



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1007/s40263-018-0550-4

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Schonhofen, P., Bristot, I. J., Crippa, J. A., Hallak, J. E. C., Zuardi, A. W., Parsons, R. B., & Klamt, F. (2018). Cannabinoid-Based Therapies and Brain Development: Potential Harmful Effect of Early Modulation of the Endocannabinoid System. *CNS Drugs*, *32*(8), 697-712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-018-0550-4

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Title: Cannabinoid-based Therapies and Brain Development: Potential Harmful Effect of Early
2	Modulation of the Endocannabinoid System
3	
4	Short Title: Cannabinoid-based Therapies and Brain Development
5	Authors:
6	Patrícia Schonhofen ^{1,2,3} , Ivi Juliana Bristot ^{1,2,3} , José Alexandre Crippa ^{3,4} , Jaime Eduardo Cecílio Hallak ^{3,4} ,
7	Antônio Waldo Zuardi ^{3,4} , Richard B. Parsons ⁵ , Fábio Klamt ^{1,2,3}
8	
9	Affiliations:
10	¹ Laboratory of Cellular Biochemistry, ICBS/UFRGS, Porto Alegre (RS) Brazil 90035-003;
11	² Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Biológicas: Bioquímica, ICBS/UFRGS, Porto Alegre (RS)
12	Brazil 90035-003;
13	³ National Institutes of Science and Technology–Translational Medicine (INCT-TM) Brazil
14	⁴ Neuroscience and Behavior Department, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto (SP)
15	Brazil
16	⁵ Institute of Pharmaceutical Science, King's College London (KCL), London, SE1 9NH, UK
17	
18	Corresponding author:
19	Prof. Fábio Klamt, PhD, Department of Biochemistry (ICBS), Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul
20	(UFRGS), 2600 Ramiro Barcelos St, Porto Alegre (RS) Brazil 90035-003. Phone: +55 51 3308-5556;
21	Fax: +55 51 3308-5535; e-mail: fabio.klamt@ufrgs.br
22	
23	Acknowledgments:
24	AWZ, JECH, FK and JAC are recipients of fellowship awards from Conselho Nacional de
25	Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil). This study was supported by the Brazilian
26	funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8),
27	CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Nº 26/2014 – Pesquisas sobre Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos (466805/2014-4)
28	and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). PS wishes to acknowledge Marco Antonio de Bastiani,
29	MSc for helpful comments made during the preparation of the manuscript.
30	

1 Abstract

2 The endocannabinoid retrograde signaling pathway is widely expressed in the central nervous system 3 where it plays major roles in regulating synaptic plasticity (excitatory and inhibitory) through long-term 4 potentiation and long-term depression. The endocannabinoid system (ECS) components - cannabinoid 5 receptors, endocannabinoids and synthesis/degradation enzymes - are expressed and are functional from 6 early developmental stages and throughout adolescence cortical development, regulating progenitor cell 7 fate, neural differentiation, migration and survival. This may potentially confer increased vulnerability to 8 adverse outcomes from early cannabinoid exposure. Cannabidiol (CBD) is one of the most studied 9 exogenous cannabinoid, and CBD-enriched *Cannabis* extracts have been widely (and successfully) used 10 as adjuvants to treat children with refractory epilepsy, and there is even an FDA-approved product with it. 11 However, there is not sufficient evidence regarding potential detrimental consequences upon long term 12 alterations in the central nervous system's development by cannabinoids. As well as the majority of 13 cannabinoids, CBD is able to exert its effects directly and indirectly through the ECS, which can perturb 14 the regulatory processes mediated by this system. Besides, CBD has a large number of non-15 endocannabinoid targets which can explain CBD's effects. Here, we review the current knowledge about 16 CBD-based therapies - pure and CBD-enriched Cannabis extracts - in studies with pediatric patients, its 17 side effects, and its mechanisms of action regarding the central nervous system and neurodevelopment 18 aspects. Since *Cannabis* extracts contain tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC), we consider that pure CBD is 19 possibly safer for young patients. Nevertheless, CBD, as well as other natural and/or synthetic 20 cannabinoids, should be studied in more detail as a therapeutic alternative to CBD enriched-Cannabis 21 extracts during brain developmental stages. 22 Key points 23 Cannabidiol (CBD) targets the endocannabinoid system directly via CB1 receptors, or indirectly 24 by regulating endocannabinoids levels, in both developing and mature brains. 25 Δ^9 -THC is believed to be responsible for the majority of the potential harmful effects of CBD-26 enriched Cannabis extracts, although further direct evaluation of the effects of CBD upon brain 27 development are necessary. 28 For young patients pure CBD, both synthetic or plant derived, produced in accordance with ٠ 29 good manufacturing practices (GMP-grade), is recommended as a therapeutic option instead of

- CBD-enriched *Cannabis* extracts, and a recently a CBD-based (Epidiolex[®]) product was
 approved by FDA for the treatment of Dravet and Lennox-Gastaut syndromes.
 There is a lack of trials of chronic administration of CBD-based therapies with long term follow up periods, which would allow a more realistic comparison of their effects with the current
 treatment options.
- 6

7 **1. Introduction**

8 The plant Cannabis sativa has been used for medicinal purposes for thousands of years by different 9 cultures [1]. Cannabis extract contains more than 80 components, of which Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -10 THC), the main psychoactive ingredient) and cannabidiol (CBD) are the most abundant [2,3]. These 11 compounds were first identified several decades ago [4], but it is only more recently that the discovery of 12 cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous homologues, the endocannabinoids [5] such as N-13 arachidonoylethanolamine (anandamide, AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) [6], has occurred. 14 Together with their related enzymes, endocannabinoids and their receptors form the endocannabinoid 15 system (ECS) (Fig 1) [7]. Cannabinoids – both endogenous and plant-derived – target the G protein-16 coupled cannabinoid receptors type-1 (CB1), which is widely expressed in the nervous system, and type-2 17 (CB2), which is mainly expressed in immune cells [8,9]. Presently, it is proposed that the ECS has roles 18 in the pathological mechanisms of several psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia [10]. Besides, 19 cannabinoids such as CBD also interact with a variety of non-endocannabinoid mechanisms, including 20 numerous classical ion channels, receptors, transporters, and enzymes, as reviewed recently [11]. 21 The effects of isolated cannabinoids and Cannabis extracts in different diseases have been studied for 22 many years [12]. In the United States, recent medical and recreational marijuana legalization increased 23 Cannabis accessibility and use [13]. Additionally, despite widely known deleterious effects during central 24 nervous system development, medical marijuana usage by minors, with the consent from a legal guardian 25 and certification from a physician, is approved [14]. Marijuana-derived products have their main effects 26 against childhood severe epilepsies including Dravet and Lennox-Gastaut syndromes. These early onset 27 disorders are characterized by frequent, refractory seizures and neurodevelopmental delays, which lead to 28 impaired quality of life of these individuals. This scenario compels families to seek alternative treatment 29 methods, such as CBD-based therapies, which include pure synthetic or plant-derived CBD and CBD-30 enriched Cannabis extracts. In children, plant-derived pharmaceutical-grade isolated CBD has been tested

1 in clinical trials in patients with such syndromes ([15–17]) and this drug (Epidiolex[®]) has recently been 2 approved in the US as orphan drug for those syndromes. Clinical trials with synthetic isolated CBD are 3 ongoing (clinicaltrials.gov website). In addition, reports on the use different form of Cannabis extracts in 4 children with epilepsy have also been published [18–20]. However, only few adequately powered, 5 placebo-controlled randomized studies have evaluated the safety and efficacy of CBD-based therapies in 6 children [21]. Nevertheless, most of these have reported a greater reduction in convulsive-seizure 7 frequency than placebo, however they were also associated with higher rates of adverse events [22]. 8 The constituents of the ECS, receptors and endocannabinoids, are expressed and are functional from very 9 early developmental stages, whereby they regulate inhibitory and excitatory synapses. Even during 10 adolescence, the brain and the ECS undergo active development which may confer increased 11 vulnerability to adverse long-term outcomes from early cannabinoid exposure [23]. Endocannabinoids 12 have been shown to regulate cortical development throughout life in humans, and exogenous 13 cannabinoids can alter cortical development of both the somatosensory and the prefrontal cortex [24]. 14 Nevertheless, the current widespread use of CBD-based therapies in children and young adults, without 15 sufficient studies of the potential consequences upon neuronal and other systems' development, is of 16 concern to the scientific and medical communities. One area of particular concern is the uncontrolled 17 amount of Δ^9 -THC present in such extracts. Moreover, in 2017 an *ad hoc* committee of the National 18 Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine presented a report regarding the health effects of 19 Cannabis and CBD use, which revealed no or insufficient evidence to either support or refute the use of 20 such compounds as an effective treatment for epilepsy [25]. Hence, this article reviews the current 21 knowledge about the use of CBD-based therapies in pediatric patients, its alleged side effects, and its 22 mechanisms of action regarding the central nervous system and neurodevelopmental aspects. We highlight that CBD administration before adulthood must be carefully evaluated, and the use of pure CBD 23 24 and/or synthetic cannabinoids as a preferential alternative to Cannabis extracts for children and young 25 adults needs to be studied further.

26

27 2. The Endocannabinoid System

28 Most cannabinoids exert their therapeutic properties upon the central nervous system primarily *via* the 29 ECS, although there are other known targets [26]. Here we discuss their effects upon the ECS.

1	Endocannabinoid signaling plays crucial roles in various aspects of both the underdeveloped and the	
2	mature brain [27]. Therefore, disturbances in this system may disrupt neural development.	
3	The classical ECS signaling pathway is shown in Figure 1 (for review see [10]). In the mature brain, the	
4	ECS modulates synapses (excitatory and inhibitory) through the release of endocannabinoids AEA and 2-	
5	AG. These act as retrograde messengers, their release by the postsynaptic neuron activating CB1 receptors	
6	in the pre-synaptic neuron, leading to decreased release of neurotransmitters into the synaptic cleft	
7	[10,28,29]. This process is initiated by increased Ca^{2+} influx caused by neurotransmission in the	
8	postsynaptic neuron which activates endocannabinoid synthesis from its precursors in the plasma	
9	membrane. AEA is generated from phospholipase D-mediated hydrolysis of the membrane lipid N-	
10	arachidonoylphosphatidylethanolamine (NAPE), while 2-AG originates from the diacylglycerol lipase-	
11	mediated hydrolysis of diacylglycerol (DAG), derived mainly from membrane-localized	
12	phosphatidylinositol biphosphate (PIP2). AEA and 2-AG diffuse towards the pre-synaptic terminals and,	
13	like exogenous cannabinoids such as Δ^9 -THC, bind to and activate the pre-synaptic G-protein-coupled	
14	CB1 receptors. This binding triggers the activation and release of Gi/Go proteins from the CB1, inhibiting	
15	adenylyl cyclase (AC) and thus decreasing cyclic AMP (cAMP) formation and subsequent protein kinase	
16	A (PKA) activity. These events lead to opening of inwardly-rectifying K ⁺ channels, causing a	
17	hyperpolarization of the pre-synaptic terminal, and closing of Ca ⁺² channels, arresting the release of	
18	stored neurotransmitters. Finally, AEA and 2-AG re-enter the post- or pre-synaptic terminals, where they	
19	are catabolized respectively by fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) or monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL),	
20	to yield either arachidonic acid (AA) and ethanolamine (ET) in the case of AEA, or AA and glycerol for	
21	2-AG. The transport of endocannabinoids through the plasma membrane is still not completely	
22	understood. Although some studies have proposed the existence of an endocannabinoid transporter, the	
23	trafficking of AEA, which has been most extensively studied, is proposed to occur through facilitated	
24	membrane transport followed by intracellular shuttling and sequestration [30].	
25	Additionally, CB1 receptor activation leads to stimulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)	
26	activity, a mechanism by which cannabinoids affect synaptic plasticity, cell migration, and possibly	
27	neuronal growth [23]. In mature neurons, the MAPK cascade, which leads to the activation of	
28	extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK), is stimulated by excitatory glutamatergic signaling.	
29	Subsequently, ERK activity regulates two processes that underlie changes in synaptic transmission — the	
30	activity of postsynaptic AMPA receptors, and structural plasticity [31]. ECS retrograde signaling	

1 mediates synaptic plasticity through three classical mechanisms: depolarization-induced suppression of 2 inhibition or excitation, metabotropic-induced suppression of inhibition or excitation, and 3 endocannabinoid-mediated short-term depression or long-term depression (STD/LTD) [10]. Also, CB1 4 agonists can prevent long-term potentiation (LTP) of synaptic transmission, but the influence of 5 endogenously formed cannabinoids on hippocampal LTP remains ambiguous [32]. Both LTP and LTD 6 have roles in learning and neural development [24]. 7 Thus, the central component of the ECS in neurons is the CB₁ receptor (Fig. 1). In the central nervous 8 system, CB₁ is particularly enriched in the cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, basal ganglia outflow tracts, 9 and cerebellum. This distribution corresponds to the most prominent behavioral effects of Cannabis 10 and helps to predict neurological and psychological effects of ECS manipulation [33]. CB₁ receptors are 11 also observed in intracellular compartments such as the mitochondrial surface, where they are able to 12 activate G protein-dependent signaling and modify intracellular levels of ATP, Ca²⁺, and reactive oxygen 13 species, all of which impact upon synaptic transmission [34]. 14 In the developing nervous system and the remaining neurogenic areas in the adult brain (the hippocampal 15 subgranular zone and subventricular zone), the ECS exerts a regulatory role on neural progenitor cell 16 survival, proliferation, differentiation and migration via CB₁ [35,36], thus possibly affecting the 17 formation of adult specialized tissues [37]. Recently, the ECS has also been shown to regulate 18 proliferation and differentiation of mesoderm-derived hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells, with a 19 key role in determining the formation of several cell types in peripheral tissues [38]. 20 The importance of the ECS during embryonic development has been investigated through many 21 experimental models and approaches, mainly focusing upon the deleterious effect of early Δ^9 -THC 22 administration. For example, Δ^9 -THC administration to pregnant mice interfered with sub-cerebral 23 projection neuron generation, thereby altering corticospinal connectivity, and produced long-lasting 24 alterations in the fine motor performance and seizure susceptibility of the adult offspring. These 25 deleterious consequences were solely attributed to Δ^9 -THC's ability to disrupt the neurodevelopmental 26 role of CB1 signaling [39]. 27 During adolescence, the ECS has a role in the development of the cortex, amygdala, hippocampus and 28 hypothalamus, and exogenous cannabinoids have long-term effects on cognition, anxiety and stress-29 related behaviors, leading to mood disorders and substance abuse [24]. At this age, cannabinoids may 30 produce abnormal LTD in prefrontal cortex by disrupting LTD mediated by metabotropic glutamate

1	receptors and CB ₁ [40]. The ECS maintain the homeostasis of prefrontal cortex interactions with the	
2	amygdala and hippocampus, which are responsible for behaviors such as emotional memory and anxiety-	
3	related behaviors. Endocannabinoids are required for the normal stress response, a process which matures	
4	during adolescence [24]. Besides, as the prefrontal cortex is the last brain region to finish development	
5	after adolescence, the abundance of CB1 receptors may explain the negative effects of Cannabis use in	
6	this age range [27]. Finally, endocannabinoids are necessary for the normal regulation of neuronal	
7	excitation and inhibition, hence disturbances in this delicate equilibrium likely result in changes in the	
8	balance of excitation/inhibition in individual neurons and networks, processes which are necessary for	
9	normal cortical development [24].	
10	For therapeutic purposes, regarding the mature central nervous system, the ECS has shown to modulate	
11	anxiety, depression, neurogenesis, reward, cognition, learning, and memory [23]. Moreover, its retrograde	
12	signaling acts to regulate seizure activity and neuronal hyper-excitability – cannabinoids have shown CB_1	
13	activity in experimental models of seizure and epilepsy [41,42]. However, the use of CB1 agonists such as	
14	Δ^9 -THC, or even <i>Cannabis</i> extract, as a therapeutic strategy is unfeasible due to their psychoactive	
15	effects, abuse potential and development of tolerance [42]. On the other hand, antagonism of CB1 can also	
16	exacerbate seizure activity in the epileptic phenotype [43].	
17	Thus, the modulation of the ECS as a therapeutic approach is challenging because its blockage or its	
18	exacerbation could lead to undesired outcomes, especially during neuronal development. More studies are	
19	required to clarify its physiological functions and to predict the effect of CB1 agonists and antagonists,	
20	both in adult and pediatric patients, to support its targeting for therapeutic purposes.	
21		
22	3. Therapeutic uses and mechanisms of action of CBD	
23	Cannabis causes many psychotropic effects, mainly mediated by Δ^9 -THC agonism of CB ₁ [44], which	
24	makes it unlikely to be used in natura. On the other hand, experimental studies have demonstrated several	
25	therapeutic properties of isolated cannabinoids in a number of <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> models [45]. Here, we	
26	discuss the therapeutic uses of the most prominent of these cannabinoids, CBD, and its mechanisms of	
27	action, highlighting its activity towards the CB1 receptor.	
28	Although only a limited number of studies have focused upon CBD, recently it has been shown to be a	
29	potent anti-inflammatory and antioxidant agent and to attenuate the memory-impairing effects produced	

30 by Δ^9 -THC, amongst other effects [23]. This opens a wide range of possible therapeutic uses in

1 neurodegenerative disorders including Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease and cerebral ischemia 2 [46]. Moreover, CBD is anti-emetic [47], has antitumoral properties against many types of cancer [48] 3 and is also suggested to have antipsychotic, anxiolytic and antidepressant effects [49]. Finally, as already 4 mentioned above, numerous studies have shown CBD to have anticonvulsive properties [50]. 5 CBD has been reported to have a large number of possible molecular targets other than the ECS in a wide 6 range of medical conditions, raising the possibility of significant off target effects [26]. For instance, 7 CBD is described as a full 5-HT1A agonist, a weak partial 5-HT2A agonist and a non-competitive 5-8 HT3A antagonist [51]. The ability of CBD to activate the A1A adenosine receptor has also been reported 9 [52]. CBD may play a role in the regulation of T-type calcium channels and the activity of nuclear 10 peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR γ), both of which have been implicated in seizure 11 activity [53]. Other molecular targets have also been studied, among them the PPARy nuclear receptors 12 [54], glycine receptors [55], GABAA receptors [56], and transient receptor potential (TRP) channels [57]. 13 Studies focused on the possible epigenetic regulation of skin differentiation genes by CBD revealed that it 14 can act as a transcriptional repressor, controlling cell proliferation and differentiation through DNA 15 methylation [58]. Hence, the molecular mechanistic basis for the effects of CBD appear to be complex, 16 and thus remain to be fully elucidated. 17 Although current evidence suggests that CBD does not directly interact with the ECS except in vitro at 18 supraphysiological concentrations [11], it can also indirectly act as agonist or antagonist of the CB₁ 19 receptor. In the nanomolar range (below the reported affinity (K_i) for CBD to these receptors), CBD can 20 antagonize the pharmacological effects of CB₁ agonists such as Δ^9 -THC and AEA, despite having low 21 direct affinity in the micromolar range for CB1 in vitro [59,60]. McPartland et al reviewed in vitro and ex 22 vivo mechanistic studies of CBD and found one study that reported slight agonism, and one study that 23 reported slightly inverse agonism comprising binding to the inactive form of the receptor, blocking 24 agonist effects, both of which occurred at high concentrations of CBD ($\geq 10 \,\mu$ M). Surprisingly, in some 25 mechanistic studies, the effects of CBD could be reversed by CB₁ receptor inverse agonists, or were 26 absent in CB1 receptor knockout mice [59]. This suggests that CBD may exert indirect agonism, 27 comprising the enhancing of the effect of a receptor's agonist without having any direct agonist effect 28 itself, at CB1 receptors – either augmenting CB_1 constitutional activity [61], or augmenting 29 endocannabinoid tone through inhibition of AEA hydrolysis, inhibition of the putative AEA transporter 30 and increase of 2-AG levels [59].

1 Recent evidence supports the hypothesis that CBD also binds to an allosteric site on CB₁ receptors that is 2 functionally distinct from the orthosteric site for its agonists. CBD reduced the potency and efficacy of 3 CB₁ agonists at concentrations lower than the predicted affinity of CBD for the orthosteric site of CB₁ 4 receptors [62]. The presence of this allosteric site is still to be directly demonstrated due to difficulties in 5 the resolution of the crystallographic structure of this receptor [63]. Despite such methodological issues, 6 in vitro pharmacological experiments have demonstrated that, at very low concentrations, CBD is a 7 negative allosteric modulator of CB1 [62]. 8 Therefore, depending on the conditions, CBD seems to be able to interact both directly and indirectly 9 with the CB₁ receptor, *via* the regulation of endocannabinoids levels. Thus, since the ECS has a broad 10 spectrum of physiological functions during neural development, it is reasonable to assume that CBD is 11 potentially able interfere with processes regulated by CB₁ when administered in infants. In fact, 12 depending on the dosage and the clinical condition, potential CBD activity over CB₁ (agonism or 13 antagonism) results in different outcomes – either therapeutic or harmful [27], therefore its use must be

14 very carefully considered in such ages. Besides, as CBD has effects upon other targets at lower

15 concentrations, the mechanisms underlying its therapeutic properties are not yet clearly understood [42].

16

17 4. Studies with CBD-enriched *Cannabis* extracts and pure CDB in pediatric patients

18 Currently, CBD is clinically used in association with Δ^9 -THC in a *Cannabis*-based preparation (Sativex[®]) 19 that contains equimolar content of both, for the management of neuropathic symptoms associated with 20 multiple sclerosis [64]. Relieve of spasticity and pain have been reported for multiple sclerosis patients 21 that smoke Cannabis, but, for these patients, structural MRI scans have suggested reduced brain volume 22 is associated with cognitive impairment [65]. Likewise, in recreational users, *Cannabis* has been shown to 23 result in volumetric, gray matter and white matter structural changes in the brain, in particular in the 24 hippocampus and the amygdala [66], further evidence that Cannabis (smoked and possibly in extracts) 25 can be harmful in adult brain. 26 In 2016, GW pharmaceuticals reported the first results of pure CBD (Epidiolex[®]) in phase III clinical

27 trials for use in treatment-resistant seizure disorders, including Lennox-Gastaut and Dravet syndromes

28 [17,22]. More recently, the same authors have released a further results of a randomized, double-blind,

- 29 placebo-controlled trial using pure CBD [67,68]. Moreover, CBD-enriched Cannabis extract is still
- 30 widely used as a therapeutic option. In this section, we review the available data on clinical trials, case

1 reports and parental surveys available from January 2000 to May 2018. We focused on literature 2 containing data about isolated CDB administration and relevant oral Cannabis extracts with high CBD 3 content in pediatric and young patients, as well as relevant studies on adult volunteer. 4 The use of common *Cannabis* extracts is not recommended in children and adolescent patients due to the 5 potential for deleterious effects. Fetal development is affected by prenatal maternal Cannabis use, while 6 during infancy there is a negative impact upon cognitive and behavioral outcomes [69]. Early exposure to 7 cannabinoids, mainly Δ^9 -THC, can impair all stages of memory, from encoding to consolidation and 8 retrieval [70]. Additionally, Cannabis usage during adolescence increases the risk of developing 9 psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia later in life [71,72]. Nevertheless, these effects are mainly 10 associated with Δ^9 -THC, and CBD is able to counteract such effects [73]. This indicates that pure CBD 11 would be a better therapeutic option instead of CBD-enriched or common Cannabis extracts. Careful 12 consideration and attention should be taken when using CBD-enriched Cannabis extracts, in particular 13 within pediatric contexts. In a recent case report, for example, two children presented typical symptoms 14 of Δ^9 -THC intoxication (inappropriate laughter, ataxia, reduced attention, and eye redness) after using a 15 CBD-enriched Cannabis extract. The extract was replaced by the same dose of purified CBD, resulting in 16 decreased intoxication symptoms and seizure remission [74]. 17 Table 1 summarizes the main findings in children and young adult patients treated with pure CBD and 18 CBD-enriched Cannabis extract. As most studies that established safety and dose tolerance were 19 performed in adults, they were also reviewed (supplementary table 1). The majority of published articles 20 focused on neurological and neuropsychiatric conditions. In adult volunteers, CBD presented few adverse 21 events and appeared to be safe, although its effectiveness was not always confirmed. In most of these 22 studies, CBD was administered in a single dose. A recent article on the safety and tolerability of pure 23 CBD in 34 children between 4 - 10 years old with Dravet Syndrome showed that CBD did not alter 24 plasma antileptic drug levels, when randomized into different dosages or placebo for 3 weeks of treatment 25 followed by a 4 week follow-up period [16]. The main adverse effects were pyrexia, somnolence, 26 decreased appetite, sedation, vomiting, ataxia, and abnormal behavior. As observed in the studies above 27 mentioned, and reviewed by Wong and Wilens (2017), the methodological quality of those clinical 28 studies varied significantly (e.g. studies lacking control groups; limited by small sample size). Studies are 29 also heterogeneous in the dosage and duration of treatment, and many lack any long-term follow-up

reviews to identify potential adverse effects [13]. This variability in protocols employed makes it difficult
 to evaluate the real benefits and risks of CBD-based therapies.

3 Until a few years ago, the suggested beneficial outcomes of CBD-based therapies for pediatric patients 4 were based mainly upon case reports and surveys of parents with epileptic children (supplementary table 5 2). Such an doctal studies were the first to report improvement in general condition of children with 6 refractory epilepsies by Cannabis extracts, and so they attracted the interest of the scientific community 7 for cannabinoids-based treatments. Many surveys of parents of children with refractory seizures who self-8 administered CBD-enriched *Cannabis* extracts have been published in the last decades. One such survey, 9 involving a small cohort of patients, showed that 42% of children had a greater than 80% reduction in 10 seizure frequency [75]. Another survey, using a larger cohort of 75 pediatric patients, reported that 38% 11 of children achieved greater than 50% reduction in seizures [20]. An online survey of 117 parents of 12 children with epilepsy reported that 85% of children had a reduction in seizure frequency, whilst 14% 13 reported complete freedom from seizure after CBD-enriched Cannabis treatment [19]. These surveys, 14 even though not controlled, reported general improvements in cognitive and motor function in patients 15 undergoing CBD-based therapies, along with some mild side effects. 16 On the other hand, not all studies have reported favorable results (e.g. CBD-enriched Cannabis extract 17 resulted in no improvement in general condition or seizure relief of an 18-year old male with severe 18 refractory epilepsy) [76]. Moreover, case reports and parent surveys rarely describe side effects or even 19 drug administration issues. For this reason, clinical trials are indispensable for investigating both the 20 therapeutic and toxicological aspects of CBD-based therapies, as well in standardizing drug 21 administration protocols to allow direct study comparisons. 22 However, as anedoctal studies have stimulated a growing interest in the anticonvulsive properties of 23 CBD, pure CBD or CBD-enriched *Cannabis* extracts are now being tested in controlled clinical trials, 24 with relevant positive outcomes thus far reported (table 1). Such studies are still somewhat limited in 25 number, however a brief survey on clinicaltrials.gov website report at least 20 clinical trials that are 26 currently recruiting young patients or already in progress [77]. An open-label clinical trial of 214 patients 27 (aged 1-30 years) with severe, intractable, childhood-onset, treatment-resistant epilepsy investigated the 28 efficacy and safety of pure CBD. Patients in the efficacy analysis group reported a median reduction in 29 monthly motor seizures of 36.5% compared to the placebo group. Adverse events were reported in 79% 30 of the safety analysis group, and serious adverse events were reported in 30% of patients, including one

1 death — a sudden, unexpected death due to the patient's epilepsy which was determined as unrelated to 2 CBD. Twelve percent of patients had severe adverse events possibly related to CBD use, the most 3 common of which was status epilepticus (6%). Three percent of patients discontinued treatment because 4 of an adverse event [17]. 5 A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial of pure CBD reported a significant reduction in 6 total seizures of all types. Although there was no significant reduction in non-convulsive seizures, they 7 did demonstrate a greater reduction in convulsive seizure frequency, with 62% of patients reporting an 8 improvement in overall condition, with 5% of patients becoming seizure-free. Adverse events included 9 diarrhea, vomiting, fatigue, pyrexia, somnolence, and abnormal liver function tests [22]. This report, 10 however, did not evaluated possible drug-drug interactions between CBD and Clobazam, of which 65% 11 of patients enrolled on the study were prescribed. CBD can increase plasma Clobazam concentrations 12 [78], hence the beneficial effects of CBD may have arisen indirectly due to the increased pharmacological 13 effects of Clobazam and not as a direct pharmacological effect of CBD itself. 14 In 2018, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial encompassing 24 clinical sites in the USA, 15 the Netherlands, and Poland, was published. In this study, pure CBD (20 mg/kg/day) or placebo was 16 administered to patients with treatment-resistant Lennox-Gastaut syndrome (aged 2-55 years) for 14 17 weeks. Of the 171 randomly assigned patients that received CBD (n = 86) or placebo (n = 85), 14 patients 18 in the CBD group and one in the placebo group discontinued study treatment. The monthly drop in 19 seizure frequency was reduced by 43.9% in the CBD group and 21.8% in the placebo group. Adverse 20 events, which were mostly mild or moderate, occurred in 86% of patients in the CBD group and in 69% 21 of patients in the placebo group [67]. 22 Another recent double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, in which 225 patients with the Lennox-Gastaut 23 syndrome (age range of 2 to 55 years) were randomly assigned to receive CBD at 10 mg/kg/day, 20 24 mg/kg/day, or placebo administered in two equally divided doses daily for 14 weeks, showed significant 25 decreases in seizure frequency [68]. Seizure frequency decreased by 41.9% in the 20 mg cannabidiol 26 group, 37.2% in the 10-mg cannabidiol group, and 17.2% in the placebo group. Six patients in the 20 mg 27 cannabidiol group and one patient in the 10 mg cannabidiol group were withdrawn from the trial because 28 of adverse events. Fourteen patients who received cannabidiol (9%) had elevated plasma liver 29 aminotransferase levels. The most common adverse events among the patients in the cannabidiol groups 30 were somnolence, decreased appetite, and diarrhea; these events occurred more frequently in the higher-

dose group. Yet, even in these two recent clinical trials, although they are scientifically relevant and
 reliable, a longer treatment and follow-up period was missing.

3 In general, in pediatric patient clinical trials, the most common side effects reported were either mild 4 (somnolence, fatigue, altered appetite, weight gain/loss, diarrhea and other gastrointestinal disturbances, 5 irritability) or serious (drowsiness/dizziness, ataxia, tremor, mental sedation), with severe adverse effects 6 such as increased seizure frequency and worsening seizure phenotype also being observed. Alimentary 7 effects can be explained by the presence of the ECS in the gastrointestinal tract, where it has effects on 8 motility, inflammation and immunity, intestinal and gastric acid secretion, nociception and emesis 9 pathways, and appetite control [79]. In the brain, ECS modulates several brain functions, such as 10 memory, mood, food intake, pain perception and the sleep-wake cycle [80], which may explain, at least 11 partially, the CNS-mediated adverse effects observed in clinical trials. Besides, as discussed above, other 12 cannabinoids present in Cannabis extracts as well as CBD are able to interact and possibly disturb the 13 important roles played by the ECS during neurodevelopmental stages. 14 It is likely that non-endocannabinoid targets of CBD may explain some of the positive and adverse effects 15 observed [11]. For example, in a mouse model of Dravet Syndrome, the beneficial effects of CBD on 16 inhibitory neurotransmission were mimicked and blocked by an antagonist of the orphan G protein-17 coupled receptor 55 (GPR55), suggesting that the therapeutic effects of CBD are mediated through this 18 lipid-activated G protein-coupled receptor and thus identify it as a third cannabinoid receptor [81]. 19 A careful case-to-case evaluation on the risk/benefit balance of CBD usage must be taken, as in the most 20 serious cases repetitive infantile seizures can cause severe developmental, cognitive and motor 21 impairment. These are obviously more detrimental then the adverse effects and possible 22 neurodevelopmental implications of CBD, hence CBD may be an attractive therapeutic option in these 23 cases. 24 Finally, CBD therapy does not always work for all patients. Also, some of the studies used CBD-enriched 25 *Cannabis* extracts, which contains Δ^9 -THC. Even the ones with pure CBD, in controlled clinical trials, 26 have short treatment periods and short follow-up periods, which will not reveal the possible long-term 27 effects of CBD as well as possible developmental adverse effects. Hence, more clinical trials, with larger 28 population sizes and longer chronic pure CBD administration, are warranted in order to clarify under 29 which conditions it is worthwhile and safe to use. In addition, it is still unknown how CBD acts on 30 hormones, hepatic enzymes, drug transporters, and its interactions with other drugs [12].

1

2 5. CBD during development: effects in cell culture and animal models for the developing brain 3 Despite the increasing use of CBD-based therapies in children and adolescents whose brains are still 4 developing, most *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies use mature cells or adult animal models and are thus not 5 faithful mimics of the juvenile CNS. Experiments with immature animals or cells have greater potential 6 for identifying CBD's effects and the molecular mechanisms by which such effects are mediated with 7 greater relevance to juveniles. However, few studies have evaluated the developmental phases which are 8 equivalent to human CNS development. Here, we present some of the recent studies using pure CBD in 9 relevant cellular and animal models of the developing brain. 10 In a genetic mouse model of Dravet Syndrome, caused by loss-of-function mutations in the voltage-gated 11 sodium channel NaV1.1, CBD treatment from postnatal day 21 to 27 decreased the duration and severity 12 of thermally-induced seizures and the frequency of spontaneous seizures. Lower doses of CBD also 13 improved autistic-like social interaction deficits [81]. This mouse model represents a very specific cause 14 of children refractory epilepsy, a single mutation in a sodium channel subunit, and its positive outcomes 15 must be considered carefully when extrapolated to other pathologies. 16 Single dose administration of CBD to newborn piglets shortly after hypoxia-ischemia had a protective 17 effect upon neurons and astrocytes, preserved brain activity, prevented seizures and improved 18 neurobehavioral performance [82,83]. In newborn rat brains, CBD administration also prevented necrotic 19 and apoptotic cell death in an *in vivo* model of hypoxia-ischemia damage [84], and rescued neuron 20 function after sciatic nerve transection [85]. However, both studies used a single dose of CBD at a very 21 specific moment, namely immediately after an intensive brain injury, to evaluate its acute effects. Thus, 22 these results may not be representative of long-term treatments with CBD. 23 Although recent literature has primarily searched for protective and therapeutic potentials of CBD, a 24 recent research paper has reported negative effects. Zebrafish, exposed from blastula through to larval 25 stage to micromolar concentrations of Δ^9 -THC (1-16 μ M) or CBD (0.25-4 μ M), presented similarity in 26 dysmorphologies to both compounds (*i.e.*, edemas, curved axis, eve/snout/jaw/trunk/fin deformities, 27 swim bladder distention, and behavioral abnormalities), whilst the LC_{50} for CBD was nearly seven times 28 lower than Δ^9 -THC. The authors also reported teratogenic effects of low concentrations of CBD. [86]. In 29 contrast, another research found no malformation in development of zebrafish embryos exposed to CBD

 $30 = 20-300 \,\mu\text{g/L}$, although the maximal dosage caused delay in embryo hatching. Besides, they were

1 temporarily more active than control. The authors discussed that the effects observed are intimately 2 related to CB1 receptor [87]. Again, the chosen doses may be responsible for the difference in results 3 observed in these two studies. Additionally, 10 μ M of Δ^9 -THC, but not 10 μ M of CBD, arrested the 4 development of preimplantation mouse embryos [88]. 5 Notwithstanding that very few studies offer insight into CBD toxicity, some deleterious effects have been 6 reported for CBD in vitro and in vivo. These include alterations in cell viability, reduced fertilization 7 capacity, and inhibition of hepatic drug metabolism and drug transporters [89]. Our research group 8 showed, in a study using an *in vitro* model of human neurons (human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells 9 differentiated with retinoid acid), that a sublethal dose of CBD with antioxidant activity did not exhibit 10 neuroprotection against the neurotoxic effect of glycolaldehyde, methylglyoxal, 6-hydroxydopamine, and 11 hydrogen peroxide in terminally-differentiated neurons. When SH-SY5Y cells undergoing neuronal 12 differentiation were exposed to the same dose of CBD, besides the lack of neuroprotection and 13 antioxidant activity, CBD potentiated the neurotoxicity induced by all redox-active drugs tested [90]. 14 These results suggest a possible hidden negative effect of CBD during neuronal development, reinforcing 15 the observation that effective dosages for CBD and the resulting pathologies observed can vary widely 16 according to the experimental model used. 17 Thus, pure CBD present both positive and deleterious effects in animal and cellular models of early 18 stages of development. We recommend that the therapeutic use of CBD and other cannabinoids during 19 brain developmental stages must be always supported by experimental studies in appropriate cellular and 20 animal models, with a special attention to the therapeutic window of CBD. It is particularly important to 21 consider that the effect of CBD in humans follows an inverted U-shaped dose-effect curve pattern of 22 effectiveness observed in many animal studies [91,92].

23

24 6. Therapeutic perspectives

Although a number of physiological effects of CBD in the brain have been identified, the mechanism(s) underlying its therapeutic properties in neurological diseases and during neurodevelopment are not yet clearly understood. Depending on the experimental model, the dosage used and the protocol, CBD can act upon CB1 as an agonist, or as an antagonist of endogenous ligands, or as an allosteric modulator, as well as acting upon non-endocannabinoid targets. Nevertheless, Δ^9 -THC, which is able to interact with the ECS, is present in CBD-enriched *Cannabis* extracts used in some studies. Since the ECS performs

1 primordial functions during embryonic development and neurodevelopment, in addition to neurogenesis 2 in adults, it makes sense to hypothesize that any molecule that disturbs ECS activity, such as Δ^9 -THC 3 (and potentially CBD), might disrupt the processes regulated by this cellular signaling system. 4 Regarding CBD therapeutic use for the treatment of children, there are several positive results in clinical 5 trials and case reports in children with refractory epilepsy. However, for CBD-enriched Cannabis extracts 6 the controversial effects of Δ^9 -THC points to a possible risk of adverse effects for its use in young 7 patients. Cannabis has been associated with development of psychotic symptoms later in life, and a recent 8 publication was able to establish a causal role of *Cannabis* use during adolescence and the emergence of 9 such symptoms in the subsequent year [72]. Such effects are attributed to Δ^9 -THC activity on CB₁. As 10 CBD has low affinity for CB₁, although it interferes in other steps of ECS signaling, this cannabinoid may 11 be preferable and safer. Thus, formulations containing Δ^9 -THC should be avoided. Moreover, adverse 12 effects of CBD and its extracts – even though they are mainly not severe – as well as absence of 13 therapeutic effects were also reported. Seizure reduction has a significant effect on the patient's quality of 14 life, but the need to take into account other changes that CBD could cause in social behavior, cognitive 15 function, or motor skills, is also important. Another concern is that the use of CBD-based therapies for 16 pediatric epilepsy and anxiety (see table 1 and supplementary table 2), together with the common belief 17 that natural products are always harmless, could represent a precedent for its use to treat other 18 neurological diseases. It is not completely clear how CBD affects children's brain development and how 19 it could represent any probability of developing diseases later in adulthood. Thus, despite evidences for 20 potential benefits in pediatric patients, pediatricians and families must balance the decision to use CBD 21 with the associated risks [13]. An evaluation must occur on a case-to-case basis, with each instance 22 considering the damage to the patient that may arise from uncontrolled epileptic seizures, the adverse 23 effects of the established antiepileptic drugs and the uncertainties in the effects of CBD during brain 24 development. 25 Recently, natural and synthetic derivatives of CBD have attracted the attention of both industry and 26 academia. Indeed, some of these molecules are being studied for a variety of purposes, most of them 27 aiming to improve the potency, efficacy, or pharmacokinetic properties of CBD [93]. For instance, a 28 natural CBD derivative, cannabidiolic acid (CBDA), does not have effect on inhibition of anandamide 29 uptake while keeping the low CB₁ affinity [93]. Thus, CBDA probably does not interfere in ECS 30 signaling, what lowers the risk for adverse effects during brain development. The conversion of oral CBD

1 into Δ^9 -THC in an acidic environment (e.g. the stomach) is another concern, although it has not been 2 observed in vivo thus far [94]. A novel CBD derivative, HU-444, is a potential novel drug which cannot 3 be converted by acid cyclization into a Δ^9 -THC–like compound. In vitro, HU-444 has an anti-4 inflammatory activity, leading to the suppression of TNF- α production and amelioration of liver damage, 5 whilst not causing Δ^9 -THC-like effects in mice [95]. Another synthetic cannabinoid, HU-320, produced 6 strong anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects in an in vivo model of collagen-induced arthritis 7 [95]. 8 For the generation of another class of CBD derivatives, the introduction of the DMH alkyl chain in the (-

Tor the generation of another class of CDD derivatives, the introduction of the Diviri dikyr chain in the (

 $9 \qquad) \text{-DMH-CBD series did not alter the lack of CB_1 and CB_2 receptor affinity [96]. (-) \text{-DMH-CBD analogs} }$

10 have displayed anxiolytic, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and antiproliferative effects in diverse assays

11 [93]. (-)-DMH-CBD has been shown to have anti-inflammatory and antiproliferative properties in human

12 acute myeloid leukemia [97]. Interestingly, (-)-7-OH-DMH-CBD exhibited potent inhibition of

13 electrically-evoked contractions of the mouse vas deferens that was not mediated through CB1, CB2,

14 TRPV1, opioid, or α2-adrenergic receptors [98,99].

15 Measurements of the binding affinities for the CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptors yielded unexpected

16 outcomes of some CBD enantiomers. Contrary to naturally occurring (-)-CBD analogs, some synthetic

17 derivatives, such as (+)-CBD, H2-CBD, H4-CBD, and HU-465 bind to CB₁ and several of them have

18 shown interesting pharmacological properties for various pathologies [93]. However, as CB₁ activity is

19 not desirable for an antiepileptic drug due to all the ECS roles at developmental stages, such derivatives

20 might not be an alternative in these cases. Thus, likewise natural occurring cannabinoids, different CBD

21 derivatives vary in their pharmacological and therapeutic properties, evidencing the need for a better

22 understanding of their mechanism of action.

23

24 7. Conclusion

As *Cannabis* extracts contain Δ^9 -THC, which has psychoactive effects and is a CB₁ agonist and may potentially disturb the ECS processes during brain development, pure GMP-grade CBD, synthetic or plant derived, is probably a safer option for use in pediatric and juvenile patients. Recently, a CBD oral solution, purified from a *Cannabis* extract, and developed and tested by GW Research has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration agency of United States (FDA), as an adjuvant in the treatment of seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and Dravet syndrome in patients 2 years of age and

1	older. According to the released document, the approval was based on CBD's effectiveness in preclinical		
2	and clinical trials and due to its mechanisms of action (low CB1 affinity, reduction of neuronal		
3	hyperexcitability and inflammation) [100].		
4	However, since CBD can potentially affect the ECS also, further studies are recommended in order to		
5	clarify its mechanisms of action and developmental implications. Besides, longer chronic treatment and		
6	follow-up periods are recommended in clinical trials and animal studies in order to evaluate CBD's long		
7	term effects, as well as the most effective dosage and the age which the therapeutic use of pure CBD is		
8	not only effective but also safe.		
9	At the moment, we consider that CBD is recommended as the last option for the treatment of non-		
10	responsive epileptic children. For other neurological or psychiatric diseases, such as childhood anxiety,		
11	there is insufficient evidence to support the effectiveness of CBD. Besides, we suggest that more studies		
12	should use adequate experimental models to focus on pure CBD, in order to establish its safe and		
13	effective dosage and therapeutic targets, as well as synthetic CBD derivatives, aiming to identify a CBD		
14	analog with therapeutic properties but with fewer risks to the developing brain.		
15			
15 16	Compliance with Ethical Standards		
15 16 17	Compliance with Ethical Standards		
15 16 17	Compliance with Ethical Standards		
15 16 17 18	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding		
15 16 17 18 19	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – Pesquisas sobre		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – Pesquisas sobre Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos (466805/2014-4) and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). AWZ,		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – Pesquisas sobre Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos (466805/2014-4) and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). AWZ, JECH, FK and JAC are recipients of fellowship awards from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – Pesquisas sobre Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos (466805/2014-4) and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). AWZ, JECH, FK and JAC are recipients of fellowship awards from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil).		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT <i>Pesquisas Sobre Doenças</i> <i>Neurodegenerativas</i> (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – <i>Pesquisas sobre</i> <i>Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos</i> (466805/2014-4) and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). AWZ, JECH, FK and JAC are recipients of fellowship awards from <i>Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento</i> <i>Científico e Tecnológico</i> (CNPq, Brazil).		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT Pesquisas Sobre Doenças Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – Pesquisas sobre Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos (466805/2014-4) and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). AWZ, JECH, FK and JAC are recipients of fellowship awards from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil). Conflict of interest statement AWZ, JECH and JAC are co-inventors of the patent "Fluorinated CBD compounds,		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Compliance with Ethical Standards Funding This study was supported by the Brazilian funds CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT <i>Pesquisas Sobre Doenças</i> Neurodegenerativas (466989/2014-8), CNPq/MS/SCTIE/DECIT N° 26/2014 – <i>Pesquisas sobre</i> Distúrbios Neuropsiquiátricos (466805/2014-4) and INCT-TM/CNPq/FAPESP (465458/2014-9). AWZ, IECH, FK and JAC are recipients of fellowship awards from <i>Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento</i> Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil). Conflict of interest statement AWZ, JECH and JAC are co-inventors of the patent "Fluorinated CBD compounds, compositions and uses thereof. Pub. No.: WO/2014/108899. International Application No.:		

- 28 (BR1120150164927). The University of São Paulo has licensed the patent to *Phytecs Pharm* (USP
- 29 Resolution No. 15.1.130002.1.1). The University of São Paulo has an agreement with *Prati-Donaduzzi*

- 1 (Toledo, Brazil) to "develop a pharmaceutical product containing synthetic cannabidiol and prove its
- 2 safety and therapeutic efficacy in the treatment of epilepsy, schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, and
- 3 anxiety disorders." JECH and JAC have received travel support from and are medical advisors of BSPG-
- 4 Pharm. AWZ is medical advisor of BSPG-Pharm.
- 5

6 References

- 7 1. Cassol-jr OJ, Comim CM, Silva BR, Hermani F V, Constantino LS, Felisberto F, et al. Treatment with
- 8 cannabidiol reverses oxidative stress parameters, cognitive impairment and mortality in rats submitted to
- 9 sepsis by cecal ligation and puncture. Brain Res [Internet]. Elsevier B.V.; 2010;1348:128–38. Available
- 10 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.06.023
- 11 2. Karniol IG, Shirakawa I, Kasinski N, Pfeferman A, Carlini EA. Cannabidiol interferes with the effects
- 12 of delta 9 tetrahydrocannabinol in man. Eur J Pharmacol [Internet]. 1974 [cited 2013 Dec 9];28:172–7.
- 13 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4609777
- 14 3. Grlic L. A comparative study on some chemical and biological characteristics of various samples of
- 15 cannabis resin. Bull narcotics. 1976;37–46.
- 16 4. Gaoni Y, Mechoulam R. Isolation and structure of Δ +- tetrahydrocannabinol and other neutral
- 17 cannabinoids from hashish. J Amer Chem Soc. 1971;93:217–24.
- 18 5. Howlett AC, Blume LC, Dalton GD. CB(1) cannabinoid receptors and their associated proteins. Curr
- 19 Med Chem. 2010;17:1382–93.
- 20 6. Luchicchi A, Pistis M. Anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol: Pharmacological Properties,
- 21 Functional Features, and Emerging Specificities of the Two Major Endocannabinoids. Mol. Neurobiol.
- 22 2012. p. 374–92.
- 23 7. Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, Alexander SPH, Marzo V Di, Elphick MR, et al. International
- 24 Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology . LXXIX . Cannabinoid Receptors and Their Ligands :
- 25 Beyond CB 1 and CB 2. Pharmacol Rev. 2010;62:588–631.
- 26 8. Pertwee RG. Targeting the endocannabinoid system with cannabinoid receptor agonists:
- 27 pharmacological strategies and therapeutic possibilities. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2012;367:3353-
- 28 63.
- 9. Di Marzo V, De Petrocellis L. Why do cannabinoid receptors have more than one endogenous ligand?
- 30 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2012. p. 3216–28.

- Lu HC, MacKie K. An introduction to the endogenous cannabinoid system. Biol. Psychiatry. 2016. p.
 516–25.
- 3 11. Ibeas Bih C, Chen T, Nunn AVW, Bazelot M, Dallas M, Whalley BJ. Molecular Targets of
- 4 Cannabidiol in Neurological Disorders. Neurotherapeutics [Internet]. 2015;699–730. Available from:
- 5 http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13311-015-0377-3
- 6 12. Iffland K, Grotenhermen F. An Update on Safety and Side Effects of Cannabidiol: A Review of
- 7 Clinical Data and Relevant Animal Studies. Cannabis cannabinoid Res. Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.;

8 2017;2:139–54.

- 9 13. Wong SS, Wilens TE. Medical Cannabinoids in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review.
- 10 Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics; 2017;140:e20171818.
- 11 14. Health Reform and State Health Legislative Initiatives. State medical marijuana laws. 2018 available
- 12 at: http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/state-medical-marijuana-laws.aspx#. Accessed January18, 2018
- 13 15. Devinsky O, Marsh E, Friedman D, Thiele E, Laux L, Sullivan J, et al. Cannabidiol in patients with
- 14 treatment-resistant epilepsy: An open-label interventional trial. Lancet Neurol [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd;
- 15 2015;15:270–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00379-8
- 16 16. Devinsky O, Patel AD, Thiele EA, Wong MH, Appleton R, Harden CL, et al. Randomized, dose-
- 17 ranging safety trial of cannabidiol in Dravet syndrome. Neurology [Internet]. American Academy of
- 18 Neurology; 2018 [cited 2018 Jun 12];90:e1204–11. Available from:
- 19 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29540584
- 20 17. Devinsky O, Marsh E, Friedman D, Thiele E, Laux L, Sullivan J, et al. Cannabidiol in patients with
- treatment-resistant epilepsy: an open-label interventional trial. Lancet Neurol [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018
- 22 Mar 2];15:270–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26724101
- 23 18. Tzadok M, Uliel-Siboni S, Linder I, Kramer U, Epstein O, Menascu S, et al. CBD-enriched medical
- 24 cannabis for intractable pediatric epilepsy. Seizure [Internet]. BEA Trading Ltd; 2016;35:41–4. Available
- 25 from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1059131116000054
- 26 19. Hussain SA, Zhou R, Jacobson C, Weng J, Cheng E, Lay J, et al. Perceived efficacy of cannabidiol-
- 27 enriched cannabis extracts for treatment of pediatric epilepsy: A potential role for infantile spasms and
- 28 Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Epilepsy Behav [Internet]. Elsevier Inc.; 2015;47:138–41. Available from:
- 29 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2015.04.009
- 30 20. Press CA, Knupp KG, Chapman KE. Parental reporting of response to oral cannabis extracts for

- 1 treatment of refractory epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav [Internet]. 2015;45:49–52. Available from:
- 2 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25845492%5Cnhttp://ac.els-cdn.com/S1525505015001043/1-s2.0-
- 3 S1525505015001043-main.pdf?_tid=d1d35b2a-20e6-11e5-96c3-
- 4 00000aab0f6c&acdnat=1435861324 083efa0d2dbd770e0d6eadbe1c1af124
- 5 21. O'Connell BK, Gloss D, Devinsky O. Cannabinoids in treatment-resistant epilepsy: A review.
- 6 Epilepsy Behav. 2017;70:341–8.
- 7 22. Devinsky O, Cross JH, Laux L, Marsh E, Miller I, Nabbout R, et al. Trial of Cannabidiol for Drug-
- 8 Resistant Seizures in the Dravet Syndrome. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Mar 2];376:2011–
- 9 20. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28538134
- 10 23. Mechoulam R, Parker LA. The Endocannabinoid System and the Brain. Annu Rev Psychol.
- 11 2013;64:21-47.
- 12 24. Dow-Edwards D, Silva L. Endocannabinoids in brain plasticity: Cortical maturation, HPA axis
- 13 function and behavior. Brain Res [Internet]. Elsevier; 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 11];1654:157–64. Available
- 14 from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006899316305972?via%3Dihub
- 15 25. DEFINITIONS OF WEIGHTS OF EVIDENCE. The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids:
- 16 The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research. 2017. Available at:
- 17 http://nationalacademies.org/hmd/~/media/Files/Report%20Files/2017/Cannabis-Health-
- 18 Effects/Cannabis-conclusions.pdf Accessed June 12, 2018.
- 19 26. Morales P, Hurst DP, Reggio PH. Molecular Targets of the Phytocannabinoids: A Complex Picture.
- 20 Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. NIH Public Access; 2017. p. 103–31.
- 21 27. Meyer HC, Lee FS, Gee DG. The Role of the Endocannabinoid System and Genetic Variation in
- Adolescent Brain Development. Neuropsychopharmacology. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;1–47.
- 23 28. Alger BE. Retrograde signaling in the regulation of synaptic transmission: focus on
- endocannabinoids. Prog Neurobiol [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2014 Feb 13];68:247–86. Available from:
- 25 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12498988
- 26 29. Velasco G, Sánchez C, Guzmán M. Towards the use of cannabinoids as antitumour agents. Nat Rev
- 27 Cancer [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights
- 28 Reserved.; 2012 [cited 2014 Feb 13];12:436–44. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc3247
- 29 30. Fowler CJ. Transport of endocannabinoids across the plasma membrane and within the cell. FEBS J.
- 30 2013;280:1895–904.

- 1 31. Thomas GM, Huganir RL. MAPK cascade signalling and synaptic plasticity. Nat Rev Neurosci
- 2 [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2004 [cited 2018 Jan 15];5:173–83. Available from:
- 3 http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nrn1346
- 4 32. Silva-Cruz A, Carlström M, Ribeiro JA, Sebastião AM. Dual Influence of Endocannabinoids on
- 5 Long-Term Potentiation of Synaptic Transmission. Front Pharmacol [Internet]. Frontiers Media SA; 2017
- 6 [cited 2018 Jan 15];8:921. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29311928
- 7 33. Mackie K. Distribution of cannabinoid receptors in the central and peripheral nervous system. Handb
- 8 Exp Pharmacol [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2018 Feb 10];299–325. Available from:
- 9 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16596779
- 10 34. Djeungoue-Petga M-A, Hebert-Chatelain E. Linking Mitochondria and Synaptic Transmission: The
- 11 CB1 Receptor. BioEssays [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 9];39:1700126. Available from:
- 12 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29058339
- 13 35. Harkany T, Guzmán M, Galve-Roperh I, Berghuis P, Devi LA, Mackie K. The emerging functions of
- 14 endocannabinoid signaling during CNS development. Trends Pharmacol Sci [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2014
- 15 Feb 6];28:83–92. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17222464
- 16 36. Díaz-Alonso J, Aguado T, Wu C-S, Palazuelos J, Hofmann C, Garcez P, et al. The CB(1) cannabinoid
- 17 receptor drives corticospinal motor neuron differentiation through the Ctip2/Satb2 transcriptional
- regulation axis. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2014 Feb 9];32:16651–65. Available from:
- 19 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3545190&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstr
- 20 act
- 21 37. Habayeb OMH, Taylor AH, Bell SC, Taylor DJ, Konje JC. Expression of the Endocannabinoid
- 22 System in Human First Trimester Placenta and Its Role in Trophoblast Proliferation. Endocrinology.
- 23 Oxford University Press; 2008;149:5052–60.
- 24 38. Galve-Roperh I, Chiurchiù V, Díaz-Alonso J, Bari M, Guzmán M, Maccarrone M. Cannabinoid
- 25 receptor signaling in progenitor/stem cell proliferation and differentiation. Prog Lipid Res [Internet].
- 26 2013;52:633–50. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2013.05.004
- 27 39. de Salas-Quiroga A, Díaz-Alonso J, García-Rincón D, Remmers F, Vega D, Gómez-Cañas M, et al.
- 28 Prenatal exposure to cannabinoids evokes long-lasting functional alterations by targeting CB₁ receptors
- on developing cortical neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2015;201514962. Available from:
- 30 http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1514962112

- 1 40. Lovelace JW, Corches A, Vieira PA, Hiroto AS, Mackie K, Korzus E. An animal model of female
- 2 adolescent cannabinoid exposure elicits a long-lasting deficit in presynaptic long-term plasticity.
- 3 Neuropharmacology [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Jan 16];99:242–55. Available from:
- 4 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S002839081500163X
- 5 41. Gaston TE, Friedman D. Pharmacology of cannabinoids in the treatment of epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav.

6 2017;70:313-8.

- 7 42. Blair RE, Deshpande LS, DeLorenzo RJ. Cannabinoids: is there a potential treatment role in epilepsy?
- 8 Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2015;16:1911–4.
- 9 43. Braakman HMH, van Oostenbrugge RJ, van Kranen-Mastenbroek VHJM, de Krom MCTFM.
- 10 Rimonabant induces partial seizures in a patient with a history of generalized epilepsy. Epilepsia.
- 11 Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2009;50:2171–2.
- 12 44. Silveira MM, Adams WK, Morena M, Hill MN, Winstanley CA. Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol decreases
- 13 willingness to exert cognitive effort in male rats. J Psychiatry Neurosci [Internet]. Canadian Medical
- 14 Association; 2017 [cited 2018 Mar 2];42:131–8. Available from:
- 15 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28245177
- 16 45. Kaur R, Ambwani SR, Singh S. Endocannabinoid System: A Multi-Facet Therapeutic Target. Curr
- 17 Clin Pharmacol. 2016;11:110–7.
- 18 46. Fernández-Ruiz J, Sagredo O, Pazos MR, García C, Pertwee R, Mechoulam R, et al. Cannabidiol for
- 19 neurodegenerative disorders: important new clinical applications for this phytocannabinoid? Br J Clin
- 20 Pharmacol [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2013 Dec 9];75:323–33. Available from:
- 21 http://www.mendeley.com/research/cannabidiol-neurodegenerative-disorders-important-new-clinical-
- 22 applications-phytocannabinoid/
- 23 47. Parker LA, Rock EM, Limebeer CL. Regulation of nausea and vomiting by cannabinoids. Br J
- 24 Pharmacol. 2011;163:1411–22.
- 48. Massi P, Solinas M, Cinquina V, Parolaro D. Cannabidiol as potential anticancer drug. Br J Clin
- 26 Pharmacol. 2013;75:303–12.
- 49. Crippa JAS, Zuardi AW, Hallak JEC. Therapeutical use of the cannabinoids in psychiatry. Rev Bras
- 28 Psiquiatr. 2010;32 Suppl 1:S56–66.
- 29 50. Campbell CT, Phillips MS, Manasco K. Cannabinoids in Pediatrics. J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther.
- 30 Pediatric Pharmacology Advocacy Group; 2017;22:176–85.

- 1 51. Rock EM, Bolognini D, Limebeer CL, Cascio MG, Anavi-Goffer S, Fletcher PJ, et al. Cannabidiol, a
- 2 non-psychotropic component of cannabis, attenuates vomiting and nausea-like behaviour via indirect
- 3 agonism of 5-HT(1A) somatodendritic autoreceptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus. Br J Pharmacol
- 4 [Internet]. Wiley-Blackwell; 2012 [cited 2018 Jan 12];165:2620–34. Available from:
- 5 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21827451
- 6 52. Gonca E, Darici F. The Effect of Cannabidiol on Ischemia/Reperfusion-Induced Ventricular
- 7 Arrhythmias. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther. 2015;20:76–83.
- 8 53. Cilio MR, Thiele EA, Devinsky O. The case for assessing cannabidiol in epilepsy. Epilepsia.
- 9 2014;55:787–90.
- 10 54. Hind WH, England TJ, O'Sullivan SE. Cannabidiol protects an in vitro model of the blood-brain
- 11 barrier from oxygen-glucose deprivation via PPARy and 5-HT1A receptors. Br J Pharmacol.
- 12 2016;173:815–25.
- 13 55. Xiong W, Cui T, Cheng K, Yang F, Chen S-R, Willenbring D, et al. Cannabinoids suppress
- 14 inflammatory and neuropathic pain by targeting α3 glycine receptors. J Exp Med. 2012;209:1121–34.
- 15 56. Bakas T, van Nieuwenhuijzen PS, Devenish SO, McGregor IS, Arnold JC, Chebib M. The direct
- 16 actions of cannabidiol and 2-arachidonoyl glycerol at GABAA receptors. Pharmacol Res. Academic
- 17 Press; 2017;119:358–70.
- 18 57. De Petrocellis L, Ligresti A, Moriello AS, Allarà M, Bisogno T, Petrosino S, et al. Effects of
- 19 cannabinoids and cannabinoid-enriched Cannabis extracts on TRP channels and endocannabinoid
- 20 metabolic enzymes. Br J Pharmacol [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2014 Jan 31];163:1479–94. Available from:
- 21 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3165957&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstr
- 22 act
- 23 58. Pucci M, Rapino C, Di Francesco A, Dainese E, D'Addario C, Maccarrone M. Epigenetic control of
- skin differentiation genes by phytocannabinoids. Br J Pharmacol. Wiley-Blackwell; 2013;170:581–91.
- 25 59. McPartland JM, Duncan M, Di Marzo V, Pertwee RG. Are cannabidiol and $\Delta(9)$ -
- 26 tetrahydrocannabivarin negative modulators of the endocannabinoid system? A systematic review. Br J
- 27 Pharmacol. Wiley-Blackwell; 2015;172:737–53.
- 28 60. Thomas A, Baillie GL, Phillips AM, Razdan RK, Ross RA, Pertwee RG. Cannabidiol displays
- 29 unexpectedly high potency as an antagonist of CB1 and CB2 receptor agonists in vitro. Br J Pharmacol
- 30 [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2014 Feb 9];150:613–23. Available from:

- 1 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2189767&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstr
- 2 act
- 3 61. Sagredo O, Pazos MR, Satta V, Ramos JA, Pertwee RG, Fernández-Ruiz J. Neuroprotective effects of
- 4 phytocannabinoid-based medicines in experimental models of Huntington's disease. J Neurosci Res.
- 5 2011;89:1509–18.
- 6 62. Laprairie RB, Bagher AM, Kelly MEM, Denovan-Wright EM. Cannabidiol is a negative allosteric
- 7 modulator of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. Br J Pharmacol. 2015;172:4790–805.
- 8 63. Hua T, Vemuri K, Nikas SP, Laprairie RB, Wu Y, Qu L, et al. Crystal structures of agonist-bound
- 9 human cannabinoid receptor CB1. Nature [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jun 20];547:468–71. Available
- 10 from: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature23272
- 11 64. Fernández Ó. THC:CBD in Daily Practice: Available Data from UK, Germany and Spain. Eur Neurol
- 12 [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Mar 2];75:1–3. Available from:
- 13 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26901342
- 14 65. Romero K, Pavisian B, Staines WR, Feinstein A. Multiple sclerosis, cannabis, and cognition: A
- 15 structural MRI study. NeuroImage Clin [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Jun 13];8:140–7. Available from:
- 16 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26106538
- 17 66. Weinstein A, Livny A, Weizman A. Brain Imaging Studies on the Cognitive, Pharmacological and
- 18 Neurobiological Effects of Cannabis in Humans: Evidence from Studies of Adult Users. Curr Pharm Des
- 19 [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jun 13];22:6366–79. Available from:
- 20 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27549374
- 21 67. Thiele EA, Marsh ED, French JA, Mazurkiewicz-Beldzinska M, Benbadis SR, Joshi C, et al.
- 22 Cannabidiol in patients with seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome (GWPCARE4): a
- randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jun
- 24 8];391:1085–96. Available from: https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-
- 25 6736(18)30136-3.pdf
- 26 68. Devinsky O, Patel AD, Cross JH, Villanueva V, Wirrell EC, Privitera M, et al. Effect of Cannabidiol
- 27 on Drop Seizures in the Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome. N Engl J Med [Internet]. Massachusetts Medical
- 28 Society; 2018 [cited 2018 Jun 8];378:1888–97. Available from:
- 29 http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1714631
- 30 69. Huizink AC. Prenatal cannabis exposure and infant outcomes: Overview of studies. Prog

- 1 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2014 Jan 22]; Available from:
- 2 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24075896
- 3 70. Ranganathan M, D'Souza DC. The acute effects of cannabinoids on memory in humans: a review.
- 4 Psychopharmacology (Berl) [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2014 Feb 12];188:425–44. Available from:
- 5 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17019571
- 6 71. Bossong MG, Niesink RJM. Adolescent brain maturation, the endogenous cannabinoid system and
- 7 the neurobiology of cannabis-induced schizophrenia. Prog Neurobiol [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2014 Jan
- 8 27];92:370–85. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20624444
- 9 72. Bourque J, Afzali MH, Conrod PJ. Association of Cannabis Use With Adolescent Psychotic
- 10 Symptoms. JAMA Psychiatry [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jul 10]; Available from:
- 11 http://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1330
- 12 73. Niesink RJM, van Laar MW. Does Cannabidiol Protect Against Adverse Psychological Effects of
- 13 THC? Front Psychiatry [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2018 Jun 20];4:130. Available from:
- 14 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24137134
- 15 74. Crippa JAS, Crippa ACS, Hallak JEC, Martín-Santos R, Zuardi AW. Δ9-THC Intoxication by
- 16 Cannabidiol-Enriched Cannabis Extract in Two Children with Refractory Epilepsy: Full Remission after
- 17 Switching to Purified Cannabidiol. Front Pharmacol [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Mar 2];7:359. Available
- 18 from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27746737
- 19 75. Porter BE, Jacobson C. Report of a parent survey of cannabidiol-enriched cannabis use in pediatric
- 20 treatment-resistant epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2014 Jan 25];29:574–7. Available
- 21 from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24237632
- 22 76. Rosemergy I, Adler J, Psirides A. Cannabidiol oil in the treatment of super refractory status
- epilepticus. A case report. Seizure [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Mar 2];35:56–8. Available from:
- 24 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26803051
- 25 77. Seizures TCA, Results S, Available NR, States U, Specialists N, States U, et al. ClinicalTrials.gov
- 26 Search Results 06/15/2018. 2018;7–8.
- 27 78. Geffrey AL, Pollack SF, Bruno PL, Thiele EA. Drug-drug interaction between clobazam and
- 28 cannabidiol in children with refractory epilepsy. Epilepsia [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Mar 2];56:1246–
- 29 51. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/epi.13060
- 30 79. Gotfried J, Kataria R, Schey R. Review: The Role of Cannabinoids on Esophageal Function-What We

- 1 Know Thus Far. Cannabis cannabinoid Res [Internet]. Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.; 2017 [cited 2018 Jan
- 2 11];2:252–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29098187
- 3 80. Prospéro-García O, Amancio-Belmont O, Becerril Meléndez AL, Ruiz-Contreras AE, Méndez-Díaz
- 4 M. Endocannabinoids and sleep. Neurosci Biobehav Rev [Internet]. Pergamon; 2016 [cited 2018 Jan
- 5 11];71:671–9. Available from:
- 6 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0149763416302640?via%3Dihub
- 7 81. Kaplan JS, Stella N, Catterall WA, Westenbroek RE. Cannabidiol attenuates seizures and social
- 8 deficits in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Mar
- 9 2];114:11229–34. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28973916
- 10 82. Alvarez FJ, Lafuente H, Rey-Santano MC, Mielgo VE, Gastiasoro E, Rueda M, et al. Neuroprotective
- 11 effects of the nonpsychoactive cannabinoid cannabidiol in hypoxic-ischemic newborn piglets. Pediatr Res
- 12 [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2014 Feb 8];64:653–8. Available from:
- 13 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18679164
- 14 83. Lafuente H, Alvarez FJ, Pazos MR, Alvarez A, Rey-Santano MC, Mielgo V, et al. Cannabidiol
- 15 reduces brain damage and improves functional recovery after acute hypoxia-ischemia in newborn pigs.
- 16 Pediatr Res [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2014 Feb 8];70:272–7. Available from:
- 17 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21654550
- 18 84. Pazos MR, Cinquina V, Gómez A, Layunta R, Santos M, Fernández-ruiz J, et al. Neuropharmacology
- 19 Cannabidiol administration after hypoxia e ischemia to newborn rats reduces long-term brain injury and
- 20 restores neurobehavioral function. 2012;63:776–83.
- 21 85. Perez M, Benitez SU, Cartarozzi LP, Del Bel E, Guimarães FS, Oliveira ALR. Neuroprotection and
- 22 reduction of glial reaction by cannabidiol treatment after sciatic nerve transection in neonatal rats. Eur J
- 23 Neurosci [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2014 Mar 7];38:3424–34. Available from:
- 24 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23981015
- 25 86. Carty DR, Thornton C, Gledhill JH, Willett KL. Developmental Effects of Cannabidiol and Δ9-
- 26 Tetrahydrocannabinol in Zebrafish. Toxicol Sci [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Mar 2];162:137–45.
- 27 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29106691
- 28 87. Valim Brigante TA, Abe FR, Zuardi AW, Hallak JEC, Crippa JAS, de Oliveira DP. Cannabidiol did
- 29 not induce teratogenicity or neurotoxicity in exposed zebrafish embryos. Chem Biol Interact [Internet].
- 30 2018 [cited 2018 Jun 18];291:81–6. Available from:

- 1 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009279718302163
- 2 88. Paria BC, Das SK, Dey SK. The preimplantation mouse embryo is a target for cannabinoid iigand-
- 3 receptor signaliiig. Dev Biol [Internet]. 1995 [cited 2018 Feb 9];92:9460–4. Available from:
- 4 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC40821/pdf/pnas01499-0041.pdf
- 5 89. Bergamaschi MM, Queiroz RHC, Zuardi AW, Crippa JAS. Safety and side effects of cannabidiol, a
- 6 Cannabis sativa constituent. Curr Drug Saf [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2014 Mar 12];6:237–49. Available
- 7 from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22129319
- 8 90. Schönhofen P, de Medeiros LM, Bristot IJ, Lopes FM, De Bastiani MA, Kapczinski F, et al.
- 9 Cannabidiol Exposure During Neuronal Differentiation Sensitizes Cells Against Redox-Active
- 10 Neurotoxins. Mol Neurobiol. 2015;52.
- 11 91. Zuardi AW, Rodrigues NP, Silva AL, Bernardo SA, Hallak JEC, Guimarães FS, et al. Inverted U-
- 12 Shaped Dose-Response Curve of the Anxiolytic Effect of Cannabidiol during Public Speaking in Real
- 13 Life. Front Pharmacol [Internet]. Frontiers Media SA; 2017 [cited 2018 Feb 9];8:259. Available from:
- 14 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28553229
- 15 92. Genaro K, Fabris D, Arantes ALF, Zuardi AW, Crippa JAS, Prado WA. Cannabidiol Is a Potential
- 16 Therapeutic for the Affective-Motivational Dimension of Incision Pain in Rats. Front Pharmacol
- 17 [Internet]. Frontiers Media SA; 2017 [cited 2018 Feb 9];8:391. Available from:
- 18 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28680401
- 19 93. Morales P, Reggio PH, Jagerovic N. An Overview on Medicinal Chemistry of Synthetic and Natural
- 20 Derivatives of Cannabidiol. Front Pharmacol [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Mar 2];8:422. Available from:
- 21 http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fphar.2017.00422/full
- 22 94. Nahler G, Grotenhermen F, Zuardi AW, Crippa JAS. A Conversion of Oral Cannabidiol to Delta9-
- 23 Tetrahydrocannabinol Seems Not to Occur in Humans. Cannabis cannabinoid Res [Internet]. 2017 [cited
- 24 2018 Mar 2];2:81–6. Available from: http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/can.2017.0009
- 25 95. Haj CG, Sumariwalla PF, Hanu L, Kogan NM, Yektin Z, Mechoulam R, et al. HU-444, a Novel,
- 26 Potent Anti-Inflammatory, Nonpsychotropic Cannabinoid. J Pharmacol Exp Ther [Internet]. 2015 [cited
- 27 2018 Mar 2];355:66–75. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26272937
- 28 96. Bisogno T, Hanus L, De Petrocellis L, Tchilibon S, Ponde DE, Brandi I, et al. Molecular targets for
- 29 cannabidiol and its synthetic analogues: effect on vanilloid VR1 receptors and on the cellular uptake and
- 30 enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide. Br J Pharmacol [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2014 Feb 9];134:845–52.

1	Availa	ble	from
1	Availa	ble	from

2 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1573017&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstr

3 act

- 4 97. Juknat A, Pietr M, Kozela E, Rimmerman N. Differential transcriptional profiles mediated by
- 5 exposure to the cannabinoids cannabidiol and D 9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in BV-2 microglial cells. 2012;
- 6 98. Fride E, Ponde D, Breuer A, Hanus L. Peripheral, but not central effects of cannabidiol derivatives:
- 7 mediation by CB(1) and unidentified receptors. Neuropharmacology [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2018 Mar
- 8 2];48:1117–29. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0028390805000638
- 9 99. Pertwee RG, Thomas A, Stevenson LA, Maor Y, Mechoulam R. Evidence that (-)-7-hydroxy-4'-
- 10 dimethylheptyl-cannabidiol activates a non-CB(1), non-CB(2), non-TRPV1 target in the mouse vas
- 11 deferens. Neuropharmacology [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2018 Mar 2];48:1139–46. Available from:
- 12 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0028390805000444
- 13 100. CBD-OS FOR THE TREATMENT OF LENNOX-GASTAUT SYNDROME AND DRAVET
- 14 SYNDROME FDA ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING BRIEFING DOCUMENT. [cited 2018 Jul
- 15 10]; Available from:
- 16 https://www.fda.gov/downloads/advisorycommittees/committeesmeetingmaterials/drugs/peripheralandce
- 17 ntralnervoussystemdrugsadvisorycommittee/ucm604738.pdf
- 18
- 19

20 Figure Legends:

- 21 Fig. 1 Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling. Endocannabinoids are produced on demand in the post-
- 22 synaptic neuron, released in the synaptic cleft and activate CB1 receptor in the pre-synaptic neuron.
- 23 Reactive oxygen species (ROS); endocannabinoid system (ECS); anandamide (AEA); 2-
- 24 arachydonoilglycerol (2-AG); N-arachidonoylphosphatidylethanolamine (NAPE); N-
- 25 arachidonoylphosphatidylethanolamine phospholipase-D (NAPE-PLD); diacylglycerol (DAG);
- diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL); phosphatidylinositol biphosphate (PIP₂); CB1 (CB1 receptor); adenylyl
- 27 cyclase (AC), cyclic AMP (cAMP); protein kinase A (PKA); fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH);
- 28 monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL); arachidonic acid (AA); ethanolamine (ET); mitogen-activated protein
- 29 kinase (MAPK).

1	Table 1. Clinical trials using pure CBD published until May 2018 with children and young patients
2	
3	Suplementary Table 1. Adult volunteer studies on adverse effects of pure CBD and relevant CBD-
4	enriched extract (CBD-based therapies)
5	
6	Supplementary Table 2. Pure CBD and relevant CBD-enriched extract (CBD-based therapies) case

7 reports, parent surveys and retrospective chart reviews published until May 2018 with children and young

8 adults