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[^0]Complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with lower bounds on Ricci curvature have often been studied by means of an analysis of their Busemann functions. Such manifolds contain rays and given a ray, $\gamma$, its associated Busemann function is defined

$$
b_{\gamma}(x)=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} R-d(x, \gamma(R)) .
$$

Cheeger and Gromoll used these functions to prove that a manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature that contains a line splits isometrically [ChGl]. S.T. Yau used them to prove that complete noncompact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature have at least linear volume growth [Yau]. Cheeger, Gromov and Taylor proved that manifolds with quadratically decaying lower bounds on Ricci curvature have a specific lower bound on volume growth [ChGrTa] using the Relative Volume Comparison Theorem [Bi],[GrLaPa].

The main results in this paper concern manifolds with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R i c_{x} \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(1 / 4-v^{2}\right)}{b^{2}(x)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside a compact set, where $v \in\left[0, \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}\right)$. This includes manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvaure.

In the first section of this paper, we prove a useful volume comparison theorem for subsets, $\Omega(K)$, covered by the flows of the gradient field of a Busemann function. See Definition 3 and Theorem 5. In particular, we prove that in a manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature outside a compact set, the ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ )-Hausdorff volume of $\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}(r)$ is a nondecreasing function of $r$. We then employ Theorem 5, to obtain a more precise lower bound for the volume growth theorem of Cheeger-Gromov-Taylor. That is, we show that if a manifold satisfies (1) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(R)\right)}{R^{(1 / 2-v)(n-1)+1}}=C>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a precise value of $C$. See Theorem 18 .
In the second section, we apply Theorem 5 to examine manifolds with minimal volume growth, manifolds satisfying (1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(R)\right)}{R^{(1 / 2-v)(n-1)+1}}=V_{0}<\infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we examine manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth. We prove that manifolds with globally nonnegative Ricci curvature that satisfy ( 1 ) for $v \in(0,1 / 2]$ outside a compact set and have minimal volume growth as defined in (3), have proper Busemann functions. Furthermore, the level sets of any given Busemann function on these manifolds have at most linear diameter growth. See Theorem 19.

In [So2], we will employ this theorem to prove an almost rigidity theorem about such manifolds, namely that these manifolds are asymptotically close to certain warped product manifolds in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. In that paper we will also improve the statement of Theorem 19, proving that the diameter of the level sets grows at most sublinearly.

In the last section of this paper we provide nontrivial examples of manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth. Example 26 demonstrates that as $r$ approaches infinity, $\operatorname{diam}\left(b^{-1}(r)\right)$ may approach infinity. Example 27 demonstrates that $b^{-1}(r)$ need not approach a unique Gromov-Hausdorff limit as $r$ approaches infinity even if the diameter is uniformly bounded.

The author would like to express her thanks to Jeff Cheeger for his advise and the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences for its support during her years as a graduate student. She would also like to thank Shing-Tung Yau for suggesting further applications of Theorem 19 and William Minicozzi for his assistance during the revision process.

## 1 Busemann Functions and Volume

All manifolds in this section are complete noncompact manifolds, $M^{n}$, with a fixed ray, $\gamma$, and its associated Busemann function, $b=b_{\gamma}$. All geodesics are parametrized by arclength. Note that the Busemann function is a Lipschitz function and $\left|\nabla b_{\gamma}\right|=1$ almost everywhere. For a thorough description of Busemann functions and rays, see $[\mathrm{Bu}]$. We begin with some definitions.

Definition 1 A ray, $\gamma_{x}$, emanating from $x$ is called a Busemann ray associated with $\gamma$ if it is the limit of a sequence of minimal geodesics, $\sigma_{i}$, from $x$ to $\gamma\left(R_{i}\right)$ in the following sense,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{x}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{R_{i} \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We parametrize $\gamma_{x}$ by arclength such that $\gamma_{x}(b(x))=x$.

It is easy to verify that at least one Busemann ray exists at each point. However, a Busemann ray, $\gamma_{x}$, is unique if and only if $\nabla b$ exists at the point, $x$, in which case $\nabla b=\gamma_{x}^{\prime}$ at $x$. So the Busemann rays are the integral curves of $\nabla b$. Note that if a point $y$ is on a Busemann ray, $\gamma_{x}$ then the Busemann ray at $y, \gamma_{y}$ is unique. In fact $\gamma_{y}$ is the segment of $\gamma_{x}$ emanating from $y$. [Bu].

Lemma 2 Suppose $\nabla b$ exists at $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ converge to $p$. If $\nabla b$ exists at $p$ then

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \nabla b_{p_{i}}=\nabla b_{p} .
$$

Note that this lemma does not hold for arbitrary Lipschitz functions.
Proof: Let $p_{i^{\prime}}$ be any subsequence of $p_{i}$ such that $\nabla b_{p_{i^{\prime}}}$ converges. Then the Busemann rays $\gamma_{p^{\prime}}$ converge to a Busemann ray emanating from $p$. Now, $\nabla b_{p}$ exists, so there is only one such Busemann ray. Thus, $\nabla b_{p_{i^{\prime}}} \rightarrow \nabla b_{p}$. Q.E.D.

Definition 3 Let $K$ be a compact set contained in $M^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(K)=\left\{x: \exists z \in K \exists t \geq b(z) \exists \gamma_{z} \text { such that } x=\gamma_{z}(t)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let $\Omega_{R}(K)=\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}(R)$.
Lemma 4 The set, $\Omega(K)$, is closed and $\Omega_{R}(K)$ is compact.
Proof: Suppose $y_{i} \in \Omega(K)$ and $y_{i} \rightarrow y$. Then there exist $\bar{y}_{i} \in K$ and Busemann rays from each $\bar{y}_{i}$ to the $y_{i}$. By the compactness of $K$ a subsequence of these rays must converge to a ray also emanating from $K$. This limit ray must be a Busemann ray which passes through $y$. Thus $y \in \Omega(K)$. Q.E.D.

Note in particular that $\Omega(K)$ is a Borel set. Thus it is both Lebesgue measurable and Hausdorff measurable. Furthermore its $n$-dimensional Hausdorff and Lebesgue measures are equal [Fed, 2.10.35]. We denote the Lebesgue measure of a set $Y$ by $\operatorname{Vol}(Y)$. We can now state our volume comparison theorem.

Theorem 5 Let $M^{n}$ be a Riemannian manifold with a given ray $\gamma$ and its associated Busemann function, $b=b_{\gamma}$. Fix $r_{0} \leq r_{1}<r_{2} \leq r_{3}<r_{4}$.

Let Ric $c_{x} \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{4}-v^{2}\right)}{b(x)^{2}}$ for $x \in b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$. where $v \in\left(0, \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}\right]$. Let $p=\left(\frac{1}{2}-v\right)(n-1)+1$.

If $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$ is a compact set then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{3}, r_{4}\right]\right)\right) \geq \frac{r_{4}^{p}-r_{3}^{p}}{r_{2}^{p}-r_{1}^{p}} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=0$, we replace $r^{p}$ by $\operatorname{Ln}(r)$.
Before proving this theorem we will prove a series of lemmas about a complete noncompact manifold, $M^{n}$, with no assumptions on its Ricci curvature. We begin by relating the Busemann function to a distance function.

Lemma 6 Fix $r_{1}<R$ and $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x, b^{-1}(R)\right)=R-b(x) \quad \forall x \in b^{-1}((-\infty, R]) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $z \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, R\right]\right)$ then there is only one point, $y \in$ $b^{-1}(R)$, such that $d(z, y)=d\left(z, b^{-1}(R)\right)$. Thus $y=\gamma_{x}(R)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(z, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)=R-b(z) \quad \forall z \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, R\right]\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Given a point $x \in b^{-1}((-\infty, R])$, then

$$
d\left(x, b^{-1}(R)\right) \leq d\left(x, \gamma_{x}(R)\right) \leq R-b(x)
$$

On the other hand, the triangle inequality implies that
$R-b(x)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} R-s+d(x, \gamma(s))=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} d(\gamma(s), \gamma(R))+d(x, \gamma(s)) \geq d(x, \gamma(R))$.
Thus (7) holds.
Let $z \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, R\right]\right)$ and $y \in b^{-1}(R)$ such that $d(z, y)=d\left(z, b^{-1}(R)\right)$. Let $\sigma$ be a minimal geodesic from $z$ to $y$. Note that $f(t)=b(\sigma(t))$ is a Lipschitz function of $t$ with Lipschitz constant less than or equal to 1 . So $f^{\prime}(t) \leq 1$ almost everywhere and since

$$
d(z, y)=b(y)-b(z)=\int_{0}^{d(z, y)} f^{\prime}(t) d t \leq d(z, y),
$$

we know $f^{\prime}(t)=1$ almost everywhere on $[0, d(y, z)]$. Thus, integrating again, we have $b(\sigma(t))=b(z)+t$.

Since $z \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, R\right]\right)$ and $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right), \nabla b_{z}$ exists and the Busemann ray, $\gamma_{z}(t)$, is unique. By the definition of the gradient,
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0$ such that $\left|b(\sigma(t))-b(z)-g\left(\nabla b_{z}, \sigma^{\prime}(0)\right) t\right|<\varepsilon|t|, \quad \forall t>\delta$.
Substituting $b(\sigma(t))=b(z)+t$ and dividing by $|t|$, we get,

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0, \quad\left|1-g\left(\nabla b_{z}, \sigma^{\prime}(0)\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Thus we see that $g\left(\nabla b_{z}, \sigma^{\prime}(0)\right)=1$. So $\sigma(t)=\gamma_{z}(t-b(z))$ and $y=\gamma_{z}(R)$. Q.E.D.

In order to prove Theorem 5, we define some star-shaped sets and finite unions of such sets, which can be studied by means of the Relative Volume Comparison Theorem [Bi], [GrLaPa], as discussed in [Ch]. Since $\Omega(K)$ does not naturally contain any such sets, we define the following sets, $S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$, which contain star-shaped sets about points in $b^{-1}(R) \cap \Omega(K)$.

Definition 7 Given any $\delta>0$ and given any $r_{1}<r_{2}<R$, let

$$
S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}=S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)
$$

be the set of points $x$ such that $d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \in\left[R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}\right]$ and such that there exists a minimal geodesic $\sigma$ from $\Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x$ such that

$$
L(\sigma)=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \text { and } g\left(\sigma^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

It is easy to verify that $S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$ is a compact set by applying Lemma 4.
Lemma 8 Fix $R>r_{2}>r_{1}, \delta>0$ and $K$ a compact subset of $b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right)$. Then

$$
\bigcap_{\delta>0} S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)=\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)
$$

Proof: Given any $x \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)$, a Busemann ray, $\gamma_{x}([b(x), R])$ is a minimal geodesic from $x$ to $\Omega_{R}(K)$ such that $g\left(-\gamma_{x}^{\prime}(R),-\nabla b\right)=1$. By Lemma $6, L\left(\gamma_{x}([b(x), R])\right)=R-b(x)=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$. Thus $x \in S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$.

On the other hand, let $x \in S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$ for all $\delta>0$. We know that $\forall \delta>0, \exists \sigma_{\delta}$, a minimal geodesic from $\sigma_{\delta}(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x$, such that

$$
L\left(\sigma_{\delta}\right)=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \in\left[R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}\right] \text { and } g\left(\sigma_{\delta}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

By the compactness of $\Omega_{R}(K)$, as $\delta$ approaches 0 , a subsequence, $\sigma_{\delta}$, must converge to a minimal geodesic, $\sigma_{0}$, from $\Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \in\left[R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma_{0}(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K), \nabla b$ exists there, and by Lemma 2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\sigma_{0}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right)=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\sigma_{0}(0)$ must be on a Busemann ray, $\gamma_{z}$, where $z \in K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right)$. By (11), $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}(0)=-\gamma_{z}^{\prime}(R)$, and, by (10), $\sigma(t)=\gamma_{z}(R-t)$ for $t \in\left[0, R-r_{1}\right]$. Thus $x=\gamma_{z}(R-L) \in \subset \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)$. Q.E.D.

The following corollary of Lemma 8 is an immediate consequence of the Monotone Convergence Theorem for $\delta \rightarrow 0$ combined with the the fact that $S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$ is compact and the fact that for all $\delta<\delta^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right) \subset S_{\delta^{\prime}, r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 9 Fix $R>r_{2}>r_{1}$. If $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right)$ is compact, then

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)\right)
$$

Recall that in Definition 7, we say that $x \in S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$ if there exists a minimal geodesic, $\sigma_{0}$ from $\left.\Omega_{R}(K)\right)$ to $x$ such that $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}(0)$ is close to $-\nabla b$. However, it is possible that there are other minimal geodesics, $\sigma$, of the same length such that $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}(0)$ is not close to $\nabla b$. This would cause us trouble when we try to approximate $S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$ by unions of starshaped sets if not for the following lemma.

Lemma 10 Let $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$ and $R>r_{3}>r_{2}>r_{1}$. Given any $\delta>0$, there exists

$$
h_{1}(\delta)=h_{1}\left(\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, R, K, M^{n}\right)<\delta
$$

such that for any $x \in S_{h_{1}(\delta), r_{2}, r_{3}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)$, every minimal geodesic, $\sigma$, from $\left.\sigma(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$ to $x$ such that $d(x, \sigma(0))=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$, satisfies

$$
g\left(\sigma^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

Proof: Suppose there exists $\delta$ such that no such $h_{1}(\delta)$ exists. Thus there exists $\delta_{i} \rightarrow 0, x_{i} \in S_{\delta_{i}, r_{2}, r_{3}} \in S_{\pi, r_{2}, r_{3}}$, and $\sigma_{i}$ minimal from $\sigma_{i}(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=d\left(x_{i}, \sigma_{i}(0)\right)=d\left(x_{i}, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right)<1-\delta \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{i} \in S_{\delta_{i}, r_{2}, r_{3}}$, there exist $\gamma_{i}$ minimal from $\gamma_{i}(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x_{i}$ such that

$$
d\left(x_{i}, \gamma_{i}(0)\right)=d\left(x_{i}, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)=L_{i} \in\left[R-r_{3}, R-r_{2}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq 1-\delta_{i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the compactness of $S_{\pi, r_{2}, r_{3}}$, there exists a subsequence such that all the points and geodesics converge, $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{\infty}, \gamma_{i}$ to $\gamma_{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{i}$ to $\sigma_{\infty}$. Note that

$$
L_{i} \rightarrow L=d\left(x_{\infty}, \gamma_{\infty}(0)\right)=d\left(x_{\infty}, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \in\left[R-r_{3}, R-r_{2}\right]
$$

and that $\gamma_{\infty}(0) \subset \Omega_{R}(K)$. Thus there exists $z \in K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$ such that $\gamma_{\infty}(0)=\gamma_{z}(R)$ and $\gamma_{z}(R-t)$ is minimal from $t=R$ to $t=R-r_{1}$.

Furthermore, using the facts that $L>0, \gamma_{\infty}(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K)$, and (15), and applying Lemma 2 we have

$$
g\left(\gamma_{\infty}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq 1
$$

Thus $\gamma_{\infty}(t)=\gamma_{z}(R-t)$ and $x_{\infty}=\gamma_{\infty}(L)=\gamma_{z}(R-L)$. Since $\gamma_{z}(R-t)$ is minimal from $t=0$ to $t=R-r_{1}$ and $L \leq R-r_{2}<R-r_{1}$, we know that $x_{\infty}$ cannot be a cut point of $\gamma_{\infty}(0)$. Thus not only does $x_{\infty}$ have a unique closest point in $\Omega_{R}(K)$ by Lemma 6 and not only must that point be $\gamma_{\infty}(0)$, there must also be only one geodesic joining $x_{\infty}$ to $\gamma_{\infty}(0)$.

On the other hand, by the limit of $(13), d\left(x_{\infty}, \sigma_{\infty}(0)\right)=d\left(x_{\infty}, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$, so $\sigma_{\infty}$ must be $\gamma_{\infty}$. However, the limit of (14) and Lemma 2 imply that

$$
g\left(\sigma_{\infty}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \leq 1-\delta
$$

which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.
We now define some star-shaped sets about points in $\Omega_{R}(K)$ upon which we can employ the volume comparison theorem. The following construction is similar to that contained in [Ch, Appendix on Volume Growth]. We begin by employing the fact that $\Omega_{R}(K)$ is compact.

Definition 11 Given any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a set

$$
X_{\varepsilon}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{N_{\varepsilon}}\right\} \subset \Omega_{R}(K)
$$

such that the tubular neighborhood, $T_{\varepsilon}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right)$, contains $\Omega_{R}(K)$.
Definition 12 Given $X_{\varepsilon}$ and $p \in X_{\varepsilon}$. We define the star-shaped set:

$$
V_{p, \varepsilon}=\left\{x: d(x, p)<d(x, q) \forall q \in X_{\varepsilon} \text { such that } q \neq p\right\} .
$$

Now we need to focus on points near $\Omega(K)$.
Definition 13 Given $X_{\varepsilon}$ and $p \in X_{\varepsilon}$. We define the star-shaped wedge set:
$U_{p, \delta}=\left\{x: \exists a \min\right.$ geod, $\sigma$, from $p$ to $x$ s.t. $\left.g\left(\sigma^{\prime}(0),-\nabla(b)\right) \geq 1-\delta\right\}$.
Furthermore, let

$$
U_{\varepsilon, \delta}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}}\left(U_{p_{i}, \delta} \cap V_{p_{i}, \varepsilon}\right)
$$

We will use the following notation for closed tubular neighborhoods of a set, X:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a, b}(X)=\{y: a \leq d(y, X) \leq b\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the proof of Theorem 5, we will be able to estimate the following ratio using the Relative Volume Comparison theorem and the starlike qualities of the wedge sets $U_{p_{i}, \delta}$. That is, for any $s_{1}<s_{2} \leq s_{3}<s_{4} \leq R$ we will bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{R-s_{2}, R-s_{1}}\left(X_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{R-s_{4}, R-s_{3}}\left(X_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

from below. To do so we need to relate the distance of points in $U_{p, \delta}$ from $p$ to the lower bound on Ricci curvature, which depends on the Busemann function.

Lemma 14 Fix $r_{1}<R$ and fix the compact set, $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$.
For all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $h_{2}\left(\varepsilon, r_{1}, R, K, M\right)>0$ such that, for all $p \in$ $\Omega_{R}(K)$ and for all $\delta<h_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\gamma_{x}(R), p\right)<\varepsilon \quad \forall x \in U_{p, \delta} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x) \in[R-d(x, p), R-d(x, p)+\varepsilon] \quad \forall x \in U_{p, \delta} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Given any $x, p \in M^{n}$, we have $R-d(x, \gamma(R)) \geq R-d(p, \gamma(R))-d(x, p)$, so taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)=b(p)-d(x, p) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $b(x)=R-d\left(x, \gamma_{x}(R)\right)$, we need only prove (18) to obtain (19).
Assume that (18) is not true. Then there exists $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $\delta_{i} \rightarrow 0$, such that $x_{i} \in U_{p, \delta_{i}} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon\right)$ but

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\gamma_{x_{i}}(R), p\right) \geq \varepsilon \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by the definition of $U_{p, \delta_{i}}$, there exist $\sigma_{i}$ from $p$ to $x_{i}$, such that

$$
g\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq 1-\delta_{i} \text { and } L_{i}=L\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \leq R-r_{1}-\varepsilon .
$$

Note that by (20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(\sigma_{i}(t)\right) \geq R-t \geq r_{1}+\varepsilon \quad \forall t \in\left[0, L_{i}\right] . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{B}_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon\right)$ is compact, a subsequence $x_{i}$ converge to $x_{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{i}$ to $\sigma_{\infty}$. If $L_{i} \rightarrow 0$ then $x=p$ and $\gamma_{x_{\infty}}(R)=x=p$ and we have contradicted (21) for $i$ sufficiently large. Thus $L_{i} \rightarrow L>0$. So $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0) \rightarrow \sigma^{\prime}(0)$ and, since $p \in \Omega_{R}(K)$, we can apply Lemma 2 to get

$$
g\left(\sigma^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0),-\nabla b\right) \geq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)=1 .
$$

Thus $\sigma^{\prime}(0)=-\nabla b$.
Since $p \in \Omega_{R}(K)$ there exists $z \in K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$ such that $p=\gamma_{z}(R)$. Thus $\sigma(t)=\gamma_{z}(R-t)$ for all $t \in\left[0, \min \left\{R-r_{1}, L\right\}\right]$. Thus $x=\gamma_{z}(R-L)$ and, by (22), $b(x) \geq r_{1}+\varepsilon$ while $b(z) \leq r_{1}$. Thus $\gamma_{z}$ is the unique Busemann ray through $x$; so it agrees with $\gamma_{x}$ and $\gamma_{x}(R)=p$. This contradicts (21). Q.E.D.

In Lemmas 15 and 16 we will relate the starshaped sets to $S_{\delta, r_{1}, r_{2}}$ and $\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)$. To do so, we must deal the fact that the wedge sets, $U_{\varepsilon, \delta}$, do not contain $\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left[r_{1}, R\right]$ due to the gaps between the points in $X_{\varepsilon}$ and the restrictions caused by $\delta$. We must choose $\varepsilon$ small relative to $\delta$ and $r_{2}$ to avoid this problem.

Lemma 15 Fix $r_{1}<r_{2}<R$ and $\delta>0$. Let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$. $\left.K \subset b^{-1}\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right)$. There exists $h_{3}\left(M, \delta, r_{1}, r_{2}, R\right\}>0$ sufficiently small that for all $\varepsilon<\min \left\{\varepsilon_{0}, h_{3}\right\}$,

$$
\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right) \subset T_{R-r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}, R-r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap \bar{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta} .
$$

where $\bar{U}$ is the closure of $U$.
So $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{a, b}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)$.

Proof: First of all it follows from Lemma 6 that for any $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, we have

$$
\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right) \subset T_{R-r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}, R-r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Thus if no such $h_{3}>0$ exists, there exists $\varepsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0$ and there exists $x_{i}$ in $\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)$ but not in $\bar{U}_{\underline{\varepsilon}_{i}, \delta}$. Let $q_{i}$ be any point in $X_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ such that $d\left(x_{i}, q_{i}\right)=d\left(x_{i}, X_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)$. Then $x_{i} \in \bar{V}_{q_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}}$, so $x_{i} \notin \bar{U}_{q_{i}, \delta}=U_{q_{i}, \delta}$. So any minimal geodesic, $\sigma_{i}$, from $q_{i}$ to $x_{i}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(-\nabla b, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0)\right)<1-\delta \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ is a subset of the compact set, $\Omega_{R}(K)$, there exists a subsequence such that $q_{i} \rightarrow q_{\infty} \in \Omega_{R}(K), \sigma_{i} \rightarrow \sigma_{\infty}, L\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \rightarrow L\left(\sigma_{\infty}\right) \geq R-r_{2}>0$ and $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{\infty} \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)$.

Since $\nabla b$ is defined at $q_{\infty}$, we can take the limit of (23) using Lemma 2, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(-\nabla b, \sigma_{\infty}^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq 1-\delta \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $d\left(x_{\infty}, q_{\infty}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{i}, X_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)=d\left(x_{\infty}, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$. By Lemma 6, $q_{\infty}=\gamma_{\infty}(R)$, and since $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right)\right)$, there exists a unique minimal geodesic $\gamma_{x_{\infty}}$ from $q_{\infty}$ to $x_{\infty}$. Thus $\sigma_{\infty}(t)=\gamma_{x_{\infty}}(R-t)$ for $t \leq R-r_{2}$, which contradicts (24).

Note that the volume inequality follows because $\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{a, b}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap \bar{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{a, b}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)$. Q.E.D.

Recall Definition 7 and Lemma 10 regarding $S_{\delta, r_{2}, r_{3}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)$.
Lemma 16 Fix $r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3}<R$ and $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$. Given any $\delta>0$, let $h_{1}(\delta)<\delta$ be the real number defined in Lemma 10.

Then there exists $h_{4}=h_{4}\left(M^{n}, h_{1}(\delta), R, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)>0$ such that given any $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, for all $\varepsilon<\min \left\{h_{4}, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
T_{R-r_{3}+\varepsilon_{0}, R-r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, h_{1}(\delta)} \subset S_{2 \delta, r_{2}, r_{3}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)
$$

Proof: First of all it follows from Definition 11, that for any $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, we have

$$
T_{R-r_{3}+\varepsilon_{0}, R-r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \subset T_{R-r_{3}, R-r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)
$$

Thus if no such $h_{4}>0$ exists, then there is a sequence $\varepsilon_{i}$ approaching 0 and $x_{i}$ in $T_{R-r_{3}+\varepsilon_{0}, R-r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)$ such that there exist minimal geodesics, $\gamma_{i}$, from $X_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ to $x_{i}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=d\left(x_{i}, X_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right) \text { and } g\left(-\nabla b, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}(0)\right) \geq 1-h_{1}(\delta) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, there also exist minimal geodesics, $\sigma_{i}$, from $\Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x_{i}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=d\left(x_{i}, \Omega_{R}(K)\right) \text { and } g\left(-\nabla b, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(0)\right)<1-2 \delta . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega_{R}(K)$ and $T_{R-r_{3}+1, R-r_{2}-1}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)$ are compact we can take a subsequence of the $i$ such that, $\varepsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0, x_{i} \rightarrow x \in T_{R-r_{3}, R-r_{2}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)$, and the geodesics $\sigma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ converge to minimal geodesics $\sigma$ and $\gamma$ respectively. Note that $\sigma(0) \in \Omega_{R}(K)$ with $d(x, \sigma(0))=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$, and the same is true for $\gamma(0)$. Thus both geodesics have positive length and, applying Lemma 2 to (25) and (26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(-\nabla b, \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) \geq 1-h_{1}(\delta) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(-\nabla b, \sigma^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq 1-2 \delta . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (27) implies that $x \in S_{h_{1}(\delta), r_{1}, r_{2}, R, K}$.
Applying Lemma 10 , this implies that for any minimal geodesic $\bar{\sigma}$ from $\Omega_{R}(K)$ to $x$ such that $d(x, \bar{\sigma}(0))=d\left(x, \Omega_{R}(K)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(-\nabla b, \bar{\sigma}^{\prime}(0)\right) \geq 1-\delta \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (28).
Q.E.D.

We now prove Theorem 5 using the Relative Volume Comparison Theorem $[\mathrm{BiCr}],[\mathrm{Gr}]$, with comparison manifolds from [ChGrTa], on the star shaped sets $U_{p, \delta}$ by employing Lemma 14 for arbitrary, $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $R>0$. Imitating [Ch], we thus obtain a lower bound on the ratio, (17). To apply Lemmas 15 and 16 we need to take $\varepsilon$ of $X_{\varepsilon}$ small relative to $\delta$, and $\delta$ small relative to $R$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$. Once the dependence on $\varepsilon$ is eliminated, we can take $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and apply Corollary 9 . In the last step we take $R \rightarrow \infty$, and finally $\varepsilon_{0} \rightarrow 0$ to obtain the theorem.

## Proof of Theorem 5

As in the hypothesis, fix $r_{0} \leq r_{1}<r_{2} \leq r_{3}<r_{4}$ and $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$. Recall that, for all $y \in b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(y) \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{4}-v^{2}\right)}{b(y)^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $R>r_{4}$ and $\varepsilon_{0}<\frac{1}{10} \min \left\{r_{2}-r_{1}, r_{4}-r_{3}, R-r_{4}\right\}$. By Lemma 14, there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}=h_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, r_{1}, R, K, M\right) \text {, } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that if $p \in \Omega_{R}(K)$ and $\delta<h_{2}$ then, for all $h<\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(y) \in\left[R-d(y, p), R-d(y, p)+\varepsilon_{0}\right] \quad \forall y \in U_{p, h} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition in Lemma 10 of

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}=h_{1}\left(\delta, r_{1}, r_{3}-\varepsilon_{0}, r_{4}+\varepsilon_{0}, R, K, M^{n}\right)<\delta . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{1}<\delta$, (32) holds for all $y \in U_{p, h_{1}} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$.
Let $\varepsilon_{v}=0$ if $v \geq 1 / 2$ and $\varepsilon_{v}=\varepsilon_{0}$ if $v>1 / 2$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(x) \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{4}-v^{2}\right)}{\left(R-d(y, \gamma(R))+\varepsilon_{v}\right)^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in U_{p, h_{1}} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$.
This is the curvature bound used in [ChGrTa], so we can apply the volume comparison theorem on any starlike set, $V_{p} \subset U_{p, h_{1}} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$. We compare $V_{p}$ to the corresponding region about the origin in the warped product manifold of [ChGrTa] with the metric $d t^{2}+J_{R, \varepsilon}(t)^{2} \omega$ where $\omega$ is the standard metric on $S^{n-1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{R, \varepsilon}(t)=\frac{R+\varepsilon_{v}}{2 v}\left(-\left(\frac{R-t+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+v}+\left(\frac{R-t+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-v}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, imitating [Ch] to apply the volume coparison theorem to a starlike set rather than a ball, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(A n n_{p}\left(R-r_{4}, R-r_{3}\right) \cap V_{p}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(A n n_{p}\left(R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \cap V_{p}\right)} \geq \frac{V\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)}{V\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right) & =\int_{R-s_{2}}^{R-s_{1}} J_{R, \varepsilon_{v}}(t)^{n-1} d t  \tag{37}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-1}{} \frac{n j}{}(-1)^{j} \\
p+2 v j & \left.\left(\frac{s_{2}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p+2 v j}-\left(\frac{s_{1}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p+2 v j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $p=(1 / 2-v)(n-1)+1$.
Set the constants $h_{3}$ and $h_{4}$ equal to $h_{3}\left(M, h_{1}, r_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}, R\right)$ of Lemma 15 and $h_{4}\left(M, h_{1}, R, r_{1}, r_{3}-\varepsilon_{0}, r_{4}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ of Lemma 16 respectively. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon<\min \left\{h_{3}, h_{4}, \varepsilon_{0}\right\} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall $X_{\varepsilon}$ of Definition 11. Given $p \in X_{\varepsilon}$ let

$$
V_{p}=V_{p, \varepsilon} \cap U_{p, h_{1}} \cap B_{p}\left(R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) .
$$

Then, by the definition of $U_{\varepsilon, \delta}$, we have the following disjoint union,

$$
\bigcup_{p \in X_{\varepsilon}} V_{p}=T_{R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, h_{1}} .
$$

Thus, imitating [Ch], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{R-r_{4}, R-r_{3}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, h_{1}}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, h_{1}}\right)}= \\
& \quad=\frac{\sum_{p \in X_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\operatorname{Ann_{p}}\left(R-r_{4}, R-r_{3}\right) \cap V_{p}\right)}{\sum_{p \in X_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\operatorname{Ann} n_{p}\left(R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \cap V_{p}\right)} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\sum_{p \in X_{\varepsilon}}\left(\operatorname{Vol}\left(\operatorname{Ann_{p}}\left(R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \cap V_{p}\right) \frac{V\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)}{V\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)}\right)}{\sum_{p \in X_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\operatorname{Ann}_{p}\left(R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \cap V_{p}\right)} \\
& =\frac{V\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)}{V\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

We can now apply Lemmas 15 and 16 and our choice of the constants $h_{1}$ in (33) and $\varepsilon$ in (38) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{2 \delta, r_{3}-\varepsilon_{0}, r_{4}+\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega_{R}(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)\right)} \geq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{R-r_{4}, R-r_{3}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, h_{1}}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T_{R-r_{2}, R-r_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap U_{\varepsilon, h_{1}}\right)} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can thus combine this inequality with (39) to obtain the following statement:

Given any $R>r_{4}$, any $\varepsilon_{0}<\frac{1}{10} \min \left\{r_{2}-r_{1}, r_{4}-r_{3}, R-r_{4}\right\}$, and any $\delta<h_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, r_{1}, R, K, M\right)$ and setting $\varepsilon_{v} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ as above (34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(S_{2 \delta, r_{3}-\varepsilon_{0}, r_{4}+\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\Omega_{R}(K)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)\right)} \geq \frac{V\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)}{V\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (41) does not depend on $h_{1}$ or $\varepsilon$.
Taking the limit as $\delta$ approaches 0 and applying Corollary 9 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{3}-\varepsilon_{0}, r_{4}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)\right)} \geq \frac{V\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, R, \varepsilon_{v}\right)}{V\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}, R, \varepsilon_{\delta}\right)} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this holds for all $R \geq r_{4}$, we can take $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (42) and (37), to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{3}-\varepsilon_{0}, r_{4}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{0}, r_{2}-\varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)\right)}= \\
& \quad=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-1 C_{j}(-1)^{j}}{p+2 v j}\left(\left(\frac{r_{4}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p+2 v j}-\left(\frac{r_{3}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p+2 v j}\right)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-1 C_{j}(-1)^{j}}{p+2 v j}\left(\left(\frac{r_{2}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p+2 v j}-\left(\frac{r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p+2 v j}\right)} \\
& \quad=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{r_{4}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{r_{3}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p}}{\left(\frac{r_{2}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{r_{1}+\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{v}}{R+\varepsilon_{v}}\right)^{p}}=\frac{\left(r_{4}+\varepsilon_{v}\right)^{p}-\left(r_{3}+\varepsilon_{v}\right)^{p}}{\left(r_{2}+\varepsilon_{v}\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon_{v}\right)^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now take $\varepsilon_{0}$ to 0 and bring $\varepsilon_{v}$ to 0 with it to complete the proof. Q.E.D.

The following corollary of Theorem 5 is proven using the Coarea Formula [Fed, 3.2.11] which states that if a set $A$ is Lebesgue measureable and if a real valued function $f$ is Lipschitz then the $(n-1)$-Hausdorff volume, $\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(A \cap$ $\left.f^{-1}(s)\right)$, is integrable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A}|\nabla f| d L^{n} x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(A \cap f^{-1}(s)\right) d s \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\nabla f|$ is defined almost everywhere because $f$ is Lipschitz. Recall that the Busemann function is also Lipschitz $[\mathrm{Bu}]$ and that $\Omega(K)$ is measurable [Lemma 4]. See [So1] for details.
Corollary 17 Let Ric $\geq \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{4}-v^{2}\right)}{b(x)^{2}}$ for $x \in b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ where $v \in\left(0, \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}\right)$. Let $r_{1} \geq r_{0}$ and $K \in b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$ be a compact set. Then there exists a nondecreasing function $V(r)$ defined on $r \in\left[r_{1}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=\frac{V o l_{n-1}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}(r)\right)}{r^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-v\right)(n-1)}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere. In particular, for almost every $s_{2}>s_{1} \geq r_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(\Omega_{s_{1}}(K)\right.}{p s_{1}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-v\right)(n-1)}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]\right)\right)}{s_{2}^{p}-s_{1}^{p}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(\Omega_{s_{2}}(K)\right)}{p s_{2}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-v\right)(n-1)}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=(1 / 2-v)(n-1)+1$.
Theorem 5 and Corollary 17 are essential ingredients to the proof of the properness of the Busemann function in the next section. We now apply them to prove the following refinement of the Volume Growth Theorem of [ChGrTa].

Theorem 18 Fix $x_{0}$ in $M^{n}$ and fix $r>r_{1}>r_{0} \geq 0$. Let $\gamma$ be a ray from $x_{0}$. Let Ric $\geq \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{4}-v^{2}\right)}{b(x)^{2}}$ at all points $x$ such that $b(x) \geq r_{0}$ where $v \in\left[0, \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}\right]$. Let $p=\left(\frac{1}{2}-v\right)(n-1)+1$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x_{0}}\left(R+r_{1}-r_{0}\right)\right) \geq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(B_{x_{0}}\left(r_{1}\right) \cap b^{-1}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}{p r_{0}^{p-1}}\left(R^{p}-r_{0}^{p}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p=0$ replace $r^{p}$ by $\ln (r)$.

Proof of Theorem 18: Let $K$ be $\bar{B}_{r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)$. If $y \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r\right]\right)$ there exists $\bar{y} \in K$ such that $y$ is on a geodesic ray emanating from $\bar{y}$. So $d(\bar{y}, y)=$ $b(y)-b(\bar{y})<r-r_{0}$, while $d\left(\bar{y}, x_{0}\right) \leq r_{2}$. Thus $y \in B_{r+r_{2}-r_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega\left(\bar{B}_{r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r\right]\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{r+r_{2}-r_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

and the lemma follows from Theorem 5. Q.E.D.
The power, $p$, is the same as in the Volume Growth Theorem of [ChGrTa] but the constant is now the maximal possible constant and is achieved by warped product manifolds with a metric of the form $d r^{2}+r^{2(1 / 2-v)} g_{0}$.

## 2 Compactness of Level Sets

In this section we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 19 Let $M^{n}$ have nonnegative Ricci curvature everywhere and satisfy Ric $_{x} \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(1 / 4-v^{2}\right)}{b^{2}(x)}$ on $b^{-1}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)$, where $v \in(0,1 / 2]$. Suppose that $M^{n}$ also has minimal volume growth,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(R)\right)}{R^{(1 / 2-v)(n-1)+1}}=V_{0}<\infty \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Busemann function's level sets, $b^{-1}(r)$, are compact. Furthermore, the diameter of these levels grows at most linearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{diam}\left(b^{-1}(R)\right)}{R}<\infty \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the diameter is measured in the ambient manifold, $M^{n}$.

In particular, we prove that on a manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth all Busemann functions have compact level sets.

The key ingredient to the proof of this theorem is the volume growth of $\Omega(K)$ [Theorems 5 and 17]. We show that given minimal volume growth, any Busemann function has level sets with finite $(n-1)$-volume and the ratio, $V o l_{n-1}(r) /\left(r^{1 / 2-v}\right)$, is nondecreasing and bounded above. Thus we can take a compact set, $K$, and a Busemann level whose intersection with $K$ has a sufficiently large $(n-1)$-volume. This insures that "most" of the volume of the manifold is contained in $\Omega(K)$. We then show that balls of large diameter cannot fit outside of $\Omega(K)$ using the Relative Volume Comparison Theorem and the globally nonnegative Ricci curvature.

Lemma 20 Let $M^{n}$ have $\operatorname{Ric}_{x} \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(1 / 4-v^{2}\right)}{b^{2}(x)}$ on $b^{-1}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)$, where $v \in\left(0, \frac{n+1}{2(n-1)}\right)$ and let $M^{n}$ have minimal volume growth, (47).

Then the level sets of any Busemann function, $b$, have finite $(n-1)$-volume. Furthermore, if $r_{2}>r_{1} \geq r_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right)\right)}{r_{1}^{p-1}} \leq \frac{p \operatorname{Vol}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right)}{r_{2}^{p}-r_{1}^{p}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{2}\right)\right)}{r_{2}^{p-1}} \leq V_{0} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=(n-1)(1 / 2-v)+1$ and $V_{0}$ is defined in (47).
Note that this lemma includes manifolds with quadratically decaying negative Ricci curvature $(v>1 / 2)$ outside a compact set, unlike Theorem 19.

Proof: By Theorem 17, we know that for any compact set $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right) \cap \Omega(K)\right)}{r_{1}^{p-1}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(K)\right)}{r_{2}^{p}-r_{1}^{p}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x}\left(\operatorname{diam}(K)+r_{2}\right)\right)}{r_{2}^{p}-r_{1}^{p}}
$$

Taking the limsup as $r_{2}$ approaches infinity and using the minimal volume growth, (47), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V o l_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right) \cap \Omega(K)\right)}{r_{1}^{p-1}} \leq V_{0} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking a sequence of compact sets $K_{i} \subset K_{i+1}$ such that $\cup K_{i}=b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right)\right) \leq V_{0} r_{1}^{p-1} \quad \forall r_{1} \geq r_{0} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the volume of any level set is finite. Similarly, we can substitute this sequence $K_{i}$ into the following equation

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right) \cap \Omega(K)\right)}{r_{1}^{p-1}} \leq \frac{V o l_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{2}\right) \cap \Omega(K)\right)}{r_{2}^{p-1}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{2}\right)\right)}{r_{2}^{p-1}}
$$

which holds for all $r_{2}>r_{1}$ by Theorem 17. Thus $\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}(r)\right)$ is nondecreasing for $r \geq r_{1}$. We can then apply the coarea formula to complete the proof. Q.E.D.

Definition 21 Given a Busemann function, b, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b, \infty}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V o l_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}(r)\right)}{r^{p-1}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 19: Fix any Busemann function, $b$. We wish to show that all the level sets of $b$ are compact.

Note that once a given level set $b^{-1}(r)$ is shown to be compact then, for all $a<r, b^{-1}(a)$ is compact as well. This is true because given any pair of points $x, y \in b^{-1}(a)$ the Busemann rays $\gamma_{x}, \gamma_{y}$ intersect with $b^{-1}(r)$ at the points $\gamma_{x}(r), \gamma_{y}(r)$. Thus,

$$
d(x, y) \leq d\left(\gamma_{x}(r), \gamma_{y}(r)\right)+2(r-a) \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(b^{-1}(r)\right)+2(r-a) .
$$

This arguement only works for $a<r$. So we must show that there exist a sequence of compact levels sets, $b^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)$, where $r_{i} \rightarrow \infty$.

By Lemma 20 and the definition of $V_{b, \infty}$, we know we can find $r_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{Vol}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right)\right) /\left(r_{1}\right)^{p-1}$ is as close to $V_{b, \infty}$ as we wish. Furthermore, we can find a compact set $K \subset b^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)$ large enough that $\operatorname{Vol}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right) \cap K\right)$ is almost the entire volume of the level $b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right)$. So we can chose $r_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{b, \infty}-V_{r_{1}}}{V_{r_{1}}} \leq \frac{1}{5^{n+1}}, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{r_{1}}=\frac{V o l_{n-1}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}\right) \cap K\right)}{\left(r_{1}\right)^{p-1}} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers $h_{i}$ approaching infinity and a sequence of points $p_{i} \in b^{-1}\left(r_{1}+h_{i}\right)$ such that $d\left(p_{i}, \Omega(K)\right)=h_{i}$. Recall Definition 3 of $\Omega(K)$. We now proceed to prove that such a sequence cannot exist.

Fix $p=p_{i}$ and $h=h_{i}$ temporarily and consult Figure 1. Since $d(p, \Omega(K))=$ $h$, we know that $B_{p}(h) \cap \Omega(K)=\emptyset$. On the other hand $B_{p}(h) \subset b^{-1}\left(r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(h)\right) \leq \operatorname{Vol}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h\right)\right)-\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K)\right), \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K) \supset b^{-1}\left(r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h\right) \cap \Omega(K)$. By Corollary 17 and Lemma 20 , this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(h)\right) \leq V_{b, \infty}\left(\left(r_{1}+2 h\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}\right)^{p}\right)-V_{r_{1}}\left(\left(r_{1}+2 h\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}\right)^{p}\right) . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the globally nonnegative Ricci curvature combined with the Relative Volume Comparison Theorem [Bi][GrLaPa] gives a lower bound on this volume,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(h)\right) \geq\left(\frac{h}{R}\right)^{n} \operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(R)\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1: $B_{p}(h) \cap \Omega(K)=\emptyset$
We chose $R$ large enough so that $B_{p}(R) \supset \Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K)$. To get from $p$ to any point in $\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K)$ we first travel a distance $h$ to reach $\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K)$, then we travel at most a distance $2 h$ along a Busemann ray to reach $K$. Traveling at most $\operatorname{diam}(K)$ we reach any point in $K$ and then we can travel back up a Busemann ray a distance at most $2 h$ to get to any point in $\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K)$. Thus we can take $R=5 h+\operatorname{diam}(K)$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(h)\right) \geq\left(\frac{h}{(5 h+\operatorname{diam}(K))}\right)^{n} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 h}(K)\right) . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we employ Theorem 17 again to bound the volume on the right from below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p}(h)\right) \geq\left(\frac{h}{(5 h+\operatorname{diam}(K))}\right)^{n} V_{r_{1}}\left(\left(r_{1}+2 h\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}\right)^{p}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining our upper and lower bounds (Eqn 56 and 59), we get the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{b, \infty}-V_{r_{1}}\right)\left(\left(r_{1}+2 h\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}\right)^{p}\right) \geq \frac{h^{n} V_{r_{1}}\left(\left(r_{1}+2 h\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}\right)^{p}\right)}{(5 h+\operatorname{diam}(K))^{n}} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cancelling $\left(\left(r_{1}+2 h\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}\right)^{p}\right)$, rearranging and substituting (53) we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{5^{n+1}} \geq \frac{\left(V_{b, \infty}-V_{r_{1}}\right)}{V_{r_{1}}} \geq\left(\frac{h_{i}}{\left(5 h_{i}+\operatorname{diam}(K)\right)}\right)^{n} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h_{i}$ defined above. Note that the dependence on $p_{i}$ has vanished. Taking $i$ to infinity, $h_{i}$ goes to infinity and we get the contradiction of the existence of the sequence $p_{i}$.

Thus there exists $H>0$ such that for all $p \in b^{-1}\left(\left(H+r_{1}, \infty\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p, \Omega(K)) \neq b(p)-r_{1} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{-1}\left(r+r_{1}\right) \subset T_{r}\left(\Omega_{r_{1}, r_{1}+2 r}(K)\right) \quad \forall r \geq H . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

If not. there exists $r \geq H$ and $p \in b^{-1}\left(r_{1}+r\right)$ such that $d(p, \Omega(K))>r$. By the definition of the Busemann function, $b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}+r, \infty\right)\right)$ is connected, so there exists a curve $C:[0, L] \rightarrow b^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}+r, \infty\right)\right)$ such that $C(0)=p$ and $C(L) \in \Omega(K) \cap b^{-1}\left(r_{1}+r\right)$. Then $d(C(0), \Omega(K))-b(C(0))+r_{1}>0$ while $d(C(L), \Omega(K))-b(C(L))+r_{1} \leq-H<0$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists $t \in(0, L)$ such that $d(C(t), \Omega(K))-b(C(t))+r_{1}=0$, contradicting (62). Thus (63) holds.

Fixing $x \in K$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{-1}\left(r_{1}+r\right) \subset B_{x}(3 r+\operatorname{diam}(K)) \quad \forall r \geq H . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $b^{-1}\left(r+r_{1}\right)$ is compact for $r \geq H$ and, consequently, $b$ is a proper function. Furthermore, the diameter of $b^{-1}(r)$ grows at most linearly. Q.E.D.

Remark 22 Note that we cannot prove a similar theorem for manifolds with a negative quadratically decaying lower bound on Ricci curvature. That is, if $v>1 / 2$ and we assume that $\operatorname{Ric}(x) \geq \frac{(n-1)\left(1 / 4-v^{2}\right)}{b^{2}}$ for $x \in b^{-1}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(x) \geq-\Lambda$ for all $x \in M^{n}$, the above proof does not follow. In particular, the Relative Volume Comparison Theorem in (57) would involve sinh and thus (61) would not produce a contradiction when taking $h_{i}$ to infinity.

Recall the definition of $V_{0}$ in (47) and of $V_{b, \infty}$ in Definition 21.

Corollary 23 Let $M^{n}$ have Ricci $\geq \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{4}-v^{2}\right)}{b(x)^{2}} \geq 0$ for $x \in b^{-1}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)$ and globally nonnegative Ricci curvature. If $M^{n}$ has minimal volume growth then either
i) $M^{n}$ splits, in which case $V_{b, \infty}=V_{0} / 2$ for all Busemann functions, $b$, or
ii) $b_{\text {min }}=\inf _{x \in M^{n}} b(x)>-\infty$ exists, in which case $V_{b, \infty}=V_{0}$ for all Busemann functions, $b$.

Proof: By Lemma 20, we know that for all $r_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b, \infty} \geq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(b^{-1}\left(r_{1}, r\right)\right)}{r^{p}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first suppose there is a Busemann function, $b$, defined on the manifold which does not have a minimum value, $b_{\text {min }}$. Then there exist a sequence of points $p_{i} \in M^{n}$ such that $b\left(p_{i}\right)=-i$. From each point $p_{i}$, there is a Busemann ray $\gamma_{i}$ parametrized so that $b\left(\gamma_{i}(t)\right)=t$. Then $\left(\gamma_{i}(0), \gamma_{i}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ is a sequence of unit vectors in the tangent space restricted to $b^{-1}(0)$. Since $b^{-1}(0)$ is compact, a subsequence $\left(\gamma_{j}(0), \gamma_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ converges to $(p, v)$ where $p \in b^{-1}(0)$. Note that $\exp _{p}(t v)$ is a line because it is a limit of rays in the positive direction and the limit of increasingly long minimal geodesics in the negative direction.

By the Splitting Theorem [ChGl], we know that a manifold with globally nonnegative Ricci curvature which contains a line is isometric to a metric product $N^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ where $N^{n-1}$ is the level set of a Busemann function. Since $N^{n-1}$ must therefore be compact, it is not hard to see that it must be a level set of any Busemann function, $\bar{b}$, as well. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\bar{b}^{-1}((-s, s))\right)}{(s+D)^{p}}=\frac{2 \operatorname{Vol}\left(\bar{b}^{-1}((0, s))\right)}{(s+D)^{p}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $p_{0} \in b^{-1}(0)$ and $D=\operatorname{diam}\left(N^{n-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p_{0}}(s+D)\right)}{(s+D)^{p}} \geq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\bar{b}^{-1}((-s, s))\right)}{(s+D)^{p}} \geq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{p_{0}}(s)\right)}{(s+D)^{p}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (66) with (67) and taking $s$ to infinity, we get $V_{0} \geq 2 V_{\bar{b}, \infty} \geq V_{0}$. We have proven case (i).

We now consider the alternate case, where every Busemann function, $b$, has a minimum value, $b_{\text {min }}$. Then for $p \in b^{-1}\left(b_{\min }\right)$, we have $B_{p}(R) \subset$
$b^{-1}\left(b_{\min }, b_{\text {min }}+R\right)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b, \infty} \geq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^{-1}\left(b_{\min }, b_{\min }+R\right)}{R^{p}} \geq \limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{p}(R)}{R^{p}}=V_{0} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, by Lemma 20, $V_{b, \infty} \leq V_{0}$, implying case (ii). Q.E.D.

## 3 Examples

In this section, we construct some examples of noncompact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth. Example 26 demonstrates that the diameters of the Busemann level sets can grow logarithmically. Example 27 demonstrates that the sequences of subsets of the form $b^{-1}\left(r_{i}, r_{i}+l\right)$ can have more than one limit as $r_{i}$ approaches infinity. This is similar in concept to examples of the nonuniqueness of tangent cones at infinity [ChTi].

Both examples are doubly warped products of the Hopf bundle, $S^{3}$, crossed with the real line. $S^{3}$ is a compact Lie group; so it has three left invariant vector fields, $X, Y$ and $Z$, which are orthonormal in the standard metric on $S^{3}$. We construct metrics on $R \times S^{3}$ of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=d r^{2}+u^{2}(r) \sigma_{Z}^{2}+w^{2}(r)\left(\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right), \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{X}, \sigma_{Y}$ and $\sigma_{Z}$ are the covectors of $X, Y$ and $Z$ respectively.
We require that the Ricci curvature be nonnegative. If we let $T=\frac{d}{d r}$ then the following formula for the Ricci curvature's eigenvalues holds [And].

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Ric}(T, T)=\frac{-u^{\prime \prime}}{u}+2 \frac{-w^{\prime \prime}}{w}  \tag{70}\\
\operatorname{Ric}(Z, Z)=\frac{-u^{\prime \prime}}{u}-2 \frac{u^{\prime}}{u} \frac{w^{\prime}}{w}+2 \frac{u^{2}}{w^{4}}  \tag{71}\\
\operatorname{Ric}(X, X)=\operatorname{Ric}(Y, Y)=\frac{-w^{\prime \prime}}{w}-\left(\frac{w^{\prime}}{w}\right)^{2}-\frac{u^{\prime}}{u} \frac{w^{\prime}}{w}+4\left(\frac{w^{2}-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}}{w^{4}}\right) . \tag{72}
\end{gather*}
$$

The main part of our constructions will consist of designing the ends of the manifold by choosing functions $u(r)$ and $w(r)$ where $r \geq r_{0}$ for some $r_{0}$. Since we need to construct complete manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature, we must close up the manifolds smoothly at some $r_{1}<r_{0}$. In fact we will close
up the ends, which have boundary diffeomorphic to $S^{3}$, with simply connected balls by extending $u$ and $w$ so that they are 0 at $r_{1}$ and the metric near $r_{1}$ is a metric of constant curvature. The following lemma justifies this extension of $u(r)$ and $w(r)$, given certain assumptions about their values and derivatives at $r_{0}$.

Lemma 24 Given any $w_{0}>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ and there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1} \in[0, \delta) \quad u_{0} \in\left(w_{0}-\delta, w_{0}\right] \quad u_{1} \in(-\delta, 0] \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist functions $u, w:\left[0, t_{0}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0} \quad u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{1} \quad w\left(t_{0}\right)=w_{0} \quad w^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=w_{1} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that the metric, (69), is complete and has positive Ricci curvature on the ball of radius $t_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Proof: Let $w(r)=u(r)=A \sin (r / A)$ for $r \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ where $A=w_{0} /\left(1-w_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $t_{1}=A \operatorname{Arcsin}\left(u_{0} / A\right)$. This metric has constant sectional curvature and is complete at $r=0$. Note that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\sqrt{1-\left(u_{0} / A\right)^{2}}$.

Let $t_{0}=A \operatorname{Arcsin}\left(w_{0} / A\right) \leq A(\pi / 2)$. For $r \in\left[t_{1}, t_{0}\right]$, let $w(r)=A \sin (r / A)$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=\frac{a_{1}\left(r-t_{1}\right)^{3}}{\left(t_{0}-t_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}\left(r-t_{1}\right)^{2}}{t_{0}-t_{1}}+u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\left(r-t_{1}\right)+u\left(t_{1}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}=u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+u_{1}$ and $a_{2}=-2 u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{1}$. Thus $u(r)$ and $u^{\prime}(r)$ are continuous at $t_{1}$ and satisfy (74).

For $\delta$ sufficiently small, we can take $u(r)$ uniformly close on $\left[t_{1}, t_{0}\right]$ to $u_{0}$ which is close to $w_{0}$ and we can take $u^{\prime}(r)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(r)$ uniformly close to 0 on $\left[t_{1}, t_{0}\right]$. Thus, for $r \in\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right)$, by (70), (71) and (72), we have $\operatorname{Ric}(T, T)$ arbitrarily close to $1 / w_{0}>0, \operatorname{Ric}(Z, Z)$ arbitrarily close to $1 / w_{0}+2 / w_{0}^{2}>0$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(X, X)=\operatorname{Ric}(Y, Y)$ arbitrarily close to $2 / w_{0}^{2}>0$. We may have to take $\delta$ quite small to insure that these Ricci curvatures are positive.

Since the Ricci curvature is positive on both sides of $t_{1}$ and since $u$ and $w$ are $C^{1}$ on $\left[0, t_{0}\right], u$ and $w$ can be smoothed on an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $r^{-1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ to $C^{\infty}$ functions preserving the positive Ricci curvature. See, for example, [And]. Q.E.D.

We are constructing manifolds with linear volume growth. Thus $\operatorname{Vol}_{3}\left(r^{-1}(t)\right)$, which is proportional to $A(t)=u(t) w(t)^{2}$, must approach a constant at infinity. However, it cannot approach its asymptote too quickly if $w$, satisfying (70) with $u=A / w^{2}$, is to approach infinity. See [So1]. So we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(r)=k-\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln r} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k>1$ and $\varepsilon>0$ are constants.
The proof of the following lemma is just calculus combined with (71), (72), (70) and (76). Condition (i) alone implies $\operatorname{Ric}(T, T) \geq 0$.

Lemma 25 If the doubly warped product manifold $\mathbf{R}^{+} \times S^{3}$ with the metric, (69), has $u(r) w(r)^{2}=A(r)=k-\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln r}$ where $k>1$ and $\varepsilon>0$ are constants, and has $w(r)>0$ satisfying the four conditions:

$$
\text { (i) }\left|\frac{w^{\prime}(r)}{w(r)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}} \frac{1}{3 r \ln r} \quad \text { (ii) } \quad 4 w^{2}(r)-2 u^{2}(r) \geq w^{2}(r)
$$

(iii)

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(w^{\prime} / w\right)^{\prime} r \ln r \leq k_{2}
$$

(iv) $\quad w^{2}(r) \leq k_{3}(\ln r)^{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} /(3 \sqrt{k})}$
for some constants $k_{2}, k_{3}>0$, then there exists $r_{0}>0$ depending on $k, \varepsilon, k_{2}$, and $k_{3}$, such that $g$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature for $r \geq r_{0}$.

The first example is constructed by solving the ordinary differential equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w^{\prime}(r)}{w(r)}=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}} \frac{1}{3 r \ln r} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 26 Given any $k>1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, then there exists a complete manifold, $M^{n}$, with nonnegative Ricci curvature, linear volume growth and $\operatorname{diam}\left(b^{-1}(r)\right)=w(r)$ approaching infinity. In particular, the end is isomorphic to the doubly warped product, $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right) \times S^{3}$ with the metric, (69), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r)=k^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{\ln r}{\ln r_{0}}\right)^{\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3 \sqrt{k}}} \quad \text { and } \quad u(r)=\frac{k-\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln r}}{w(r)^{2}} . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that this $w$ obeys all four conditions of Lemma 25. It is also easy to see that $w$ and $u=A / w$ satisfy the conditions at $r_{0}$ required by Lemma 24, thus demonstrating that $M^{n}$ is complete. Note that while the
diameter growth of such a manifold is unbounded it is still sublinear. In fact, in [So2], we prove that all manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth have sublinear diameter growth.

We will now construct another metric on $R^{+} \times S^{3}$ with nonnegative Ricci curvature, linear volume growth and a bound on the diameter of the level sets but without a unique limit of the level sets. We want to find a function $w(r)$ which alternates between two values as $r$ approaches infinity. Once again $w^{\prime} / w$ must not be integrable from 0 to infinity and is bounded as in (70) and $A(r)$ is defined as in (76). We now define $w$ as a solution of an iteratively defined but integrable ordinary differential equation.

First note that any function, $f(r)$, which satisfies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)}=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}} \frac{1}{3 r \ln r} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

will increase to any value in a finite amount of time. That is, there exists a function $L_{+}\left(r, f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ defined for all positive $r$ and positive $f_{2}>f_{1}$ such that if $f(r)$ is a solution of the above equation and $f(r)=f_{1}$ then $f\left(r+L_{+}\right)=f_{2}$. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{+}\left(r, f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=r^{\left(f_{2} / f_{1}\right)^{3 \sqrt{k / \varepsilon}}}-r . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note how large $L_{+}$is relative to $r$ for a fixed ratio $f_{2} / f_{1}$.
On the other hand, if we have a function, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})$, satisfying,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime}(r)}{g(r)}=-\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}} \frac{1}{3 r \ln r} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it will decrease to any positive value in a finite amount of time. There exists a function $L_{-}\left(r, g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ defined for all positive $r$ and positive $g_{2}<g_{1}$ such that if $g(r)$ is a solution of the above equation and $g(r)=g_{1}$ then $g\left(r+L_{-}\right)=g_{2}$. Note that $L_{-}$also grows dramatically as a function of $r$.

We must design our function $w(r)$ following the specification of condition (i) of Lemma 25 ; so it can only grow as fast as $f(r)$ and decrease as fast as $g(r)$. We will require $w$ to solve the (79) long enough to reach an upper value, $k$, and then require it to solve (81) long enough to return to a lower value, $k^{2 / 3}$, and then return to (79) and so on. To smooth out the differential equation, we define $h(r)$ to be a $C^{\infty}$ function such that $h=1$ for $r \leq 0$ and $h=-1$ for $r \geq \pi$ and $-1 \leq h \leq 1$ everywhere. Note that the solution of a $C^{\infty}$ integrable ordinary differential equation is $C^{\infty}$.

Let $r_{0}$ be some positive number large enough to insure that $L_{+}(r, k, 2 k)$, $L_{+}\left(r, k^{2 / 3}, k\right), L_{-}\left(r, k^{2 / 3}, k^{1 / 3}\right)$ and $L_{-}\left(r, k, k^{2 / 3}\right)$ are all larger than $2 \pi$ for all $r>r_{0}$. This insures that nothing much can happen in the smoothing interval. We may take $r_{0}$ even larger later in order to satisfy the other Ricci curvature conditions in Lemma 25.

Let $w\left(r_{0}\right)=k^{1 / 3}$. Let, $w(r)$ increase to $k$ by satisfying (79) for $r \in\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)$ where $r_{1}=r_{0}+L_{+}\left(r_{0}, w\left(r_{0}\right), k\right)$.

On the interval between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}=r_{1}+\pi$, we require that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w^{\prime}(r)}{w(r)}=h\left(r-r_{1}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}} \frac{1}{3 r \ln r} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $w(r)$ continues to be smooth and it continues to obey condition (i). Note that our restrictions on $r_{0}$ combined with the fact that $|h(r)| \leq 1$ tells us that $k^{2 / 3}<w\left(r_{2}\right)<2 k$.

Let $w(r)$ decrease to $k^{2 / 3}$ by satisfying (81) for $r \in\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ where $r_{3}=r_{2}+L_{-}\left(r_{0}, w\left(r_{0}\right), k\right)$.

On the interval between $r_{3}$ and $r_{4}=r_{3}+\pi$, we require that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w^{\prime}(r)}{w(r)}=-h\left(r-r_{3}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}} \frac{1}{3 r \ln r} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $w(r)$ continues to be smooth, obey condition (i), and $k^{1 / 3}<w\left(r_{4}\right)<k$.
At this point we continue the process by requiring that $w(r)$ increase to $k$ by satisfying (79) once again, then satisfying (82) to turn around, satisfying (81) to decrease back to $k^{2 / 3}$ and so on. In this way we guarantee that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(r_{4 i+1}\right)=k, \quad w\left(r_{4 i+3}\right)=k^{2 / 3} \quad \text { and } \quad k^{1 / 3}<w(r)<2 k \quad \forall r>r_{0} . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to verify that conditions (i)-(iv) of Lemma 25 are also satisfied for $r_{0}$ chosen sufficiently large. See [So1].

Finally we close up the manifold with a four dimensional disk, by smoothly extending $w(r)$ and $v(r)$ down to 0 at some point $r_{1}<r_{0}$. We are able to do this because $w$ and $u$ have values and derivatives at $r_{0}$ which satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 24 for $r_{0}$ chosen sufficiently large.

Example 27 Given any $k>1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we can find an $r_{0}>0$ and we can construct a manifold $M^{n}$ with an end which is isometric to the doubly warped product, $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right) \times S^{3}$ with the metric, (69), where $w$ is smooth function
defined as above and $u(r)=A(r) / w(r)^{2}$. This manifold has nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth. The diameters of the level sets of the Busemann function are uniformly bounded.

The level sets alternate between two different Riemannian manifolds: $r^{-1}\left(r_{4 i+1}\right)$, a Hopf sphere with the metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(k-\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln r}\right)^{2}}{k^{4}} \sigma_{Z}^{2}+k^{2}\left(\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right) \approx \frac{1}{k^{2}} \sigma_{Z}^{2}+k^{2}\left(\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right), \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r^{-1}\left(r_{4 i+3}\right)$, a Hopf sphere with the metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(k-\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln r}\right)^{2}}{k^{8 / 3}} \sigma_{Z}^{2}+k^{4 / 3}\left(\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right) \approx \frac{1}{k^{2 / 3}} \sigma_{Z}^{2}+k^{4 / 3}\left(\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right), \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sequence $r_{i}$ is defined above. Here $b^{-1}(r, r+L)$ does not approximate a unique metric in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense as $r$ approaches infinity.

Notice that the length of the intervals between the level sets of maximum diameter and those of minimum diameter is increasing to infinity; see (80). So given any $L$ we can go far enough out that a region $b^{-1}(r, r+L)$ is close to the isometric product, $b^{-1}(r) \times(r, r+L)$, in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. This behavior will be proven necessary in [So2].

We believe that similar examples can be constructed for manifolds with quadratically decaying Ricci curvature bounds and minimal volume growth. Such examples might be constructed using the same doubly warped product of the Hopf Sphere with the positive real axis but a slightly different differential equation.
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