem for line transversals of convex sets in \mathbf{R}^3 .)

Alon and Kleitman [3] established an old conjecture of Hadwiger and Debrunner, the (p, q) -theorem for convex sets: *For every integer d, p, q , $p \geq q \geq d + 1$, there exists T such that whenever \mathcal{F} is a finite family of convex sets in \mathbf{R}^d such that among every p*

sets of \mathcal{F} , some q intersect, then $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \geq T$; that is, there is a T -point set intersecting all sets of \mathcal{F} . Their spectacular proof uses the Katchalski–Liu fractional Helly theorem in an essential way. As discussed in [2], their method can be used to derive (p, q) -theorems from fractional Helly theorems on a fairly abstract level. These methods immediately imply that a family \mathcal{F} as in Theorem 2 satisfies a (p, k) -theorem (for every $p \geq k$):

Theorem 4 ((p, q) -Theorem for Bounded VC-Dimension). *Let \mathcal{F} be a set system with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) = o(m^k)$ for some integer k , and let $p \geq k$. Then there is a constant T such that the following holds for every finite family $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$: If \mathcal{G} has the (p, k) -property, meaning that among every p sets of \mathcal{F} , some k intersect, then $\tau(\mathcal{G}) \leq T$.*

The Alon–Kleitman method is explained in many sources [3], [12], [2], [4], and so we omit a detailed discussion. However, for readers familiar with the method, we remark that the first step (showing that the fractional packing number of \mathcal{G} is bounded) goes through unchanged based on the fractional Helly property, as well as the second step (LP duality), and the third step (ε -net property, or bounding τ in terms of τ^*) is just the well-known theorem of Haussler and Welzl [9] about the existence of ε -nets for systems of bounded VC-dimension.

Already the case $p = k$ in Theorem 4 is interesting and appears nontrivial. It shows that while a set system of bounded VC-dimension may fail to have a Helly property, there is always an “almost-Helly theorem” (a Gallai-type theorem according to common terminology): If every k sets intersect, then all sets can be intersected by a bounded number of points.

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let \mathcal{F} and k be as in Theorem 2, let $\alpha > 0$ be given, and let $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ be sets such that $F_I \neq \emptyset$ for at least $\alpha \binom{n}{k}$ k -tuples $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. We may assume that n is larger than any given constant, for otherwise, for β sufficiently small, it is enough to have a point in a single F_i .

Using the assumption $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) = o(m^k)$, we fix m so that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) < \frac{1}{4}\alpha \binom{n}{k}$, and we set $\beta = 1/2m$. Finally, we assume that n is so large that $\beta n \geq m$.

For contradiction, we suppose that no point is common to βn of the F_i . We consider an index set $J \in \binom{[n]}{m}$ and a k -tuple $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. We call the pair (J, I) *good* if there is a point x with $x \in F_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $x \notin F_j$ for all $j \in J \setminus I$. We bound below the probability that a pair (J, I) chosen uniformly at random is good.

We first choose a random $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$, and then we choose the $m-k$ elements of $J \setminus I$ at random from $[n] \setminus I$. The probability that $F_I \neq \emptyset$ is at least α . If $F_I \neq \emptyset$, we fix one point $x \in F_I$. By the assumption, x is contained in fewer than βn of the F_i , and so the probability that none of the sets F_j with $j \in J \setminus I$ contains x is at least

$$\frac{\binom{\lceil (1-\beta)n \rceil}{m-k}}{\binom{n-k}{m-k}} \geq \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1} \frac{(1-\beta)n-i}{n-i} \geq \left(\frac{(1-\beta)n-m}{n-m} \right)^m.$$

Since we assumed $m \leq \beta n$ and $\beta = 1/2m$, the above expression is at least $(1 - 2\beta)^m = (1 - 1/m)^m \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore, the probability of a random pair (J, I) being good is at least $\frac{1}{4}\alpha$.

If we choose a random $J \in \binom{[n]}{m}$, the expected number of $I \in \binom{[J]}{k}$ with (J, I) good is at least $N = \frac{1}{4}\alpha \binom{m}{k}$, and so there exists a J with at least this many I . However, this violates the assumption $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m) < N$, since the sets indexed by J have at least N nonempty fields in their Venn diagram. \square

Acknowledgments

I thank Roy Meshulam and Meir Katchalski for inspiring discussions. I also thank the referee for helpful comments.

References

1. N. Alon and G. Kalai. Bounding the piercing number. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 13:245–256, 1995.
2. N. Alon, G. Kalai, J. Matoušek, and R. Meshulam. Transversal numbers for hypergraphs arising in geometry. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 130:2509–2514, 2002.
3. N. Alon and D. Kleitman. Piercing convex sets and the Hadwiger–Debrunner (p, q) -problem. *Adv. in Math.*, 96(1):103–112, 1992.
4. I. Bárány and J. Matoušek. A fractional Helly theorem for convex lattice sets. *Adv. in Math.*, 174:227–235, 2003.
5. S. Basu, R. Pollack, and M.-F. Roy. On the number of cells defined by a family of polynomials on a variety. *Mathematika*, 43:120–126, 1996.
6. J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy. *Real Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Transl. from the French, revised and updated edition.
7. B. Chazelle and E. Welzl. Quasi-optimal range searching in spaces of finite VC-dimension. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:467–489, 1989.
8. J. Eckhoff. Helly, Radon and Carathéodory type theorems. In P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills, editors, *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, pages 389–448. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
9. D. Haussler and E. Welzl. Epsilon-nets and simplex range queries. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:127–151, 1987.
10. M. Katchalski and A. Liu. A problem of geometry in R^n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:284–288, 1979.
11. J. Matoušek. *Geometric Discrepancy (An Illustrated Guide)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
12. J. Matoušek. *Lectures on Discrete Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

Received March 24, 2002, and in revised form April 4, 2003. Online publication December 31, 2003.