

1 **Bread Staling: Updating the View**

2  
3 **C. Fadda<sup>a</sup>, A. M. Sanguinetti<sup>a</sup>, A. Del Caro<sup>a</sup>, C. Collar<sup>b</sup>, A. Piga<sup>a\*</sup>**

4  
5 <sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Agraria, Università degli Studi di Sassari, Viale Italia 39/A, 07100 Sassari,  
6 Italy

7 <sup>b</sup>Cereal Group, Food Science Department, Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de  
8 Alimentos (CSIC), Avenida Catedrático Agustín Escardino 7, Paterna 46980, Valencia, Spain

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 The authors contributed equally to this work. All the authors do not have conflict of interests.

17  
18 \*Corresponding author: Prof. Antonio Piga, Dipartimento di Agraria, Università degli Studi  
19 di Sassari, Viale Italia 39/A, 07100 Sassari – Tel and fax n. 0039 079 229272. *E-mail*  
20 *address:* [pigaa@uniss.it](mailto:pigaa@uniss.it)

21  
22 Word count: 21517

23 **Running title: Bread staling review**

24  
25

26 **ABSTRACT:** Staling of bread is cause of significant product waste in the world. We  
27 reviewed the literature of last 10 years with the aim to give an up-to-date overview on  
28 processing/storage parameters, antistaling ingredients, sourdough technology, and  
29 measurement methods of the staling phenomenon. Many researchers have been focusing their  
30 interest on the selection of ingredients able to retard staling, mainly hydrocolloids, waxy  
31 wheat flours (WWF), and enzymes, but different efforts have been made to understand the  
32 molecular basis of bread-staling with the help of various measurement methods. Results  
33 obtained confirm the central role of amylopectin retrogradation and water redistribution  
34 within the different polymers in determining bread-staling, but highlighted also the  
35 importance of other flour constituents, such as proteins and non-starch polysaccharides. Data  
36 obtained with thermal, spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance, X-ray crystallography, and  
37 colorimetry analysis have pointed out the need to encourage the use of one or more of these  
38 techniques in order to better understand the mechanisms of staling. Results so far obtained  
39 have provided new insight on bread staling, but the phenomenon has not been fully elucidated  
40 so far.

41

## 42 **List of chapters**

### 43 1. Introduction

### 44 2. Main ingredients affecting bread staling

#### 45 2.1. Flours

#### 46 2.2. Carbohydrates

#### 47 2.3. Lipids and shortenings

#### 48 2.4. Minor ingredients

### 49 3. Enzymes

### 50 4. Associated mixtures of ingredients and/or enzymes

### 51 5. Processing factors affecting staling rate

#### 52 5.1. Storage temperature

#### 53 5.2. Sourdough fermentation

#### 54 5.3. Baking and fermentation

#### 55 5.4. High-hydrostatic-pressure processing (HPP).

### 56 6. Measurement methods of bread staling

57	6.1. Thermal analysis
58	6.2. Infrared spectroscopy
59	6.3. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
60	6.4. X-ray crystallography
61	6.5. Colorimetry
62	6.6. Rheological methods
63	6.7. Electrical impedance
64	6.8. Mixed instrumentation

65 7. Conclusion

66 8. References

67

## 68 **List of abbreviations**

69 ALG, sodium alginate;  $\beta$ -CD,  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin; BGF,  $\beta$ -glucan-rich fraction; BM, barley  
70 flours; BR, ungerminated brown rice; CMC, carboxymethylcellulose; DATEM, mono or  
71 diacylglycerols alone or esterified; DB, dough bread;  $D_{\text{eff}}$ , effective moisture diffusivity; DE,  
72 dextrose equivalent; DF, dietary fiber;  $\Delta H$ , enthalpy of melting; DMA, dynamic mechanical  
73 analysis; DSC, differential scanning calorimetry; DTMA, dynamic thermomechanical  
74 analysis; EPS, exopolysaccharides; FB, fully baked bread; FD, frozen dough; FFC, fast field  
75 cycling; FPBFB, frozen part-baked French bread; FRF, fiber-rich fractions; FTIR, Fourier  
76 transform infrared spectroscopy; FW, freezable water; GG, guar gum; GHP, gluten  
77 hydrolysate; GO, glucose oxidase;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR, hydrogen-1 nuclear magnetic resonance;  
78 HPMC, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose; HPP, high-hydrostatic-pressure processing; K, k-  
79 carragenan; KGM, konjac glucomannan; LAB, lactic acid bacteria;  $\lambda\text{C}$ ,  $\lambda$ -carrageenan;  
80 MGL, fat-monoglycerides; MIR, middle-infrared spectroscopy; MRI, magnetic resonance  
81 imaging; MTS, chemically modified tapioca starches; NIRS, near-infrared reflectance  
82 spectroscopy; PB, par-baked bread; PBGR, pre-germinated brown rice; PCA, principal  
83 component analysis; PGA,  $\gamma$ -polyglutamic acid; RVA, rapid visco-analysis; SAD, sponge-  
84 and-dough baking method; SAXS, small-angle X-ray scattering; SEM, scanning electron  
85 microscopy; SOM, self-organized map; SSL, sodium stearyl lactylate; TA, texture analysis;  
86  $T_g$ , glass-transition temperature;  $T_g'$ ,  $T_g$  of the maximally freeze-concentrated state; Tgm,

87 transglutaminase; TPA, Texture Profile Analysis; UFW, unfreezable water; WISP, water-  
88 insoluble pentosans; WSP, water-soluble pentosans; WVP, water vapor permeability; WWF,  
89 waxy wheat flours; WWS, waxy wheat starch; XG, xanthan gum; XRD, X-ray diffraction;  
90 Xylns, Xylanases.

91

## 92 **1. Introduction**

93 Bread stales and unfortunately it is a certainty and causes significant product waste all over  
94 the world (Collar and Rosell 2013). Staling results in loss of important sensory parameters of  
95 bread, like flavor and texture, and it is a consequence of a group of several physical-chemical  
96 changes occurring during bread storage that lead mainly to an increase of crumb firmness and  
97 loss of freshness (Kulp and Ponte 1981; Gray and Bemiller 2003). Although the staling  
98 mechanism has not been well established, the most important causes responsible for this  
99 alteration are starch transformation, starch–gluten interactions, and moisture redistribution  
100 (Schiraldi and Fessas 2001).

101 Bread staling is being continuously studied and researchers have been focusing their  
102 interest on mechanisms, factors and measurement, thus a huge body of literature is available,  
103 including a number of reviews and book chapters dealing with the different causes of bread  
104 staling and/or specific topics (Table 1). Most of the reviews and book chapters do not cover  
105 all aspects dealing with bread staling. A rather complete state of the art of molecular basis  
106 and most of the factors influencing the quality of bread, as well as of the main antistaling  
107 agents, has been, however, covered by Gray and Bemiller (2003), while reviews published  
108 later focused again only on specific aspects of bread staling, such as the influence of water,  
109 enzymes, frozen dough, and partially baked bread, waxy and high-amylose wheat starches  
110 and flours, sourdough, and analytical methodology (Table 1). More than 300 papers have  
111 been published in international peer-reviewed journals since 2003 on this topic, thus we

112 attempted to collect the most important literature to give a new and up-to-date picture on  
113 bread staling. In particular, this review will focus on new information regarding the following  
114 aspects of bread staling: processing/storage parameters, surface-active lipids, enzymes,  
115 carbohydrate ingredients, flours and other major ingredients, as well as new measurement  
116 methods and sourdough technology. The review will take into consideration only papers  
117 dealing with wheat bread and not with models such as diluted and concentrated starch pastes  
118 as well as gluten-free bread. In the case of papers dealing with the effect of different factors  
119 (such as storage temperature, ingredients, or ingredients of different origin), we use hierarchic  
120 considerations to select the proper section of discussion. Moreover, the reader has to refer to  
121 the literature previously reported and in particular to the paper of Gray and Bemiller (2003)  
122 and others that will be cited for more general information, molecular basis, and mechanisms  
123 of bread staling. As a general rule, only papers not cited by specific or general reviews, which  
124 will be reported in the proper sections, are discussed in this review. However, papers already  
125 cited in reviews, but not properly discussed with regard to bread staling will be reviewed  
126 again.

127

128

## 129 **2. Main ingredients affecting bread staling**

### 130 **2.1. Flours**

131 Flours other than wheat or deriving from amylose-free wheat flours (waxy) have been  
132 extensively studied during this last decade. The particular composition of some flours or the  
133 absence of amylose (with its role on staling) have been proposed in the production of mixed  
134 flour breads in order both to improve nutritional aspects and bread aging.

#### 135 **Non-wheat flours**

136 It raises a great deal of recent interest that minor cereals, ancient crops and pseudocereals,  
137 besides wheat, constitute highly nutrient-dense grains with feasible breadmaking applications  
138 despite the poor viscoelasticity they exhibit when mixed with water.

139 Salehifar and Shahedi (2007) have confirmed earlier the beneficial effects found by  
140 Zhang and others (1998) using oat flour in reducing firmness of breads stored at room and  
141 chill temperature for up to 3 days, provided a maximum 20% oat flour substitution is  
142 accomplished, in order not to impart a strong bitter taste.

143 The ability of high  $\beta$ -glucan barley (HBGB) flour versus regular commercial barley (CB)  
144 to make highly nutritious wheat (WT) blended breads has been recently discussed (Collar and  
145 Angioloni, 2014a). Mixed breads obtained by 40 % replacement of WT flour by HBGB flours  
146 are more nutritious than those replaced by CB flours and much more than regular WT flour  
147 breads preserving the sensory acceptance and improving bread keepability during storage.

148 The high content of  $\beta$ -glucan of barley flour has been described help in reducing the starch  
149 crystallization thus delaying significantly the staling rate of bread when used at the 20%  
150 level, even if it increased the firmness of fresh product (Gujral and others 2003). Moreover,  
151 when barley flour was used together with wet gluten and ascorbic acid they reduced both  
152 initial firmness and staling rate, especially when the higher level of the 3 additives was used.  
153 Purhagen and others (2008) proposed that water had a greater effect on bread staling as  
154 assessed by TA, with respect to amylopectin retrogradation measured with DSC, when  
155 normal or heat-treated barley flours (BM) were supplemented at 2 or 4% levels. In fact,  
156 although the retrogradation enthalpy of supplemented breads was higher than control breads,  
157 the firmness values of barley loaves were significantly lower during 7 days of storage at room  
158 temperature. However, the authors suggested that this effect could not be simply explained by  
159 the higher amounts of water in barley formulations, but by differences in the water-binding  
160 ability of flour formulations with BM or soluble fibers. Staling rate was retarded in

161 laboratory-produced breads by using pressure-treated barley flour, as well as waxy and pre-  
162 gelatinized waxy barley starch at the 3% level (Purhagen and others 2011b). The best results  
163 in retarding crumb firmness were found for pre-treated and pre-gelatinized additives, with  
164 respect to the other formulations, including control bread, regardless of the storage time, even  
165 if a higher amylopectin retrogradation was revealed. The authors explained this result with  
166 the increased water retention during storage of substituted formulations. Unfortunately, they  
167 did not manage to retard staling when the pre-gelatinized additives were used in an industrial  
168 baking trial.

169 Vittadini and Vodovotz (2003) used thermal analysis to assess that soy flour may have a  
170 role in modulating bread staling. Results indicated that replacing up to 40% of soy flour in the  
171 bread formulation caused a significant decrease in amylopectin recrystallization as well as  
172 promoted moisture retention during storage, with respect to control bread, thus leading to  
173 decreased staling. Lodi and Vodovotz (2008) studied the effect of the partial substitution of  
174 wheat flour with soy flour and the addition of raw ground almonds (5%). The incorporation  
175 of almond increased the loaf specific volume of bread and reduced the crumb firmness  
176 changes over a 10-day storage period, if compared to bread obtained with only soy, even if no  
177 differences in amylopectin recrystallization rate or formation of amylose-lipid complexes  
178 were detected between the 2 formulations. The authors postulated that the addition of almond  
179 to soy flour probably resulted in a stronger interaction between proteins of wheat and soy,  
180 favored by the high lipid content of almonds. On the other hand, the bread produced with  
181 only soy staled at a lower rate than control bread, due to a better homogeneous water  
182 distribution, as revealed by different thermal determinations and by MRI (Lodi and others  
183 2007a,b).

184 Watanabe and others (2004) reported that substitution of wheat flour with powdered pre-  
185 germinated brown rice (PBGR) was able to reduce the staling rate of bread stored for 3 days

186 at room temperature, with respect to both control formula and bread supplemented with  
187 ungerminated brown rice (BR). The replacement of 10% to 20% PBGR resulted in delayed  
188 staling with respect to BR sample, while 10% PGBR slowed starch retrogradation, compared  
189 to control loaves, but supplementation of 30% PGBR accelerated bread hardening. According  
190 to the authors, 10% PGBR addition enhanced softness of bread due to a certain amount of  
191 starch granules being gelatinized during PGBR production, while 30% supplementation led to  
192 accelerated staling owing to the high water content needed to obtain dough.

193 Mentes and others (2008) reported that substitution of wheat flour with ground flaxseed  
194 flour resulted in delayed staling of bread after 24 hours in storage, with respect to control all-  
195 wheat bread, as assessed by a mechanical penetration test, but the authors did not give any  
196 explanation of the probable causes. The best result was obtained by using 15% flaxseed flour.

197 Wu and others (2009) studied the effect of potato paste substitution at 5 to 30% on  
198 hardness evolution of bread during a 3-day storage period and found that staling decreased in  
199 1-day stored samples obtained with 5% to 20% potato paste, with respect to control breads,  
200 and they associated this with the differences in water-binding capacities of potato paste and  
201 with interaction with starch, thus affecting starch retrogradation.

202 Begum and others (2010) evidenced that bread obtained with the use of 10% fermented  
203 cassava flour or 10% soy-fortified cassava flour was softer after 3 days at room temperature,  
204 with respect to wheat bread [Note: the authors did not make an explanation for this result and  
205 did not report the amount of soy used to fortify cassava flour].

206 In a recent paper (Angioloni and Collar 2011), the suitability of associated mixtures of  
207 minor/ancient cereals (rye, oat, Kamut® wheat, spelt wheat) and pseudocereals (buckwheat)  
208 was assessed in multigrain wheat flour highly replaced matrices. A quaternary blend of oat,  
209 rye, buckwheat and common wheat flours (20:20:20:40 w/w/w/w) without any additive



210 and/or technological aid in the formulation was proposed to make highly nutritious baked  
211 goods meeting sensory standards and exhibiting a low staling rate during ageing.

212 The quality profile of binary mixtures of oat–wheat (60:40 w/w), millet–wheat (40:60  
213 w/w) and sorghum–wheat (40:60 w/w) was significantly improved in presence of some  
214 additives in terms of keepability during storage, mainly for oat–wheat blends which stale at a  
215 similar rate or even at lower rate than 100% wheat breads (Angioloni and Collar, 2013).  
216 Dilution up to 20% of the basic rye/wheat flour blend by accumulative addition of amaranth,  
217 buckwheat, quinoa and teff flours (5% single flour) did positively impact both bread keeping  
218 behavior during aging, and nutritional characteristics of mixed bread matrices (Collar and  
219 Angioloni, 2014b).

220 .

### 221 **Waxy wheat flours**

222 Most of the research work on flour has been focused, however, on the use of waxy wheat  
223 flours (WWF), because, due to its lack of amylose, WWF can reduce the initial phase of  
224 retrogradation (Graybosch 1998). A comprehensive review on the production and  
225 characteristics of WWF and waxy wheat starch (WWS) and their application for food  
226 processing is that of Hung and others (2006).

227 Baik and others (2003) suggested that the increased starch retrogradation of bread crumb,  
228 as assessed by DSC, may not be the cause of retarded staling during a period of 7 days in  
229 storage at 4 °C in bread obtained with double-null partial WWF, with respect to bread  
230 produced with hard red spring wheat flours. They proposed that the low amylose and high  
231 protein contents of the waxy lines were beneficial in retarding the increase in hardness. Peng  
232 and others (2009) reported that the use of 15% WWF combined with 2 other wheat flours was  
233 the optimal solution for retarding staling up to 6 days without impairing bread quality, as  
234 revealed by sensory analysis, if compared with the control. Data from Hung and others

235 (2007a) gave evidence of the relationship between the use of whole WWF and delayed  
236 staling. Breads made with 30 and 50% whole WWF substitution were softer up to 1 day in  
237 storage due to the higher amount of water absorbed by the dough as well as the high moisture  
238 content in breadcrumbs. In a further paper, the same authors (Hung and others 2007b) by  
239 using 100% whole WWF managed to delay staling of whole waxy bread up to 3 days by  
240 adding 40,000 U g<sup>-1</sup> of cellulase, due to the particular pentosans present in the enzyme  
241 hydrolysate. Moreover, they obtained white WWF by removing the bran and germ, and the  
242 resulting breadcrumbs kept softer for 5 days, with respect to breadcrumbs from both the  
243 whole regular and whole waxy wheat, probably as a result of the enrichment of the  
244 amylopectin fraction of the white WWF. Park and Baik (2007) made a comparative test with  
245 wheat genotypes of wild type, partial waxy, and waxy starch, in order to study the influence  
246 of starch amylose content on French bread performance of wheat flour. Their study evidenced  
247 that wheat flours with reduced starch amylose content allowed the production of breads with  
248 better retained crumb moisture and delayed staling up to 48 hours of storage, probably  
249 because the greater crumb moisture resulted in a delay in amylopectin retrogradation, even if  
250 DSC analysis did not evidence significant differences in enthalpy values of the various wheat  
251 genotypes with different amylose content. Slowing the migration of water from the gluten  
252 phase to the starch phase by WWF (5-30%) has been hypothesized as the cause of diminution  
253 of firmness evolution, as determined with compression analysis (Mouliney and others 2011).

254 The low amylose content of flours obtained from 2 new Japanese wheat varieties was  
255 related to reduced staling of bread, especially in the first 48 h of storage at 20 °C, with respect  
256 to samples obtained with 2 representative bread wheat classes that are N. 1 Canada western  
257 red spring and hard red winter (Ito and others 2007). DSC data of enthalpy and X-ray patterns  
258 evidenced a slow retrogradation of starch gel in the bread obtained with the new varieties,  
259 thus accounting for their softer texture that resulted in softness and high cohesiveness of the

260 loaves. Apparently different results were found when replacing hard wheat flours with 15 to  
261 45% with two hard WWF (Garimella Purna and others 2011). In fact, substitution led to  
262 softer bread, but only at day 1 after baking, while staling was not retarded during storage. The  
263 combination of less amylose and more soluble starch from amylopectin characterizing WWF  
264 could have resulted in a soft crumb structure on day 1 after baking, while after 7 days the  
265 bread was as firm as the control, due to a similar content of soluble starch, thus confirming a  
266 previous study (Ghiasi and others 1984).

267 Yi and others (2009) studied the effect of partial WWF substitution on staling of bread  
268 made from FD. They found that when modulating WWF and water amounts it was possible to  
269 reduce the staling rate, with respect to control formulations. The best combination was 45%  
270 WWF replacement and 65% water. By using pulsed hydrogen-1 nuclear magnetic resonance  
271 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) they concluded that bread with higher WWF content held more water and limited  
272 the movement of water from one domain to another.

273 Very recently, Lafaye and others (2013) obtained bread using waxy durum flour and  
274 concluded that this flour acted as a unique bread softener. The authors did not make any  
275 additional analysis in order to suggest a satisfactory explanation of the antistaling effect of  
276 this flour, however provided a well-described picture of the possible causes leading to the  
277 beneficial effect of waxy flour supplementation by summarizing literature results.

278

## 279 **2.2. Carbohydrates**

280 A consistent research activity has been carried out during the last decade on the role of  
281 carbohydrate ingredients in reducing bread staling. Hydrocolloids, modified starches,  
282 dextrans, and maltooligosaccharides and other fibers will be covered in this section.

283

284 **Hydrocolloids.** The antistaling effect of hydrocolloids (Table 2) has been extensively

285 studied and attributed to controlling and **maintaining** the moisture content, stabilizing the  
286 dough, and influencing the crust structure (Davidou and others 1996; Collar and others 1999;  
287 Rojas and others 1999; Mandala and Sotirakoglou 2005; Mandala and others 2007; Rosell  
288 and Gomez, 2007). Some interesting reviews focused on molecular structure,  
289 physicochemical properties, and uses in food products of the whole class of hydrocolloids as  
290 bread improvers (Kohajdová and Karovičová 2009) and more specifically of barley  $\beta$ -glucans  
291 and arabinoxylans (Izydorczyk and Dexter 2008). A book chapter **by** Milani and Maleki  
292 (2012) gives a classification of hydrocolloids and of their functions, according to  
293 Hollingworth (2010).

294 The use of DSC allowed to establish that hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) and **k-**  
295 **carragenan** (K) decreased the retrogradation enthalpy of amylopectin, thus retarding staling of  
296 part-baked breads produced with an interrupted baking process and frozen storage (Barcenas  
297 and others 2003). The latter results were in part in contrast to what **was** reported previously  
298 by Sharadanant and Khan (2003) who found a detrimental effect on bread firmness evolution  
299 during storage of K-supplemented breads. In a **later** paper, Barcenas and Rosell (2005) gave a  
300 more detailed explanation of the possible cause of the antistaling effect of HPMC. The  
301 authors, in fact, determined the microstructure of bread crumb by cryo-SEM and found that  
302 HPMC use resulted in gas cells with a more continuous surface and a thicker appearance,  
303 with respect to **the** control. Thus, the presence of HPMC enfolded the other bread  
304 constituents, with a consequent hindering of their interactions and avoided some of the  
305 **processes** involved in bread staling. The HPMC was suggested as the best antistaling  
306 ingredient also for Lavash flat bread made with **2** different wheat flours and stored for 48  
307 hours (Tavakolipour and Kalbasi-Ashtari, 2007). Similar results on another flat bread, the  
308 Barbari, have **recently** been reported by Maleki and others (2012) who found that  
309 hydrocolloids other than HPMC, namely GG, XG, and CMC, reduced staling of bread up to 5

310 days, due to the limitation of water mobility that influenced the gelatinization process by  
311 decreasing the  $\Delta H$ , that was also reported by Ghanbari and Farmani (2013) who revealed a  
312 significant antistaling effect of K, especially when supplemented at 0.5%. Mandala and  
313 Sotirakoglou (2005) suggested that the use of XG and GG in fresh or microwave-heated  
314 bread after frozen storage was able to retain water in the crumb and, consequently, moisture  
315 migration to the crust, thus resulting in the crust to fail at greater deformation, that is, the  
316 samples were less stiff. XG used at low concentrations, on the other hand, improved the  
317 crumb viscoelastic properties on defrosted and microwave-heated samples, probably by  
318 hindering the deteriorating effects and avoiding the development of a spongy structure during  
319 frozen storage, as suggested by Ferrero and others (1993). Moreover, XG has been addressed  
320 to retard amylose retrogradation, due to reduced amylose–amylose interactions. In 2 separate  
321 papers the effect of 4 different hydrocolloids was studied, namely XG, GG, locust bean gum  
322 (LBG), and HPMC on staling retardation of dough bread (DB), PB and full-baked (FB)  
323 breads stored at chilling (Mandala and others 2007) or frozen temperature (Mandala and  
324 others 2008) and finally re-baked (DB and PB). The crust puncture test and relaxation test of  
325 the crumb revealed that XG addition resulted in a significantly less firm crust on PB and FB  
326 breads after chilling storage, with respect to the other samples. X had also the more evident  
327 effects on crumb viscoelastic properties, as revealed by relaxation tests, as it gave PB breads  
328 with an elastic crumb, DB with a more viscous crumb, and FB breads with an even more  
329 viscous crumb (Mandala and others 2007). In the case of frozen samples (Mandala and others  
330 2008), XG supplementation was able to give a softer plastic crust, but only in PB breads, with  
331 respect to control and other supplemented samples, probably due to the thickening effect on  
332 the crumb walls associated with the air spaces that resulted in a less rigid structure. Finally,  
333 the addition of XG to formulations allowed PB and FB breads to have a more elastic crumb  
334 when compared to the other samples, thus revealing that this hydrocolloid is more efficient

335 against crumb deterioration in a FB product than in the DB, and highlighting a very different  
336 behavior from that found during chilling storage (Mandala and others 2007), in which FB  
337 breads presented a complete viscous and deteriorated crumb when hydrocolloids were used.  
338 Shittu and others (2009) reported that increasing the dosage of XG up to 2% resulted in a  
339 major hindrance of gluten-starch interaction in the presence of hydrocolloid molecules, thus  
340 conferring a significantly higher softness to fresh composite cassava-wheat bread. They also  
341 reported that crumb hardening and moisture loss followed a linear sequence up to the 1% XG  
342 level, which, thus, was proposed as the optimum concentration to reduce both phenomena,  
343 even if the 2% XG level best estimated the crumb firming rate. Shalini and Laxmi (2007)  
344 investigated the effect of 4 different hydrocolloids, HPMC, GG, K, and CMC on textural  
345 characteristics of Indian chapatti bread stored at ambient or chilling temperature and  
346 evidenced that 0.75% w/w supplementation of GG gave the softest bread and decreased the  
347 loss of extensibility up to 2 days in storage at both temperatures, with respect to the control.  
348 The authors suggested that GG has a softening effect, probably by an inhibition of the  
349 amylopectin retrogradation, prevention of water release, and polymer aggregation during  
350 refrigeration, as well as interference during interchain-amylose association. In a further paper,  
351 Shalini (2009) gave more explanations on the effects of GG on staling parameters and found  
352 that moisture, water-soluble starch and in vitro digestibility enzyme contents in GG  
353 incorporated chapatti were higher than in the control chapatti at both storage temperatures.  
354 Smitha and others (2008), on the other hand, working with another flat bread, the unleavened  
355 Indian parotta, found that supplementation of hydrocolloids (gum arabic, GG, XG, CMC, and  
356 HPMC) resulted in delayed staling 8 hours after baking, with respect to non supplemented  
357 breads. HPMC gave the best results in terms of reduction of hardness, while XG was judged  
358 by panelists as the best for preserving sensory attributes like softness and chewiness.  
359 Angioloni and Collar (2009a) proposed the viability of LBG and CMC blended with

360 oligosaccharides, at a medium-high substitution level, as very valuable sources of dietary  
361 fiber (DF) for the baked goods with both “healthy” characteristics and extended shelf-life,  
362 due to reduced staling. These conclusions were drawn after modeling the crumb firming  
363 kinetics parameters obtained during storage with the Avrami equation. Moreover, good  
364 relationships between the main parameters obtained with the different physical analyses  
365 (small dynamic and large static deformation methods, viscometric pattern, and image  
366 analyses) performed on raw materials and intermediate and final products were found. The  
367 effect of ALG and konjac glucomannan (KGM) supplementation at 0.2 and 0.8% w/w flour  
368 basis was studied in terms of staling behavior of Chinese steamed bread by Sim and others  
369 (2011) who reported that the higher supplementation dose of both hydrocolloids resulted in a  
370 significantly lower staling rate up to 4 days, with respect to the control bread, probably  
371 because of the hindering effect of gums on macromolecular entanglements thus causing  
372 starch recrystallization delay. Wang and others (2006) studied the effect of gluten hydrolysate  
373 (GHP)/ $\lambda$ -carrageenan ( $\lambda$ C) ratio on the increase in the bread crumb firmness during storage  
374 and proposed that the changes occurring in the amorphous part of the starch, when a  
375 concentration of 0.5% GHP/ $\gamma$ C was used in the product formulation, thus significantly  
376 delaying bread staling.

377 The use of hemicelluloses has been the topic of different studies during the last 10 years.  
378 A penetrometric test revealed that supplementation of 0.3, 0.5, or 0.7% hemicelluloses  
379 (extracted from buckwheat) increased the penetration depth of the crumb after 72 h of storage  
380 at 30 °C, thus delaying crumb hardening, and resulted in bread with a higher specific  
381 volume than the control during a 3-days storage period. The best results were in the order  
382 0.5>0.3>0.7% both for hardness and volume (P=0.01) (Hromádková and others 2007).  
383 Symons and Brennan (2004) reported that a  $\beta$ -glucan-rich fraction (BGF) extracted from  
384 barley and incorporated at 2.5% into a bread formulation reduced crumb staling after one day

385 in storage, as detected by TA, but they did not formulate any explanation of the causes [Note:  
386 the discussion of data on firming is not exhaustive, as the authors did not explain neither the  
387 rate of staling, nor highlighted that there were no significant differences in firmness between  
388 control and BGF-supplemented bread]. The BGF gave, moreover, breads with lower volume,  
389 confirming previous results (Gill and others 2002). Jacobs and others (2008) gave interesting  
390 new knowledge about the influence of bread production on bread quality when fiber-rich  
391 fractions (FRF), enriched  $\beta$ -glucans, and arabinoxylans from hull-less barley were used.  
392 They, while confirming the results of Symons and Brennan (2004), found that supplementation  
393 of FRF (12% on flour basis, corresponding to 2.5 g of arabinoxylans and  $\beta$ -glucans per 100 g  
394 of flour) and Xyn within the sponge-and-dough (SAD) baking method, improved the loaf  
395 volume, appearance, and crumb structure and resulted in crumb hardness and staling rate  
396 similar to that of the control bread, while other baking methods (Canadian short process,  
397 remix-to-peak) gave negative results [Note: the main part of the paper deals with a  
398 comparison of the 3 baking methods by using a 20% on flour basis supplementation and the  
399 authors concluded that the quality of the 20% FRF-enriched SAD bread was equal to or better  
400 than the remix-to-peak bread, but they neither presented a statistical comparison between data  
401 of the 2 baking methods, nor did they explain why they evaluated the impact of lower FRF  
402 addition only with the SAD method]. Skendi and others (2010) studied the supplementation  
403 of 2 wheat flours differing in bread making quality (poor and good) with two different-  
404 molecular-weight barley  $\beta$ -glucan isolates (at 0%, 0.2%, 0.6%, 1.0%, and 1.4% w/w on a  
405 flour dry weight basis) and found that the crumb hardness of  $\beta$ -glucan supplemented breads,  
406 measured after 24 h of storage, decreased with its increasing level up to reaching a minimum,  
407 and then with a reverse trend, however the values were always lower than the control bread, if  
408 we ignore one sample. Moreover, the antistaling effect was more pronounced up to 8 days in  
409 storage when the higher-molecular-weight  $\beta$ -glucan isolate was used in both flour types. The



410 authors proposed that the beneficial effects found could be ascribed either to the higher water  
411 retention capacity and a possible inhibition of the amylopectin retrogradation of  $\beta$ -glucan, as  
412 already suggested (Biliaderis and others 1995), or to the increase of the total area of gas cells.  
413 An increase in bread firmness with respect to control wheat formulation was, on the other  
414 hand, found by Hager and others (2011) after addition of oat  $\beta$ -glucan, suggesting that this  
415 increase in hardness might be ascribed to the increased water-binding capacity of the  
416 polysaccharide, thus hindering the development of the gluten network. They also evidenced a  
417 consistent increase in staling after the addition of the fat replacer inulin, thus confirming  
418 previous results (Wang and others 2002; O'Brien and others 2003; Poinot and others 2010)  
419 and in part in agreement with the study of Peressini and Sensidoni (2009) who used 2  
420 commercial inulin products, with lower (ST) and higher (HP) degrees of polymerization, to  
421 supplement 3 different wheat flours, moderately strong (MS) and weak (W), and found that  
422 the ST inulin addition to MS flour significantly increased the volume and lowered bread  
423 firmness, with respect to the control. The authors hypothesized that a delayed starch  
424 gelatinization during baking, due to the presence of 12% solutes, and the significant reduction  
425 of dough water absorption of ST inulin, may explain this result. The beneficial effect of inulin  
426 gel at 2.5% flour basis on increasing the loaf volume and maintaining the hardness value,  
427 with respect to a control bread, was also reported by O'Brien and others (2003). In a very  
428 recent paper the antistaling effect of substitution of wheat flour with barley flour (28%, 56%,  
429 and 84%) or  $\beta$ -glucan (1.5%, 3.0%, and 4.5%) on chapatti bread was assessed by DSC  
430 (Sharma and Gujral 2014). Storage at 4 °C for 24 h induced retrogradation in baked  
431 chapatties, as revealed by the increase in  $\Delta H$ , but it was concomitantly reduced up to 44 or  
432 64% when  $\beta$ -glucan or barley flour was used, respectively. The authors proposed that barley  
433 flour supplementation increased the levels of soluble as well as insoluble DF, with an  
434 increased water absorption and change of the nature of the starch and protein, thus preventing

435 better the staling of chapatties, with respect to loaves obtained with only  $\beta$ -glucan alone, as  
436 suggested by Purhagen and others (2012).

437 The use of pectin slowed crumb hardening in bread that was part-baked and stored at  
438 chilling (PB) or sub-zero (PBF) temperatures for variable times (Rosell and Santos 2010).  
439 The authors also revealed that PBF pectin-supplemented breads showed a similar hardening  
440 trend, with respect to their conventionally baked counterparts, as also demonstrated by using  
441 the Avrami equation. Correa and others (2012) reported that the incorporation of high-  
442 methoxyl pectin at 1 or 2% resulted in protection with respect to staling, especially when salt  
443 was used in the formulation, as it reduced the hardness values with respect to the control  
444 sample, as well as maintaining the chewiness. They proposed that the improved specific  
445 volume of high-methoxyl pectin-supplemented bread, which gave both a more cohesive and  
446 more resilient crumb with a different alveolus structure, which was the main reason for  
447 retarded staling.

448 A certain interest during the last years has been focused also on an animal hydrocolloid,  
449 chitosan, a nonbranched linear homopolymer obtained from shrimp and other crustacean  
450 shells. Chitosan is a water-soluble cationic polyelectrolyte, while most of the other  
451 polysaccharides are neutral or negatively charged at acid pH. In a first paper of Kerch and  
452 others (2008), addition of 2% chitosan resulted in increased staling rate of bread, and the  
453 author, through DSC analysis and SEM, suggested an increase in water migration rate from  
454 crumb to crust and in dehydration rate both for starch and gluten and a prevention of  
455 amylose-lipid complexation in breads supplemented with chitosan.

456 In a following paper, Kerch and others (2010) proposed and analyzed, with the aid of  
457 mechanical and DSC measurements, the main possible mechanisms leading to staling in  
458 breads obtained with supplementation of different chitosan and chitosan oligosaccharides.  
459 They confirmed that staling was the result of 2 independent processes, the first during the first

460 two days of storage depended on changes in the organization of starch polymer chains, later  
461 on **it** was caused by loss of water by gluten. They suggested also that chitosan increased the  
462 firming rate during the first stage due to its ability to bind lipids and prevent amylose-lipid  
463 complexation, while in the second stage **it was** enhanced dehydration of gluten due to its  
464 water-binding ability. In their work, however, they found that both chitosan oligosaccharides  
465 and low-molecular-weight chitosan decreased significantly the staling rate, if compared to  
466 middle-molecular-weight chitosan, and **they** hypothesized that low-molecular-weight  
467 substances inhibited crosslink formations between starch granules and protein fibrils which,  
468 in turn, **are** responsible for staling. Later on, Kerch and others (2012a) demonstrated with  
469 DSC that when chitosan was used in bread production by the straight-dough or the sponge-  
470 and-dough method it accelerated or slowed down the decrease of bound water content during  
471 the first stage of staling, respectively, thus delaying or accelerating staling during the first 2–3  
472 days of storage (first stage of staling). In a further paper they showed that supplementation of  
473 ascorbic acid to chitosan-enriched bread resulted in a more pronounced decrease of water  
474 content during baking in fresh bread compared to **the** control bread (Kerch and others 2012b).

475

476 **Modified and damaged starches.** The use of modified starches for retarding staling has  
477 been suggested since the **1990's**, for their ability to influence amylopectin crystallization  
478 (Inagaki and Seib 1992; Yook and others 1993; Toufeili and others 1999). Due to the fact,  
479 however, that other linear fractions of starch may affect retrogradation, an increased interest  
480 has been registered on cross-linked starches, due to their ability to increase the gelatinization  
481 temperature, setback viscosity, and decrease the transition enthalpy of gelatinization (Zheng  
482 and others 1999; Woo and Seib 2002). A well-focused review on this topic has been  
483 published by Myiazaki and others (2006).

484 According to Leon and others (2006), the content of damaged starch directly influences

485 bread staling through the increase of amylopectin recrystallization, as detected by DSC  
486 analysis. The authors concluded that the limited use of damaged starch is a key factor to  
487 control the quality of fresh bread and of its shelf-life, in contrast to what was reported earlier  
488 by Tipples (1969). In a paper of Miyazaki and others (2008) chemically modified tapioca  
489 starches (MTS), but with different degrees of modification, have been used to retard staling in  
490 breads obtained from FD, which was subjected to one freeze-thaw cycle and one-week frozen  
491 storage. Highly MTS retarded significantly the increase in firmness during 3 days of storage,  
492 thus confirming the results of previous papers, due to the slow retrograding rate of  
493 amylopectin.

494

495 **Dextrins and maltooligosaccharides.** Dextrins are the product of starch hydrolysis and,  
496 since bread staling has been attributed partly to its retrogradation, shortening the starch chain  
497 length, as obtained with particular  $\alpha$ -amylases, results in reducing the rate of staling.

498 Miyazaki and others (2004), using DSC, found that among 6 different dextrins (dextrose  
499 equivalent 3-40) used at 20%, those with low molecular weight (DE 19, 25, and 40) at 2.5%  
500 of substitution retarded retrogradation, as revealed by the  $\Delta H$  of retrograded amylopectin, but  
501 did not delay staling during 3 days of storage. They postulated that the antistaling mechanism  
502 following addition of dextrin differed from the retarding effect of dextrin produced by Am, as  
503 already reported (Akers and Hosney 1994; Morgan and others 1997). They also highlighted  
504 that retrogradation is not related to water mobility in crumbs, as assessed by the  
505 determination of water activity. An interesting study involving the use of TPA, XRD, and  
506 DSC reported that the use of  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin ( $\beta$ -CD) resulted in retardation of bread staling  
507 during 35 days of storage at 4°C, as changes of some TPA indexes (hardness, cohesiveness,  
508 and springiness) were reduced (Tian and others 2009). Data on hardness were fitted with the  
509 Avrami equation that evidenced a significant reduction of the rate constant (k), while

510 increasing the Avrami exponent, thus suggesting a retarded crumb-firming kinetic for  $\beta$ -CD-  
511 supplemented bread. Moreover, data of XRD showed a delay in changes of crystalline  
512 patterns occurring in crust and crumb and this retardation was attributed to a complex  
513 amylose–lipid  $\beta$ -CD, as observed by DSC, that resulted in transformation of nucleation type  
514 and lowered rate of bread staling.

515 Jakob and others (2012), studied for the first time, the beneficial effects of different  
516 fructans produced by acetic acid bacteria on the texture of bread. Out of 21 strains tested, 4 of  
517 them were able to produce high amounts of exopolysaccharides (EPS), as detected by HPLC  
518 analysis, which elicited, when supplemented at 1-2% of flour basis, significantly the increase  
519 in bread volume and retarded the hardness increase of crumb up to 1 week of storage, the  
520 highest differences being observed after addition of 2% sugar polymer from *Neosassa*  
521 *chiangmaiensis*. The authors proposed that the functional properties of the tested EPS were  
522 due to their hydrocolloid character, allowing a high water retention, and due to interactions  
523 between polysaccharides and other dough components like gluten and starch, thus influencing  
524 the final structure of the baked product. They, moreover, compared effects of EPS to HPMC.

525

526 **Other fibers.** In this section the effect of dietary fiber (DF) other than previously defined  
527 hydrocolloids and dextrans on bread staling will be reviewed. According to the Codex  
528 Alimentarius Commission, DF are “Carbohydrate polymers with more than 10 monomeric  
529 units, which are not hydrolyzed by the endogenous enzymes in the small intestine of humans”  
530 (ALINORM 09/32/26 2009). Recently dough properties of bread enriched with DF have  
531 been reviewed and the reader is redirected to this paper for the aspects dealing with the  
532 interaction of this component in dough development and bread baking (Sivam and others  
533 2010). Fibers investigated during this last decade are of cereal or noncereal origin.

534 Maeda and Morita (2003) proposed the polishing of soft wheat grain from the outer layer  
535 in increments of 10% of total weight to obtain flours with a high content of pentosans and  
536 damaged starch. In particular, both water-soluble (WSP) and water-insoluble pentosans  
537 (WISP) from the inner part of the wheat grain were added to the conventional flour and their  
538 effects on loaf volume and bread staling were assessed. The results indicate that both  
539 pentosans gave an increase in loaf volume and a significant decrease in staling up to 3 days in  
540 storage, with respect to the control bread. The authors presumed that the high viscous and  
541 gelling properties of WSP may improve the strength of gluten and the retention of gas  
542 generated in the dough.

543 Mandala and others (2009) studied the effect of different ingredients (hydrocolloids,  
544 polydextrose, oat flour, inulin, and commercial shortening) on crust firmness and crumb  
545 elasticity of breads obtained after thawing and baking of FD (at sub-zero temperatures for 1  
546 week) and PB breads, and found that inulin was the best of them in reducing bread crust  
547 firmness, probably due to a better moisture redistribution, even if fresh sample had the firmer  
548 crust.

549 Gomez and others (2003) found that the use of fibers of different origin (cellulose, cocoa,  
550 coffee, pea, orange, and wheat), while increasing the crumb firmness of fresh bread with  
551 respect to the control, reduced its evolution during 3 days of storage, and they postulated that  
552 this effect may be attributed to the already demonstrated water-binding capacity of fiber,  
553 which in turn reduced water loss during storage, as well as the probable interaction between  
554 fiber and starch, resulting in the delay of starch retrogradation. The best effect in delaying the  
555 bread staling was noticed after 2 days by using a short-length wheat fiber.

556 Collar and others (2009) found a positive effect on reducing staling rate during 16 days of  
557 storage of breads enriched with 2 kinds of cocoa fiber, as assessed by hardness and chewiness  
558 fitted with the Avrami equation, when increasing the dose of addition up to 6%, especially

559 when the formulation was supplemented with alkalinized cocoa-soluble fiber, while over-  
560 dosage resulted in a staling rate similar to that of the control breads.

561 Zhou and others (2009) correlated the reduction of starch retrogradation after X-ray  
562 measurements and application of the Avrami model with increasing levels, from 1 to 5%, of  
563 tea polysaccharide, which was able to reduce the slope of the staling rate up to 9 times, with  
564 respect to the control. The authors also found that the magnitude of bread staling retardation  
565 strongly depended on the type of wheat flour used.

566 Addition of butternut fiber at 10g/kg of flour decreased the staling rate of bread after 7  
567 days of storage, as measured by compressibility, DSC, and digital image analysis (Pla and  
568 others 2013). The authors clearly showed that fiber extracted from the peel resulted in a  
569 drastic reduction of the firmness value, suggesting a retardation of amylopectin  
570 retrogradation, more air occluded (cell area 100/total area), same number of particles  
571 (alveolus or gas cells) per square centimeter, and higher mean size of particles, with respect  
572 to the control bread. The authors concluded that the particular composition of fiber extracted  
573 from peel, that is presence of lignin, less-branched pectin chains, and significant higher  
574 protein content than the other butternut fiber used, may have accounted for the results  
575 obtained.

576

### 577 **2.3. Lipids and shortenings**

578 The role of surface-active lipids and shortenings has been well described by Gray and  
579 Bemiller (2003), and later on by Kohajdova and others (2009), thus we will report briefly the  
580 new knowledge not or only partially covered by these 2 reviews focused mainly on the use of  
581 mono or diacylglycerols alone or esterified (DATEM).

582 Collar (2003) suggested that individual and/or binary supplementation of fat-  
583 monoglycerides (MGL) and sodium stearoyl lactylate (SSL) to bread dough positively

584 influenced the level of the pasting parameters assessed by RVA (peak viscosity, pasting  
585 temperature, and setback during cooling) that are associated with a significant delay in bread  
586 firming. Moreover, she does not recommend binary use of MGL/carboxymethylcellulose  
587 (CMC) and SSL/CMC, as the antagonistic effects of the pair gum/surfactant resulted in a  
588 nullification of the benefits exerted by the individual emulsifiers.

589 Ribotta and others (2004a) evidenced the beneficial effect of DATEM on retarding crumb  
590 firming at 4 and 20 °C aging temperature of bread from both non frozen and FD, and they  
591 supposed that the formation of complexes with amylose and amylopectin inhibited the staling  
592 phenomenon. Sawa and others (2009) studied the effects of a wide range of purified saturated  
593 and unsaturated MGL at different concentrations on the crumb firmness evolution during  
594 bread storage and reported that the use of C16:0 and C18:0 and cis- and trans- C18:1 resulted  
595 both in a lower crumb firmness, even if depending on the baking process used, and in delayed  
596 bread staling, when compared to control bread. They suggested the interaction of MGL with  
597 amylose and amylopectin as the main cause of the obtained results. Manzocco and others  
598 (2012) proposed that a particular system morphology, as assessed by proton density/mobility  
599 using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), was generated in bread in which palm oil was  
600 replaced with a MGL-sunflower oil-water gel. The morphology change resulted in a 81%  
601 reduction in bread fat content as well as in a delay in bread staling during storage. The  
602 incorporation of the gel resulted, in fact, in a reduced proton density/mobility in comparison  
603 with standard formulation, thus it was concluded that the physical architecture of the lipids  
604 used in the formulation could contribute to modulate the retrogradation rate. Smith and  
605 Johansson (2004) reported that the increase of solid fat of a shortening containing fully  
606 hydrogenated soybean oil was able to delay bread staling and they suggested that saturated  
607 triacylglycerols acted in a similar way as saturated monoacylglycerols, that was an interaction  
608 with amylopectin. Mnif and others (2012) proposed a new biosurfactant obtained by *Bacillus*



609 *subtilis* as antistaling agent and compared it to soy lecithin. The bioemulsifier  
610 supplementation **significantly** reduced the bread staling over an 8-day period, depending on  
611 its amount, **and** the maximum decrease of staling rate was obtained with a 0.05%  
612 biosurfactant addition, which **was** also the dose that resulted in the highest bread specific  
613 volume. The authors suggested that the slower firming may be ascribed to the capacity of the  
614 emulsifiers to form a complex starch-emulsifier, which in turn delayed wheat starch  
615 crystallization. Additionally, the biosurfactant reduced the susceptibility to microbial growth  
616 during bread storage.

617

#### 618 **2.4. Minor ingredients affecting bread staling**

619 The functional effects on fermentation and bread baking of whey protein and casein have  
620 been reported by Erdoghu-Arnozcki and others (1996). Casein and whey, together with  
621 sodium alginate (ALG) and k-carragenan (K) were used in an attempt to improve the quality  
622 of FD, specifically to retard its quality loss during freezing time and after **3** freeze-thaw  
623 cycles (Yun and Eun 2006). Bread made with milk proteins and hydrocolloids were softer  
624 after 4 days in storage, with respect to control bread, probably **because of** better moisture  
625 retention and **improved** emulsification of these ingredients. Similar results were obtained in a  
626 **later** paper of Shon and others (2009).

627 **Addition** of juices to wheat bread formulations have been proposed to ameliorate **its**  
628 nutritional profile (Batu 2005), as sweeteners and color enhancers, **and** to increase volume  
629 and extend shelf-life (Matz 1989). Lasekan and others (2011) postulated that the high  
630 concentration of monosaccharide of the pineapple juice concentrate used at **a** 1.5% level in  
631 the formulation of white bread interfered with protein-starch interaction and delayed staling,  
632 but only after one day of storage. Sabanis and others (2009) studied the effect of  
633 supplementation (at 50% level sucrose substitution) of **2** types of raisin juices, concentrated

634 and dried on evolution of crumb firmness of bread obtained with both bread wheat and durum  
635 wheat. The dried juice decreased the wheat starch gel rigidity and retrogradation for the  
636 presence of glucose and fructose, thus resulting in reduced staling after 2 days of storage,  
637 with respect to control loaves, especially when durum wheat flour was used.

638 Tomato pomace has been suggested as a good source of hydrocolloids and was thus  
639 proposed (0, 1, 3, 5, and 7%) for flat bread production by Majzoobi and others (2011b), who  
640 detected delayed bread staling up to 4 days of storage at 25 °C in tomato-pomace  
641 supplemented bread, with respect to control sample, due to the concomitant increase in  
642 volume and moisture content and decreased starch retrogradation.

643 Surface coating treatments have been patented for improving the quality of bakery  
644 products (Lang and others 1987; Lonergan 1999; Hahn and others 2001; Jacobson 2003;  
645 Casper and others 2006, 2007). The main advantages proposed for glazing were the  
646 improvement of flavor and appearance. The moisture barrier exerted at the surface of baked  
647 products allows retaining and aiding dough expansion during baking, thus resulting in a  
648 reduction of surface defects, improvement of color, and higher baked volume. Recently,  
649 however, other beneficial effects of glazing have been described. Jahromi and others (2012)  
650 studied the effect of different glazing treatments, including natural substances, polyol, sugar,  
651 and hydrocolloids on the staling rate of breads stored up to 12 days. Increased moisture and  
652 reduction of water movement have been addressed as the main causes of delayed staling by  
653 different glazing ingredients, mainly, water, egg yolk, propylene glycol and starch, while at  
654 intermediate storage periods (2, 5, and 8 days) also other glazing substances significantly  
655 retarded the increase in crumb firmness, with respect to control bread.

656 Chin and others (2012) focused their work also on crust behavior following different  
657 glazing applications. Glazing with cornstarch, skim milk, and egg white were able to reduce  
658 the rate of moisture loss in bread crumb during 6 days of storage, thus reducing the staling

659 rate of glazed bread, with respect to **the** control. Moreover, glazing resulted in an increase in  
660 crust firmness, although the moisture content of the crust increased, probably because the rate  
661 of moisture migration from crust to the surrounding atmosphere could be lower with respect  
662 to that from the crumb to the crust region.

663 Sodium chloride impact on bread staling has **recently** been well reviewed and ascribed  
664 mainly to the increased gas retention effect of dough with NaCl that allows **an** increase in  
665 crumb porosity and a consequent decrease in crumb firmness (Beck and others 2012a). The  
666 retrogradation effect ascribed to Na<sup>+</sup> inclusion in starch molecules during storage of bread  
667 has been suggested as delaying staling (Beck and others 2012b). **In particular**, a decrease in  
668 bread staling following the decrease in NaCl levels was shown. Furthermore, a linear  
669 relationship between rheofermentometer data, bread volume, and crumb firmness was  
670 demonstrated, thus suggesting that the quality of bread could be predicted by gas release  
671 measurement.

672

### 673 **3. Enzymes**

674 The role of enzymes on bread staling has been one of the preferred topics during this last  
675 decade and **along with** quite recent reviews (Haros and others 2002; Butt and others 2008;  
676 Goesaert and others 2009), an important number of papers have appeared, which will be  
677 discussed. Apart from the effects of amylases, an increasing interest in transglutaminase, a  
678 protein modifier enzyme and other non-starch polysaccharide-modifying enzymes has been  
679 recorded.

680

681  **$\alpha$ -amylases and transferases.** The action of  **$\alpha$ -amylases** in reducing bread staling has  
682 been the topic of numerous studies (Gray and Bemiller 2003), and different ways of action  
683 have been proposed. The paper of Goesaert and others (2009) provided new knowledge on

684 the  $\alpha$ -amylase mode of action and its antistaling activity. In particular, they found that the  
685 maltogenic  $\alpha$ -amylase from *B. stearothermophilus* degraded significantly the outer  
686 amylopectin branches, thus producing amylopectin chains that are too short to crystallize. The  
687 result was the prevention of a “permanent” (based on amylopectin crystallites junction zones)  
688 amylopectin network, thus staling was delayed. Maeda and others (2003) proposed that a  
689 particular thermostable mutant,  $\alpha$ -amylase (M77), purified from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* F  
690 increased the specific volume of the bread and improved the softness of bread crumb, when  
691 compared to the commercial exo-type  $\alpha$ -amylase Novamyl (NM). They also showed that  
692 softness evolution of breadcrumb during storage was not correlated with thermostability. Rao  
693 and Satyanarayana (2007) found that the addition of  $\alpha$ -amylases produced by *Geobacillus*  
694 *thermoleovorans* to wheat flour improved the fermentation rate and decreased the viscosity of  
695 dough, while increasing the volume and texture of bread, moreover, it also increased its shelf-  
696 life by retarding staling, with respect to control sample, but they did not give any explanation  
697 of this beneficial effect. Jones and others (2008) managed to develop a new maltogenic  $\alpha$ -  
698 amylase from *Bacillus sp.* TS-25, formerly *B. stearothermophilus*, which increased thermal  
699 stability and the possibility to work at acidic pH values that are typical of sourdough and rye  
700 breads. Kim and others (2006) reported that the addition of a fungal  $\alpha$ -amylase to polished  
701 flour resulted in an improvement of gas cell distribution and softness of breadcrumbs and  
702 delayed staling, without lowering the loaf volume with regard to control bread made with  
703 hard wheat flour.

704 Blaszcack and others (2004) studied the effect of 2  $\alpha$ -amylases, one of fungal and the other  
705 of bacterial origin, on the texture and microstructure of bread. The two  $\alpha$ -amylases resulted in  
706 different microstructure of bread, with respect to control bread, as revealed by light SEM,  
707 thus staling was delayed. The authors proposed distinct antistaling mechanisms for the two  $\alpha$ -  
708 amylases.

709 **Xylanases.** Xylanases (Xyns) are enzymes able to retard bread staling, as reviewed by  
710 Butt and others (2008), to which the reader is redirected.

711 A recombinantly produced Xyn B (XynB) from *Thermotoga maritima* MSB8 retarded the  
712 staling of frozen PB bread (Jiang and others 2008). When added to the formulation the  
713 resulting bread had a 40% reduction in crumb firmness and retarded staling, as bread  
714 supplemented with XynB after 4 days of storage at 4 °C had the same firmness as control  
715 bread after 1 day of storage. Data obtained with DSC analysis showed that XynB was able to  
716 retard amylopectin crystallization. Recently, Zheng and others (2011) found the right dosage  
717 to be used for two GH 10 Xyns, a psychrophilic (XynA from *Glacielecola mesophila*) and  
718 mesophilic one (EX1 from *Trichoderma pseudokoningii*), with the aim to retard bread staling.  
719 Both Xyns exhibited similar anti-staling effects on the bread, but while XynA proved to be  
720 more effective in reducing the firming rate, the EX1 performed better in reduction of the  
721 initial bread firmness. The optimal dosage of the psychrophilic Xyn was much lower than that  
722 of the mesophilic counterpart, probably because the temperatures used for dough preparation  
723 and proofing were in the range of optimum activity of psychrophilic XynA, as otherwise  
724 reported (Collins and others 2006).

725 Recent results of the application of a thermostable enzyme cocktail from *Thermoascus*  
726 *aurantiacus* showed an antistaling effect (Oliveira and others 2014). The main enzyme found  
727 on the cocktail was Xyn, xylose being the main product released through enzyme activity  
728 after prolonged incubation, and its application at 35 Units of Xyn/100 g significantly delayed  
729 staling of bread up to 10 days at 4 °C if compared to control loaves. On the basis of DSC  
730 results (lower enthalpy) it was suggested that products deriving from Xyn activity interfered  
731 with the reorganization of the amylopectin and/or with the redistribution of water in the  
732 system, with a consequent retrogradation reduction. Recently Ghoshal and others (2013)  
733 suggested that the reduction of crystallization and reduction of crystal growth in bread, as

734 assessed by using n and k parameters of the Avrami equation, was caused by Xyn addition in  
735 whole-wheat bread stored at 4 and 25 °C for 10 days, thus resulting in delayed staling.  
736 Measurement of thermal properties confirmed the beneficial effects of Xyn, as it lowered the  
737 endothermic peak for staling and the change of enthalpy during storage, with respect to  
738 control bread.

739

740 **Enzyme mix.** The difference in mode of action of the various enzymes has been used  
741 recently by several authors, which depended on additive or synergistic effects in order to  
742 retard staling.

743 Leon and others (2002) studied the effects of 2 commercial enzyme mixtures containing  
744  $\alpha$ -amylase and lipase activity on staling rate. Both mixtures helped in slowing down the  
745 staling rate, especially the blend with the higher  $\alpha$ -amylase activity. The beneficial effect  
746 was attributed to a delay in amylopectin retrogradation and to the formation of amylose-lipid  
747 complexes, both revealed by DSC analysis.

748 The use of a microbial transglutaminase (protein-glutamine  $\gamma$ -glutamyl transferase, Tgm),  
749 which catalyzes the formation of  $\epsilon$ -( $\gamma$  glutamyl-)-lysine crosslinks in proteins via an acyl  
750 transfer reaction (Motoki and Seguro 1998; Larre and others 2000), has received a great deal  
751 of interest. Tgm with or without added amylolytic (maltogenic bacterial  $\alpha$ -amylase in  
752 granulate form (NMYL)) or non amylolytic (PTP) had beneficial effects on hardness  
753 evolution of bread obtained with white (Collar and Bollain 2005) and whole-meal flour  
754 (Collar and others 2005). Bread softness was reduced up to 16%, with respect to control  
755 bread, when interactive effects were tried, and the best combination was the addition of  
756 NMYL to Tgm breads, ascribing this effect to the relevant softening effect of NYML.

757 Gambaro and others (2006) proposed that the addition of a mixture of  $\alpha$ -amylase and  
758 Xyn was able to extend the shelf-life of brown pan bread by retarding staling, as assessed by

759 sensory and instrumental analyses. They suggested that the mixture produces low-molecular-  
760 weight dextrans with high water retention capacity, and that could be partly responsible for  
761 the lower staling rate. Moreover, they found a high correlation between both sensory and  
762 instrumental parameters and staling rate.

763 Caballero and others (2007) studied the single and synergistic effects of some gluten-  
764 crosslinking enzymes (Tgm, glucose oxidase, and laccase), and gluten-degrading enzymes  
765 ( $\alpha$ -amylase, Xyn, and protease) on bread staling. They found that  $\alpha$ -amylase, Xyn, and  
766 protease were able to lower significantly the staling effect promoted by Tgm and proposed  
767 different mechanisms of action for each enzyme. In particular, they suggested that  $\alpha$ -amylase  
768 and Xyn could have an effect on the dough polysaccharide fraction, while the protease may  
769 counteract Tgm-action, by a simultaneous action on the dough protein fraction.

770 Waters and others (2010) proposed that the highest Xyn and  $\alpha$ -amylase activities of 5  
771 thermozyne cocktails with different hydrolytic enzyme profiles produced by *Talaromyces*  
772 *emersonii* resulted in delayed staling. The enzyme cocktail B was the best in reducing crumb  
773 hardness evolution after 5 days of storage, with respect to control bread.

774

775 **Others.** The oxidizing effect of glucose oxidase (GO) was exploited for retarding staling  
776 of bread (Bonet and others 2006). When used at a concentration of 0.001%, GO delayed  
777 significantly the bread staling up to 12 days at 25 °C. The antistaling effect suggested was  
778 due to the large amount of total pentosans produced by GO that can associate with the  
779 glutenin macropolymer, thus leading to retain of high amounts of water.

780

#### 781 **4. Associated mixtures of ingredients and/or enzymes**

782 In this section we will summarize the results of the main studies dealing with ingredients  
783 and/or technological aids not included in the previous classes or combinations of different

784 ingredients. An interesting review on shelf-life improvement of polyols, to which the reader  
785 is redirected, has recently been published (Bhise and Kaur 2013).

786 Wang and others (2007) reported that, when 1% of wheat gluten hydrolysate was used,  
787 the hardness value of 3-days-old bread was equivalent to that of 1-day-old control bread,  
788 probably for the higher, even if not significantly, specific volume and moisture content of  
789 wheat gluten hydrolysate -supplemented sample.

790 Abu-Goush and others (2008) found a beneficial effect of sodium-propionate in delaying  
791 staling of Arabic flat bread and correlated this result to moisture loss, starch retrogradation,  
792 and protein interaction effects, as revealed by near-infrared spectroscopy data.

793 Shaikh and others (2008) tested 8 different antistaling agents on unleavened chapatti  
794 bread and measured various staling parameters such as moisture content, texture, water-  
795 soluble starch, in vitro enzyme digestibility, enthalpy change and sensory quality during 10  
796 days of storage, at 4 and 29 °C. When comparing the effect of the added ingredients the  
797 authors found that maltodextrin had the highest rank at both temperatures, while the worst  
798 result was exerted by glycerol monostearate, following the order maltodextrin> GG>  
799  $\alpha$ -amylase>sorbitol> XG> SSL> propylene glycol> glycerol monostearate. Moreover, when  
800 trying 6 combinations, SSL + Am gave the best texture values, suggesting that  $\alpha$ -amylase  
801 first breaks starch molecules, and then SSL forms the complex with fragments derived from  
802 starch rupture.

803 The lowest amylopectin retrogradation of soy milk powder was addressed as the cause of  
804 delayed staling rate in wheat-soy bread (Nilufer-Erdil and others 2012). This result was  
805 attributed to the synergistic effect of soluble fiber and partly denatured soy proteins and  
806 higher lipid content of the soy milk powder. The delay of staling was confirmed by Instron  
807 firmness measurements, although loss moduli revealed by dynamic mechanical analysis  
808 (DMA) did not give significant differences of stiffness among formulations, contrary to what



809 had been reported previously by Vittadini and Volovodtz (2003).

810 Jekle and Becker (2012) studied the effects of pH adjustment, water, and sodium chloride  
811 addition in order to model bread texture and staling kinetics of bread crumb. By using the  
812 Avrami equation and the firming rate, which gave a better square correlation coefficient, the  
813 authors managed to predict the staling rate as a function of pH, NaCl, and water addition. In  
814 particular, they found an increase in the firming rate with increased NaCl concentration and  
815 pH reduction and a decrease when water was added to the dough, probably as the change in  
816 the volume of bread had a better influence on the staling rate, with respect to the effect of the  
817 chemicals, since the literature well correlated the specific volume of breads with the firming  
818 rate (Axford and others 1968; Russel 1983).

819 The addition of  $\gamma$ -polyglutamic acid (PGA) at 3 concentrations (0.5, 1.0, and 5.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup>,  
820 w/w) was suggested by Shyu and others (2008) to evaluate its effect on staling of wheat  
821 bread. The hardness value of the 6-day 1.0 kg<sup>-1</sup> PGA stored bread was less than the value of  
822 control bread after 1 day, thus PGA significantly reduced staling rate, as also demonstrated by  
823 the decrease in cohesiveness, which was significantly delayed by the PGA addition.

824 Response surfaces and mathematical models were used by Gomes-Ruffi and others  
825 (2012) to show the beneficial effect of the contemporary addition of SSL and of the enzyme  
826 maltogenic  $\alpha$ -amylase (MALTO) on both the increase of bread volume and the reduction of  
827 firmness, especially after 10 days of storage, when the combination of 0.50 g SSL/100 g flour  
828 and 0.02 g MALTO/100 g flour resulted in the same firmness value as the control at day 1 of  
829 aging. The authors suggested that SSL formed complexes with starch molecules, while  
830 MALTO reduced the molecular weight of the starch molecules, thus reducing retrogradation.

831 Pourfarzad and Habibi-Naiaf (2012) used the positive results in changing the hardening  
832 rate of Barbari bread obtained with an antistaling liquid improver, made up of glycerol, SSL,  
833 and enzyme-active soy flour, at different amounts, to test the consistency of 11 new

834 mathematical staling models. They found that all models presented high values, the best  
835 being the rational and the quadratic, thus concluding that these models are suitable to  
836 simulate staling kinetics. The best improver formulation contained 1.27% glycerol, 0.41%  
837 SSL, and 1.59% enzyme-active soy flour.

838 The plasticizing effect of the sorbitol on starch/gluten biopolymers has been **described** by  
839 Pourfarzad and others (2011) as the main reason of anti-staling effect of soy-fortified bread  
840 for storage times longer than 2 days and up to 5 days. The same effect was found also for  
841 propylene glycol when used at 5 g/100 g flour.

842

## 843 **5. Processing factors affecting staling rate**

844 Researchers **have** focused their attention during the last **10** years mainly on baking  
845 technology, process parameters, and storage temperature, but other factors will also be  
846 reported.

847

### 848 **5.1. Storage temperature**

849 The effect of storage temperature on staling has been reported by different authors, and  
850 the main characteristic is a negative dependence between staling rate and temperature  
851 (Colwell and others 1969).

852 The consumer request to have “fresh” bread available at any time of the day (Matuda  
853 and others 2005) has stimulated **the** bakery industry to exploit freezing technology and this  
854 has driven researchers to focus their attention mainly on effects of freezing and frozen storage  
855 on bread staling, especially on dough and **par-baked** (PB) samples.

856 A comprehensive picture up to 2008 on **the** effect of raw material requirements,  
857 processing conditions, and baked bread quality from **frozen dough** (FD) and PB bread are

858 reviewed by Rosell and Gomez (2007), Selomulyo and Zhou (2007), and Yi (2008), to which  
859 the reader is redirected.

860 Carr and others (2006) carried out a sensory comparison between frozen part-baked  
861 French bread (FPBFB) and fresh bread during a week of frozen storage with daily  
862 inspections. The FPBFB had a lower weight and specific volume, with respect to fresh bread,  
863 but was rated better after 4 days of frozen storage by a consumer acceptance test (difference  
864 from control test) with respect to commercial brand bread. Moreover, data on texture and  
865 sensory analysis of FPBFB stored for a week were similar to that of fresh bread. Frozen  
866 storage of PB chapatti, a Indian unleavened flat bread, was beneficial for maintaining its  
867 quality (Gujral and others 2008). In particular, the extensibility of par-baked chapatti after  
868 rebaking was very similar to that of the fresh conventionally baked sample. The main feature  
869 was that sample of PB bread stored at ambient temperature or frozen (after thawing and  
870 rebaking), showed a significant higher extensibility when compared to the same sample of  
871 conventionally baked chapatti breads, thus giving loaves with better sensory quality than  
872 frozen conventionally baked chapatties. Yi and Kerr (2009) highlighted the influence of  
873 freezing rate (rate 1:15 °C/h, rate 2:33°C/h, rate 3:44 °C/h and rate 4:59 °C/h), dough storage  
874 temperature (-10, -20, -30, and -35 °C) and storage duration on bread quality. They found that  
875 sample frozen at the lower freezing rates and stored at the higher temperatures had higher  
876 specific volume, were softer, and were lighter in color, but staled more easily, due probably  
877 to the higher damage to the starch-gluten network at slower freezing rates (Yi 2008). They  
878 noted that response of gluten structure and yeast activity to freezing rate and temperature  
879 should be balanced in order to find the optimal freezing conditions. Aguirre and others (2011)  
880 confirmed the existence of moisture equilibration between crumb and crust during bread  
881 storage, and demonstrated that storage at -18 °C resulted in very limited water movement  
882 when compared to bread stored at 4 and 25 °C. As a consequence, water activity values were

883 almost constant in bread stored for 23 days at -18 °C. They showed that the starch molecules  
884 re-associate during storage to give a new crystalline **structure** with a typical X-ray diffraction  
885 (XRD) B-type structure and that storage at -18 °C, that is a temperature below the glass-  
886 transition temperature ( $T_g$ ), slowed down but **did** not stop the recrystallization speed, and only  
887 crystal growth occurred. The effect of vacuum-cooling on the staling rate of sourdough whole  
888 meal flour bread was assessed by Le-Bail and others (2011). Vacuum-chilled bread showed  
889 higher moisture loss, crumb hardness, and enthalpy of melting ( $\Delta H$ ) of amylopectin crystals  
890 than conventionally cooled bread. The authors concluded that the negative effects of the  
891 quick vacuum-cooling is the result of the increased number formation of amylopectin  
892 crystallites and, thus, of recrystallized amylopectin. Ronda and others (2011) studied the  
893 effect of prolonged storage time on staling of PB and fully baked (FB) breads. Three  
894 parameters, namely moisture content, firmness, and starch retrogradation as well as the  $T_g$  of  
895 the maximally freeze-concentrated state ( $T_g'$ ), were considered to evaluate bread aging. The  
896 thawed and rebaked PB bread showed significantly lower amylopectin  $\Delta H$  values than that of  
897 FB bread, and this may partially explain the similarity of PB bread with fresh bread. The  
898 authors evidenced the need to select a proper frozen storage temperature, sufficiently lower  
899 than  $T_g'$ . Frozen storage time, moreover, resulted in a significant decrease in firmness of PB  
900 bread crumb. Based on the obtained results, the authors proposed that hardening of bread  
901 during storage may not be related only to starch crystallization or water loss and developed a  
902 regression study describing how the combined effect of both variables could **better** explain  
903 the firming evolution. Majzoobi and others (2011a) hypothesized that the higher moisture  
904 content of Barbari PB flat breads after full baking was the cause of delayed staling up to 72  
905 hours, with respect to control sample, and proposed that bread crumb structure is formed  
906 completely during the part-baking stage, while staling occurs in PB bread during storage at  
907 ambient temperature, even if full-baking leads to **the disappearance** of many signs of staling,

908 thus the resulting bread has softer texture. Finally, they suggest storing the part-baked bread  
909 at frozen temperature for no more than 2 months to reduce deterioration of bread caused by  
910 the growth of ice crystals. In a subsequent paper Majzoubi and others (2012) recommend the  
911 addition of 15% wheat germ for the general sensory improvement of Barbari bread, although  
912 that did not manage to retard staling.

913 In 2 separate papers Karaoglu (2006) and Karaoglu and Kotancilar (2006) evidenced the  
914 influence of par-baking on quality of wheat bran and white breads, respectively,  
915 supplemented or not with calcium propionate, during chilling storage (4 °C) up to 21 days.  
916 Both papers gave similar results, which were a softer bread crumb, with respect to a control  
917 group, in breads PB for 10 min, rebaked, and stored for 7 and 14 days.

918

## 919 **5.2. Sourdough fermentation**

920 Sourdough fermentation has been known since ancient times and, among the beneficial  
921 effects, reduction in staling has been reported and recently discussed in 2 reviews (Arendt and  
922 others 2007; Chavan and Chavan, 2011), to which the reader is redirected. The different  
923 metabolites produced by lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have proved to have a beneficial effect on  
924 texture and staling. EPS, for example, are a valid and economic alternative to hydrocolloids,  
925 while organic acids affect the protein and starch fractions and reduce the pH that results in an  
926 increase in protease and amylase activities of the flour, thus reducing staling.

927 Katina and others (2006a) managed to delay bread staling at 3 and 6 days of storage, with  
928 respect to white wheat bread, by combining wheat bran sourdough and an enzyme mix ( $\alpha$ -  
929 amylase, Xyn, and lipase). The crumb hardness of the supplemented bread after 6 days of  
930 storage was the same as that of white bread at day 1. The authors used NMR, DSC, and  
931 microscopy to explain this result and found fewer changes in amylopectin crystallinity and  
932 rigidity of polymers in bran sourdough bread with enzymes, which also showed starch

933 granules much more swollen, with respect to white bread, as a result of the higher water  
934 content and degradation of cell wall components. In another paper, Katina and others (2006b)  
935 proposed the use of surface-response methodology to optimize sourdough process conditions  
936 aimed at improving flavor and texture of wheat bread. They found that combining flour with  
937 low ash content, and optimizing sourdough fermentation time, staling was reduced up to 4  
938 days. The best result was, in particular, obtained using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* sourdough  
939 fermented bread for 12 h at 32 °C and with flour ash content of 0.6 g/100 g. It was also found  
940 that the fermentation time had an important linear effect on softness of bread crumb. Finally,  
941 it was confirmed that higher ash content of flour increased firmness in sourdough breads  
942 fermented with *Lactobacillus brevis*, *S. cerevisiae* or a combination starter (Collar and others  
943 1994). Plessas and others (2007) proposed the use of sourdough with immobilized cells, as it  
944 resulted in a threefold delay in staling, compared to the traditional compressed baker's yeast  
945 bread. The authors hypothesized that the retention of higher moisture levels after baking and  
946 reduced moisture loss rates are due to the more compact texture in breads obtained with the  
947 suggested technique. In particular, they showed that sourdough breads presented lower loaf  
948 volumes for the same loaf weights, and fewer holes of higher size, with respect to  
949 conventional baker's yeast bread. Dal Bello and others (2007) confirmed that the higher  
950 volume of bread produced by the sourdough fermentation activity of the antifungal strain  
951 *Lactobacillus plantarum* FST 1.7 and of *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis* LTH 2581, with  
952 respect to chemically or nonchemically acidified bread, delayed crumb staling up to 3 days.  
953 Additionally, the *L. plantarum* FST 1.7 revealed inhibitory activity against *Fusaria*.

954 Fadda and others (2010) found that durum wheat bread produced with sourdough at a  
955 dose higher than 10% significantly lowered and slowed crumb-firming kinetics, as assessed  
956 by TA and DSC results, the latter used with the Avrami equation, provided gluten and yeast  
957 were added.

958 Recently, Tamani and others (2013) associated the increased EPS production during  
959 dough formation following the inoculation of ropy LAB starter cultures (*Lactobacillus*  
960 *delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* LB18; *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* CNRZ 737,  
961 and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* 2483) with increased bread volume and  
962 reduced staling over 5 days of storage, with respect to the control bread, while one nonropy  
963 LAB (*Lactobacillus helveticus* LH30) did not result in beneficial effects. The authors  
964 suggested that the higher levels of EPS obtained with LAB may have resulted in greater water  
965 retention, leading to the softer crumb structure of these breads, even if they evidenced that the  
966 EPS production did not correlate with the extension of shelf-life, thus their effect was more  
967 qualitative than quantitative.

968

### 969 **5.3. Baking and fermentation**

970 It has been reported that both baking time and temperature affect the quality and staling  
971 rate of bread (Seetharaman and others 2002). Patel and others (2005) studied the effects of the  
972 use of different ovens and dough size, when baking at constant temperature for varying times,  
973 on texture, thermal properties, and pasting characteristics of products. Breads baked at the  
974 lower heating rates had lower amylopectin recrystallization, rate of bread firmness, and  
975 amount of soluble amylose. Similar results were obtained by Mouneim and others (2012).  
976 Baking temperature and time affected some physical properties of bread from composite flour  
977 made by mixing cassava and wheat flour at a ratio of 10:90 (w/w) as revealed by central  
978 composite rotatable experimental design (Shittu and others 2007). Both the baking  
979 temperature-and-time, among others, influenced the dried crumb hardness, due to the  
980 complex effect of temperature and time combination, but the developed second-order  
981 response surface regression equations could not predict satisfactorily most of the measured  
982 properties, thus the authors proposed further studies to optimize the cassava and wheat flour

983 bread baking process. Three different heating temperatures corresponding to 3 heating rates  
984 were also tested by Le-Bail and others (2009) with an innovative protocol in which a  
985 degassed piece of dough was baked in a miniaturized oven, in order to compare it with  
986 traditional dough. Hardening of the crumb occurred after retrogradation of amylopectin, as  
987 revealed by calorimetric tests, and higher baking kinetics resulted in faster staling rates.  
988 Additionally, the relative Young modulus, expressed as the ratio of the modulus of the  
989 cellular crumb vs. the modulus of the degassed crumb, was proportional to the square of the  
990 relative density of the crumb. In a further paper Le-Bail and others (2012), working with a  
991 degassed sourdough, confirmed the previously obtained results and gave more explanation on  
992 the effect of prolonged baking on staling rate, that was an increase of the amount of amylose  
993 leaching from the starch granule, leading to a higher Young's modulus of the crumb at the  
994 end of staling.

995 Different heating rates were recently associated with water vapor permeability (WVP),  
996 effective moisture diffusivity ( $D_{\text{eff}}$ ), and sorption of bread crust and crumb (Besbes and others  
997 2013). The authors showed that baking at 240 °C gave both crust and crumb with higher  
998 moisture diffusivity coefficient and that the crust had a higher WVP than that of sample  
999 baked at 220 °C. They proposed a more pronounced porosity of crumb and crusts of breads  
1000 baked at the higher temperature, as revealed by porosity values and scanning electron  
1001 microscopy (SEM) determinations, as the cause of the obtained result. Purhagen and others  
1002 (2012) concluded that breads obtained with different fibers (fine durum, oat bran, rye bran,  
1003 and wheat bran) baked in pan remained softer after 7 days of storage, with respect to free-  
1004 standing baked sample, and attributed this to the lower specific volume of pan-baked breads  
1005 due to their high water content. Moreover, pan-baked loaves lost less water during storage,  
1006 with respect to free-standing sample, probably because of the smaller crust area of these  
1007 loaves. The difference in staling behavior between the 2 baking methods was not attributed,



1008 however, to starch retrogradation, while the influence of fibers was small, if compared to the  
1009 baking method, thus confirming data obtained in another paper in which other antistaling  
1010 agents, namely  $\alpha$ -amilase, distilled monoglyceride, and lipase, were compared to the baking  
1011 method (Purhagen and others 2011a).

1012 The effect of fermentation on the firming kinetics could not be explained only by its effect on  
1013 volume, but also with the presence of different enzymes, such as amylases, proteases, or  
1014 lipases that, alone or in combination with other enzymes, may help in reducing the firming  
1015 rate in white or wholemeal bread, thus longer fermentation times enhanced the action of the  
1016 enzymes, with a resulting reduction of the staling rate (Gomez and others 2008). The higher  
1017 the yeast dose, the higher the quantity of dough enzymes previously cited. Temperature of  
1018 fermentation, on the other hand, had a minor impact on bread staling. Moreover, the authors  
1019 managed to adjust the firmness parameters to simple curvilinear equations and obtained high  
1020 correlation coefficients (>90%). Ozkoc and others (2009) compared different baking  
1021 methods, namely conventional, microwave, and infrared-microwave combination, in order to  
1022 assess staling kinetics of hydrocolloid-supplemented breads during 120 h of storage, by using  
1023 several methods, namely texture analysis (TA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) rapid  
1024 visco-analysis (RVA), and X-ray and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The  
1025 starch retrogradation of breads obtained with a combination oven was similar to that of  
1026 conventionally baked ones, as revealed by  $\Delta H$  values and FTIR outputs, thus leading the  
1027 authors to postulate that it was possible to produce breads by combination heating with a  
1028 staling rate similar to that of conventionally baked ones. Moreover, data from RVA and X-ray  
1029 showed that the rapid staling rate typical of microwave baking can be mitigated by infrared-  
1030 microwave combination heating. As expected, the addition of a xanthan gum (XG)-guar gum  
1031 (GG) blend to the formulation retarded staling.

1032

1033 **5.4. High-hydrostatic-pressure processing (HPP)**

1034 This unit operation may change structural and functional properties of proteins and cereal  
1035 starches and is being investigated to improve quality of breads made with flours alternative to  
1036 wheat.

1037 In a fundamental study on the use of HPP to improve the bread making performance of  
1038 oat flour Huttner and others (2010) subjected oat batters to 3 levels of HPP (220, 350, and  
1039 500 MPa) and the treated samples replaced untreated oat flour in an oat bread recipe, by 10,  
1040 20, or 40%. Staling rate, as assessed by a Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) crumb hardness  
1041 test, was reduced when 10 to 40% oat batter treated at 200 MPa was used, if compared to the  
1042 control. The HPP-treatment at 200 MPa weakened the proteins, affected the moisture  
1043 distribution, and also influenced the interactions between proteins and starch, which caused a  
1044 decrease in the staling rate of the oat-bread. Opposite results were presented in another paper  
1045 published some months later (Vallons and others 2010). The authors replaced 2 or 10% of a  
1046 sorghum bread recipe with sorghum batters HPP-treated at 200 and 600 MP and found that  
1047 breads containing 2% sorghum treated at 600 MPa had slower staling rates than control.  
1048 More recently Angioloni and Collar (2012) worked with fixed amounts of oat, millet, and  
1049 sorghum HPP-treated flours (350 MPa), which replaced (60% for oat, 40% for the other 2)  
1050 wheat flour. Half of the control bread was prepared by applying HPP to 50% of wheat flour.  
1051 Results indicated that HPP-treated wheat and oat breads lowered final values of crumb  
1052 hardness and Avrami exponent, thus giving softer breads with slower staling kinetics, with  
1053 respect to control bread.

1054

1055 **6. Measurement methods**

1056 The results reviewed above refer to one or more measurement methods to assess bread  
1057 staling, but there has not been up to now a methodology that allows a complete measurement

1058 of the staling phenomenon to the same extent as that described by a consumer (Sidhu and  
1059 others 1996). Different specific reviews before that of Gray and Bemiller (2003) have dealt  
1060 with the methods used to assess the rate and/or degree of staling such as those mentioned by  
1061 Maga (1975), Kulp and Ponte (1981), and Ponte and Ovadia (1996). In most cases bread  
1062 staling, apart from the more simple and direct texture analysis (TA), is indirectly measured as  
1063 the extent of starch retrogradation, as also reviewed by Karim and others (2000). An  
1064 interesting review, moreover, revisited crumb texture evaluation methods (Liu and Scanlon  
1065 2004), while another one summarized the more frequently used analytical methodologies for  
1066 assessing bread staling (Choi and others 2010). In the following pages the major reports  
1067 dealing with new methodologies and/or new applications used to measure bread staling  
1068 during the last ten years will be reviewed.

1069

## 1070 **6.1. Thermal analysis**

1071 Bollain and others (2005) proposed small dynamic deformation and large static  
1072 deformation methods to evaluate the thermodynamic and physical-mechanical changes of  
1073 enzyme-supplemented white or whole bread during staling. They successfully detected  
1074 rheological changes of bread, as influenced by recipe and storage time, with dynamic  
1075 thermomechanical analysis (DTMA) in the compression mode. They detected that the onset  
1076 frequency ( $f_0$ ) and the rubbery or plateau moduli ( $E'$ ) rose as the bread aged in a similar way  
1077 to the hardening and firming curves. Moreover, relationships between the dynamic (DTMA)  
1078 and static (TA) methods were found.

1079 Ribotta and Le Bail (2007) used DSC and DMA to study bread staling. DSC evidenced  
1080 water migration from the crumb to the crust and changes of water properties as initial and  
1081 onset temperature of ice melting decreased significantly after 1 day and freezable water (FW)  
1082 and unfreezable water (UFW) decreased and increased, respectively, as a consequence of

1083 aging. DSC results suggested the existence of a possible second transition, due to ice-melting  
1084 transition being diverted to lower temperatures. The authors proposed that a concomitant  
1085 water migration from the crumb to the crust and an incorporation of water molecules into the  
1086 starch crystalline structure, developing after bread staling, may account for the decrease in  
1087 FW after 4 days of storage at 4 °C. Moreover, they suggested that some water molecules were  
1088 incorporated in the crystalline lattice when starch crystallized. DMA analysis showed  
1089 significant changes in the thermo-mechanical profile of the crumb during staling, as aged  
1090 breads contracted at a lower rate during cooling, but they evidenced a greater deformation  
1091 during freezing and higher retraction within the complete cooling–freezing cycle, thus  
1092 suggesting that the higher matrix rigidity, a consequence of the higher amount of  
1093 retrograded starch, affected contraction capacity. The authors postulated that interactions  
1094 during the hydration of the gluten network might explain the latter phenomenon.

1095

## 1096 **6.2. Infrared spectroscopy**

1097 Near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) was used to obtain spectra during staling  
1098 of bread and the results were compared with those obtained by TA (Xie and others 2003).  
1099 Results showed that NIRS spectra were highly correlated with firmness values assessed with  
1100 the more common TA. Moreover, the authors evidenced that NIRS measurements had a  
1101 better correlation with storage time and also lower batch variability, with respect to TA-  
1102 derived data, thus NIRS was suggested as a better tool than TA to study bread aging,  
1103 probably because NIRS may follow both physical and chemical changes occurring during the  
1104 staling process, while TA was limited to the only aspect of firmness evolution. In a further  
1105 paper, Xie and others (2004) proposed the use of NIRS as a fundamental tool to study bread  
1106 staling with the help of DSC, as well as the effects of starch, protein, and temperature  
1107 (storage at 12.5 or 31.5 °C) on bread staling. DSC data showed that temperature strongly

1108 affected the staling rate, while the protein contribution was limited, if compared to  
1109 temperature during 4 days of storage. Using the enthalpy ratio between bread supplemented  
1110 with starch and sample produced with starch-protein it was possible to conclude that protein  
1111 might retard bread staling not only by diluting starch (Kim and D'Appolonia 1977; Every and  
1112 others 1998), but also by interfering with amylopectin retrogradation. NIRS was found to be  
1113 very useful in studying bread staling, as it was able to study accurately amylopectin  
1114 retrogradation and to obtain a very good correlation with DSC data when looking for protein  
1115 and temperature effects on amylopectin retrogradation development, even if it showed  
1116 difficulty in measuring the changes of the amylose-lipid complex during storage. The authors  
1117 proposed 550, 970, 1155, 1395, and 1465 nm as important wavelengths of NIRS and  
1118 concluded that amylopectin retrogradation was probably the main factor in bread staling and  
1119 that the amylose-lipid complex contributed little to bread staling after one day of storage.

1120 Cocchi and others (2005) coupled middle-infrared spectroscopy (MIR) with principal  
1121 component analysis (PCA) to follow bread shelf-life in a rapid and affordable way. Spectra of  
1122 breads stored up to 7 days at ambient temperature were acquired in attenuated total reflection  
1123 mode with a FT-IR spectrometer, normalized and then subjected to PCA. The authors  
1124 revealed that the first PC increased with aging of samples and that the more influential  
1125 variables on PC1 corresponded to spectral regions attributed to typical starch bond vibrations.  
1126 Pikus and others (2006) proposed for the first time the small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)  
1127 method to study bread staling. The authors, by using fresh dry and fresh water suspension  
1128 samples, found that bread staling is accompanied by significant electron density changes,  
1129 indicating that there were significant changes at the nanoscale level during the staling  
1130 process. They suggested, by analyzing results obtained with the dynamics of the scattering  
1131 intensity changes in the bread samples, along with those of SAXS investigations on native  
1132 starch, that SAXS scattering changes for the dry samples originated mainly from the gluten

1133 phase, while for water suspension samples they were mainly from the starch matrix. The  
1134 authors concluded that a comparison of results of SAXS with data obtained with other  
1135 methods, on the same bread sample, would be interesting.

1136 Piccinini and others (2012) proposed, for the first time the use of NIR Fourier-transform-  
1137 Raman spectroscopy to monitor starch retrogradation in stored hard-wheat bread and, with  
1138 the help of TA data, to follow bread staling for 20 days. The authors found, by applying the  
1139 2D correlation analysis applied to the Raman spectra of bread crumb during storage, that both  
1140 the peak shift and narrowing of the band at  $480\text{ cm}^{-1}$  during retrogradation correlated well  
1141 with the crumb-firming data obtained using the stress relaxation tests and that during starch  
1142 retrogradation a new band peaking at  $765\text{ cm}^{-1}$  appeared.

1143

### 1144 **6.3. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy**

1145 Curti and others (2011) used  $^1\text{H}$  NMR relaxometry and, for the first time in bread, the  $^1\text{H}$   
1146 NMR fast field cycling (FFC) technique to follow the changes in  $^1\text{H}$   $T_1$  relaxation in the 0.01-  
1147 20 MHz frequency range, in order to check for the interactions of water molecules with  
1148 paramagnetic and large-sized macromolecular system during bread staling.  $^1\text{H}$   $T_1$  relaxation  
1149 data at 20 MHz confirmed previous results, while studies conducted at a lower frequency  
1150 (0.52 MHz) evidenced, for the first time, the presence of two  $T_1$  proton populations, which  
1151 were tentatively attributed to protons of the gluten domain at early storage times. The authors  
1152 suggested that the use of the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR FFC technique at different frequencies may be an  
1153 additional way for monitoring molecular dynamics in bread and therefore a new valuable  
1154 instrument to help understand the bread staling phenomenon.

1155 Bosmans and others (2013) used  $^1\text{H}$  NMR relaxometry, along with DSC and wide-angle  
1156 X-ray diffraction, to better elucidate the relationship between biopolymer interactions, water  
1157 dynamics, and crumb texture evolution during 168 h of storage of bread. The NMR analysis

1158 allowed finding 6 proton populations in bread crumb and from the NMR profiles of bread  
1159 crumb they were able to deduce the extent of formation of both amylopectin crystals and of  
1160 crumb firmness. On the basis of data obtained they concluded that the increase in crumb  
1161 firmness of stored bread was caused by a combination of different events that were  
1162 amylopectin retrogradation and the formation of a continuous, rigid, crystalline starch  
1163 network that included water in its structure. They also noticed moisture migration from gluten  
1164 to starch and from crumb to crust, resulting in additional reduction of moisture in the gluten  
1165 network, with the consequence that the subsequent increase in stiffness contributed to the  
1166 increase in crumb firmness.

1167

#### 1168 **6.4. X-ray crystallography**

1169 Del Nobile and others (2003) developed a mathematical model able to predict the starch  
1170 retrogradation kinetics of durum wheat bread in order to link it to the crumb staling. Two  
1171 equations were proposed dealing with data obtained with wide-angle X-ray diffraction (starch  
1172 retrogradation) and compression tests (crumb firming process), and related to samples held at  
1173 5 °C and 2 water activity values, in order to accelerate the test. The proposed model fitted  
1174 well the obtained results; moreover, the authors evidenced that lowering the water activity  
1175 value resulted in a higher overall starch crystal growth rate, due to the increase of the starch  
1176 nucleation rate.

1177 X-ray patterns were studied with different methods, namely relative crystallinity, total  
1178 mass crystallinity grade (TC), B-type mass crystallinity grade, and V-type mass crystallinity  
1179 grade, in order to increase knowledge of the relationship between starch crystallinity and  
1180 bread staling during 7 days of storage at 4 °C (Ribotta and others 2004). The authors pointed  
1181 out that: a) fresh baked bread contained only a V-type structure, while the B-type structure  
1182 appeared after 24 h and increased during bread staling; b) TC and relative crystallinity

1183 significantly increased during the first 24 h, then slightly decreased, thus indicating the  
1184 appearance of the B-type structure; c) TC and relative crystallinity decreased at the end of  
1185 aging, which is associated with an increased degree of ordering of the amorphous phase  
1186 caused by staling. They suggested that staled bread showed reformation of the double helical  
1187 structures of amylopectin and a reorganization, during aging, into crystalline regions that  
1188 imparted rigidity. With this in mind, they concluded that amylopectin retrogradation is an  
1189 essential step to consider to better understand bread staling.

1190

## 1191 **6.5. Colorimetry**

1192 Popov-Raljić and others (2009) used, for the first time, a MOM-color 100 tristimulus  
1193 photo colorimeter, in CIE, CIELab, ANLAB, and Hunter systems to correlate crust color  
1194 changes and staling of bread of different compositions packed in polyethylene film during 3  
1195 days at 20 °C. The color of 3-day-stored bread samples was always lighter, as the stored  
1196 breads showed higher average reflectance, with respect to just baked loaves. The authors  
1197 hypothesized the moisture loss as the cause of this color change and, by fitting the values of  
1198 average reflectance with a curve describing the dependence of average reflectance with  
1199 storage time, they found a correlation coefficient of 0.99, thus they concluded that the change  
1200 in color is the direct consequence of staling [Note: it would be more useful to correlate crust  
1201 color changes with objective bread staling measurements, such as hardness, more than with  
1202 time].

1203

## 1204 **6.6. Rheological methods**

1205 Textural assessment of staling has been reviewed by Chung and others (2003). Fiszman  
1206 and others (2005) investigated the relationships between mechanical behavior of pan bread,  
1207 supplemented or not with an amylolytic enzyme, a nonamylolytic enzyme and a combination



1208 of the 2, and loss of sensory quality during 20 days in storage. TPA at 40% and 80% was  
1209 proposed for the first time as well as a new penetration test. The authors positively correlated  
1210 hardness with sensory “difficulty in swallowing”, “crumbliness”, “hardness”, and “oral  
1211 dryness”, and negatively correlated it with sensory “cohesiveness”, “softness”, and “size of  
1212 soft zone”, while these parameters correlated well also for springiness and cohesiveness  
1213 detected at 80% TPA, thus evidencing that TPA values obtained at the compressions resulted  
1214 in greater sample distortion and gave information that was better correlated to sensory  
1215 perception. Finally, the analysis of the penetration profiles gave data that were very useful to  
1216 complement the TPA results, in order to assess bread staling.

1217 Angioloni and Collar (2009b) suggested the complementarities of instrumental static  
1218 (TPA, firmness, and relaxation test) and dynamic (innovative oscillatory test) analyses with  
1219 empirical sensory characteristics in assessing commercial whole and white bread quality  
1220 during a 10-day storage period, although the 2 different approaches investigated the bread  
1221 characteristics at molecular or macroscopic level. In particular, the authors found that static  
1222 relaxation parameters initial force ( $F_0$ ), momentary force at time (t)  $F(t)$ , constants related to  
1223 stress decay  $k_1(s)$  rate and residual stress at the end of the experiment ( $k_2t$ ) and dynamic  
1224 (stress) bread crumb rheological attributes were correlated well, thus both techniques were  
1225 useful in evaluating crumb textural characteristics of fresh and staled breads. Moreover, the  
1226 sensory attributes (softness) and the overall acceptability were negatively correlated with  
1227 either dynamic stress or static  $F_0$ . The authors concluded that the obtained results were quite  
1228 promising for a proper bread crumb quality assessment, as the novel proposed approaches  
1229 gave data with better accordance with consumer awareness.

1230

1231 **6.7. Electrical impedance**

1232 Bhatt and Nagaraju (2009) developed an instrument working with electrical impedance to  
1233 assess the electrical properties of wheat bread crumb and crust, and they investigated changes  
1234 in electrical impedance behavior during 120 h of storage with the use of multichannel ring  
1235 electrodes. Variations in crust capacitance showed that there was a sharp increase in value  
1236 after 96 h of storage at 17.6% moisture content, so after that period a glass transition occurred  
1237 with a content of more than 17.6% of moisture at room temperature. On the other hand, the  
1238 resistance measurements of crumb showed a decrease during staling, thus revealing that the  
1239 starch crumb recovered its crystallinity during the storage time of 120 h. Data on crust  
1240 capacitance and crumb resistance were validated by results obtained with DSC analysis  
1241 (variation in glass transition temperature and enthalpy). The authors concluded that the  
1242 proposed instrument was suitable for rapid and nondestructive measurement of electrical  
1243 properties of bread at different zones with minimum error, thus enabling to study staling at  
1244 crust and crumb simultaneously.

1245

#### 1246 **6.8. Mixed instrumentation**

1247 Primo-Martín and others (2007) gave new insight on staling of bread crust by using a wide  
1248 range of measurement techniques, namely, confocal scanning laser microscopy, wide-angle  
1249 X-ray powder diffraction, polarized light microscopy, solid-state <sup>13</sup>C cross-polarization-  
1250 magic-angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance, and DSC. The authors found that baking  
1251 resulted in gelatinization of only 60% of the crust starch, and this fraction retook its  
1252 crystallinity after a long time, compared to crumb. The authors, thus, concluded that staling of  
1253 the crust cannot be ascribed to amylopectin retrogradation that was measurable only after 2  
1254 days of storage, while loss of bread crust freshness happened before 1 day of storage, as  
1255 already reported by Primo-Martín and others (2006).

1256 A very interesting application was that proposed by Botre and Garphure (2006) who used  
1257 a tin oxide sensor array and self-organized map (SOM)-based E-nose for analysis of volatile  
1258 bread aroma, in order to correlate the obtained data with bread freshness and, thus, predict  
1259 staling. Data obtained on bread stored for 5 days at 25 °C over 3 weeks and purchased by 3  
1260 producers showed that the E-nose was able to predict freshness or staleness of bread with an  
1261 accuracy of up to 97%, when using data sets and the SOM network of the same week, while  
1262 this value dropped to 75–85% when considering the 3 weeks. Moreover, when different bread  
1263 producers were considered, the accuracy value was again high and ranged from 76 to 83%.  
1264 The authors, thus, suggested that the SnO<sub>2</sub> gas sensor and SOM neural network based  
1265 electronic nose was an attractive, low-price alternative for assessing bread freshness.

1266 Lagrain and others (2012) considered bread crumb as a linear-elastic, cellular solid with  
1267 open cells in order to better understand its mechanical properties at the fresh state and during  
1268 storage, when applying low stresses in the evaluation. They used static compression of bread  
1269 crumb and developed a new instrument probe to determine the shear storage modulus by  
1270 applying a sinusoidal shear force to the sample. Cellular structure evolution during storage  
1271 was assessed by digital image analysis, while a noncontact ultrasound technique was used to  
1272 measure crumb open porosity and mean size of the intersections in the crumb cell walls.  
1273 Results of image and acoustic analyses showed that the original crumb structure was not  
1274 affected by staling and crumb physical measurement confirmed this behavior, as the Poisson  
1275 coefficient  $\nu$  obtained from texture data yielded a time-independent value. Moreover, by  
1276 changing gluten functionality with redox agents (potassium bromate and glutathione) the  
1277 authors found that the increase in evolution of the normalized modulus, which was the ratio  
1278 between the Young's modulus  $E$  and the crumb density  $\rho$  ( $E/\rho$ ), was independent from  $\rho$ , thus  
1279 molecular changes in the gluten protein network induced by the redox agents had effect on  
1280 crumb cell wall stiffening. Finally, changing starch properties with a maltogenic exo- $\alpha$ -

1281 amylase, while reducing crumb stiffening during 168 h of storage, as expected and as  
1282 revealed by amylopectin recrystallization (DSC), did not result in changes in the cellular  
1283 structure.

1284

## 1285 **7. Conclusion**

1286 Bread staling continues to be responsible for huge food wastes all over the world. The  
1287 phenomenon is still far from being fully elucidated, but this literature review of the last 10  
1288 years confirmed existing theories and gave new insights. The text points out the central role  
1289 of starch and starch-gluten interactions at the basis of the staling mechanism and highlights  
1290 the effect of different ingredients (hydrocolloids, enzymes, or WWS), as well as the increased  
1291 interest in dough or frozen PB bread for extending bread shelf life. Despite new measurement  
1292 techniques, such as NIRS, NMR, and X-ray, which give novel and interesting details on bread  
1293 firming and also evidence of their importance as complementary tools to traditional  
1294 measurement techniques, the real challenge still remains the knowledge of the precise  
1295 mechanism(s) of staling. Further efforts must be exerted to explore and exploit the power of  
1296 novel technologies in bread processing, particularly the non-thermal technologies (high  
1297 hydrostatic pressure, ultrasound processing, pulse-light technology, and other), and their  
1298 effects on the retardation of bread staling.

1299

## 1300 **Acknowledgments**

1301 The authors acknowledge the financial support of following European Institutions: Regione  
1302 Autonoma della Sardegna, Legge 7, project title “Ottimizzazione della formulazione e della  
1303 tecnologia di processo per la produzione di prodotti da forno gluten-free fermentati e non  
1304 fermentati,” and Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), and Ministerio  
1305 de Economía y Competitividad (Project AGL 2011-22669) of Spain.

1306 **8. References**

1307

1308 Abu-Ghoush M, Herald TJ, Dowell F, Xie F, Aramouni FM, Madl R. 2008. Effect of  
1309 preservatives addition on the shelf-life extensions and quality of flat bread as determined by  
1310 near-infrared spectroscopy and texture analysis. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 43:357-64.

1311 Aguirre JF, Osella CA, Carrara CR, Sanchez HD, del Pilar Buera M. 2011. Effect of storage  
1312 temperature on starch retrogradation of bread staling. *Starch/Starke* 63:587-93.

1313 Akers AA, Hosney RC. 1994. Water-soluble dextrans from alpha-treated bread and their  
1314 relationship to bread firming. *Cereal Chem* 71:223-6.

1315 Alsberg CL. 1928. The role of starch in bread making. In: Walton RP, editor. *A*  
1316 *Comprehensive survey of starch chemistry*. New York, Chemical Catalog Co. p. 87-99.

1317 Alsberg CL. 1936. The stale-bread problem. *Wheat Stud Food Res Inst* 12:221-47.

1318 Amos AJ. 1955. The use of enzymes in the baking industry. *J Sci Food Agric* 6:489-95.

1319 Angioloni A, Collar C. 2009a. Gel, dough and fibre-enriched fresh breads: Relationships  
1320 between quality features and staling kinetics. *J Food Eng* 91:526-32.

1321 Angioloni A, Collar C. 2009b. Bread crumb quality assessment: a plural physical approach.  
1322 *Eur Food Res Technol* 229:21-30.

1323 **Angioloni A., Collar C. 2011. Nutritional and functional added value of oat, KamutR, spelt,**  
1324 **rye and buckwheat versus common wheat in breadmaking. *J Sci Food Agric* 91: 1283–**  
1325 **1292.**

1326 Angioloni A., Collar C. 2012. Effects of pressure treatment of hydrated oat, finger millet and  
1327 sorghum flours on the quality and nutritional properties of composite wheat breads. *J Cereal*  
1328 *Sci* 56:713-9.

1329 **Angioloni A., Collar C. 2013. Suitability of Oat, Millet and Sorghum in Breadmaking. *Food***  
1330 ***Bioprocess Technol* 6:1486–1493.**

- 1331 Arendt EK, Ryan LAM, Dal Bello F. 2007. Impact of sourdough on the texture of bread.  
1332 Food Microbiol 24:165-74.
- 1333 Axford DWE., Colwell KH, Cornford SJ, Elton GH. 1968. Effect of loaf specific volume on  
1334 the rate and extent of staling in bread. J Sci Food Agric 19:95-101.
- 1335 Baik BK, Park CS, Paszczynska B, Konzak CF. 2003. Characteristics of noodles and bread  
1336 prepared from double-null partial waxy wheat. Cereal Chem 80:627-33.
- 1337 Barcenas ME, Haros M, Benedito C, Rosell CM. 2003. Effect of freezing and frozen storage  
1338 on the staling of part-baked bread. Food Res Int 36:863-9.
- 1339 Bárcenas ME, Rosell CM. 2005. Effect of HPMC addition on the microstructure, quality and  
1340 aging of wheat bread. Food Hydrocolloids 19:1037-43.
- 1341 Batu A. 2005. Production of lipid and white solid Pekmez in Turkey. J Food Qual 28:417-27.
- 1342 Beck M, Jekle M, Becker T. 2012a. Sodium chloride – sensory, preserving and technological  
1343 impact on yeast-leavened products. Int J Food Sci Technol 47:1798-1807.
- 1344 Beck M, Jekle M, Becker T. 2012b. Impact of sodium chloride on wheat flour dough for  
1345 yeast-leavened products. II. Baking quality parameters and their relationship. J Sci Food  
1346 Agric 92:299-306.
- 1347 Begum R, Rakshit SK, Mahfuzur Rahman SM. 2010. Protein fortification and use of cassava  
1348 flour for bread formulation. Int J Food Prop 14:185-98.
- 1349 Bell DA. 1990. Methylcellulose as a structure enhancer in bread baking. Cereal Food World  
1350 35:1001-6.
- 1351 Besbes E, Jury V, Monteau JY, Le Bail A. 2013. Water vapor transport properties during  
1352 staling of bread crumb and crust as affected by heating rate. Food Res Int 50:10-9.
- 1353 Bhatt CM, Nagaraju J. 2009. Studies on glass transition and starch re-crystallization in wheat  
1354 bread during staling using electrical impedance spectroscopy. Innov Food Sci Emerg  
1355 10:241-5.

- 1356 Bhise S, Kaur A. 2013. Polyols to improve quality and shelf life of baked products: A review.  
1357 Int J Adv Sci Tec Res 3:262-72.
- 1358 Biliaderis CG, Izydorczyk MS, Rattan O. 1995. Effect of arabinoxylans on bread-making  
1359 quality of wheat flours. Food Chem 53:165-71.
- 1360 Błaszczak W, Sadowska J, Rosell CM, Fornal J. 2004. Structural changes in the wheat dough  
1361 and bread with the addition of alpha-amylases. Eur Food Res Technol 219:348-54.
- 1362 Bollaín C, Angioloni A, Collar C. 2005. Bread staling assessment of enzyme-supplemented  
1363 pan breads by dynamic and static deformation measurements. Eur Food Res Technol  
1364 220:83-9.
- 1365 Bonet A, Rosell CM, Caballero PA, Gómez M, Pérez-Munuera I, Lluch MA. 2006. Glucose  
1366 oxidase effect on dough rheology and bread quality: A study from macroscopic to  
1367 molecular level. Food Chem 99:408-15.
- 1368 Bosmans GM, Lagrain B, Ooms N, Fierens E, Delcour JA. 2013. Biopolymer interactions,  
1369 water dynamics, and bread crumb firming. J Agric Food Chem 61:4646-54.
- 1370 Botre B, Gharpure D. 2006. Analysis of volatile bread aroma for evaluation of bread  
1371 freshness using an electronic nose (E-Nose). Mater Manuf Process 21:279-83.
- 1372 Bowles LK. 1996. Amylolytic enzymes. In: Hebeda RE, Zobel H, editors. Baked goods  
1373 freshness. New York: Marcel Dekker. p 105-29.
- 1374 Butt MS, Tahir-Nadeem M, Ahmad Z, Tauseef Sultan M. 2008. Xylanases and their  
1375 applications in **the** baking industry. Food Technol Biotechnol 46:22-31.
- 1376 Caballero PA, Gomez M, Rosell CM. 2007. Improvement of dough rheology, bread quality  
1377 and bread shelf-life by enzymes combination. J Food Eng 81:42-53.
- 1378 Carr L, Rodas M, Torre J., Tadini C. 2006. Physical, textural and sensory characteristic of 7  
1379 day frozen part- baked **F**rench bread. J Food Eng 39:540-7.

1380 Casper JL, Oppenheimer AA, Weber JL, Erickson BJ, Ray MM, inventors. 2006. High-  
1381 expansion dough compositions and methods. U.S patent 0083841.

1382 Casper JL, Oppenheimer AA, Erickson B inventors. 2007. Dough compositions having a  
1383 moisture barrier and related methods. U.S patent 0275128.

1384 Cauvain SP, Young LS. 2008. Bakery food manufacture and quality: water control and  
1385 effects. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

1386 Chavan RS, Chavan SR. 2011. Sourdough technology - A traditional way for wholesome  
1387 foods: a review. *Comp Rev Food Sci Food Safety* 10:170-83.

1388 Chin NL, Abdullah R, Yusof YA. 2012. Glazing effects on bread crust and crumb staling  
1389 during storage. *J Texture Stud* 42:459-67.

1390 Chinachoti P, Vodovotz Y, editors. 2000. Bread staling. Boca Raton, Fla.: CRC Press.

1391 Choi YJ, Ahn SC, Choi HS, Hwang DK, Kim BY, Baik MY. 2008. Role of water in bread  
1392 staling. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 17:1139-45.

1393 Choi YJ, Kim BY, Baik MY. 2010. Analytical methodology for bread staling. *J Korean Soc*  
1394 *Appl Biol Chem* 53:389-400.

1395 Chung MS, Lee JK, Hur NY, Kim DS, Baik MY. 2003. Textural analysis for bread staling  
1396 *Food Sci Biotechnol* 12:727-36.

1397 Cocchi M, Foca G, Marchetti A, Sighinolfi S, Tassi L, Ulrici A. 2005. Use of multivariate  
1398 analysis of MIR spectra to study bread staling. *Annali di Chimica* 95:657-66.

1399 Codex Alimentarius Commission. 2009. Alinorm 09/32/26. Available at  
1400 [ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/Circular\\_letters/CXCL2008/cl08\\_35e.pdf](ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/Circular_letters/CXCL2008/cl08_35e.pdf). Accessed 25 October  
1401 2013.

1402 Collar C, Martínez-Anaya MA, Benedito de Barber C. 1994. Interactive effects between  
1403 microbial breadmaking starters and wheat flours on sour dough and bread quality. *Rev Esp*  
1404 *Cienc Tecnol Aliment* 34:191-201.



1405 Collar C, Andreu P, Martinez JC, Armero E. 1999. Optimization of hydrocolloid addition to  
1406 improve wheat bread dough functionality: A response surface methodology study. *Food*  
1407 *Hydrocolloids* 13:467-75.

1408 Collar C. 2003. Significance of viscosity profile of pasted and gelled formulated wheat  
1409 doughs on bread staling. *Eur Food Res Technol* 216:505-13.

1410 Collar C, Bollain C. 2005. Impact of microbial transglutaminase on the staling behaviour of  
1411 enzyme-supplemented pan breads. *Eur Food Res Technol* 221:298-304.

1412 Collar C, Angioloni A. 2014a. Nutritional and functional performance of high  $\beta$ -glucan  
1413 barley flours in breadmaking: mixed breads versus wheat breads. *Eur Food Res Technol*  
1414 DOI 10.1007/s00217-013-2128-1.

1415 Collar C, Angioloni A. 2014b. Pseudocereals and teff in complex breadmaking matrices:  
1416 Impact on lipid dynamics. *J Cereal Sci* DOI 10.1016/j.jcs.2013.12.008.

1417 Collar C, Rosell CM. 2013. Bakery and confectioneries. In: Chandrasekaran M, editor.  
1418 Valorization of by products from plant-based food processing industries. Boca Raton:  
1419 CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group. p 554-82.

1420 Collar C, Rosell CM. 2013. Bakery and confectioneries. In: Chandrasekaran M, editor.  
1421 Valorization of by products from plant-based food processing industries. Boca Raton:  
1422 CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group. p 554-82.

1423 Collins T, Hoyoux A, Dutron A, Georis J, Genot B, Dauvrin T, Arnaut F, Gerday C, Feller G.  
1424 2006. Use of glycoside hydrolase family 8 xylanases in baking. *J Cereal Sci* 43:79-84.

1425 Colwell KH, Axford DWE, Chamberlain N, Elton GAH. 1969. Effect of storage temperature  
1426 on the ageing of concentrated wheat starch gels. *J Sci Food Agric* 20:550-5.

1427 Correa MJ, Pérez GT, Ferrero C. 2012. Pectins as breadmaking additives: effect on dough  
1428 rheology and bread quality. *Food Bioprocess Tech* 5:2889-98.

- 1429 Curti E, Bubici S, Carini E, Baroni S, Vittadini E. 2001. Water molecular dynamics during  
1430 bread staling by nuclear magnetic resonance. *Lebensm Wiss Technol* 44:854-9.
- 1431 D'Appolonia BL, Morad MM. 1981. Bread staling. *Cereal Chem* 58:186-90.
- 1432 Dal Bello F, Clarke CI, Ryan LAM, Ulmer H, Schober TJ, Ström K, Sjögren J, van Sinderen  
1433 D, Schnürer J, Arendt EK. 2007. Improvement of the quality and shelf life of wheat bread  
1434 by fermentation with the antifungal strain *Lactobacillus plantarum* FST 1.7. *J Cereal Sci*  
1435 45:309-18.
- 1436 Davies AP. 1986. Protein functionality in bakery products. In: Blanshard JMV, Mitchell JR,  
1437 editors. *Chemistry and physics of baking*. London: Butterworths. p 313-30.
- 1438 Davidou S, Le Meste M, Debever E, Bekaert D. 1996. A contribution to the study of staling  
1439 of white bread: Effect of water and hydrocolloid. *Food Hydrocolloids* 10:375-83.
- 1440 Del Nobile MA, Martoriello T, Mocchi G, La Notte E. 2003. Modeling the starch  
1441 retrogradation kinetic of durum wheat bread. *J Food Eng* 59:123-8.
- 1442 Erdogdu-Arnoczky N, Czuchajowska Z, Pomeranz Y. 1996. Functionality of whey and casein  
1443 in fermentation and in bread baking by fixed and optimized procedures. *Cereal Chem*  
1444 73:309-16.
- 1445 Every D, Gerrard JA, Gilpin MJ, Ross M, Newberry MP. 1998. Staling in starch bread: the  
1446 effect of gluten additions on specific loaf volume and firming rate. *Starch/Stärke* 50:443-6.
- 1447 Fadda C, Santos E, Piga A, Collar C. 2010. Innovative traditional Italian durum wheat breads:  
1448 influence of yeast and gluten on the performance of sourdough "Moddizzosu"-type breads.  
1449 *Cereal Chem* 87:204-13.
- 1450 Ferrero C, Martino MN, Zaritzky NE. 1993. Stability of frozen starch pastes: effect of  
1451 freezing rate, storage and xanthan gum addition. *J Food Process Pres* 17:191-211.

1452 Fiszman SM, Salvador A, Varela P. 2005. Methodological developments in bread staling  
1453 assessment: application to enzyme-supplemented brown pan bread. *Eur Food Res Technol*  
1454 221:616-23.

1455 Gambaro A, Giménez A, Ares G, Gilardi V. 2006. Influence of enzymes on the texture of  
1456 brown pan bread. *J Texture Stud* 37:300-14.

1457 Garimella Purna SG, Miller RA, Seib PA, Graybosch RA, Shi YC. 2011. Volume, texture,  
1458 and molecular mechanism behind the collapse of bread made with different levels of hard  
1459 waxy wheat flours. *J Cereal Sci* 54:37-43.

1460 Ghanbari M, Farmani J. 2013. Influence of hydrocolloids on dough properties and quality of  
1461 barbari: an Iranian leavened flat bread. *J Agr Sci Tech* 15:545-55.

1462 Ghiasi K, Hosney RC, Zeleznak K, Rogers DE. 1984. Effect of waxy barley starch and  
1463 reheating on firmness of bread crumb. *Cereal Chem* 61:281-5.

1464 Gill S, Vasanthan T, Ooraikul B, Rosnagal B. 2002. Wheat bread quality as influenced by  
1465 the substitution of waxy and regular barley flours in their native and cooked forms. *J Cereal*  
1466 *Sci* 36:239-51.

1467 Ghoshal G, Shivhare US, Banerjee UC. 2013. Effect of xylanase on quality attributes of  
1468 whole-wheat bread. *J Food Qual* 36:172-80.

1469 Goesaert H, Slade L, Levine H, Delcour JA. 2009. Amylases and bread firming an integrated  
1470 view. *J Cereal Sci* 50:345-52.

1471 Gomes-Ruffi CR, da Cunha RH, Lopes Almeida E, Chang YK, Steel CJ. 2012. Effect of the  
1472 emulsifier sodium stearoyl lactylate and of the enzyme maltogenic amylase on the quality  
1473 of pan bread during storage. *Lebensm Wiss Technol* 49:96-101.

1474 Gómez M, Ronda F, Blanco CA, Caballero PA, Apesteguía A. 2003. Effect of dietary fibre  
1475 on dough rheology and bread quality. *Eur Food Res Technol* 216:51-6.

1476 Gómez M, Oliete B, Pando V, Ronda F, Caballero PA. 2008. Effect of fermentation  
1477 conditions on bread staling kinetics. *Eur Food Res Technol* 226:1379-87.

1478 Gray JA, Bemiller JN. 2003. Bread staling: molecular basis and control. *Comp Rev Food Sci*  
1479 **Food Safety** F:1-21.

1480 Graybosch R.A. 1998. Waxy wheats: Origin, properties, and prospects. *Trends Food Sci*  
1481 *Technol* 9:135-42.

1482 Gujral HS, Gaur S, Rosell CM. 2003. Note: Effect of barley flour, wet gluten and ascorbic  
1483 acid on bread crumb texture. *Food Sci Tech Int* 9:17-25.

1484 Gujral HS, Singh GS, Rosell CM. 2008. Extending shelf life of chapatti by partial baking and  
1485 frozen storage. *J Food Eng* 89:466-71.

1486 Hager AS, Ryan LAM, Schwab C, Ganzle MG, O'Doherty JV, Arendt EK. 2011. Influence  
1487 of the soluble fibres inulin and oat  $\beta$ -glucan on quality of dough and bread. *Eur Food Res*  
1488 *Technol* 232:405-13.

1489 Hahn PW, Huang VT, Goedeken DL, Sierzant RL, inventors. 2001. Non-emulsion based,  
1490 moisture containing filling for dough products. U.S patent 6280782.

1491 Haros M, Rosell CM, Benedito C. 2002. Effect of different carbohydrates on fresh bread  
1492 texture and bread staling. *Eur Food Res Technol* 215:425-30.

1493 Herz KO. 1965. Staling of bread: A review. *Food Technol* 19:1828-41.

1494 Hollingworth CS. 2010. **Food hydrocolloids: Characteristics, properties and structures**. New  
1495 York: Nova Science Publishers.

1496 Hosney RC. 1984. Functional properties of pentosans in baked foods. *Food Technol* 38:114-  
1497 7.

1498 Hosney C, Miller R. 1998. Current understanding of staling of bread. *AIB Res Dept Tech*  
1499 *Bull* 20:1-6.

1500 Hromádková Z, Stavová A, Ebringerová A, Hirsch J. 2007. Effect of buckwheat hull  
1501 hemicelluloses addition on the bread-making quality of wheat flour. *J Food Nut Res*  
1502 46:158-66.

1503 Hung PV, Maeda T, Morita N. 2006. Waxy and high-amylose wheat starches and flours:  
1504 characteristics, functionality and application. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 17:448-56.

1505 Hung PV, Maeda T, Morita N. 2007a. Dough and bread qualities of flours with whole waxy  
1506 wheat flour substitution. *Food Res Int* 40:273-9.

1507 Hung VP, Maeda T, Fuijta M, Morita N. 2007b. Dough properties and breadmaking qualities  
1508 of whole waxy wheat flour and effects of additional enzymes. *J Sci Food Agric* 87:2538-43.

1509 Hüttner EK, Dal Bello F, Arendt EK. 2010. Fundamental study on the effect of hydrostatic  
1510 pressure treatment on the bread-making performance of oat flour. *Eur Food Res Technol*  
1511 230:827-35.

1512 Inagaki T, Seib PA. 1992. Firming of bread crumb with cross-linked waxy barley starch  
1513 substituted for wheat starch. *Cereal Chem* 69:321-5.

1514 Ito M, Kim SJ, Sarker ZJ, Hashimoto N, Noda T, Takigawa S, Matsuura-Endo C, Horibata T,  
1515 Nakaura Y, Inouchi N, Fukushima M, Yamauchi H. 2007. Staling and texture of bread  
1516 prepared from new Japanese bread wheat varieties with slightly low-amylose starch. *Food*  
1517 *Sci Technol Res* 13:121-8.

1518 Izydorczyk MS, Dexter JE. 2008. Barley  $\beta$ -glucans and arabinoxylans: Molecular structure,  
1519 physicochemical properties, and uses in food products – a Review. *Food Res Int* 41:850-68.

1520 Jacobs MS, Izydorczyk MS, Preston KR, Dexter JE. 2008. Evaluation of baking procedures  
1521 for incorporation of barley roller milling fractions containing high levels of dietary fibre  
1522 into bread. *J Sci Food Agric* 88:558-68.

1523 Jacobson SMH, inventor. 2003. Dough product treatment process and products thereof. U.S  
1524 patent 0203091.

1525 Jahromi SHR, Yazdi FT, Karimi M, Mortazavi SA, Davoodi MG, Pourfarzad A, Sourki AH.  
1526 2012. Application of glazing for bread quality improvement. Food Bioprocess Technol  
1527 5:2381-91.

1528 Jakob F, Steger S, Vogel RF. 2012. Influence of novel fructans produced by selected acetic  
1529 acid bacteria on the volume and texture of wheat breads. Eur Food Res Technol 34:493-9.

1530 Jekle M, Becker T. 2012. Effects of acidification, sodium chloride, and moisture levels on  
1531 wheat dough: II. Modeling of bread texture and staling kinetics. Food Biophys 7:200-8.

1532 Jiang Z, Bail AL, Wu A. 2008. Effect of the thermostable xylanase B (XynB) from  
1533 *Thermotoga maritima* on the quality of frozen partially baked bread. J Cereal Sci 47:172-9.

1534 Jones A, Lamsa M, Frandsen TP, Spendler T, Harris P, Sloma A, Xu F, Nielsen JB, Cherry  
1535 JR. 2008. Directed evolution of a maltogenic  $\alpha$ -amylase from *Bacillus* sp. TS-25. J  
1536 Biotechnol 134:325-33.

1537 Karaoğlu MM. 2006. Effect of baking procedure and storage on the pasting properties and  
1538 staling of part-baked and rebaked wheat bran bread. Int J Food Sci Technol 41:77-82.

1539 Karaoğlu MM, Kotancilar HG. 2006. Effect of partial baking, storage and rebaking process  
1540 on the quality of white pan bread. Int J Food Sci Technol 41:108-14.

1541 Karim AA, Norziah MH, Seow CC. 2000. Methods for the study of starch retrogradation.  
1542 Food Chem 71:9-36.

1543 Katina K, Salmenkallio-Marttila M, Partanen R, Forssell P, Autio K. 2006a. Effects of  
1544 sourdough and enzymes on staling of high-fibre wheat bread. Lebensm Wiss Technol  
1545 39:479-91.

1546 Katina K, Heiniö RL, Autio K, Poutanen K. 2006b. Optimization of sourdough process for  
1547 improved sensory profile and texture of wheat bread. Lebensm Wiss Technol 39:1189-  
1548 1202.

1549 Kerch G, Rustichelli F, Ausili P, Zicans J, Merijs Meri R, Glonin A. 2008. Effect of chitosan  
1550 on physical and chemical processes during bread baking and staling. *Eur Food Res Technol*  
1551 226:1459-64.

1552 Kerch G, Zicans J, Merijs Meri R. 2010. The effect of chitosan oligosaccharides on bread  
1553 staling. *J Cereal Sci* 52:491-5.

1554 Kerch, G, Glonin A, Zicans J, Merijs Meri R. 2012a. A DSC study of the effect of bread  
1555 making methods on bound water content and redistribution in chitosan-enriched bread. *J*  
1556 *Therm Anal Calorim* 108:185-9.

1557 Kerch, G, Glonin A, Zicans J, Merijs Meri R. 2012b. A DSC study of the effect of ascorbic  
1558 acid on bound water content and distribution in chitosan-enriched bread rolls during  
1559 storage. *J Therm Anal Calorim* 108:73-8.

1560 Kim SK, D'Appolonia BL. 1977. Effect of pentosans on the retrogradation of wheat starch  
1561 gels. *Cereal Chem* 54:150-60.

1562 Kim JH, Maeda T, Morita N. 2006. Effect of fungal  $\alpha$ -amylase on the dough properties and  
1563 bread quality of wheat flour substituted with polished flours. *Food Res Int* 39:117-26.

1564 Knightly WH. 1973. The evolution of softeners and conditioners used in baked foods. *Bakers*  
1565 *Dig* 47:64-73.

1566 Kohajdová Z, Karovičová J. 2009. Application of hydrocolloids as baking improvers.  
1567 *Chemical Pap* 63:26-38.

1568 Kohajdová Z, Karovičová J, Schmidt S. 2009. Significance of emulsifiers and hydrocolloids  
1569 in bakery industry. *Acta Chim Slovaca* 2:46-61.

1570 Kulp K, Ponte JG Jr. 1981. Staling of white pan bread: fundamental causes. *CRC Critical Rev*  
1571 *Food Sci Nutr* 15:1-48.

1572 Lafaye J, Lee H, Blake L, Jenner C. 2013. Waxy durum acts as a unique form of crumb  
1573 softener in bread. *J Cereal Sci* 58:393-9.

1574 Lagrain B, Wilderjans E, Glorieux C, Delcour JA. 2012. Importance of gluten and starch for  
1575 structural and textural properties of crumb from fresh and stored bread. *Food Biophys*  
1576 7:173-81.

1577 Lang KW, Eberhardt GM, Entenmann WJ, Shipman FP, inventors. 1987. Glaze composition  
1578 for bakery products. U.S patent 4645674.

1579 Larre C, Denery PS, Popineau Y, Deshayes G, Desserre C, Lefebvre J. 2000. Biochemical  
1580 analysis and rheological properties of gluten modified by transglutaminase. *Cereal Chem*  
1581 77:32-8.

1582 Lasekan O, Chiemela C, Ossai B, Adzahan NM. 2011. Effect of different pineapple juice  
1583 (*Ananas comosus* L.) preparations on the microstructure, staling and textural properties of  
1584 wheat bread. *J Food Proc Eng* 34:1449-63.

1585 Le-Bail A, Boumali K, Jury V, Ben-Aissa F. 2009. Impact of the kinetic of baking on staling  
1586 rate and on the mechanical properties of crumb and degassed crumb. *J Cereal Sci* 50:235-  
1587 40.

1588 Le-Bail A, Leray G, Perronnet A, Roelens G. 2011. Impact of the chilling conditions on the  
1589 kinetics of staling of bread. *J Cereal Sci* 54:13-9.

1590 Le-Bail A, Agrane S, Queveau D. 2012. Impact of the baking duration on bread staling  
1591 kinetics. *Food Bioprocess Technol* 5:2323-30.

1592 Le Meste M, Huang VT, Panama J, Anderson G, Lentz R. 1992. Glass transition of bread.  
1593 *Cereal Food World* 37:264-7.

1594 León AE, Durán E, De Barber CB. 2002. Utilization of enzyme mixtures to retard bread  
1595 crumb firming. *J Agric Food Chem* 50:1416-9.

1596 León AE, Barrera GN, Pérez GT, Ribotta PD, Rosell CM. 2006. Effect of damaged starch  
1597 levels on flour-thermal behaviour and bread staling. *Eur Food Res Technol* 224:187-92.



1598 Liu Z, Scanlon MG. 2004. Revisiting crumb texture evaluation methods. Tension,  
1599 compression, and indentation. *Cereal Food Worlds* 49:76-82.

1600 Lodi A, Abduljalil AM, Vodovotz, Y. 2007a. Characterization of water distribution in bread  
1601 during storage using magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Imaging* 25:1449-58.

1602 Lodi A, Tiziani S, Vodovot, Y. 2007b. Molecular changes in soy and wheat breads during  
1603 storage as probed by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). *J Agric Food Chem* 55:5850-7.

1604 Lodi A, Vodovotz Y. 2008. Physical properties and water state changes during storage in soy  
1605 bread with and without almond. *Food Chem* 110:554-61.

1606 Lonergan DA, inventor 1999. Glaze for dough products. U.S patent 5965180.

1607 Maeda T, Hashimoto T, Minoda M, Tamagawa S, Morita N. 2003. Effects of mutant  
1608 thermostable  $\alpha$ -amylase on rheological properties of wheat dough and bread. *Cereal Chem*  
1609 80:722-7.

1610 Maeda T, Morita N. 2003. Flour quality and pentosan prepared by polishing wheat grain on  
1611 breadmaking. *Food Res Int* 36:603-10.

1612 Maga JA. 1975. Bread staling. *CRC Critical Rev Food Technol* 5:443-86.

1613 Majzoobi M, Farahnaky A, Agah S. 2011a. Properties and shelf-life of part-and full-baked  
1614 flat bread (Barbari) at ambient and frozen storage. *J Agr Sci Tech* 13:1077-90.

1615 Majzoobi M, Ghavi FS, Farahnaky A, Jamalain J, Mesbahi G. 2011b. Effect of tomato  
1616 pomace powder on the physicochemical properties of flat bread (Barbari bread). *J Food*  
1617 *Process Pres* 35:247-56.

1618 Majzoobi M, Farhoodi S, Farahnaky A, Taghipour MJ. 2012. Properties of dough and flat  
1619 bread containing wheat germ. *J Agr Sci Tech* 14:1053-65.

1620 Maleki G, Milani JM, Amiri Z. 2012. Effect of different hydrocolloids on staling of Barbari  
1621 bread. *Adv Food Sci* 34:1-7.

1622 Mandala IG, Sotirakoglou K. 2005. Effect of frozen storage and microwave reheating on  
1623 some physical attributes of fresh bread containing hydrocolloids. *Food Hydrocolloids*  
1624 19:709-19.

1625 Mandala I, Karabela D, Kostaropoulos A. 2007. Physical properties of breads containing  
1626 hydrocolloids stored at low temperature. I. Effect of chilling. *Food Hydrocolloid* 21:1397-  
1627 1406.

1628 Mandala I, Kapetanakou A, Kostaropoulos A. 2008. Physical properties of breads containing  
1629 hydrocolloids stored at low temperature. I. Effect of freezing. *Food Hydrocolloid* 22:1443-  
1630 51.

1631 Mandala I, Polaki A, Yanniotis S. 2009. Influence of frozen storage on bread enriched with  
1632 different ingredients. *J Food Eng* 92:137-45.

1633 Manzocco L, Calligaris S, Da Pieve S, Marzona S, Nicoli MC. 2012. Effect of  
1634 monoglyceride-oil-water gels on white bread properties. *Food Res Int* 49:778-82.

1635 Matuda TG, Parra DF, Lugao AB, Tadini CC. 2005. Influence of vegetable shortening and  
1636 emulsifiers on the unfrozen water content and textural properties of frozen French bread  
1637 dough. *Lebens Wiss Technol* 38:275-80.

1638 Matz SA 1989. Sweeteners and malt syrup. In: Matz SA, editor. *Technology of the materials*  
1639 *of baking*. Chicago, USA: Elsevier Science Publishers. p 116-9.

1640 Menten Ö, Bakkalbassi E, Ercan R. 2008. Effect of the use of ground flaxseed on quality and  
1641 chemical composition of bread. *Food Sci Tech Int* 14:299-306.

1642 Milani J, Maleki G. 2012. Hydrocolloids in food industry. In: Valdez B, editor. *Food*  
1643 *industrial processes - Methods and equipment*. Ryieka: InTech. P16-38. Open access,  
1644 available from: [http://www.intechopen.com/books/food-industrial-processes-methods-and](http://www.intechopen.com/books/food-industrial-processes-methods-and-equipment/hydrocolloids-in-food-industry)  
1645 [equipment/hydrocolloids-in-food-industry](http://www.intechopen.com/books/food-industrial-processes-methods-and-equipment/hydrocolloids-in-food-industry).

- 1646 Miyazaki M, Maeda T, Morita N. 2004. Effect of various dextrin substitutions for wheat flour  
1647 on dough properties and bread qualities. *Food Res Int* 37:59-65.
- 1648 Miyazaki M, Hung PV, Maeda T, Morita N. 2006. Recent advances in application of  
1649 modified starches for breadmaking. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 17:591-9.
- 1650 Miyazaki M, Maeda T, Morita N. 2008. Bread quality of frozen dough substituted with  
1651 modified tapioca starches. *Eur Food Res Technol* 227:503-9.
- 1652 Mnif I, Besbes S, Ellouze R, Ellouze-Chaabouni S, Ghribi D. 2012. Improvement of bread  
1653 quality and bread shelf-life by *Bacillus subtilis* biosurfactant addition. *Food Sci Biotechnol*  
1654 21:1105-12.
- 1655 Morgan KR, Hutt L, Gerrard J, Every D, Ross M, Gilpin M. 1997. Staling in starch breads.  
1656 The effect of antistaling  $\alpha$ -amylase. *Starch/Stärke* 49:54-9.
- 1657 Motoki M, Seguro K. 1998. Transglutaminase and its use for food processing. *Trends Food*  
1658 *Sci Technol* 9:204-10.
- 1659 Mouliney M, Lavery B, Sharma R, Jenner C. 2011. Waxy durum and fat differ in their  
1660 actions as improvers of bread quality. *J Cereal Sci* 54:317-23.
- 1661 Mouneim H, Besbes E, Jury V, Monteau JY, Le-Bail A. 2012. Combined effects of baking  
1662 conditions and bacterial  $\alpha$ -amylases on staling kinetics of degassed and porous bread  
1663 crumb. *Food Bioprocess Tech* 5:3032-41.
- 1664 Nilufer-Erdil D, Serventi L, Boyacioglu D, Vodovotz Y. 2012. Effect of soy milk powder  
1665 addition on staling of soy bread. *Food Chem* 131:1132-9.
- 1666 O'Brien C, Mueller A, Scannell A, Arendt EK. 2003. Evaluation of the effects of fat replacers  
1667 on the quality of wheat bread. *J Food Eng* 56:265-7.
- 1668 Oliveira DS, Telis-Romero J, Da-Silva R, Franco CML. 2014. Effect of a *Thermoascus*  
1669 *aurantiacus* thermostable enzyme cocktail on wheat bread quality. *Food Chem* 143:139-46.

- 1670 Ozkoc SO, Sumnu G, Sahin S, Turabi E. 2009. Investigation of physicochemical properties of  
1671 breads baked in microwave and infrared-microwave combination ovens during storage. Eur  
1672 Food Res Technol 228:883-93.
- 1673 Park CS, Baik BK. 2007. Characteristics of French bread baked from wheat flours of reduced  
1674 starch amylose content. Cereal Chem 84:437-42.
- 1675 Patel BK, Waniska RD, Seetharaman K. 2005. Impact of different baking processes on bread  
1676 firmness and starch properties in breadcrumb. J Cereal Sci 42:173-84.
- 1677 Pateras IMC. 2007. Bread spoilage and staling. In: Cauvain SP, Young LS, editors.  
1678 Technology of breadmaking. London: Blackie Academic and Professional. p 275-98.
- 1679 Peng Q, Chuan-xi M, Rong-lin W, Zhi-you K, Bo-qiao Z. 2009. Effect of waxy wheat flour  
1680 blends on the quality of fresh and stale bread. Agric Sci Chi 8:401-9.
- 1681 Peressini D, Sensidoni A. 2009. Effect of soluble dietary fibre addition on rheological and  
1682 breadmaking properties of wheat doughs. J Cereal Sci 49:190-201.
- 1683 Piccinini M, Fois S, Secchi N, Sanna M, Roggio T, Catzeddu P. 2012. The application of NIR  
1684 FT-Raman spectroscopy to monitor starch retrogradation and crumb firmness in semolina  
1685 bread. Food Anal Methods 5:1145-9.
- 1686 Pikus S, Olszewska E, Bęcal M. 2006. Investigation of the staling process of bread using the  
1687 small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) method. Flavour Fragr J 21:37-41.
- 1688 Pla ME, Rojas AM, Gerschenson LM. 2013. Effect of butternut (*Cucurbita moschata*  
1689 Duchesne ex Poiret) fibres on bread making, quality and staling. Food Bioprocess  
1690 Technol 6:828-38.
- 1691 Plessas S, Bekatorou A, Kanellaki M, Koutinas AA, Marchant R, Banat IM., 2007. Use of  
1692 immobilized cell biocatalysts in baking. Process Biochem 42:1244-9.

1693 Poinot P, Arvisenet G, Grua-Priol J, Fillonneau C, Le-Bail A, Prost C. 2010. Influence of  
1694 inulin on bread: kinetics and physicochemical indicators of the formation of volatile  
1695 compounds during baking. *Food Chem* 119:1474-84.

1696 Ponte JG Jr, Faubion JM. 1985. Rheology of bread crumb. In: Faridi H, editor. *Rheology of*  
1697 *wheat products*. St. Paul, Minn.: American Association of Cereal Chemists. p 241-73.

1698 Ponte JG Jr, Ovadia DZ. 1996. Instrumental methods. In: Hebeda RE, Zobel H, editors.  
1699 *Baked goods freshness*. New York: Marcel Dekker. p 151-70.

1700 Popov-Raljić JV, Mastilović JS, Laličić-Petronijević JC, Popov VS. 2009. Investigations of  
1701 bread production with postponed staling applying instrumental measurements of bread  
1702 crumb color. *Sensors* 9: 8613-23.

1703 Pourfarzad A, Khodaparast MHH, Karimi M, Mortazavi SA, Davoodi MG, Sourki AH,  
1704 Razavizadegan Jahromi SH. 2011. Effect of polyols on shelf-life and quality of flat bread  
1705 fortified with soy flour. *J Food Process Eng* 34:1435-48.

1706 Poufarzad A, Habibi-Najafi MB. 2012. Optimization of a liquid improver for Barbari bread:  
1707 staling dough rheology and image characteristics. *J Texture Stud* 43:484-93.

1708 Primo-Martín C, Pijpekamp A, van de Vliet T, van de Jongh HHJ, Plijter JJ, Hamer RJ. 2006.  
1709 The role of the gluten network in the crispness of bread crust. *J Cereal Sci* 43:342-52.

1710 Primo-Martín C, van Nieuwenhuijzen NH, Hamer RJ, van de Vliet T. 2007. Crystallinity  
1711 changes in wheat starch during the bread-making process: Starch crystallinity in the bread  
1712 crust. *J Cereal Sci* 45:219-26.

1713 Purhagen JK, Sjöő ME, Eliasson AC. 2008. Staling effects when adding low amounts of  
1714 normal and heat-treated barley flour to a wheat bread. *Cereal Chem* 85:109-14.

1715 Purhagen JK, Sjöő ME, Eliasson AC. 2011a. Starch affecting antistaling agents and their  
1716 function in free-standing and pan-baked bread. *J Food Hydrocolloids* 25:1656-66.

1717 Purhagen JK, Sjöó ME, Eliasson AC. 2011b. The use of normal and heat-treated barley flour  
1718 and waxy barley starch as antistaling agents in laboratory and industrial baking processes. J  
1719 Food Eng 104:414-21.

1720 Purhagen JK, Sjöó ME, Eliasson AC. 2012. Fibre-rich additives – the effect on staling and  
1721 their function in free-standing and pan-baked bread. J Sci Food Agric 92:1201-13.

1722 Rao JLUM, Satyanarayana T. 2007. Improving production of hyperthermostable and high-  
1723 maltose-forming *a*-amylase by an extreme thermophile *Geobacillus thermoleovorans* using  
1724 response surface methodology and its applications. Bioresource Technol 98:345-52.

1725 Ribotta PD, Pérez GT, León AE, Añón MC. 2004a. Effect of emulsifier and guar gum on  
1726 microstructural, rheological, and baking performance of frozen bread dough. Food  
1727 Hydrocolloids 18:305-13.

1728 Ribotta PD, Cuffini S, León AE, Añón MC. 2004. The staling of bread: an X-ray diffraction  
1729 study. Eur Food Res Technol 218:219-23.

1730 Ribotta PD, Le-Bail A. 2007. Thermo-physical assessment of bread during staling. Lebensm  
1731 Wiss Technol 40:879-84.

1732 Rojas JA, Rosell CM, Benedito de Barber C. 1999. Pasting properties of different wheat  
1733 flour-hydrocolloid systems. Food Hydrocolloids 13:27-33.

1734 Ronda F, Caballero PA, Quilez J, Roos YH. 2011. Staling of frozen partly and fully baked  
1735 breads. Study of the combined effect of amylopectin recrystallization and water content on  
1736 bread firmness. J Cereal Sci 53:97-103.

1737 Rosell CM, Gómez M. 2007. Freezing in breadmaking performance: frozen dough and part-  
1738 baked bread. Food Rev Int 23:303-19.

1739 Rosell CM, Santos E. 2010. Impact of fibers on physical characteristics of fresh and staled  
1740 bake off bread. J Food Eng 98:273-81.

1741 Russell PL. 1983. A kinetic study of bread staling by differential scanning calorimetry and  
1742 crumb compressibility measurements. The effect of added monoglycerides. *J Cereal*  
1743 *Sci*:297-303.

1744 Sabanis D, Soukoulis C, Tzia C. 2009. Effect of raisin juice addition on bread produced from  
1745 different wheat cultivars. *Food Sci Tech Int* 15:325-36.

1746 Salehifar M, Shahedi M. 2007. Effects of oat flour on dough rheology, texture and  
1747 organoleptic properties of taftoon bread. *J Agric Sci Technol* 9:227-34.

1748 Sawa K, Inoue S, Lysenko E, Edwards NM, Preston KR. 2009. Effects of purified  
1749 monoglycerides on Canadian short process and sponge and dough mixing properties, bread  
1750 quality and crumb firmness during storage. *Food Chem* 115:884-90.

1751 Schiraldi A, Fessas D. 2001. Mechanism of staling: An overview. In: Chinachoti P, Vodovotz  
1752 Y, editors. *Bread staling*. Boca Raton, Fla.: CRC Press. p 1-17.

1753 Seetharaman K, Chinnapha N, Waniska RD, White P. 2002. Changes in textural, pasting and  
1754 thermal properties of wheat buns and tortillas during storage. *J Cereal Sci* 35:215-23.

1755 Selomulyo VO, Zhou W. 2007. Frozen bread dough: Effects of freezing storage and dough  
1756 improvers. *J Cereal Sci* 45:1-17.

1757 Shaikh IM, Shalini GK and Laxmi A. 2008. Inhibition of staling in chapatti (Indian  
1758 unleavened flat bread). *J Food Process Pres* 32:378-403.

1759 Shalini GK, Laxmi A. 2007. Influence of additives on rheological characteristics of whole-  
1760 wheat dough and quality of chapatti (Indian unleavened flat bread). Part I. Hydrocolloids.  
1761 *Food Hydrocolloid* 21:110-7.

1762 Shalini GK. 2009. Effect of guar gum on dough stickiness and staling in chapatti: an Indian  
1763 unleavened flat bread. *Int J Food Eng* 5:1-19.

1764 Sharadanant R, Khan K. 2003. Effect of hydrophilic gums on frozen. dough. II. Bread  
1765 characteristics. *Cereal Chem* 80:773-80.

1766 Sharma P, Gujral HS. 2014. Antistaling effects of  $\beta$ -glucan and barley flour in wheat flour  
1767 chapatti. Food Chem 145:102-8.

1768 Shittu TA, Raji AO, Sanni LO. 2007. Bread from composite cassava-wheat flour: I. Effect of  
1769 baking time and temperature on some physical properties of bread loaf. Food Res Int  
1770 40:280-90.

1771 Shittu TA, Raji AO, Abulude EO. 2009. Functional effects of xanthan gum on composite  
1772 cassava-wheat dough and bread. Food Hydrocolloids 23:2254-60.

1773 Shon J, Yun Y, Shin M, Chin KB, Eun JB. 2009. Effects of milk proteins and gums on  
1774 quality of bread made from frozen dough. J Sci Food Agric 89:1407-15.

1775 Shyu YS, Hwang JY, Hsu CK. 2008. Improving the rheological and thermal properties of  
1776 wheat dough by the addition of  $\gamma$ -polyglutamic acid. Lebensm Wiss Technol 41:982-7.

1777 Skendi A, Biliaderis CG, Papageorgiou M, Izydorczyk MS. 2010. Effects of two barley  $\beta$ -  
1778 glucan isolates on wheat flour dough and bread properties. Food Chem 119:1159-67.

1779 Sidhu JS, Al-Saqer J, Al-Zenki S. 1996. Comparison of methods for the assessment of the  
1780 extent of staling in bread. Food Chem 58:161-7.

1781 Sim SY, Noor Aziah AA, Cheng LH. 2011. Characteristics of wheat dough and Chinese  
1782 steamed bread with added sodium alginates or konjac glucomannan. Food Hydrocolloids  
1783 25:951-7.

1784 Sivam AS, Sun-Waterhouse D, Young Quek S, Perera CO. 2010. Properties of bread dough  
1785 with added fiber polysaccharides and phenolic antioxidants: a review. J Food Sci  
1786 75:R163-R74.

1787 Slade L, Levine H. 1987. Recent advances in starch retrogradation. In: Stivala SS, Crescenzi  
1788 V, Dea ICM, editors. Industrial polysaccharides -The impact of biotechnology and  
1789 advanced methodologies. New York: Gordon and Breach. p 387-430.



1790 Slade L, Levine H. 1989. A food polymer science approach to selected aspects of starch  
1791 gelatinization and retrogradation. In: *Frontiers in carbohydrate research*–1. Millane RP,  
1792 Bemiller JN, Chandrasekaran R, editors. London: Elsevier Applied Science. p 215-70.

1793 Smith PR, Johansson J. 2004. Influences of the proportion of solid fat in shortening on loaf  
1794 volume and staling of bread. *J Food Process Pres* 28:359-67.

1795 Smitha S, Rajiv J, Begum K, Indrani D. 2008. Effect of hydrocolloids on rheological,  
1796 microstructural and quality characteristics of parotta: an unleavened Indian flat bread. *J*  
1797 *Texture Stud* 39:267-83.

1798 Stampfli L, Nersten B. 1995. Emulsifiers in bread making. *Food Chem* 52:353-60.

1799 Symons LJ, Brennan CS. 2004. The effect of barley beta-glucan fibre fractions on starch  
1800 gelatinization and pasting characteristics. *J Food Sci* 69:257-61.

1801 Tamani RJ, Goh KKT, Brennan CS. 2013. Physico-chemical properties of sourdough bread  
1802 production using selected *Lactobacilli* starter cultures. *J Food Qual* 36:245-52.

1803 Tavakolipour H, Kalbasi-Ashtari A. 2007. Influence of gums on dough properties and flat  
1804 bread quality of two Persian wheat varieties. *J Food Process Eng* 30:74-87.

1805 Tian YQ, Li Y, Jin ZY, Xu XM, Wang YP, Jiao AQ, Yu B, Talba T. 2009.  $\beta$ -Cyclodextrin  
1806 ( $\beta$ -CD): A new approach in bread staling. *Thermochim Acta* 489:22-6.

1807 Tipples KH. 1969. The relation of starch damage to the baking performance of flour. *Bakers'*  
1808 *Dig* 43:28-32, 44.

1809 Toufeili I, Habbal Y, Shadarevian S, Olabi A. 1999. Substitution of wheat starch with non-  
1810 wheat starches and cross-linked waxy barley starch affects sensory properties and staling of  
1811 Arabic bread. *J Sci Food Agric* 79:1855-60.

1812 Vallons KIR, Ryan LAM, Koehler P, Arendt EK. 2010. High pressure-treated sorghum flour  
1813 as a functional ingredient in the production of sorghum bread. *Eur Food Res Technol*  
1814 231:711-7.

1815 Van der Maarel MJEC, van der Veen B, Uitdehaag JCM, Leemhuis H, Dijkhuizen L. 2002.  
1816 Properties and applications of starch-converting enzymes of the  $\alpha$ -amylase family. J  
1817 Biotechnol 94:137-155.

1818 Vittadini E, Vodovotz Y. 2003. Changes in the physico-chemical properties of wheat and soy  
1819 containing breads during storage as studied by thermal analyses. J Food Sci 68:2022-7.

1820 Vodovotz Y, Baik M, Vittadini E, Chinachoti P. 2001. Instrumental techniques used in bread  
1821 staling analysis. In: Chinachoti P, Vodovotz Y, editors. Bread staling. New York: CRC  
1822 Press. p 93-111.

1823 Wang J, Rosell C, Beneditodebarber C. 2002. Effect of the addition of different fibres on  
1824 wheat dough performance and bread quality. Food Chem 79:221-6.

1825 Wang JS, Zhao MM, Yang XQ, Jiang YM. 2006. Improvement of emulsifying properties of  
1826 wheat gluten hydrolysate / $\lambda$ -carrageenan conjugates. Food Technol Biotechnol 44:25-32.

1827 Wang JS, Zhao M., Yueming J., 2007. Effects of wheat gluten hydrolysate and its  
1828 ultrafiltration fractions on dough properties and bread quality. Food Technol Biotechnol  
1829 45:410-4.

1830 Watanabe M, Maeda T, Tsukahara K, Kayahara H, Morita N. 2004. Application of  
1831 pregerminated brown rice for breadmaking. Cereal Chem 81:450-5.

1832 Waters DM, Murray PG, Ryan LA, Arendt EA, Tuohy MG. 2010. *Talaromyces emersonii*  
1833 thermostable enzyme systems and their applications in wheat baking systems. J Agric Food  
1834 Chem 58:7415-22.

1835 Woo KS, Seib PA. 2002. Cross-linked resistant starch: Preparation and properties. Cereal  
1836 Chem 79: 819-25.

1837 Wu KL, Sung WC, Yang CH. 2009. Characteristics of dough and bread as affected by the  
1838 incorporation of sweet potato paste in the formulation. J Mar Sci Technol 17:13-22.

1839 Xie F, Dowell FE, Sun XS. 2003. Comparison of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy and  
1840 texture analyzer for measuring wheat bread changes in storage. *Cereal Chem* 80:25-9.

1841 Xie F, Dowell FE, Sun XS. 2004. Using visible and near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy  
1842 and differential scanning calorimetry to study starch, protein and temperature effects on  
1843 bread staling. *Cereal Chem* 81:249-54.

1844 Yi J. 2008. Improving frozen bread dough quality through processing and ingredients. PhD  
1845 Dissertation, Food Science and Technology, University of Georgia.

1846 Yi J, Kerr WL. 2009. Combined effects of dough freezing and storage conditions on bread  
1847 quality factors. *J Food Eng* 93:495-501.

1848 Yi J, Johnson JW, Kerr WL. 2009. Properties of bread made from frozen dough containing  
1849 waxy wheat flour. *J Cereal Sci* 50:364-9.

1850 Yook C, Pek UH, Park KH. 1993. Gelatinization and retrogradation characteristics of  
1851 hydroxypropylated and cross-linked rice. *J Food Sci* 58:405-7.

1852 Yun Y, Eun JB. 2006. Effects of milk proteins and gums on quality of bread made from  
1853 frozen dough following freeze-thaw cycles. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 15:805-13.

1854 Zhang D, Moore WR, Doehlert DC. 1998. Effects of oat grain hydrothermal treatments on  
1855 wheat-oat flour dough properties and bread baking quality. *Cereal Chem* 75:602-5.

1856 Zheng GH, Han HL, Bhatti RS. 1999. Functional properties of cross-linked and  
1857 hydroxypropylated waxy hull-less barley starches. *Cereal Chem* 76:182-8.

1858 Zheng H, Guo B, Chen XL, Fan SJ, Zhang YZ. 2011. Improvement of the quality of wheat  
1859 bread by addition of glycoside hydrolase family 10 xylanases. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*  
1860 90:509-15.

1861 Zhou Y, Wang D, Wan X, Zhang L, Du X, Hu W. 2009. Effect of tea polysaccharide addition  
1862 on the properties of bread made from two flours. *J Food Process Pres* 33:798-813.

1863

1864

Table 1 – Topics regarding bread staling covered by reviews or book chapters.

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Review</b>	<b>Book or book chapter</b>
Enzymes	Amos 1955; Haros and others 2002; van der Mareel and others 2002; Butt and others 2008; Goesaert and others 2009.	Bowles 1996.
Fibers	Sivam and others 2010.	
Freezing and partial baking	Rosell and Gomez 2007; Selomulyo and Zhou 2007; Yi 2008.	
Fundamental causes	Kulp and Ponte 1981; Le Meste and others 1992.	
Hydrocolloids	Izydorczyk and Dexter 2008; Kohajdová and Karovičová 2009; Kohajdova and others 2009.	Milani and Maleki 2012.
Methodologies	Choi and others 2010; Karim and others 2000; Chung and others 2003; Liu and Scanlon 2004.	Ponte and Faubion 1985; Ponte and Ovadia 1996; Vodovotz and others 2001.
Pentosans	Hoseney 1984.	
Polyols	Bhise and Kaur 2013.	
Proteins		Davies 1986.
Sodium chloride	Beck and others 2012a.	
Sourdough	Arendt and others 2007; Chavan and Chavan 2011.	
Starch	Myiazaki and others 2006.	Alsberg 1928; Slade and Levine 1987; Slade and Levine 1989; Hung and others 2006.
Staling	Hertz 1965; Zobel 1973; Maga 1975; Knightly 1977; D'Appolonia and Morad 1981; Hoseney and Miller 1998; Schiraldi and Fessas 2001; Gray and Bemiller 2003.	Alsberg 1936; Chinachoti and Vodovotz 2000; Pateras 2007; Cauvain and Young 2008.
Surface-active lipids and shortenings	Knightly 1973; Stampfli and Nersten 1995; Kohajdova and others (2009a).	

1866

1867

1868

1869

Table 2 – Main hydrocolloids proposed during the last decade for staling reduction.

<b>Hydrocolloid class</b>	<b>Hydrocolloid name</b>	<b>Effect</b>	<b>Suggested references</b>
Cellulose	HPMC <sup>a</sup>	Interaction with other bread constituents and in particular with water (retention capacity and starch-gluten interactions)	Bell 1990; Collar and others 1999; Barcenas and Rosell 2005; Tavakolipour and Kalbasi-Ashtari 2007.
Hemicellulose	GG	Inhibition of amylopectin retrogradation	Ribotta and others 2004; Shalini and Laxi 2007.
	LBG	Increased loaf volume and improved texture	Sharadanant and Khan 2003; Selomulyo and Zhou 2007; Angioloni and Collar 2009a.
	KGM	Hindering effect on macromolecular entanglements	Sim and others 2011.
	Arabinoxylans and $\beta$ -glucan	Competition for water, limitation of starch swelling and gelatinization	Izydorczyk and Dexter 2008; Jacobs and others 2008; Hager and others 2011.
Microbial	XG	Increased water absorption, retardation of amylose retrogradation, gluten-starch interactions	Collar and others 1999; Mandala and Sotirakoglou 2005; Mandala and others 2007; Shittu and others 2009
Pectins	Pectin, HMP	Competition for water, reduction of amylopectin recrystallization	Rosell and Santos 2010; Correa and others 2012
Animal	Chitosan	Inhibition of crosslink formation between	Kerch and others 2010, 2012a,

starch granules and 2012b.  
protein fibrils

---

1870 <sup>a</sup>For abbreviations see the list of abbreviations at the start of this review.

1871

1872