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#### Abstract

Background National cancer incidence trends are presented for eight Asian American groups: Asian Indians/Pakistanis, Chinese, Filipinos, Japanese, Kampucheans, Koreans, Laotians, and Vietnamese.

Methods Cancer incidence data from 1990 through 2008 were obtained from 13 Surveillance, Epidemiology, End Results (SEER) registries. Incidence rates from 1990 through 2008 and average percentage change were computed using SEER*Stat and Joinpoint software. The annual percentage change (APC) in incidence rates was estimated with $95 \%$ confidence intervals ( $95 \%$ Cls) calculated for both the rate and APC estimates. Rates for non-Hispanic whites are presented for comparison.


Results Prostate cancer was the most common malignancy among most groups, followed by lung, colorectal, liver, and stomach cancers. Breast cancer was generally the most common cancer in women, followed by colorectal and lung cancers; liver, cervix, thyroid, and stomach cancers also ranked highly. Among men, increasing trends were observed for prostate (Asian Indians and Pakistanis: APC 1990-2003 = 2.2, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=0.3$ to 4.1; Filipinos: APC 1990-1994 = 19.0, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=4.5$ to 35.4 ; Koreans: APC $1990-2008=2.9,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.8$ to 4.0 ), colorectal (Koreans: APC 1990-2008 = 2.2, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.9$ to 3.5), and liver cancers (Filipinos: APC 1990-2008 = 1.6, 95\% CI = 0.4 to 2.7; Koreans: APC $1990-2006=2.1,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.4$ to 3.7 ; Vietnamese: APC $1990-2008=1.6,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=0.3$ to 2.8), whereas lung and stomach cancers generally remained stable or decreased. Among women, increases were observed for uterine cancer (Asian Indians: APC 1990-2008 $=3.0,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=0.3$ to 5.8 ; Chinese: APC 2004-2008 $=7.0,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.4$ to 12.9; Filipina: APC $1990-2008=3.0,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=2.4$ to 3.7; Japanese: APC $1990-2008=1.1,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.1$ to 2.0 ), colorectal cancer (Koreans: APC 1990-2008 = 2.8, $95 \%$ CI $=1.7$ to 3.9; Laotians: APC: 1990-2008 = 5.9, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=4.0$ to 7.7), lung cancer (Filipinas: APC $1990-2008=2.1,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.4$ to 2.8 ; Koreans: APC $1990-2008=2.1,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.6$ to 3.6), thyroid cancer (Filipinas: APC 1990-2008 $=2.5,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1.7$ to 3.3), and breast cancer in most groups (APC 1990-2008 from 1.2 among Vietnamese and Chinese to 4.7 among Koreans). Decreases were observed for stomach (Chinese and Japanese), colorectal (Chinese), and cervical cancers (Laotians and Vietnamese).

Conclusions These data fill a critical knowledge gap concerning the cancer experience of Asian American groups and highlight where increased preventive, screening, and surveillance efforts are needed-in particular, lung cancer among Filipina and Korean women and Asian Indian/Pakistani men, breast cancer among all women, and liver cancer among Vietnamese, Laotian, and Kampuchean women and Filipino, Kampuchean, and Vietnamese men.
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The Asian American population grew faster than that of any racial group in the United States over the last decade (1), with Asian Americans currently representing $5.6 \%$ of the population (2). Two-thirds of Asian Americans are foreign-born, and $25 \%$ immigrated within the past decade (3). Asian Americans come from heterogeneous socioeconomic backgrounds (1) and vary in English proficiency, insurance coverage, and use of health services $(4,5)$, factors that play important roles in cancer risk. Despite the Asian American population being comprised of numerous diverse groups originating from more than 50 different countries and speaking
more than 100 languages, the dominant research literature tends to aggregate these groups (6). As a population with bimodal distributions of socioeconomic status (5,7-10), Asian Americans are generally portrayed as a "model minority" (11), a misleading narrative that obscures their diversity and complexity ( $8,9,12-14$ ).

An appreciation for the heterogeneity of these populations (15) is evident in the increase in publications reporting cancer incidence data for specific Asian American groups (13,16-39). The existence of the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, End Results(SEER) Program, an integrated program of population-based
cancer registries (40-42), facilitates cancer surveillance among specific Asian American populations (43). Examining the descriptive epidemiology of cancer among Asian American groups is critical to the identification of opportunities for targeted cancer control and to informing cancer etiology (36).

Although cancer incidence trends over time have been presented for Asian Americans in California (13,16,20,23,30,31,33,37,39,4446), the lack of detailed annual population estimates has precluded an examination of national trends. We report results from the first analysis of national trends in cancer incidence for the eight largest Asian American groups-Asian Indians and Pakistanis (combined), Chinese, Filipinos, Japanese, Kampucheans (Cambodians), Koreans, Laotian, and Vietnamese-from 1990 through 2008.

## Methods

## Study Data

Cancer incidence data during the 19-year period between January 1, 1990, and December 31, 2008, were obtained from 13 US popu-lation-based SEER cancer registries. The regions with sufficiently sized Asian American populations included in this analysis are shown in Table 1; these registries cover $54 \%$ of the US Asian American population (47), with distributions by registry shown in Table 2.

SEER data on race and Hispanic ethnicity were generally based on patient's medical records $(48,49)$. Asian Americans were included in this analysis regardless of Hispanic ethnicity. Information on birthplace and surname was used in certain situations when a specific race designation was lacking (50). Nonetheless, approximately $7 \%$ (increase of $1.2 \%$ in 1990 to $10.6 \%$ in 2008) of the Asian American cancer cases were classified as "other Asian; Asian, not otherwise specified" and could not be included in a specific Asian American category. Asian Indians and Pakistanis were combined because of SEER coding rules. Data for non-Hispanic whites were included to serve as a US comparison group.

Detailed population data for Asian American groups are available from the decennial US Censuses. Individuals could report a single race in the 1990 Census and multiple races in the 2000

Census; because of this incompatibility, we developed the following methodology for producing a consistent set of denominators: April 1, 1990, and April 1, 2000, Census population distributions by age, sex, and detailed Asian American ethnicity of a given geography were applied as percentages to the midyear (July) 1990 and 2000 Census estimates to yield estimates for the Asian American groups. Because the 1990 Census did not publish county-level population counts for Pakistanis, we used the 1990 public-use microdata samples (51). The April 2000 estimates were derived by calculating an average of the single race alone count (ie, those who self-identified with one Asian American group) and the count for single race alone or in combination with other race(s). The 1991 to 1999 estimates were developed from a linear interpolation between the 1990 and 2000 midyear estimates, and the 2001 to 2008 estimates were projected using this linear model. Because of the high percentage of Asian Americans of mixed ethnicity in Hawaii, as well as concerns that the native Hawaiian population has been undercounted in previous Censuses, the Hawaii Tumor Registry has developed improved population estimates derived from sample survey data collected by the Hawaii Department of Health (52). These estimates were used for the Hawaii populations for 1990 to 2005 and estimated for 2006 to 2008 based on linear projections from the 2000 to 2005 data. Annual population data were obtained from the Census Bureau for non-Hispanic whites $(53,54)$.

Because the Census Bureau does not disclose race-/ethnicspecific population counts below 100 for any geographic area in the 2000 Census (55), we could not obtain comprehensive Asian American population estimates for some SEER areas. When Census population data were suppressed for an Asian American ethnic group for an entire registry, the registry was excluded from rate calculations for that particular group (Table 1). When population data were suppressed for some counties within a multicounty SEER area, rates were calculated for the remaining counties with available population data, as indicated in Table 1. Because this study was based on secondary analyses of deidentified data, informed consent was not required. Human subjects approval was obtained from the Cancer Prevention Institute of California's Institutional Review Board.

Table 1. Geographic areas included in cancer incidence rates for each Asian American ethnic group, 1990 to 2008*

|  | California $\dagger$ | Connecticut | Hawaii | lowa | New Jersey | New Mexico | Utah | Atlanta metro $\ddagger$ | Detroit metro $\ddagger$ | Seattle-Puget Sound $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani§ | X | X |  | X | X | X |  | 3 | X | 1 |
| Chinese | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 9 |
| Filipino | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 11 |
| Japanese | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 9 |
| Kampuchean | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | 1 | 9 |
| Korean | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 10 |
| Laotian | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 7 |
| Vietnamese | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 6 |
| Non-Hispanic white | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| * X indicates area was used in rate calculations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| † Includes cancer registries for San Francisco/Oakland, San Jose/Monterey, Los Angeles, and all remaining areas in California combined. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ddagger$ Indicates number of counties within the three-county Metropolitan Detroit area, five-county Metropolitan Atlanta area, and the 13-county Seattle-Puget Sound area for which population estimates were not suppressed by the Census Bureau and thus could be included in the incidence analyses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| § Incidence rates calculated for combined group of Asian Indians and Pakistanis because of Surveillance, Epidemiology, End Results program coding rule. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Annual populations and percentage distributions of each Asian American ethnic group and non-Hispanic whites by Surveillance, Epidemiology, End Results registry geographic region and Census year (1990 and 2000)*

|  | Asian Indian and Pakistani | Chinese | Filipino | Japanese | Kampuchean | Korean | Laotian | Vietnamese | NonHispanic white |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total SEER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 319350 | 943014 | 1040610 | 660561 | 89112 | 391190 | 81419 | 345004 | 38062478 |
| 2000 | 685041 | 1396938 | 1420523 | 661016 | 100909 | 557799 | 85658 | 591877 | 37959503 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | $\begin{aligned} & 181255 \\ & (56.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 739207 \\ & (78.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 768495 \\ & (73.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 327064 \\ & (49.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72374 \\ (81.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 273141 \\ & (69.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61642 \\ (75.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 295949 \\ & (85.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17088922 \\ (44.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Connecticut | $\begin{aligned} & 13093 \\ & (4.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11430 \\ & (1.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5319 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3929 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1814 \\ (2.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5311 \\ (1.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3097 \\ (3.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4231 \\ (1.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2758317 \\ (7.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Atlanta (metropolitan) | $\begin{gathered} 8081 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9420 \\ (1.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2184 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3183 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2044 \\ (2.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9770 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2957 \\ (3.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5749 \\ (1.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1423948 \\ (3.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hawaii | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68717 \\ & (7.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152957 \\ & (14.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262054 \\ & (39.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (0.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20240 \\ & (5.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1721 \\ (2.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5621 \\ & (1.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 290686 \\ (0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Iowa | $\begin{gathered} 3429 \\ (1.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4389 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1574 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1600 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 599 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4530 \\ (1.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3312 \\ (4.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2853 \\ (0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2670764 \\ (7.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Detroit (metropolitan) | $\begin{aligned} & 18107 \\ & (5.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9649 \\ (1.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9689 \\ (0.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5851 \\ (0.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ (0.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6718 \\ (1.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 865 \\ (1.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1748 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2828785 \\ (7.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| New Jersey | $\begin{aligned} & 88072 \\ & (27.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60774 \\ & (6.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54591 \\ & (5.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17769 \\ & (2.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39659 \\ & (10.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 494 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7582 \\ (2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5728555 \\ (15.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| New Mexico | $\begin{gathered} 1775 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2766 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2154 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1565 \\ (0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 559 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1587 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 767785 \\ (2.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Utah | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5488 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1958 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6682 \\ (1.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1027 \\ (1.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2709 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1824 \\ (2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2884 \\ (0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1579032 \\ (4.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Seattle (Puget Sound) | $\begin{gathered} 5539 \\ (1.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31172 \\ & (3.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41689 \\ & (4.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30427 \\ & (4.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10545 \\ & (11.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27549 \\ (7.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4946 \\ (6.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16801 \\ & (4.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2925684 \\ (7.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | $\begin{aligned} & 364524 \\ & (53.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1056638 \\ (75.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1017248 \\ (71.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 345151 \\ & (52.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77952 \\ & (77.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363811 \\ & (65.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60694 \\ (70.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 469342 \\ & (79.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16371062 \\ (43.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Connecticut | $\begin{aligned} & 28090 \\ & (4.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20775 \\ & (1.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8938 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5040 \\ (0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2613 \\ (2.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7675 \\ (1.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3068 \\ (3.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7997 \\ (1.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2674033 \\ (7.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Atlanta (metropolitan) | $\begin{aligned} & 28737 \\ & (4.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22804 \\ & (1.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5681 \\ (0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4905 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2818 \\ (2.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21874 \\ & (3.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3426 \\ (4.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24111 \\ & (4.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1504488 \\ (4.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hawaii | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89434 \\ & (6.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200386 \\ & (14.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 228734 \\ & (34.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 282 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28609 \\ & (5.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2137 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8949 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 264244 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Iowa | $\begin{gathered} 6430 \\ (0.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6790 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2959 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1941 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 739 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5605 \\ (1.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4484 \\ (5.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7559 \\ (1.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2727974 \\ (7.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Detroit (metropolitan) | $\begin{aligned} & 44954 \\ & (6.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18809 \\ & (1.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13743 \\ & (1.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7434 \\ (1.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 143 \\ (0.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9173 \\ (1.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1199 \\ (1.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4715 \\ (0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2772339 \\ (7.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| New Jersey | $\begin{aligned} & 190557 \\ & (27.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105999 \\ (7.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91022 \\ & (6.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16915 \\ & (2.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 766 \\ (0.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67785 \\ & (12.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 562 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16088 \\ & (2.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5637409 \\ (14.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| New Mexico | $\begin{gathered} 3736 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4691 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3895 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2926 \\ (0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2174 \\ (0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 415 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3482 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 828929 \\ (2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Utah | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9499 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4310 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8213 \\ (1.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1517 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4094 \\ (0.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2487 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6444 \\ (1.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1933223 \\ & (5.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Seattle (Puget Sound) | $\begin{aligned} & 18014 \\ & (2.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61499 \\ & (4.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72341 \\ & (5.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39756 \\ & (6.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14079 \\ (14.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46999 \\ & (8.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7185 \\ (8.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43190 \\ & (7.3 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3245802 \\ (8.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

* See Table 1 for registry and county inclusions.


## Statistical Analysis

Cancer incidence rates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated as cases per 100000 persons and age-adjusted to the 2000 US standard population using SEER*Stat software (http:// seer.cancer.gov/seerstat/). Rates for the top five cancer sites for each group are shown as 5 -year average annual rates for the periods 1990 to 1994, 1998 to 2002, and 2004 to 2008 (excludes rates from 1995 to 1997 and 2003) for the purposes of showing broad trends over this time period, anchored to the 1990 and 2000 Census data, and capturing the beginning and end of the time period (Tables

3 and 4). Rates were suppressed for case counts less than 10, with an exception for stomach and prostate cancer among Kampuchean men (56). Annual rates are also shown graphically as trends $(57,58)$, except for smaller groups, for which 2- or 5-year averaged rates are shown (Figures 1 and 2). Joinpoint regression models and annual percentage change (APC) statistics were used to characterize the magnitude and direction of trends (59). A maximum of three joinpoints was allowed based on single-year data. Trends by Asian American ethnic group are also available for eight cancer sites for men (Supplementary Figure 1, available online) and women
Table 3. Age-adjusted incidence rates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) of the top five cancer sites by Asian American ethnic group and non-Hispanic whites, and by time period (1990-1994, 1998-2002, 2004-2008), men*

| Rank |  | 1990-1994 |  |  | 1998-2002 |  |  | 2004-2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 998 | 240.7 (221.9 to 260.5) | All sites | 2304 | 279.5 (265.4 to 294.1) | All sites | 3321 | 283.6 (271.8 to 295.7) |
| 1 | Prostate | 249 | 85.1 (73.1 to 98.2) | Prostate | 704 | 95.9 (87.8 to 104.6) | Prostate | 933 | 84.8 (78.6 to 91.4) |
| 2 | CRC | 104 | 22.1 (17.0 to 28.2) | Lung | 201 | 28.1 (23.5 to 33.2) | Lung | 282 | 30.1 (26.0 to 34.6) |
| 3 | Lung | 82 | 20.4 (15.6 to 26.2) | CRC | 187 | 21.4 (17.8 to 25.6) | CRC | 297 | 23.4 (20.3 to 26.8) |
| 4 | Bladder | 53 | 14.6 (10.2 to 20.1) | Bladder | 101 | 15.3 (11.9 to 19.4) | Bladder | 163 | 17.5 (14.4 to 21.0) |
| 5 | NHL | 60 | 11.0 (7.8 to 15.1) | NHL | 136 | 14.5 (11.5 to 18.0) | NHL | 197 | 14.3 (12.0 to 17.0) |
| Chinese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 6378 | 354.1 (344.9 to 363.5) | All sites | 9242 | 337.8 (330.7 to 344.9) | All sites | 10,705 | 320.9 (314.7 to 327.1) |
| 1 | Prostate | 1263 | 75.6 (71.3 to 80.1) | Prostate | 2211 | 82.2 (78.7 to 85.7) | Prostate | 2488 | 74.9 (71.9 to 77.9) |
| 2 | Lung | 1070 | 60.5 (56.7 to 64.4) | CRC | 1403 | 52.1 (49.3 to 55.0) | Lung | 1678 | 52.0 (49.5 to 54.6) |
| 3 | CRC | 989 | 56.8 (53.1 to 60.6) | Lung | 1351 | 51.5 (48.7 to 54.4) | CRC | 1410 | 42.1 (39.9 to 44.4) |
| 4 | Liver | 474 | 24.4 (22.1 to 26.8) | Liver | 685 | 23.6 (21.8 to 25.5) | Liver | 845 | 24.1 (22.5 to 25.8) |
| 5 | Stomach | 332 | 19.2 (17.1 to 21.6) | Stomach | 461 | 17.7 (16.0 to 19.4) | Stomach | 524 | 16.3 (14.9 to 17.7) |
| Filipino |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 7178 | 396.6 (387.4 to 406.1) | All sites | 9420 | 385.3 (377.4 to 393.4) | All sites | 10,847 | 385.1 (377.6 to 392.6) |
| 1 | Prostate | 2224 | 131.0 (125.6 to 136.6) | Prostate | 2879 | 122.1 (117.6 to 126.7) | Prostate | 3224 | 117.2 (113.1 to 121.4) |
| 2 | Lung | 1232 | 68.1 (64.3 to 72.1) | Lung | 1680 | 70.1 (66.7 to 73.6) | Lung | 1831 | 68.4 (65.3 to 71.7) |
| 3 | CRC | 817 | 45.6 (42.5 to 48.9) | CRC | 1214 | 49.1 (46.3 to 52.0) | CRC | 1377 | 47.8 (45.2 to 50.5) |
| 4 | NHL | 354 | 18.7 (16.7 to 20.7) | NHL | 474 | 18.8 (17.1 to 20.6) | NHL | 557 | 19.6 (18.0 to 21.4) |
| 5 | Liver | 257 | 13.8 (12.1 to 15.6) | Liver | 417 | 16.6 (15.0 to 18.3) | Liver | 508 | 17.1 (15.6 to 18.7) |
| Japanese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 7145 | 423.7 (413.5 to 434.2) | All sites | 7797 | 405.4 (396.3 to 414.7) | All sites | 7866 | 403.9 (394.8 to 413.1) |
| 1 | Prostate | 2236 | 132.5 (126.8 to 138.4) | Prostate | 2231 | 112.7 (108.0 to 117.6) | Prostate | 2158 | 109.5 (104.9 to 114.4) |
| 2 | CRC | 1303 | 75.2 (71.0 to 79.6) | CRC | 1403 | 73.1 (69.3 to 77.1) | CRC | 1289 | 66.6 (62.9 to 70.4) |
| 3 | Lung | 869 | 51.1 (47.6 to 54.8) | Lung | 944 | 48.0 (44.9 to 51.2) | Lung | 1045 | 52.4 (49.1 to 55.7) |
| 4 | Stomach | 610 | 37.7 (34.6 to 41.0) | Stomach | 545 | 28.5 (26.1 to 31.1) | Bladder | 476 | 24.4 (22.2 to 26.7) |
| 5 | Bladder | 294 | 18.1 (16.0 to 20.4) | Bladder | 445 | 22.7 (20.6 to 24.9) | Stomach | 475 | 24.2 (22.0 to 26.6) |
| Kampuchean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 204 | 287.1 (240.3 to 339.5) | All sites | 336 | 346.3 (303.9 to 392.3) | All sites | 398 | 318.9 (283.9 to 356.7) |
| 1 | Lung | 42 | 81.8 (55.6 to 114.7) | Lung | 56 | 73.2 (53.3 to 97.1) | Liver | 76 | 52.7 (40.1 to 67.7) |
| 2 | Liver | 34 | 40.0 (25.8 to 59.3) | Liver | 63 | 45.8 (34.3 to 59.9) | Lung | 58 | 51.7 (38.0 to 68.3) |
| 3 | CRC | 18 | 31.3 (16.3 to 53.0) | Prostate | 28 | 36.7 (23.4 to 54.0) | CRC | 56 | 43.4 (31.8 to 57.6) |
| 4 | nr |  |  | CRC | 31 | 27.6 (17.0 to 41.7) | Prostate | 37 | 38.1 (25.7 to 53.7) |
| 5 | Bladder | 10 | 18.2 (6.6 to 37.4) | Stomach | 16 | 21.5 (10.9 to 36.7) | NHL | 22 | 17.0 (9.6 to 27.3) |

Table 3 (Continued).

| Rank |  | 1990-1994 |  |  | 1998-2002 |  |  | 2004-2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% Cl) |
| Korean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 1649 | 347.3 (327.4 to 367.9) | All sites | 2863 | 369.5 (354.6 to 384.9) | All sites | 3843 | 400.0 (386.4 to 413.9) |
| 1 | Lung | 287 | 67.4 (58.6 to 77.0) | Lung | 412 | 60.1 (53.9 to 66.8) | Prostate | 582 | 63.5 (58.1 to 69.1) |
| 2 | Stomach | 253 | 55.9 (47.7 to 64.9) | Prostate | 396 | 57.0 (51.1 to 63.4) | CRC | 575 | 58.2 (53.1 to 63.6) |
| 3 | CRC | 204 | 40.9 (34.7 to 47.8) | CRC | 436 | 54.4 (48.9 to 60.3) | Lung | 493 | 57.5 (52.1 to 63.1) |
| 4 | Prostate | 145 | 40.6 (33.5 to 48.6) | Stomach | 386 | 49.2 (43.9 to 54.9) | Stomach | 502 | 52.5 (47.6 to 57.7) |
| 5 | Liver | 180 | 29.7 (25.1 to 34.8) | Liver | 327 | 36.1 (31.8 to 40.7) | Liver | 369 | 34.9 (31.1 to 38.9) |
| Laotian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 294 | 407.1 (352.1 to 467.7) | All sites | 377 | 382.0 (338.3 to 429.3) | All sites | 416 | 371.7 (332.8 to 413.5) |
| 1 | Lung | 56 | 92.5 (67.8 to 122.7) | Lung | 66 | 85.9 (64.1 to 112.0) | Lung | 72 | 70.6 (54.0 to 90.2) |
| 2 | Liver | 48 | 52.4 (37.4 to 72.1) | Liver | 80 | 74.0 (56.6 to 94.7) | Liver | 82 | 64.5 (50.5 to 81.1) |
| 3 | Stomach | 19 | 45.5 (24.2 to 75.2) | Stomach | 24 | 33.2 (19.7 to 51.2) | CRC | 52 | 43.9 (31.5 to 59.1) |
| 4 | Pancreas | 15 | 28.2 (13.9 to 49.5) | CRC | 30 | 26.7 (17.1 to 39.5) | Prostate | 26 | 31.1 (19.5 to 46.2) |
| 5 | Prostate | 13 | 27.9 (13.6 to 49.3) | Prostate | 18 | 24.8 (13.9 to 40.0) | NHL | 27 | 25.6 (16.1 to 38.0) |
| Vietnamese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 1484 | 366.1 (342.9 to 390.3) | All sites | 2968 | 365.1 (349.6 to 381.0) | All sites | 4136 | 367.5 (354.4 to 381.0) |
| 1 | Lung | 286 | 79.0 (68.5 to 90.5) | Lung | 530 | 69.9 (63.1 to 77.2) | Lung | 752 | 73.4 (67.4 to 79.7) |
| 2 | Prostate | 129 | 46.7 (37.9 to 56.7) | Prostate | 417 | 58.8 (52.5 to 65.5) | Liver | 737 | 58.5 (53.7 to 63.5) |
| 3 | Liver | 197 | 45.3 (38.0 to 53.5) | Liver | 489 | 54.8 (49.3 to 60.8) | Prostate | 602 | 56.0 (51.0 to 61.2) |
| 4 | CRC | 142 | 35.6 (28.8 to 43.5) | CRC | 324 | 38.9 (34.1 to 44.2) | CRC | 487 | 41.1 (36.8 to 45.6) |
| 5 | Stomach | 106 | 30.8 (23.7 to 39.1) | Stomach | 169 | 24.6 (20.3 to 29.4) | Stomach | 213 | 21.2 (18.0 to 24.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 550,378 | 629.5 (627.8 to 631.2) | All sites | 564,705 | 590.5 (589.0 to 592.1) | All sites | 572,704 | 560.2 (558.7 to 561.6) |
| 1 | Prostate | 172,608 | 198.0 (197.1 to 199.0) | Prostate | 168,727 | 175.1 (174.2 to 175.9) | Prostate | 162,504 | 154.7 (153.9 to 155.5) |
| 2 | Lung | 85,283 | 96.7 (96.1 to 97.4) | Lung | 79,933 | 83.7 (83.2 to 84.3) | Lung | 74,729 | 74.0 (73.5 to 74.6) |
| 3 | CRC | 61,441 | 72.4 (71.8 to 73.0) | CRC | 61,251 | 64.9 (64.3 to 65.4) | CRC | 54,752 | 54.0 (53.5 to 54.4) |
| 4 | Bladder | 37,047 | 43.6 (43.1 to 44.1) | Bladder | 41,396 | 44.0 (43.6 to 44.5) | Bladder | 43,592 | 43.8 (43.4 to 44.2) |
| 5 | NHL | 21,542 | 24.2 (23.9 to 24.6) | Melanoma | 30,285 | 31.2 (30.8 to 31.5) | Melanoma | 37,884 | 37.1 (36.7 to 37.5) |

[^0]Table 4. Age-adjusted incidence rates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (Cls) of the top five cancer sites by Asian American ethnic group and non-Hispanic whites, and by time period
1990-2008, women*

| Rank |  | 1990-1994 |  |  | 1998-2002 |  |  | 2004-2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 944 | 190.3 (175.9 to 205.6) | All sites | 2174 | 220.6 (210.1 to 231.5) | All sites | 3308 | 250.1 (240.5 to 259.9) |
| 1 | Breast | 333 | 56.1 (49.6 to 63.3) | Breast | 833 | 76.2 (70.5 to 82.1) | Breast | 1263 | 88.3 (83.0 to 93.9) |
| 2 | CRC | 62 | 14.4 (10.4 to 19.4) | CRC | 140 | 16.9 (13.9 to 20.3) | CRC | 232 | 18.8 (16.2 to 21.7) |
| 3 | Uterine | 61 | 13.6 (9.7 to 18.3) | Uterine | 129 | 12.3 (10.2 to 14.8) | Uterine | 218 | 16.4 (14.1 to 18.9) |
| 4 | Lung | 40 | 12.8 (8.5 to 18.3) | Lung | 88 | 12.2 (9.5 to 15.4) | Lung | 136 | 12.4 (10.3 to 14.9) |
| 5 | Ovary | 59 | 9.6 (7.0 to 12.8) | Ovary | 120 | 10.9 (8.8 to 13.4) | Thyroid | 222 | 11.9 (10.2 to 13.8) |
| Chinese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 5583 | 252.7 (246.0 to 259.6) | All sites | 8920 | 261.2 (255.7 to 266.7) | All sites | 11,103 | 263.4 (258.5 to 268.4) |
| 1 | Breast | 1548 | 66.1 (62.8 to 69.6) | Breast | 2707 | 75.5 (72.6 to 78.4) | Breast | 3477 | 78.8 (76.2 to 81.5) |
| 2 | CRC | 810 | 39.6 (36.8 to 42.5) | CRC | 1266 | 38.8 (36.7 to 41.0) | CRC | 1447 | 35.7 (33.9 to 37.7) |
| 3 | Lung | 642 | 31.2 (28.8 to 33.7) | Lung | 920 | 28.5 (26.7 to 30.4) | Lung | 1207 | 29.9 (28.2 to 31.7) |
| 4 | Uterine | 279 | 11.8 (10.4 to 13.2) | Uterine | 409 | 11.6 (10.5 to 12.8) | Uterine | 621 | 14.3 (13.2 to 15.5) |
| 5 | Stomach | 232 | 11.3 (9.9 to 12.9) | Stomach | 354 | 10.9 (9.8 to 12.2) | Thyroid | 549 | 12.2 (11.2 to 13.2) |
| Filipina All site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 6470 | 273.8 (266.7 to 281.1) | All sites | 10,114 | 285.1 (279.4 to 290.9) | All sites | 13,352 | 312.2 (306.8 to 317.6) |
| 1 | Breast | 2193 | 85.8 (82.1 to 89.7) | Breast | 3737 | 99.2 (96.0 to 102.5) | Breast | 4673 | 103.7 (100.7 to 106.7) |
| 2 | CRC | 583 | 27.8 (25.3 to 30.3) | CRC | 959 | 28.8 (27.0 to 30.8) | CRC | 1287 | 31.8 (30.0 to 33.6) |
| 3 | Lung | 496 | 23.1 (21.0 to 25.4) | Lung | 821 | 25.5 (23.7 to 27.3) | Lung | 1194 | 30.1 (28.4 to 31.9) |
| 4 | Thyroid | 432 | 15.6 (14.1 to 17.2) | Uterine | 686 | 18.3 (16.9 to 19.7) | Uterine | 1009 | 22.0 (20.6 to 23.4) |
| 5 | Uterine | 359 | 14.1 (12.6 to 15.7) | Thyroid | 668 | 17.2 (15.9 to 18.6) | Thyroid | 976 | 21.4 (20.1 to 22.8) |
| Japanese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 6441 | 296.0 (288.5 to 303.7) | All sites | 8364 | 325.7 (318.5 to 333.1) | All sites | 8577 | 307.5 (300.7 to 314.5) |
| 1 | Breast | 2129 | 98.8 (94.5 to 103.3) | Breast | 2916 | 120.1 (115.7 to 124.7) | Breast | 2702 | 104.9 (100.8 to 109.2) |
| 2 | CRC | 1056 | 47.1 (44.2 to 50.1) | CRC | 1385 | 50.5 (47.8 to 53.4) | CRC | 1295 | 43.0 (40.5 to 45.5) |
| 3 | Lung | 506 | 21.7 (19.7 to 23.7) | Lung | 696 | 24.2 (22.4 to 26.1) | Lung | 907 | 27.9 (26.1 to 29.9) |
| 4 | Stomach | 411 | 19.1 (17.2 to 21.1) | Uterine | 456 | 19.2 (17.5 to 21.2) | Uterine | 488 | 20.0 (18.2 to 21.9) |
| 5 | Uterine | 359 | 16.4 (14.7 to 18.3) | Stomach | 416 | 14.6 (13.2 to 16.1) | Stomach | 356 | 11.1 (9.9 to 12.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 208 | 206.3 (173.7 to 242.8) | All sites | 289 | 197.6 (173.5 to 224.0) | All sites | 477 | 278.7 (252.6 to 306.6) |
| 1 | Lung | 23 | 31.5 (18.7 to 48.8) | Breast | 61 | 35.3 (26.4 to 46.2) | Breast | 89 | 43.4 (34.4 to 54.0) |
| 2 | CRC | 20 | 22.8 (12.8 to 36.9) | Lung | 27 | 22.4 (14.3 to 33.0) | CRC | 68 | 42.2 (32.3 to 53.9) |
| 3 | Breast | 29 | 19.6 (12.9 to 29.1) | CRC | 26 | 19.3 (12.1 to 28.7) | Lung | 43 | 26.7 (19.0 to 36.3) |
| 4 | Cervix | 20 | 17.3 (10.4 to 27.3) | Cervix | 21 | 13.5 (8.1 to 21.0) | Liver | 37 | 24.8 (17.1 to 34.5) |
| 5 | Liver | 12 | 16.8 (7.6 to 30.6) | Liver | 16 | 12.6 (6.8 to 20.8) | Cervix | 30 | 16.7 (11.0 to 24.2) |
| Korean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 1762 | 220.3 (209.1 to 231.9) | All sites | 3173 | 255.7 (246.5 to 265.2) | All sites | 4345 | 290.6 (281.7 to 299.7) |
| 1 | Breast | 347 | 34.9 (31.2 to 39.0) | Breast | 789 | 53.9 (50.1 to 57.9) | Breast | 1197 | 69.5 (65.5 to 73.6) |
| 2 | CRC | 191 | 27.5 (23.4 to 32.1) | CRC | 410 | 35.7 (32.2 to 39.5) | CRC | 579 | 40.9 (37.5 to 44.5) |
| 3 | Stomach | 199 | 26.0 (22.1 to 30.3) | Lung | 301 | 27.4 (24.3 to 30.9) | Lung | 371 | 28.0 (25.2 to 31.1) |
| 4 | Lung | 145 | 21.4 (17.8 to 25.4) | Stomach | 298 | 26.6 (23.6 to 30.0) | Stomach | 384 | 27.4 (24.6 to 30.4) |
| 5 | Cervix | 152 | 17.0 (14.2 to 20.1) | Liver | 173 | 15.1 (12.9 to 17.7) | Thyroid | 268 | 15.3 (13.5 to 17.4) |

[^1]Table 4 (Continued).

| Rank |  | 1990-1994 |  |  | 1998-2002 |  |  | 2004-2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |  | Count | Rate (95\% CI) |
| Laotian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 258 | 308.9 (267.1 to 354.8) | All sites | 302 | 275.9 (243.8 to 310.8) | All sites | 347 | 268.7 (239.7 to 300.0) |
| 1 | Cervix | 42 | 49.2 (35.0 to 67.2) | Lung | 40 | 40.9 (28.8 to 56.0) | Breast | 64 | 41.3 (31.4 to 53.2) |
| 2 | Lung | 16 | 30.8 (16.5 to 50.9) | Breast | 46 | 34.4 (24.8 to 46.4) | CRC | 40 | 33.7 (23.7 to 46.2) |
| 3 | Stomach | 14 | 23.1 (11.1 to 40.8) | CRC | 24 | 25.0 (15.7 to 37.4) | Lung | 33 | 27.1 (18.4 to 38.3) |
| 4 | Breast | 25 | 22.5 (14.0 to 34.7) | Cervix | 28 | 22.9 (14.8 to 33.7) | Liver | 28 | 23.7 (15.5 to 34.4) |
| 5 | Liver | 15 | 19.5 (9.6 to 34.2) | Liver | 24 | 21.5 (13.5 to 32.4) | Cervix | 21 | 17.1 (10.4 to 26.4) |
| Vietnamese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 1594 | 308.1 (291.1 to 325.8) | All sites | 2712 | 266.7 (255.8 to 277.9) | All sites | 3944 | 285.7 (276.1 to 295.6) |
| 1 | Breast | 331 | 52.3 (46.4 to 58.7) | Breast | 665 | 54.0 (49.7 to 58.5) | Breast | 1026 | 63.0 (59.0 to 67.3) |
| 2 | Cervix | 215 | 38.6 (33.3 to 44.5) | Lung | 284 | 33.7 (29.6 to 38.2) | CRC | 455 | 35.8 (32.3 to 39.6) |
| 3 | Lung | 141 | 37.0 (30.6 to 44.2) | CRC | 301 | 32.5 (28.6 to 36.7) | Lung | 380 | 31.8 (28.5 to 35.4) |
| 4 | CRC | 131 | 30.5 (25.0 to 36.7) | Liver | 155 | 17.7 (14.8 to 20.9) | Liver | 232 | 20.9 (18.1 to 23.9) |
| 5 | Stomach | 89 | 22.6 (17.6 to 28.5) | Cervix | 187 | 16.6 (14.1 to 19.4) | Thyroid | 266 | 15.1 (13.2 to 17.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All sites | 488,868 | 436.5 (435.2 to 437.7) | All sites | 538,497 | 454.6 (453.3 to 455.8) | All sites | 538,349 | 440.0 (438.8 to 441.2) |
| 1 | Breast | 152,105 | 140.5 (139.8 to 141.3) | Breast | 172,078 | 148.9 (148.1 to 149.6) | Breast | 162,591 | 135.3 (134.6 to 136.0) |
| 2 | Lung | 63,209 | 55.1 (54.6 to 55.5) | Lung | 70,652 | 57.8 (57.4 to 58.3) | Lung | 72,103 | 56.6 (56.2 to 57.1) |
| 3 | CRC | 59,806 | 50.0 (49.6 to 50.4) | CRC | 60,375 | 47.2 (46.8 to 47.6) | CRC | 53,352 | 40.6 (40.2 to 40.9) |
| 4 | Uterus | 29,655 | 26.7 (26.3 to 27.0) | Uterus | 31,397 | 26.9 (26.6 to 27.2) | Uterus | 32,452 | 26.3 (26.0 to 26.6) |
| 5 | Ovary | 18,279 | 16.7 (16.4 to 16.9) | Melanoma | 22,227 | 20.4 (20.2 to 20.7) | Melanoma | 27,020 | 24.3 (24.0 to 24.6) |


 reliable (case count $<10$ ); Uterine $=$ corpus uteri to not otherwise specified.


Figure 1. Trends of incidence rates and annual percentage change for the top five cancer sites among each Asian American ethnic group, 1990-2008, men. Cancer sites are represented by the following plot symbols: prostate: solid black squares and lines; lung: solid gray squares and lines; colorectal cancer (CRC): open black squares and dashed black lines; liver: open gray squares and dashed gray lines; stomach: solid black circles and lines; bladder: solid gray circles and lines; non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL): open black circles and dashed black lines. Data are shown by racial/ethnic group: A) Asian Indian/ Pakistani (95\% confidence intervals [CIs] for the annual percentage change (APCs) are: prostate segment $1: 0.3$ to 4.1 , segment $2:-29.5$ to 12.2, segment 2: -11.1 to 38.8 ; Lung: 0.4 to 5.3 ; CRC: -1.5 to 1.7 ; Bladder: -0.9 to 3.0 ; NHL: -2.0 to 3.7 ); B) Chinese ( $95 \%$ Cls for the APCs are: Prostate: -0.7 to 1.2 ; Lung segment 1: -7.8 to -0.6 , segment 2: -0.8 to 1.3 ; CRC: -2.6 to -1.2 ; Liver: -1.2 to 0.4 ; Stomach: -2.3 to -0.3 ); C) Filipino (95\% Cls for the APCs are: Prostate segment 1: 4.5 to 35.4, segment 2: -25.8 to 13.6 , segment 3: -1.7 to 0.7 ; Lung: -0.7 to $0.6 ;$ CRC: -2.6 to -1.2 ; NHL: -0.4 to 1.4 ; Liver: 0.4 to 2.7 ); D) Japanese ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$
(Supplementary Figure 2, available online). Annual percentage changes were considered statistically significant if the $95 \%$ confidence interval did not overlap zero.

## Results

## Five Most Common Cancers

Prostate cancer was the most common malignancy among most Asian American groups, with rates ranging threefold across the groups (Table 3). Among Kampuchean, Laotian, and Vietnamese men, lung cancer rates were highest (comparable with rates for non-Hispanic whites), except in the most recent period when liver cancer surpassed lung cancer among Kampucheans. Among Asian
for the APCs are: Prostate segment 1: -16.9 to 58.5 , segment 2 : -24.8 to 0.3 , segment 3 : -6.7 to 24.8 , segment 4 : -4.9 to 1.3 ; CRC: -1.3 to -0.4 ; Lung: -0.7 to 0.9 ; Bladder: 1.1 to 3.2 ; Stomach: -4.0 to -2.4 ), E) Kampuchean (95\% Cls for the APCs are: Lung: -9.5 to 5.3 ; Liver: -0.9 to 6.3; Prostate: -3.8 to 14.2 ; CRC: -4.1 to 11.4 ; Stomach: -27.0 to 36.5 ); F) Korean ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$ for the APCs are: Prostate: 1.8 to 4.0 ; CRC: 0.9 to 3.5; Lung: -2.3 to 0.3 ; Stomach: -1.9 to 0.8 ; Liver segment $1: 0.4$ to 3.7, segment 2: -44.7 to 19.1); G) Laotian ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$ for the APCs are: Liver: -1.9 to 4.2 ; Lung: -3.9 to 0.6 ; CRC: -0.4 to 17.1; Prostate: -6.8 to 11.1; Stomach: -13.9 to 3.5 ); H) Vietnamese ( $95 \%$ Cls for the APCs are: Lung: -2.1 to 0.9 ; Liver: 0.3 to 2.8; Prostate: -1.2 to 2.4 ; CRC: -0.1 to 3.0; Stomach: -4.4 to -1.1 ). An * indicates the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the APC does not include zero. $\dagger$ Joinpoint and observed rates are based on 2-year groups (1990-1991, 1992-1993, 1994-1995, 1996-1997, $\ldots, 2008)$. $\ddagger$ Joinpoint and observed rates are based on 5-year groups (1990-1994, 1995-1999, 2000-2004, 2005-2008). Bladder = urinary bladder; $\mathrm{CRC}=$ colon and rectum; Liver = liver and intrahepatic bile duct; Lung = lung and bronchus; NHL = non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Indians and Pakistanis, Chinese, Filipinos, Japanese, and Koreans, the second and third most common cancers were of the lung and colon/rectum. Japanese and Koreans had the highest colorectal cancer rates, higher than or comparable with non-Hispanic whites. In contrast with non-Hispanic whites, liver and/or stomach cancers ranked among the five most common sites for the Asian American groups.

Breast cancer was generally the most commonly diagnosed cancer, varying threefold across Asian American populations (Table 4). The highest rates, which were about $30 \%$ lower than the rates in non-Hispanic whites, were among Japanese women and Filipinas. Similar to the case for non-Hispanic whites, colorectal and lung cancer were the second and third most common cancers for Asian


Figure 1. Continued

American women. However, unique to Asian Americans, liver, cervix, thyroid, and stomach cancers ranked among the five most common cancers.

## Trends Over Time

Asian Indian and Pakistani men (Figure 1) experienced a statistically significant $2.2 \%(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.3$ to 4.1$)$ annual increase in prostate cancer incidence in the 1990s, followed by a sharp 3-year decline, and evidence of another increase from 2006 to 2008. This group also experienced a statistically significant increase in lung cancer ( $\mathrm{APC} 1990-2008=2.9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.4$ to 5.3 ) and a nonstatistically significant increase in bladder (APC 1990-2008 = 1.1; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-0.9$ to 3.0 ) and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (APC 1990-2008 $=0.8 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-2.0$ to 3.7). Chinese men experienced decreasing rates of colorectal ( $\mathrm{APC}=-1.9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-2.6$ to -1.2 ) and stomach cancers $(\mathrm{APC}=-1.3 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-2.3$ to -0.3 ) from 1990 to 2008 and lung cancer from 1990 to 1996 ( $\mathrm{APC}=-4.3$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-7.8$ to -0.6 ). Filipino men experienced a statistically significant $19 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=4.5 \%$ to $35.4 \%$ ) annual increase in prostate cancer rates from 1990 to 1993; liver cancer rates increased a statistically significant $1.6 \% ~(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.4 \%$ to $2.7 \%)$ per year. Among Japanese men, there were statistically significant declines for colorectal (APC 1990-2008 $=-0.9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-1.3$ to -0.4 ) and stomach cancer (APC 1990-2008 $=-3.2 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-4.0$ to -2.4 )
and statistically significant increases for bladder cancer $(\mathrm{APC}=2.2$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.1$ to 3.2 ).

Cancer trends among Kampuchean men did not achieve statistical significance, but 5-year averaged rates for lung cancer appeared to decline, and liver, prostate, and colorectal cancers appeared to be rising. Among Korean men, there were statistically significant $2.9 \% ~(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.8 \%$ to $4.0 \%)$ and $2.2 \% ~(95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.9 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ ) annual increases for prostate and colorectal cancers, respectively; liver cancer rates increased $2.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.4$ to 3.7 ) annually from 1990 to 2006 and decreased sharply thereafter. Among Laotian men, prostate and colorectal cancer also appeared to increase, whereas stomach and lung cancers decreased. Among Vietnamese men, liver cancer increased statistically significantly ( $\mathrm{APC}=1.6 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.3$ to 2.8 ), colorectal cancer increased non-statistically significantly ( $\mathrm{APC}=1.4 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-0.1$ to 3.0 ), and stomach cancer declined statistically significantly ( $\mathrm{APC}=-2.7$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-4.4$ to -1.1 )).

Asian Indians and Pakistanis experienced a statistically significant $3 \%$ annual increase in breast ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=2.4 \%$ to $3.6 \%$ ) and uterine $(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.3 \%$ to $5.8 \%$ ) cancer from 1990 to 2008 (Figure 2). Chinese women experienced a statistically significant $1.2 \%(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.6 \%$ to $1.9 \%)$ annual increase in breast cancer from 1990 to 2008, a $7 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.4 \%$ to $12.9 \%$ ) annual increase in uterine cancer from 2003 to 2008, and statistically significant


Figure 2. Trends of incidence rates and annual percentage change for the top five cancer sites among each Asian American ethnic group, 19902008, women. Cancer sites are represented by the following plot symbols: breast: solid black squares and lines; lung: solid gray squares and lines; colorectal cancer (CRC): open black squares and dashed black lines; liver: open gray squares and dashed gray lines; stomach: solid black circles and lines; uterus: solid black triangles and lines; ovary: solid gray triangles and lines; cervix: open black triangles and dashed black lines; thyroid: open gray triangles and dashed gray lines. Data above are shown by racial/ethnic group: A) Asian Indian/Pakistani (95\% confidence intervals [CIs] for the annual percentage change (APCs) are: Breast: 2.4 to 3.6; CRC: -1.6 to 4.8; Uterus: 0.3 to 5.8; Lung: -2.0 to 4.5 ; Ovary: -1.2 to 4.5 ); B) Chinese ( $95 \%$ Cls for the APCs are: Breast: 0.6 to 1.9; CRC: -1.3 to -0.02 ; Lung: -1.1 to 0.5 ; Uterus segment 1: -1.7 to 1.7 , segment 2: 1.4 to 12.9 ; Stomach: -2.8 to -0.2 ), C) Filipina ( $95 \%$ Cls for the APCs are: Breast: 0.9 to 1.7; CRC: -0.03 to 2.0; Lung: 1.4 to 2.8; Uterus: 2.4 to 3.7;Thyroid: 1.7 to 3.3); D) Japanese ( $95 \%$ Cls for the APCs are: Breast segment 1: 0.2 to 5.4 , segment 2: -4.1 to
decreases in colorectal ( $\mathrm{APC}=-0.7 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-1.3$ to -0.02 ) and stomach (APC $=-1.5 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-2.8$ to -0.2 ) cancers from 1990 to 2008. Filipinas experienced statistically significant annual increases in breast $(\mathrm{APC}=1.3 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.9$ to 1.7), lung $(\mathrm{APC}=2.1$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.4$ to 2.8 ), uterine $(\mathrm{APC}=3.0 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=2.4$ to 3.7$)$, and thyroid ( $\mathrm{APC}=2.5 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.7$ to 3.3 ) cancers. Japanese women were the only group with a statistically significant increase ( $\mathrm{APC}=2.7 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.2$ to 5.4 from 1990 to 1998) and then a nonstatistically significant decrease ( $\mathrm{APC}=-1.8 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-4.1$ to 0.6 from 1999 onward) in breast cancer incidence. Colorectal cancer incidence remained stable among Japanese women until the period from 2000 to 2001 and then statistically significantly declined by $4.3 \%(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-7.1$ to -1.3$)$. Uterine cancer increased statistically
significantly by $1.1 \%(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.1$ to 2.0$)$ per year, and stomach cancer declined statistically significantly by $3.7 \% ~(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-4.8$ to -2.6) per year.

Among Kampuchean women, breast ( $\mathrm{APC}=4.2 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-5.9$ to 15.4 ), colorectal ( $\mathrm{APC}=6.9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-7.8$ to 23.9 ), and liver ( $\mathrm{APC}=5.3 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-9.2$ to 22.2 ) cancers increased non-statistically significantly over time. Among Korean women, several cancers increased statistically significantly: breast ( $\mathrm{APC}=4.7 ; 95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=4.0$ to 5.4 ), colorectal $(\mathrm{APC}=2.8 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.7$ to 3.9$)$, and lung ( $\mathrm{APC}=2.1 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.6$ to 3.6). Cervical cancer rates, highest in Laotian and Vietnamese women, showed statistically significant declines $(\mathrm{APC}=-7.3,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-10.4$ to $-4.1 ; \mathrm{APC}=-8.5$, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=-9.5$ to -7.4, respectively). Breast and colorectal cancer


Figure 2. Continued
increased statistically significantly ( $\mathrm{APC}=3.2,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.04$ to 6.4; $\mathrm{APC}=5.9,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=4.0$ to 7.7 , respectively) among Laotians, and breast cancer statistically significantly increased $1.2 \%$ ( $95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.1$ to 2.2 ) per year among Vietnamese.

To assess whether the annual percentage changes and joinpoints might be affected by the inaccurate assumption of linear population trends, we conducted two sensitivity analyses. First, we applied the two-point estimator of the percent change annualized (60), fitting a regression line between the 1990 and 2000 rates. The percent change annualized is an unbiased estimate of the average annual percent change when there are multiple joinpoints or the annual percentage change when there is no joinpoint. For our major findings, the annual percentage changes are very close to the percent change annualizeds, indicating that our linear population assumption is reasonable. To assess whether the number of joinpoints and their locations are sensitive to the linear population assumption, we fit joinpoint regressions to a dataset using the 2000 population for the entire time frame. For most groups and most sites (except for Chinese men for prostate, lung, and colorectal cancers), the joinpoints were similar (data not shown).

## Discussion

This is the first report, to our knowledge, to compare cancer incidence trends among the eight largest Asian American populations
in the United States over a 19-year period using SEER registry data. Disparities in cancer incidence among Asian Americans have been largely overlooked because of lack of detailed information about these heterogeneous populations and stereotypes concerning positive health profiles, largely because of historical statistics for the aggregated population that over-represent a small number of the groups (10). By using detailed race/ethnicity data collected in the SEER registries and statistical linear interpolation/ extrapolation of Census population data, we were able to begin tracking the burden of cancer among these rapidly growing Asian American groups. Cancer trends among a more limited number of California's Asian American populations have been reported previously, and although California's Asian American population represents more than half of the SEER Asian American population, this article represents an expansion of this work to the national level and is an important step toward building a broader evidence base that can inform future research and health policies for these growing populations.

Cancers associated with infectious etiologies exhibited both declining (stomach and cervix cancers) and increasing trends (liver cancer). Some of the observed patterns may reflect immigration cohort effects, but they also highlight areas of public health success. The dramatic declines in cervical cancer rates among Southeast Asian (Vietnamese, Kampuchean, Laotian) women are largely attributable to increased cancer screening in these
populations. However, data from the California Health Interview Survey did not show consistent improvements in cervical cancer screening rates for all Asian American groups; for example, the proportion from 2003 to 2007 who had a Pap test within the past 3 years increased from $70 \%$ to $76 \%$ among Vietnamese and from $68 \%$ to $71 \%$ among Koreans but decreased from $86 \%$ to $76 \%$ among Filipinas and from $69 \%$ to $65 \%$ among Chinese and remained stable among Japanese at $75 \%$ (61). The increasing trends for liver cancer incidence among nearly all Asian American groups underscore the need for improving hepatitis $B$ vaccination rates and hepatitis $B$ and $C$ virus screening in these at-risk populations. Recent studies from California suggest that, over time, disparities across Asian American groups have become more pronounced, with greater incidence seen among foreign-born and Asian Americans with low socioeconomic statuses and living in ethnic enclave neighborhoods ( 16,17 ), revealing opportunities for targeted prevention $(62,63)$.

In general, Asian American men had lower prostate cancer rates than non-Hispanic white men, but incidence rates and trends varied substantially across the subgroups. The trends in Filipino and Japanese largely mirrored that seen in the general US population: a rapid rise in the early 1990s peaking in 1992, followed by declining rates and stabilization after 1995 (64). South Asians also had a similar pattern but with a delayed peak in the early 2000s. However, prostate cancer rates in Chinese appeared to be relatively stable during our study period, and those in Koreans, Vietnamese, and Laotians rose linearly. Although incidence trends, especially those observed in Japanese and perhaps South Asians, may be attributable to screening behavior (61), other factors also likely play a role. Rising incidence rates have been noted in Asian countries where prostate-specific antigen screening is not as prevalent, perhaps attributable to changing lifestyle factors such as increased consumption of animal protein and dietary fat and decreased consumption of phytochemicals common in traditional Asian diets $(65,66)$.

The breast was the most common cancer site in all Asian American women in the most recent time period (2004-2008), with rates increasing from 1990 to 2008 in all groups except Japanese. This national increase in breast cancer trends among Asian Americans contrasts with the declines among US non-Hispanic white women (67-70) but is consistent with three recent reports in California $(13,38,44)$ showing that among most Asian Americans, especially those born in the United States, rates have increased dramatically over the past 15-20 years. The secular effects in this SEER-wide analysis also mirror the increases seen among women living in Asia (71,72), which are likely due in large part to changes in reproductive factors, diet, obesity, and physical activity. Although early studies have provided insights on breast cancer risk factors among Asian American women (73-80), contemporary studies in these populations could be particularly fruitful in identifying factors contributing to changing breast cancer rates. In the meantime, the large national increases and prominent burden of breast cancer among Asian American women warrant the attention of public health, lay, and clinical communities, particularly as mammography screening rates among Asian American women continue to lag behind rates in the general population and are well below the Healthy People 2020 target (eg, $62 \%-68 \%$ for Filipinas, Chinese, and other Asians, for
proportion receiving a mammogram within the past 2 years, relative to $72.4 \%$ in the overall US population) (81).

From 1990 to 2008, lung cancer ranked among the top four cancer sites in all Asian American ethnic and gender groups. Not only were there no declines in any group, in contrast with declines seen in nearly all US states among non-Hispanic whites $(82,83)$, there were increasing trends among South Asian men and Filipina and Korean women. Unlike nationwide trends, smoking prevalence does not seem to be declining among Asian Americans (61). The relatively high rates of lung cancer among Asian American women are of particular concern because they generally have very low smoking rates (37).

We detected sharp increases in the incidence of colorectal cancer among Koreans, Kampuchean, Laotians, and Vietnamese and among South Asian and Filipina women, which is consistent with a recent study in California (27). The nationally reported decline in invasive colorectal cancer incidence has been largely attributed to higher screening rates (67); however, some Asian American groups (84-88) are less likely to undergo screening (61). In addition, it is likely that acculturation has resulted in a higher prevalence of colorectal cancer risk factors, such as obesity, lack of physical activity, smoking, and alcohol consumption $(8,12)$.

Despite having lower rates of uterine corpus cancer relative to non-Hispanic whites (89-91), incidence rates are increasing in Asian American groups for whom this cancer is one of the five most common sites, in contrast with stable rates among non-Hispanic whites $(89,92)$. Known risk factors for endometrial carcinoma (the vast majority of uterine corpus cancers) include obesity, postmenopausal estrogen therapy, nulliparity, early menarche, and late menopause (93). Changes in the prevalence of these risk factors ( $8,12,61,94-96$ ), especially of obesity, in the Asian American population may explain some of the observed increase (61).

There are several caveats worth noting when interpreting these results. First, because data on race/ethnicity are primarily derived from medical records (48), they may be misclassified (97-102). Second, rates may be underestimated because of exclusion of cases coded as "Asian, not otherwise specified." Third, there may be errors associated with the inter- and postcensal annual population estimates; this is a particular concern for the extrapolated post2000 estimates (103). Fourth, small case and denominator counts in some groups lead to unstable rates and potential trends that could not be detected. Finally, many of these patterns could be attributable to cohort changes in acculturation over time, which could not be assessed.

In spite of these potential limitations, this report provides important information on cancer trends among the large and growing Asian American population in the United States, serving as a critical evidence base to inform future research and health policies. Of particular concern are groups experiencing increases in cancer incidence that could be avoided through preventive, screening, and surveillance efforts, including lung cancer among Filipina and Korean women and Asian Indian/Pakistani men, breast cancer among all Asian American women (except Japanese), and liver cancer among Southeast Asian women and Filipino, Kampuchean, and Vietnamese men. These results point to areas where targeted preventive efforts can be undertaken now in public health, policy, and clinical arenas.
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