

1 BULK OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF POTATO FLESH IN THE 500-1900 nm RANGE

2 Ainara López-Maestresalas^a, Ben Aernouts^b, Robbe Van Beers^b, Silvia Arazuri^a, Carmen Jarén^a, Josse De
3 Baerdemaeker^b, Wouter Saeys^{b*}

4 ^a *Department of Agricultural Projects and Engineering, Universidad Pública de Navarra, Campus de*
5 *Arrosadia 31006, Navarra, Spain*

6 ^b *KU Leuven Department of Biosystems, MeBioS, Kasteelpark Arenberg 30, 3001 Leuven, Belgium*

7 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +32 16 32 85 27

8 *Email address: wouter.saeys@kuleuven.be*

9 Abstract

10 In this study the optical properties of potato flesh tissue were estimated using double integrating sphere
11 (DIS) measurements combined with an inverse adding doubling (IAD) light propagation model. Total
12 reflectance, total transmittance and unscattered transmittance were measured for the wavelength range 500–
13 1900 nm with 5 nm resolution. From these measurements, the bulk optical properties (absorption
14 coefficient, scattering coefficient and anisotropy factor) of 53 potato tubers of the Hermes cultivar were
15 estimated. The estimated absorption coefficient spectra were dominated by water and starch absorption
16 bands, the main chemical components of potato tissue. Comparison of these values to those reported in
17 literature for similar products showed comparable absorption profiles. The obtained scattering coefficient
18 spectra showed a smooth decrease from 166 cm⁻¹ to 160 cm⁻¹ in the NIR spectral range with increasing
19 wavelength, which is common for biological tissues. The anisotropy factor spectra obtained for the full
20 wavelength range studied, ranged between 0.949 and 0.959 with a maximum variability of 0.009 among
21 the set of samples used. The information obtained in this study is essential to understand the effects of
22 absorption and scattering on the propagation of light through the potato tubers in order to design more
23 efficient sensors for non-destructive quality evaluation.

24 **Keywords:** Optical properties, double integrating spheres, scattering, absorption, inverse adding doubling,
25 potatoes.

26 1. INTRODUCTION

27 Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the main food products globally as it is considered a staple food
28 in many developing countries (López et al., 2013). According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of
29 the United Nations (FAOSTAT, 2013), potato was the fifth most produced crop worldwide in 2012, after
30 sugar cane, maize, rice and wheat.

31 The consumption of potatoes was reported to provide several benefits for health since these tubers are a
32 good source of potassium, protein, calcium and vitamin C (Lister & Munro, 2000). **Both potato consumers**
33 **and the retail sector prefer potatoes of high quality, which is determined by the tubers' chemical constituents**
34 **and physical properties (microstructure).** Moreover, besides the nutritional value of potatoes, also
35 appearance, flavour and the presence of defects caused by mechanical damage are important.

36 The traditional methods employed to determine the main constituents of potatoes involve wet chemistry
37 methods, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Mehrubeoglu & Cote, 1997).
38 However, in today's competitive market, where the importance of quality monitoring is increasing, it is
39 becoming necessary to develop faster, more economical, safer and more versatile non-destructive
40 techniques to efficiently measure these properties. Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is considered one of

41 the most advanced technologies for non-destructive quality assessments of fruits and vegetables (Cubeddu
42 et al., 2001; Nicolai et al., 2007; Cubero et al., 2011; Wang & Li, 2013).

43 NIRS technology was introduced in the industry in the 1970s, and has been used since then for moisture,
44 protein, and fat content determination in many agricultural and food products (Davies & Grant, 1987;
45 Gunasekaran & Irudayaraj, 2000; Nicolai et al., 2014). The detection of these chemical components relies
46 on the wavelength-specific NIR absorbance which is linearly proportional to the concentration of the
47 absorbing constituents. Nevertheless, next to the sample's chemical composition, the absorbance of the
48 photons also depends on the travelled path-length. In agricultural and food products, light is typically
49 scattered by the numerous local non-uniformities (physical microstructure): cells, cell organelles, air pores,
50 fibrous structures, fat globules, etc. (Wang & Li, 2013). Because these light deflections increase the
51 photon's path-length, the NIR absorbance increases to an unknown extent. In practice, the measured NIR
52 reflectance or transmittance spectra are often dominated by these scattering effects. Accordingly, a decrease
53 in NIR reflectance or transmittance caused by a change in scattering might be misinterpreted as an increase
54 of the sample's actual absorption coefficient, while the latter should be normalized for the photon's path-
55 length to follow a linear relation with the sample composition.

56 Simulation of the light propagation through the tissues of interest could provide insight in the specific
57 relations between scattering, absorption and measured spectroscopic signals, promoting a more efficient
58 NIR sensor design, data processing and data interpretation (Zamora-Rojas et al., 2014; Steponavičius &
59 Thennadil, 2009, 2011, 2013). Light propagation in homogeneous turbid media such as potatoes can be
60 described with the radiative transport equation (RTE) which uses the bulk optical properties of the tissue:
61 the bulk absorption coefficient (μ_a), bulk scattering coefficient (μ_s) and anisotropy factor (g) as input
62 parameters. The g and μ_s can be combined to obtain a parameter called reduced scattering coefficient (μ_s')
63 (Aernouts et al., 2013; Arimoto et al., 2005; Bashkatov et al., 2005a; Lino et al., 2003; Zamora-Rojas et al.,
64 2013a). The bulk absorption coefficient relates linearly to the sample's composition, while the bulk
65 scattering coefficient and scattering anisotropy factor define the level and the angular distribution of the
66 light deflections (Xia et al., 2007). An anisotropy factor of 0 implies isotropic scattering, while a value of
67 1 denotes exclusive forward scattering. Knowledge of the potential range of the sample's bulk optical
68 properties is essential to perform detailed light propagation studies (Arimoto et al., 2005; Lino et al., 2003;
69 Zamora-Rojas et al., 2013a).

70 Double integrating sphere (DIS) measurements, either alone or in combination with unscattered
71 transmittance measurements, are the "golden standard" method to obtain accurate estimates of the optical
72 properties for thin slices of biological tissue (Pickering et al., 1993). A DIS setup allows to measure the
73 total reflectance and total transmittance simultaneously, providing more robust and accurate estimates of
74 the bulk optical properties compared to single integrating sphere measurements (Bashkatov et al., 2011;
75 Pickering et al., 1993; Prahl, 2011). The theory and practicability of integrating spheres for measuring the
76 reflectance of a sample have been widely studied (Pickering et al., 1993). However, the bulk optical
77 properties cannot be estimated directly from DIS and unscattered transmittance measurements, but have to
78 be estimated by performing an iterative inversion of a light propagation model (Zamora-Rojas et al., 2013a).
79 The RTE describes photon propagation in scattering and absorbing media for single scattering events, based
80 on the optical properties of the sample (Martelli, 2012). With the objective of solving the RTE for multiple
81 scattering samples, the adding doubling (AD) method allows to calculate the total reflectance and
82 transmittance very accurately, while maintaining a high degree of flexibility and time efficiency. Moreover,

83 this method uses the RTE to obtain the reflectance and transmittance for a single ‘infinitesimally’ thin
84 sample layer. Accordingly, this layer is ‘doubled’ and the reflectance and transmittance of this doubled
85 layer are calculated by combining the values from two single layers. Finally, this process is repeated until
86 the desired thickness of the homogeneous sample is reached (Saeys et al., 2008; Zamora-Rojas et al.,
87 2013a). As the AD method calculates the total reflectance and transmittance for a tissue layer with known
88 bulk optical properties, it has to be inverted to allow extraction of the bulk optical properties (μ_a and μ_s)
89 from DIS measurements. The inverse AD (IAD) algorithm, developed by Prahl et al. (1993), estimates the
90 optical properties by iteratively changing their values in the AD simulations until the simulated reflectance
91 and transmittance values match with the measured ones (Prahl, 2011; Saeys et al., 2008; Zamora-Rojas et
92 al., 2013b). Moreover, by an accurate measurement of the unscattered transmittance, the bulk extinction
93 coefficient (μ_t) is obtained and all bulk optical properties (μ_a , μ_s and g) can be derived (Prahl, 2011). The
94 unscattered transmittance should be measured in a configuration especially optimized to minimize the
95 collection of scattered photons (Aernouts et al., 2013; De Vries et al., 1999; Prahl, 2011). Otherwise, the
96 unscattered transmittance could be overestimated, leading to an underestimation of μ_t (Prahl, 2011,
97 Aernouts et al., 2013).

98 Since 2000, the bulk optical properties of many food products have been characterized in the visible (Vis)
99 and near infrared (NIR) spectral ranges. Cubeddu et al. (2001), measured the internal optical properties of
100 apples by Time-resolved reflectance spectroscopy, while Fraser et al. (2003) studied the light distribution
101 inside mandarins using a custom-made probe connected to a spectrometer. Wang & Li (2013) and Saeys et
102 al. (2008) used integrating sphere techniques to determine the bulk optical properties of respectively onions
103 and apples. Regarding potatoes, Karagiannes et al., (1989) studied the bulk absorption and reduced
104 scattering coefficients of Idaho potatoes in the 340-1360 nm spectral range, assuming a wavelength-
105 independent value for g . Nevertheless, both the bulk scattering coefficient and the anisotropy factor are
106 essential inputs for simulation studies to develop and evaluate novel optical quality sensors for the non-
107 destructive analysis of tubers. Additionally, the NIR range above 1400 nm include important absorption
108 bands related to potato constituents (e.g. water, starch, ...). Therefore, the aim of this study was to measure
109 all three bulk optical properties (μ_a , μ_s and g) of potato flesh in the 500 - 1900 nm wavelength range.

110 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

111 2.1- Sample set

112 Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) samples were provided by The Basque Institute for Agricultural Research
113 and Development (Neiker Tecnalia) in Spain, and were sent to KU Leuven Department of Biosystems,
114 MeBioS, Leuven, Belgium, for the measurements. A total of 53 different tubers belonging to the Hermes
115 cultivar were used in this study and 2-3 replicates of each tuber were analysed accounting for a total of 143
116 samples of flesh. Potato tubers were kept in a refrigerator at 4 °C before analysis. Then, tubers were washed,
117 weighted and peeled. Potato flesh samples were sliced into 550 μm thickness portions of 30 mm diameter
118 using a meat slicer (Junior sup 19 mod 30-595A, CAD, ITALY). The thin portions were sandwiched
119 between two optical borosilicate glass plates after adding distilled water to remove air and consequently
120 reduce the refractive index (RI) mismatch at the glass-sample boundary. **The thickness of the sample slides**
121 **was measured with a calliper with an accuracy of 5 μm . The thickness of the cuvette, together with the**
122 **thickness of the sample slab and glass plates (1100 μm) was used to calculate the thickness of the present**
123 **water layer.**

124 2.2- DIS and unscattered transmittance measurements

125 To acquire total reflectance (M_R), total transmittance (M_T) and unscattered transmittance (M_U), a
126 wavelength-tuneable DIS and unscattered transmittance measuring system was used. Detailed information
127 about the used system can be found in Aernouts et al. (2013). The measurements were taken in the
128 wavelength range 500 – 1900 nm with an interval step of 5 nm.

129 In this setup, illumination of the samples is provided by a high power (4 W) supercontinuum laser source
130 with a 460–2400 nm range (SC450-4, Fianium Ltd., Southampton, UK) coupled into a high precision
131 monochromator (Oriel Cornerstone™ 260×, Newport, Irvine, US) covering the 450-2800 nm wavelength
132 range in order to obtain a high signal to noise ratio (SNR) for DIS measurements on biological tissues. A
133 flip mirror, located behind the monochromator, reflected or passed the collimated light towards either the
134 DIS or the unscattered transmittance measurement path.

135 The thin potato tissue samples were placed between two optical borosilicate glass slides (1.1 mm wall
136 thickness), separated by a spacer of 550 μm (path-length). For DIS measurements, the sample (tissue +
137 glass plates) was positioned between the two Infragold® coated integrating spheres (RT-060-IG,
138 Labsphere, Inc., North Sutton, US. 700–20,000 nm wavelength range; 6 inch inner diameter). The sample
139 port has a diameter of 1 inch, while all detector ports were 0.5 inch in diameter (Aernouts et al., 2013;
140 Zamora-Rojas et al., 2013a). Two detectors are located on the wall of each sphere: a large-area Silica (Si)
141 detector (PDA 100A, Thorlabs Inc., New Jersey, USA) for the 500-1050 nm range and a one-stage Peltier-
142 cooled extended-InGaAs detector (PDA10DT-EC, Thorlabs Inc., New Jersey, USA) for measurements in
143 the 1050-2250 nm range. To avoid detection of reflected or transmitted light coming directly from the
144 sample, baffles are located on the inner sphere wall between the sample and the detectors (Aernouts et al.,
145 2013).

146 For the unscattered transmittance measurements, 3 round slits are optically aligned: one immediately before
147 and after the sample and a third one at a 1.5 m distance behind the sample. This design ensures a maximum
148 collection angle of 5 mrad in order to minimize the number of scattered photons captured. The sample is
149 placed in a sample holder, perpendicular to the incident collimated light beam. An automated flip mirror,
150 located behind the third slit, reflects or passes the light respectively towards a Si or an extended-InGaAs
151 detector with the specifications mentioned above. Instrumental calibration and sample analysis were
152 performed following the methodology described by Prahl (2011). To prevent detector saturation during
153 calibration of the unscattered transmittance setup, a neutral density filter (NDF, Qioptiq Limited,
154 Luxembourg) of optical density 3.0 was located in the sample holder (Zamora-Rojas et al., 2013a). The
155 system (laser, monochromator, flip mirror and detectors) and data collection were automated and controlled
156 with LabView 8.5 software (National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX) (Aernouts et al., 2013).

157 2.3- Optical properties estimation

158 M_R , M_T and M_U were calculated from the DIS and unscattered transmittance measurements in Matlab (ver
159 7.10, The Mathworks Inc., Massachusetts, USA), as described by Aernouts et al. (2013). From these values,
160 the absorption coefficient (μ_a), reduced scattering coefficient (μ_s') and anisotropy factor (g) were calculated
161 using the IAD software provided by Prahl (2011) and implemented in Matlab. To correct for reflections at
162 the glass-sample boundaries, the refractive index (RI) of potato tissue samples has to be supplied to the
163 algorithm in order to solve the inverse problem. The wavelength-dependent RI values were estimated by
164 adding the difference between the RI of potato and water at 589.29 nm to the wavelength-dependent RI

165 values for water reported by Hale and Querry (1973) and Segelstein (1981). According to Birth (1978), the
166 RI value for potato at 589.29 nm is 1.4, while the RI of water is 1.33 (Hale & Querry, 1973; Segelstein,
167 1981). The scattering coefficient (μ_s) was calculated from μ_s' and g using the similarity relation (Tuchin,
168 2007):

$$169 \quad \mu_s = \frac{\mu_s'}{(1-g)} \quad (1)$$

170 Additionally, through the unscattered transmittance measurement of 1 mm water, the μ_a spectrum of pure
171 water was derived. Taking into account this water spectrum, as well as the thickness of the water layer and
172 the sample slab in the cuvette, the μ_a spectrum of the sample slab alone was obtained. Furthermore, the μ_s
173 and μ_s' spectra were rescaled with the thickness of the sample slab relative to the total thickness (sample
174 slab + water layer).

175 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

176 In Fig. 1 the mean and standard deviation (vertical lines) of the total reflectance (M_R), total transmittance
177 (M_T) and unscattered transmittance spectra (M_U) acquired for 143 potato tissue samples in the 500-1900 nm
178 wavelength range are illustrated.

179 All the measured spectra (M_R , M_T and M_U) show clear valleys around 970, 1210, 1440-1490 and at 1900
180 nm, all associated with water absorption bands related to the second and first overtones of OH stretching
181 and OH combination bands. As raw tubers usually have a water content of approximately 80% (Büning-
182 Pfaue, 2003), it is not surprising that the acquired spectra are dominated by the absorption signature of
183 water. At 1765 nm another valley can be observed which can be related to the first overtone of the CH
184 stretching. This can be attributed to starch which represents approximately 60-80% of the dry matter of raw
185 potato tubers and contains both CH and CH₂ groups. Due to the high water absorption, the measurement
186 values were close to zero in the 1900-2250 nm wavelength range (data not shown). As a result, the system
187 failed to estimate the bulk optical properties at these wavelengths. In the 500-1900 nm range M_U values
188 varied between 0% and 0.04% \pm 0.01%. These low values indicate that only a very small fraction of the
189 photons passes through the tissue without being scattered. This limits the SNR of the obtained M_U (Zamora-
190 Rojas et al., 2013a).

191 In Fig. 2 the mean and standard deviation of the bulk optical properties (μ_a , μ_s and g) calculated with the
192 IAD method are illustrated. As mentioned above, no reliable values could be obtained in the 1900-2250 nm
193 wavelength range (not shown) as the signal-to-noise ratios of the DIS and unscattered transmittance
194 measurements were too low. As can be seen in the plot, the absorption coefficient (μ_a) spectrum shows
195 absorption peaks at 970, 1210 nm and 1440-1490 nm due to the high water content in raw potatoes. A small
196 peak around 1765-1800 nm is observed which can be related to the starch content. Additionally, an increase
197 in the absorption of visible light can be noticed towards 500 nm. This is more clearly presented in the detail
198 plot in Fig. 3. The absorption at these wavelengths corresponds to the yellow colour of potato flesh and is
199 probably related to the presence of beta-carotene (Penner, 2010; Du et al., 1998). The bulk scattering
200 coefficient (μ_s) spectrum changes only gently with increasing wavelength, decreasing from 166 to 160 cm⁻¹
201 \pm 7.35 cm⁻¹ for wavelengths below 1900 nm. This decreasing tendency with increasing NIR wavelength
202 is typical for the scattering characteristics of biological tissues (Bashkatov et al., 2005b). The estimated
203 anisotropy factor values were quite stable ranging from 0.959 to 0.949. It should be noted that the accuracy
204 of the estimated anisotropy factor values was negatively influenced by the strong water absorption at 1440-
205 1490 nm, as can be seen from the larger standard deviations.

206 In Fig. 3 and 4, the bulk optical properties of potato flesh of this study are plotted together with the values
207 reported by Karagiannes et al. (1989) for Idaho potato tubers. In the latter study, potato tuber samples of
208 3.5 mm were measured in air in the 340-1360 nm spectral range with a two-channel integrating sphere
209 spectrophotometer. The optical properties were estimated from these data with two formulations of the 1D
210 diffusion approximation differing in the utilized phase function, assuming a refractive index for potato
211 equal to 1.36 (Karagiannes & Grossweiner, 1988) and a fixed anisotropy factor g of 0.

212 In Fig. 3, the average absorption coefficient spectrum obtained in this study and the one reported by
213 Karagiannes et al. (1989) are plotted together with the absorption coefficient spectrum of water from 500
214 to 1900 nm (Segelstein et al., 1981). Although the same absorption bands for water appear in both potato
215 spectra, the values at the absorption peaks are on a different level. The estimated values at 970 and 1200 nm
216 reported by Karagiannes et al. (1989) considerably exceed the absorption coefficient values of pure water.
217 These values are highly questionable as water is the most important NIR absorbing component in potatoes.
218 Therefore, it is hypothesized here that these values are overestimated due to an inferior separation between
219 scattering and absorption. This can additionally be noticed at 800 nm, where a baseline is clearly present in
220 the μ_a reported by Karagiannes et al. (1989), while nearly no absorption is expected as potato constituents
221 do not absorb significant amounts of light at this wavelength. In the 600-1400 nm range, the μ_a spectrum
222 obtained in this study is very close to the absorption coefficient spectrum of water (Fig. 3), suggesting that
223 the sample consists mainly of water. As explained before, some water was added to the samples to reduce
224 the RI mismatch at the boundaries, probably causing an increase in water content of the samples. In the
225 1500-1790 nm range, the average μ_a spectrum of the samples is higher compared to the water spectrum.
226 This can be attributed to the first overtone absorption bands of the CH and CH₂ bands in the starch
227 molecules. The μ_a values in the 1400-1500 nm range are probably slightly overestimated due to imperfect
228 separation of the scattering and absorption coefficient values in this region, induced by the low signal levels
229 (Fig. 1). Although the results in this study are not perfect, they are much closer to reality than those reported
230 by Karagiannes et al. (1989).

231 In Fig. 4, the scattering coefficient μ_s' values in the 500-1900 nm spectral range estimated in both studies
232 are shown. It can be observed that the μ_s' spectrum obtained in this study is relatively steady along the
233 entire spectral range with values between 7.5 and 8.3 cm⁻¹ except at the 1440-1490 nm range where it is
234 influenced by water and values decrease down to 6.6 cm⁻¹. The reduced scattering coefficient values
235 obtained by Karagiannes et al. (1989) are smaller, which may be attributed to a difference in the
236 microstructure properties of the cultivars and samples considered in both studies. However, as no
237 microstructure information on the samples is available, this hypothesis cannot be verified. On the other
238 hand, as their absorption coefficient values were most likely overestimated, it is likely that they
239 underestimated the reduced scattering coefficient μ_s' values due to imperfect separation of the absorption
240 and scattering properties (Zamora-Rojas et al., 2013a).

241 Recently, Wang & Li (2013) measured the bulk absorption coefficients, reduced scattering coefficients and
242 scattering anisotropy of skin and flesh of four different onion cultivars at 633 nm. They obtained absorption
243 coefficient values between 0.32-1.2 cm⁻¹ for the flesh of the different cultivars and bulk scattering
244 coefficients in the 5.8-6.6 cm⁻¹ range for white and yellow onions. These values are similar to those obtained
245 in this study for potato flesh. The estimated anisotropy values at 633 nm for onion flesh tissues varied from
246 0.35-0.73, which is considerably smaller than those obtained for potato tissue in our study.

247 Saeys et al. (2008) studied the optical properties of both skin and flesh for three different apple cultivars at
248 1450 nm by using a single integrating sphere measurements in combination with IAD. The reduced
249 scattering coefficient values for apple flesh obtained in their study ranged from 10 to 15 cm⁻¹ in the 350-
250 1900 nm range, while those for apple skin ranged from 30 to 60 cm⁻¹ in the same wavelength range. This
251 suggests that both apple flesh and skin tissue are more optically dense than potato flesh tissue.

252 The fact that the bulk optical properties estimated in this study are more reliable than those obtained by
253 Karagiannes et al. (1989) can most likely be attributed to the use of a DIS system in combination with a
254 high power supercontinuum laser, rather than the use of a single integrating sphere in combination with a
255 halogen light source. The DIS system allows for simultaneous and robust measurement of M_T and M_R
256 without replacing the sample or the sphere. The use of a supercontinuum laser light source makes it possible
257 to focus a high power beam on a very small spot on the sample, reducing the lateral light losses. Another
258 possible explanation for the difference between the bulk optical properties obtained in both studies may be
259 related to the use of different light propagation models, the instrument calibration and the heterogeneity of
260 the samples (Tuchin, 2007).

261 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

262 In this study, the bulk optical properties of potato flesh were measured in the 500-1900 nm wavelength
263 range by means of double integrating spheres and unscattered transmittance measurements. This resulted
264 for the first time in a wavelength-dependent estimate of the anisotropy factor for potato flesh over that
265 wavelength range. The obtained results show that the bulk absorption coefficient spectra are mainly
266 dominated by water, especially in the NIR region, while the starch absorption bands are less pronounced,
267 but still clearly visible. The bulk scattering coefficient spectra slightly decreases with increasing
268 wavelength. The estimated values for the anisotropy factor were high (>0.94) over the considered spectral
269 range, which indicates that potato tissue is highly forward scattering. Compared to the results obtained by
270 other authors, the absorption and reduced scattering coefficient spectra for potato tissue retrieved in this
271 study were likely more reliable. Moreover, the optical properties were obtained in the visible and extended
272 NIR range from 500 to 1900 nm, compared to 340-1360 nm. The added value of the extended range is
273 significant as the relevant absorption peaks for water and starch are located in the NIR region of the
274 spectrum. Additionally, the obtained optical properties were comparable to the values obtained for other
275 products (apple and onion flesh and skin) reported in literature.

276 The optical characterization of potato flesh elaborated in this study provides information about the
277 absorption, scattering and the angular scattering distribution of light propagating through the tissue. This
278 information is essential to understand the effects of absorption and scattering on the reflectance and
279 transmittance spectra measured with Vis-NIR spectroscopy.

280 **Acknowledgements**

281 This study was accomplished at the University of Leuven (KU Leuven) while Ainara López-Maestresalas
282 was a visiting PhD student. The funding of this work has been covered by the Universidad Pública de
283 Navarra through the concession of both a predoctoral research grant and a mobility grant, by the National
284 Institute for Agricultural and Food Research and Technology (INIA) project: “Genetic improvement of
285 Potato. Characterization of the material by NIRS technology” RTA 2011-00018-C03-03, and by the
286 Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT) through the Chameleon (SB-100021)
287 project. The authors would also like to thank the Basque Institute for Agricultural Research and

288 Development (Neiker Tecnalia) for supplying the potato samples. Robbe Van Beers is a PhD student funded
289 by the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT-
290 Flanders, grant 131777). Ben Aernouts has been funded through a PhD fellowship of the Research
291 Foundation-Flanders (FWO-Flanders, grant 11A4813N).

292 **REFERENCES**

- 293 Aernouts, B., Zamora-Rojas, E., Van Beers, R., Watté, R., Wang, L., Tsuta, M., & Saeys, W. (2013).
294 Supercontinuum laser based optical characterization of Intralipid® phantoms in the 500-2250 nm
295 range. *Optics Express*, 21(26), 32450-32467.
- 296 Arimoto, H., Egawa, M., & Yamada, Y. (2005). Depth profile of diffuse reflectance near-infrared
297 spectroscopy for measurement of water content in skin. *Skin Research and Technology* 11, 27-35.
- 298 Bashkatov, A., Genina, E., Kochubey, V., & Tuchin, V. (2005a). Optical properties of human skin,
299 subcutaneous and mucous tissues in the wavelength range from 400 to 2000 nm. *Journal of Physics*
300 *D: Applied Physics*, 38(15), 2543.
- 301 Bashkatov, A., Genina, E., Kochubey, V., & Tuchin, V. (2005b). Optical properties of the subcutaneous
302 adipose tissue in the spectral range 400–2500 nm. *Optics and spectroscopy*, 99(5), 836-842.
- 303 Bashkatov, A N., Genina, E A., & Tuchin, V V. (2011). Optical properties of skin, subcutaneous, and
304 muscle tissues: a review. *Journal of Innovative Optical Health Sciences*, 4(01), 9-38.
- 305 Büning-Pfaue, H. (2003). Analysis of water in food by near infrared spectroscopy. *Food chemistry*, 82(1),
306 107-115.
- 307 Cubeddu, R., D'Andrea, C., Pifferi, A., Taroni, P., Torricelli, A., Valentini, G., & Dover, C. (2001). Time-
308 resolved reflectance spectroscopy applied to the nondestructive monitoring of the internal optical
309 properties in apples. *Applied spectroscopy*, 55(10), 1368-1374.
- 310 Cubero, S., Aleixos, N., Moltó, E., Gómez-Sanchis, J., & Blasco, J. (2011). Advances in machine vision
311 applications for automatic inspection and quality evaluation of fruits and vegetables. *Food and*
312 *Bioprocess Technology*, 4(4), 487-504.
- 313 Davies, A. M. C., & Grant, A. (1987). Review: Near infra-red analysis of food. *International Journal of*
314 *Food Science and Technology*, 22(3), 191.
- 315 De Vries, G., Beek, J., Lucassen, G., & Van Gemert, M. (1999). The effect of light losses in double
316 integrating spheres on optical properties estimation. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum*
317 *Electronics*, 5, 944-947.
- 318 Du, H., Fuh, R-C. A., Li, J., Corkan, L. A., & Lindsey, J. S. (1998). PhotochemCAD‡: A Computer-Aided
319 Design and Research Tool in Photochemistry. *Photochemistry and photobiology*, 68(2), 141-142.
- 320 FAOSTAT. (2013). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Commodities by country.
321 Potato production. Accessed 10 December 2014
- 322 Fraser, D. G., Jordan, R. B., Künnemeyer, R., & McGlone, V. A. (2003). Light distribution inside mandarin
323 fruit during internal quality assessment by NIR spectroscopy. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*,
324 27(2), 185-196.
- 325 Gunasekaran, S., & Irudayaraj, J. (2000). Optical methods: visible NIR and FTIR spectroscopy
326 Nondestructive Food Evaluation. Techniques to Analyse Properties and Quality (Vol. 105). New
327 York: Marcel Dekker Inc.
- 328 Hale, G. M., & Querry, M. R. (1973). Optical constants of water in the 200-nm to 200-µm wavelength
329 region. *Applied optics* 12, 555-563.

330 Karagiannes, J. L., & Grossweiner, L. I. (1988). Optical Measurements on Tissue Layers. In G. Moreno,
331 R. H. Pottier & T. G. Truscott (Eds.), *Photosensitisation, Molecular, Cellular and Medical Aspects*
332 (pp. 111-116): Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.

333 Karagiannes, J L., Zhang, Z., Grossweiner, B., & Grossweiner, L I. (1989). Applications of the 1-D
334 diffusion approximation to the optics of tissues and tissue phantoms. *Applied optics*, 28(12), 2311-
335 2317.

336 Lino, K., Maruo, K., Arimoto, H., Hyodo, K., Nakatani, T., & Yamada, Y. (2003). Monte Carlo simulation
337 of near infrared reflectance spectroscopy in the wavelength range from 1000 nm to 1900 nm. *Optical*
338 *review 10*, 600-606.

339 Lister, C., & Munro, J. (2000). Nutrition and health qualities of potatoes-a future focus. In *Crop & Food*
340 *Research Confidential Report* (Vol. 143, pp. 53). New Zealand Institute for Crop & Food Research
341 Limited. Private Bag 4704, Christchurch, New Zealand.

342 López, A., Arazuri, S., García, I., Mangado, J., & Jarén, C. (2013). A review of the application of near-
343 infrared spectroscopy for the analysis of potatoes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
344 61(23), 5413-5424.

345 Martelli, F. (2012). Review: An ABC of near infrared photon migration in tissues: the diffusive regime of
346 propagation. *Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy*, 20(1), 29-42.

347 Mehrubeoglu, M., & Cote, G. (1997). Determination of total reducing sugars in potato samples using near-
348 infrared spectroscopy. *Cereal Foods World*, 42(5), 409-413.

349 Nicolai, B. M., Beullens, K., Bobelyn, E., Peirs, A., Saeys, W., Theron, K. I., & Lammertyn, J. (2007).
350 Nondestructive measurement of fruit and vegetable quality by means of NIR spectroscopy: A
351 review. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 46(2), 99-118.

352 Nicolai, B., Defraeye, T., De Ketelaere, B., Herremans, E., Hertog, M., Saeys, W., Toricelli, A.,
353 Vandendriessche, T., Verboven, P. (2014). Nondestructive measurement of fruit and vegetable
354 quality. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, 5, 285-312.

355 Penner, M. H. (2010). Ultraviolet, visible, and fluorescence spectroscopy, in: Nielsen, S.S. (Ed.), *Food*
356 *Analysis*, (pp. 387-405). Springer, US.

357 Pickering, J. W., Prah, S. A., Van Wieringen, N., Beek, J F., Sterenborg, H J., & Van Gemert, M J. (1993).
358 Double-integrating-sphere system for measuring the optical properties of tissue. *Applied Optics*,
359 32(4), 399-410.

360 Prah, S. (2011). Everything I think you should know about Inverse Adding-Doubling. Oregon Medical
361 Laser Center, St. Vincent Hospital.

362 Prah, S. A., van Gemert, M J., & Welch, A J. (1993). Determining the optical properties of turbid mediaby
363 using the adding–doubling method. *Applied Optics*, 32(4), 559-568.

364 Saeys, W., Velazco-Roa, M A., Thennadil, S N., Ramon, H., & Nicolai, B M. (2008). Optical properties of
365 apple skin and flesh in the wavelength range from 350 to 2200 nm. *Applied Optics*, 47(7), 908-919.

366 Segelstein, D. J. (1981). The complex refractive index of water, Physics. University of Missouri, Kansas
367 City.

- 368 Steponavičius, R., & Thennadil, S. N. (2009). Extraction of chemical information of suspensions using
369 radiative transfer theory to remove multiple scattering effects: application to a model two-
370 component system. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(18), 7713-7723.
- 371 Steponavičius, R., & Thennadil, S. N. (2011). Extraction of chemical information of suspensions using
372 radiative transfer theory to remove multiple scattering effects: application to a model
373 multicomponent system. *Analytical Chemistry*, 83(6), 1931-1937.
- 374 Steponavičius, R., & Thennadil, S. N. (2013). Full Correction of scattering effects by using the radiative
375 transfer theory for improved quantitative analysis of absorbing species in suspensions. *Applied*
376 *Spectroscopy*, 67(5), 526-535.
- 377 Tuchin, V. V. (2007). Methods and algorithms for the measurements of optical parameters of tissues, in:
378 Tissue optics: light scattering methods and instruments for medical diagnosis. 2nd ed (pp. 143-256)
379 Bellingham, Washington, USA: SPIE press.
- 380 Wang, W., & Li, C. (2013). Measurement of the light absorption and scattering properties of onion skin
381 and flesh at 633nm. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 86, 494-501.
- 382 Xia, J., Berg, E., Lee, J., Yao, G. (2007). Characterizing beef muscles with optical scattering and absorption
383 coefficients in VIS-NIR region. *Meat science* 75, 78-83.
- 384 Zamora-Rojas, E., Aernouts, B., Garrido-Varo, A., Pérez-Marín, D., Guerrero-Ginel, J E., & Saeys, W.
385 (2013a). Double integrating sphere measurements for estimating optical properties of pig
386 subcutaneous adipose tissue. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 19, 218-226.
- 387 Zamora-Rojas, E., Aernouts, B., Garrido-Varo, A., Saeys, W., Pérez-Marín, D., & Guerrero-Ginel, J E.
388 (2013b). Optical properties of pig skin epidermis and dermis estimated with double integrating
389 spheres measurements. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 20, 343-349.
- 390 Zamora-Rojas, E., Garrido-Varo, A., Aernouts, B., Pérez-Marín, D., Saeys, W., Yamada, Y., & Guerrero-
391 Ginel, J. E. (2014). Understanding near infrared radiation propagation in pig skin reflectance
392 measurements. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 22, 137-146.
- 393