

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Vieira SCF, Santos VS, Franco JM, Nascimento-Filho HM, Barbosa KdOeSS, Lyra-Junior DPd, et al. (2020) Brazilian pediatricians' adherence to food allergy guidelines—A crosssectional study. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0229356. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229356

Editor: Ivan D. Florez, Universidad de Antioquia, COLOMBIA

Received: September 22, 2019

Accepted: February 4, 2020

Published: February 24, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Vieira et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This publication was partly funded by CAPES (PROAP budget) from Graduate Program in Health Sciences-Federal University of Sergipe (UFS), Brazil. We also declare that our study received no funding from any company or institution for the field work and development, all costs for this were due to the authors. Publication fee was in part supported by Federal University of **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Brazilian pediatricians' adherence to food allergy guidelines—A cross-sectional study

Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira^{1,2,3®}*, Victor Santana Santos^{4®}, Jackeline Motta Franco^{2†}, Hiram Menezes Nascimento-Filho³, Kamilla de Oliveira e Silva Solis Barbosa^{3‡}, Divaldo Pereira de Lyra-Junior^{1‡}, Kleyton de Andrade Bastos^{1,3‡}, Rosana Cipolotti^{1,3‡}, Mônica Lisboa Chang Wayhs^{5‡}, Mário César Vieira^{6,7‡}, Dirceu Solé^{8‡}, Mauro Batista de Morais^{8‡}, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel^{1,3®}

1 Graduate Program in Health Science, Federal University of Sergipe, Aracaju, Sergipe, Brazil, 2 Reference Center for Food Allergy of Sergipe, Federal University of Sergipe, Aracaju, Sergipe, Brazil, 3 Department of Medicine, Federal University of Sergipe, Aracaju, Sergipe, Brazil, 4 Department of Nursing, Federal University of Alagoas, Arapiraca, Alagoas, Brazil, 5 Department of Pediatrics, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis-Santa Catarina, Brazil, 6 Center for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hospital Pequeno Príncipe, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil, 7 Department of Pediatrics, Foderal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil, 8 Department of Pediatrics, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

* sarahcfv@yahoo.com.br

Abstract

Food allergy is an emerging clinical condition in pediatrics, so recommendations on its management have been widely published. Studying pediatricians' adherence to these clinical practice guidelines (CPG) and understanding the reasons for their non-compliance can help to promote better management of this condition. A cross-sectional study was conducted by a survey among Brazilian pediatricians, randomly selected during the 38th Brazilian Congress of Pediatrics, which took place in October, 2017. A validated questionnaire with 16 questions addressing knowledge and practice on food allergy, as well as self-reported adherence to international guidelines was applied. Of the total of 415 pediatricians from all regions of the country who were surveyed, only 69 (16.7%) had a satisfactory adherence rate (\geq 80%). Adequate adherence to the guidelines was associated with the variables: 'evaluating more than 10 children with suspected cow's milk allergy (CMA) per month'; 'having read the Brazilian consensus'; or 'being aware of any international food allergy guideline'. In 8 of the 10 questions that assessed conscious adherence, a minority of those surveyed (20.3-42.3% variation) stated that they knew that their response was in line with the guidelines. This finding was statistically significant (p<0.05) in 7 of these 8 questions. The self-reported adherence of Brazilian pediatricians to international food allergy guidelines was low. Pediatricians who evaluated a higher number of children with suspected CMA or who were aware of the recommendations, had a higher rate of adherence. The results of the survey found that lack of resource was the major reported barrier to guideline adherence but lack of awareness must be a relevant non perceived barrier. This study shows the pediatricians' self-reported adherence to food allergy guidelines in a widely overview for the first

Sergipe institutional funds (PROAP) received from CAPES - Graduate Studies Coordination, a Brazilian Government Institution – award number 23113.063993/2019-77. All methods decisions, paper preparation and decision to publish were taken by the authors, with no interference from funders.

Competing interests: Authors competing interests I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: SCF Vieira - has attended a Danone Nutricia Symposium with reimbursement for expenses JM Franco - has attended a Danone Nutricia Symposium with reimbursement for expenses MLC Wayhs - has participated as a consultant or speaker for Abbott, Danone Nutricia, EMS and Merck, and has attended a Danone Nutricia Symposium with reimbursement for expenses MC Vieira - Has participated as a consultant and/or speaker for Aché Laboratories, Danone Nutricia and Nestle Nutrition Institute MB Morais - has participated as a consultant and/or speaker for Aché laboratories, Bago Laboratory, Biolab, Danone Nutricia, Merck and Nestlé Nutrition Institute. RQ Gurgel currently serve on the editorial board of the Plos One journal This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials

time in Brazil. More studies are necessary to investigate adherence to guidelines by pediatricians in other countries and to develop strategies to improve adherence.

Introduction

Food allergy is common in the pediatric population and may cause nutritional, emotional and socioeconomic impact to patients, their families, and society [1,2]. The global prevalence of food allergies has been increasing and has reached up to 10% of the population [3,4]. Although epidemiological data are scarce in Brazil, a study performed in the 5 different geographical regions of the country by 30 pediatric gastroenterologists estimated the incidence of suspected cow's milk allergy (CMA) to be 2.2% and its prevalence 5.4% [5]. Gonçalves (2016) and colleagues [6] found that 23.5% of parents reported food allergies in infants but only 1.9% were confirmed after clinical evaluation and tests, including oral food challenge (OFC) when necessary, with cow's milk being the major food allergy. Correctly diagnosing and managing food allergy is still a challenge.

Clinical practice guidelines (CPG) have been published to improve the quality of care and to standardize the treatment of children with suspected or diagnosed food allergy [7–16]. However, adherence to these guidelines in health care is often low [17]. Therefore, it is imperative to elaborate strategies for implementing guideline recommendations in clinical practice [18–20].

In Brazil, different studies have demonstrated that knowledge about food allergy management amongst health care professionals may be inadequate [21–24]. However, the adherence of Brazilian pediatricians to the guidelines as well as the reasons for possible non-compliance with the recommendations are still unknown. Furthermore, the available recommendations for food allergy in Brazil do not fulfill the methodological criteria required to be classified as guidelines [25]. This study, therefore, aimed to evaluate the adherence of Brazilian pediatricians to food allergy CPG and the possible reasons for not putting them into practice.

Methods

Study design

A survey was conducted among Brazilian pediatricians during the 38th Brazilian Congress of Pediatrics in 2017, to evaluate their knowledge about the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of food allergy, in addition to their self-reported adherence to international guidelines [7–10,12-16]. Pediatricians in the area of the congress hall were randomly invited to participate in the study and after agreeing to take part in the study completed a written Informed Consent Form, they anonymously completed a paper-based questionnaire. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Sergipe, under registration number 70282117.2.0000.5546 and the Brazilian Society of Pediatrics, the organizer of the event. The ethical principles established by the Declaration of Helsinki were followed.

Population and sample

The sample size was calculated based on the number of Brazilian pediatricians registered at the Brazilian Society of Pediatrics (n = 23,042). We assumed that 50% of pediatricians would adhere to \geq 80% of CPG recommendations, with a confidence interval of 95% and maximum error of 5%, which resulted in a minimum sample size of 378 pediatricians. However, to minimize any bias for dropouts, we added 10% to the sample size. We therefore enrolled 415 participants.

All pediatricians attending the congress were eligible and were randomly invited to participate in the research, completing the questionnaire in the congress hall area. Only professionals who had received formal training in pediatrics and who were practicing the specialty in Brazil were included. Other health care professionals and pediatricians working outside of Brazil were not included in the study.

Questionnaire

A questionnaire with 16 questions was developed (see supplement), with 14 multiple choices and 2 open-ended questions. Among the 16 questions, 13 of the multiple-choice questions evaluated the pediatrician's knowledge and practices in relation to food allergy prevention, diagnosis and treatment and 3 assessed whether the pediatrician was familiar with the Brazilian consensus (yes or no) and any international guidelines (yes or no) for food allergy, as well as their reasons for non-compliance with current recommendations. The last two questions were open-ended, and the respondents were asked to state which international guidelines they were familiar with and what were the reasons for any intentional non-compliance with the recommendations. Among the 13 multiple choice questions that investigated knowledge and practice, 10 evaluated the management in situations for which there are well-established recommendations in international guidelines [7-10,12-16] and that are the same in the Brazilian consensus [25]. These 10 questions assessed self-reported adherence to the CPG in respect of the following: identification of risk factors for food allergy; differentiation between anaphylaxis and food protein induced enterocolitis syndrome (FPIES); diagnosis of cow's milk allergy (CMA) with late onset gastrointestinal manifestations; recognition of food protein induced allergic proctocolitis (FPIAP); recommendation for oral food challenge (OFC) for diagnosis of CMA; timing to OFC (evaluation of tolerance development); how is complementary feeding introduced in infants with CMA; appropriate indications for soy formula; indication of extesively hydrolyzed protein formula as the first option to substitute or complement breastmilk in CMA; and when prescribing calcium supplement in CMA. In order to evaluate conscious adherence, after responding each of the multiple-choice questions, pediatricians were asked whether they thought their practice was in agreement or not with the guidelines, or if they did not know if their approach would follow the recommendations. For content validation of the survey, the Delphi technique was used with 6 experts in the field, in five rounds [26]. In a pilot study prior to the survey at the conference, its applicability was evaluated by 34 pediatricians from the 5 regions of the country. The sociodemographic data of the surveyed individuals and their professional profiles were collected together with the paper-based questionnaire to identify variables associated with adherence.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of the study was the self-reported adherence of pediatricians to published CPG for food allergy. The adherence score was calculated based on the number of questions correctly answered out of the 10. The correct answer was the one that was in accordance with what is recommended in the international guidelines for food allergy. For these ten questions, international and Brazilian guidelines present similar recommendations and, therefore, the same answers to the questions.

As secondary endpoints, we evaluated knowledge and practice in respect of food allergy, having read the national consensus and awareness of any international guidelines, conscious adherence, and reasons for intentional non-compliance with the guidelines.

Data analysis

Categorical variables were described using frequencies and percentages. Multiple comparisons were analyzed by using Z-test statistics. When a Z-test was significant, we performed multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni test (post-hoc test) to determine differences between the groups.

Factors associated with adherence were established using the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Considering that a cutoff point to indicate adequate adherence to guidelines is not established and must vary among different clinical practice scenarios, we assumed an 80% cutoff to define adherence and non-adherence to guideline. Logistic regression was used to identify factors independently associated with adherence. P values <0.20

VARIABLES	N (%)
Age, median (IQR)	39 (32–52)
Region	
North	42 (10.1)
Northeast	145 (34.9)
West Central	29 (7.0)
Southeast	165 (39.8)
South	34 (8.2)
Time since conclusion of pediatric residency training (years), median (IQR)	10 (2-22)
Time since conclusion of pediatric residency training (years)	
0-5 years	155 (37.3)
6-10 years	63 (15.2)
>10 years	196 (47.2)
Work Setting	
Private medical clinic	
Yes	217 (52.3)
No	198 (47.7)
Public health service	
Yes	266 (64.1)
No	149 (35.9)
Hospital	
Yes	273 (65.8)
No	142 (34.2)
Neonatal service	
Yes	70 (16.9)
No	345 (83.1)
Evaluation of children with suspected CMA	
Yes	302 (72.8)
No	113 (27.2)
Number of children with suspected CMA evaluated per month	
0–5 children	207 (49.9)
6–10 children	51 (12.3)
>10 children	23 (5.5)

Table 1. Characteristics of surveyed pediatricians.

CMA, cow's milk allergy; IQR, interquartile range

*For 'Time since conclusion of pediatric residency training' and 'Number of children with suspected CMA evaluated per month' there were incomplete data with a total of 414 and 281 responses, respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229356.t001

were used to select variables for inclusion in the logistic regression and we identified covariates that had significant bivariate tests. Backwards stepwise modeling was used, removing covariates if their statistical significance was lost (P > 0.05) or if the variable was not a confounder through its effect on other parameters in the models. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

Results

A total of 415 (7.9%) pediatricians from the 5246 participants at the 38th Brazilian Congress of Pediatrics, representing 1.8% of the 23,042 members of the Brazilian Society of Pediatrics, were surveyed. The characteristics of the pediatricians included in the study are detailed in Table 1.

None of the pediatricians fully adhered to international food allergy guidelines. Only 69 (16.7%) achieved an adherence rate of \geq 80%, and there was no statistically significant difference among pediatricians practicing in different regions of the country (Table 2).

Guideline adherence awareness

A total of 140 (33.7%) respondents reported having read the current Brazilian consensus for food allergy (2007) [25] and 80 (19.3%) were aware of some international guideline for food allergy. The European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) 2012 guidelines [7] was the most known (7.7%).

Among the 16 questions, 10 assessed the adherence to guidelines and awareness of agreement with the recommendations (Table 3). In 8 of these 10 questions, a minority of surveyed pediatricians that answered in accordance with guidelines stated that they knew that their response was in line with the recommendations (20.3-42.3% variation). This finding was statistically significant (p<0.05) in 7 of these 8 questions. The two questions in which the majority consciously adhered to the guidelines were the characterization of risk factors for food allergy (90.8% adherence, with 56.8% conscious adherence (p<0.001)), and the use of extensively hydrolyzed formula as the first option to replace breast milk in cases of CMA (66.5% adherence, with 54.7% conscious adherence (p<0.001). The question that showed the lowest adherence rate was the one that evaluated requiring oral food challenge (OFC) for the diagnosis of CMA, with only 17.8% adherence. This question also showed the lowest conscious adherence, as among those who recommend OFC, only 20.3% were aware of the guideline instruction (p = 0.007). Another question that highlighted poor awareness of guideline adherence was the one about indications to prescribe calcium supplement in CMA (42.9%

Table 2. International guideline adherence rate \geq 80% by Brazilian region.	Table 2.	. International	guideline adhere	nce rate >80% b	y Brazilian region.
---	----------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	---------------------

Region	Adherence rate ≥80%		
	Yes (%)	No (%)	
North	4 (9.5) ^a	38 (90.5) ^a	
Northeast	31 (21.7) ^a	112 (78.3) ^a	
West Central	7 (24.1) ^a	22 (75.9) ^a	
Southeast	23 (13.9) ^a	142 (86.1) ^a	
South	4 (11.8) ^a	30 (88.2) ^a	

Z-test with Bonferroni correction. Each superscript letter denotes a subset of Adherence rate \geq 80% categories whose proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the 0.05 level.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229356.t002

Table 3. Guideline adherence awareness.

Question	Correct answer N (%)	Adherence awareness		P-value ^a
		Yes	No or did not know	
Identification of risk factors for food allergy	377 (90.8)	214 (56.8)	163 (43.2)	< 0.001
Differentiation between anaphylaxis and FPIES	170 (41.0)	69 (40.6)	101 (59.4)	< 0.001
Diagnosis of CMA with late onset gastrointestinal manifestations	241 (58.1)	102 (42.3)	139 (57.7)	0.003
Recognition of FPIAP	207 (49.9)	90 (43.5)	117 (56.6)	< 0.001
Recommendation for OFC for diagnosis of CMA	74 (17.8)	15 (20.3)	59 (79.7)	0.007
Timing to OFC (evaluation of tolerance development)	237 (57.1)	81 (34.2)	156 (65.8)	0.076
How is complementary feeding introduced in infants with CMA?	201 (48.4)	74 (36.8)	127 (63.2)	0.010
Appropriate indications for soy formula	141 (34.0)	58 (41.2)	83 (58.8)	0.002
Indication of eHF as the first option to substitute or complement breastmilk in CMA	276 (66.5)	151 (54.7)	125 (45.3)	< 0.001
When prescribing calcium supplement in CMA?	178 (42.9)	49 (27.5)	129 (72.5)	0.006

FPIES, Food Protein Induced Enterocolitis Syndrome; CMA, cow's milk allergy; FPIAP, food protein induced allergic proctocolitis; OFC, oral food challenge; eHF, extensively hydrolyzed formula.

^a *p* values were calculated using Chi-square test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229356.t003

adherence). In this question, 27.5% of the pediatricians who prescribe calcium appropriately knew that their approach was in compliance with the guidelines.

As to the reasons for intentional non-compliance, 14 respondents (3.4%) reported not agreeing with some of the guideline recommendations, 39 (9.4%) followed specific protocols in their workplace where recommendations for the approach of food allergy differ from published guidelines, and 194 (47.7%) reported lack of resources as a reason for not being able to follow guideline recommendations. Some of those surveyed did not give a response to this question and some selected more than one option.

Adherence analysis

Table 4 shows the factors associated with a level of 80% adherence to guidelines on the care of children with food allergy. The multivariate analysis showed that pediatricians who had read Brazilian consensus (aOR = 2.52; 95%CI = 1.29 to 4.93) and/or were aware of any international guidelines for food allergy (aOR = 2.23; 95%CI = 1.10 to 4.51), or who evaluate more than 10 children per month with suspected CMA (aOR = 2.72; 95%CI = 1.01 to 7.34) were more likely to have an adherence level to the guidelines of \geq 80%.

Discussion

Although international CPG for food allergy in children have been published, providing recommendations for the diagnosis and management of this disorder based on scientific evidence [7-10,12-16], Brazilian pediatricians self-reported adherence to their recommendations is low. Our findings highlight that better adherence to guidelines is associated with more frequent evaluation of children with suspected cow's milk allergy, reading of Brazilian food allergy consensus and awareness of any international food allergy guidelines.

Recent studies have shown that adherence to guidelines by practitioners improves health indicators [27]. However, adherence to guidelines is usually poor, despite all efforts made in their implementation [28]. There are several international food allergy guidelines [7–10,12–16], as well as Brazilian consensuses [25,29,30], but there are as yet few studies that evaluate the adherence of pediatricians to these recommendations [23,31,32]. As our questionnaire evaluated adherence to international guidelines, it may be used elsewhere without restriction.

VARIABLES	Adherence rate ≥80%		OR (95%CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	P-value	
	Yes (%)	No (%)					
Time since conclusio	n of pediatric residenc	y training (years)					
0-5	24 (15.5)	131 (84.5)	1.12 (0.63–1.98)	0.70	-	-	
6-10	12 (19.0)	51 (81.0)	0.87 (0.42-1.87)	0.71	-	-	
>10	33 (17.0)	161 (83.0)	1	-	-	-	
Work setting Private medical clini	c						
Yes	38 (17.5)	179 (82.5)	1	-	-	-	
No	31 (15.8)	165 (84.2)	1.12 (0.67-1.91)	0.64	-	-	
Public health service							
Yes	48 (18.2)	216 (81.8)	1.36 (0.77-2.36)	0.28	-	-	
No	21 (14.1)	128 (85.9)	1	-	-	-	
Hospital					·		
Yes	42 (15.5)	229 (84.5)	1.27 (0.74–2.17)	0.36	-	-	
No	27 (19.0)	115 (81.0)	1	-	-	-	
Neonatal service							
Yes	14 (20.0)	56 (80.0)	1.76 (0.89–3.33)	0.08	1.48 (0.63-3.48)	0.36	
No	55 (16.0)	388 (84.0)	1	-	1		
Previously read Braz	ilian consensus						
Yes	39 (27.7)	102 (72.3)	2.51 (1.63-3.85)	< 0.001	2.52 (1.29-4.93)	0.007	
No	30 (11.0)	242 (89.0)	1	-	-		
Be aware of any inter	rnational guideline						
Yes	25 (31.3)	55 (68.0)	2.36 (1.55-3.62)	< 0.001	2.23 (1.10-4.51)	0.02	
No	44 (13.2)	289 (86.8)	1	-	-		
Evaluation of childre	en with suspected CMA	L					
Yes	56 (18.6)	245 (81.4)	1.73 (0.92–3.43)	0.09	1.35 (0.97–3.38)	0.35	
No	13 (11.6)	99 (88.4)	1	-	1	-	
Number of children	with suspected CMA ev	valuated per month					
0–5 children	31 (15.0)	175 (85.0)	1	-	1	-	
6–10 children	12 (23.5)	39 (76.5)	1.73 (0.79-3.64)	0.14	1.83 (0.59–5.63)	0.16	
>10 children	9 (39.1)	14 (60.9)	3.60 (1.38-9.08)	0.003	2.72 (1.01-7.34)	0.04	

Table 4. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of the variables associated with the adherence rate \geq 80% to the guidelines.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CMA, cow's milk allergy

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229356.t004

The rates of adherence of Brazilian pediatricians to food allergy management guidelines were low. No pediatrician surveyed had a 100% adherence rate, and only 16.7% showed a rate equal to or greater than 80%. However, these results far outweigh the one evaluating adherence to the 2009 NASPGHAN-ESPGHAN guideline for gastroesophageal reflux, where only 0.5% of the respondents had an adequate compliance rate [33]. This may be explained by the fact that for food allergy there are several different guidelines, making knowledge on the subject easier to propagate, but when we evaluated the surveyed responses, we found that only 34% of participants had read the Brazilian consensus for food allergy and only 19.3% were aware of any international guidelines. So, despite the existence of a number of guidelines, lack of awareness is a significant barrier to guideline adherence. Gaps in the knowledge of Brazilian pediatricians about the treatment of CMA, the major food allergy in infants in our country, have been demonstrated previously [24], but opportunities are present in Brazil for pediatricians have a good

understanding of food allergy management is crucial for children with suspected CMA as they are mostly managed by general pediatricians in Brazil, without OFC to confirm diagnosis.

In the multivariate analysis, the variables 'having read the Brazilian consensus', 'being aware of any international guideline' and 'evaluating more than 10 children with suspected CMA per month' were the statistically significant variables for an adherence rate of \geq 80%, but there was no association between evaluating more children and having being aware of some guideline. The relationship between knowledge of recommendations and practice in accordance with recommendations is described in the literature assessing the knowledge and practice of pediatricians in New York City, USA, against guidelines for two clinical conditions common in children—bronchiolitis and community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). They found that lack of knowledge was associated with the prescription of unnecessary treatments [34]. Guideline adherence is a multifactorial outcome. Although being aware of the recommendation, academic degree and others must act as confounding factors.

Some studies that focused on prevention rather than treatment of food allergy have assessed adherence to recommendations and also reported low adherence. A Brazilian study reported that 41.9% of pediatricians and nutritionists recommended delayed introduction of allergenic foods in allergic infants [23]. In 2017, Vandenplas *et al.* [32] reported a similar finding when they evaluated the adherence of 1,481 physicians (66.1% pediatricians and 7,1% pediatric gas-troenterologists) from Middle Eastern and North African countries regarding primary prevention of food allergy, and 60% recommended delayed introduction of potentially allergenic foods. In our study, 51.6% of those surveyed did not adhere to the guidelines regarding the introduction of complementary feeding to children already diagnosed with CMA, and most of them did not know if this practice complied with the guidelines.

Guideline adherence has been discussed by many authors worldwide in the past decades. Cabana and colleagues (1999) [35] identified a wide spectrum of barriers to guideline adherence such as lack of awareness, lack of familiarity, lack of agreement, lack of self-efficacy, lack of outcome expectancy, inertia of previous practice and external barriers that impact guideline implementation. Intentional non-compliance may be motivated by valid reasons, mainly related to contraindications and patient preferences, they must be considered when developing a guideline [36], but lack of awareness seems to be an important barrier in our study. A large study conducted in the USA showed that only 55% of patients are cared for according to the recommendations described in guidelines [37]. The barriers to adherence may be related to health care professionals but also to patients, to the organizational context and the social and cultural context of the health care system [38].

In the present study, most respondents had not read the Brazilian consensus or were not aware of any international guidelines and a minority knew that their response was in agreement with the recommendations in 8 of 10 questions that assessed this aspect. The reasons for intentional lack of adherence were questioned, and the most frequent answer was the lack of resources to implement the recommendation. However, there was no statistically significant difference among those surveyed from the five regions of the country. Considering that Brazil is a large country with profound economic inequalities within different regions, we cannot clearly explain this finding. However, it is known that many of the interregional inequalities may also be present within the same region in the country [39], which may help to explain the similar findings in responses from pediatricians practicing in different parts of the country.

An essential point to consider in this analysis is the quality of the available guidelines, which vary significantly and may compromise adherence. In 2016, Ruszczynski et al. [11] evaluated 15 CMA guidelines using the AGREE II instrument. Of these, only 2 [8,12] reached the highest score (overall quality 100%), and 8 were considered high quality (overall quality >60%). Among the domains evaluated for each guideline, applicability had the lowest mean score. The quality of the evidence might have an impact on adherence as described by O'Sullivan and colleagues (2018) [40]. Considering that Brazilian recommendations are published based on a consensus, we only investigated the adherence to high quality guidelines according to Ruszczynski et al. (2016) [11] and that were in agreement with the national consensus and international guidelines to exclude quality of recommendation as a bias.

Editorial independence is one of the AGREE II domains and must be ensured during guideline development [41]. Experts usually develop guidelines and have a potential conflict of interest because of professional involvement with the pharmaceutical industry. In the study by Ruszczynski et al. (2016) [11], 6 in 15 food allergy guidelines did not reach a satisfactory score in this domain.

Adequate diagnosis and management of food allergy in children is essential to avoid unnecessary treatments and to ensure adequate growth and development, quality of life and rational use of financial resources [1,42,43]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop strategies such as educational activities, increased multidisciplinary work, performance evaluation and social influence to ensure guideline implementation [44].

Our study has some limitations. We investigated the self-reported adherence using a questionnaire without an *in situ* confirmation; however, this type of survey has never been done before in Brazil, and the method of data collection we used allowed us to collect a significant number of responses from pediatricians from different regions of Brazil. It is possible that basing the study on a non-probabilistic sample of Brazilian pediatricians who were attending a congress could have led to bias as the pediatricians surveyed might be more interested in upto-date information. However, even among these pediatricians we found low adherence to guideline recommendations. Thus, we may expect that adherence in the broader population and in real scenarios might be even lower and represent an even more serious problem than our data presented here suggest. We invited pediatricians attending a congress and did not register some refusal to participate as well as we did not investigate surveyed pediatric specialty, they are also limitations once we may have non-response and response bias. However, we surveyed during a general pediatrician congress and if some surveyed have a pediatric specialty related to food allergy it could improve results, so real life data among general pediatricians must be even worse than we observed.

The lack of resources to implement the recommendation was the most reported barrier to adherence among respondents, probably because difficulty in performing OFC and/or availability of high cost hypoallergenic formulas (extensive hydrolyzed formula and amino acid based formula) in a country where a large portion of population do not have financial resources to support basic necessities, but there were no statistically significant differences when comparing the economically different regions. It seems that the lack of awareness plays the most important role, since low adherence occurs even in high-income countries and, here, most of those surveyed were not aware of the recommendations. Another limitation was not investigating the perception of those surveyed in respect of guideline quality and its relation with adherence. Considering that most pediatricians demonstrated a lack of awareness of the guidelines, we infer that this limitation had a low impact on our results.

Although international CPG for food allergy in children have been published, providing recommendations for the diagnosis and management of this disorder based on scientific evidence [7–10,12–16], Brazilian pediatricians self-reported adherence to their recommendations is low. Our findings highlight that better adherence to guidelines is associated with more frequent evaluation of children with suspected cow's milk allergy, reading of Brazilian food allergy consensus and awareness of any international food allergy guidelines.

We observed a low self-reported adherence to food allergy guidelines among Brazilian pediatricians and this is the first countrywide guideline adherence assessment for this pathology. The lack of awareness of the recommendations is related to the low adherence, as well as to the evaluation of a smaller number of children with suspected CMA, the major cause of food allergy in Brazilian infants. Good quality guidelines, as well as studies to evaluate efficacy of strategies for adherence, are required to improve their implementation. As this questionnaire is based on international guidelines, it may be useful in evaluating adherence in other countries.

Supporting information

S1 File. Questionnaire. Food Allergy Management in Children and Adherence to Guidelines. (PDF)

S2 File. Study data table. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

Our group would like to thank the organizing committee of the 38th Brazilian Congress of Pediatrics and Dr. Luciana Rodrigues Silva (president of the Brazilian Society of Pediatrics) for supporting the application of the survey and facilitating data collection. We also thank the pediatricians who kindly answered the questionnaire and Paul Davis for the English reviewing of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Jackeline Motta Franco, Divaldo Pereira de Lyra-Junior, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.
- **Data curation:** Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Victor Santana Santos, Jackeline Motta Franco, Hiram Menezes Nascimento-Filho, Kamilla de Oliveira e Silva Solis Barbosa, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.
- Formal analysis: Victor Santana Santos, Hiram Menezes Nascimento-Filho, Kamilla de Oliveira e Silva Solis Barbosa.

Funding acquisition: Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.

- **Investigation:** Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Hiram Menezes Nascimento-Filho, Kamilla de Oliveira e Silva Solis Barbosa, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.
- **Methodology:** Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Victor Santana Santos, Divaldo Pereira de Lyra-Junior, Kleyton de Andrade Bastos, Rosana Cipolotti, Mônica Lisboa Chang Wayhs, Mário César Vieira, Dirceu Solé, Mauro Batista de Morais, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.
- Project administration: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira.

Resources: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira.

Supervision: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Victor Santana Santos, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.

Validation: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Jackeline Motta Franco, Divaldo Pereira de Lyra-Junior, Mônica Lisboa Chang Wayhs, Mário César Vieira, Dirceu Solé, Mauro Batista de Morais, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.

- Visualization: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Kleyton de Andrade Bastos, Rosana Cipolotti, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.
- Writing original draft: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Victor Santana Santos, Kleyton de Andrade Bastos, Rosana Cipolotti, Mônica Lisboa Chang Wayhs, Mário César Vieira, Dirceu Solé, Mauro Batista de Morais, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.
- Writing review & editing: Sarah Cristina Fontes Vieira, Victor Santana Santos, Kleyton de Andrade Bastos, Rosana Cipolotti, Ricardo Queiroz Gurgel.

References

- 1. Meyer R. Nutritional disorders resulting from food allergy in children. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2018; 29 (7):689–704. https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.12960 PMID: 30044008
- Patel N, Herbert L, Green TD. The emotional, social, and financial burden of food allergies on children and their families. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2017; 38(2):88–91. https://doi.org/10.2500/aap.2017.38.4028 PMID: 28234046
- Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. Food allergy: A review and update on epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, prevention, and management. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018; 141(1):41–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jaci.2017.11.003 PMID: 29157945
- Gupta M, Cox A, Nowak-Węgrzyn A, Wang J. Diagnosis of Food Allergy. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2018; 38(1):39–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2017.09.004 PMID: 29132673
- Vieira MC, Morais MB, Spolidoro JVN, Toporovski MS, Cardoso AL, Araujo GTB, et al. A survey on clinical presentation and nutritional status of infants with suspected cow' milk allergy. BMC Pediatr. 2010; 10(25):1–7.
- Gonçalves LCP, Guimarães TCP, Silva RM, Cheik MFA, de Ramos Napolis AC, Barbosa e Silva G et al. Prevalence of food allergy in infants and pre-schoolers in Brazil. Allergol Immunopathol. 2016; 44 (6):497–503.
- Koletzko S, Niggemann B, Arato A, Dias JA, Heuschkel R, Husby S, et al. Diagnostic Approach and Management of Cow's Milk Protein Allergy in Infants and Children: ESPGHAN GI Commitee Practical Guidelines. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2012; 55(2):221–229. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG. 0b013e31825c9482 PMID: 22569527
- Luyt D, Ball H, Makwana N, Green MR, Bravin K, Nasser SM, et al. BSACI guideline for the diagnosis and management of cow's milk allergy. Clin Exp Allergy. 2014; 44(5):642–672. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ cea.12302 PMID: 24588904</u>
- Fiocchi A, Brozek J, Schünemann H, Bahna SL, von Berg A, Beyer K, et al. World allergy organization (WAO) diagnosis and rationale for action against cow's milk allergy (DRACMA) guidelines. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2010; 21(SUPPL. 21):1–125.
- Nowak-Węgrzyn A, Chehade M, Groetch ME, Spergel JM, Wood RA, Allen K, et al. International consensus guidelines for the diagnosis and management of food protein–induced enterocolitis syndrome: Executive summary—Workgroup Report of the Adverse Reactions to Foods Committee, American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017; 139(4):1111–1126.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.12.966 PMID: 28167094
- 11. Ruszczyński M, Horvath A, Dziechciarz P, Szajewska H. Cow's milk allergy guidelines: a quality appraisal with the AGREE II instrument. Clin Exp Allergy. 2016; 46(9):1236–1241. https://doi.org/10. 1111/cea.12784 PMID: 27473791
- Muraro A, Werfel T, Hoffmann-Sommergruber K, Roberts G, Beyer K, Bindslev-Jensen C, et al. EAACI Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Guidelines Group. EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines: diagnosis and management of food allergy. Allergy. 2014; 69:1008–1025. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12429 PMID: 24909706
- Fiocchi A, Dahda L, Dupont C, Campoy C, Fierro V, Nieto A. Cow's milk allergy: towards an update of DRACMA guidelines. World Allergy Organ J. 2016; 9(35):1–11.
- 14. Centre for Clinical Practice at NICE (UK). Food Allergy in Children and Young People: Diagnosis and Assessment of Food Allergy in Children and Young People in Primary Care and Community Settings. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (UK). 2011;116.
- Sampson HA, Gerth van Wijk R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Sicherer S, Teuber SS, Burks AW et al. Standardizing double-blind, placebo-controlled oral food challenges: American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology-European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology PRACTALL consensus report. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 130: 1260–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.10.017 PMID: 23195525

- Sampson HA, Aceves S, Bock SA, James J, Jones S, Lang D et al. Food allergy: A practice parameter update—2014. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014; 134:1016–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.05.013 PMID: 25174862
- Pedone C, Lapane KL. Generalizability of guidelines and physicians' adherence. Case study on the Sixth Joint National Commitee's guidelines on hypertension. BMC Public Health. 2003; 3(24):1–11.
- 18. Kissoon N. Sepsis guidelines: Suggestions to improve adherence. J Infect. 2015; 71(S1):S36–S41.
- Powell BJ, McMillen JC, Proctor EK, Carpenter CR, Griffey RT, Bunger AC, et al. A Compilation of Strategies for Implementing Clinical Innovations in Health and Mental Health. Med Care Res Rev. 2011; 69 (2):123–157. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077558711430690 PMID: 22203646
- Shanbhag D, Graham ID, Harlos K, Brian Haynes R, Gabizon I, Connoly SJ, et al. Effectiveness of implementation interventions in improving physician adherence to guideline recommendations in heart failure: A systematic review. BMJ Open. 2018; 8(3):1–17.
- Cortez APB, Medeiros LC da S, Speridião P da GL, Mattar RHGM, Fagundes Neto U, Morais MB. Conhecimento de pediatras e nutricionistas sobre o tratamento da alergia ao leite de vaca no lactente. Rev Paul Pediatr. 2007; 25(2):106–113.
- Sole D, Jacob CMA, Pastorino AC, Porto Neto A, Burns DA, Sarinho ESC, et al. O conhecimento de pediatras sobre alergia alimentar: estudo piloto. Rev Paul Pediatr. 2007; 25(4):311–316.
- Ribeiro CC, Leite Speridião PDG, Morais MB. Knowledge and practice of physicians and nutritionists regarding the prevention of food allergy. Clin Nutr. 2013; 32(4):624–629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu. 2012.10.014 PMID: 23238238
- Faria DPB, Cortez APB, Speridião P da GL, Morais MB. Knowledge and practice of pediatricians and nutritionists regarding treatment of cow's milk protein allergy in infants. Rev Nutr. 2018; 31(6):535–546.
- 25. Solé D, Silva LR, Rosário Filho NA, Sarni ROS, Pastorino AC, Jacob CMA, et al. Consenso brasileiro sobre alergia alimentar: 2007 documento conjunto elaborado pela sociedade brasileira de pediatria e associação brasileira de alergia e imunopatologia. Rev Bras Alerg e Imunopatol. 2008; 31(2):64–89.
- 26. Thangaratinam S, Redman CW. The Delphi technique. Obstet Gynaecol. 2005; 7(2):120–125.
- Lee JY, Kim TH, Suh DH, Kim J, Park NH, Song YS, et al. Impact of guideline adherence on patient outcomes in early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2015; 41(4):585–591. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ejso.2015.01.006</u> PMID: 25624160
- Fischer F, Lange K, Klose K, Greiner W, Kraemer A. Barriers and Strategies in Guideline Implementation—A Scoping Review. Healthcare. 2016; 4(3):36.
- Solé D, Amancio OMS, Jacob CMA, Cocco RR, Sarni RO, Suano F. Gui prático de diagnóstico e tratamento de APLV. Rev bras alerg imunopatol. 2012; 35(6):203–233.
- 30. Solé D, Silva LR, Cocco RR, Ferreira CT, Sarni RO, Oliveira LC, et al. Consenso Brasileiro sobre Alergia Alimentar: 2018—Parte 2—Diagnóstico, tratamento e prevenção. Documento conjunto elaborado pela Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria e Associação Brasileira de Alergia e Imunologia. Arq Asma, Alerg e Imunol. 2018; 2(1):39–82.
- Gupta RS, Lau CH, Dyer AA, Sohn MW, Altshuler BA, Kaye BA. Food Allergy Diagnosis and Management Practices Among Pediatricians. Clin Pediatr. 2014; 53(6):524–30.
- Vandenplas Y, AlFrayh AS, AlMutairi B, Elhalik MS, Green RJ, Haddad J, et al. Physician practice in food allergy prevention in the Middle East and North Africa. BMC Pediatr. 2017; 17(1):118. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12887-017-0871-3 PMID: 28476129
- Vieira SCF, Gurgel FM, Leão MZ, Costa-Silva TE, Barreto IDC, Martins-Filho PRS, et al. Survey on the Adherence to the 2009 NASPGHAN-ESPGHAN Gastroesophageal Reflux Guidelines by Brazilian Paediatricians. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2018; 67(1):e1–e5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.</u> 000000000001902 PMID: 29394212
- Gold J, Hametz P, Sen AI, Mayakowski P, Leone N, Lee DS, et al. Provider Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Bronchiolitis and Pneumonia Guidelines. *Hosp Pediatr*.2019; 9(2):87–91. https:// doi.org/10.1542/hpeds.2018-0211 PMID: 30610012
- Cabana M, Rand C, Powe N, Wu AW, Wllson MH, Abboud PA, et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A framework for improvement. JAMA. 1999; 282(15):1458–1465. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.282.15.1458</u> PMID: 10535437
- Arts DL, Voncken AG, Medlock S, Abu-Hanna A, van Weert HCPM. Reasons for intentional guideline non-adherence: A systematic review. Int J Med Inform. 2016; 89:55–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijmedinf.2016.02.009 PMID: 26980359</u>
- McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, Keesey J, Hicks J, DeCristofaro A, et al. The Quality of Health Care Delivered to Adults in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348(26):2635–2645. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa022615</u> PMID: 12826639

- **38.** Lugtenberg M, Burgers JS, Besters CF, Han D, Westert GP. Perceived barriers to guideline adherence: A survey among general practitioners. BMC Fam Pract. 2011; 12(1):98.
- Albuquerque MV de Viana ALD, Lima LD de Ferreira MP, Fusaro ER Iozzi FL. Regional health inequalities: changes observed in Brazil from 2000–2016. Cien Saude Colet. 2017; 22(4):1055–1064. https:// doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232017224.26862016 PMID: 28444033
- 40. O'Sullivan JW, Albasri A, Koshiaris C, Aronson JK, Heneghan C, Perera R. Diagnostic test guidelines based on high-quality evidence had greater rates of adherence: a meta-epidemiological study. J Clin Epidemiol. 2018; 103:40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.06.013 PMID: 29981871
- Cosgrove L, Bursztajn HJ, Erlich DR, Wheeler EE, Shaughnessy AF. Conflicts of interest and the quality of recommendations in clinical guidelines. J Eval Clinical Pract. 2013; 19(4):674–681.
- 42. Bacal L, Nadeau K. The Impact of Food Allergies on Quality of Life. Pediatr Ann. 2013; 42(7):151–155.
- Bilaver LA, Doshi P, Chadha AS, O'Dweyer L, Gupta RS. Economic burden of food allergy- A systematic review. Ann Allergy, Asthma Immunol. 2019; 122(4):373–380.
- Mittman BS, Tonesk X, Jacobson PD. Implementing Clinical Practice Guidelines: Social Influence Strategies and Practitioner Behavior Change. QRB—Quality Review Bulletin. 1992; 18(12):413–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0097-5990(16)30567-x PMID: 1287523