

1
 1½ 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 20½ 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 39½ 40
 41
 42

Bounds on Faltings’s delta function through covers

By JAY JORGENSEN and JÜRGEN KRAMER

Abstract

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g_X \geq 1$. In 1984, G. Faltings introduced a new invariant $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ associated to X . In this paper we give explicit bounds for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ in terms of fundamental differential geometric invariants arising from X , when $g_X > 1$. As an application, we are able to give bounds for Faltings’s delta function for the family of modular curves $X_0(N)$ in terms of the genus only. In combination with work of A. Abbes, P. Michel and E. Ullmo, this leads to an asymptotic formula for the Faltings height of the Jacobian $J_0(N)$ associated to $X_0(N)$.

1. Introduction

1.1. In the foundational paper [Fal84], G. Faltings proved fundamental results in the development of Arakelov theory for arithmetic surfaces based on S. S. Arakelov’s original work on this subject. The article [Fal84] was the origin for various developments in arithmetic geometry such as the creation of higher dimensional Arakelov theory by C. Soulé and H. Gillet, or more refined work on arithmetic surfaces by A. Abbes, P. Michel, and E. Ullmo, or P. Vojta’s work on the Mordell conjecture. The ideas from Faltings’s original article continue to be used, and further understanding of the ideas developed in [Fal84] often leads to advances in arithmetic algebraic geometry.

Let us now explain our main object of study, namely Faltings’s delta function. To do this, let X be a compact Riemann surface of positive genus g_X , let Ω_X^1 be the holomorphic cotangent bundle, and let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{g_X}$ be an orthonormal basis of holomorphic 1-forms on X with respect to the Petersson inner product. The canonical metric on X is then defined by means of the

Jorgenson acknowledges support from numerous NSF and PSC-CUNY grants.

1^{1/2} 1 (1, 1)-form

$$\mu_{\text{can}} = \frac{1}{g_X} \cdot \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{g_X} \omega_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_j.$$

2
3
4
5 We note that if $g_X > 1$, the Riemann surface X also carries a hyperbolic metric,
6 which is compatible with the complex structure of X and has negative curvature
7 equal to minus one; we denote the corresponding (1, 1)-form by μ_{hyp} .

8 Using the normalized Green's function $g_{\text{can}}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in X$ associated
9 to the canonical (1, 1)-form μ_{can} in the sense of Arakelov, one can inductively
10 define a hermitian metric on any line bundle L on X , whose curvature form is
11 proportional to μ_{can} . In particular, if this construction is applied to the line
12 bundle Ω_X^1 , the corresponding hermitian metric is such that the isomorphism
13 induced by the residue map from the fiber of $\Omega_X^1(x)$ at x to \mathbb{C} (equipped with
14 the standard hermitian metric) becomes an isometry for all $x \in X$. By means of
15 the hermitian metric thus defined on any line bundle L , Faltings constructs in
16 [Fal84] a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_1$ on the determinant line bundle $\lambda(L)$ associated
17 to the cohomology of the line bundle L .

18 Now, there is another way to metrize the determinant line bundle $\lambda(L)$.
19 For this one considers the degree $g_X - 1$ part $\text{Pic}_{g_X-1}(X)$ of the Picard variety
20 of X together with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$ associated to the theta divisor Θ . By
20^{1/2} 21 means of Riemann's theta function, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$ can be metrized in a
22 canonical way. By restricting to the case where the degree of L equals $g_X - 1$,
23 and noting that L is of the form $\mathcal{O}_X(E - P_1 - \dots - P_r)$ with a fixed divisor E on
24 X and suitable points P_1, \dots, P_r on X , we obtain a natural morphism from X^r
25 to $\text{Pic}_{g_X-1}(X)$ by sending (P_1, \dots, P_r) to the class of $\mathcal{O}_X(E - P_1 - \dots - P_r)$.
26 By pulling back $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$ to X^r via this map, extending it to $Y = X^r \times X$ and
27 restricting to the fiber X of the projection from Y to X^r , we obtain a line
28 bundle, which turns out to be isomorphic to $\lambda(L)$. In this way the hermitian
29 metric given by Riemann's theta function on $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$ induces a second hermitian
30 metric $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $\lambda(L)$. A straightforward calculation shows that the curvature
31 forms of the two metrics thus obtained coincide. Therefore, they agree up to a
32 multiplicative constant, which depends solely on (the isomorphism class of) X .
33 This constant defines Faltings's delta function $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$; for a precise definition,
34 we refer to [Fal84, p. 402].

35 In [Fal84, p. 403], it is asked to determine the asymptotic behavior of
36 $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_t)$ for a family of compact Riemann surfaces X_t that approach the Deligne-
37 Mumford boundary of the moduli space of stable algebraic curves of a fixed
38 positive genus g_X . This problem was solved in [J90] by first expressing Faltings's
39 delta function in terms of Riemann's theta function, thus obtaining asymptotic
39^{1/2} 40 expansions for all quantities involved in the expression. In the present article,
41 we will address among other things the following, related problem, namely
42 that of estimating $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ for varying X covering a fixed base Riemann surface

$1^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ X_0 in terms of fundamental geometric invariants of X as well as additional intrinsic quantities coming from X_0 .

 $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{7}{8}$ $\frac{9}{10}$ $\frac{11}{12}$ $\frac{13}{14}$ $\frac{15}{16}$ $\frac{17}{18}$ $\frac{19}{20}$ $\frac{21}{22}$ $\frac{23}{24}$ $\frac{25}{26}$ $\frac{27}{28}$ $\frac{29}{30}$ $\frac{31}{32}$ $\frac{33}{34}$ $\frac{35}{36}$ $\frac{37}{38}$ $\frac{39}{40}$ $\frac{41}{42}$ $\frac{43}{44}$ $\frac{45}{46}$ $\frac{47}{48}$ $\frac{49}{50}$ $\frac{51}{52}$ $\frac{53}{54}$ $\frac{55}{56}$ $\frac{57}{58}$ $\frac{59}{60}$ $\frac{61}{62}$ $\frac{63}{64}$ $\frac{65}{66}$ $\frac{67}{68}$ $\frac{69}{70}$ $\frac{71}{72}$ $\frac{73}{74}$ $\frac{75}{76}$ $\frac{77}{78}$ $\frac{79}{80}$ $\frac{81}{82}$ $\frac{83}{84}$ $\frac{85}{86}$ $\frac{87}{88}$ $\frac{89}{90}$ $\frac{91}{92}$ $\frac{93}{94}$ $\frac{95}{96}$ $\frac{97}{98}$ $\frac{99}{100}$

1.2. In their work, A. Abbes, P. Michel and E. Ullmo investigated the case of the modular curve $X_0(N)$ (with N squarefree and $6 \nmid N$) associated to the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ more closely. Using an arithmetic analogue of Noether's formula, which was also obtained in [Fal84], it was shown in [AU97] and [MU98] that the Faltings height $h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N))$ for the Jacobian $J_0(N)$ of $X_0(N)$ has an asymptotic expression, involving Faltings's delta function as the archimedean contribution, given by

$$(1) \quad 12 \cdot h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N)) = 4g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N)) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N));$$

here the genus $g_{X_0(N)}$ of $X_0(N)$ (N squarefree, $6 \nmid N$) is given by (see [Shi71])

$$1 + \frac{1}{12} \cdot N \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot d(N) - \frac{1}{4} \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-4}{p}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{3} \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right),$$

where $d(N)$ denotes the number of divisors of N . In the subsequent work [Ull00], E. Ullmo established another formula for $h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N))$ involving a suitable discriminant $\delta_{\mathbb{T}}$ of the Hecke algebra \mathbb{T} of $J_0(N)$, the matrix M_N of all possible Petersson inner products of a certain basis of eigenforms of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(N)$, and a suitable natural number α , namely

$$(2) \quad h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N)) = \frac{1}{2} \log|\delta_{\mathbb{T}}| - \frac{1}{2} \log|\det(M_N)| - \log(\alpha).$$

By estimating congruences for modular forms, as well as estimating $\det(M_N)$ and α , Ullmo derives the bounds

$$(3) \quad g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)) \leq \log|\delta_{\mathbb{T}}| \leq 2g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N))$$

for $\log|\delta_{\mathbb{T}}|$, from which he then derives the bounds

$$(4) \quad -Bg_{X_0(N)} \leq h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N)) \leq \frac{1}{2}g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N))$$

for $h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N))$, with an absolute constant $B > 0$; we note that the lower bound here is due to unpublished work of J.-B. Bost. This estimate in turn allows him to bound $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N))$ as

$$(5) \quad -4g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)) \leq \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N)) \leq 2g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)).$$

1.3. The main purpose of this note is to give bounds for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ for arbitrary compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g_X > 1$ in terms of fundamental geometric invariants of X . As a first main result, [Theorem 4.5](#) gives a bound for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ for any compact Riemann surface of genus $g_X > 1$ in terms of the smallest nonzero eigenvalue, the length of the shortest geodesic, the number of

$1^{1/2}$ eigenvalues in the interval $[0, 1/4)$, the number of closed, primitive geodesics of
 2 length in the interval $(0, 5)$, the supremum over $x \in X$ of the ratio $\mu_{\text{can}}/\mu_{\text{hyp}}$,
 3 and the implied constant in the error term of the prime geodesic theorem for X .
 4 Applying this result to the situation where X is a finite cover of a fixed Riemann
 5 surface X_0 of genus $g_{X_0} > 1$, we obtain as a second main result (see [Corollary](#)
 6 [4.6](#)) the estimate

$$7 \quad \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X) = O_{X_0}(g_X(1 + 1/\lambda_{X,1})),$$

8 where $\lambda_{X,1}$ denotes the smallest nonzero eigenvalue on X . We now want to
 9 apply our main results to the modular curves $X_0(N)$ with N being such that
 10 $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$, and to derive a bound for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N))$ simply in terms of the genus
 11 $g_{X_0(N)}$. To do this, we unfortunately cannot apply [Corollary 4.6](#) directly, but
 12 rather have to step back to [Theorem 4.5](#), and have to bound all the fundamental
 13 geometric quantities in terms of $g_{X_0(N)}$. This can be done by exploiting the
 14 arithmetic nature of the situation, e.g., by recalling estimates on the smallest
 15 nonzero eigenvalue on $X_0(N)$ given by R. Brooks in [\[Bro99\]](#). In [Theorem 5.6](#),
 16 we end up with the estimate
 17

$$18 \quad \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N)) = O(g_{X_0(N)}),$$

19 thereby improving the bound [\(5\)](#). Plugging this bound into [\(1\)](#) yields
 20

$$20^{1/2} \quad 21 \quad h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N)) = \frac{1}{3}g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)),$$

22 thereby improving [\(4\)](#). Using [\(2\)](#) together with our bound for $h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N))$ and
 23 E. Ullmo's lower bound for $\log|\det(M_N)|$, we find the lower bound
 24

$$25 \quad \log|\delta_{\mathbb{T}}| \geq \frac{5}{3}g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)),$$

26 thereby improving the lower bound in [\(3\)](#).
 27

28 1.4. The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we recall and summa-
 29 rize all the notations, definitions and results to be used later. In particular, we
 30 recall the definitions for the hyperbolic and the canonical metric on a compact
 31 Riemann surface X of genus $g_X > 1$, as well as the definitions of the corre-
 32 sponding Green's functions, giving rise to the so-called residual metrics on Ω_X^1 .
 33 Next, we define Faltings's delta function $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ by means of the regularized
 34 determinant associated to the Laplacian with respect to the Arakelov metric
 35 on Ω_X^1 (which is nothing but the residual metric associated to the canonical
 36 metric). This result was obtained in [\[Sou89\]](#) as a by-product of the analytic
 37 part of the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem for arithmetic surfaces. By
 38 means of Polyakov's formula, we are able to express Faltings's delta function in
 39 terms of the regularized determinant associated to the Laplacian with respect
 $39^{1/2}$ 40 to the hyperbolic metric and a local integral involving the conformal factor
 41 relating the two metrics under consideration. We end [Section 2](#) by recalling
 42 the heat kernel, heat trace, and Selberg's zeta function associated to X , as

$1^{1/2}$ well as the formula relating the first derivative of Selberg's zeta function to
 2 the regularized determinant associated to the hyperbolic Laplacian, which was
 3 proved in [Sar87].

4 In Section 3, we weave together the relations collected in Section 2. As
 5 the main result of Section 3, we obtain a representation of $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ in terms of
 6 the genus, the first derivative of Selberg's zeta function for X at $s = 1$, and a
 7 triple integral over X involving the hyperbolic heat trace of X .

8 In Section 4, the formula obtained in Section 3 allows us to estimate
 9 $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ by suitably extending the techniques developed in [JK01] in order to
 10 give bounds for the constant term of the logarithmic derivative of Selberg's
 11 zeta function at $s = 1$. In this way, we arrive at our main estimate for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$,
 12 given in Theorem 4.5, in terms of the above mentioned fundamental geometric
 13 invariants.

14 In Section 5, we then specialize to the case of the modular curves $X_0(N)$.
 15 The main focus here is to estimate all the fundamental geometric quantities
 16 occurring in Theorem 4.5 in terms of the genus $g_{X_0(N)}$ of $X_0(N)$ only. The
 17 problem one encounters is that the family of modular curves $X_0(N)$ that admit
 18 hyperbolic metrics do not form a single tower, so then the geometric invariants
 19 that appear in Theorem 4.5 cannot be readily bounded. Since $X_0(N)$ is an
 20 isometric cover of $X_0(N')$ whenever $N' | N$, the hyperbolic modular curves
 $20^{1/2}$ 21 are sufficiently interrelated, in what one could view as a "net" rather than a
 22 single "tower", so that one is able to develop uniform bounds for the geometric
 23 invariants in Theorem 4.5 in order to bound Faltings's delta function for all
 24 modular curves. This leads to the main result stated in Theorem 5.6.

25 Finally in Section 6, we briefly discuss the arithmetic implications arising
 26 from Theorem 5.6 by estimating both the Faltings height $h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N))$ of the
 27 Jacobian $J_0(N)$ of $X_0(N)$ and the discriminant $\delta_{\mathbb{T}}$ of the Hecke algebra \mathbb{T}
 28 of $J_0(N)$.
 29

30 2. Notations and preliminaries

31
 32 2.1. *Hyperbolic and canonical metrics.* Let Γ be a Fuchsian subgroup
 33 of the first kind of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acting by fractional linear transformations on
 34 the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$. We let X be the quotient
 35 space $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ and denote by g_X the genus of X . Unless otherwise stated, we
 36 assume that $g_X > 1$ and that Γ has no elliptic and, apart from the identity,
 37 no parabolic elements, i.e., X is smooth and compact. We identify X locally
 38 with its universal cover \mathbb{H} ; we make this identification explicit by denoting the
 39 image of $x \in X$ in \mathbb{H} by $z(x)$.
 $39^{1/2}$

40 In the sequel μ denotes a (smooth) metric on X , i.e., μ is a positive
 41 $(1, 1)$ -form on X . We write $\text{vol}_{\mu}(X)$ for the volume of X with respect to μ .
 42 In particular, we let $\mu = \mu_{\text{hyp}}$ denote the hyperbolic metric on X , which

$1^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ is compatible with the complex structure of X and has constant negative
 $\frac{2}{2}$ curvature equal to minus one. Locally, we have

$$\frac{3}{4} \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) = \frac{i}{2} \cdot \frac{dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x)}{\text{Im}(z(x))^2}.$$

$\frac{5}{6}$ We write $\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)$ for the hyperbolic volume of X ; we recall that $\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)$
 $\frac{7}{7}$ is given by $4\pi(g_X - 1)$. The scaled hyperbolic metric $\mu = \mu_{\text{shyp}}$ is simply the
 $\frac{8}{8}$ rescaled hyperbolic metric $\mu_{\text{hyp}}/\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)$, which measures the volume of X
 $\frac{9}{9}$ to be one.

$\frac{10}{10}$ Let $S_k(\Gamma)$ denote the \mathbb{C} -vector space of cusp forms of weight k with respect
 $\frac{11}{11}$ to Γ equipped with the Petersson inner product

$$\frac{12}{13} \langle f, g \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \int_X f(z(x)) \overline{g(z(x))} \text{Im}(z(x))^k \cdot \frac{dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x)}{\text{Im}(z(x))^2} \quad \text{for } f, g \in S_k(\Gamma).$$

$\frac{15}{15}$ By choosing an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_{g_X}\}$ of $S_2(\Gamma)$ with respect to the
 $\frac{16}{16}$ Petersson inner product, the canonical metric $\mu = \mu_{\text{can}}$ of X is given by

$$\frac{17}{18} \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \frac{1}{g_X} \cdot \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{g_X} |f_j(z(x))|^2 dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x).$$

$20^{1/2}$ $\frac{20}{20}$ We note that the canonical metric measures the volume of X to be one. In
 $\frac{21}{21}$ order to be able to compare the hyperbolic and the canonical metrics, we define

$$\frac{22}{23} d_{\text{sup}, X} = \sup_{x \in X} \left| \frac{\mu_{\text{can}}(x)}{\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x)} \right|.$$

$\frac{24}{25}$ We note that [JK04] obtained optimal bounds for $d_{\text{sup}, X}$ through covers.

$\frac{26}{27}$ *2.2. Green's functions and residual metrics.* We denote the Green's func-
 $\frac{28}{28}$ tion associated to the metric μ by g_μ . It is a function on $X \times X$ characterized
 $\frac{29}{29}$ by the two properties

$$\frac{30}{31} d_x d_x^c g_\mu(x, y) + \delta_y(x) = \frac{\mu(x)}{\text{vol}_\mu(X)} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X g_\mu(x, y) \mu(x) = 0.$$

$\frac{32}{32}$ If $\mu = \mu_{\text{hyp}}$, $\mu = \mu_{\text{shyp}}$, or $\mu = \mu_{\text{can}}$, we set

$$\frac{33}{34} g_\mu = g_{\text{hyp}}, \quad g_\mu = g_{\text{shyp}}, \quad \text{or } g_\mu = g_{\text{can}},$$

$\frac{35}{36}$ respectively. Note that $g_{\text{hyp}} = g_{\text{shyp}}$. By means of the function $G_\mu = \exp(g_\mu)$,
 $\frac{37}{37}$ we can now define a metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mu, \text{res}}$ on the canonical line bundle Ω_X^1 of X in
 $\frac{38}{38}$ the following way. For $x \in X$ and $z(x)$ as above, we set

$$\frac{38}{39} \|dz(x)\|_{\mu, \text{res}}^2 = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (G_\mu(x, y) \cdot |z(x) - z(y)|^2).$$

$39^{1/2}$ $\frac{40}{40}$ We call the metric

$$\frac{41}{42} \mu_{\text{res}}(x) = \frac{i}{2} \cdot \frac{dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x)}{\|dz(x)\|_{\mu, \text{res}}^2}$$

1½ the residual metric associated to μ . If $\mu = \mu_{\text{hyp}}$, $\mu = \mu_{\text{shyp}}$, or $\mu = \mu_{\text{can}}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdot\|_{\mu,\text{res}} &= \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp},\text{res}}, & \|\cdot\|_{\mu,\text{res}} &= \|\cdot\|_{\text{shyp},\text{res}}, & \|\cdot\|_{\mu,\text{res}} &= \|\cdot\|_{\text{can},\text{res}}, \\ \mu_{\text{res}} &= \mu_{\text{hyp},\text{res}}, & \mu_{\text{res}} &= \mu_{\text{shyp},\text{res}}, & \mu_{\text{res}} &= \mu_{\text{can},\text{res}}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Since $g_{\text{hyp}} = g_{\text{shyp}}$, we have $\mu_{\text{hyp},\text{res}} = \mu_{\text{shyp},\text{res}}$. We recall that the Arakelov metric μ_{Ar} is defined as the residual metric associated to the canonical metric μ_{can} ; the corresponding metric on Ω_X^1 is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$. So that we can compare the metrics μ_{hyp} and μ_{Ar} , we define the C^∞ -function ϕ_{Ar} on X by the equation

$$(6) \quad \mu_{\text{Ar}} = e^{\phi_{\text{Ar}}} \mu_{\text{hyp}}.$$

2.3. *Faltings's delta function and determinants.* We denote the Laplacian on X associated to the metric μ by Δ_μ . We write Δ_{hyp} for the hyperbolic Laplacian on X ; identifying $x \in X$ with $z(x) = \xi + i\eta$ in a fundamental domain for Γ in \mathbb{H} , we have

$$(7) \quad \Delta_{\text{hyp}} = -\eta^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \right).$$

We let $\{\phi_{X,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ denote an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Δ_{hyp} on X with eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_{X,0} < \lambda_{X,1} \leq \lambda_{X,2} \leq \dots,$$

i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\text{hyp}} \phi_{X,n} = \lambda_{X,n} \phi_{X,n} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We denote the number of eigenvalues of Δ_{hyp} lying in the interval $[a, b)$ by $N_{\text{ev},X}^{[a,b)}$.

To Δ_μ we have associated the spectral zeta function $\zeta_\mu(s)$, which gives rise to the regularized determinant $\det^*(\Delta_\mu)$. We set the notation

$$D_\mu(X) = \log \left(\frac{\det^*(\Delta_\mu)}{\text{vol}_\mu(X)} \right).$$

If $\mu = \mu_{\text{hyp}}$ or $\mu = \mu_{\text{Ar}}$, we set $D_\mu = D_{\text{hyp}}$ or $D_\mu = D_{\text{Ar}}$, respectively. With the first Chern form relations

$$c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp}}) = (2g_X - 2)\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x), \quad c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}) = (2g_X - 2)\mu_{\text{can}}(x),$$

an immediate application of Polyakov's formula (see [JL96, p. 78]) shows the relation

$$(8) \quad D_{\text{Ar}}(X) = D_{\text{hyp}}(X) + \frac{g_X - 1}{6} \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x) (\mu_{\text{can}}(x) + \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x)).$$

Faltings's delta function $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ is introduced in [Fal84], where also some of its basic properties are given. In [J90], Faltings's delta function is expressed in terms of Riemann's theta function, and its asymptotic behavior is investigated.

1/2 1
2 As a by-product of the analytic part of the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem for arithmetic surfaces, it is shown in [Sou89] that

$$3 \quad (9) \quad \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X) = -6D_{\text{Ar}}(X) + a(g_X),$$

4 where

$$5 \quad (10) \quad a(g_X) = -2g_X \log(\pi) + 4g_X \log(2) + (g_X - 1)(-24\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}'(-1) + 1).$$

6 For the sequel, we only have to recall that $a(g_X) = O(g_X)$.

7 **2.4. Heat kernels and heat traces.** Let $H(\Gamma)$ denote a complete set of representatives of inconjugate, primitive, hyperbolic elements in Γ . Denote by ℓ_γ the hyperbolic length of the closed geodesic determined by $\gamma \in H(\Gamma)$ on X ; it is well known that the equality $|\text{tr}(\gamma)| = 2 \cosh(\ell_\gamma/2)$ holds. We denote the number of elements γ in $H(\Gamma)$ whose geodesic representatives have length in the interval $(0, b)$ by $N_{\text{geo}, X}^{(0, b)}$.

8 The heat kernel $K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z, w)$ on \mathbb{H} ($t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$; $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$) is given by

$$9 \quad K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z, w) = K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; \rho) = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{re^{-r^2/4t}}{\sqrt{\cosh(r) - \cosh(\rho)}} dr,$$

10 where $\rho = d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w)$ denotes the hyperbolic distance between z and w . The heat kernel $K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y)$ associated to X for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $x, y \in X$ is defined by averaging over the elements of Γ , that is,

$$11 \quad K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(y)),$$

12 and the hyperbolic heat kernel $HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y)$ associated to the same X is defined by averaging over the elements of Γ different from the identity, that is,

$$13 \quad HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \gamma \neq \text{id}}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(y)).$$

14 We note that $K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y)$ satisfies the equations

$$15 \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{\text{hyp}, x} \right) K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \in X,$$

$$16 \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_X K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) f(y) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(y) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

17 for all C^∞ -functions f on X . In terms of the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_{X, n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{X, n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of Δ_{hyp} , we have

$$18 \quad K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{X, n}(x) \phi_{X, n}(y) e^{-\lambda_{X, n} t}.$$

1
2 If $x = y$, we write $HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x)$ instead of $HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, x)$. The hyperbolic heat
trace $H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$) is now given by

$$H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) = \int_X HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x).$$

3
4
5 Introducing the function

$$(11) \quad f(u, t) = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(u)}{u^{n/2} - u^{-n/2}} e^{-(n \log(u))^2 / 4t},$$

6
7 and setting $H\text{Tr}K_{\gamma}(t) = f(e^{\ell_{\gamma}}, t)$, we recall the identity

$$H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} H\text{Tr}K_{\gamma}(t),$$

8
9 which is one application of the Selberg trace formula; see [Hej76]. For any
 $\delta > 0$, we now define

$$(12) \quad H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp},\delta}(t) = H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_{\gamma} < \delta}} H\text{Tr}K_{\gamma}(t).$$

10
11 We note that the hyperbolic Green's function $g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$
relates to the heat kernel as

$$(13) \quad g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) = 4\pi \int_0^{\infty} \left(K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt.$$

12
13 In particular for the Green's function $g_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w)$ on \mathbb{H} for $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \neq w$,
we recall the formulas

$$g_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) = -\log\left(\left|\frac{z-w}{z-\bar{w}}\right|^2\right) = 4\pi \int_0^{\infty} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z, w) dt.$$

14
15 2.5. *Prime geodesic theorem.* Consider the function

$$\pi_X(u) = \#\{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \mid e^{\ell_{\gamma}} < u\},$$

16
17 which is defined for $u \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$; it is just the number of inconjugate, primitive,
hyperbolic elements of Γ such that the corresponding geodesics have length
less than $\log(u)$. For any eigenvalue $\lambda_{X,j}$ with $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and in the range
 $0 \leq \lambda_{X,j} < 1/4$, we put $s_{X,j} = 1/2 + \sqrt{1/4 - \lambda_{X,j}}$. Note that $1/2 < s_{X,j} \leq 1$.
In terms of the integral logarithm

$$\text{li}(u^{s_{X,j}}) = \int_2^{u^{s_{X,j}}} \frac{d\xi}{\log(\xi)},$$

18
19 the prime geodesic theorem states

$$(14) \quad \left| \pi_X(u) - \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X,j} < 1/4} \text{li}(u^{s_{X,j}}) \right| \leq C \cdot u^{3/4} (\log(u))^{-1/2}$$

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

1 for $u > 2$ with an implied constant $C > 0$ depending solely on X ; see [Hub59],
 2 [Hub61a], [Hub61b], [Cha84, p. 297], or [Hej83, p. 474]. Then, we define the
 3 Huber constant $C_{\text{Hub},X}$ to be the infimum of all constants $C > 0$ such that (14)
 4 holds. With this definition the main result of [JK02a] implies the following:
 5 Assume that X is a finite cover of a fixed Riemann surface X_0 of genus $g_{X_0} > 1$.
 6 Then

$$(15) \quad C_{\text{Hub},X} \leq \deg(X/X_0) \cdot C_{\text{Hub},X_0},$$

7 where $\deg(X/X_0)$ denotes the degree of X over X_0 . This choice for the error
 8 term in the prime geodesic theorem suffices for our purposes, since we are
 9 working with general compact Riemann surfaces. Improvements on the error
 10 term in certain cases are contained in [Cai02], [Iwa84], and [LRS95]. For the
 11 purpose of this article, these results will not be used.

12 We note that using the function $\pi_X(u)$, the truncated hyperbolic heat
 13 trace (12) can be rewritten as

$$(16) \quad H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp},\delta}(t) = \int_{e^\delta}^{\infty} f(u, t) d\pi_X(u).$$

14 2.6. *Selberg's zeta function.* For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, the Selberg zeta
 15 function $Z_X(s)$ associated to X is defined via the Euler product expansion

$$20^{1/2} \quad Z_X(s) = \prod_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} Z_\gamma(s), \quad \text{where } Z_\gamma(s) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-(s+n)\ell_\gamma})$$

21 are the local factors. The Selberg zeta function $Z_X(s)$ is known to have a
 22 meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} and satisfies a functional equation. From
 23 [Sar87, p. 115], we recall the relation

$$(17) \quad D_{\text{hyp}}(X) = \log\left(\frac{Z'_X(1)}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)}\right) + b(g_X),$$

24 where

$$(18) \quad b(g_X) = (g_X - 1)(4\zeta'_Q(-1) - 1/2 + \log(2\pi)).$$

25 As in [JK01], we define the quantity

$$26 \quad c_X = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\frac{Z'_X}{Z_X}(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} \right).$$

27 From [JK01, Lem. 4.2], we recall the formula

$$(19) \quad c_X = 1 + \int_0^{\infty} (H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - 1) dt = \int_0^{\infty} (H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - 1 + e^{-t}) dt.$$

28 Identity (19) is obtained by means of the McKean formula

$$29 \quad \frac{Z'_X}{Z_X}(s) = (2s - 1) \int_0^{\infty} H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) e^{-s(s-1)t} dt,$$

1 1/2 which, in view of the asymptotic $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} Z_X(s) = 1$, integrates to

2
3 (20)
$$\log(Z_X(s)) = - \int_0^\infty H \operatorname{Tr} K_{\text{hyp}}(t) e^{-s(s-1)t} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

4 Analogously, we find the local versions

5
6
7 (21)
$$\frac{Z'_\gamma}{Z_\gamma}(s) = (2s - 1) \int_0^\infty H \operatorname{Tr} K_\gamma(t) e^{-s(s-1)t} dt,$$

8
9
$$\log(Z_\gamma(s)) = - \int_0^\infty H \operatorname{Tr} K_\gamma(t) e^{-s(s-1)t} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

10 Observing the identity

11
12 (22)
$$\log(w) = \int_0^\infty (e^{-t} - e^{-wt}) \frac{dt}{t}$$

13
14 for $w > 0$ and taking $w = s(s - 1)$ (with $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$), we can combine (22) with
15 the integrated version (20) of the McKean formula to get

16
17 (23)
$$- \log(Z'_X(1)) = \int_0^\infty (H \operatorname{Tr} K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - 1 + e^{-t}) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

18
19 Subtracting (22) from (23) yields the more general formula

20
20 1/2 (24)
$$- \log(Z'_X(1)) - \log(w) = \int_0^\infty (H \operatorname{Tr} K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - 1 + e^{-wt}) \frac{dt}{t},$$

21
22 which holds for $w > 0$. Using (12) and the second formula in (21) with $s = 1$,
23 we end up with the formula

24
25 (25)
$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_\gamma < \delta}} \log(Z_\gamma(1))$$

26
27
$$- \log(Z'_X(1)) - \log(w) = \int_0^\infty (H \operatorname{Tr} K_{\text{hyp},\delta}(t) - 1 + e^{-wt}) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

28

29
30 **3. Expressing Faltings's delta via hyperbolic geometry**

31 In this section, we obtain an expression that evaluates Faltings's delta
32 function $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ in terms of spectral theoretic information of X coming from
33 hyperbolic geometry. Our method of proof is as follows. First, we use results
34 from [Sar87] and [Sou89] together with the Polyakov formula (8) to express
35 $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ in terms of hyperbolic information and the conformal factor ϕ_{Ar} (see
36 (6)) relating the Arakelov metric μ_{Ar} to the hyperbolic metric μ_{hyp} on X . We
37 then derive and exploit explicit relations between the canonical and hyperbolic
38 Green's functions in order to explicitly evaluate the term involving ϕ_{Ar} . We
39 begin with the following lemma, which collects results stated above.

40
41 LEMMA 3.1. *For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, let*

42
$$c(g_X) = a(g_X) - 6b(g_X) + 6 \log(\operatorname{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)),$$

1^{1/2} where $a(g_X)$ and $b(g_X)$ are given by (10) and (18), respectively. With the
2 above notations, we then have the formula

$$3 \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X) = -6 \log(Z'_X(1)) - (g_X - 1) \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) + c(g_X). \quad 4$$

5 *Proof.* Combining formulas (9), (8), and (17), we obtain

$$6 \begin{aligned} 7 \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X) &= -6D_{\text{Ar}}(X) + a(g_X) \\ 8 &= -6D_{\text{hyp}}(X) - (g_X - 1) \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) + a(g_X) \\ 9 &= -6 \log\left(\frac{Z'_X(1)}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)}\right) - (g_X - 1) \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) \\ 10 & \quad \quad \quad + a(g_X) - 6b(g_X) \\ 11 &= -6 \log(Z'_X(1)) - (g_X - 1) \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) \\ 12 & \quad \quad \quad + a(g_X) - 6b(g_X) + 6 \log(\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)). \end{aligned} \quad 13$$

14 This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

15 *Remark 3.2.* For the sake of completeness, let us make explicit the value
16 of $c(g_X)$; a straightforward calculation yields

$$17 \begin{aligned} 18 c(g_X) &= a(g_X) - 6b(g_X) + 6 \log(\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)) \\ 19 &= 2g_X(-24\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}}(-1) - 4 \log(\pi) - \log(2) + 2) + 6 \log(\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)) \\ 20 & \quad \quad \quad + (48\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}}(-1) + 6 \log(2\pi) - 4). \end{aligned} \quad 21$$

22 **LEMMA 3.3.** *Let μ_1 and μ_2 be any two positive (1, 1)-forms on X with
23 associated Green's functions $g_1(x, y)$ and $g_2(x, y)$, respectively, and assume
24 that $\int_X \mu_1(x) = \int_X \mu_2(x) = 1$. Then we have the relation*

$$25 (26) \quad g_1(x, y) - g_2(x, y) = \int_X g_1(x, \zeta)\mu_2(\zeta) + \int_X g_1(y, \zeta)\mu_2(\zeta) - \int_X \int_X g_1(\xi, \zeta)\mu_2(\zeta)\mu_2(\xi). \quad 26$$

27 *Proof.* Let $F_L(x, y)$ and $F_R(x, y)$ denote the left and right sides of (26).
28 Using the characterizing properties of the Green's functions, one can show
29 directly that, for fixed $y \in X$, we have

$$30 d_x d_x^c F_L(x, y) = d_x d_x^c F_R(x, y) = \mu_1(x) - \mu_2(x), \quad 31$$

32 and

$$33 \int_X F_L(x, y)\mu_2(x) = \int_X F_R(x, y)\mu_2(x) = \int_X g_1(y, \zeta)\mu_2(\zeta). \quad 34$$

35 Consequently $F_L(x, y) = F_R(x, y)$, again for fixed y . However, it is obvious
36 that F_L and F_R are symmetric in x and y . This proves the lemma. \square

$1\frac{1}{2}$ 1 *Remark 3.4.* Equation (26) from Lemma 3.3 provides the key identity
2 for the subsequent investigations. Note that a less explicit variant of it can be
3 found in the literature, e.g., [Lan88, Prop. 1.3].
4

5 LEMMA 3.5. *Let μ_1 and μ_2 be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $\mu_{1,\text{res}}$ and $\mu_{2,\text{res}}$ be*
6 *the residual metrics associated to μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively. Then we have*

$$\int_X \log \left(\frac{\mu_{2,\text{res}}(x)}{\mu_{1,\text{res}}(x)} \right) (\mu_1(x) + \mu_2(x)) = 0.$$

7 *Proof.* Using the definitions of Green's functions and residual metrics
8 given in Section 2.2, we get
9

$$\log \left(\frac{\mu_{2,\text{res}}(x)}{\mu_{1,\text{res}}(x)} \right) = \log \left(\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{G_1(x, y)}{G_2(x, y)} \right).$$

10 Using Lemma 3.3, this implies
11

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{\mu_{2,\text{res}}(x)}{\mu_{1,\text{res}}(x)} \right) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_1(x, y) - g_2(x, y)) \\ &= 2 \int_X g_1(x, \zeta) \mu_2(\zeta) - \int_X \int_X g_1(\xi, \zeta) \mu_2(\zeta) \mu_2(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

$20\frac{1}{2}$ The result then follows, since

$$\int_X \left(2 \int_X g_1(x, \zeta) \mu_2(\zeta) - \int_X \int_X g_1(\xi, \zeta) \mu_2(\zeta) \mu_2(\xi) \right) (\mu_1(x) + \mu_2(x)) = 0. \quad \square$$

12 LEMMA 3.6. *For any X , we have*

$$(27) \quad \log \left(\frac{\mu_{\text{can},\text{res}}(x)}{\mu_{\text{shyp},\text{res}}(x)} \right) = \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x) + 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt + \log(4).$$

13 *Proof.* The left side of the claimed formula can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\mu_{\text{can},\text{res}}(x)/\mu_{\text{shyp},\text{res}}(x)) &= \log(\mu_{\text{Ar}}(x)/\mu_{\text{hyp},\text{res}}(x)) \\ &= \log(e^{\phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)} \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x)/\mu_{\text{hyp},\text{res}}(x)) = \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x) + \log(\mu_{\text{hyp}}(x)/\mu_{\text{hyp},\text{res}}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

14 We now evaluate $\mu_{\text{hyp}}(x)/\mu_{\text{hyp},\text{res}}(x)$ in terms of the heat kernel on X . Working
15 with relation (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \neq \text{id}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(y)) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \\ &\quad - \log \left(\left| \frac{z(x) - z(y)}{z(x) - \bar{z}(y)} \right|^2 \right) \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt - \log \left(\left| \frac{z(x) - z(y)}{z(x) - \bar{z}(y)} \right|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

1 from which we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) + \log|z(x) - z(y)|^2) \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt + \log(4 \text{Im}(z(x))^2). \end{aligned}$$

6 This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\mu_{\text{hyp}}(x)/\mu_{\text{hyp, res}}(x)) &= \log(\|dz(x)\|_{\text{hyp, res}}^2 / \text{Im}(z(x))^2) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) + \log|z(x) - z(y)|^2) - \log(\text{Im}(z(x))^2) \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt + \log(4). \end{aligned}$$

13 Combining these calculations, we conclude that

$$\log\left(\frac{\mu_{\text{can, res}}(x)}{\mu_{\text{shyp, res}}(x)}\right) = \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x) + 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt + \log(4),$$

17 which proves the lemma. \square

18 PROPOSITION 3.7. *For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, let*

$$F(t; x) = HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - 1/\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X).$$

20 $20^{1/2}$ Then, we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{g_X} \int_X \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F(t_1; x) \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(t_2; x) dt_1 dt_2 \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) - \frac{2(c_X - 1)}{g_X - 1} - 2 \log(4). \end{aligned}$$

26 *Proof.* Choosing $\mu_1 = \mu_{\text{shyp}}$ and $\mu_2 = \mu_{\text{can}}$ in Lemma 3.5 shows

$$\int_X \log\left(\frac{\mu_{\text{can, res}}(x)}{\mu_{\text{shyp, res}}(x)}\right)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) = 0.$$

30 Multiplying (27) by $(\mu_{\text{shyp}} + \mu_{\text{can}})$ and integrating over X , we arrive at the
31 relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) \\ &= -4\pi \int_X \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt (\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) - 2 \log(4). \end{aligned}$$

36 Interchanging the integration, recalling the formula for the hyperbolic volume
37 of X in terms of g_X , and using (19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\pi \int_X \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \int_0^\infty (H \text{Tr} K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - 1) dt = \frac{c_X - 1}{g_X - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

1^{1/2} which leads to the relation

$$(28) \quad \int_X \phi_{\text{Ar}}(x)(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) = -4\pi \int_X \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \mu_{\text{can}}(x) - \frac{c_X - 1}{g_X - 1} - 2 \log(4).$$

In order to rewrite the latter integral, we recall the following formula from [JK06b], which gives an explicit relation between the canonical and the scaled hyperbolic metric form, namely,

$$(29) \quad \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \frac{1}{2g_X} \left(\int_0^\infty \Delta_{\text{hyp}} K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) dt \right) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x);$$

for the reader's convenience, we add the proof of (29) in Appendix I. Observing that $\Delta_{\text{hyp}} K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) = \Delta_{\text{hyp}} HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x)$, we obtain by means of (29) and the preceding calculations that

$$(30) \quad 4\pi \int_X \int_0^\infty \left(HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \frac{c_X - 1}{g_X - 1} + \frac{2\pi}{g_X} \int_X \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (HK_{\text{hyp}}(t_1; x) - 1/\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)) \times \Delta_{\text{hyp}} HK_{\text{hyp}}(t_2; x) dt_1 dt_2 \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x).$$

20^{1/2} We complete the proof by substituting (30) into (28) and then observing that $\Delta_{\text{hyp}} HK_{\text{hyp}}(t_2; x) = \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(t_2; x)$. \square

THEOREM 3.8. For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, let

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty (HK_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) - 1/\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)) dt.$$

Then we find that $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ is equal to

$$2\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{g_X} \right) \int_X F(x) \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) - 6 \log(Z'_X(1)) + 2c_X + C(g_X),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(g_X) &= a(g_X) - 6b(g_X) + 2(g_X - 1) \log(4) + 6 \log(\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)) - 2 \\ &= 2g_X(-24\zeta'_0(-1) - 4 \log(\pi) + \log(2) + 2) + 6 \log(\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)) \\ &\quad + (48\zeta'_0(-1) + 6 \log(2\pi) - 2 \log(4) - 6). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Simply combine Lemma 3.1 with Proposition 3.7. \square

Remark 3.9. Theorem 3.8 gives a precise expression for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X) - C(g_X)$ in terms of hyperbolic data associated to X , all of which can be derived from the trace of the hyperbolic heat kernel. As such, one can extend the hyperbolic expression to general noncompact, finite volume hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, including those that admit elliptic fixed points. Going further, it seems possible to employ the techniques known as Artin formalism, which has been shown

1 to hold for hyperbolic heat kernels, in order to obtain analogous relations for
 2 the Faltings delta function as well as the constant $C(g_X)$. Note that since the
 3 Arakelov metric does not lift through covers, there is no immediate reason to
 4 expect any relations involving $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ similar to those predicted by the Artin
 5 formalism; however, [Theorem 3.8](#) implies that some relations are possible. We
 6 leave this problem for further study elsewhere.

7
 8 **4. Analytic bounds**

9 The main result of the section is [Theorem 4.5](#), which states a bound for
 10 Faltings's delta function in terms of fundamental invariants from hyperbolic
 11 geometry. [Propositions 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3](#) bound the nontrivial quantities in the
 12 expression for Faltings's delta function given in [Theorem 3.8](#), and these results,
 13 together with [Lemma 4.4](#), are used to prove [Theorem 4.5](#).

14
 15 **PROPOSITION 4.1.** *For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, let $F(x)$ be as in*
 16 [Theorem 3.8](#), and set

17
$$d_{\text{sup},X} = \sup_{x \in X} \left| \frac{\mu_{\text{can}}(x)}{\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x)} \right|.$$

18
 19 Then we have the estimate

20
 21
$$0 \leq \int_X F(x) \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) \leq \frac{(d_{\text{sup},X} + 1)^2 \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)}{\lambda_{X,1}}.$$

22
 23 *Proof.* From formula [\(29\)](#), we have the identity

24
 25
$$\begin{aligned} g_X \mu_{\text{can}}(x) - g_X \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty \Delta_{\text{hyp}} H K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) dt \right) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x), \end{aligned}$$

26
 27 which immediately gives the formula

28
 29
$$\Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) = \frac{2g_X}{4\pi(g_X - 1)} \left(\frac{\mu_{\text{can}}(x)}{\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x)} - 1 \right)$$

30
 31 and hence leads to the estimate $\sup_{x \in X} |\Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x)| \leq d_{\text{sup},X} + 1$. Since X is
 32 compact, we can expand $F(x)$ in terms of the orthonormal basis of eigenfunc-
 33 tions $\{\phi_{X,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{X,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of Δ_{hyp} , i.e.,

34
 35
$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \phi_{X,n}(x),$$

36
 37 from which we derive $\Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_{X,n} a_n \phi_{X,n}(x)$, taking into account
 38 that $\lambda_{X,0} = 0$. Therefore, we have

39
 40
$$\int_X F(x) \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_{X,n} a_n^2.$$

39^{1/2}

40
 41
 42

1^{1/2} Observing that

$$\int_X (\Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x))^2 \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{X,n}^2 a_n^2,$$

which yields by the above calculations the trivial bound

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{X,n}^2 a_n^2 = \int_X (\Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x))^2 \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) \leq (d_{\text{sup},X} + 1)^2 \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X),$$

and taking into account $\lambda_{X,1} \leq \lambda_{X,n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, we are finally led to the estimate that completes the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lambda_{X,1} \int_X F(x) \Delta_{\text{hyp}} F(x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) \\ &= \lambda_{X,1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{X,n} a_n^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{X,n}^2 a_n^2 \leq (d_{\text{sup},X} + 1)^2 \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, we have the lower bound

$$c_X \geq -4 \log(2g_X - 2).$$

20^{1/2} Letting $\alpha = \min\{\lambda_{X,1}, 7/64\}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$, we have the upper bound

$$c_X \leq 2 + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_\gamma < 5}} \frac{Z'_\gamma}{Z_\gamma}(1) + \frac{6}{\varepsilon} (C_{\text{Hub},X} + N_{\text{ev},X}^{[0,1/4]}).$$

Proof. The lower bound is proved in [JK01, Th. 3.3]. The upper bound comes from the proof of [JK01, Th 4.7]. Specifically, for any $\delta > 0$, we recall the inequality

$$c_X \leq 1 + \sum_{0 < \lambda_{X,j} < \varepsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda_{X,j}} + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_\gamma < \delta}} \frac{Z'_\gamma}{Z_\gamma}(1) + C_{X,\varepsilon} e^{-(1-s_\varepsilon)\delta} + 12N_{\text{ev},X}^{[0,\varepsilon]} e^{-\delta/2}$$

with

$$C_{X,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} 4(4 - 3s_\varepsilon)(C_{\text{Hub},X} + N_{\text{ev},X}^{[\varepsilon,1/4]}) \quad \text{and} \quad s_\varepsilon = 1/2 + \sqrt{1/4 - \varepsilon}.$$

By choosing $\delta = 5$ and ε as stated above and by noting that $N_{\text{ev},X}^{[0,\varepsilon]} = 1$, $12e^{-5/2} < 1$, and $7/8 < s_\varepsilon < 1$, that is, $4(4 - 3s_\varepsilon) < 6$, the claim follows. \square

39^{1/2} PROPOSITION 4.3. For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, we have the lower bound

$$-\log(Z'_X(1)) \geq -4 \log(4g_X - 4) - 1/16.$$

1^{1/2} Letting $\alpha = \min\{\lambda_{X,1}, 7/64\}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$, we have the upper bound

$$(31) \quad -\log(Z'_X(1)) \leq - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_\gamma < 5}} \log(Z_\gamma(1)) + 12 \left(5 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) (C_{\text{Hub},X} + N_{\text{ev},X}^{[0,1/4]} + 1).$$

Proof. We follow the methods that proved the bounds in Proposition 4.2. Since these calculations are not immediate from the results in [JK01], it is necessary to give the details. Let $\delta > 0$, to be specified below. Then, using the trivial bounds

$$H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) + \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; 0) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{X,j}t} \geq 1 \quad \text{for } \delta \leq t,$$

$$H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta,$$

we get from formula (23) the bound

$$-\log(Z'_X(1)) \geq \int_0^\delta (e^{-t} - 1) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_\delta^\infty (e^{-t} - \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; 0)) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Trivially, one has $e^{-t} - 1 \geq -t$ for $t \geq 0$, so $\int_0^\delta (e^{-t} - 1)(dt/t) \geq -\delta$. Using the obvious bound $K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; 0) \leq e^{-t/4}/(4\pi t)$, we get

$$\int_\delta^\infty K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; 0) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \frac{e^{-\delta/4}}{\pi\delta^2},$$

which gives

$$\int_\delta^\infty (e^{-t} - \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; 0)) \frac{dt}{t} \geq -\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X) \int_\delta^\infty K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; 0) \frac{dt}{t} \geq -\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X) \frac{e^{-\delta/4}}{\pi\delta^2}$$

and hence

$$-\log(Z'_X(1)) \geq -\delta - \text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)e^{-\delta/4}/(\pi\delta^2).$$

Taking $\delta = 4 \log(4g_X - 4)$ and using $\log(4g_X - 4) \geq \log(4) > 1$ gives the stated lower bound.

For the upper bound, we proceed as in [JK01, §4]. A straightforward calculation, with $s_w = 1/2 + \sqrt{1/4 - w}$ for $w \in [0, 1/4]$, with $\delta > 4$, and $f(u, t)$ as in (11), yields

$$(32) \quad \int_{e^\delta}^\infty f(u, t) d\text{li}(u^{s_w}) = \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_\delta^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty e^{(s_w - n/2 - nm)\xi} e^{-(n\xi)^2/4t} d\xi.$$

1 See also the proof of [JK01, Lem. 4.3]. Writing the term with $n = 1$ and $m = 0$
 2 as

$$\frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{(s_w-1/2)\xi} e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi = e^{-wt} - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\delta} e^{(s_w-1/2)\xi} e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi,$$

6 we can rewrite (32) as

$$e^{-wt} = \int_{e^{\delta}}^{\infty} f(u, t) d\text{li}(u^{s_w}) + \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\delta} e^{(s_w-1/2)\xi} e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi \\ - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} e^{(s_w-n/2-nm)\xi} e^{-(n\xi)^2/4t} d\xi,$$

13 where the sum is taken over all integer pairs (n, m) with $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$,
 14 except for the pair $(n, m) = (1, 0)$. Using this identity twice, once with $w = 0$,
 15 so $s_w = 1$, and again with $w = 1/4$, so $s_w = 1/2$, and recalling formula (16),
 16 we obtain the equality

$$(33) \quad H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp},\delta}(t) - 1 + e^{-t/4} = \int_{e^{\delta}}^{\infty} f(u, t) d(\pi_X(u) - \text{li}(u) + \text{li}(u^{1/2})) \\ + \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} e^{(1-n/2-nm)\xi} e^{-(n\xi)^2/4t} d\xi \\ + \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\delta} e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi \\ - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} e^{(1/2-n/2-nm)\xi} e^{-(n\xi)^2/4t} d\xi \\ - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\delta} e^{\xi/2} e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi.$$

30 After these preliminary calculations, we turn to bounding $-\log(Z'_X(1))$ from
 31 above. For this we recall formula (25) with $w = 1/4$, namely

$$(34) \quad \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_{\gamma} < \delta}} \log(Z_{\gamma}(1)) - \log(Z'_X(1)) - \log(1/4) = \int_0^{\infty} (H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp},\delta}(t) - 1 + e^{-t/4}) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

37 As in [JK01], we substitute expression (33) for the integrand on the right side
 38 of (34), interchange the order of integration, and evaluate. First, we do this for
 39 the two integrals coming from the term belonging to $(n, m) = (1, 0)$. We follow
 40 the convention that defines the K -Bessel function via the integral

$$K_{\sigma}(a, b) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-a^2 t - b^2/t} t^{\sigma} \frac{dt}{t} \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$1^{1/2}$ In particular, it can be shown that

$$K_{-1/2}(a, b) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{b} e^{-2ab}.$$

Using this notation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^\delta e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^\delta e^{\xi/2} e^{-\xi^2/4t} d\xi \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} K_{-1/2}(1/2, -\xi/2) - \frac{e^{\xi/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} K_{-1/2}(1/2, -\xi/2) \right) d\xi \\ &+ \int_0^\delta \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} K_{-1/2}(1/2, \xi/2) - \frac{e^{\xi/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} K_{-1/2}(1/2, \xi/2) \right) d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{\xi} (e^\xi - e^{\xi/2}) d\xi + \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\xi} (e^{-\xi/2} - 1) d\xi \\ &= \log(2) + \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\xi} (e^{-\xi/2} - 1) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining terms, meaning when $(n, m) \neq (1, 0)$, we can integrate term by term to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_\delta^\infty e^{(1-n/2-nm)\xi} e^{-(n\xi)^2/4t} d\xi \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{e^{-t/4}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_\delta^\infty e^{(1/2-n/2-nm)\xi} e^{-(n\xi)^2/4t} d\xi \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} \int_\delta^\infty \left(\frac{e^{(1-n/2-nm)\xi}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} K_{-1/2}(1/2, n\xi/2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{e^{(1/2-n/2-nm)\xi}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} K_{-1/2}(1/2, n\xi/2) \right) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} \int_\delta^\infty \frac{1}{n\xi} (e^{(1-n-nm)\xi} - e^{(1/2-n-nm)\xi}) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Having explicitly evaluated these integrals, we now proceed to estimate the results. For the first case, we observe the trivial inequality

$$(35) \quad \log(2) + \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\xi} (e^{-\xi/2} - 1) d\xi = \log(2) - \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\xi} (1 - e^{-\xi/2}) d\xi \leq \log(2).$$

For the second case, we first note that for $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$, but $(n, m) \neq (1, 0)$, we have $n + nm \geq 2$, which leads to the trivial estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} \int_\delta^\infty \frac{1}{n\xi} (e^{(1-n-nm)\xi} - e^{(1/2-n-nm)\xi}) d\xi \right| \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} \int_\delta^\infty \frac{e^{(1-n-nm)\xi}}{n\xi} d\xi \leq \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} \sum_{(n,m) \neq (1,0)} \frac{e^{-n(m+1)\delta}}{n(n+nm-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

$1^{1/2}$ In order to further estimate the latter sum, we break it up into three parts, the first one given by $n \geq 2$ and $m = 0$, the second one by $n = 1$ and $m \geq 1$, and the third one by $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. For the first part, we have the upper bound

$$(36) \quad \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n\delta}}{n(n-1)} \leq \frac{2e^{-\delta}}{\delta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = \frac{2e^{-\delta}}{\delta} \leq \frac{2}{\delta}.$$

For the second part, we estimate

$$(37) \quad \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(m+1)\delta}}{m} \leq \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} e^{-\delta} \frac{e^{-\delta}}{1-e^{-\delta}} = \frac{2}{\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{e^\delta-1} \leq \frac{2}{\delta^2}.$$

Using the inequality $nm - 1 \geq 1$, we estimate for the third part

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n(m+1)\delta}}{n(n+nm-1)} &\leq \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2(m+1)\delta}}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{2e^\delta}{\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-2(m+1)\delta} = \frac{e^\delta}{\delta} e^{-2\delta} \frac{e^{-2\delta}}{1-e^{-2\delta}} = \frac{e^{-\delta}}{\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{2\delta}-1} \leq \frac{e^{-\delta}}{2\delta^2} \leq \frac{1}{2\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (33) with respect to t from 0 to ∞ and taking into account the estimates (35), (36), (37), and (38), we get the upper bound

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (H\text{Tr}K_{\text{hyp}}(t) - 1 + e^{-t/4}) \frac{dt}{t} \\ \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{e^\delta}^\infty f(u, t) d(\pi_X(u) - \text{li}(u) + \text{li}(u^{1/2})) \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{4\delta+5}{2\delta^2} + \log(2). \end{aligned}$$

In order to further estimate the right side of (39), we proceed as in the first part of the proof of [JK01, Th. 4.7 (see pp. 18–20)]. For this, we first note that a direct computation establishes the equality

$$F(u) = \int_0^\infty f(u, t) \frac{dt}{t} = -\log\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - u^{-(n+1)})\right),$$

which shows that the function $F(u)$ is decreasing in u . We now apply [JK01, Lem. 4.6] to the right side of (39) with $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$, with $\alpha = \min\{\lambda_{X,1}, 7/64\}$, and $\delta > 4$ to arrive at the upper bound

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{e^\delta}^\infty f(u, t) d(\pi_X(u) - \text{li}(u) + \text{li}(u^{1/2})) \frac{dt}{t} \\ \leq C'_X \int_{e^\delta}^\infty F(u) d\text{li}(u^{s_\varepsilon}) + 2C'_X F(e^\delta) \text{li}(e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C'_X = C_{\text{Hub},X} + N_{\text{ev},X}^{[0,1/4]} + 1$; see also the proof of [JK01, Th. 4.7]. Now, the inequality $-\log(1 - v^{-1}) \leq v^{-1}/(1 - e^{-\delta})$, which is valid for $v \geq e^\delta$, implies

$1^{1/2}$ the upper bound

$$F(u) \leq \frac{1}{1-e^{-\delta}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-(n+1)} = \frac{1}{1-e^{-\delta}} \cdot \frac{1}{u-1} \leq \frac{2}{\delta(1-e^{-\delta})} \cdot \frac{\log(u)}{u},$$

where the last inequality holds since $\log(u) \geq \delta > 4$. (Note: Although the factor $\log(u)/\delta$ in the above bound can be eliminated by estimating $F(u)$ by other means, the presence of this factor is helpful in the subsequent computations.) Using the elementary inequality $\text{li}(u) \leq 2u/\log(u)$ for $u > e^2$, we obtain

$$\frac{\delta}{e^\delta} \text{li}(e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}) \leq \frac{2}{s_\varepsilon} e^{-(1-s_\varepsilon)\delta}, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon < 7/64 \text{ and } \delta > 4.$$

We are now able to estimate the right side of (40) as

$$\begin{aligned} (41) \quad C'_X \int_{e^\delta}^{\infty} F(u) d\text{li}(u^{s_\varepsilon}) + 2C'_X F(e^\delta) \text{li}(e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}) \\ \leq \frac{2C'_X}{\delta(1-e^{-\delta})} \int_{e^\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\log(u)}{u} d\text{li}(u^{s_\varepsilon}) + \frac{4C'_X}{\delta(1-e^{-\delta})} \frac{\delta}{e^\delta} \text{li}(e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}) \\ = \frac{2C'_X}{\delta(1-e^{-\delta})} \cdot \frac{e^{-(1-s_\varepsilon)\delta}}{1-s_\varepsilon} + \frac{4C'_X}{e^\delta - 1} \text{li}(e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}) \\ \leq \frac{2C'_X}{\delta^2} \cdot \frac{s_\varepsilon e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}}{\varepsilon} + \frac{4C'_X}{e^\delta - 1} \cdot \frac{2e^\delta}{s_\varepsilon \delta} e^{-(1-s_\varepsilon)\delta} \\ \leq \frac{2C'_X e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + \frac{4}{s_\varepsilon} \right) \leq \frac{2C'_X e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}}{\delta^2} \left(5 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Combining (34) with the estimates (39), (40), and (41), we find the upper bound

$$-\log(Z'_X(1)) \leq - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma) \\ \ell_\gamma < \delta}} \log(Z_\gamma(1)) + \frac{2C'_X e^{s_\varepsilon \delta}}{\delta^2} \left(5 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) + \frac{4\delta+5}{2\delta^2} - \log(2).$$

Since we have assumed $\delta > 4$, we can simply choose $\delta = 5$. Observing $1/2 - \log(2) < 0$ and $2e^5/25 < 12$, we arrive at the claimed upper bound (31). \square

LEMMA 4.4. *With the above notations, we have the following results:*

- (i) For any $\gamma \in H(\Gamma)$ with $\ell_\gamma \in (0, 5)$, we have $0 \leq -\log(Z_\gamma(1)) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6\ell_\gamma}$.
- (ii) For any $\gamma \in H(\Gamma)$ with $\ell_\gamma > 0$, we have $0 \leq \frac{Z'_\gamma(1)}{Z_\gamma(1)} \leq 3 + \log\left(\frac{1}{\ell_\gamma}\right)$.

Proof. We start with the following observation. Consider the unique (up to scaling) cusp form of weight 12 with respect to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\Delta(z) = e^{2\pi iz} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi inz})^{24} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

$1^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ It satisfies the functional equation $\Delta(z) = (-z)^{-12}\Delta(-1/z)$. Upon setting $\frac{2}{3}$ $z = -l_\gamma/(2\pi i)$, we have $Z_\gamma(1)^{24} = e^{l_\gamma}\Delta(-l_\gamma/(2\pi i))$. Using the functional $\frac{3}{4}$ equation for $\Delta(z)$, we then obtain the relation

$$\frac{4}{5} \quad (42) \quad Z_\gamma(1)^{24} = e^{l_\gamma} (l_\gamma/(2\pi i))^{-12} \Delta(2\pi i/l_\gamma)$$

$$\frac{6}{7} \quad = e^{l_\gamma} (l_\gamma/(2\pi))^{-12} e^{-(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-(2\pi)^2 n/l_\gamma})^{24}.$$

$\frac{8}{8}$ We now turn to the proof of the lemma.

$\frac{9}{10}$ (i) From the product formula for $Z_\gamma(1)$, it is immediate that $Z_\gamma(1) \leq 1$ for $\frac{10}{11}$ all $l_\gamma \geq 0$; hence, we get the lower bound $-\log(Z_\gamma(1)) \geq 0$. Concerning the $\frac{11}{12}$ upper bound, we derive from (42) that

$$\frac{12}{13} \quad -\log(Z_\gamma(1)) = -\frac{l_\gamma}{24} + \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{l_\gamma}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6l_\gamma} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-(2\pi)^2 n/l_\gamma}).$$

$\frac{15}{16}$ We now use the elementary inequality $-\log(1 - x) \leq x/(1 - \sigma)$, which holds $\frac{16}{17}$ whenever $x \in [0, \sigma]$, and take $\sigma = e^{-(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma}$ to get

$$\frac{18}{19} \quad -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-(2\pi)^2 n/l_\gamma}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(2\pi)^2 n/l_\gamma} = \frac{e^{(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma}}{(e^{(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma} - 1)^2}.$$

$20^{1/2}$ $\frac{20}{21}$ Letting $u = (2\pi)^2/l_\gamma$, the upper bound becomes

$$\frac{22}{23} \quad \frac{e^u}{(e^u - 1)^2} = \frac{1}{e^u - 1} + \frac{1}{(e^u - 1)^2},$$

$\frac{24}{25}$ which is clearly monotone decreasing in u and hence monotone increasing in l_γ .

$\frac{25}{26}$ Therefore, for $l_\gamma < 5$, we obtain

$$\frac{26}{27} \quad \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{l_\gamma}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{e^{(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma}}{(e^{(2\pi)^2/l_\gamma} - 1)^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{5}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{e^{(2\pi)^2/5}}{(e^{(2\pi)^2/5} - 1)^2} \leq 0,$$

$\frac{28}{29}$ where the last estimate is obtained numerically. All this proves part (i).

$\frac{30}{31}$ (ii) We begin by writing

$$\frac{31}{32} \quad \frac{Z'_\gamma(1)}{Z_\gamma(1)} = l_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{nl_\gamma} - 1}.$$

$\frac{34}{35}$ Let $N \geq 1$ be the smallest integer no less than $1/l_\gamma$, that is, $N - 1 < 1/l_\gamma \leq N$.

$\frac{35}{36}$ If $n \geq N$, then $nl_\gamma \geq 1$; hence, $e^{nl_\gamma} \geq 2$. Observing $e^{nl_\gamma} - 1 \geq e^{nl_\gamma}/2$ gives

$$\frac{36}{37} \quad l_\gamma \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{nl_\gamma} - 1} \leq 2l_\gamma \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} e^{-nl_\gamma} = 2l_\gamma \frac{e^{-(N-1)l_\gamma}}{e^{l_\gamma} - 1} \leq \frac{2l_\gamma}{e^{l_\gamma} - 1} \leq 2.$$

$39^{1/2}$ $\frac{39}{40}$ For $1 \leq n < N$, we use the inequality $e^{nl_\gamma} - 1 \geq nl_\gamma$, which implies

$$\frac{41}{42} \quad l_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{e^{nl_\gamma} - 1} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n} \leq 1 + \log(N - 1) \leq 1 + \log\left(\frac{1}{l_\gamma}\right) \quad \text{and hence (ii). } \square$$

1
 1½ 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 20½ 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 39½ 40
 41
 42

THEOREM 4.5. For any X with genus $g_X > 1$, put

$$h(X) = g_X + \frac{1}{\lambda_X} \left(g_X (d_{\text{sup},X} + 1)^2 + C_{\text{Hub},X} + N_{\text{ev},X}^{[0,1/4]} \right) + \frac{1}{\ell_X} N_{\text{geo},X}^{(0,5)},$$

with $\lambda_X = 1/2 \cdot \min\{\lambda_{X,1}, 7/64\}$ and ℓ_X equal to the length of the smallest geodesic on X . Then we have the bound $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X) = O(h(X))$ with an implied constant that is universal.

Proof. The result is a summary of the inequalities derived in this section, namely Propositions 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, which are then applied to Theorem 3.8, taking, for example, $\varepsilon = \lambda_X$ in Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. \square

COROLLARY 4.6. Let X_1 be a finite degree cover of the compact Riemann surface X_0 of genus $g_{X_0} > 1$. Then we have the bound

$$\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_1) = O_{X_0} \left(g_{X_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_{X_1,1}} \right) \right).$$

In particular, if $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a tower of finite degree covers of X_0 such that there exists a constant $c > 0$ satisfying $\lambda_{X_n,1} \geq c > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, we have the bound $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_n) = O_{X_0}(g_{X_n})$.

Proof. We analyze the bound obtained in Theorem 4.5. The quantity $N_{\text{ev},X_1}^{[0,1/4]}$ is known to have order $O(g_{X_1})$ with an implied constant that is universal; see [Bus92, p. 211] or [Zog82]. The main result in [Don96] states the bound $d_{\text{sup},X_1} = O_{X_0}(1)$; see also [JK02b], [JK04], and [JK06b] with related results. In [JK02a, Th. 3.4], it is shown that $C_{\text{Hub},X_1} = O_{X_0}(g_{X_1})$. As discussed in the proof of [JK01, Th. 4.11], $N_{\text{geo},X_1}^{(0,5)} = O_{X_0}(g_{X_1})$ (specifically, recall the definition of $r_{\Gamma_0, \Gamma}$ therein). Trivially, one has $\ell_{X_1} \geq \ell_{X_0}$. With all this, we have shown that $h(X) = O_{X_0}(g_{X_1} + g_{X_1}/\lambda_{X_1})$. By choosing $\lambda_{X_1} = 1/2 \cdot \min\{\lambda_{X_1,1}, 7/64\}$, the result follows. \square

Remark 4.7. We view Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 as complementing known theorems answering the asymptotic behavior of Faltings’s delta function for a degenerating family of algebraic curves that approach the Deligne-Mumford boundary of the moduli space of stable curves of a fixed positive genus, as first proved in [J90]. The expressions derived in [J90] were well suited for answering the question of the asymptotic behavior of $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ through degeneration, but do not appear to allow one to bound $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ in terms of more elementary information concerning X , as in Theorem 4.5 or Corollary 4.6. On the other hand, the exact expression for $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ in terms of hyperbolic geometry could possibly be used to understand $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X)$ through degeneration. Indeed, c_X and $\log(Z'_X(1))$ are studied in [JL97] through degeneration, so it would remain to adapt the analysis in [JL97] to study the integral that we bound in Proposition 4.1.

5. Applications to the modular curves $X_0(N)$

1^{1/2}

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

In this section we focus on the sequence of modular curves $X_0(N)$. The purpose is to bound the geometric quantities in [Theorem 4.5](#) in more elementary terms in order to prove an analogue of [Corollary 4.6](#) for the sequence of modular curves $X_0(N)$, which admit hyperbolic metrics. As stated earlier, the set of modular curves $X_0(N)$ that admit hyperbolic metrics does not form a single tower of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, and hence the results cited in the proof of [Corollary 4.6](#) do not apply. However, the family of hyperbolic modular curves forms a different structure, which we refer to as a “net”. More specifically, there is a sequence of hyperbolic modular curves, which we parametrize by a set of integers $\mathcal{B}(p_0)$, and every hyperbolic modular curve is a finite degree cover of (possibly several) modular curves corresponding to elements of $\mathcal{B}(p_0)$. In effect, we bound the quantities in [Theorem 4.5](#) by first obtaining uniform bounds for all modular curves that correspond to elements in $\mathcal{B}(p_0)$, after which we use bounds through covers by citing the results that prove [Corollary 4.6](#).

In the following definition, \mathbb{P} denotes the set of primes.

18

19

Definition 5.1. (i) We call $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *base hyperbolic* if $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$ and if there exists no proper divisor N' of N with $g_{X_0(N')} > 1$.

20^{1/2}

21

(ii) For $p_0 \in \mathbb{P}$, set

22

23

$\mathcal{B}_1(p_0) = \{N \text{ base hyperbolic} \mid N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}, p_j \leq p_0, j = 1, \dots, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

24

(iii) For $p_0 \in \mathbb{P}$ with $g_{X_0(p_0)} > 1$, set $\mathcal{B}_2(p_0) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p > p_0\}$.

25

26

(iv) For $p_0 \in \mathbb{P}$ with $g_{X_0(p_0)} > 1$, set $\mathcal{B}(p_0) = \mathcal{B}_1(p_0) \cup \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$.

27

Remark 5.2. (i) For instance, one can choose $p_0 = 23$.

28

(ii) The set $\mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$ is obviously finite.

29

30

(iii) For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$, there exists an either $N' \mid N$ with $N' \in \mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$ or a $p \mid N$ with $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. In other words, one can state that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$, there exists $N' \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$ such that $X_0(N)$ is a finite cover of $X_0(N')$.

31

32

33

34

35

36

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Suppose $N > N_0$ is such that $X_0(N)$ has genus $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$. Then there are positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3 , and c_4 , all independent of N , satisfying*

37

38

(a) $\lambda_{X_0(N),1} \geq c_1$,

39^{1/2}

40

(b) $N_{\text{ev}, X_0(N)}^{[0,1/4]} \leq c_2 \cdot g_{X_0(N)}$,

41

(c) $\ell_{X_0(N)} \geq c_3$, and

42

(d) $N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5]} \leq c_4 \cdot g_{X_0(N)}$.

$1\frac{1}{2}$ *Proof.* (a) We recall from [Bro99, Th. 3.1] that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{X(N),1} \geq 5/36.$$

Hence, there is a constant $c_1 > 0$, independent of N , such that $\lambda_{X(N),1} \geq c_1$ for all $N > N_0$. Since $X(N)$ is a cover of $X_0(N)$, the Raleigh quotient method for estimating eigenvalues, which shows that the smallest eigenvalue decreases through covers, now implies that $\lambda_{X(N),1} \leq \lambda_{X_0(N),1}$. This proves (a).

(b) This part of the claim follows immediately by quoting the known universal lower bound for the number of small eigenvalues applied to the special case of the modular curves $X_0(N)$. In fact, one can choose $c_2 = 4$; see [Bus92] or [Cha84, p. 251].

(c) Let $X_0(N) \cong \Delta_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ with $\Delta_0(N)$ a torsionfree and cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that $\pi_1(X_0(N)) \cong \Delta_0(N)$ and that each homotopy class in $\pi_1(X_0(N))$ can be uniquely represented by a closed geodesic path on $X_0(N)$. Thus, we have a bijection between the elements $\gamma \in \Delta_0(N)$ and closed geodesic paths β on $X_0(N)$ (with a fixed initial point); note that the quantity ℓ_γ introduced in Section 2.4 equals the length $\ell_{X_0(N)}(\beta)$ of β .

Let p_0 be as in Definition 5.1. Let $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. The hyperbolic Riemann surface $X_0(p_0p)$ is a cover of $X_0(p)$ of degree $p_0 + 1$. Let β be any closed geodesic path on $X_0(p)$ corresponding to $\gamma \in \Delta_0(p)$ of length $\ell_{X_0(p)}(\beta) = \ell_\gamma$. Then there exists a minimal $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq d \leq p_0 + 1$ such that $\gamma' = \gamma^d \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$. The element $\gamma' \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$ corresponds to a closed geodesic path β' on $X_0(p_0p)$ of length $\ell_{X_0(p_0p)}(\beta') = d \cdot \ell_{X_0(p)}(\beta)$.

On the other hand, $X_0(p_0p)$ is a finite cover of $X_0(p_0)$; hence $\Delta_0(p_0p)$ is a subgroup of $\Delta_0(p_0)$. Viewing $\gamma' \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$ as an element of $\Delta_0(p_0)$, we see that any closed geodesic path β' on $X_0(p_0p)$ descends to a closed geodesic path β'' on $X_0(p_0)$ of the same length. This proves the inequality $\ell_{X_0(p_0p)} \geq \ell_{X_0(p_0)}$. In particular, we find for any closed geodesic path β on $X_0(p)$ of length $\ell_{X_0(p)}(\beta)$ lifting to the closed geodesic path β' on $X_0(p_0p)$ of length $d \cdot \ell_{X_0(p)}(\beta)$ the estimate

$$\ell_{X_0(p)}(\beta) = \frac{\ell_{X_0(p_0p)}(\beta')}{d} \geq \frac{\ell_{X_0(p_0p)}(\beta')}{p_0 + 1} \geq \frac{\ell_{X_0(p_0p)}}{p_0 + 1} \geq \frac{\ell_{X_0(p_0)}}{p_0 + 1}.$$

Therefore, we have for any $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$ the bound $\ell_{X_0(p)} \geq \ell_{X_0(p_0)}/(p_0 + 1)$. We now define

$$c_3 = \min_{N \in \mathcal{B}_1(p_0)} \{\ell_{X_0(N)}, \ell_{X_0(p_0)}/(p_0 + 1)\} \leq \inf_{N \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)} \{\ell_{X_0(N)}\},$$

which depends solely on p_0 . Since $\mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$ is finite and $\ell_{X_0(N)}$ is positive for any $N \in \mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$, we conclude that c_3 is positive. Now, for any modular curve $X_0(N)$ with $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$, choose $N' \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$ so that $X_0(N)$ is a finite cover of $X_0(N')$. Using the lower bound $\ell_{X_0(N)} \geq \ell_{X_0(N')}$, together with the inequality

$1^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\ell_{X_0(N')} \geq c_3$ for $N' \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$, we find that $\ell_{X_0(N)} \geq c_3$, which completes the proof of part (c).

$\frac{3}{4}$ (d) As in the proof of part (c), we let $X_0(N) \cong \Delta_0(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ with $\Delta_0(N)$ a torsionfree and cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let p_0 be as in [Definition 5.1](#), and let $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. Recalling our notations given in [Section 2.4](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{7} \quad N_{\mathrm{geo}, X_0(p)}^{(0,5)} &= \#\{\gamma \in \Delta_0(p) \mid \gamma \in H(\Delta_0(p)), \ell_\gamma < 5\} \\ \frac{8}{9} \quad &= \#\{\gamma \in \Delta_0(p) \mid \gamma \text{ primitive, hyperbolic, } \ell_\gamma < 5\} / \Delta_0(p)\text{-conjugacy} \\ &\leq \#\{\gamma \in \Delta_0(p) \mid \gamma \text{ primitive, hyperbolic, } \ell_\gamma < 5\} / \Delta_0(p_0p)\text{-conjugacy.} \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{10}{11}$ We introduce the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{12}{13} \quad \mathcal{C}(p) &= \{\gamma \in \Delta_0(p) \mid \gamma \text{ primitive, hyperbolic, } \ell_\gamma < 5\} / \Delta_0(p_0p)\text{-conjugacy,} \\ \mathcal{C}'(p_0p) &= \{\gamma' \in \Delta_0(p_0p) \mid \gamma' \text{ hyperbolic, } \ell_{\gamma'} < 5(p_0 + 1)\} / \Delta_0(p_0p)\text{-conjugacy.} \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{14}{15}$ As in the proof of part (c), we find for any $\gamma \in \Delta_0(p)$ a minimal $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq d \leq p_0 + 1$ such that $\gamma' = \gamma^d \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$; note that for $\gamma \in \Delta_0(p)$ with $\ell_\gamma < 5$, we have $\ell_{\gamma'} < 5d \leq 5(p_0 + 1)$. By associating the $\Delta_0(p_0p)$ -conjugacy class of $\gamma \in \Delta_0(p)$, with γ primitive and hyperbolic and with $\ell_\gamma < 5$, to the $\Delta_0(p_0p)$ -conjugacy class of $\gamma' = \gamma^d \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$, with γ' hyperbolic and with $\ell_{\gamma'} < 5(p_0 + 1)$, we obtain a well-defined map

$$\frac{17}{18} \quad \varphi : \mathcal{C}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'(p_0p).$$

$\frac{19}{20}$ Let now $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2] \in \mathcal{C}(p)$ be such that $\varphi([\gamma_1]) = \varphi([\gamma_2])$, i.e., there exists $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq d_1, d_2 \leq p_0 + 1$ and $\delta \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$ such that $\gamma_1^{d_1} = \delta \gamma_2^{d_2} \delta^{-1}$. Since γ_1, γ_2 are hyperbolic elements, there exists an $\alpha \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\frac{21}{22} \quad \alpha \gamma_1^{d_1} \alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\ell} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha (\delta \gamma_2^{d_2} \delta^{-1}) \alpha^{-1}$$

$\frac{23}{24}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, i.e., we have

$$\frac{25}{26} \quad \gamma_1 = \alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell/d_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\ell/d_1} \end{pmatrix} \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \gamma_2 \delta^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\ell/d_2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\ell/d_2} \end{pmatrix} \alpha.$$

$\frac{27}{28}$ This shows that γ_1 and $\delta \gamma_2 \delta^{-1}$ commute in $\Delta_0(p)$, i.e., $\delta \gamma_2 \delta^{-1} \in \mathrm{Cent}_{\Delta_0(p)}(\gamma_1)$.

$\frac{29}{30}$ Since γ_1 is primitive, it generates its own centralizer, that is, $\delta \gamma_2 \delta^{-1} = \gamma_1^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. But since $\delta \gamma_2 \delta^{-1}$ is also primitive, we must have $n = \pm 1$. This proves $[\gamma_1] = [\gamma_2^{\pm 1}]$, i.e., the map φ is two-to-one. From this we immediately deduce the estimate $N_{\mathrm{geo}, X_0(p)}^{(0,5)} \leq \#\mathcal{C}(p) \leq 2 \cdot \#\mathcal{C}'(p_0p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. Introducing the set

$$\frac{31}{32} \quad \mathcal{C}''(p_0) = \{\gamma'' \in \Delta_0(p_0) \mid \gamma'' \text{ hyperbolic, } \ell_{\gamma''} < 5(p_0 + 1)\} / \Delta_0(p_0)\text{-conjugacy,}$$

$39^{1/2}$ $\frac{33}{34}$ we have the obvious map $\varphi' : \mathcal{C}'(p_0p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}''(p_0)$ given by associating the $\Delta_0(p_0p)$ -conjugacy class of $\gamma' \in \Delta_0(p_0p)$ with γ' hyperbolic and $\ell_{\gamma'} < 5(p_0 + 1)$ to the $\Delta_0(p_0)$ -conjugacy class of γ' viewed as an element of $\Delta_0(p_0)$. Since

$1^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $[\Delta_0(p_0) : \Delta_0(p_0p)] = p + 1$, at most $(p + 1)$ $\Delta_0(p_0p)$ -conjugacy classes collapse
 $\frac{2}{3}$ to a single $\Delta_0(p_0)$ -conjugacy class, i.e., φ' maps at most $p + 1$ elements of
 $\frac{3}{4}$ $\mathcal{C}'(p_0p)$ to the same element of $\mathcal{C}''(p_0)$. Therefore, we obtain the estimate

$$\frac{4}{5} N_{\text{geo}, X_0(p)}^{[0,5]} \leq 2 \cdot \#\mathcal{C}'(p_0p) \leq 2(p + 1) \cdot \#\mathcal{C}''(p_0).$$

$\frac{6}{7}$ Since the set $\mathcal{C}''(p_0)$ depends solely on p_0 and since the set $\mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$ is finite, we
 $\frac{7}{8}$ arrive at the bound

$$\frac{8}{9} N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5]} = O(g_{X_0(N)}) \quad \text{for any } N \in \mathcal{B}(p_0),$$

$\frac{10}{11}$ with an implied constant depending solely on p_0 . Finally, in general and in
 $\frac{11}{12}$ particular for $N \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$, it is well known (see for example [Hej76, p. 45]) that

$$\frac{12}{13} \#\{\gamma \in \Delta_0(N) \mid \gamma \text{ hyperbolic, } \ell_\gamma < 5\} / \Delta_0(N)\text{-conjugacy} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5/n]}.$$

$\frac{14}{15}$ But from part (c), we know that $N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5/n]} = 0$ provided $5/n < c_3$, i.e., we
 $\frac{16}{17}$ have $n \leq 5/c_3$ in the above sum. Therefore, we find

$$\frac{18}{19} (43) \quad \#\{\gamma \in \Delta_0(N) \mid \gamma \text{ hyperbolic, } \ell_\gamma < 5\} / \Delta_0(N)\text{-conjugacy} \\ \frac{20}{21} \leq \left\lceil \frac{5}{c_3} \right\rceil \cdot N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5]} = O(g_{X_0(N)})$$

$\frac{22}{23}$ for any $N \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$, with an implied constant that depends solely on p_0 .

$\frac{24}{25}$ To complete the proof of part (d), let now $X_0(N)$ be any modular curve
 $\frac{26}{27}$ with $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$. By definition, we have that $N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5]}$ is equal to

$$\frac{28}{29} \#\{\gamma \in \Delta_0(N) \mid \gamma \text{ primitive, hyperbolic, } \ell_\gamma < 5\} / \Delta_0(N)\text{-conjugacy}.$$

$\frac{30}{31}$ Given N , choose $N' \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$ so that $X_0(N)$ is a finite cover of $X_0(N')$. We
 $\frac{32}{33}$ then associate the $\Delta_0(N)$ -conjugacy class of $\gamma \in \Delta_0(N)$ with γ primitive and
 $\frac{34}{35}$ hyperbolic and with $\ell_\gamma < 5$ to the $\Delta_0(N')$ -conjugacy class of γ viewed as
 $\frac{36}{37}$ an element of $\Delta_0(N')$. Since at most $\deg(X_0(N)/X_0(N'))$ $\Delta_0(N)$ -conjugacy
 $\frac{38}{39}$ classes collapse to a single $\Delta_0(N')$ -conjugacy class, we find by arguing as before
 $\frac{40}{41}$ that

$$\frac{42}{43} N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5]} \leq \deg(X_0(N)/X_0(N')) \\ \times \#\{\gamma' \in \Delta_0(N') \mid \gamma' \text{ hyperbolic, } \ell_{\gamma'} < 5\} / \Delta_0(N')\text{-conjugacy}.$$

$\frac{44}{45}$ By equation (43), we conclude

$$\frac{46}{47} N_{\text{geo}, X_0(N)}^{[0,5]} = \deg(X_0(N)/X_0(N')) \cdot O(g_{X_0(N')}),$$

$39^{1/2}$ $\frac{48}{49}$ where the implied constant depends solely on p_0 . The proof of part (d) is now
 $\frac{50}{51}$ complete since $\deg(X_0(N)/X_0(N')) \cdot g_{X_0(N')} = O(g_{X_0(N)})$ with an implied
 $\frac{52}{53}$ constant that is universal. \square

1 PROPOSITION 5.4. Choose $N > N_0$ so that $X_0(N)$ has genus $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$.
 2 Then we have the bound $d_{\text{sup}, X_0(N)} = O(1)$, where the implied constant is
 3 independent of N .

4 *Proof.* For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_0(n) = \Gamma_0(n) \backslash \mathbb{H}$, so that $X_0(n)$ is (isomorphic to)
 5 the compactification of $Y_0(n)$ by adding the cusps and re-uniformizing at the
 6 elliptic fixed points. For n_1 a divisor of n_2 , denote by $\pi_{n_2, n_1} : X_0(n_2) \rightarrow X_0(n_1)$
 7 the natural projection. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $B(\varepsilon) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < \varepsilon\}$ be
 8 equipped with the complete hyperbolic metric

$$9 \mu_{\text{hyp}, B(\varepsilon)}(w) = \frac{i}{2} \cdot \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1-|w|^2)^2}.$$

10 Denote by $X'_0(1)$ the Riemann surface obtained from $X_0(1)$ by removing neigh-
 11 borhoods centered at the three points corresponding to the unique cusp and the
 12 two elliptic fixed points of $Y_0(1)$. Let $X'_0(N) = \pi_{N,1}^{-1}(X'_0(1))$; we may assume
 13 that

$$14 X'_0(N) = X_0(N) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^s U_k,$$

15 where the neighborhoods U_k are isometric to the complex disc $B(\varepsilon)$.

16 In this proof, we will use the hyperbolic metric on $X_0(N)$ and $Y_0(N)$;
 17 we will distinguish them by respectively denoting them by $\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}$ and
 18 $\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y_0(N)}$. (This is slightly different from our previous notation and will be
 19 used in this proof alone.) For $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^s U_k$, we now have

$$20 \mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x) \geq \frac{i}{2} dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x),$$

21 which leads to the estimate

$$22 \frac{g_{X_0(N)} \cdot \mu_{\text{can}, X_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{g_{X_0(N)}} |f_j(z(x))|^2.$$

23 Since the functions $f_j(z(x))$ for $j = 1, \dots, g_{X_0(N)}$ are bounded and holomor-
 24 phic on the neighborhoods U_k for $k = 1, \dots, s$, the functions $|f_j(z(x))|^2$ are
 25 subharmonic on U_k , as is the sum of these functions (see for example [Rud66,
 26 p. 362]). By the strong maximum principle for subharmonic functions (see for
 27 example [GT83, Th. 2.2, p. 15]), we then have

$$28 \sup_{x \in U_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g_{X_0(N)}} |f_j(z(x))|^2 \right) \leq \sup_{x \in \partial U_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g_{X_0(N)}} |f_j(z(x))|^2 \right) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, s.$$

29 In the given local coordinate, the conformal factor for the hyperbolic metric is
 30 constant on ∂U_k . Thus we have shown that

$$31 \sup_{x \in U_k} \left(\frac{g_{X_0(N)} \cdot \mu_{\text{can}, X_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x)} \right) = O_\varepsilon \left(\sup_{x \in \partial U_k} \left(\frac{g_{X_0(N)} \cdot \mu_{\text{can}, X_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x)} \right) \right).$$

1^{1/2} Therefore, in order to prove the proposition, it suffices to show

$$\sup_{x \in X'_0(N)} \left(\frac{g_{X_0(N)} \cdot \mu_{\text{can}, X_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x)} \right) = O(1)$$

with an implied constant that is independent of N . Recalling that $\mu_{\text{can}, X_0(N)}$ on $X'_0(N)$ equals $\mu_{\text{can}, Y_0(N)}$ on $Y'_0(N) = Y_0(N) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^s U_k$, we can consider the formal identity

$$(44) \quad \frac{g_{X_0(N)} \cdot \mu_{\text{can}, X_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x)} = \frac{g_{X_0(N)} \cdot \mu_{\text{can}, Y_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y_0(N)}(x)} \cdot \frac{\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}(x)}$$

on the set $X'_0(N) = Y'_0(N)$. The argument given in [Don96], [JK02b], or [JK04] proves a sup-norm bound for the ratio of the canonical metric by the hyperbolic metric through compact covers; however, the argument is adapted easily to towers of noncompact surfaces when restricting attention to compact subsets, such as the subsets $Y'_0(N)$. Thus, the first factor on the right side of (44) is bounded through covers, with a bound depending solely on the base $Y_0(1)$, i.e., one that is independent of N . For the second factor on the right side of (44), we argue as follows. Put

$$F(N) = \sup_{x \in Y'_0(N)} \frac{\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y'_0(N)}(x)}{\mu_{\text{hyp}, X'_0(N)}(x)},$$

where

$$\mu_{\text{hyp}, X'_0(N)} = \mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}|_{X'_0(N)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\text{hyp}, Y'_0(N)} = \mu_{\text{hyp}, Y_0(N)}|_{Y'_0(N)}.$$

The quantity $F(N)$ is easily shown to be finite, since $\mu_{\text{hyp}, X_0(N)}$ is nonvanishing everywhere on the compact Riemann surface $X_0(N)$, and $\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y_0(N)}$ is nonvanishing on $Y_0(N)$ and decaying at the cusps of $Y_0(N)$. Let then p_0 be as in Definition 5.1, and let $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. Since $X'_0(p_0p)$ is an unramified cover of $X'_0(p)$ and $Y'_0(p_0p)$ is an unramified cover of $Y'_0(p)$, we have (denoting both covering maps by $\pi'_{p_0p,p}$)

$$\pi'^*_{p_0p,p}(\mu_{\text{hyp}, X'_0(p)}) = \mu_{\text{hyp}, X'_0(p_0p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi'^*_{p_0p,p}(\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y'_0(p)}) = \mu_{\text{hyp}, Y'_0(p_0p)}.$$

Hence $F(p_0p) = F(p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. Symmetrically, $X'_0(p_0p)$ and $Y'_0(p_0p)$ are unramified covers of $X'_0(p_0)$ and $Y'_0(p_0)$, respectively, which analogously implies (denoting both covering maps by π'_{p_0p,p_0})

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_{p_0p,p_0*}(\pi'^*_{p_0p,p}(\mu_{\text{hyp}, X'_0(p)})) &= (p+1) \cdot \mu_{\text{hyp}, X'_0(p_0)}, \\ \pi'_{p_0p,p_0*}(\pi'^*_{p_0p,p}(\mu_{\text{hyp}, Y'_0(p)})) &= (p+1) \cdot \mu_{\text{hyp}, Y'_0(p_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(p_0p) = F(p_0)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. In summary, $F(p) = F(p_0)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. Since the set $\mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$ is finite, we have

$$c = \sup_{N \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)} \{F(N)\} = \sup_{N \in \mathcal{B}_1(p_0)} \{F(N), F(p_0)\} < \infty,$$

1 which just depends on p_0 . It remains to bound $F(N)$ for any N such that $X_0(N)$
 2 is a modular curve with $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$. Given such an N , we choose $N' \in \mathfrak{B}(p_0)$
 3 so that $X_0(N)$ is a finite cover of $X_0(N')$. Noting that $X'_0(N)$ and $Y'_0(N)$ are
 4 unramified covers of $X'_0(N')$ and $Y'_0(N')$, respectively, of the same degree, we
 5 show as above that $F(N) = F(N')$. Since $F(N') \leq c$, we find $F(N) \leq c$ with
 6 c depending solely on p_0 and hence being independent of N . This completes
 7 the proof. \square

8
 9 PROPOSITION 5.5. *Choose $N > N_0$ so that $X_0(N)$ has genus $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$.
 10 Then $C_{\text{Hub}, X_0(N)} = O(g_{X_0(N)})$, where the implied constant is universal, i.e.,
 11 independent of N .*

12 *Proof.* Before entering into the proof we begin with the following general
 13 observation. Let X_1 be a finite isometric cover of the compact Riemann surface
 14 X_0 of genus $g_{X_0} > 1$. As usual, if $\lambda_{X_1, j}$ is an eigenvalue for the hyperbolic
 15 Laplacian on X_1 satisfying $\lambda_{X_1, j} \geq 1/4$, we write $\lambda_{X_1, j} = 1/4 + r_{X_1, j}^2$ with
 16 $r_{X_1, j} \geq 0$. For $r \geq 0$, we put

$$N_{X_1}(r) = \#\{r_{X_1, j} \mid 0 \leq r_{X_1, j} \leq r\}.$$

17
 18
 19 Similarly, we can define $N_{X_0, \psi}(r)$, if ψ is a finite dimensional, unitary represen-
 20 tation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X_0)$ of X_0 . From [Ven81, Th. 6.2.2] (see
 21 also [JK02a, Lem. 3.2(e)]), we recall that the system of functions $N_{X_1}(r)$ and
 22 $\{N_{X_0, \psi}(r)\}$ satisfies the additive Artin formalism, i.e.,

$$N_{X_1}(r) = \sum_{\psi} \text{mult}(\psi) \cdot N_{X_0, \psi}(r),$$

23
 24
 25 where the sum is taken over all irreducible representations ψ occurring with
 26 multiplicity $\text{mult}(\psi)$ in the representation $\text{ind}_{\pi_1(X_1)}^{\pi_1(X_0)}(\mathbf{1})$.
 27

28 After these preliminary remarks, we begin the proof of Proposition 5.5.
 29 For this, we let p_0 be as in Definition 5.1, and we let $p \in \mathfrak{B}_2(p_0)$. Since $X_0(p_0p)$
 30 is a finite isometric cover of $X_0(p_0)$, we have by the additive Artin formalism

$$N_{X_0(p_0p)}(r) = \sum_{\psi} \text{mult}(\psi) \cdot N_{X_0(p_0), \psi}(r).$$

31
 32
 33 Now, by [JK02a, Lem. 3.3], there is a constant A_{p_0} depending solely on p_0 such
 34 that

$$|N_{X_0(p_0), \psi}(r)| \leq A_{p_0} \cdot \text{rk}(\psi) \cdot r^2.$$

35
 36 Using the relation $\sum_{\psi} \text{mult}(\psi) \cdot \text{rk}(\psi) = \deg(X_0(p_0p)/X_0(p_0)) = p + 1$, we
 37 find
 38

$$39^{1/2} \quad N_{X_0(p_0p)}(r) \leq A_{p_0} \sum_{\psi} \text{mult}(\psi) \cdot \text{rk}(\psi) \cdot r^2 = A_{p_0} \cdot (p + 1) \cdot r^2.$$

40
 41 On the other hand, viewing $X_0(p_0p)$ as a finite isometric cover of $X_0(p)$, we get
 42 the trivial estimate $N_{X_0(p)}(r) \leq N_{X_0(p_0p)}(r)$, since every eigenfunction on $X_0(p)$

$1^{1/2}$ lifts to an eigenfunction on $X_0(p_0p)$ with the same eigenvalue. Combining the
 2 last two inequalities yields the crucial bound

$$3 \quad (45) \quad N_{X_0(p)}(r) \leq A_{p_0} \cdot (p+1) \cdot r^2.$$

4 The bound (45) leads to a bound of the Huber constant $C_{\text{Hub},X_0(p)}$ for
 5 $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$. To see how, we analyze the proof of the prime geodesic theorem
 6 on $X_0(p)$ as given in [Cha84, pp. 295–300], which we now review.

7 Let $G(T) = \pi_{X_0(p)}(u)$ with $T = \log(u)$ be the prime geodesic counting
 8 function. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a nonnegative C^∞ -function with support on $[-1, +1]$ with
 9 L^1 -norm equal to one. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, to be chosen later, let $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}\varphi(x/\varepsilon)$,
 10 and let $I_T(x)$ be the indicator function of $[-T, +T]$. We define

$$12 \quad g_T^\varepsilon(x) = 2 \cosh(x/2)(I_T * \varphi_\varepsilon)(x),$$

13 which is a valid test function for the Selberg trace formula whose Fourier
 14 transform is denoted by $h_T^\varepsilon(r)$. If we define

$$16 \quad H_\varepsilon(T) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(\Gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell_\gamma}{e^{n\ell_\gamma/2} - e^{-n\ell_\gamma/2}} g_T^\varepsilon(\ell_\gamma),$$

17 the Selberg trace formula yields

$$19 \quad (46) \quad H_\varepsilon(T) = \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4} h_T^\varepsilon(s_{X_0(p),j}) + \int_0^\infty h_T^\varepsilon(r) dN_{X_0(p)}(r).$$

20 By taking $\varepsilon = e^{-T/4}$, it is shown on [Cha84, p. 298] that

$$21 \quad h_T^\varepsilon(s_{X_0(p),j}) = E_T(s_{X_0(p),j}) + O(\varepsilon \cdot \exp(s_{X_0(p),j}T)), \quad \text{where } E_T(x) = e^{Tx}/x.$$

22 Since $1/2 < s_{X_0(p),j} \leq 1$ and $N_{\text{ev},X_0(p)}^{[0,1/4]} = O(g_{X_0(p)}) = O(p+1)$ by
 23 Proposition 5.3(b), this leads to

$$24 \quad (47) \quad \sum h_T^\varepsilon(s_{X_0(p),j}) = \sum E_T(s_{X_0(p),j}) + (p+1) \cdot O(e^{3T/4}),$$

25 where the sums are taken over $0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4$ and where the implied con-
 26 stant is universal. Continuing with the argument on [Cha84, p. 299], together
 27 with our bound (45), we find that

$$28 \quad (48) \quad \int_0^\infty h_T^\varepsilon(r) dN_{X_0(p)}(r) = (p+1) \cdot O_{p_0}(e^{3T/4}),$$

29 where the implied constant depends solely on p_0 . Substituting (47) and (48)
 30 into (46) yields

$$31 \quad (49) \quad H_\varepsilon(T) = \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4} E_T(s_{X_0(p),j}) + (p+1) \cdot O_{p_0}(e^{3T/4}),$$

32 where the implied constant depends solely on p_0 .

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Let

$$H(T) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in H(\Gamma), n \geq 1 \\ nl_\gamma \leq T}} \frac{\ell_\gamma}{e^{nl_\gamma/2} - e^{-nl_\gamma/2}}.$$

One has $H_\varepsilon(T - \varepsilon) \leq H(T) \leq H_\varepsilon(T + \varepsilon)$, which follows easily from the definition of $g_T^\varepsilon(x)$. Using these bounds together with the elementary estimates

$$E_{T \pm \varepsilon}(s_{X_0(p),j}) = E_T(s_{X_0(p),j}) + O(e^{3T/4}),$$

we get

$$\sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4} E_{T \pm \varepsilon}(s_{X_0(p),j}) = \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4} E_T(s_{X_0(p),j}) + N_{\text{ev}, X_0(p)}^{[0,1/4]} \cdot O(e^{3T/4}),$$

where the implied constant is universal. Using [Proposition 5.3\(b\)](#) again, we arrive at the bound

$$(49) \quad H(T) = \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4} E_T(s_{X_0(p),j}) + (p+1) \cdot O_{p_0}(e^{3T/4}),$$

where the implied constant depends solely on p_0 .

The prime geodesic theorem, i.e., the asymptotic behavior of the function $G(T)$, can now be derived applying standard methods from (49) (see [[Cha84](#), pp. 296–297] for a detailed proof). In order to arrive at the assertion

$$\pi_{X_0(p)}(u) - \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{X_0(p),j} < 1/4} \text{li}(u^{s_{X_0(p),j}}) = (p+1) \cdot O_{p_0}(u^{3/4}(\log(u))^{-1}),$$

one needs to also use [Proposition 5.3\(b\)](#) in the derivation of the asymptotics of $G(T)$ from (49). Finally, since $u^{3/4}(\log(u))^{-1} \leq u^{3/4}(\log(u))^{-1/2}$, we conclude that $C_{\text{Hub}, X_0(p)} = O(p+1) = O(g_{X_0(p)})$ for any $p \in \mathcal{B}_2(p_0)$, with an implied constant that depends solely on p_0 . Since the set $\mathcal{B}_1(p_0)$ is finite, we end up with the estimate $C_{\text{Hub}, X_0(N)} = O(g_{X_0(N)})$ for any $N \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$, again with an implied constant that depends solely on p_0 .

Finally, given any modular curve $X_0(N)$ with $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$, we choose $N' \in \mathcal{B}(p_0)$ so that $X_0(N)$ is a finite cover of $X_0(N')$. Then (15) states that

$$C_{\text{Hub}, X_0(N)} \leq \deg(X_0(N)/X_0(N')) \cdot C_{\text{Hub}, X_0(N')}.$$

Since we showed above that $C_{\text{Hub}, X_0(N')} = O(g_{X_0(N)})$ with implied constant depending only on p_0 , and since $\deg(X_0(N)/X_0(N')) \cdot g_{X_0(N')} = O(g_{X_0(N)})$ with a universal implied constant, the proof is now complete. \square

THEOREM 5.6. *Let $N > N_0$ be such that $X_0(N)$ has genus $g_{X_0(N)} > 1$. Then, we have $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N)) = O(g_{X_0(N)})$, where the implied constant is universal, i.e., independent of N .*

Proof. Beginning with [Theorem 4.5](#), we follow the method of proof of [Corollary 4.6](#) by citing results from this section, namely [Propositions 5.3, 5.4](#),

$1^{1/2}$ and 5.5 to bound the six geometric invariants, aside from the genus $g_{X_0(N)}$ appearing in Theorem 4.5. \square

$\frac{3}{4}$ *Remark 5.7.* In the finite number of cases when $X_0(N)$ is not hyperbolic, Faltings's delta function $\delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N))$ can be explicitly evaluated. If $X_0(N)$ has genus zero, then Faltings's delta function is simply a universal constant. If $X_0(N)$ has genus one, then Faltings's delta function is expressed in terms of the Dedekind delta function, the unique holomorphic cusp form of weight 12 with respect to $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$; see [Fal84].

$\frac{9}{10}$ *Remark 5.8.* The analysis in this section establishes Theorem 5.6 for other families of modular curves, namely $\{X_1(N)\}$ and $\{X(N)\}$.

6. Arithmetic implications

$\frac{14}{20^{1/2}}$ 6.1. *Faltings height of the Jacobian of $X_0(N)$.* In this section, we let N be a squarefree natural number such that 2 and 3 do not divide N . We then let $\mathcal{X}_0(N)/\mathbb{Z}$ denote a minimal regular model of the modular curve $X_0(N)/\mathbb{Q}$. In [AU97], A. Abbes and E. Ullmo computed the arithmetic self-intersection number of the relative dualizing sheaf $\bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}_0(N)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ equipped with the Arakelov metric. They came up with the following upper bound (see [AU97, Th. B, p. 3]):

$$\bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}_0(N)}^2 \leq -8\pi \cdot \frac{g_{X_0(N)} - 1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X_0(N))} \cdot \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\frac{Z'_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}}(s)}{Z_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}}(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1} \right) + g_{X_0(N)} \sum_{p|N} \frac{p+1}{p-1} \log(p) + 2g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)).$$

Using [MU98, Cor. 1.4, p. 649] (see also [JK01, § 5.3]), in combination with a corresponding lower bound for $\bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}_0(N)}^2$ (see [AU97, Pro. C]), one then finds

$$(50) \quad \bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}_0(N)}^2 = 3g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)).$$

Using Noether's formula, one obtains the formula

$$(51) \quad 12 \cdot h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N)) = \bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}_0(N)}^2 + \sum_{p|N} \delta_p \log(p) + \delta_{\text{Fal}}(X_0(N)) - 4g_{X_0(N)} \log(2\pi)$$

for the Faltings height $h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N))$ of the Jacobian $J_0(N)/\mathbb{Q}$ of the modular curve $X_0(N)$; here δ_p denotes the number of singular points in the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ over \mathbb{F}_p . This leads to the following asymptotic behavior of the Faltings height of the Jacobian of $X_0(N)$.

$39^{1/2}$ THEOREM 6.2. *With the above notations, we have*

$$h_{\text{Fal}}(J_0(N)) = \frac{g_{X_0(N)}}{3} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N)).$$

Proof. The claim is immediate from (51) using (50) and Theorem 5.6. \square

¹/₂ The claim now follows immediately from the upper bound for $-\log|\det(M_N)|$
² given above. \square

³ *Remark 6.6.* The lower bound given in [Theorem 6.5](#) improves the lower
⁴ bound

$$\log|\delta_{\mathbb{T}}| \geq g_{X_0(N)} \log(N) + o(g_{X_0(N)} \log(N))$$

⁵ given in [\[Ull00, Th. 1.2\]](#). Since the fundamental invariant $\delta_{\mathbb{T}}$ controls congru-
⁶ ences between modular forms, the lower bound [\(56\)](#) thus improves the lower
⁷ bound for the minimal number of such congruences.
⁸
⁹

Appendix I: Comparing canonical and hyperbolic metrics

¹⁰ In the proof of [Proposition 3.7](#) we used the explicit relation
¹¹

$$\mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \frac{1}{2g_X} \left(\int_0^\infty \Delta_{\text{hyp}} K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) dt \right) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x).$$

¹² The purpose of this appendix is to prove this identity, rather than referring
¹³ to [\[JK06b\]](#) or [\[JK06a\]](#), and thus make the present article more self-contained.
¹⁴ Our approach uses analytic aspects of the Arakelov theory for algebraic curves.
¹⁵

¹⁶ PROPOSITION 6.7. *With the above notations, we have the equality*

¹⁷ ¹⁸ ¹⁹ ²⁰ ²⁰/₂
$$g_X \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp, res}})$$

²¹ of forms on X ; here Ω_X^1 denotes the canonical line bundle on X .
²²

²³ *Proof.* By choosing $\mu_1 = \mu_{\text{shyp}}$ and $\mu_2 = \mu_{\text{can}}$, the identity in [Lemma 3.3](#)
²⁴ can be rewritten as
²⁵

²⁶ (57)
$$g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) - g_{\text{can}}(x, y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y),$$

²⁷ where
²⁸

$$\phi(x) = \int_X g_{\text{hyp}}(x, \zeta) \mu_{\text{can}}(\zeta) - \frac{1}{2} \int_X \int_X g_{\text{hyp}}(\xi, \zeta) \mu_{\text{can}}(\zeta) \mu_{\text{can}}(\xi).$$

²⁹ Taking $d_x d_x^c$ in relation [\(57\)](#), we get the equation
³⁰

³¹ (58)
$$\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) - \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = d_x d_x^c \phi(x).$$

³² On the other hand, we have by definition that
³³

$$\log\|dz(x)\|_{\text{hyp, res}}^2 = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) + \log|z(x) - z(y)|^2),$$

$$\log\|dz(x)\|_{\text{can, res}}^2 = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\text{can}}(x, y) + \log|z(x) - x(y)|^2).$$

³⁴ From this we deduce, again using [\(57\)](#),
³⁵

³⁶ ³⁷ ³⁸ ³⁹ ³⁹/₂ (59)
$$\begin{aligned} \log\|dz(x)\|_{\text{hyp, res}}^2 - \log\|dz(x)\|_{\text{can, res}}^2 \\ = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) - g_{\text{can}}(x, y)) = 2\phi(x). \end{aligned}$$

$1^{1/2}$ Now, taking $-d_x d_x^c$ of equation (59) yields

$$(60) \quad c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp,res}}) - c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{can,res}}) = -2d_x d_x^c \phi(x).$$

Combining equations (58) and (60) leads to

$$(61) \quad 2(\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) - \mu_{\text{can}}(x)) = c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{can,res}}) - c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp,res}}).$$

Recalling $c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{can,res}}) = (2g_X - 2)\mu_{\text{can}}(x)$, we derive from (61) that

$$\mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) - \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(2g_X - 2)\mu_{\text{can}}(x) - \frac{1}{2}c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp,res}}). \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 6.8. *With the above notations, we have the following formula for the first Chern form of Ω_X^1 with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp,res}}$:*

$$c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp,res}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\mu_{\text{hyp}}(x) + \left(\int_0^\infty \Delta_{\text{hyp}} K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) dt \right) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x).$$

Proof. Our proof involves analysis similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6. By our definitions, we have for $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_{\text{hyp,res}}) &= -d_x d_x^c \log \|dz(x)\|_{\text{hyp,res}}^2 \\ &= -d_x d_x^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) + \log |z(x) - z(y)|^2) \\ &= -d_x d_x^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x, y) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt + \log |z(x) - z(y)|^2 \right) \\ &= -d_z d_z^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(4\pi \int_0^\infty K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), z(y)) dt + \log |z(x) - z(y)|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - d_z d_z^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \neq \text{id}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(y)) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula for the Green's function $g_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$ on \mathbb{H} , we obtain for the first summand in the latter sum

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -d_z d_z^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(4\pi \int_0^\infty K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), z(y)) dt + \log |z(x) - z(y)|^2 \right) \\ &= -d_z d_z^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (g_{\mathbb{H}}(z(x), z(y)) + \log |z(x) - z(y)|^2) \\ &= -d_z d_z^c \log |z(x) - \bar{z}(x)|^2 = -\frac{2i}{2\pi} \partial_z \bar{\partial}_z \log(z(x) - \bar{z}(x)) \\ &= \frac{i}{\pi} \partial_z \frac{d\bar{z}(x)}{z(x) - \bar{z}(x)} = -\frac{i}{\pi} \cdot \frac{dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x)}{(z(x) - \bar{z}(x))^2} \\ &= -\frac{i}{\pi} \cdot \frac{dz(x) \wedge d\bar{z}(x)}{(2i \text{Im}(z(x)))^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

1 For the second summand we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \quad B &= -d_z d_z^c \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \neq \text{id}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(y)) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \right) \\
 3 & \\
 4 & \\
 5 \quad &= -4\pi d_z d_z^c \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \neq \text{id}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(x)) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt. \\
 6 & \\
 7 &
 \end{aligned}$$

8 Since the latter integral converges absolutely, we are allowed to interchange
 9 differentiation and integration; this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 10 \quad B &= -4\pi \int_0^\infty d_z d_z^c \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \neq \text{id}} K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(x)) - \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)} \right) dt \\
 11 & \\
 12 & \\
 13 \quad &= -4\pi \int_0^\infty \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \neq \text{id}} d_z d_z^c K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), \gamma z(x)) dt. \\
 14 & \\
 15 &
 \end{aligned}$$

16 The claimed formula then follows because $K_{\mathbb{H}}(t; z(x), z(x))$ is independent of x
 17 and because of the identity (under our normalization of the Laplacian as stated
 18 in (7))

$$19 \quad (62) \quad d_x d_x^c f(x) = -(4\pi)^{-1} \Delta_{\text{hyp}} f(x) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x),$$

20 for any smooth function f on X . □

22 THEOREM 6.9. *With the above notations, we have for all $x \in X$ the*
 23 *formula*

$$24 \quad \mu_{\text{can}}(x) = \mu_{\text{shyp}}(x) + \frac{1}{2g_X} \left(\int_0^\infty \Delta_{\text{hyp}} K_{\text{hyp}}(t; x) dt \right) \mu_{\text{hyp}}(x).$$

25 *Proof.* We simply have to combine Propositions 6.7 and 6.8 and to use
 26 that $1/\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X) + 1/(4\pi) = g_X/\text{vol}_{\text{hyp}}(X)$. □

31 Appendix II: The Polyakov formula

32 We shall work from the article [OPS88]. Let us begin using the notation
 33 in that article and then in the end indicate the changes needed to conform with
 34 other conventions.

35 Let us consider two metrics, whose area forms are written as dA_0 and dA_1 .
 36 In a local coordinate z on the Riemann surface X , setting $z = x + iy$, let us
 37 write

$$38 \quad dA_0(z) = e^{2\rho_0(z)} \cdot \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \quad \text{and} \quad dA_1(z) = e^{2\rho_1(z)} \cdot \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

39 If we then write $dA_1 = e^{2\varphi} dA_0$ (see [OPS88, form. (1.11), p. 155]), we then
 40 have $\varphi = \rho_1 - \rho_0$. The convention for the Laplacian is established in [OPS88,
 41
 42

1 form. (1.1), p. 154]. In the above coordinates, we have

$$2 \quad (63) \quad \Delta_0(z) = e^{-2\rho_0(z)} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_1(z) = e^{-2\rho_1(z)} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right).$$

3 The Gauss curvature K_0 is then $K_0 = -\Delta_0\rho_0$. Note that if dA_0 is the standard
4 hyperbolic metric, then $e^{2\rho_0} = y^{-2}$, so $\rho_0 = -\log(y)$, and it is easy to show
5 that $K_0 = -1$ as expected.

6 The Polyakov formula, [OPS88, (1.13)], is proved in [OPS88, p. 156]; it
7 says

$$8 \quad \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_\varphi}{A_\varphi}\right) = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_X |\nabla_0 \varphi|^2 dA_0 + \int_X K_0 \varphi dA_0 \right) + C.$$

9 If we take $\rho_1 = \rho_0$, then $\varphi = 0$, so we get $C = \log(\det' \Delta_0/A_0)$. Therefore, in
10 obvious notation, we find

$$11 \quad \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_1}{A_1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_0}{A_0}\right) = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_X |\nabla_0 \varphi|^2 dA_0 + \int_X K_0 \varphi dA_0 \right).$$

12 Let us work with the right side. Recall that, with the above notational con-
13 ventions, we have for any smooth f the formula $\Delta(f)dA = 4\pi dd^c(f)$, for any
14 metric. (Note: The normalization of the Laplacian in [OPS88] as stated in (63)
15 does not include the minus sign as in our normalization, see (7); as a result, the
16 formula relating dd^c to the Laplacian of [OPS88] does not contain the minus
17 sign appearing in (62).) Therefore, if we integrate by parts, we have

$$18 \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_X |\nabla_0 \varphi|^2 dA_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_X \varphi \Delta_0 \varphi dA_0 = -2\pi \int_X \varphi dd^c \varphi.$$

19 Also, we have

$$20 \quad \int_X K_0 \varphi dA_0 = -\int_X \varphi \Delta_0 \rho_0 dA_0 = -4\pi \int_X \varphi dd^c \rho_0.$$

21 Therefore we find

$$22 \quad \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_1}{A_1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_0}{A_0}\right) = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \left(-2\pi \int_X \varphi dd^c \varphi - 4\pi \int_X \varphi dd^c \rho_0 \right)$$

$$23 \quad = \frac{1}{3} \int_X \varphi (dd^c \varphi + 2dd^c \rho_0).$$

24 However, since $\varphi = \rho_1 - \rho_0$, this becomes

$$25 \quad \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_1}{A_1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_0}{A_0}\right) = \frac{1}{3} \int_X \varphi (dd^c \rho_0 + dd^c \rho_1).$$

26 Let us now fit this into our notation. Since $dA_1 = e^{2\rho_1} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$, we have
27 $c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_1) = dd^c(2\rho_1)$. Similarly, $c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_0) = dd^c(2\rho_0)$, so then

$$28 \quad dd^c \rho_0 + dd^c \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} (c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_1) + c_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_0)).$$

$1^{1/2}$ In our notation, we write $\mu_1 = e^\phi \mu_0$, so then $\phi = 2\varphi$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_1}{A_1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_0}{A_0}\right) &= \frac{1}{3} \int_X \varphi(\mathrm{dd}^c \rho_0 + \mathrm{dd}^c \rho_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \int_X \phi \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{c}_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_1) + \mathrm{c}_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the special case when $\mu_0 = \mu_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ is the hyperbolic metric, with Gauss curvature equal to -1 . Equivalent to the statement $K_0 = -1$ is the statement that $\mathrm{c}_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_0) = (2g_X - 2)\mu_{\mathrm{shyp}}$. If μ_1 is the Arakelov metric, then $\mathrm{c}_1(\Omega_X^1, \|\cdot\|_1) = (2g_X - 2)\mu_{\mathrm{can}}$, where μ_{can} is the canonical metric. If we write $\mu_{\mathrm{Ar}} = e^{\phi_{\mathrm{Ar}}} \mu_{\mathrm{hyp}}$, then the above identity becomes

$$\log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_{\mathrm{Ar}}}{A_{\mathrm{Ar}}}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\det' \Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}}{A_{\mathrm{hyp}}}\right) = \frac{g_X - 1}{6} \int_X \phi_{\mathrm{Ar}}(\mu_{\mathrm{can}} + \mu_{\mathrm{shyp}}).$$

JAY JORGENSON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK
CONVENT AVENUE AT 138TH STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10031
UNITED STATES
E-mail address: jjorgenson@mindspring.com

JÜRIG KRAMER
INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK
HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN
UNTER DEN LINDEN 6
D-10099 BERLIN
GERMANY
E-mail address: kramer@math.hu-berlin.de

References

- [AU97] A. ABBES and E. ULLMO, Auto-intersection du dualisant relatif des courbes modulaires $X_0(N)$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **484** (1997), 1–70. [MR 99e:11077](#) [Zbl 0934.14016](#)
- [Bro99] R. BROOKS, Platonic surfaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **74** (1999), 156–170. [MR 99k:58185](#) [Zbl 0986.11058](#)
- [Bus92] P. BUSER, *Geometry and Spectra of Compact Riemann Surfaces*, *Progr. Math.* **106**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992. [MR 93g:58149](#) [Zbl 0770.53001](#)
- [Cai02] Y. CAI, Prime geodesic theorem, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **14** (2002), 59–72. [MR 2003g:11100](#) [Zbl 1028.11030](#)
- [Cha84] I. CHAVEL, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, *Pure Appl. Math.* **115**, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984. [MR 86g:58140](#) [Zbl 0551.53001](#)
- [Don96] H. DONNELLY, Elliptic operators and covers of Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Z.* **223** (1996), 303–308. [MR 98a:58163](#) [Zbl 0871.58075](#)
- [Fal84] G. FALTINGS, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, *Ann. of Math.* **119** (1984), 387–424. [MR 86e:14009](#) [Zbl 0559.14005](#)

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
- $1^{1/2}$ [GT83] D. GILBARG and N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, second ed., *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* **224**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. [MR 86c:35035](#) [Zbl 0562.35001](#)
- [Hej76] D. A. HEJHAL, *The Selberg Trace Formula for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$* . I, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **548**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. [MR 55 #12641](#) [Zbl 0347.10018](#)
- [Hej83] ———, *The Selberg Trace Formula for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$* . II, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1001**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. [MR 86e:11040](#) [Zbl 0543.10020](#)
- [Hub59] H. HUBER, Zur analytischen Theorie hyperbolischen Raumformen und Bewegungsgruppen, *Math. Ann.* **138** (1959), 1–26. [MR 22 #99](#) [Zbl 0089.06101](#)
- [Hub61a] ———, Zur analytischen Theorie hyperbolischer Raumformen und Bewegungsgruppen. II, *Math. Ann.* **142** (1960/1961), 385–398. [MR 23 #A3845](#) [Zbl 0094.05703](#)
- [Hub61b] ———, Zur analytischen Theorie hyperbolischer Raumformen und Bewegungsgruppen. II, Nachtrag zu, *Math. Ann.* **143** (1961), 463–464. [MR 27 #4923](#) [Zbl 0101.05702](#)
- [Iwa84] H. IWANIEC, Prime geodesic theorem, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **349** (1984), 136–159. [MR 85h:11025](#) [Zbl 0527.10021](#)
- [J90] J. JORGENSEN, Asymptotic behavior of Faltings's delta function, *Duke Math. J.* **61** (1990), 221–254. [MR 91m:14042](#) [Zbl 0746.30032](#)
- [JK01] J. JORGENSEN and J. KRAMER, Bounds for special values of Selberg zeta functions of Riemann surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **541** (2001), 1–28. [MR 2002k:11145](#) [Zbl 0986.11058](#)
- $20^{1/2}$ [JK02a] ———, On the error term of the prime geodesic theorem, *Forum Math.* **14** (2002), 901–913. [MR 2003h:11059](#) [Zbl 1138.11326](#)
- [JK02b] ———, [Sup-norm bounds for automorphic forms in the cocompact case](#), in *Explicit Structures of Modular Forms and Zeta Functions*, 2002, Proc. of the Japanese-German Seminar in Hakuba, 2001.
- [JK04] ———, Bounding the sup-norm of automorphic forms, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14** (2004), 1267–1277. [MR 2005m:11071](#)
- [JK06a] ———, Bounds on canonical Green's functions, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), 679–700. [MR 2007h:14030](#) [Zbl 1105.14028](#)
- [JK06b] ———, Expressing Arakelov invariants using hyperbolic heat kernels, in *The Ubiquitous Heat Kernel* (J. JORGENSEN and L. WALLING, eds.), *Contemp. Math.* **398**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 295–309. [MR 2007b:58045](#) [Zbl 1098.14016](#)
- [JL96] J. JORGENSEN and R. LUNDELIUS, Continuity of relative hyperbolic spectral theory through metric degeneration, *Duke Math. J.* **84** (1996), 47–81. [MR 98g:58182](#) [Zbl 0872.58062](#)
- [JL97] ———, A regularized heat trace for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite volume, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), 636–659. [MR 99b:58224](#) [Zbl 0902.58040](#)
- [Lan88] S. LANG, *Introduction to Arakelov Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988. [MR 89m:11059](#) [Zbl 0667.14001](#)
- $39^{1/2}$ [LRS95] W. LUO, Z. RUDNICK, and P. SARNAK, On Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **5** (1995), 387–401. [MR 96h:11045](#) [Zbl 0844.11038](#)
- [MU98] P. MICHEL and E. ULLMO, Points de petite hauteur sur les courbes modulaires $X_0(N)$, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 645–674. [MR 99c:11074](#) [Zbl 0991.11037](#)

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
- 1^{1/2} [OPS88] B. OSGOOD, R. PHILLIPS, and P. SARNAK, Extremals of determinants of Laplacians, *J. Funct. Anal.* **80** (1988), 148–211. [MR 90d:58159](#) [Zbl 0653.53022](#)
- [Rud66] W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966. [MR 35 #1420](#) [Zbl 0142.01701](#)
- [Sar87] P. SARNAK, *Determinants of Laplacians*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987), 113–120. [MR 89e:58116](#) [Zbl 0618.10023](#)
- [Shi71] G. SHIMURA, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, *Kanô Memorial Lectures*, No. 1, *Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan* **11**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971. [MR 47 #3318](#) [Zbl 0221.10029](#)
- [Sou89] C. SOULÉ, Géométrie d’Arakelov des surfaces arithmétiques, *Astérisque* **177-178** (1989), Exp. No. 713, 327–343. [MR 91c:14031](#) [Zbl 0766.14015](#)
- [Ull00] E. ULLMO, Hauteur de Faltings de quotients de $J_0(N)$, discriminants d’algèbres de Hecke et congruences entre formes modulaires, *Amer. J. Math.* **122** (2000), 83–115. [MR 2000k:11080](#) [Zbl 0991.11033](#)
- [Ven81] A. B. VENKOV, Spectral theory of automorphic functions, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **153** (1981), 172, in Russian; translated in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **153**, 1982. [MR 85j:11060a](#) [Zbl 0483.10029](#)
- [Zog82] P. G. ZOGRAF, Fuchsian groups and small eigenvalues of the Laplace operator, in *Studies in Topology*, IV, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **122**, 1982, in Russian; translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **26** (1982), 24–29, pp. 24–29, 163. [MR 84a:58090](#) [Zbl 0536.10017](#)

(Received April 12, 2004)

(Revised May 28, 2006)

20^{1/2}39^{1/2}