

C-SUPPLEMENTED SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES*

*Department d'Àlgebra, Universitat de València, c/Dr.Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot (València), Spain
e-mail: Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es*

YANMING WANG†

*Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, P. R. China
e-mail: stswym@zsunlink.zsu.edu.cn*

and GUO XIUYUN

*Department of Mathematics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, P. R. China
e-mail: gxy@deer.sxu.edu.cn*

(Received 30 November, 1998)

Abstract. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be c -supplemented in G if there exists a subgroup K of G such that $HK = G$ and $H \cap K$ is contained in $\text{Core}_G(H)$. We follow Hall's ideas to characterize the structure of the finite groups in which every subgroup is c -supplemented. Properties of c -supplemented subgroups are also applied to determine the structure of some finite groups.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D10, 20D20.

1. Introduction. In this paper the word group always means finite group.

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be *complemented in G* (complemented if G is understood) if there exists a subgroup K of G such that $G = HK$ and $H \cap K = 1$. In this case we say that K is a complement of H in G .

In his well known series of papers about the structure of solvable groups, Hall proved that a group is solvable if and only if every Sylow subgroup is complemented [5]. He also characterized in [6] the groups in which every subgroup is complemented. He called these groups *complemented groups* and proved that these groups are exactly the supersolvable groups with elementary abelian Sylow subgroups. It is clear from these results that complementation of some families of subgroups of a group has a strong influence on its structure. This idea was strengthened in [1], where the complementation of minimal subgroups and maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups is studied. The main goal of the present paper is to study the c -supplemented subgroups. This concept arises naturally as an extension of the c -normality introduced in [8] and it is closely related to complementation. Following Hall's idea, we determine the structure of the groups in which every subgroup is c -supplemented and study the influence of the c -supplementation of some families of subgroups on the structure of the group.

Most of the notation is standard and can be found in [4] and [7].

* The first author was supported by PB97-0674-C02-02, MEC, Spain.

† The second author was supported in part by NSF of China and NSFG.

2. C -supplemented subgroups.

DEFINITION. (a) A subgroup H of a group G is said to be c -supplemented (in G) if there exists a subgroup K of G such that $G = HK$ and $H \cap K \leq H_G = \text{Core}_G(H)$. (Here H_G is the largest normal subgroup of G that is contained in H .) In this case, we say that K is a c -supplement of H in G .

(b) A group G is said to be c -supplemented if every subgroup of G is c -supplemented in G .

It is clear from the definition that a complemented subgroup is c -supplemented but the converse does not hold. For example, let G be a cyclic p -group, where p is a prime, with order greater than p . Then $\Phi(G)$ is the only maximal subgroup of G and is not complemented. However $\Phi(G)$ is c -supplemented because it is normal and G is a c -supplement of $\Phi(G)$ in G .

Recall that a subgroup H of G is c -normal in G if there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that $HN = G$ and $H \cap N \leq H_G$. (See [8].)

It is clear that normality implies c -normality and c -normality implies c -supplementation. However the Sylow 5-subgroups of A_5 are c -supplemented in A_5 (by A_4) but neither of them is c -normal because A_5 is a simple group.

In the next lemma we gather the basic properties of c -supplemented subgroups.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a group.*

- (1) *If H is c -supplemented in G , $H \leq M \leq G$, then H is c -supplemented in M .*
- (2) *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $N \leq H$. Then H is c -supplemented in G if and only if H/N is c -supplemented in G/N .*
- (3) *Let π be a set of primes. Let N be a normal π' -subgroup of G and let H be a π -subgroup of G . If H is c -supplemented in G , then HN/N is c -supplemented in G/N . If, furthermore, N normalizes H , then the converse also holds.*
- (4) *Let $H \leq G$ and $L \leq \Phi(H)$. If L is c -supplemented in G , then $L \triangleleft G$ and $L \leq \Phi(G)$.*

Proof. (1) If $HK = G$ with $H \cap K \leq H_G$, then $M = M \cap G = H(M \cap K)$ and $H \cap (K \cap M) \leq H_G \cap M \leq H_M$, so that H is c -supplemented in M .

(2) Suppose that H/N is c -supplemented in G/N . Then there exists a subgroup K/N of G/N such that $G/N = (H/N)(K/N)$ and $(H/N) \cap (K/N) \leq (H/N)_{G/N} = (H_G)/N$. Then $G = HK$, $H \cap K \leq H_G$ and H is c -supplemented in G .

Conversely, if H is c -supplemented in G , then there exists $K \leq G$ such that $G = HK$ and $H \cap K \leq H_G$. It is easy to check that KN/N is a c -supplement of H/N in G/N .

(3) If H is c -supplemented in G , then there exists $K \leq G$ such that $G = HK$ and $H \cap K \leq H_G$. Since $|G|_{\pi'} = |K|_{\pi'} = |KN|_{\pi'}$, we have that $|K \cap N|_{\pi'} = |N|_{\pi'} = |N|$ and hence $N \leq K$. It is clear that $(HN/N)(K/N) = G/N$ and $(HN/N) \cap (K/N) = (H \cap K)N/N \leq (HN/N)_{G/N}$. Hence HN/N is c -supplemented in G/N .

Conversely, assume that HN/N is c -supplemented in G/N and N normalizes H . Let K/N be a c -supplement of HN/N . Then $HK = HNK = G$ and $(H \cap K)N/N \leq L/N = ((HN)/N)_{G/N}$. By hypothesis, $NH = N \times H$. Hence NH is both π -nilpotent and π -closed and so $L = H_1 \times N$ with $H_1 \leq H$ and $H_1 \triangleleft G$. Now we have $H \cap K \leq H_1 \leq H_G$ and H is c -supplemented in G .

(4) If L is c -supplemented in G with c -supplement K , then $LK = G$ and $L \cap K \leq L_G$. Now $H = H \cap G = L(H \cap K) = H \cap K$ since $L \leq \Phi(H)$. Therefore

$L = L \cap K \leq L_G$ and hence $L \trianglelefteq G$. If $L \not\leq \Phi(G)$, then there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $LM = G$. Now $H = H \cap G = L(H \cap M) = H \cap M \leq M$. Therefore $G = LM \leq HM \leq M < G$, a contradiction. \square

3. Theorems.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G be a group. Then G is solvable if and only if every Sylow subgroup of G is c -supplemented in G .*

Proof. If G is solvable, then by [4, Theorem I.3.6] every Sylow subgroup of G is complemented and hence is c -supplemented.

Conversely, assume that every Sylow subgroup P of G is c -supplemented in G . By [4, Theorem I.3.6] we only need to prove that P is complemented in G . Let K_1 be a c -supplement of P in G . Then $PK_1 = G$ and $P \cap K_1 \leq P_G$. Let $K = P_G K_1$. We have $PK = G$ and $P \cap K = P_G(P \cap K_1) = P_G$. Note that $|G|_p = (|P||K|_p)/|P_G|$ and so P_G is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of K . By the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, [7, Theorem 9.1.10], we have that $K = P_G K_{p'}$ with $K_{p'}$ a Hall p' -subgroup of K . Now $G = PK = PK_{p'}$ and $P \cap K_{p'} = 1$. Hence P is complemented in G . The theorem is now proved. \square

Using the same argument as in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let G be a group and let H be a Hall subgroup of G . Then H is complemented in G if and only if H is c -supplemented in G .*

THEOREM 3.3. *Let G be a group. Then the following statements are pairwise equivalent.*

- (1) G is c -supplemented.
- (2) G is supersolvable. Let M be a subgroup of G and L be a subgroup M contained in $\Phi(M)$. Then $L \leq \Phi(G)$ and L is normal in G .
- (3) G is supersolvable, every Sylow subgroup of $G/\Phi(G)$ is elementary abelian and every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G .
- (4) $G/\Phi(G)$ is complemented and every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We prove that G is supersolvable by induction on the order of G . Since every Sylow subgroup of G is c -supplemented in G , Theorem 3.1 implies that G is solvable. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then N is an elementary abelian p -group for some prime p . By Lemma 2.1 (2) we know that G/N is c -complemented; hence G/N is supersolvable by induction. Let $x \in N$ with $|x| = p$. $\langle x \rangle$ is c -supplemented in G implies that there exists $K \leq G$ such that $\langle x \rangle K = G$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap K \leq \langle x \rangle_G$. $N = \langle x \rangle (N \cap K)$ and $N \cap K \trianglelefteq G$ since N is abelian. N is a minimal normal subgroup implies that either $N \leq K$ or $N \cap K = 1$. If $N \leq K$, then $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap K \leq \langle x \rangle_G$ and hence $N = \langle x \rangle$. G/N is supersolvable implies that G is supersolvable. In the latter case we also have $N = \langle x \rangle \cdot 1 = \langle x \rangle$ and get the same conclusion.

Suppose that M is a subgroup of G and L is a subgroup of $\Phi(M)$. Then L is c -supplemented in G . Lemma 2.1 (4) implies that $L \triangleleft G$ and $L \leq \Phi(G)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). For every Sylow subgroup P of G , we have that $\Phi(P) \leq \Phi(G)$. Therefore every Sylow subgroup of $G/\Phi(G)$ is elementary abelian and every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G .

(3) \Rightarrow (4). This follows from [6, Theorem 2].

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that $G/\Phi(G)$ is complemented and every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in G . Let H be a subgroup of G . Then there exists a subgroup $K/\Phi(G)$ of $G/\Phi(G)$ such that $(H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G))(K/\Phi(G)) = G/\Phi(G)$ and $(H\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)) \cap (K/\Phi(G)) = ((H \cap K)\Phi(G))/\Phi(G) = 1$. It follows that $HK = G$ and $H \cap K \leq \Phi(G)$. Hence $H \cap K \leq H_G$. By definition, H is c -supplemented in G and so G is c -supplemented. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

4. Applications. In this section we investigate the influence of the existence of c -supplements for some families of subgroups on the structure of the group. We focus our attention to minimal subgroups of the group. Let us first introduce the following notation.

Let p be a prime and G a group. We write

$$\mathcal{P}_p(G) = \{x \mid x \in G, |x| = p\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4(G) = \{x \mid x \in G, |x| = 4\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \cup_{p \in \pi(G)} \mathcal{P}_p(G),$$

$$\mathcal{P}^*(G) = \mathcal{P}_4(G) \cup \mathcal{P}(G).$$

Let x be an element of G . We say that x is c -supplemented in G if $\langle x \rangle$ is c -supplemented in G .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let G be a group and let K be the supersolvable residual $G^{\mathcal{L}}$ of G . Suppose that every element of $\mathcal{P}^*(K)$ is c -supplemented in G . Then G is supersolvable.*

Proof. Assume that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

(1) Every proper subgroup of G is supersolvable. Furthermore

(a) there exists a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G such that $G = P \rtimes R$ and $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$;

(b) if $p > 2$ then the exponent of P is p ; when $p = 2$ the exponent of P is 2 or 4.

Let M be a maximal subgroup of G . It is clear that $M/M \cap K$ is supersolvable and hence $M^{\mathcal{L}} \leq M \cap K$. By Lemma 2.1, every element of $\mathcal{P}^*(M^{\mathcal{L}})$ is c -supplemented in M , so that M satisfies the hypotheses of G . The minimal choice of G yields that M is supersolvable. This holds for every maximal subgroup M of G . Hence we have that G is not supersolvable but every proper subgroup of G is supersolvable. [3, Satz 1] implies (1)(a) and (1)(b).

(2) $K = P$. Since G/P is supersolvable, we have that $K \leq P$. Then $K\Phi(P)/\Phi(P)$ is a normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$ contained in $P/\Phi(P)$. Since $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$, it follows that either $K\Phi(P) = P$ or $K \leq \Phi(P)$. If $K < \Phi(P)$, then K is actually contained in $\Phi(G)$ and $G/\Phi(G)$ is supersolvable. Hence G is supersolvable, a contradiction, and so we have that $P = K$.

(3) $\Phi(P) \neq 1$. Otherwise P is elementary abelian and hence, by (1)(b), every element of P lies in $\mathcal{P}(K)$. Our hypotheses claim that every element of P is c -supplemented in G . Let $1 \neq x \in P$. Then there exists $M \leq G$ such that $\langle x \rangle M = G$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap M \leq \langle x \rangle_G$. Then $P = \langle x \rangle (P \cap M)$. Since P is abelian, it follows that $P \cap M \trianglelefteq G$. By (1)(a), P is a minimal normal subgroup of G when $\Phi(P) = 1$.

Therefore $P \cap M = 1$ or $P \leq M$. In both cases, we have that $\langle x \rangle = P$ and therefore G is supersolvable, a contradiction.

(4) $p = 2$. Assume that $p > 2$. Then by (1)(b) every element of P is c -supplemented in G . Moreover, by Lemma 2.1 (4), $\Phi(P)$ is contained in $\Phi(G)$ and $\Phi(P) \triangleleft G$. Next we see that the hypotheses of the theorem hold in $G/\Phi(P)$. Let $x \in P - \Phi(P)$. By hypotheses there exists a subgroup M of G such that $G = \langle x \rangle M$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap M \leq \langle x \rangle_G$. If $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle_G$, then (1)(a) implies that $P = \langle x \rangle \Phi(P) = \langle x \rangle$. Then G is supersolvable, a contradiction, and so we have that $\langle x \rangle \cap M = 1$. Hence M is a maximal subgroup of G because $o(x) = p$. This implies that $G/\Phi(P) = \langle x \rangle \Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \cdot M/\Phi(P)$ and $(\langle x \rangle \Phi(P)/\Phi(P)) \cap (M/\Phi(P)) = 1$ and $\langle x \rangle \Phi(P)/\Phi(P)$ is c -supplemented in $G/\Phi(P)$. The minimal choice of G (notice that $\Phi(P) \neq 1$ by (3)) implies that $G/\Phi(P)$ is supersolvable. Since $\Phi(P) \leq \Phi(G)$, we have that $G/\Phi(G)$ is supersolvable and so is G , a contradiction.

(5) $\exp(P) = 4$, $\Phi(P) \triangleleft G$, $\Phi(P) \leq \Phi(G)$ and $G/\Phi(P)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem.

If $\exp(P) = 2$, then P is elementary abelian, contrary to (3). Note that every element of $\Phi(P)$ is c -supplemented and hence, by Lemma 2.1 (4), $\Phi(P) \triangleleft G$ and $\Phi(P) \leq \Phi(G)$. For any element $x \in P - \Phi(P)$ with $|x| = 2$, the same argument of (4) shows that $\langle x \rangle \Phi(P)/\Phi(P)$ is c -supplemented in $G/\Phi(P)$. Now assume that $|x| = 4$. If $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq G$, nothing remains to be proved. Note that $\langle x^2 \rangle \leq \Phi(P)$ and hence $\langle x^2 \rangle \trianglelefteq G$, by Lemma 2.1 (4). Let K_1 be a c -supplement of $\langle x \rangle$. Then $\langle x \rangle K_1 = G$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap K_1 \leq \langle x \rangle_G = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Let $K = \langle x^2 \rangle K_1$. Then $\langle x \rangle K = G$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap K = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Now $|G : K| = 2$ implies that K is a maximal subgroup of G . Hence $\Phi(P) \leq K$ and

$$(\langle x \rangle \Phi(P))/\Phi(P)(K/\Phi(P)) = G/\Phi(P)$$

and

$$(\langle x \rangle \Phi(P))/\Phi(P) \cap (K/\Phi(P)) \leq ((\langle x \rangle \Phi(P))/\Phi(P))_{G/\Phi(P)}.$$

Therefore (5) holds.

By our minimal order choice, (5) implies that $G/\Phi(P)$ is supersolvable and so is G . This final contradiction completes our proof. □

Since $G^u \leq G'$ for every group G , we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let G be a group. If every element of $\mathcal{P}^*(G')$ is c -supplemented in G , then G is supersolvable.*

THEOREM 4.3. *Let G be a group and let $K = G^N$ be the nilpotent residual of G . Suppose that every element of $\mathcal{P}_4(K)$ is c -supplemented in G . Then G is nilpotent if and only if $\langle x \rangle$ lies in the hypercenter $Z_\infty(G)$ of G , for every element x of $\mathcal{P}(K)$.*

Proof. If G is nilpotent, then $G = Z_\infty(G)$. Certainly $\mathcal{P}(K) \subset G$ and so we only need to prove that the converse is true.

Assume that the statement is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. The following statements hold.

- (1) Every proper subgroup M of G is nilpotent.

In fact, if $M < G$, then we have $M/M \cap K \cong MK/K \leq G/K$ which is nilpotent. We have that $M^N \leq M \cap K \leq K$. By Lemma 2.1 and $Z_\infty(G) \cap M \leq Z_\infty(M)$, M satisfies the hypotheses of G . By the minimal choice of G , M is nilpotent.

(2) G is a minimal non-nilpotent group so that G has the following properties.

(2)(a) There exists a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G such that $G = P \rtimes Q$. $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$, and $\Phi(P) \leq Z(G)$.

(2)(b) If $p > 2$, then the exponent of P is p . When $p = 2$ the exponent of P is 2 or 4. See [3, Satz A].

(3) $K = P$.

Since G/P is nilpotent, we have that $K \leq P$. If $K < P$, then we have that $K\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \triangleleft G/\Phi(P)$ which implies that $P = K \leq \Phi(P) \leq \Phi(G)$. Therefore $G/\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent and so is G , a contradiction.

(4) $p = 2$ and P has element of order 4.

Otherwise, by our hypotheses, every element of P lies in $\mathcal{P}(K) \subset Z_\infty(G)$. Now $G/Z_\infty(G)$ is nilpotent yields G is nilpotent, a contradiction.

(5) There is an element y of order 4 such that $y \notin \Phi(P)$.

If all the elements of order 4 lie in $\Phi(P)$, then again $P \leq Z(G) \leq Z_\infty(G)$, a contradiction.

(6) G is 2-nilpotent.

By (5), there exists an element $x \in P - \Phi(P)$ with $|x| = 4$. Since $\langle x \rangle$ is c -supplemented in G , there exists a subgroup K_1 of G such that $G = \langle x \rangle K_1$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap K \leq \langle x \rangle_G$. If $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle_G$, then $\langle x \rangle \Phi(P)/\Phi(P)$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$. (2)(a) implies that $P = \langle x \rangle \Phi(P) = \langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. By [7, 10.1.9] we have that G is 2-nilpotent.

Assume that $\langle x \rangle_G$ is a proper subgroup of $\langle x \rangle$. Then $\langle x \rangle_G = \langle x^2 \rangle$ because $x^2 \in Z(G)$. Let $K = \langle x^2 \rangle K_1$. Then $G = \langle x \rangle K$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap K = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Therefore $|G : K| = 2$ and K is normal in G . Since $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$, it follows that either $P \leq K$ or $P \cap K \leq \Phi(P)$. If $P \leq K$, then $\langle x \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle$, a contradiction. Hence $P \cap K \leq \Phi(P)$ and $P = \langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. Again we have that G is 2-nilpotent.

By step (6), Q is normal in G and so G is nilpotent, a contradiction. \square

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let G be a group and suppose that every element of order 4 of G' is c -supplemented in G . Then G is nilpotent if and only if every element of $\mathcal{P}(G')$ lies in $Z_\infty(G)$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The second author is grateful to the University of Valencia and the Department of Algebra for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

1. A. Ballester-Bolínches and X. Guo, On complemented subgroups of finite groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **72** (1999), 161–166.
2. J. Buckley, Finite groups whose minimal subgroups are normal, *Math. Z.*, **116** (1970), 15–17.
3. K. Doerk, Minimal nicht Überauflösbarer endliche Gruppen, *Math. Z.*, **91** (1966), 198–205.
4. K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups* (de Gruyter, 1992).
5. P. Hall, A characteristic property of soluble groups, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **12** (1937), 198–200.

6. P. Hall, Complemented groups, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **12** (1937), 201–204.
7. D. J. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups* (Springer-Verlag, 1993).
8. Y. Wang, C-normality of groups and its properties, *J. Algebra*, **78** (1996), 101–108.