# Broca's Area Homologue in Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes): Probabilistic Mapping, Asymmetry, and Comparison to Humans 


#### Abstract

Neural changes that occurred during human evolution to support language are poorly understood. As a basis of comparison to humans, we used design-based stereological methods to estimate volumes, total neuron numbers, and neuron densities in Brodmann's areas 44 and 45 in both cerebral hemispheres of 12 chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes), one of our species' closest living relatives. We found that the degree of interindividual variation in the topographic location and quantitative cytoarchitecture of areas 44 and 45 in chimpanzees was comparable to that seen in humans from previous studies. However, in contrast to the documented asymmetries in humans, we did not find significant population-level hemispheric asymmetry for any measures of areas 44 and 45 in chimpanzees. Furthermore, there was no relationship between asymmetries of stereological data and magnetic resonance imaging-based measures of inferior frontal gyrus morphology or hand preference on 2 different behavioral tasks. These findings suggest that Broca's area in the left hemisphere expanded in relative size during human evolution, possibly as an adaptation for our species' language abilities.
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## Introduction

Among animal communication systems, human language is distinctive for its combinatorial capacity and hierarchical syntactic structure (Hauser et al. 2002). A principal node in the cortical language network is Broca's area, which encompasses part of the inferior frontal lobe that includes Brodmann's cytoarchitectonic areas 44 and 45 (Amunts et al. 1999; Keller et al. 2009). This region is involved in language production and comprehension (Ojemann 1991; Burton 2001; Caplan 2001; Foundas 2001; Bookheimer 2002), as well as nonlinguistic memory processes (Cabeza and Nyberg 2000; Aboitiz et al. 2006) and ordering of hierarchical dependencies in sequences (Friederici et al. 2006). It also participates in mirror neuron networks that are shared in common by humans and other primates, such as macaque monkeys (Fadiga and Craighero 2006). Recent evidence indicates that, like humans, the inferior frontal cortex of nonhuman primates may be similarly involved in processing communication signals. Specifically, perception of species-specific vocalizations and facial expressions activates the inferior frontal cortex in macaque monkeys (Ferrari et al. 2003; Gil-da-Costa et al. 2006), whereas the production of communicative hand gestures activates this region in chim-
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panzees (Taglialatela et al. 2008). Thus, it appears that the specialized role of Broca's area in human language might have been built upon a preexisting function of the inferior frontal cortex that is shared with other Old World primates for the planning and recognition of hand and mouth action sequences (Arbib 2005).

A homologue of Broca's area has been cytoarchitectonically identified in all species of great apes (Kreht 1936; Bailey et al. 1950; Sherwood et al. 2003; Schenker et al. 2008) and several Old World monkeys (Watanabe-Sawaguchi et al. 1991; Petrides and Pandya 2001). However, few studies have examined this region's anatomy in a comparative context. Although diffusion tensor imaging has demonstrated that Broca's area in humans maintains greater connections with the middle temporal gyrus than in chimpanzees or macaque monkeys (Rilling et al. 2008), many other open questions remain regarding how this cortical area has changed during human evolution to support language behavior since our lineage branched from a common ancestor with chimpanzees 6-8 million years ago.

One aspect of language representation in the human brain that is particularly striking is its functional lateralization, with $94 \%$ of right-handed individuals exhibiting left hemisphere language dominance (Springer et al. 1999). This relationship has led researchers to examine anatomical asymmetries of Broca's area (Falzi et al. 1982; Foundas et al. 1998; Amunts et al. 1999; Uylings et al. 2006). Results from these studies have demonstrated that Broca's area in humans is asymmetric in terms of volume, gray level index, complexity and length of dendritic branches, and neuron size and number (reviewed in Schenker et al. 2007; Keller et al. 2009). Notably, however, variation within individuals (between hemispheres) and among individuals in the volume of areas 44 and 45 is substantial (Amunts et al. 1999, 2003). Moreover, there is extensive variability in the location of areas 44 and 45 relative to sulcal landmarks in both humans (Amunts et al. 1999, 2004) and chimpanzees (Sherwood et al. 2003). Although leftward asymmetry of the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) has been reported for African great apes based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) morphometry (Cantalupo and Hopkins 2001; Hopkins et al. 2008), there are no data published concerning the size or asymmetry of cytoarchitectonically defined areas 44 and 45 in nonhuman primates. In the absence of such comparative data, it remains unclear whether the size of this cortical region has undergone relative expansion during human evolution, as has been claimed for other prefrontal areas (Semendeferi et al. 2001).

To establish a comparative foundation for evaluating the size and asymmetry of Broca's area in humans, we used designbased stereological methods to estimate volume, total neuron number, and neuron density in Brodmann's areas 44 and 45 of chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). The brain specimens in the current study were obtained from chimpanzees with known hand preferences, providing a unique opportunity to examine the interrelationship among behavior, histology, and gross morphology of Broca's area homologue in one of our species' closest living relatives. We analyzed variation in the position of areas 44 and 45 across individuals using probabilistic mapping and in reference to sulcal folding patterns of the IFG. We also investigated whether population-level asymmetry is present in areas 44 and 45 of chimpanzees and tested for correlations between asymmetry of these cortical areas and measures of IFG morphology and hand preference on 2 behavioral tasks. Finally, we compared the difference in volume between Broca's area in humans and chimpanzees relative to other cortical areas, finding that areas 44 and 45 in the left hemisphere show among the greatest volumetric enlargement in humans of any neocortical regions examined to date

## Methods

## Subjects

Twelve chimpanzee subjects were used in this study, including 6 females (mean age at death $=37.8$ years, standard deviation $[\mathrm{SD}]=12.9$, range $=13-48$ ) and 6 males (mean age at death $=29.3$ years, $\mathrm{SD}=10.8$, range $=17-41$ ). Five of the chimpanzee subjects had been wild caught and lived in captivity since their capture. The remaining 7 chimpanzees were born in captivity. All subjects lived in social groups ranging from 2 to 13 individuals at Yerkes National Primate Research Center and were housed according to institutional guidelines. Brains were collected after subjects died from causes unrelated to the current study.

## Behavioral Measurements

Handedness data for these subjects have been previously reported (Hopkins 1995; Hopkins and Cantalupo 2004; Taglialatela et al. 2006). To assess handedness, 2 different tasks were used. First, hand preference was observed in response to a task measuring coordinated bimanual actions, referred to as the tube task. For this task, peanut butter was applied to the inside of polyvinylchloride tubes and presented to the subject. Hand use was recorded for each event in which the subjects reached into the tube with their finger, extracted peanut butter, and brought it to their mouth. Hand preference on this task is stable across the lifespan of an individual based on test-retest analyses (Hopkins 2007). Handedness data on the tube task were available for all subjects. In the second task, the subject's hand preference for manual gesturing was recorded. In each trial, the experimenter approached the chimpanzee's home cage carrying food. A piece of food was offered until the chimpanzee produced an open hand begging gesture. Other manual responses (e.g., banging or clapping) were not counted as gestures. The hand used to request food was recorded for each trial. Handedness data on the gesture task were available for 10 of the 12 subjects. A minimum of 30 responses were obtained for each individual for each task. A handedness index (HI) was derived for each subject for each task by subtracting the number of left-handed responses from the number of right-handed responses and dividing the total number of responses: $\mathrm{HI}=(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{L}) /(\mathrm{R}+$ L). Positive values reflect right-hand preference, and negative values represent left-hand preference (Table 1). The absolute value of the HI corresponds to the consistency of directional hand preference. Subjects with $z$ scores greater than 1.95 or less than -1.95 were classified as right- and left-handed, respectively. Subjects with $z$ scores between -1.95 and 1.95 were classified as having no preference.

Table 1
Previously published data on handedness (Hopkins 1995; Hopkins and Cantalupo 2004; Taglialatela et al. 2006), asymmetry of the volume of the IFG (Hopkins et al. 2008), and asymmetry of the length of the fronto-orbital sulcus (Hopkins and Cantalupo 2004)

| Subjects | Tube task HI | Gesture <br> task HI | Fronto-orbital <br> sulcus length AO | IFG volume AO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| C0630 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 5.2 | -30.0 |
| C0342 | 0.36 | -0.23 | -84.8 | -31.0 |
| C0406 | 0.34 | -0.17 | -39.8 | -21.0 |
| C0336 | -0.16 | 1.00 | -66.1 | -11.7 |
| C0408 | 1.00 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | -22.0 | 29.0 |
| C0242 | 0.68 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | -17.0 | -0.2 |
| C0507 | 0.91 | 0.04 | -15.8 | -40.0 |
| C0491 | 0.51 | 0.00 | -71.2 | 58.0 |
| C0423 | 0.35 | 0.56 | -45.9 | -39.0 |
| C0301 | -0.71 | 0.43 | -67.1 | -12.0 |
| C0273 | 0.65 | 0.33 | -18.0 | -7.3 |
| C0367 | -1.00 | -0.11 | -26.6 | -15.0 |

Note: Positive values indicate a rightward asymmetry. Negative values indicate a leftward asymmetry; N/A, not available.

Neuroanatomical measurements were performed blind to the HI scores of the subjects.

## MRI Collection

Within 14 h of each subject's death, the brain was removed and immersed in $10 \%$ formalin at necropsy. MRI scans of the postmortem brain specimens were acquired on a commercial 1.5 T GE high-gradient MRI scanner equipped with 8.3 software (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). Coronal $T_{1}$-weighted MR images were acquired through the entire brain with time repetition $=666.7 \mathrm{~ms}$ and time echo $=14.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ with an echo train of 2 . Slices were obtained as $1.5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick contiguous sections with a matrix size of $256 \times 256$ and a field of view of $16.0 \times 16.0 \mathrm{~cm}$, resulting in a final voxel size of $0.625 \times 0.625 \times$ 1.5 mm .

## Tissue Preparation and Staining

The frontal lobe was blocked from each brain with a coronal cut at the level of the precentral gyrus. Tissue blocks were cryoprotected by immersion in buffered sucrose solutions up to $30 \%$, embedded in tissue medium, frozen in a slur of dry ice and isopentane, and sectioned at 40 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ with a sliding microtome in the coronal plane. Every 10th section ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ apart) was stained for Nissl substance with a solution of $0.5 \%$ cresyl violet to visualize cytoarchitecture. Every 20th section was stained for myelin using the Gallyas (1971) method
Immunohistochemistry for nonphosphorylated neurofilament protein was also performed on a $1: 20$ series of sections. Free-floating sections were stained with mouse monoclonal antibodies to nonphosphorylated epitopes on the neurofilament protein triplet (SMI-32 antibody; Covance International, The Netherlands; dilution 1:3000). Prior to immunostaining, sections were rinsed thoroughly in phos-phate-buffered saline (PBS) and pretreated for antigen retrieval by incubation in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 8.5)$ at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath. Sections were then immersed in a solution of $0.75 \%$ hydrogen peroxide in $75 \%$ methanol to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity After additional rinsing, sections were incubated in the primary antibody for 48 h on a rotating table at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a diluent containing PBS with $4 \%$ normal horse serum, $1 \%$ bovine serum albumin, $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100, and $3 \%$ dry milk. After rinsing in PBS, sections were incubated in the secondary antibody (biotinylated antimouse IgG, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA; dilution 1:200) and processed with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase method using a Vectastain Elite ABC kit (Vector Laboratories). Immunoreactivity was revealed using a modified glucose oxidase-3,3'-diaminobenzidine-nickel intensification method (Shu et al. 1988; Van der Gucht et al. 2006). Every other section was counterstained with cresyl violet to visualize nonimmunoreactive neurons and cytoarchitectonic boundaries. Specificity of the
reaction was confirmed by processing negative control sections as described but excluding the primary antibody. No immunostaining was observed in control sections.

## Area Identification

In both hemispheres, the boundaries of Brodmann's areas 44 and 45 were manually drawn in serial sections with the StereoInvestigator software (MBF Bioscience, Williston, VT) using a $2.5 \times$ objective (N.A. 0.075 ) on a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope (Fig. 1). Regions of interest were identified using criteria from previous descriptions (Bailey et al. 1950; Sherwood et al. 2003; Schenker et al. 2008). Adjacent areas were differentiated from areas 44 and 45 on the basis of cytoarchitectonic
criteria (Fig. 2). In brief, posterior to area 44, the overall thickness of the cortex is greater, layer IV is poorly defined, and neurons in layer III are not markedly larger than those in layer V. More dorsally, layer III neurons are smaller and not as large as those in layer V , whereas layer IV is similar in width to area 44 . Anterior and dorsal to areas 44 and 45, layer IV becomes more visible, whereas neurons in layer V become smaller. Anterior to area 45, layer II becomes narrow and dense and layer IV is more prominent, whereas the overall thickness of cortex is decreased. Ventral to areas 44 and 45, the cortex is quite narrow and layers II and IV are prominent.

A key cytoarchitectural characteristic of areas 44 and 45 is the presence of large pyramidal neurons in lower layer III that are often larger than those in layer V. Also layers III and V are separated by


Figure 1. An anterior (A; 7.2 mm from the frontal pole) to posterior ( $F ; 12 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the frontal pole) coronal series of a chimpanzee right hemisphere (CO423) stained for Nissl substance showing the region that contains areas 44 and 45 . Abbreviations: ce $=$ central fissure; fos $=$ fronto-orbital sulcus; ifs $=$ inferior frontal sulcus; If $=$ lateral fissure; los = lateral orbital sulcus; mfs = middle frontal sulcus; pci = inferior precentral sulcus; and sfs $=$ superior frontal sulcus. Scale bar $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure 2. Representative photomicrographs of the cortex of the IFG in a chimpanzee (CO491), illustrating the right hemisphere sectioned in the coronal plane and stained for Nissl substance, myelin, and nonphosporylated neurofilament protein. Arrows indicate the boundaries between areas. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
a narrow dysgranular layer IV in area 44 that widens in area 45 . In sections immunostained for nonphosphorylated neurofilament protein with the SMI-32 antibody, large pyramidal neurons at the bottom of layer III are particularly conspicuous and aid in delineation of areas 44 and 45 from surrounding cortical areas. The myeloarchitecture is also useful in distinguishing between areas 44 and 45, with more horizontal myelinated fibers present in upper portions of layer III in area 45 than in area 44 (Fig. 2).

## Probabilistic Mapping

The exact boundaries of each cortical area, as observed under the microscope, were drawn on printouts of images produced from digital flatbed scans of the histological slides (Fig. 1). The cortical area boundaries were then manually delineated on MRI scans of the brains, which had been collected prior to sectioning. Each brain was reoriented to match the plane of sectioning using prominent landmarks on each of the histological sections to the morphology of the MRI slices in order to facilitate the transfer of boundaries from the histological slides to the MRIs. Object maps were created using Analyze 7.0 software (AnalyzeDirect, Overland Park, KS) for each cortical area by manually drawing its extent on every MRI slice in which it occurred.

After object maps of each cortical area were transferred to the MRI scans, the 3D image of each brain was coregistered to a template chimpanzee brain (for details about the creation of the template, see Rilling et al. 2007). Each individual MRI scan was oriented using the AC-PC line and then coregistered to the template using 3-dimensional
nonrigid registration (Analyze 7.0). The locations of the cortical areas were integrated across all subjects on a voxel-by-voxel basis. The resulting probability map indicates the regions of overlap across all subjects as projected onto the template brain.

## Measurements of Total Neocortical Gray Matter

The total volume of neocortical gray matter was estimated for each hemisphere. The boundary of neocortical gray matter (excluding the amygdala and hippocampus) was manually traced using ImageJ $1.38 \times$ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) on a systematic random sample of 10 coronal sections from each hemisphere of the MRIs. The areas of the bounded cortex in each section were calculated, summed, and multiplied by the distance between sections to estimate the volume.

## Shrinkage Correction

We calculated correction factors for each individual tissue block to adjust volumes for variation in the shrinkage that occurs during histological processing. For most blocks, a presectioning mass was available. These presectioning masses were converted to volume by dividing the specific gravity for brain tissue ( $1.036 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$; Gompertz 1902). For blocks without presectioning masses available, presectioning volumes were estimated by outlining the extent of the block on postmortem MRI scans using Analyze 7.0 software. Postprocessing volumes were estimated using digitally scanned images of each Nisslstained series. The outline of each section was determined by
thresholding using ImageJ software, and the area for each section was calculated. The summed area of sections was converted to volume by multiplying by the distance between measured sections (i.e., for a 1:10 series of $40-\mu$ m-thick sections, the distance between sections is 400 $\mu \mathrm{m})$. To obtain a correction factor unique to each block, the presectioning block volume was divided by the postprocessing volume. The shrinkage correction factor, which represents the fold difference between presectioning and postprocessing volume, averaged $2.88 \pm 0.73( \pm \mathrm{SD})$.

## Cortical Area Volumes

Volumetric data were collected from Nissl-stained histological sections for each cortical area with the Cavalieri method (Gundersen et al. 1988), using a $500-\mu \mathrm{m}$ point counting grid in the StereoInvestigator software. In each hemisphere, 6-16 sections were analyzed at intervals of 400 or $800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ between sections for each cortical area. As required by the Cavalieri method, sampled sections from each specimen were chosen at standard intervals for each area and the starting section was picked randomly from the first interval. The coefficient of error (Gundersen et al. 1999; $m=1$ ) was less than 0.036 for each cortical area in each specimen (Table 2); such low values indicate that the precision of the volume estimates was high and that the sampling parameters were sufficient. Each cortical area's volume was multiplied by the appropriate shrinkage correction factor to obtain a value representing the presectioning volume.

## Neuron Counts

To estimate total neuron numbers in Nissl-stained sections, we used the optical fractionator method (West et al. 1991) with a $50 \times 50-\mu \mathrm{m}$ counting frame and a $1200 \times 1200-\mu \mathrm{m}$ scan grid on the same sections used for volumetric estimates. We used a standard disector depth of 7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in the specimens, with a $3-\mu \mathrm{m}$ guard zone at the top. Section thickness was measured at every fifth site during counting. Neurons were counted in layers II-VI only if a clear nucleolus came into focus within the permitted boundaries of the counting frame, according to the principles of the optical fractionator method (West et al. 1991). The calculated coefficients of error (Schmitz and Hof 2000) were within the range of $\leqslant 0.10$ for each cortical area in each specimen (Table 3). Neuron density was calculated as the ratio of total neuron number over the shrinkage-corrected cortical area volume for each region of interest.

## Fronto-Orbital Sulcus Length

The fronto-orbital sulcus is a prominent landmark in the opercular portion of the IFG. The length of the sulcus was traced on every parasagittal ( 1 mm thick) MRI slice in which it appeared from the first lateral slice in which it was present to the slice just preceding the
opening of the insula (Table 1; Hopkins and Cantalupo 2004). This measurement estimates the surface area bounded by the fronto-orbital sulcus.

## IFG Volume

Estimation of the volume of the IFG was performed in the axial plane. The posterior and anterior borders of the IFG were the inferior precentral sulcus and the fronto-orbital sulcus, respectively. The entire gyrus between these sulci, including both gray and white matter, was traced with the surface of the brain serving as the lateral border and the medial ends of the sulci serving as the medial borders. The area was traced on every MRI slice ( 1 mm thick) on which both the inferior precentral sulcus and the fronto-orbital sulcus were present. Area measures were summed across all slices to estimate a volume of the IFG for each hemisphere (Table 1; Hopkins et al. 2008).

## Data Analysis

Tables 2 and 3 display volume estimates, total neuron counts, and neuron densities for each cortical area in both the right and left hemispheres. To examine lateralization, an asymmetry quotient (AQ) was calculated using the equation $|(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{L}) /[(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}) / 2]|$. Positive values indicate a right greater than left asymmetry, and negative values indicate a left greater than right asymmetry. Population-level asymmetry in each parameter was examined using a Wilcoxon signed-ranks test to determine whether the mean of the AQ was significantly different from zero.

Nonparametric Spearman rank order correlations were calculated between volumes of areas 44 and 45 and neocortical gray matter volume. Correlations were also calculated between AQs for areas 44 and 45 and indices of handedness and between the AQ measures for the length of fronto-orbital sulcus and the volume of the IFG. Age was also tested for an effect on all variables; no effect was observed.

All statistical tests were conducted using JMP IN 4.02 statistical software (SAS Institute, 2000, Cary, NC). Statistical significance was considered at $\alpha=0.05$. Sequential Bonferroni corrections of $\alpha$ were made on a per-hypothesis basis.

## Validation and Interobserver Variability

The inherent subjectivity in defining cytoarchitectonic boundaries and the challenges associated with transferring cortical area borders from histological slides back onto MRI scans makes an evaluation of intraand interobserver variability an important component to the validation of these methods. Five specimens were randomly selected for comparison, and the cytoarchitectonic borders of each cortical area were independently delineated by a second observer (M.A.S) blind to the results of the first (N.M.S). The volume of each cortical area was

Table 2
Stereological estimates and CE of shrinkage-corrected volumes $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ for each cortical area

| Subject | Sex | Age | Whole brain $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Left neocortical gray $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Right neocortical gray $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Left 44 | CE | Left 45 | CE | Right 44 | CE | Right 45 | CE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C0630 | Female | 13 | 415.4 | 96.5 | 97.9 | 669.1 | 0.026 | 746.4 | 0.018 | 760.0 | 0.019 | 801.2 | 0.012 |
| C0342 | Female | 35 | 348.1 | 91.0 | 93.8 | 437.8 | 0.008 | 413.0 | 0.013 | 568.5 | 0.006 | 468.1 | 0.009 |
| C0406 | Female | 42 | 327.8 | 75.6 | 77.0 | 650.5 | 0.011 | 768.0 | 0.013 | 788.1 | 0.018 | 523.3 | 0.014 |
| C0336 | Female | 44 | 332.9 | 81.9 | 81.2 | 594.1 | 0.011 | 326.6 | 0.036 | 1013.0 | 0.008 | 1049.8 | 0.013 |
| C0408 | Female | 45 | 312.9 | 85.4 | 83.3 | 563.7 | 0.010 | 329.3 | 0.010 | 554.0 | 0.011 | 445.8 | 0.010 |
| C0242 | Female | 48 | 298.2 | 75.0 | 77.0 | 547.9 | 0.020 | 311.8 | 0.036 | 831.0 | 0.006 | 706.5 | 0.009 |
| C0507 | Male | 17 | 384.0 | 102.9 | 98.0 | 963.9 | 0.011 | 757.2 | 0.008 | 639.1 | 0.017 | 735.7 | 0.018 |
| C0491 | Male | 18 | 364.6 | 84.7 | 88.2 | 204.6 | 0.010 | 271.4 | 0.013 | 803.6 | 0.005 | 562.0 | 0.007 |
| C0423 | Male | 25 | 419.7 | 96.8 | 96.1 | 557.1 | 0.018 | 684.8 | 0.012 | 501.3 | 0.010 | 483.8 | 0.012 |
| C0301 | Male | 35 | 409.3 | 104.7 | 102.9 | 650.7 | 0.023 | 569.6 | 0.023 | 400.9 | 0.028 | 932.6 | 0.024 |
| C0273 | Male | 40 | 341.2 | 79.1 | 76.3 | 593.1 | 0.011 | 291.4 | 0.015 | 395.6 | 0.012 | 382.9 | 0.020 |
| C0367 | Male | 41 | 377.2 | 86.8 | 80.5 | 778.4 | 0.036 | 537.4 | 0.021 | 521.1 | 0.013 | 506.3 | 0.025 |
| Mean |  |  | 360.9 | 88.4 | 87.7 | 600.9 |  | 500.6 |  | 648.0 |  | 633.2 |  |
| SD |  |  | 40.7 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 181.6 |  | 199.3 |  | 190.9 |  | 210.6 |  |
| CV |  |  | 11.3\% | 11.4\% | 11.0\% | 30.2\% |  | 39.8\% |  | 29.5\% |  | 33.3\% |  |

Note: SD, standard deviation; CE, coefficients of error; CV, coefficient of variation.

Table 3
Stereological estimates and CE of neuron density ( $1000 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) and total neuron number for each cortical area

|  | Neuron density (1000s/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  | Total neuron number ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right } \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | CE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | CE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | CE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right } \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | CE |
| C0630 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 15.3 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 0.07 | 12.4 | 0.06 | 11.6 | 0.07 | 11.5 | 0.06 |
| C0342 | 13.7 | 16.7 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 6.0 | 0.08 | 6.9 | 0.08 | 9.1 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 0.06 |
| CO406 | 16.0 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 10.4 | 0.07 | 14.0 | 0.06 | 15.0 | 0.07 | 10.9 | 0.06 |
| C0336 | 25.1 | 23.9 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 0.06 | 7.8 | 0.08 | 11.2 | 0.07 | 12.5 | 0.06 |
| C0408 | 17.9 | 20.0 | 12.5 | 16.4 | 10.1 | 0.06 | 6.6 | 0.07 | 6.9 | 0.05 | 7.3 | 0.06 |
| C0242 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 17.6 | 14.6 | 7.2 | 0.09 | 3.6 | 0.10 | 14.6 | 0.07 | 10.3 | 0.08 |
| C0507 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 14.2 | 0.06 | 11.3 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 0.06 |
| C0491 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 18.7 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 5.1 | 0.07 | 14.2 | 0.05 | 10.5 | 0.06 |
| C0423 | 12.9 | 19.1 | 15.6 | 18.6 | 7.2 | 0.09 | 13.1 | 0.06 | 7.8 | 0.05 | 9.0 | 0.05 |
| C0301 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 18.7 | 11.9 | 7.4 | 0.07 | 6.7 | 0.07 | 7.5 | 0.08 | 11.1 | 0.06 |
| C0273 | 17.7 | 16.8 | 15.4 | 18.3 | 10.5 | 0.08 | 4.9 | 0.09 | 6.1 | 0.08 | 7.0 | 0.08 |
| C0367 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 16.5 | 22.5 | 16.9 | 0.10 | 12.5 | 0.08 | 8.6 | 0.08 | 11.4 | 0.08 |
| Mean | 16.4 | 17.6 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 9.9 |  | 8.7 |  | 10.0 |  | 9.6 |  |
| SD | 3.9 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  | 3.7 |  | 3.2 |  | 2.0 |  |
| CV | 23.9\% | 21.8\% | 17.5\% | 24.1\% | 40.4\% |  | 42.0\% |  | 32.4\% |  | 20.9\% |  |

Note: SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation; CE, coefficients of error.
calculated according to the methods described above, and they were subsequently mapped onto the accompanying MRIs.

To assess the impact of interobserver variability on both the absolute volume and the AQs, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated between measurements of each cortical area by each observer. These results indicate a strong agreement between observers for both the absolute volume ( $\mathrm{ICC}=0.91 ; P<0.001$ ) and the AQ (ICC $=0.93 ; P<0.0001$ ). Thus, the subjective judgment of area boundaries by these 2 observers covaries in a systematic fashion, suggesting that the quantitative measures of regional volumes and neuron numbers presented here are reliable.

Another area of potential variability was the transfer of area boundaries onto the associated MRIs. Analysis of the correlation between histologically derived volumes and the volumes mapped onto MRIs revealed excellent congruency ( $r=0.97 ; P<0.001$ ). Area volumes mapped onto the MRIs were on average $15 \%$ lower than estimates of volumes obtained directly from the histological sections.

Finally, when each cortical area was mapped back onto the MRIs and the percentage of spatial overlap between the volumes delineated by Observer 1 and Observer 2 was calculated, a mean percentage overlap of $65 \pm 10 \%$ (SD) was obtained. Thus, a portion of interindividual variation in the location of cortical areas can be attributed to differences among observers in the subjective definition of boundaries. Therefore, our probability maps should cautiously be considered underestimates. Nonetheless, it is notable that our findings regarding interindividual variation in stereological measures and the spatial position of cortical areas are very similar to previous results from humans based on the "observer-independent" gray level index method of area boundary identification (Amunts et al. 1999; Uylings et al. 2006).

## Results

## Probabilistic Mapping

Figure 3 shows the location of areas 44 and 45 rendered on the reconstructed cortical surface for each individual. Areas 44 and 45 were both found in the IFG, often extending into the inferior frontal and fronto-orbital sulci. Area 44 was most often located immediately anterior to, and sometimes within (in 20 of 24 hemispheres), the inferior precentral sulcus. Area 45 was most typically located anterior to the fronto-orbital sulcus and sometimes superior to area 44 . Despite this general consistency, there was extensive interindividual variation in the precise boundaries of these cortical areas relative to the position of sulcal features.

Figure 4 shows probabilistic maps of areas 44 and 45 registered to a template chimpanzee brain. On average, both regions were located ventral to the inferior frontal sulcus in the template coordinate space. However, areas 44 and 45 displayed considerable interindividual variability in their positions on the template and exhibited limited overlapped among the 12 subjects. To estimate the extent of spatial congruence of each cortical area across individuals, we calculated the volume where at least 5 of 12 subjects showed overlap. These volumes and centroid coordinates are presented in Table 4.

## Stereological Data

The volumes, neuron numbers, and neuron densities from areas 44 and 45 also displayed a high degree of variability among individuals (Fig. 5). Coefficients of variation ranged from 29.5\% to $39.8 \%$ for volume, $22.9 \%$ to $25.1 \%$ for neuron density, and $20.9 \%$ to $42.0 \%$ for total neuron number (Tables 2 and 3). This variation is at least twice that for whole brain volume (11.3\%) and the volume of neocortical gray matter in each hemisphere (11.0-11.4\%). We analyzed stereological data using mixedmodel analyses of variance (ANOVAs) with sex as a betweensubjects factor and hemisphere and area as within-subjects factors. No significant effects or interactions were observed (Fig. 5).

We hypothesized that the volume of areas 44 and 45 within an individual would covary with the volume of the neocortical gray matter. To test this prediction, we calculated a correlation matrix among the volumes of area 44 , area 45 , and neocortical gray matter. However, the volumes of areas 44 and 45 were not significantly correlated with neocortical gray matter volume in the hemisphere of their location (left 44: $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.39, P=0.21$; left 45: $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.50, P=0.10$; right 44: $r_{\mathrm{s}}=-0.17, P=0.60$; right 45 : $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.43, P=0.17$ ). The volumes of areas 44 and 45 in the left hemisphere were correlated with each other prior to Bonferroni correction but not after ( $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.65, P=0.02, P^{\prime}=$ 0.13 ). The volumes of areas 44 and 45 in the right hemisphere were not significantly correlated ( $r_{s}=0.52, P=0.08$ ).

## Asymmetry

We examined all stereological data for evidence of populationlevel asymmetry by using a Wilcoxon signed-ranks test to determine whether the distribution of AQs differed significantly from zero (i.e., symmetry). Although there was notable asymmetry in certain individuals (e.g., 8 of 12 individuals had greater than $10 \%$ asymmetry in total neuron number in area 44 , area 45 , or both; 7 of 12 had greater than $10 \%$ asymmetry in volume in one or both areas), we found no evidence of a consistent directional asymmetry at the population level for any of the stereological measures (Table 5).

## Correlations with Handedness

In humans, individuals with greater right-hand preference are more likely to display left hemisphere language dominance (Knecht et al. 2000). Furthermore, data from structural MRI suggest that hemispheric language dominance is related to asymmetries of the IFG in humans (Foundas et al. 1996). Prompted by these results, we tested for correlations between the degree of asymmetry in stereological measures and handedness on a bimanual coordinated task and a communicative gesturing task. However, no significant correlations were found between asymmetry of areas 44 and 45 and either


Figure 3. Reconstructed lateral views of the magnetic resonance images of both hemispheres in 12 chimpanzees. The extent of areas 44 and 45 on the lateral surface of the brain are shown in red and yellow, respectively. The position of areas 44 and 45 where they lie within sulci is not visible $(A: C 0408, B: C 0342, C: C 0242, D: C 0273, E: C 0357, F$ : C0301, G: C0336, H: C0423, l: C0507, J: C0406, K: C0491, and L: C0630). Abbreviations: fos = fronto-orbital sulcus; pci $=$ inferior precentral sulcus.


Figure 4. Probability maps of the location of areas 44 and 45 on a template chimpanzee brain. Coronal and axial series through area $44(A, B)$ and area $45(C, D)$ are shown with coordinates indicating the level of the sections. Colors indicate the number of individuals in which the area is occupied by the region of interest. Warmer colors (more red) indicate greater numbers of individuals overlapping, and cooler colors indicate fewer numbers of individuals overlapping.

## Table 4

Volumes and centroid $x, y$, and $z$ coordinates for the regions of areas 44 and 45 that overlap in at least 5 of 12 individuals

|  |  | Centroid |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area | Hemisphere | Volume $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| Area 44 | Left | 642 | 94 | 79 | 34 |
|  | Right | 349 | 35 | 78 | 33 |
| Area 45 | Left | 280 | 91 | 83 | 29 |
|  | Right | 249 | 38 | 83 | 27 |

HI in these individuals (Table 6). Furthermore, there were no significant relationships between AQs, hand preference category (right or nonright handed), or sex using 2-way ANOVA.

## Correlations with Fronto-Orbital Sulcus Length and IFG Volume

Previous studies have reported population-level asymmetry in various morphological measurements of the inferior frontal region in chimpanzees based on MRI (Cantalupo and Hopkins 2001; Hopkins and Cantalupo 2004). To explore the underlying basis of these asymmetries in chimpanzees, we tested the relationship between the AQs of stereological data and morphological measures of the IFG by calculating nonparametric Spearman's rho correlations. We found that asymmetry in the volume of the IFG was significantly positively correlated with asymmetry of the total number of neurons in area $45\left(r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.83, P^{\prime}<0.001\right)$ and asymmetry of the volume of area 45 prior to Bonferroni correction ( $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.64, P=0.03, P^{\prime}=0.08$ ). However, no significant


Figure 5. Total neuron number $(A)$, cortical area volume $(B)$, and neuron density $(C)$ are plotted by region of interest. Bars indicate the mean values for each parameter in each region. Dotted lines connect the left and right hemisphere values within an individual.
correlations were found between asymmetry in the length of the fronto-orbital sulcus and AQs of stereological data.

## Discussion

We investigated the interrelationship among behavior, histology, and gross morphology of Broca's area homologue in

Table 5
Results of Wilcoxon signed-ranks tests for population-level asymmetry

|  | Total neuron number |  | Volume |  | Neuron density |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Z | $P$ | Z | P | Z | P |
| Area 44 | 0.165 | 0.87 | 0.078 | 0.94 | 0.553 | 0.59 |
| Area 45 | 1.219 | 0.25 | 1.177 | 0.24 | 1.320 | 0.21 |

Table 6
Results of Spearman's rho correlations between stereological parameters and HI on a bimanual coordination task and on a manual gesture task

| Parameter | Tube task |  | Gesture task |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $r_{\text {s }}$ | $P$ | $r_{\text {s }}$ | P |
| Neuron number AQ 44 | 0.22 | 0.48 | -0.15 | 0.68 |
| Neuron number AQ 45 | -0.04 | 0.90 | 0.08 | 0.83 |
| Volume AQ 44 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.78 |
| Volume A0 45 | -0.16 | 0.62 | -0.03 | 0.93 |
| Neuron density A0 44 | -0.22 | 0.48 | -0.19 | 0.60 |
| Neuron density A0 45 | -0.19 | 0.56 | -0.37 | 0.29 |

chimpanzees. Untangling the interaction between the structure and function of this cortical area in chimpanzees provides a crucial comparative foundation for determining which characteristics of Broca's area in humans are inherited from our common ancestry with great apes and which are more recent specializations added during evolution and might support linguistic processing.

There is substantial variation in the precise location and topographic extent of Broca's area in humans, both among individuals and between hemispheres within the same individual (Amunts et al. 1999, 2004). Previous examination of chimpanzees also indicated variability in the location of Broca's area homologue in chimpanzees (Sherwood et al. 2003). Here, we have further documented variation in the size and location of areas 44 and 45 in chimpanzees, obtaining results that are consistent with previous quantitative data from these cortical areas in humans (Amunts et al. 1999; Uylings et al. 2006). Specifically, probability maps for humans showed very little overlap in the location of areas 44 and 45 among individuals when registered to a common template brain coordinate space (Amunts et al. 1999). Similarly, our data showed that chimpanzee brains are also highly variable in the location of these cytoarchitectonic areas within the inferior frontal cortex. The maximum degree of cortical area overlap in the template chimpanzee brain was observed from 10 of 12 individuals for area 44 in the left hemisphere and occupied only $2 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. These results are congruent with the large amount of variation in the pattern of sulcal anatomy in the IFG of humans and chimpanzees (Connolly 1950; Ono et al. 1990; Duvernoy 1991; Fischl et al. 2007; Keller et al. 2007). Despite this variation among brains, however, there was a certain degree of consistency in the location of the cytoarchitectural boundaries of areas 44 and 45 in respect to the position of sulci. In particular, Broca's area was usually found anterior to the inferior precentral sulcus and ventral to the inferior frontal sulcus in chimpanzees, although rare exceptions were noted. Thus, we conclude that although it might be possible to use sulcal patterns on MRIs and endocasts to determine the general location of Broca's area, it is problematic to use these gross anatomical landmarks to define the position of its constituent cytoarchitectonic areas without further histological confirmation.

Recently, an increasing number of studies have begun investigating the brains of chimpanzees using functional imaging techniques, such as positron emission tomography (Rilling et al. 2007; Hopkins et al. 2008; Taglialatela et al. 2008, 2009; Parr et al. 2009). Such studies of our close phylogenetic relatives are crucial for understanding how human brain function may be distinctive. In most of these neuroimaging studies, interpretations of activation have been guided by reference to the classic map of the chimpanzee neocortex by Bailey et al. (1950). That cortical map is limited, however, because it was based on a relatively small sample size and it does not incorporate information about individual variability. The data from the present study provide coordinates on a template chimpanzee brain that localize Brodmann's areas 44 and 45 based on cytoarchitectural criteria. Similar probability maps of areas 44 and 45 in humans, for example, have been combined with functional imaging data to segment the contribution of each component of Broca's area to task-specific verbal fluency and semantic retrieval (Amunts et al. 2004; Heim et al. 2008). Probability maps of areas 44 and 45 in chimpanzees will allow researchers to investigate more precisely the functional activation of these cortical areas in our closest living relatives, representing a crucial step in developing more comprehensive studies to examine the evolutionary precursors to the human capacity for language.

Our stereological findings demonstrated considerable variation in Brodmann's areas 44 and 45 of chimpanzees in terms of total neuron number, neuron density, and regional volume. The variation in total neuron number and volume in the current sample is comparable to that reported for Broca's area in humans (Fig. 6; Uylings et al. 2006). In both chimpanzees and humans, variation in the size of these cortical areas exceeds that for total brain size (human brain volume CV $=15.5 \%$, see Amunts et al. [1999] and Uylings et al. [2006]; chimpanzee brain volume $\mathrm{CV}=11.3 \%$ ). Moreover, we did not find a correlation between the size of Broca's area in chimpanzee and neocortical gray matter volume. Taken together, these results suggest that certain factors may influence the size of these higher order cytoarchitectonic areas independently of the neocortex as a whole. Notably, this contrasts with findings showing that the


Figure 6. Coefficients of variation for neuron number and volume data from areas 44 and 45 in chimpanzees (black) and humans (gray; Uylings et al. 2006) are plotted by sex (males circles; females squares) for all regions of interest. Note that the level of variation is similar in both species.
size of primary sensory areas (S1, A1, and V1) covaries significantly with the size of the neocortex in adult shorttailed opossums (Karlen and Krubitzer 2006). Hence, cortical areas that occur earlier in the processing stream might be more tightly constrained in size than those occurring later. Thus, it is conceivable that variation in the size and asymmetry of areas 44 and 45 are related to functional differences among individuals. This possibility has not been previously examined in humans because the brains that were studied by Amunts et al. (1999) and Uylings et al. (2006) lacked behavioral records. However, based on MRI morphometry in a large sample of 56 chimpanzees, handedness for manual gesturing, but not a bimanual coordinated task, was found to be associated with asymmetry of IFG volume (Taglialatela et al. 2006). Our stereological measurements of areas 44 and 45 from the current study did not reveal any such correlations with hand preferences. Because the IFG volume measurement from Taglialatela et al. (2006) combined both gray matter and white matter, it will be worth exploring whether asymmetries in axonal volume and composition are related more directly to measures of behavioral lateralization.

It is also possible that asymmetries in areas 44 and 45 are associated with behavioral lateralization outside of the domain of handedness, such as orofacial asymmetries during communicative actions. Experiments in macaque monkeys have shown that stimulation of Broca's area homologue elicits orofacial movements (Petrides et al. 2005). Furthermore, behavioral studies in marmosets, rhesus monkeys, and chimpanzees have shown population-level orofacial asymmetries associated with the production of species-specific vocalizations (Hauser and Marler 1993; Hook-Costigan and Rogers 1998; FernándezCarriba et al. 2002). More recently, Losin et al. (2008) found a rightward orofacial asymmetry in captive chimpanzees during the production of learned vocalizations, suggesting that control of such orofacial movements is lateralized to the left hemisphere. Future studies are needed to investigate the potential relationship between asymmetry of Broca's area in nonhuman primates and lateralization of a diversity of communicative orofacial movements.

Because of the strong population-wide trend toward leftward lateralization of language representation in the cerebral cortex of humans, hemispheric asymmetry of Broca's area has been a major focus of research. Many different structural parameters have been reported to be asymmetric in Broca's area of humans, although there is a lack of consensus regarding their direction and consistency (reviewed in Schenker et al. 2007; Keller et al. 2009). In a study most directly comparable to the current one, Uylings et al. (2006) performed a stereological analysis of 10 human brains and reported that the volume and total number of neurons in area 44 were significantly larger in the left hemisphere. In area 45, they also found leftward asymmetry; however, the degree of lateralization was only significant among females. In contrast to these results, we did not find evidence of asymmetry in areas 44 and 45 in chimpanzees. This suggests that a major evolutionary shift in human brain organization involved increasing asymmetry of these inferior frontal areas. To explore this possibility further, we compiled data from the literature and calculated the fold difference, without accounting for allometric scaling, between the volumes of several cortical areas in humans versus chimpanzees (Table 7). As a frame of reference, we found that overall brain size in humans is 3.6 times larger than chimpanzees and the whole frontal cortex is 4.6 times larger. In

| Table 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank ordered fold difference between brain structure volumes in humans and chimpanzees |  |  |
| Structure | Human versus chimpanzee fold difference | Data source |
| Brain | 3.6 | Chimpanzee ( $n=12$ )—the present study; human ( $n=10$ )—Uylings et al. (2006) |
| Neocortical gray | 4.0 | Chimpanzee $(n=6)$ and human ( $n=6$ )—Rilling and Insel (1999) |
| Frontal cortex | 4.6 | Chimpanzee ( $n=6$ ) and human ( $n=10$ )—Semendeferi et al. (2002) |
| Area 44 left | 6.6 | Chimpanzee ( $n=12$ )-the present study; human ( $n=10$ ) —Uylings et al. (2006) |
| Area 10 right | 6.3 | Chimpanzee $(n=1)$ and human $(n=1)$-Semendeferi et al. (2001) |
| Area 45 left | 6.0 | Chimpanzee ( $n=12$ )-the present study; human ( $n=10$ )—Uylings et al. (2006) |
| Area 45 right | 5.0 | Chimpanzee ( $n=12$ )-the present study; human ( $n=10$ )—Uylings et al. (2006) |
| Area 44 right | 4.1 | Chimpanzee ( $n=12$ )-the present study; human ( $n=10$ )—Uylings et al. (2006) |
| Area V1 left | 1.8 | Chimpanzee $(n=7)$ and human $(n=10)$ —de Sousa (2008) |
| Area 13 right | 1.4 | Chimpanzee ( $n=1$ ) and human ( $n=1$ )-Semendeferi et al. (1998) |

Note: All data are from either in vivo MRI or shrinkage-corrected measurements of histological sections.
comparison to these structures, left area 44 is 6.6 times larger in humans than in chimpanzees and left area 45 is 6.0 times larger. Because areas 44 and 45 in the left hemisphere are among the most greatly expanded cortical areas yet identified in humans, this evidence supports the conclusion that enlargement of Broca's area on the left side is an evolutionary specialization.

The current findings based on histological definition of areas 44 and 45 may appear to contradict previous results based on MRI measurements showing population-level left hemisphere dominant asymmetry in the surface area of the IFG of African great apes (Cantalupo and Hopkins 2001) and the length of the fronto-orbital sulcus in chimpanzees (Hopkins and Cantalupo 2004). Furthermore, a recent study using voxel-based morphometry in chimpanzees also found significant leftward bias in gray matter density within the IFG operculum (Hopkins et al. 2008). One possible explanation for this apparent incongruity is that the relatively small sample size used in the current histological investigation limited our ability to demonstrate asymmetry at the level of statistical significance. It should be noted, however, that the stereological study of Uylings et al. (2006) in humans, which included an even smaller sample size, was able to statistically detect left hemisphere dominance. Though we failed to find any population-level asymmetries, the interindividual variability was high. For example, 5 out of 6 males were leftward asymmetric for area 44 volume, whereas 5 out of 6 females had a rightward dominance. Thus, it is possible that a larger sample size would reveal significant interactions between asymmetry and sex. Nevertheless, if population-level asymmetries of areas 44 and 45 exist in chimpanzees, they are less robust than in humans. An additional possibility is that morphological asymmetries of the chimpanzee IFG may not be driven by interhemispheric differences in cytoarchitectural boundaries or neuron number. Indeed, such a relationship between asymmetry of MRI-based measures of the IFG and the underlying volume of areas 44 and 45 has not been evaluated or established in humans (Keller et al. 2009). Therefore, as an alternative explanation, we suggest that population-level
morphological asymmetry of the IFG in chimpanzees (Cantalupo and Hopkins 2001; Hopkins et al. 2008) may be related to hemispheric differences in the volume of IFG white matter rather than gray matter. Furthermore, asymmetry in the length of IFG sulci might be caused by lateralization in the strength of connections made by local association fibers. Parcellation of the IFG in humans using diffusion tensor tracing shows that connectivity often divides the gyrus along major sulci (Anwander et al. 2007).

We propose a scenario where a leftward asymmetry in the connectivity and/or volume of white matter underlying the IFG was already established at an earlier stage in ape evolution. This asymmetry of connectivity may be functionally linked to lateralization of certain orofacial movements and communicative gestures that are shared in common by humans and other primates. More recently in human evolution, an increase in the gray matter volume of areas 44 and 45 in the left hemisphere accompanied the evolution of language as this area received greater inputs from regions of the temporal cortex conveying lexical and semantic information (Rilling et al. 2008) and became reorganized to operate over more complex hierarchical syntactic computations (Friederici et al. 2006).

The present study provides an important step toward defining the anatomical characteristics of Broca's area that might contribute to this region's involvement in linguistic processes in humans. Further comparative research that examines phenotypic differences between humans and other species in the cytoarchitecture, connectivity, and molecular biology of Broca's area homologue is critical to articulate how our species-specific capacity for language evolved.
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